Ramsey Taxation

Sharif University of Technology

January 2020

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow

Holding current and future government spending constant, a change in current taxes with an equal and opposite change in the present value of future taxes leaves the equilibrium interest rate and the consumptions of individuals unchanged

- This theorem suggests that under certain conditions the timing of taxes does not matter
- What matters is the present value of tax liabilities
- Key: consumers realize that a tax break today is not free: taxes tomorrow will be higher, so they save the tax break

The household problem: Let preferences be given by:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t, n_t)
$$

The budget constraint of the household is:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t[c_t + k_{t+1}] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t[(1 - \tau_{it}) w_t n_t + k_t R_{k,t}]
$$

The gross after tax return on capital has the form

$$
R_{kt} = 1 + (1 - \tau_{kt})(v_t - \delta) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge 0
$$

4 0 8

The firm's problem is:

$$
\max_{k_t,n_t} A_t F(k_t,n_t) - k_t v_t - w_t n_t
$$

Feasibility is given by:

$$
g_t+c_t+k_{t+1}=A_tF(k_t,n_t)+(1-\delta)k_t
$$

The government budget constraint is given by:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t g_t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t [\tau_{lt} w_t n_t + \tau_{kt} k_t (v_t - \delta)]
$$

4 0 8

Definition: A competitive equilibrium with taxes where the government finances the purchases ${\mathcal{g}}_t$ is an allocation ${\mathsf c}_t,$ $n_t,$ k_{t+1} a price system p_t, w_t, R_{kt} , and taxes τ_{lt}, τ_{kt} such that:

- $\bullet\;c_t,n_t,k_{t+1}$ is feasible for g_t and initial condition k_0
- $\bullet\;\;c_t,n_t,k_{t+1}$ maximizes the household utility given prices, ρ_t,w_t,R_{kt} , taxes τ_{lt}, τ_{kt} , and initial condition initial condition k_0 ,
- \bullet firms maximize profits given prices w_t, v_t ,
- ⁴ the government budget constraint holds.

FOC

The first order conditions for the household problem, using λ_h for the multiplier on the household budget constraint is:

$$
c_t : \beta^t U_{ct} = \lambda_h p_t
$$

$$
n_t: \beta^t U_{nt} = \lambda_h p_t w_t (1 - \tau_{lt})
$$

$$
k_{t+1}:[-p_t+p_{t+1}R_{kt+1}]\lambda_h=0
$$

4 0 8

Optimal taxation of capital and labor

for all $t \geq 0$. These equations imply

$$
\frac{-U_{nt}}{U_{ct}} = w_t (1 - \tau_h)
$$

$$
\frac{\beta U_{ct+1}}{U_{ct}} = \frac{p_{t+1}}{p_t} = \frac{1}{1 + r_{t+1}}
$$

$$
1 + r_{t+1} = R_{kt+1}
$$

The firms order conditions for the firm problem are:

$$
n_t: A_t F_n(k_t, n_t) - w_t = 0
$$

$$
k_t: A_t F_k(k_t,n_t)-v_t=0
$$

∢ ロ ≯ → イ印

Implementability

We now summarize what type of allocation constitute an equilibrium with taxes that finances the exogenous stream of government purchases.

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [U_{ct} c_t + U_{nt} n_t] = U_{C0} K_0 R_{k_0}
$$

1 rewrite the budget constraint of households, by grouping the terms on k_{t+1} as:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t - (1 - \tau_{it}) w_t n_t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t k_t R_{kt} - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t k_{t+1}
$$

2 use the FOC in the household problem with respect to k_{t+1}

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t - (1 - \tau_{it}) w_t n_t) = p_0 k_0 R_{k0} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t+1} k_{t+1} [R_{k,t+1} - \frac{p_t}{p_t+1}]
$$

4 use the FOC for the household with respect to c_t and replace p_t by ${}^{\beta^t U_{ct}}$ λ_h

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [U_{ct}c_t - (1 - \tau_{lt})w_t n_t] = p_0 \lambda_h k_0 R_{k0}
$$

2 use the FOC for the household with respect to n_t and replace $p_t w_t(1-\tau_{\vert t})$ by $\frac{-\beta_t U_{nt}}{\lambda_h}$

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [U_{ct} c_t + U_{nt} n_t] = p_0 \lambda_h k_0 R_{k0}
$$

$$
9 \text{ use } \frac{U_{c0}}{p_0} = \lambda_h
$$

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [U_{ct} c_t + U_{nt} n_t] = U_{C0} k_0 R_{k0}
$$

4 0 8

- The Ramsey problem is to find the policy τ_{lt}, τ_{kt} that produce a competitive equilibrium with taxes with the highest utility for the agents.
- \bullet In other words, the Ramsey problem is to find the $\hat{a} \in \infty$ eptimal" taxes to finance a given stream of government purchases, subject to the fact that agents behave competitively for that taxes.
- Given our characterization of an equilibrium with taxes, the Ramsey problem can be solved by finding the allocation that maximizes the utility of the agents subject to feasibility for all $t > 0$ and subject to the implementability constraint.

Let λ be the Lagrange multiplier of the implementability constraint, then the problem is:

$$
\max_{c_t, n_t, k_{t+1}, \tau_{k0}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (U(c_t, n_t) + \lambda [c_t U_c(c_t, n_t) + n_t U_n(c_t, n_t)]
$$

$$
-\lambda U_c(c_0, n_0) k_0 (1 + (1 - \tau_{k0})(F_{k0} - \delta))
$$
subject to $\tau_{k0} \leq \tau_k^m$ and

$$
g_t+c_t+k_{t+1}=A_tF(k_t,n_t)+(1-\delta)k_t
$$

for all $t > 0$ given k_0 .

4 0 8

This problem can be written as:

$$
\max_{c_t, n_t, k_{t+1}, \tau_{k0}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t W(c_t, n_t; \lambda)
$$

$$
-\lambda U_c(c_0, n_0) K_0 (1+(1-\tau_{k0})(F_{k0}-\delta))
$$

subject to

$$
g_t+c_t+k_{t+1}=A_tF(k_t,n_t)+(1-\delta)k_t
$$

for all $t \geq 0$ given k_0 where

$$
W(c, n; \lambda) = U(c, n) + \lambda [c U_c(c, n) + n U_n(c, n)]
$$

4 0 8

Writing in this form, the problem is similar to the planner $\hat{\theta}$ is problem for the neoclassical growth model, except that:

- **1** the period utility function $U(c, n)$ has been replaced by $w(c, n; \lambda)$
- the first term in the discounted utility is different from the other terms. The function W has the interpretation of the social value of c, n, since $U(c, n)$ is the private value and $\lambda [cU_c (c, n) + nU_n (c, n)]$ are the taxes corresponding to period t allocation, in terms of period t utils.

The FOC for this problem are:

$$
1 = \frac{\beta W_{c,t+1}}{W_{c,t}} [F_{k,t+1} + (1 - \delta)]
$$

and

$$
-\frac{W_{nt}}{W_{ct}}=F_{nt}
$$

Proposition (Chamley-Judd): No taxation of capital in steady state. Assume that $g_t \to \overline{g}$ and $A_t \to \overline{A}$ as $t \to \infty$. In a steady state of the Ramsey problem corresponding to \overline{g} , \overline{A} , there is no taxation of capital, i.e. $\bar{\tau} \iota = 0$

Proof. The foc for the Ramsey problem in a steady state implies:

$$
1 = \beta [F_{kt} + (1 - \delta)]
$$

since

$$
W_{c,t}=W_{c,t+1}
$$

The marginal rate of substitution for agent is

$$
1 = \beta [F_{k,t+1} + (1-\delta)]
$$

since

$$
U_{ct}=U_{C,t+1}
$$

and hence $\overline{\tau_k} = 0$ QED.

4 0 8

The marginal rate of substitution between consumption in t and $t + T$ and the marginal rate is given by

$$
MRS_{t,t+T} \equiv \frac{\beta^{t+T} U_{ct+T}}{\beta^t U_{ct}}
$$

The marginal rate of transformation between consumption at t and $t + T$, given by:

$$
MRT_{t,t+T} \equiv [(1-\delta + F_{kt+1})(1-\delta + F_{kt+2})...(1-\delta + F_{kt+T})]^{-1}
$$

$$
\frac{MRS_{t,t+T}}{MRT_{t,t+T}} = \left[\frac{\beta^T U_{ct+T}}{U_{ct}}\right] \left[(1-\delta + F_{k,t+1})(1-\delta + F_{k,t+2})\dots(1-\delta + F_{k,t+T})\right]
$$

$$
= [\frac{\beta^{\mathsf{T}} U_{ct+ \mathsf{T}}}{U_{ct}}]\,[(1+ (1-\tau_{k,t+1}) (F_{k,t+1} - \delta))\dots (1+ (1-\tau_{k,t+ \mathsf{T}}) (F_{k,t+ \mathsf{T}} -
$$

4 0 8

$$
= [\frac{\beta^{T} U_{ct+T}}{U_{ct}}][(1 + (1 - \tau_{k,t+1})(F_{k,t+1} - \delta)) \dots (1 + (1 - \tau_{k,t+T})(F_{k,t+T} -
$$

$$
\times \frac{1-\delta + F_{k,t+1}}{1+(1-\tau_{k,t+1})(F_{k,t+1}-\delta)} \cdots \frac{1-\delta + F_{k,t+T}}{1+(1-\tau_{k,t+T})(F_{k,t+T}-\delta)}
$$

which in equilibrium gives

$$
\frac{\textit{MRS}_{t,t+T}}{\textit{MRT}_{t,t+T}} = \frac{1-\delta + F_{k,t+1}}{1+(1-\tau_{k,t+1})(F_{k,t+1}-\delta)}\cdots\frac{1-\delta + F_{k,t+T}}{1+(1-\tau_{k,t+T})(F_{k,t+T}-\delta)}
$$

At steady state

$$
F_{kt} = \overline{v}
$$

$$
\rho = (1 - \overline{\tau}_K)(\overline{v} - \delta)
$$

э

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K 手

$$
\frac{\text{MRS}_{t,t+T}}{\text{MRT}_{t,t+T}} = \left(\frac{(1+\overline{v}-\delta)}{1+(1-\overline{\tau}_{K})(\overline{v}-\delta)}\right)^{T}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{(1+\frac{\rho}{1-\overline{\tau}_{K}})}{1+\rho}\right)^{T}
$$

$$
= \left(1+\frac{\rho\overline{\tau}_{K}}{(1+\rho)(1-\overline{\tau}_{K})}\right)^{T}
$$

a constant positive tax rate on capital implies that the tax wedge between marginal rate of substitution between consumption between t and $t + T$ and marginal rate of transformation of consumption between t and $t + T$ grows exponentially with T . Thus, setting capital taxes to zero avoid this growing wedge.

Analysis of the Ramsey Problem

Proposition 2: If preferences are of the form

$$
U(c,n)=\frac{c^{1-\sigma}n^{\varphi}}{1-\sigma}
$$

Then the solution of the Ramsey problem has zero tax rates starting at $t \geq 2$. Proof. Direct computation gives:

$$
W_{ct} = U_{ct} + \lambda (U_{ct} + c_t U_{cct} + n_t U_{nct})
$$

$$
U_c = c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

$$
cU_{cc} = -\sigma c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

$$
nU_{cn} = \varphi c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

Thus the foc of the Ramsey problem gives

$$
1 = \frac{\beta W_{c,t+1}}{W_{ct}} [1 - \delta + F_{k,t+1}] = \frac{\beta U_{c,t+1}}{U_{c,t}} [1 - \delta + F_{k,t+1}]
$$

for $t \geq 1$, which implies that $\tau_{k,t} = 0$ for $t \geq 2$.

Tax on Labor

Proposition 3. Let preferences be as follows

$$
U(c, n) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma} n^{\varphi}}{1-\sigma} \text{ or}
$$

$$
U(c, n) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - A \frac{n^{\varphi}}{1-\varphi}
$$

for $\sigma \geq 0$. Then the tax rate on labor τ_{lt} is constant from $t \geq 1$. **Proof.** Consider first the case where the utility function is given as in the first line. Direct computation gives

$$
U_n = \varphi \frac{c^{-\sigma}}{1 - \sigma} n^{\varphi - 1}
$$

$$
nU_{nn} = (\varphi - 1)\varphi \frac{c^{-\sigma}}{1 - \sigma} n^{\varphi - 1}
$$

$$
cU_{cn} = -\sigma \varphi \frac{c^{-\sigma}}{1 - \sigma} n^{\varphi - 1}
$$

$$
U_c = c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

$$
cU_{cc} = -\sigma c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

$$
nU_{cn} = \varphi c^{-\sigma} n^{\varphi}
$$

thus

$$
W_{ct} = U_{ct} + \lambda (U_{ct} + c_t U_{cct} - n_t U_{nct} = U_{ct} (1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma - \lambda \varphi)
$$

$$
W_{nt} = U_{nt} + \lambda (U_{nt} + c_t U_{cnt} - n_t U_{nnt} = U_{nt} (1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma + \lambda (\varphi - 1))
$$

The foc of the Ramsey problem gives

$$
\frac{-W_{nt}}{W_{ct}} = F_{nt}
$$

Replacing the expressions for W_{nt} and W_{ct}

← ロ ▶ → イ 同

$$
\frac{-U_{nt}}{U_{ct}} \cdot \frac{1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma + \lambda (\varphi - 1)}{1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma - \lambda \varphi} = F_{nt}
$$

and hence the optimal labor tax rate solves:

$$
1 - \tau_{lt} = \frac{1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma + \lambda (\varphi - 1)}{1 + \lambda - \lambda \sigma - \lambda \varphi}
$$

for $t \geq 1$. Therefore τ_{lt} is constant.

Following analogous steps when the utility function is as in the second line we also conclude that the tax rate on labor is constant. QED. Consider the budget constraint of a household subject to a net income tax

rates and investment tax credits as follows:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t(i_t + c_t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t[(n_t w_t + v_t k_t) - \tau_t (n_t w_t + v_t k_t - i_t)]
$$

where the law of motion of capital is

$$
\mathcal{K}_{t+1} = i_t + (1-\delta)k_{t+\square + \langle \beta \rangle + \langle \beta \rangle + \langle \beta \rangle} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

Alternative Tax Scheme

Using the law of motion of capital we obtain

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t([k_{t+1}-k_t(1-\delta)][1-\tau_t]+\tau_t)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t[(n_t w_t + v_t k_t)(1-\tau_t)]
$$

The terms with k_{t+1} are

$$
k_{t+1} [p_t (1-\tau_t) - p_{t+1} (1-\tau_{t+1}) ((1-\delta + \nu_{t+1})]
$$

so setting them to zero we obtain

$$
1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{1 - \tau_{t+1}}{1 - \tau_t} (1 - \delta + \upsilon_{t+1})
$$

Thus, if income tax rates are constant, ${\tau}_{t+1} = {\tau}_t$, we obtain

$$
r_{t+1} + \delta = v_{t+1}
$$

which is the same expression that is obtained if net capital income is taxed at the rate $\tau_{t+1} = 0$. A constant income tax rate together with an investment tax credit are equivalent to a constant labor income tax and a zero tax on capital income. Ω

(Sharif University of Technology) [Ramsey Taxation](#page-0-0) Channel January 2020 23 / 23