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Much has happened in finance recently. Years ago, when the body of knowledge was smaller, the fundamental principles could be covered in a one-term lecture course and then reinforced in a subsequent case course. This approach is no longer feasible. There is simply too much material to cover in one lecture course.

As the body of knowledge expanded, we and other instructors experienced increasing difficulties. Eventually, we reached these conclusions: (1) The introductory course should be designed for all business students, not just for finance majors, and it should provide a broad overview of finance. Therefore, a text designed for the first course should cover key concepts but avoid confusing students by going beyond basic principles. (2) Finance majors need a second course that provides not only greater depth on the core issues of valuation, capital budgeting, capital structure, cost of capital, and working capital management but also covers such special topics as mergers, multinational finance, leasing, risk management, and bankruptcy. (3) This second course should also utilize cases that show how finance theory is used in practice to help make better financial decisions.

When we began teaching under the two-course structure, we tried two types of existing books, but neither worked well. First, there were books that emphasized theory, but they were unsatisfactory because students had difficulty seeing the usefulness of the theory and consequently were not motivated to learn it. Moreover, these books were of limited value in helping students deal with cases. Second, there were books designed primarily for the introductory MBA course that contained the required material, but they also contained too much introductory material. We eventually concluded that a new text was needed, one designed specifically for the second financial management course, and that led to the creation of Intermediate Financial Management, or IFM for short.

THE NEXT LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

In your introductory finance course you learned a number of terms and concepts. However, an intro course cannot make you “operational” in the sense of actually “doing” financial management. For one thing, introductory courses necessarily focus on individual chapters and even sections of chapters, and first-course exams generally consist of relatively simple problems plus short-answer questions. As a result, it is hard to get a good sense of how the various parts of financial management interact with one another. Second, there is not enough time in the intro course to allow students to set up and work out realistic problems, nor is there time to delve into actual cases that illustrate how finance theory is applied in practice.
Now it is time to move on. In *Intermediate Financial Management*, we first review materials that were covered in the introductory course, then take up new material. The review is absolutely essential, because no one can remember everything that was covered in the first course, yet all of the introductory material is essential for a good understanding of the more advanced material. Accordingly, we revisit topics such as the net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) methods, but now we delve into them more deeply, considering how to streamline and automate the calculations, how to obtain the necessary data, and how errors in the data might affect the outcome. We also relate the topics covered in different chapters to one another, showing, for example, how cost of capital, capital structure, dividend policy, and capital budgeting combine forces to affect the firm’s value.

Also, because spreadsheets such as *Excel*, not financial calculators, are used for most real-world calculations, students need to be proficient with spreadsheets so that they will be more marketable after graduation. Therefore, we explain how to do various types of financial analysis with *Excel*. Working with *Excel* actually has two important benefits: (1) A knowledge of *Excel* is important in the workplace and the job market, and (2) setting up spreadsheet models and analyzing the results also provide useful insights into the implications of financial decisions.

**CORPORATE VALUATION AS A UNIFYING THEME**

Management’s goal is to maximize firm value. Job candidates who understand the theoretical underpinning for value maximization and have the practical skills to analyze business decisions within this context make better, more valuable employees. Our goal is to provide you with both this theoretical underpinning and a practical skill-set. To this end we have developed several integrating features that will help you to keep the big picture of value-maximization in mind while you are honing your analytical skills. First, every chapter starts off with a series of integrating *Beginning-of-Chapter Questions* that will help you to place the material in the broader context of financial management. Second, each chapter has a valuation graphic and description that show exactly how the material relates to corporate valuation. Third, each chapter has a *Mini Case* that provides a business context for the material. Fourth, each chapter has an *Excel* spreadsheet *Tool Kit* that steps through all of the calculations in the chapter. Fifth, each chapter has a spreadsheet *Build-a-Model* that steps you through constructing an *Excel* model to work problems. We've designed these features and tools so that you’ll finish your course with the skills to analyze business decisions and the understanding of how these decisions impact corporate value.

**BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS**

We start each chapter with several “Beginning-of-Chapter” (BOC) questions. You will be able to answer some of the questions before you even read the chapter, and you will be able to give better answers after you have read it. Other questions are harder, and you won’t feel truly comfortable answering them until after they have been discussed in class. We considered putting the questions at the ends of the chapters, but we concluded that they would best serve our purposes if placed at the beginning. Here is a summary of our thinking as we wrote the questions:
1. The questions indicate to you the key issues covered in the chapter and the things you should know when you complete the chapter.

2. Some of the questions were designed to help you remember terms and concepts that were covered in the introductory course. Others indicate where we will be going beyond the intro course.

3. You need to be able to relate different parts of financial management to one another, so some of the BOC questions were designed to get you to think about how the various chapters are related to one another. These questions tend to be harder, and they can be answered more completely after a classroom discussion.

4. You also need to think about how financial concepts are applied in the real world, so some of the BOC questions focus on the application of theories to the decision process. Again, complete answers to these questions require a good bit of thought and discussion.

5. Some of the BOC questions are designed to help you see how Excel can be used to make better financial decisions. These questions have accompanying models that provide tutorials on Excel functions and commands. The completed models are available on the ThomsonNOW Web site at http://now.swlearning.com/brigham. Going through them will help you learn how to use Excel as well as give you valuable insights into the financial issues covered in the chapter. We have also provided an “Excel Tool Locator,” which is an index of all of the Excel skills that the BOC models go over. This index is in the Excel file, Excel Locations.xls. Because recruiters like students who are good with Excel, this will also help you as you look for a good job. It will also help you succeed once you are in the workplace.

We personally have used the BOC questions in several different ways:

1. In some classes we simply told students to use the BOC questions or not, as they wished. Some students did study them and retrieve the Excel models from the net, but many just ignored them.

2. We have also assigned selected BOC questions and then used them, along with the related Excel models, as the basis for some of our lectures.

3. Most recently, we literally built our course around the BOC questions.1 Here we informed students on day one that we would start each class by calling on them randomly and grading them on their answers.2 We also informed them that our exams would be taken verbatim from the BOC questions. They complained a bit about the quizzes, but the students’ course evaluations stated that the quizzes should be continued because without them they would have come to class less well prepared and hence would have learned much less than they did.

4. The best way to prepare for the course as we taught it was by first reading the questions, then reading the chapter, and then writing out notes outlining answers to the questions in preparation for the oral quiz. We expected students to give complete answers to “easy” questions, but we gave them good grades if they could say enough about the harder questions to demonstrate that they had thought about how to answer them. We would then discuss the

---

1Actually, we broke our course into two segments, one where we covered selected text chapters and another where we covered cases that were related to and illustrated the text chapters. For the case portion of the course, students made presentations and discussed the cases. All of the cases required them to use Excel.

2Most of our students were graduating seniors who were interviewing for jobs. We excused them from class (and the quizzes) if they informed us by e-mail before class that they were interviewing.
harder questions in lieu of a straight lecture, going into the related Excel models both to explain Excel features and to provide insights into different issues.

5. Our midterm and final exams consisted of five of the harder BOC questions, of which three had to be answered in two hours in an essay format. It took a much more complete answer to earn a good grade than would have been required on the oral quizzes. We also allowed students to use a four-page “cheat sheet” on the exams. That reduced time spent trying to memorize things as opposed to understanding them. Also, students told us that making up the cheat sheets was a great way to study.

As we said, our students initially complained about our procedure because of the daily quizzes and the essay exams, but in the end they uniformly recommended that we continue the procedure. They recognized that it made them prepare for class, they liked the discussion orientation of the course, and they appreciated the Excel coverage, especially as interviewers were reinforcing our statements that it would help them get better jobs. Our course evaluations also indicated that while being forced to answer questions in class frightened some students at first, they ended up appreciating the opportunity to overcome those fears. Our students also liked the fact that they knew exactly what was expected of them. They didn’t like the essay exams, but they did appreciate that life in the real world requires communication, not just babbling out answers to little problems. Finally, we liked the procedure ourselves because it helped us cover all the important points yet was relatively easy for us to prepare for class and to make up exams.

The procedure we used would not work in all situations, but it certainly worked well for us, and other instructors might want to consider it. Note, though, that a classroom computer with a system that projects the computer screen is required if an instructor wants to cover the Excel models in class, and those models were integral to our discussion/lectures.

OTHER WAYS THE BOOK CAN BE USED

The second corporate finance course can be taught in a variety of other ways, depending on a school’s curriculum structure and the instructor’s personal preferences. Just lately we have been focusing on the BOC questions and discussions, but we have used alternative formats, and all can work out very nicely. Therefore, we designed the book so that it can be flexible.

1. **Mini Cases as a framework for lectures.** We originally wrote the Mini Cases specifically for use in class. We had students read the chapter and the Mini Case, then we systematically went through it in class to “explain” the chapter. (See the section titled “The Instructional Package” later in this Preface for a discussion of lecture aids available from Thomson/South-Western.) Here we

---

We did require that students make up their own "cheat sheets," and we required them to turn their sheets in with their exams so we could check for independence.

Some of our students who were not used to essay exams would come in after the exam and ask why they received a low grade. It’s often hard to explain, because grading such exams is necessarily subjective. What we did was make copies of the two or three best answers to each question, and then when a student came in to inquire (complain) about our grading, we made them first read the good answers and compare those with their own answers. That invariably let the weak performers understand why their grade was low, and it gave them an idea of what they needed to do to improve.
use a *PowerPoint* slide show, which is provided on the Instructor’s Resource CD, and can be made available to students on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Students bring a printout of the slides to class, which makes it easier to take good notes. Generally, it takes us about two hours to frame the issues with the opening questions and then go through a Mini Case, so we allocate that much time. We want to facilitate questions and class discussion, and the Mini Case format stimulates both.

The Mini Cases themselves provide case content, so it is not as necessary to use regular cases as it would be if we used lectures based entirely on text chapters. Still, we like to use a number of the free-standing cases that are available from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online case library, at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com), and we have teams of students present their findings in class. The presenters play the role of consultants teaching newly hired corporate staff members (the rest of the class) how to analyze a particular problem, and we as instructors play the role of “chief consultant”—normally silent but available to answer questions if the student “consultants” don’t know the answers (which is rare). We use this format because it is more realistic to have students think about how to analyze problems than to focus on the final decision, which is really the job of corporate executives with far more experience than undergraduate students. To ensure that nonpresenting students actually study the case, we call on them randomly before the presentation begins, we grade them on class participation, and our exams are patterned closely after the material in the cases. Therefore, nonpresenting students have an incentive to study and understand the cases and to participate when the cases are discussed in class. This format has worked well, and we have obtained excellent results with a relatively small amount of preparation time. Indeed, some of our Ph.D. students with no previous teaching experience have taught the course entirely on their own, following our outline and format, and also obtained excellent results.

2. *An emphasis on basic material.* If students have not gained a thorough understanding of the basic concepts from their earlier finance courses, instructors may want to place more emphasis on the basics and thus cover Chapters 2 through 5 in detail rather than merely as a review. We even provide a chapter (Web Chapter 28) on time value of money skills on the ThomsonNOW Web site for students who need an even more complete review. Then, Chapters 6 through 17 can be covered in detail, and any remaining time can be used to cover some of the other chapters. This approach gives students a sound background on the core of financial management, but it does not leave sufficient time to cover a number of interesting and important topics. However, because the book is written in a modular format, if students understand the fundamental core topics they should be able to cover the remaining chapters on their own, if and when the need arises.

3. *A case-based course.* At the other extreme, where students have an exceptionally good background, hence little need to review topics that were covered in the basic finance course, instructors can spend less time on the early chapters and concentrate on advanced topics. When we take this approach, we assign Web Chapter 29 as a quick review and then assign cases that deal with the topics covered in the early chapters. We tell students to review the other relevant chapters on their own to the extent necessary to work the cases, thus freeing up class time for the more advanced material. This approach works best with relatively mature students, including evening students with some business experience.
DESIGN OF THE BOOK

Based on more than 20 years working on *Intermediate Financial Management* and teaching the advanced undergraduate financial management course, we have concluded that the book should include the following features:

1. **Corporate valuation theme.** Students need to be constantly reminded that the material they are covering and the techniques they are learning have a purpose. We remind them in each chapter that the goal of managerial decision making is corporate value maximization, and we show how each chapter’s material ties into this overriding goal.

2. **Completeness.** Because *IFM* is designed for finance majors, it should be self-contained and suitable for reference purposes. Therefore, we specifically and purposely included (a) some material that overlaps with introductory finance texts and (b) more material than can realistically be covered in a single course. We included in Chapters 2 through 5 some fundamental materials borrowed directly from other Thomson/South-Western texts. If an instructor chooses to cover this material, or if an individual student feels a need to cover it on his or her own, it is available. In other chapters, we included relatively brief reviews of first-course topics. This was necessary both to put *IFM* on a stand-alone basis and to help students who have a delay between their introductory and second financial management courses get up to speed before tackling new material. This review is particularly important for working capital management and such “special topics” as mergers, lease analysis, and convertibles—all of which are often either touched on only lightly or skipped in the introductory course. Thus, the variety of topics covered in the text provides adopters with a choice of materials for the second course, and students can use materials that were not covered for reference purposes. We note, though, that instructors must be careful not to bite off more than their students can chew.

3. **Theory and applications.** Financial theory is useful to financial decision makers, both for the insights it provides and for direct application in several important decision areas. However, theory can seem sterile and pointless unless its usefulness is made clear. Therefore, in *IFM* we present theory in a decision-making context, which motivates students by showing them how theory can lead to better decisions. The combination of theory and applications also makes the text more usable as a reference for case courses as well as for real-world decision making.

4. **Computer orientation.** Rapid advances in computer technology are revolutionizing financial management. Powerful microcomputers are affordable to all businesses, and new software makes it easy to do things that were not feasible several years ago. Today, a business that does not use microcomputers in its financial planning is about as competitive as a student who tries to take a finance exam without a financial calculator. Therefore, we provide many examples of how computers can be used in financial management, thus orienting students to the business environment they will face upon graduation. Also, students can understand key financial concepts better after they work through a computer model of the problem.

Because finance majors should be computer literate, especially with regard to spreadsheets, the ThomsonNOW Web site, accessible at [http://now.swlearning.com/brigham](http://now.swlearning.com/brigham), offers four sets of spreadsheet models: (a) models that set up
selected end-of-chapter problems, (b) Tool Kits that explain Excel and do the calculations required for each of the text chapters, (c) Beginning-of-Chapter Question models that also explain Excel features and illustrate the BOC questions, and (4) models that do the calculations required for the Mini Cases.

5. Global perspective. Successful businesses know that the world’s economies are rapidly converging, that business is becoming globalized, and that it is difficult to remain competitive without being a global player. New technological advancements have led to increasingly complex products and services and thus to higher developmental costs. This has forced many companies to merge or to enter into joint agreements that cross national borders so that costs can be shared and sales volumes increased to cover development costs and realize scale economies. Moreover, communications and transportation improvements permit firms to produce goods and services at locations far removed from the country of sale, and global competition has forced firms to move production to low-cost areas. Thus, Toyota, Honda, BMW, and Daimler-Chrysler now produce autos in the United States, and many U.S. software companies provide technical support by e-mail and phone from India and Ireland. Even purely domestic firms cannot escape the influence of the global economy, because international events have a significant effect on domestic interest rates and economic activity. All of this means that today’s finance students—who are tomorrow’s financial executives—must develop a global perspective.

IFM contains an entire chapter on multinational financial management. In addition, to help students “think global,” we provide examples throughout the text that focus on the types of global problems companies face. Of course, we cannot make multinational finance experts out of students in a conventional corporate finance course, but we can help them recognize that insular decision making is insufficient in today’s world.

RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER THOMSON/SOUTH-WESTERN PUBLISHING BOOKS

The relationship between this text and others in the Thomson/South-Western Publishing series deserves special comment. Because Intermediate Financial Management is often used by students who used one of the other Thomson/South-Western texts in their introductory course, we were concerned about two potential problems: (1) There might be excessive overlap in certain areas, and (2) students might not be exposed to alternative points of view on controversial topics. Regarding overlap, both we and reviewers looked for undesirable duplication, and then we removed it. We should note again that some duplication is desirable, for students do need at least some review. Students also like the fact that the style and notation in IFM are generally consistent with that in the Thomson/South-Western introductory texts, which makes learning easier. Regarding alternative points of view, we have made every effort to take a moderate, middle-of-the-road approach, and where serious controversy exists, we have tried to present alternative points of view. Reviewers were asked to consider this point, and their comments helped us avoid biases.
As in every revision, we updated and clarified sections throughout the text. Specifically, we also made the following changes in content:

1. **The big picture: a corporate valuation framework.** We have always emphasized cash flows and valuation, and we extended that emphasis in this edition through the addition of a corporate valuation box early in each chapter. These boxes explicitly show how the chapter’s material is related to corporate valuation, which helps students keep the big picture in mind as they focus on a chapter’s specific topics.

2. **Corporate failures, fraud, and ethics.** The accounting and ethical fiascos of 2001 and 2002 have left a mark on the financial markets. Although the repercussions of these events are still unfolding, we felt compelled to discuss both the specific corporate failures and the more general topic of corporate ethical behavior. We also discuss Congress’s reaction to these fiascos in the form of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. This is covered in Chapter 1 and elsewhere throughout the book.

3. **Financial options.** We found that students needed a separate presentation of financial options before tackling the more difficult topic of real options, so we created a new chapter, Chapter 6, which covers these important securities.

4. **Real options.** We felt the real options chapter, Chapter 14, could use some additional examples in the text, and so added a description of growth options.

5. **Merger valuation and the APV model.** Chapter 26 was revised again this edition to better tie in the adjusted present value (APV) model with the corporate valuation model from Chapter 11. We show how the various models give the same valuation when the underlying assumptions are the same.

6. **Time value of money Web chapter.** Although we don’t cover it in our course, we found that some of our students needed a self-contained, complete treatment of time value of money concepts as a reference and review. We added Web Chapter 28, which is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site, to meet these students’ needs.

7. **Updated PowerPoint presentations and flexible designs.** We have updated the first edition’s PowerPoint slides and put them into a standard design template that comes with PowerPoint. This allows instructors to easily change the design format to any other Microsoft PowerPoint design template that they prefer. To do this, an instructor should follow these steps: (a) Open one of the textbook’s PowerPoint files, (b) select Format, then Slide Design, and (c) pick the preferred design. It may be necessary to reapply a particular slide’s format (such as for some slides with tables); a Read Me file explaining this process in detail is included with the PowerPoint files.

8. **Instructor control over access to Mini Case solutions and PowerPoint shows.** Each chapter has a Mini Case as part of its end-of-chapter materials. Many instructors, including us, use the Mini Cases as a way to structure class lectures. In earlier editions, we provided PowerPoint and Excel solutions for these Mini Cases directly to students (on the Student section of the Web site) so that they could follow along in class. However, some instructors want to assign the Mini Cases as homework. Therefore, we no longer automatically provide the Mini Case solutions to the student on the ThomsonNOW Web site. For those instructors who wish to provide the solutions to students for use as course notes, there are several options: (a) Instructors who include the ThomsonNOW Web site with the textbook can specify that their class have online access
to the Mini Case slides and Excel files. (b) Instructors can post the files to their own password-protected Web sites. (c) Instructors can print the solutions and make them available to students. (d) Instructors can contact the Publisher and order a custom publication containing the solutions, which can be packaged with the textbook.

**THE INSTRUCTIONAL PACKAGE: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH TO LEARNING**

*Intermediate Financial Management* includes a broad range of ancillary materials designed both to enhance students’ learning and to help instructors prepare for and conduct classes. These ancillaries are all included on the ThomsonNOW Web site, found at [http://now.swlearning.com/brigham](http://now.swlearning.com/brigham). Most of them are also included on the Instructor’s Resource CD. The instructor’s resources, such as the instructor’s manual and the solutions to the Excel problems, are restricted to the instructor’s side of the Web site.

1. **Instructor’s Manual.** This comprehensive manual contains answers to all the Beginning-of-Chapter Questions, end-of-chapter questions and problems, and Mini Cases. It is available in print form as well as in Microsoft Word.

2. **PowerPoint slides.** Each chapter has a Mini Case that covers all the essential issues presented in the chapter and can be used to provide structure for lectures. There are PowerPoint slides based on the Mini Case in which graphs, tables, lists, and calculations are developed sequentially, much as one might develop them on a blackboard or in transparencies. However, the slides are more crisp, clear, and colorful, and they use color-coding to tie elements of a given slide together. Copies of these files are on the Instructor’s Resource CD, and can be made available to students on the ThomsonNOW Web site. We find that many students bring copies of the slides, printed three to a page, to class and use the printouts for taking notes. Other students who like to take notes on their laptop computers use the notes feature in PowerPoint to take notes directly on the slides.

3. **Mini Case spreadsheets.** In addition to the PowerPoint slides, we also provide Excel spreadsheets that do all the calculations required in the Mini Cases. These are similar to the Tool Kits for the chapter, except (a) the numbers in the examples correspond to the Mini Case rather than to the chapter per se, and (b) we added some features that make it possible to do what-if analysis on a real-time basis in class. We often begin our lectures with the PowerPoint presentation, but after we have explained a basic concept we “toggle” to the Excel file and show how the case analysis is done in Excel. For example, when covering bond pricing, we might begin with the PowerPoint show and cover the basic facts and calculations. We could then toggle to the Excel spreadsheet and use a graph to show how bond prices change as the interest rate varies. Students could also bring their laptops to class and follow along, doing the what-if analysis themselves.

4. **Web Safaris.** We became frustrated with our own searches on the Internet, so we created and put on the ThomsonNOW Web site a series of links that keep

---

5Note: To toggle between two open programs, such as Excel and PowerPoint, hold the Alt key down and hit the Tab key until you have selected the program you want, and then enter it.
us from having to reinvent Internet wheels. Each Web Safari has a specific goal, such as finding the current spreads between Treasury bonds and risky bonds with different ratings. The Web Safari provides a hyperlink to the appropriate Web site and shows how to navigate to the desired information. As noted earlier, we usually begin our lecture with the PowerPoint presentation, but when we teach in a wired classroom we occasionally “toggle” to a Web Safari and pull up data in real-time.

5. **Beginning-of-chapter spreadsheets.** Many of the integrative questions that appear at the start of each chapter have a spreadsheet model that illustrates the topic. We find it useful to go over the construction of the model in class to illustrate both Excel programming techniques and the financial relationships involved. We also have an index of the Excel techniques covered in the BOC Excel models. This index is in the Excel file, *Excel Locations.xls*, and it provides a quick way to locate examples of Excel programming techniques.

6. **Test Bank.** Although some instructors (and students) dislike multiple-choice questions, they do provide a useful means of testing for knowledge on certain topics. If they are used, it is important that the questions be both unambiguous and consistent with the lectures and assigned readings. To meet this need, we developed a *Test Bank* that contains more than 1,200 class-tested questions and problems. It is available both in print and in *Microsoft Word* on the ThomsonNOW Web site and the Instructor’s Resource CD. A number of new and thoroughly class-tested conceptual questions and problems, which vary in level of difficulty, have been added to the *Test Bank*. Information regarding the topic and degree of difficulty, along with the complete solution for all numerical problems, is provided with each question.

   The *Test Bank* is available in book form, in *Microsoft Word* files, and in the computerized test bank, *ExamView*, which has many features that facilitate test preparation, scoring, and grade recording. For example, *ExamView* can automatically convert multiple-choice questions and problems into free-response questions, and it can alter the sequence of test questions to make different versions of a given test. The software also makes it easy to add to or edit the existing test items, or to compile a test that covers a specific set of topics. Of course, instructors who don’t want to use *ExamView* can instead cut and paste questions from the *Word* files.

7. **End-of-chapter spreadsheet problems.** In addition to the Tool Kits and Beginning-of-Chapter models, most chapters have a “Build a Model” spreadsheet problem. Students start with a spreadsheet that contains financial data plus instructions for solving a particular problem. The model is partially completed, with headings but no formulas, so the student must literally build the model. This structure guides the student through the problem, and it also makes it easier to grade the work, since all students’ answers are in the same locations on the spreadsheet. The partially completed spreadsheets for the “Build a Model” problems are on the student’s side of the ThomsonNOW Web site. The completed versions are on the instructor’s side of the ThomsonNOW Web site and on the Instructor’s Resource CD.

8. **NewsWire: Finance in the News.** A problem inherent in printed textbooks is keeping them current in a constantly changing world. When Enron declares bankruptcy or the Nasdaq crashes, it would be useful to relate these events to the textbook, and the World Wide Web can help us here. Adopters of *Intermediate Financial Management* will have access to a password-protected portion of the ThomsonNOW Web site, where they will be provided with summaries of recent articles in *The Wall Street Journal*, *BusinessWeek*, and other business
publications, along with discussion questions and references to the text. This can help someone incorporate late-breaking news into classroom discussions.

9. **Cyberproblems.** The ThomsonNOW Web site contains Cyberproblems that require students to go to specific Web sites and then answer a series of questions. The problems are updated periodically to keep them current. Answers are available to instructors on the ThomsonNOW Web site.

10. **Instructor’s Resource CD.** This CD-ROM contains all of the information on the student side of the ThomsonNOW Web site plus Word files for the Instructor’s Manual and the Test Bank and Excel files with the solutions to the “Build a Model” problems. This material is also available on the Instructor’s portion of the ThomsonNOW Web site.

11. **Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s Online Case Library.** More than 100 cases written by Eugene F. Brigham, Linda Klein, and Chris Buzzard are now available via the Internet, and new cases are added every year. These cases are in a customized case database that allows instructors to select cases and create their own customized casebooks. Most of the cases have accompanying spreadsheet models that, while not essential for working the case, do reduce number crunching and thus leave more time for students to consider conceptual issues. The models also show students how computers can be used to make better financial decisions. Cases that we have found particularly useful for the different chapters are listed in the end-of-chapter references. The cases, case solutions, and spreadsheet models can be previewed and ordered by professors at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).

12. **Technology Supplement.** This ancillary contains tutorials for several commonly used financial calculators and for Microsoft Excel and PowerPoint. The calculator tutorials cover everything a student needs to know about calculators to work the problems in the text, and we provide the tutorials for selected calculators that can be used in a course pack. The rather large manuals that accompany most calculators intimidate some students, and they find our 12-page, course-specific tutorials far easier to use. The spreadsheet tutorials teach students the basics plus some advanced spreadsheet features, and they prepare students to work with the specific finance models provided in the Tool Kits and BOC question models. Finally, the PowerPoint tutorial is useful to students who must make presentations and to instructors who want to make slides for use in their lectures.

13. **Study Guide.** This supplement outlines the key sections of each chapter, and it provides students with a set of questions and problems similar to those in the text and in the Test Bank, along with worked-out solutions. Instructors seldom use the Study Guide themselves, but students find it useful, so we recommend that instructors ask their bookstores to have copies available. This supplement is not available online on the ThomsonNOW Web site.

14. **Web chapters and Web extensions.** A textbook can only be so big, and as we add new material from edition to edition, we must necessarily remove some of the existing material to make room. And sometimes, as in the case of the chapter on real options, there are more interesting examples we’d like to present than there is space in the text. To accommodate these situations, we have placed material on the ThomsonNOW Web site in what we call Web chapters and Web extensions. Web chapters provide a chapter-length discussion of a topic that is, while important to some instructors and for some courses, not of sufficient general interest to warrant inclusion in the printed version of the text. Web extensions provide additional discussion or examples pertaining to material that is in the text.
Thomson/South-Western will provide complimentary supplements or supplement packages to those adopters qualified under Thomson’s adoption policy. Please contact your sales representative to learn how you may qualify. If, as an adopter or potential user, you receive supplements you do not need, please return them to your sales representative.
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Fundamental Concepts
This book is designed to explain what “financial management” is all about, and to show how it can be used to help increase the value of a firm. It is intended for use in a second-level finance course, following the introductory course. Only the basic course is prerequisite, so if students have taken other finance courses, especially on investments or capital markets, they will find some of the material a review.

The book is often used in a “capstone” course taken during the last term before graduation. This is an exhilarating time for students, with graduation looming and a job search under way. It is also a good time to step back from the technical skills developed in the classroom and to look at the big picture of why financial management is so important. Spending the time now to develop a good overview of financial management can be tremendously valuable to your career. Why is financial management so valuable? In a nutshell, because it explains both how managers can increase their firms’ value and why it is essential for them to do so.

Today’s business environment is more complicated than ever. Investors are forcing managers to focus on value maximization, but the recent scandals at Enron, WorldCom, Tyco, and a host of other companies have shown that ethical behavior and managerial accountability are crucial prerequisites. Mastering the technical details of financial management and understanding its role within the firm is important to graduating students because companies want to hire people who can make decisions with the broad corporate goal of value maximization in mind. Therefore, students who understand the principles of value maximization have a major
advantage in the job market over students who do not. Demonstrating that you understand all this can make a big difference in both the quality of your initial job and your subsequent career path.

ABOUT USING THE TEXT

In your introductory finance course you learned a number of terms and concepts, and you now have an idea of what financial management is all about. However, you probably focused on individual chapters, or sections of chapters, and you probably prepared for exams that consisted of relatively simple problems and short-answer questions, often given in a multiple-choice format. That was a necessary part of the learning process, but now it is time to move on.

In Intermediate Financial Management, we go back over much of what you covered in the introductory course, plus introduce new material. However, our focus is different. Now we want you to learn how to apply the concepts, how to obtain the data necessary to implement the various decision models, and how to relate the various parts of finance to one another. So, while we revisit topics such as the net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) methods, we delve into them more deeply, considering how to streamline and automate the calculations, how to obtain the necessary data, and how errors in the data affect the outcome. We also spend more time relating the topics covered in different chapters to one another. For example, you probably did not spend much time considering how the cost of capital, capital structure, dividend policy, and capital budgeting are related to one another, but we now discuss these critically important relationships.

Also, since spreadsheets such as Excel, not financial calculators, are used to analyze actual business decisions, you need to be proficient with spreadsheets to get many good jobs, and certainly to succeed in those jobs. Therefore, we explain how to do the most common types of financial analyses using Excel. This focus has two benefits—knowledge of Excel is useful per se, and setting up and analyzing the output from spreadsheet models will also teach you a lot about financial concepts.

To help sharpen your focus, we start each chapter with several Beginning-of-Chapter Questions. Some of these questions are designed to help you see how the chapter ties in with other chapters, while others will help you think about how the concepts are applied in the real world. You probably won’t be able to answer all of the questions when you start working through the chapter, but that’s fine! The questions aren’t a pre-test. Their purpose is to help guide you through the material, and having them in mind when you read the chapter will help you understand the material in a more integrative and relevant way.

Most of the chapters have two spreadsheet models, which are available through ThomsonNOW. The first is a “Tool Kit,” which contains the Excel models used to generate most of the tables and examples in the chapter. The second is a model that deals with specific Beginning-of-Chapter Questions. Both models contain notes and comments that explain the Excel procedures we used, so they can be used as a tutorial for learning more about both Excel and finance. Since recruiters prefer students who are good with Excel, learning more about it will help you get a better job and then succeed in it.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. For example, your answer to Question 2 would probably be better if it were illustrated with numbers. We have done this, using Excel; our model is available through ThomsonNOW. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise.

1. What is presumed to be the primary goal of financial management? How is this goal related to other societal goals and considerations? Is this goal consistent with the basic assumptions of microeconomics? Are managers’ actions always consistent with this goal?
2. Finance is all about valuation—how to estimate asset values and what to do to increase them. We develop and use Excel models throughout the book. We start this process in this chapter with simple models used to value bonds, stocks, and capital budgeting projects. Working through the model will give you a refresher in valuation plus a refresher on (or preview of) Excel. The model can be accessed on the ThomsonNOW Web site. under the Beginning-of-Chapter Models section, and its filename is ch01boc-model. If you have never used Excel at all, then you should not attempt to use it to help answer this question, or if you do, you should not get frustrated if you have trouble with it.
   a. Explain how to find the value of a bond given the rate of interest it pays (its coupon rate), its par value (assume $1,000), and the going rate of interest on bonds with the same risk and maturity.
   b. Explain how to find the value of a stock given its last dividend, its expected growth rate, and its required rate of return.
   c. Explain how to find the value of a capital budgeting project given its cost, its expected annual net cash flows, its life, and its cost of capital.
   d. In each of the above cases, discuss how changes in the inputs would affect the output. Would it matter if the outputs were highly sensitive to changes in the inputs?
3. What is an agency conflict? What are some common agency conflicts that occur between stockholders and managers? Between stockholders and creditors? Could agency problems exist for government workers, including elected officials?
4. How do agency conflicts affect the value of the firm? What can be done to mitigate the effects of potential conflicts?
5. What is Sarbanes-Oxley? Which of the problems in questions 3 and 4 was it created to address?
6. What are the various components of an interest rate? How will changes in these components affect asset prices?

THE BASIC GOAL: CREATING STOCKHOLDER VALUE

A fundamental assumption underlies the theory of financial management: Management has one basic, overriding goal—to create value for stockholders. Stockholders own the firm—it legally belongs to them. That ownership position gives stockholders the right to elect the directors, who then hire the executives who actually run the company. The directors, as representatives of the stockholders, determine managers’ compensation, presumably rewarding them if performance is superior or replacing them if performance is
poor. Of course, there are some constraints on what management can do when working to create value for stockholders. Management can’t engage in illegal employment practices, create monopolies to exploit consumers, violate anti-pollution laws, or engage in a host of other prohibited activities. So management’s explicit goal, then, should be to maximize value for shareholders, subject to government-imposed constraints.

For most companies and at most times, managers do focus on shareholder value maximization, because in the long run stockholders do remove directors and managers who fail in their fiduciary duty. At times, though, the system can undergo a temporary breakdown. For example, in the 1950s stockholders were relatively passive—they simply “voted with their feet”; that is, they sold their stock if they thought a particular firm’s management was not doing a good job. This passive behavior tended to leave poor managers in place. More recently, in the late 1990s and early 2000s, a combination of lax auditing, inadequate oversight by governmental regulators, and neglect by directors led many corporate executives to be more interested in maximizing their own wealth than that of stockholders in general.

However, the recent abuses have set off a chain of events that appears to be refocusing managers’ attention on the interests of stockholders. First, stock ownership has become increasingly concentrated in the hands of institutional investors, and their holdings are so large they would depress a stock’s price if they simply dumped it. Therefore, institutional investors are now using proxy fights and takeovers to force changes in poorly performing companies. Forced managerial changes have recently occurred at General Motors, AT&T, American Express, IBM, and scores of other companies. Furthermore, the threat of forced managerial changes has motivated operational changes in many other firms. Second, as discussed in some detail later in the chapter, regulatory and accounting reforms, along with vigorous prosecutions of managers who break the law to feather their own nests, have increased the penalties for executives who violate their responsibility to shareholders. There’s nothing like the image of a CEO and CFO being hauled off to prison to focus an executive’s attention back on the job of shareholder wealth maximization!

Societal Considerations

Most business students, by the time they reach the second finance course, have memorized and accepted this rule: “Stock price maximization should be the primary goal of corporate managers.” However, people with limited experience in business and economics often argue that stock price maximization is “bad” and that it results in shortsighted decisions that are bad for employees, consumers, and ultimately society. They argue that firms should pursue nobler goals, such as the maximization of social well-being. These lofty goals sound good, but in practice they simply don’t work. Certainly stock price maximization must be constrained by state and federal laws, but subject to those constraints, actions to maximize shareholder wealth will be focused on the long term. This is because stock price is based primarily on expected cash flows projected out into the distant future, and these expectations are based on the information that is available in the market at that time. Hence, good managers focus on long-term, not short-term results, and they release accurate information so the market can accurately value the shares.

Business students need to understand and be able to explain to workers and voters why the goal of stock price maximization is indeed the proper foundation for our economic system, and why the same actions that maximize stock prices
also benefit society. The economic logic behind this goal is spelled out in the following points.

1. **Benefits to consumers.** Stock price maximization requires that corporations be efficient, that is, be able to produce high-quality goods and services at the lowest possible cost. This means that companies must develop products and services that consumers want and need, which leads to new and improved products. Also, for companies to maximize their stock prices, they must generate growth in sales by creating value for customers in the form of efficient and courteous service, adequate stocks of merchandise, and well-located business establishments.

   People sometimes argue that firms, in their efforts to raise profits and stock prices, increase product prices and gouge the public. However, in a reasonably competitive economy, prices are constrained by competition and consumer resistance. If a firm raises its prices beyond reasonable levels, it will simply lose market share. Even a giant firm such as General Motors will lose business to Japanese and German firms, as well as to Ford, if it sets prices above the level necessary to cover production costs plus a “normal” profit. Of course, firms want to earn more, and they constantly try to cut costs, develop new products, and so on, and thereby earn above-normal profits. Note, though, that if they are indeed successful and do earn above-normal profits, those very profits will attract competition, which will eventually drive prices down and thus benefit consumers.

2. **Benefits to employees.** There are cases in which a company’s stock increases when it announces plans to lay off employees, but companies that successfully increase stock prices generally also grow and add more employees. Note too that many governments across the world, including U.S. federal, state, and local governments, are privatizing some government-owned activities by selling these operations to investors. Not surprisingly, the sales and cash flows of recently privatized companies generally improve. Moreover, studies show that these newly privatized companies tend to grow and thus require more employees when they are managed with the goal of stock price maximization.

   Each year *Fortune* magazine conducts a survey of managers, analysts, and other knowledgeable people to determine the most admired companies. One of *Fortune*'s key criteria is a company’s ability to attract, develop, and retain talented people. The results consistently show that admiration for a company is highly correlated with both its ability to satisfy employees and its creation of value for shareholders. Firms that are consistently successful in creating value do so in part by treating their employees well, and employees find it both fun and financially rewarding to work for such companies. As a result, successful companies get the cream of the employee crop, and skilled, motivated employees are the key to corporate success.

3. **Other benefits.** Stockholders obviously benefit if the prices of their stocks increase—it is better to be wealthier than poorer, and today most U.S. citizens are stockholders, either directly or indirectly through retirement plans. Indeed, 45 percent of U.S. adults own stocks directly, and 80 percent own stocks through retirement programs. Also, note that strong stock prices stimulate the economy in two ways: (a) There is increased individual spending because of the “wealth effect,” and (b) corporate investment increases because high stock prices make it more feasible to raise equity capital.

We see, then, that when managers take actions to maximize stock prices, these same actions improve the quality of life for millions of ordinary citizens.
Managerial Actions to Maximize Shareholder Wealth

What types of actions can managers take to maximize shareholder wealth? To answer this question, we first need to ask, “What determines a firm’s value?” In a nutshell, it is a company’s ability to generate cash flows now and in the future. We address different aspects of this in detail throughout the book, but we can lay out three basic facts now: (1) Any financial asset, including a company’s stock, is valuable only to the extent that it generates cash flows; (2) the timing of cash flows matters—cash received sooner is better; and (3) investors are averse to risk, so all else equal, they will pay more for a stock whose cash flows are relatively certain than for one whose cash flows are more risky. Because of these three facts, managers can enhance their firms’ value by increasing the size of the expected cash flows, by speeding up their receipt, and by reducing their risk.

The cash flows that matter are called free cash flows (FCFs), not because they are costless, but because they are free in the sense that they are available for distribution to all of the company’s investors, including creditors and stockholders. As shown in Figure 1-1, the three primary determinants of free cash flows are (1) sales revenues, (2) operating costs and taxes, and (3) required new investments in operations.

Sales revenues depend on the current level of unit sales, the price per unit, and expected future growth rates. Managers can increase unit sales, hence cash flows, by truly understanding their customers and then providing the goods and services that customers want. Some companies may luck into a situation that creates rapid sales growth, but the unfortunate reality is that market saturation and competition, in the long term, will cause the unit sales growth rate to decline to a level that is limited by population growth. Companies may try to increase prices, but in a competitive economy such as ours, higher prices can be charged only for products that meet the needs of customers better than competitors’ products. Therefore,
managers must constantly strive to create new products, services, and brand identities that cannot be easily replicated by competitors, and thus extend the period of high growth and high prices for as long as possible.

The second determinant of cash flows is the amount of operating costs and taxes, which when subtracted from sales determines the amount of after-tax profit that is available to investors after the company pays its employees and suppliers. One way to increase operating profit is to reduce direct expenses such as labor and materials. However, and paradoxically, sometimes companies can create even higher profits by spending more on labor and materials. For example, choosing the lowest-cost supplier might result in using poor materials that lead to costly production problems. Therefore, managers should understand supply chain management, which often means developing long-term relationships with suppliers. Similarly, increased employee training adds to costs, but it often pays off through increased productivity and lower turnover. Therefore, the human resources staff can have a huge effect on operating profits.

The third factor affecting cash flows is the amount of money a company must invest each year in its operations (including assets such as factories, equipment, computer systems, and inventory). In short, it takes cash to create cash. But each dollar tied up in operations is a dollar that is unavailable for distribution to investors. Therefore, reducing asset requirements increases cash flows, which increases the stock price. For example, companies that successfully implement just-in-time inventory systems generally increase their cash flows because they have less cash tied up in inventory.

As these examples indicate, there are many ways to improve free cash flows. All of them require the active participation of many departments—including marketing, engineering, and logistics. One of the financial manager’s roles is to show others how their actions will affect the company’s ability to generate cash flow.

Financial managers also must decide how to finance the firm. In particular, what mix of debt and equity should be used, and what specific types of debt and equity securities should be issued? Also, what percentage of current earnings should be retained and reinvested rather than paid out as dividends? Along with these financing decisions, the general level of interest rates in the economy, the risk of the firm’s operations, and stock market investors’ overall attitude toward risk determine the rate of return that is required to satisfy the firm’s investors. This is a return from the investors’ perspective, but it is a cost from the company’s point of view, and it is called the weighted average cost of capital (WACC).

Figure 1-1 summarizes these points. A firm generates sales, pays its costs and taxes, and makes the necessary investments in assets to support its growth. The result is free cash flow, which is available for distribution to all investors. The firm’s capital structure and the risk of its operations determine the risk of the free cash flows to the investors. This risk is combined with the level of interest rates in the economy and investors’ overall attitude toward risk, resulting in the rate of return that investors require, which is the weighted average cost of capital to the company. Finally, the stream of annual expected future free cash flows, combined with the cost of capital, determines the value of the company.

**Market Value versus Intrinsic Value**

As illustrated in Figure 1-1, stock prices are based on free cash flows expected in future years. A stock valuation based on expected free cash flows is called the intrinsic value. However, not everyone agrees on what the future free cash flows will be, so different investors will calculate different values. An individual investor
or a mutual fund manager might base her expectations on research she has done on the company and its industry. A mergers and acquisitions specialist will base cash flows on how the company is expected to perform if it is merged or acquired. Moreover, management probably knows more about its own company’s future performance; hence its calculated value would probably be more accurate than the value calculated by an individual investor or a mutual fund manager. Therefore, each investor calculates a potentially different intrinsic value for the stock, based on the information he or she has available. The stock’s market price, which is the value quoted in the market, is based on the aggregate market’s expectation of cash flows, and it is set by the marginal investor.\(^1\) Thus, the market price is equal to the marginal investor’s intrinsic value.

Although intrinsic values and the market price are based on long-term cash flows, they can be subject to wide short-term fluctuation as new and important information becomes available. Moreover, the market value can be manipulated over the short term if management releases false or misleading information. Over time, however, the stock’s market price will tend toward its true intrinsic value, which is the intrinsic value an analyst would calculate given complete and accurate information about the company’s future cash flows and risk. We will also refer to this true intrinsic value as its fundamental value, or fundamental price. If the market price is equal to this true intrinsic value, then the stock is said to be in equilibrium.

**Short-Term Price versus Long-Term Price**

Stock price maximization requires management to focus on maximizing free cash flows over the long term. However, some managers may not be completely interested in maximizing free cash flows, or their focus might be on some specific date rather than on “the long term.” We’ll discuss agency theory in the next section, but for now just note that if management maximizes free cash flow (which is the cash flow available to investors) then this may mean giving up such perks as expensive country club memberships, excessive executive pay, sweetheart loans, corporate condominiums in ski resorts, corporate jets, or plush makeovers for the CEO’s office suite. Unfortunately, some managers seem to make decisions that make them richer or more powerful but reduce free cash flow. To combat this tendency and help make managers focus on stock price, corporate boards began awarding managers executive stock options\(^2\) in the 1990s. These options are worth more when exercised if the stock price is high, and so the theory was (and still is) that executives will work harder to improve the stock price if they own options. Then their actions will benefit shareholders as well as themselves.

The reality of the situation was a bit different from the theory. Since executives primarily cared about the stock price on the day they exercised their options and then sold their stock, many took on projects that looked good in the short run but not in the long run, and they avoided projects that penalized short-term profits even though they were good in the long run. Even worse, some executives deliberately overstated profits and hid bad investments in their financial statements.

---

\(^1\)Investors at the margin are the ones who actually set stock prices. Some investors think a stock at its current price is a good investment and will place orders to buy it. Other investors think the stock is overpriced and will place sell orders if they have shares (or even short sell if they don’t have shares and feel strongly about it). Still other investors think the current stock price is about where it should be, and just maintain their holdings unless something changes. These are the marginal investors, and it is their buying and selling that determine the current stock price.

\(^2\)We discuss stock options in Chapter 6.
Since the investing public didn’t know about this fraudulent behavior, those actions temporarily inflated the stock price, but after the executives exercised their stock options and sold the shares, the remaining stockholders were left holding the bag when the company’s true condition was revealed. Enron, WorldCom, Fannie Mae, Tyco, and a host of other companies engaged in shenanigans like this, and the revelations of widespread accounting fraud shook investor confidence.

A firm’s market value, then, is the present value of its expected cash flows as in Figure 1-1. However, what people think about a company’s future depends on the kind and quality of the information that is available to them. If management has deliberately obscured or falsified financial statements, then the information impounded in the stock price will be inaccurate, and so the market price will not be consistent with the stock’s true intrinsic value. Also, management frequently has information it does not publicly share, either because it would reveal proprietary secrets to competitors or because it is simply too cumbersome and time consuming to have a press conference about every little decision. In such cases, the market does not have all of the important information immediately, and the price will reflect it only over time, as it is revealed. This suggests that there are really two prices to be considered, the current market price and the fundamental value, which is the value the stock would have if all accurate, pertinent information were available to the market. If all relevant information is readily available, then the two prices will be similar, or even identical. If not, then it is management’s job to maximize the fundamental value, and also to work to reveal accurate information so that the market price does not deviate too far from the fundamental value.

**Self-Test Questions**

How do stockholders exercise their ownership rights in running a firm?
What are the benefits to consumers of stock price maximization?
What are the benefits to employees of stock price maximization?
What is the “wealth effect”?
What are some of the actions management can take to increase shareholder wealth?
What’s the difference between a stock’s market price, intrinsic value, and fundamental value?
Why might a manager have a short-term focus rather than a long-term focus?

**AGENCY RELATIONSHIPS**

Managers are empowered by the owners of the firm—the shareholders—to make decisions. However, managers may have personal goals that compete with shareholder wealth maximization, and these potential conflicts are addressed by *agency theory*.

An *agency relationship* arises whenever someone, called a *principal*, hires someone else, called an *agent*, to perform some service, and the principal delegates decision-making authority to the agent. In financial management, the primary agency relationships are (1) between stockholders and managers and (2) between stockholders and debtholders.3

**Agency Conflict I: Stockholders versus Managers**

A potential *agency problem* arises whenever a manager owns less than 100 percent of the firm’s common stock. If the firm is a proprietorship managed by its

---

3There is also a three-way agency conflict between stockholders, managers, and creditors when firms go into bankruptcy. This point is addressed in Chapter 25.
owner, the owner/manager will presumably operate so as to maximize his or her own welfare, with welfare measured in terms of increased personal wealth, more leisure, or more perquisites. However, if the owner/manager incorporates the business and then sells some of the stock to outsiders, a potential conflict of interest immediately arises. Now the part-owner/manager may decide to work less strenuously, because less of the wealth produced by this labor will accrue to him or her. Similarly, the part-owner/manager may take more perquisites, because some of his or her costs will be borne by the outside shareholders. Finally, the part-owner/manager will have an economic incentive to raise his or her salary, bonus, and stock option grants as high as possible, because most of the costs of such payments will be borne by outside stockholders.

In most public corporations, agency conflicts are important, because their managers generally own only a small percentage of the stock. Therefore, shareholder wealth maximization could take a backseat to managers’ personal goals. For example, the extreme levels of executive compensation paid by many firms in the last few years are hard to justify on economic grounds. Also, studies suggest that some managers try to maximize the size of their firms. By creating a larger firm, managers (1) increase their job security, because a hostile takeover is less likely; (2) increase their personal power and status; and (3) since compensation is positively correlated with size, justify a higher salary and bonuses. As we will see in Chapter 26, some size-increasing mergers seem to have been motivated more by such personal factors than by economic benefits to stockholders.

Managers can be encouraged to act in the stockholders’ best interests through a set of incentives, constraints, and punishments. However, to reduce agency conflicts, stockholders must incur agency costs, which include all costs borne by shareholders to encourage managers to maximize the firm’s long-term stock price rather than act in their own self-interests. Because managers can manipulate the information that is available in the market, it is critical that good incentive compensation plans be based on stock prices over the long term rather than the short term.

There are three major categories of agency costs: (1) expenditures to monitor managerial actions, such as auditing costs; (2) expenditures to structure organizations in ways that will limit undesirable managerial behavior, such as appointing outside investors to the board of directors; and (3) opportunity costs that are incurred when shareholder-imposed restrictions, such as requirements for stockholder

---

4 Perquisites are executive fringe benefits such as luxurious offices, executive assistants, expense accounts, limousines, corporate jets, generous retirement plans, and the like.

5 See J. R. Wildsmith, Managerial Theories of the Firm (New York: Dunellen, 1974).

6 The Efficient Markets Hypothesis asserts that the observed market price equals the fundamental stock price, where the fundamental price reflects all available information. There are three forms of this theory, depending on the amount of information that is available. The weak form of the theory states that stock prices are always in equilibrium in the sense that one cannot predict future stock prices based on past stock movements. The semistrong form states that all publicly available information is reflected in stock prices, so one cannot earn above-normal returns by analyzing financial statements. However, the semistrong form does assume that company insiders can have information that is not available to the public and that insiders thus have a better idea about fundamental values than outside investors. The strong form of the theory states that even company insiders, who have information that is not available to the public, cannot profit from this information. The weak form has strong empirical support, and the semistrong form also seems to hold true for larger, widely followed companies. However, the strong form is not true—managers often have a better idea about the true value of the stock than outside investors. Our statements in the book are consistent with the semistrong form of the theory. Also, we would note that Enron and its auditor, Arthur Andersen, seemed to have conspired to make Enron’s statements as “opaque” as possible, so that even a careful analyst would not be able to figure out the true state of affairs. Enron was a particularly flagrant offender, but dozens of other companies are reported to have taken actions that were designed to overstate their financial condition and thus deceive investors.
votes on certain issues, limit the ability of managers to take timely actions that would enhance shareholder wealth.

If shareholders make no effort to affect managerial behavior, and hence incur zero agency costs, there will almost certainly be some loss of shareholder wealth due to managerial self-dealing. Conversely, agency costs would be unbearably high if shareholders attempted to ensure that every managerial action coincided exactly with shareholder interests. There are two extreme positions regarding how to deal with shareholder-manager agency conflicts. At one extreme, if a firm’s managers are compensated solely on the basis of long-term stock prices, agency costs would be low because managers would have a great deal of incentive to maximize shareholder wealth. However, it would be difficult if not impossible to hire competent managers under these terms, because the firm’s earnings stream and stock price, and hence managers’ compensation, would be affected by economic events that were not under their control. Also, it would take a long time for some really excellent managerial actions to be reflected in the market price, and managers would need income in the interim. At the other extreme, stockholders could monitor every managerial action, but this would be costly and inefficient. The optimal solution lies somewhere in the middle, where executive compensation is tied to performance but some monitoring is also done. Some specific mechanisms used to motivate managers to act in shareholders’ best interests include (1) managerial compensation plans, (2) direct intervention by shareholders, (3) the threat of firing, and (4) the threat of takeovers.

1. **Managerial compensation plans.** Managers obviously must be compensated, and the structure of the compensation package can and should be designed to meet two primary objectives: (a) to attract and retain able managers and (b) to align managers’ actions as closely as possible with the interests of stockholders, who are primarily interested in stock price maximization. Different companies follow different compensation practices, but a typical senior executive’s compensation is structured in three parts: (a) a specified annual salary, which is necessary to meet living expenses; (b) a cash or stock bonus paid at the end of the year, which depends on the company’s profitability during the year; and (c) options to buy stock, or actual shares of stock, which reward the executive for long-term performance.

Managers are more likely to focus on maximizing stock prices if they are themselves large shareholders. Therefore, most large corporations provide executive stock options, which allow managers to purchase stock at some future time at a predetermined price. Obviously, a manager who has an option to buy, say, 10,000 shares of stock at a price of $10 in five years will have an incentive to help raise the stock’s value to an amount greater than $10.7

The number of options awarded is generally based on objective criteria. Years ago, the primary criteria were accounting measures such as earnings per share (EPS) and return on equity (ROE). Today, though, the focus is more on the market value of the firm’s shares and the cash flows the market uses in establishing value rather than on accounting profit. One objective measure used is the market value of the firm’s stock relative to other firms in its industry.

---

7It is clearly in stockholders’ interest to have the price in five years higher rather than lower. However, if a manager does things such as artificially inflate earnings about the time the options vest, then exercises the options and sells the stock, stockholders will end up with the short end of the stick. This presents a problem to those designing executive compensation plans. The real solution, though, seems to be good monitoring by directors and auditors to make sure that the accounting statements truly reflect companies’ positions at all times; that is, to not let executives do what Enron’s executives did.
More and more firms are using a relatively new metric, economic value added (EVA), to measure managerial performance. When accountants calculate net income, the cost of debt capital (interest expense) is deducted, but no cost is deducted to reflect the cost of common equity. Therefore, net income overstates “true” economic income. EVA overcomes this flaw in conventional accounting and thus is a better metric than EPS or ROE for measuring managerial performance.

EVA is found by subtracting from after-tax operating profit the annual cost of all the capital a firm uses. The higher its EVA, the more wealth the firm is creating for its shareholders. There is a higher correlation between EVA and stock prices than between accounting measures such as earnings per share and stock prices, so compensation based on EVA provides managers with better incentives to maximize shareholder wealth. EVA and its companion measure, market value added (MVA), will be discussed in depth in Chapter 7.

Various procedures are used to structure compensation programs, and good programs are quite complicated. Still, a well-designed compensation program, along with accurate financial statements, can do wonders to improve a company’s financial performance.

2. Direct intervention by shareholders. Years ago most stock was owned by individuals, but today the majority is owned by institutional investors such as insurance companies, pension funds, mutual funds, and hedge funds. Therefore, institutional money managers have the power to exercise considerable influence over most firms’ operations. First, they can talk with management and make suggestions regarding how the business should be run. In effect, they act as lobbyists for the body of stockholders. Second, any shareholder who has owned at least $1,000 of a company’s stock for one year can sponsor proposals that must be voted on at the annual stockholders’ meeting, even if management opposes the proposal. Although shareholder-sponsored proposals are nonbinding and are limited to issues outside of day-to-day operations, the results of such votes clearly influence top management. Third, the institutional money managers often have the votes to replace a badly performing management team. And fourth, hedge funds have the ability to buy a controlling interest in any company they regard as being badly managed and thus undervalued, and then change its management.

Why are institutions now taking such an interest in the management of companies they own? The primary reason is that they no longer have an easy exit from the market. Their portfolios are so big that if they decided to dump a stock, its price would take a free-fall. Therefore, rather than throwing up their hands and selling the stock, many institutional investors have decided to stay and work with management. Also, there has been considerable pressure on pension fund managers from the Department of Labor, which supervises pension fund investment practices under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). Under ERISA, pension fund managers are required to vote the shares they control in the best interests of the funds’ beneficiaries, which often means voting against corporate management. Finally, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has been expanding the number of

8Many pension funds allocate their money among professional money managers. If a money manager has a record of voting against a specific firm’s management, then that firm’s management will not be likely to direct the corporation’s pension funds to that money manager. In situations where many directors are themselves CEOs of companies with a lot of pension fund money to allocate, this can put pressure on money managers to support corporate managers. This is what the ERISA rules are designed to address.
issues that shareholders can address in shareholder-sponsored proposals. In its latest move, the SEC ruled that executive compensation is a permissible topic for proposals. Previously, executive compensation was classified as a matter of “ordinary business” and, as such, could not be addressed in shareholder proposals. Similarly, the SEC recently forced several companies to allow shareholders to vote on “golden parachute” executive retirement packages, which are contract provisions that give a corporate executive a large severance payment if the company is taken over by another company and the executive loses his or her job.

Another fundamental change that institutional investors are lobbying for is a more independent board of directors—institutional investors see a management-controlled board as the weak link in the chain of managerial accountability to shareholders. Too often, according to experts on corporate control, the directors are in management’s hip pocket, which is why institutional investors are pressing for truly independent boards. In fact, many institutional investors would like to see an outside director installed as chairman of the board, as was done recently by General Motors, because they do not trust an inside chairman to serve the shareholders first and his or her management’s interests second. The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), in the aftermath of the Enron debacle, is currently circulating a draft proposal that would require all NYSE-listed companies to have a majority of their board members be non-management people who do no consulting or other fee-generating business with the firm.

3. The threat of firing. Until recently, the probability that its stockholders would oust a large firm’s management was so remote that it posed little threat. This situation existed because the shares of most firms were so widely distributed, and management’s control over the voting mechanism so strong, that it was almost impossible for dissident stockholders to get the votes needed to overthrow a management team. However, as noted above, that situation is changing. For example, the CEOs or other top executives at American Express, Goodyear, General Motors, Kodak, and AT&T were all forced out due to poor company performance.

4. The threat of takeovers. Hostile takeovers are most likely to occur when a firm’s stock is undervalued relative to its potential because of poor management. In a hostile takeover, the managers of the acquired firm are generally fired, and any who are allowed to stay on lose status and authority. Thus, managers have a strong incentive to take actions designed to maximize stock prices. In the words of one company president, “If you want to keep your job, don’t let your stock sell at a bargain price.”

Takeovers can also lead to two other types of conflict between stockholders and managers: (a) where a target firm’s managers try to block a value-enhancing merger that would threaten their jobs and (b) where the target’s managers do not strive to get the highest price because they would personally get a better deal from a bidder who would offer a lower price. Regarding the first point, there are situations in which Firm A wants to acquire Firm B, and A is willing to pay more than B’s value as an independent company. This might result from economies of scale or other synergies if A operated B. In any event, B’s stockholders would gain from the merger, but its managers might still resist because they want to keep their jobs. Stockholders can sometimes overcome such resistance, but there have been instances where managements have won, to the detriment of stockholders.
The second potential conflict occurs when a friendly merger is contemplated and the target firm’s managers are to be given jobs, stock options, or other compensation by the acquiring firm. In the merger negotiations, the target firm’s managers should seek the highest price possible for their shareholders, and this generally means trying to interest other companies and starting a “bidding war.” Clearly, though, they might negotiate less hard if they personally are promised high-paying jobs, stock options, or other considerations not available to ordinary stockholders. We will have more to say about this in Chapter 26, but in this age of intense merger activity, agency issues certainly deserve consideration.

**Agency Conflict II: Stockholders versus Creditors**

In addition to conflicts between stockholders and managers, there can also be conflicts between stockholders (through managers) and creditors. Creditors have a claim on the firm’s earnings stream, and they have a claim on its assets in the event of bankruptcy. However, stockholders have control (through the managers) of decisions that affect the firm’s riskiness. Creditors lend funds at rates that are based on the firm’s perceived risk at the time the credit is extended, which in turn is based on (1) the riskiness of the firm’s existing assets, (2) expectations concerning the riskiness of future asset additions, (3) the existing capital structure, and (4) expectations concerning future capital structure changes. These are the primary determinants of the riskiness of the firm’s cash flows, hence the safety of its debt.

Suppose the firm borrows money, then sells its relatively safe assets and invests the proceeds in a large new project that is far riskier than the old assets. The new project might be extremely profitable, but it also might lead to bankruptcy. If the risky project is successful, most of the benefits go to the stockholders, because creditors’ returns are fixed at the original low-risk rate. However, if the project is unsuccessful, the bondholders take a loss. From the stockholders’ point of view, this amounts to a game of “heads, I win; tails, you lose,” which is obviously not good for the creditors. Thus, the increased risk due to the asset change will cause the required rate of return on the debt to increase, which in turn will cause the value of the outstanding debt to fall. Similarly, suppose after borrowing, the firm issues additional debt and uses the proceeds to repurchase some of its outstanding stock, thus increasing its financial leverage. If things go well, the stockholders will gain from the increased leverage. However, the value of the debt will probably decrease, because now there will be a larger amount of debt backed by the same amount of assets. In both the asset switch and the increased leverage situations, stockholders have the potential for gaining, but such gains are at the expense of creditors.

Can and should stockholders, through their managers/agents, try to use such procedures to take advantage of creditors? In general, the answer is no. First, creditors attempt to protect themselves from such actions by including restrictive covenants in debt agreements. Second, it is not good business for a firm to deal unfairly with its creditors. Unethical behavior has no place in business, and if creditors perceive that a firm’s managers are trying to take advantage of them, they will either refuse to deal further with the firm or will charge higher interest rates to compensate for the risk of possible exploitation. High interest rates and/or the loss of access to capital markets are detrimental to shareholders.

In view of all this, it follows that to best serve their shareholders in the long run, managers should play fairly with creditors. Similarly, because of other constraints
and sanctions, management actions that would expropriate wealth from any of the firm’s other stakeholders, including its employees, customers, suppliers, and community, will ultimately be to the detriment of its shareholders. In our society, long-run stock price maximization requires fair treatment for all parties whose economic positions are affected by managerial decisions.

Self-Test Questions

What are agency costs, and who bears them?

What are some mechanisms that encourage managers to act in the best interests of stockholders? To not take advantage of bondholders?

Why is it important to distinguish between “current market” stock prices and “fundamental” stock prices when discussing executive compensation? Are fundamental and current market prices always equal at any point in time, or could they be different? What might cause fundamental prices to differ from market prices?

Why should managers avoid taking actions that are unfair to any of the firm’s stakeholders?

What are some agency considerations that arise in merger negotiations?

TRANSPARENCY IN FINANCIAL REPORTING

In our market-based financial system, investors establish stock prices by buying and selling shares. Through this process, management receives feedback about its performance—in effect, the stock price is used to grade management and is the basis for determining compensation. However, the system is dependent on a free flow of accurate information. If reliable, accurate information is available to all market participants, then we are said to have market transparency.

Transparency is vital for an efficient economy. Therefore, various safeguards have been established to help ensure the integrity of financial information. Here is a listing of some of the safeguards. Note, though, as we discuss later, that the safeguards have recently been strengthened considerably.

1. Publicly owned firms are supposed to use the same set of accounting rules, called generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, when reporting their financial results to shareholders. The GAAP rules are established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), which also is supposed to make rule changes as needed.

2. Publicly owned firms must have their financial statements examined by an independent auditor to verify that they are accurate.

3. Auditors have been overseen by an accounting industry–funded and –dominated organization called the Public Oversight Board, which was supposed to set policy and discipline its members.

4. Publicly traded firms must also submit their financial statements to the Securities and Exchange Commission, which then makes them available to anyone who might be interested in investing in the company.

5. Firms are required to release all new information in such a manner that it is available to all investors at the same time. This means that they are prohibited from releasing information selectively to any outsider or group of outsiders.

6. Investment banking and brokerage firms employ security analysts, and those analysts are supposed to obtain and digest all available information, form opinions about the value of various securities, and then make honest recommendations to their firms’ clients.

7. Violators of these provisions are supposed to be prosecuted with speed and severity as a deterrent to those who would attempt to take unfair advantage of investors.
If all of these safeguards were functioning as designed, investors and shareholders could be reasonably sure (1) that the financial information companies report accurately reflects the firms’ past performance and (2) that all market participants have access to the same information. This would make the financial markets a “level playing field” and raise investor confidence, which would lower the cost of capital, increase corporate investment, and make the economy more efficient.

The financial scandals of the late 1990s and early 2000s showed clearly that these safeguards were not functioning as intended. Numerous companies engaged in deceptive, if not fraudulent, practices. Retirees lost their life savings. And many other investors saw their portfolios drop sharply as evidence of executive malfeasance emerged. Governmental officials recognized that the resulting crisis of confidence could cause capital to dry up, which would slow corporate investment and lead to a serious recession. Accordingly, in 2002 Congress stepped in with new regulations to shore up investor protections.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The accounting scandals and fraud perpetrated by the managers at Enron, WorldCom, Tyco, Global Crossing, and other companies and uncovered in the early 2000s clearly showed that management does not always act in shareholders’ best interests. In addition to stealing corporate assets and engaging in fraudulent transactions to enrich themselves at shareholders’ expense, these managers systematically misled shareholders and the financial markets by releasing financial statements that did not reflect their companies’ true financial condition. Some of these companies’ auditing firms were also complicit in this deception, overlooking questionable accounting practices in return for lucrative consulting deals. Investors who bought shares on the basis of this inaccurate information lost billions when the stocks plunged.

Stock analysts also misled the public about companies’ prospects. Although supposedly impartial, some analysts issued buy recommendations on stocks they really thought were dogs because of pressure from their investment bank employers. Investment banks get more business from the companies if their analysts give positive recommendations. Investors were the ultimate losers when the market learned what the analysts already knew—that the shares were overvalued.

These scandals showed that the then-existing rules weren’t sufficient to rein in selfish behavior. Some managers put their personal interests ahead of those of the stockholders they were supposed to be serving. The public accounting firms that were supposed to provide independent audits abrogated this responsibility in return for consulting contracts. And stock analysts were falsifying their recommendations to win salary increases and bonuses. These actions violated then-current ethical standards, but penalties for the violations weren’t strong enough to prevent the abuses. So, in 2002 Congress stepped in to address this widespread fraud with the passage of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, known in the industry now as SOX. SOX consists of eleven chapters, or titles, which establish wide-ranging new regulations for auditors, CEOs and CFOs, boards of directors, investment analysts, and investment banks. These regulations are designed to ensure that (a) companies that perform audits are sufficiently independent of the companies that they audit, (b) a key executive in each company personally certifies that the financial statements are complete and accurate, (c) the board of directors’ audit committee is relatively independent of management, (d) financial analysts are relatively independent of the companies they analyze, and (e) companies publicly and promptly
release all important information about their financial condition. The individual
titles are briefly summarized below.

- **Title I** establishes the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, whose
  charge is to oversee auditors and establish quality control and ethical stan-
  dards for audits.
- **Title II** requires that auditors be independent of the companies that they audit.
  Basically this means they can’t provide consulting services to the companies
  they audit. The purpose is to remove financial incentives for auditors to help
  management cook the books.
- **Title III** establishes a number of requirements to help ensure that financial
  statements are as accurate as possible. Specifically, the CEO and CFO must
  each personally certify that the quarterly and annual reports are complete and
  accurate, and the board of directors’ audit committee must be composed of
  “independent” members—that is, members of the board who have no other
  affiliation with the company.
- **Title IV** requires that all “material” changes to a company’s financial condi-
  tion, including off–balance sheet transactions, be promptly disclosed. It also
  places restrictions on personal loans to executives. Finally, it requires that
  management perform an annual assessment of its internal financial and audit-
  ing controls.
- **Title V** addresses the relationship between financial analysts, the investment
  banks they work for, and the companies they cover. It requires that analysts
  and brokers who make stock recommendations disclose any conflicts of inter-
  est they might have with the stocks they recommend.
- **Titles VI and VII** are technical in nature, dealing with the SEC’s budget and
  powers and requiring that several studies be undertaken by the SEC.
- **Title VIII** establishes penalties for destroying or falsifying audit records. It also
  provides “whistle-blower protection” for employees who report fraud.
- **Title IX** increases the penalties for a variety of white-collar crimes associated
  with securities fraud, such as mail and wire fraud. It also provides for a fine
  of up to $5 million and 20 years in prison, or both, for executives who will-
  fully certify inaccurate financial reports.
- **Title X** is short. It requires that the CEO sign the company’s federal income
  tax return.
- **Title XI** provides penalties for obstructing an investigation and grants the SEC
  authority to remove officers or directors from a company if they have com-
  mitted fraud.

Several of the more noteworthy provisions are listed below.

**Highlights of SOX**

- **Section 302** requires that the CEO and CFO review the annual and quarterly
  financial statements and reports and personally certify that they are complete
  and accurate. Penalties for certifying reports executives know are false range
  up to a $5 million fine, 20 years in prison, or both.
- **Section 304** requires that certain bonuses and equity-based compensation that
  executives earn be reimbursed to the company if the financial statements turn
  out to be false and must be restated.
- **Section 401(a)** requires more extensive reporting on off–balance sheet
  transactions.
Section 404 requires that management evaluate their internal financial controls and report whether they are “effective.” The external auditing firm must also indicate whether it agrees with management’s evaluation of its internal controls.

Section 409 requires that a company disclose to the public promptly and in plain English any material changes to its financial condition.

Section 902 makes it a crime to alter, destroy, or hide documents that might be used in an investigation. It also makes it a crime to conspire to do so.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define “financial transparency,” and explain why it is important to our system.

Why are firms required to have independent audits of their financial statements?

What is a “conflict of interest,” and how might such conflicts affect the reliability of audited financial statements and security analysts’ recommendations?

Why might a firm’s executives want to take actions that overstate its financial results?

Which of the provisions in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act do you think are most likely to improve managerial accountability?

Can you think of any downsides to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act?

**MARKET INTEREST RATES**

The right-hand side of Figure 1-1 shows that financing decisions, interest rates, firm risk, and market risk all contribute to determine a firm’s cost of capital. Of these four components, the underlying level of interest rates is common to all firms, and we will discuss it here.

In general, the quoted (or nominal) interest rate on a debt security, \( r \), is composed of a real risk-free rate of interest, \( r^* \), plus several premiums that reflect inflation, the riskiness of the security, and the security’s marketability (or liquidity). This relationship can be expressed as follows:

| Quoted interest rate = \( r = r^* + IP + DRP + LP + MRP \) |

Here:

- \( r \) = the quoted, or nominal, rate of interest on a given security.\(^9\) There are many different securities, hence many different quoted interest rates.
- \( r^* \) = the real risk-free rate of interest, \( r^* \) is pronounced “r-star,” and it is the rate that would exist on a riskless security if zero inflation were expected.
- \( IP \) = inflation premium. \( IP \) is equal to the average expected inflation rate over the life of the security. The expected future inflation rate is not

---

\(^9\)The term *nominal* as it is used here means the *stated* rate as opposed to the *real* rate, which is adjusted to remove inflation effects. Suppose you bought a 10-year Treasury bond with a quoted, or nominal, rate of about 4.6 percent. If inflation averages 2.5 percent over the next 10 years, the real rate would be about \( 4.6\% - 2.5\% = 2.1\% \). To be technically correct, we should find the real rate by solving for \( r^* \) in the following equation: \( (1 + r^*)(1 + 0.025) = (1 + 0.046) \). If we solved the equation, we would find \( r^* = 2.05\% \). Since this is very close to the 2.1 percent calculated above, we will continue to approximate the real rate by subtracting inflation from the nominal rate.
necessarily equal to the current inflation rate, so IP is not necessarily equal to current inflation.

\[ r_{RF} = r^* + IP \]

and it is the quoted risk-free rate of interest on a security such as a U.S. Treasury bill, which is very liquid and also free of most risks. Note that \( r_{RF} \) includes the premium for expected inflation, because \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP \).

\[ r_{RF} \]

**DRP** = default risk premium. This premium reflects the possibility that the issuer will not pay interest or principal at the stated time and in the stated amount. DRP is zero for U.S. Treasury securities, but it rises as the riskiness of issuers increases.

\[ DRP \]

**LP** = liquidity, or marketability, premium. This is a premium charged by lenders to reflect the fact that some securities cannot be converted to cash on short notice at a “reasonable” price. LP is very low for Treasury securities and for securities issued by large, strong firms, but it is relatively high on securities issued by very small firms.

\[ LP \]

**MRP** = maturity risk premium. As we will explain later, longer-term bonds, even Treasury bonds, are exposed to a significant risk of price declines, and a maturity risk premium is charged by lenders to reflect this risk.

\[ MRP \]

As noted above, since \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP \), we can rewrite Equation 1-1 as follows:

\[ \text{Nominal, or quoted, rate} = r = r_{RF} + DRP + LP + MRP \]

We discuss the components whose sum makes up the quoted, or nominal, rate on a given security in the following sections.

**The Real Risk-Free Rate of Interest, \( r^* \)**

The real risk-free rate of interest, \( r^* \), is defined as the interest rate that would exist on a riskless security if no inflation were expected, and it may be thought of as the rate of interest on short-term U.S. Treasury securities in an inflation-free world. The real risk-free rate is not static—it changes over time depending on economic conditions, especially (1) the rate of return corporations and other borrowers expect to earn on productive assets and (2) people’s time preferences for current versus future consumption.\(^{10}\)

In addition to its regular bond offerings, in 1997 the U.S. Treasury began issuing indexed bonds, with payments linked to inflation. To date, the Treasury has issued 16 of these indexed bonds, with maturities ranging (at time of issue) from 5 to 31 years. In July 2005, the shortest-term indexed bond had a 1 1/2-year maturity and a 1.30 percent yield. This is a pretty good estimate of the real risk-free rate, \( r^* \), although ideally we would prefer an even shorter-term indexed bond.

---

\(^{10}\)The real rate of interest as discussed here is different from the current real rate as often discussed in the press. The current real rate is the current interest rate minus the current (or latest past) inflation rate, while the real rate, as used here (and in the fields of finance and economics generally) without the word “current,” is the current interest rate minus the expected future inflation rate over the life of the security. For example, suppose the current quoted rate for a one-year Treasury bill is 5 percent, inflation during the latest year was 2 percent, and inflation expected for the coming year is 4 percent. Then the current real rate would be 5% – 2% = 3%, but the expected real rate would be 5% – 4% = 1%.
Inflation Premium (IP)

Inflation has a major effect on interest rates because it erodes the purchasing power of the dollar and lowers the real rate of return on investments. To illustrate, suppose you saved $1,000 and invested it in a Treasury bill that matures in one year and pays a 5 percent interest rate. At the end of the year, you will receive $1,050—your original $1,000 plus $50 of interest. Now suppose the inflation rate during the year is 10 percent, and it affects all items equally. If gas had cost $3 per gallon at the beginning of the year, it would cost $3.30 at the end of the year. Therefore, your $1,000 would have bought $1,000/$3 = 333 gallons at the beginning of the year, but only $1,050/$3.30 = 318 gallons at the end. In real terms, you would be worse off—you would receive $50 of interest, but it would not be sufficient to offset inflation. You would thus be better off buying 333 gallons of gas (or some other storable asset such as land, timber, apartment buildings, wheat, or gold) than buying the Treasury bill.

Investors are well aware of all this, so when they lend money, they build in an inflation premium (IP) equal to the average expected inflation rate over the life of the security. For a short-term, default-free U.S. Treasury bill, the actual interest rate charged, \( r_{T-bill} \), would be the real risk-free rate, \( r^* \), plus the inflation premium, IP:

\[
r_{T-bill} = r_{RF} = r^* + IP
\]

Therefore, if the real short-term risk-free rate of interest were \( r^* = 0.6\% \), and if inflation were expected to be 1.0 percent (and hence IP = 1.0%) during the next year, then the quoted rate of interest on one-year T-bills would be \( 0.6\% + 1.0\% = 1.6\% \).

It is important to note that the inflation rate built into interest rates is the inflation rate expected in the future, not the rate experienced in the past. Thus, the latest reported figures might show an annual inflation rate of 2 percent, but that is for the past year. If people on average expect a 6 percent inflation rate in the future, then 6 percent would be built into the current interest rate. Note also that the inflation rate reflected in the quoted interest rate on any security is the average rate of inflation expected over the security’s life. Thus, the inflation rate built into a one-year bond is the expected inflation rate for the next year, but the inflation rate built into a 30-year bond is the average rate of inflation expected over the next 30 years. For example, in July 2005, the rate on a five-year nonindexed T-bond was 3.88 percent and the rate on a five-year indexed T-bond was 1.52 percent. Thus, the five-year inflation premium was \( 3.88\% - 1.52\% = 2.36\% \), implying that investors expected inflation to average 2.36 percent over the next five years.\(^{11}\) Similarly, the rate on a 26-year nonindexed T-bond was 4.34 percent and the rate on a 27-year indexed T-bond was 1.82 percent. Thus, the long-term inflation premium was approximately \( 4.34\% - 1.82\% = 2.52\% \), implying that investors expected inflation to average 2.5 percent over the next three decades.\(^{12}\)

\(^{11}\)To be theoretically precise, we should use a geometric average by solving the following equation: \((1 + IP)(1 + 0.0152) = (1 + 0.0388)\). Solving for IP gives \( IP = 2.32\% \), which is very close to our approximation.

\(^{12}\)There are several sources for the estimated inflation premium. The Congressional Budget Office regularly updates the estimates of inflation that it uses in its forecasted budgets; see http://www.cbo.gov/; select Current Economic Projections. A second source is the University of Michigan’s Institute for Social Research, which regularly polls consumers regarding their expectations for price increases during the next year; see http://www.isr.umich.edu/src/projects.html; select the Surveys of Consumers, and then select the table for Expected Change in Prices. We prefer using inflation premiums derived from indexed and nonindexed Treasury securities, as described in the text, since these are based on how investors actually spend their money, not on theoretical models or opinions.
Expectations for future inflation are closely, but not perfectly, correlated with rates experienced in the recent past. Therefore, if the inflation rate reported for last month increased, people would tend to raise their expectations for future inflation, and this change in expectations would cause an increase in interest rates.

Note that Germany, Japan, and Switzerland have, over the past several years, had lower inflation rates than the United States; hence their interest rates have generally been lower than ours. South Africa and most South American countries have experienced high inflation, and that is reflected in their interest rates.

### The Nominal, or Quoted, Risk-Free Rate of Interest, \( r_{RF} \)

The **nominal**, or **quoted**, risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \), is the real risk-free rate plus a premium for expected inflation: \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP \). To be strictly correct, the risk-free rate should mean the interest rate on a totally risk-free security—one that has no risk of default, no maturity risk, no liquidity risk, no risk of loss if inflation increases, and no risk of any other type. There is no such security; hence there is no observable truly risk-free rate. If the term “risk-free rate” is used without either the modifier “real” or the modifier “nominal,” people generally mean the quoted (nominal) rate, and we will follow that convention in this book. Therefore, when we use the term “risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \),” we mean the nominal risk-free rate, which includes an inflation premium equal to the average expected inflation rate over the life of the security. In general, we use the T-bill rate to approximate the short-term risk-free rate, and the T-bond rate to approximate the long-term risk-free rate (even though it also includes a maturity premium). So, whenever you see the term “risk-free rate,” assume that we are referring either to the quoted U.S. T-bill rate or to the quoted T-bond rate.

### Default Risk Premium (DRP)

The risk that a borrower will **default** on a loan, which means not pay the interest or the principal, also affects the market interest rate on the security: The greater the default risk, the higher the interest rate. Treasury securities have no default risk; hence they carry the lowest interest rates on taxable securities in the United States. For corporate bonds, the higher the bond’s rating, the lower its default risk and, consequently, the lower its interest rate.\(^{13}\) Here are some representative interest rates on long-term bonds from July of 2001 and 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Type</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Treasury</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB+</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{13}\)Bond ratings, and bonds’ riskiness in general, are discussed in detail in Chapter 4. For now, merely note that bonds rated AAA are judged to have less default risk than bonds rated AA, while AA bonds are less risky than A bonds, and so on. Ratings are designated AAA or Aaa, AA or Aa, and so forth, depending on the rating agency. In this book, the designations are used interchangeably.
The difference between the quoted interest rate on a T-bond and that on a corporate bond with similar maturity, liquidity, and other features is the default risk premium (DRP), sometimes called the bond spread. Therefore, if the bonds listed above were otherwise similar, the default risk premium in 2005 would be \( \text{DRP} = 4.9\% - 4.3\% = 0.6 \) percentage point for AAA corporate bonds, \( 5.0\% - 4.3\% = 0.7 \) percentage point for AA, and so forth. Default risk premiums vary somewhat over time, but the figures above are representative of levels in recent years.

**Liquidity Premium (LP)**

A “liquid” asset can be converted to cash quickly and at a “fair market value.” Financial assets are generally more liquid than real assets. Because liquidity is important, investors include liquidity premiums (LPs) when market rates of securities are established. Although it is difficult to accurately measure liquidity premiums, a differential of at least two and probably four or five percentage points exists between the least liquid and the most liquid financial assets of similar default risk and maturity.

**Maturity Risk Premium (MRP)**

U.S. Treasury securities are free of default risk in the sense that one can be virtually certain that the federal government will meet the scheduled interest and principal payments on its bonds. Therefore, the default risk premium on Treasury securities is essentially zero. Further, active markets exist for Treasury securities, so their liquidity premiums are also close to zero. Thus, as a first approximation, the rate of interest on a Treasury bond should be the risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \), which is equal to the real risk-free rate, \( r^* \), plus an inflation premium, IP. However, an adjustment is needed for long-term Treasury bonds. The prices of long-term bonds decline sharply whenever interest rates rise, and since interest rates can and do occasionally rise, all long-term bonds, even Treasury bonds, have an element of risk called interest rate risk. As a general rule, whether the organization is the U.S. government or Enron Corporation, the longer the maturity of its bonds, the greater their interest rate risk. Therefore, a maturity risk premium (MRP), which is higher the longer the years to maturity, must be included in the required interest rate.

The effect of maturity risk premiums is to raise interest rates on long-term bonds relative to those on short-term bonds. This premium, like the others, is difficult to measure, but (1) it varies somewhat over time, rising when interest rates are more volatile and uncertain, then falling when interest rates are more stable, and (2) in recent years, the maturity risk premium on 30-year T-bonds appears to have generally been in the range of one to three percentage points.

We should mention that although long-term bonds are heavily exposed to interest rate risk, short-term bills are heavily exposed to reinvestment rate risk. When short-term bills mature and the funds are reinvested, or “rolled over,” a decline in interest rates would necessitate reinvestment at a lower rate, and this would result in a decline in interest income. To illustrate, suppose you had $100,000 invested in one-year T-bills, and you lived on the income. In 1981,
short-term rates were about 15 percent, so your income would have been about $15,000. However, your income would have declined to about $9,000 by 1983, and to just $1,000 by mid-2003. Had you invested your money in long-term T-bonds, your income (but not the value of the principal) would have been stable.\(^{15}\) Thus, although “investing short” preserves one’s principal, the interest income provided by short-term T-bills is less stable than the interest income on long-term bonds.

**Self-Test Questions**

Write out an equation for the nominal interest rate on any debt security.

Distinguish between the real risk-free rate of interest, \(r^*\), and the nominal, or quoted, risk-free rate of interest, \(r_{RF}\).

How is inflation incorporated into interest rates?

Does the interest rate on a T-bond include a default risk premium? Explain.

Identify some assets that are liquid and some that are illiquid.

Briefly explain the following statement: “Long-term bonds are heavily exposed to interest rate risk.”

**A PREVIEW OF WHAT’S AHEAD**

A manager’s primary job is to increase the value of his or her company. Figure 1-1 shows the determinants of a firm’s value, and it also provides a good preview for the rest of the book. Chapters 2 and 3 discuss risk and return, which are crucial for understanding and estimating the cost of capital. Chapters 4 and 5 focus on the basics of bond and stock valuation, while Chapter 6 is a new chapter on financial options, which often play an important role in managerial compensation, agency costs, and valuation. Chapters 7 and 8 discuss financial statements and how they can be modified to provide better information for financial decision making.

Part Two focuses on the skills needed to perform a corporate valuation. Chapter 9 develops techniques for forecasting future financial statements and free cash flows. Chapter 10 discusses how to calculate the cost of capital, and Chapter 11 directly uses the concepts in Figure 1-1 to determine a corporation’s value, including the value of its stock. Chapter 11 also discusses corporate governance, which has a direct effect on how much value companies create for their shareholders.

Part Three applies the valuation concepts in Figure 1-1 to individual projects, beginning with project evaluation in Chapters 12 and 13 and finishing with a treatment of real options in Chapter 14.

Part Four discusses basic corporate financing decisions. Chapters 15 and 16 examine capital structure theory, or the issue of how much debt versus equity the firm should use. Chapter 17 considers the firm’s distribution policy, that is, how much of its free cash flow should be paid out, either as dividends or as share repurchases.

In Part Five, we explore the details and specific instruments used in financing a company. Chapter 18 discusses initial public offerings and the role of investment

\(^{15}\)Long-term bonds also have some reinvestment rate risk. If one is saving and investing for some future purpose, say, to buy a house or for retirement, then to actually earn the quoted rate on a long-term bond, the interest payments must be reinvested at the quoted rate. However, if interest rates fall, the interest payments must be reinvested at a lower rate; thus, the realized return would be less than the quoted rate. Note, though, that reinvestment rate risk is lower on a long-term bond than on a short-term bond because only the interest payments (rather than interest plus principal) on the long-term bond are exposed to reinvestment rate risk. Zero coupon bonds, which are discussed in Chapter 4, are completely free of reinvestment rate risk during their life.
bankers. Chapter 19 discusses the important topic of lease financing, while Chapter 20 deals with various hybrid securities like preferred stock, warrants, and convertible bonds.

Part Six is devoted to working capital management. Chapter 21 provides an overview of working capital management, while Chapter 22 discusses credit policy and short-term financing. Chapter 23 covers some special topics in optimal cash and inventory balances.

In Part Seven we present a variety of special topics. Chapter 24 covers derivative securities and their use in risk management, while Chapter 25 deals with the important topic of bankruptcy and financial distress. Chapter 26 presents the Adjusted Present Value (APV) model for valuing targets in a mergers and acquisitions setting, while Chapter 27 deals with the special issues multinational corporations face.

ThomsonNOW RESOURCES

ThomsonNOW contains several types of files that you will find useful:

1. It contains Excel files, called Tool Kits, that provide well-documented models for almost all of the text’s calculations. Not only will these Tool Kits help you with this finance course, but they will serve as tool kits for you in other courses and in your career.
2. There are problems at the ends of the chapters that require spreadsheets, and ThomsonNOW contains the models you will need to begin work on these problems.

When we think it might be helpful for you to look at one of the Web site’s files, we’ll show an icon in the margin like the one that is shown here. Other resources are also on the Web page, including Web Safaris, which are links to useful Web data and descriptions for navigating the sites to access the data.

SUMMARY

This chapter has provided an overview of financial management. The key concepts discussed in the chapter are listed below.

- The purpose of financial management is to help maximize the value of a firm’s stock.
- Efforts to maximize stock prices benefit society in several ways. First, these efforts help to make business operations more efficient. To maximize stock prices, managers must offer goods and services that consumers desire, price those goods and services as low as possible, and ensure the efficient, low-cost operations low prices require. The quest for stock price maximization also leads to innovation, new products and services, and improved productivity.
- Consumers benefit as a result of managements’ efforts, and so do employees, because efficient, profitable firms are able to offer more stable, higher-paying jobs, advancement opportunities, and generally better working conditions.
- Most adults own stock directly or indirectly through retirement plans; hence higher stock prices help most citizens. Also, through the “wealth effect,” higher stock prices lead to increased spending and to a lower cost of capital to
firms. Both of these effects stimulate the economy, producing more and better jobs and economic growth.

- Firms increase cash flows by creating value for customers, suppliers, and employees.
- Free cash flows (FCFs) are the cash flows available for distribution to all of a firm’s investors (shareholders and creditors) after the firm has paid all expenses (including taxes) and made the required investments in operations to support growth.
- Three factors determine free cash flows: (1) sales revenues, (2) operating costs and taxes, and (3) required investments in operations.
- The value of a firm depends on the size of the firm’s free cash flows, the timing of those flows, and their risk.
- The weighted average cost of capital (WACC) is the average return required by all of the firm’s investors. It is determined by the firm’s capital structure (the firm’s relative amounts of debt and equity), interest rates, the firm’s risk, and the market’s attitude toward risk.
- A firm’s value is defined by

\[
\text{Value} = \frac{\text{FCF}_1}{(1 + \text{WACC})^1} + \frac{\text{FCF}_2}{(1 + \text{WACC})^2} + \cdots + \frac{\text{FCF}_\infty}{(1 + \text{WACC})^\infty}
\]

- The risk-free rate of interest, \( r_{RF} \), is defined as the real risk-free rate, \( r^* \), plus an inflation premium, \( IP \); hence \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP \).
- The nominal (or quoted) interest rate on a debt security, \( r \), is composed of the real risk-free rate, \( r^* \), plus premiums that reflect inflation (IP), default risk (DRP), liquidity (LP), and maturity risk (MRP):

\[
r = r^* + IP + DRP + LP + MRP
\]

- An agency relationship arises whenever an individual or group, called a principal, hires someone called an agent to perform some service, where the principal delegates decision-making power to the agent.
- Important agency relationships include those between stockholders and managers and between stockholders and debtholders.
- An agency problem refers to a conflict between principals and agents. For example, managers, as agents, may pay themselves excessive salaries, obtain unreasonably large stock options, and the like, at the expense of the principals, the stockholders.
- Agency costs are costs principals incur to control their agents, and to get agents to act in a manner consistent with the principals’ desires. In financial management, this primarily involves compensation plans designed to motivate managers to try to maximize the firm’s stock price, sanctions against managers who do not perform well in this respect, and contracts that prevent debtholders from being taken advantage of.
- Hostile takeovers, where one firm is acquired by another over the opposition of the target firm’s management, have occurred with increasing frequency in recent years. This is perhaps the single most important factor motivating managers to attempt to maximize the prices of their firms’ stocks.
- A market is transparent when all market participants have ready access to complete and accurate information.
• A **conflict of interest** can arise when an accounting firm also provides consulting services to one of its audit clients or when a brokerage firm’s analysts make recommendations regarding the stocks of companies for which the analysts’ firm provides investment banking services.
• Congress passed the **Sarbanes-Oxley Act** in 2002 to address problems with corporate accountability and fraud.

**QUESTIONS**

1-1 Define the following terms:

a. Stockholders who “vote with their feet” versus “active” stockholders
b. Proxy fight; takeover
c. The “wealth effect” of rising (or falling) stock prices
d. Agent; principal; agency relationship
e. Agency cost
f. Basic types of agency conflicts
g. Executive compensation program; Economic Value Added (EVA)
h. Executive stock options
i. Transparent
j. Off–balance sheet financing
k. Conflicts of interest
l. Sarbanes-Oxley Act
m. Real risk-free rate of interest, \( r^* \); nominal risk-free rate of interest, \( r_{RF} \)

1-2 If you were the president of a large, publicly owned corporation, would you make decisions to maximize stockholders’ welfare or your own personal interests? What are some actions stockholders could take to ensure that management’s interests and those of stockholders coincide? What are some other factors that might influence management’s actions?

1-3 The president of International Microchips Inc. (IMI) made this statement in the company’s annual report: “IMI’s primary goal is to increase the value of the common stockholders’ equity over time.” Later in the report, the following announcements were made. Discuss how IMI’s stockholders, customers, and labor force would react to each of these actions and how each action might affect IMI’s stock price.

a. The company contributed $2 million to the symphony orchestra in Seattle, its headquarters city.

b. The company is spending $600 million to open a new plant in Venezuela. No revenues will be produced by the plant for 4 years, so earnings will be depressed during this period versus what they would have been had the decision not been made to open the new plant.

c. The company is increasing its relative use of debt. Assets were formerly financed with 30 percent debt and 70 percent equity; henceforth the financing mix will be 45/55.

d. The company uses a great deal of electricity in its manufacturing operations, and it generates most of this power itself. Plans are to utilize nuclear fuel rather than coal to produce electricity in the future.

e. The company has been paying out half of its earnings as dividends and retaining the other half. Henceforth, it will pay out only 40 percent as dividends.
1-4 Assume that you are serving on the board of directors of a medium-sized corporation, and you are responsible for establishing the compensation provided to senior management. You believe that the company’s CEO is very talented, but your concern is that she may be looking for a better job and may want to boost the company’s short-run performance (perhaps at the expense of long-run profitability) to make herself look better to other corporations. What effect might these concerns have on the compensation policy you put in place?

1-5 If the overall stock market is extremely volatile, and if many analysts foresee the possibility of a stock market crash, how might that situation influence the way corporations choose to compensate their senior executives?

1-6 Teacher’s Insurance and Annuity Association–College Retirement Equity Fund (TIAA–CREF) is the largest institutional shareholder in the United States, controlling over $300 billion in pension funds. Traditionally, TIAA–CREF has acted as a passive investor. However, the organization recently announced a tough new corporate governance policy.

In a statement mailed to all 1,500 companies in which it invests, TIAA–CREF outlined a policy designed to improve corporate performance, including a goal of higher stock prices for the stock assets it holds, and to encourage corporate boards to contain a majority of independent (outside) directors. TIAA–CREF wants to see management more accountable to shareholder interests, as evidenced by its statement that the fund will vote against any director “where companies don’t have an effective, independent board which can challenge the CEO.”

Historically, TIAA–CREF did not quickly sell poor-performing stocks. In addition, the fund invested a large part of its assets to match the performance of the major market indexes, which effectively locked TIAA–CREF into ownership of companies in the indexes. Further complicating the problem, TIAA–CREF owns stakes of from 1 percent to 10 percent in several companies, and selling such large blocks of stock would depress their prices.

Common stock ownership confers a right to sponsor initiatives to shareholders regarding the corporation. A corresponding voting right exists for shareholders.

a. Is TIAA–CREF an ordinary shareholder?
b. Due to its asset size, TIAA–CREF must acquire large positions that it plans to actively vote. However, who owns TIAA–CREF?
c. Should the investment managers of a fund such as TIAA–CREF determine the voting practices of the fund’s shares, or should the voting rights be passed on to TIAA–CREF’s owners?

1-7 As a manager, do you care more about your company’s market value, intrinsic value, or fundamental value? What about as an investor?

PROBLEMS

1-1 A Treasury bond that matures in 10 years has a yield of 6 percent. A 10-year corporate bond has a yield of 8 percent. Assume that the liquidity premium on the corporate bond is 0.5 percent. What is the default risk premium on the corporate bond?
The real risk-free rate is 3 percent, and inflation is expected to be 3 percent for the next 2 years. A 2-year Treasury security yields 6.2 percent. What is the maturity risk premium for the 2-year security?

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

---

**minicase**

Suppose you decided (like Michael Dell) to start a computer company. You know from experience that many students, who are now required to own and operate a personal computer, are having difficulty setting up their computers, accessing various materials from the local college network and from the Internet, and installing new programs when they become available. Your immediate plan is to provide a service under which representatives of your company will help students set up their computers, show them how to access various databases, and offer an e-mail “help desk” for various problems that will undoubtedly arise. You will also provide a gateway Web page to the campus computer center and the campus Intranet.

If things go well—and you think they will—you plan to purchase computers and offer them, with all required software fully installed, to students. Moreover, you plan to develop your Web site with links to various destinations students will like, and as traffic to your site builds, to offer advertising services (and to charge for links) to local businesses. For example, someone could go through your Web site to order pizza while studying for a finance exam.

Once you have established your company and set up procedures for operating it, you plan to expand to other colleges in the area, and eventually to go nationwide. At some point, probably sooner rather than later, you plan to go public with an IPO, then to buy a yacht and take off for the South Pacific. With these issues in mind, you need to answer for yourself, and potential investors, the following questions.

a. Why is corporate finance important to all managers?
b. What should be the primary objectives of managers?
   (1) Do firms have any responsibilities to society at large?
   (2) Is stock price maximization good or bad for society?
   (3) Should firms behave ethically?
c. What three aspects of cash flows affect the value of any investment?
d. What are free cash flows? What are the three determinants of free cash flows?
e. What is the weighted average cost of capital? What affects it?
f. What are the components of the interest rate?
g. How do free cash flows and the weighted average cost of capital interact to determine a firm’s value?
h. When you first begin operations, assuming you are the only employee and only your money is invested in the business, would any agency problems exist? Explain.
i. If you expanded, and hired additional people to help you, might that give rise to agency problems?
j. If you needed capital to buy an inventory of computers to sell to students, or to develop software to help run the business, might that lead to agency problems? Would it matter if the new capital came in the form of an unsecured bank loan, a bank loan secured by your inventory of computers, or from new stockholders (assuming you incorporate)?
k. Would potential agency problems increase or decrease if you expanded operations to other campuses? Would agency problems be affected by whether you expanded by licensing franchisees or by direct expansion, where your company actually owned the businesses on other campuses and operated them as divisions of your original company?
l. If you were a bank lending officer looking at the situation, can you think of any action or actions that might make a loan to the company feasible?
m. As the founder-owner-president of the company, what action or actions can you think of that might mitigate agency problems if you expanded beyond your home campus? Would going public in an IPO increase or decrease agency problems?
n. If you had an IPO and became a public company, would agency problems be more likely if you (1) bought the yacht and took off or (2) stayed on as CEO and ran the company?
o. Why might you want to (1) inflate your reported earnings or (2) use off-balance sheet financing to make your financial position look stronger? What are the potential consequences of doing this?
p. If the company were successful, what kind of compensation program might you use to minimize agency problems?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

For views on firms’ objectives, see the following articles:
The following articles extend our discussion of agency relationships:

For more information on managerial compensation, see
In this chapter, we start from the basic premise that investors like returns and dislike risk. Therefore, people will invest in riskier assets only if they expect to receive higher returns. We define precisely what the term risk means as it relates to investments. We examine procedures managers use to measure risk, and we discuss the relationship between risk and return. In later chapters we extend these relationships to show how risk and return interact to determine security prices. Managers must understand and apply these concepts as they plan the actions that will shape their firms’ futures.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch02 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.
INVESTMENT RETURNS

With most investments, an individual or business spends money today with the expectation of earning even more money in the future. The concept of return provides investors with a convenient way to express the financial performance of an investment. To illustrate, suppose you buy 10 shares of a stock for $1,000. The

BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Differentiate between (a) stand-alone risk and (b) risk in a portfolio context. How are they measured, and are both concepts relevant for investors?

2. Can an investor eliminate market risk from a portfolio of common stocks? How many stocks must a portfolio contain to be “reasonably well diversified”? Do all portfolios with, say, 50 stocks have about the same amount of risk?

3. a. Differentiate between the terms expected rate of return, required rate of return, and historical rate of return as they are applied to common stocks.
   b. If you found values for each of these returns for several different stocks, would the values for each stock most likely be the same or different; that is, would Stock A’s expected, required, and historical rates of return be equal to one another? Why?

4. What does the term risk aversion mean, and how is risk aversion related to the expected return on a stock?

5. What is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)? What are some of its key assumptions? Has it been empirically verified? What is the role of the Security Market Line in the CAPM? Suppose you had to estimate the required rate of return on a stock using the CAPM. What data would you need, where would you get the data, and how confident would you be of your estimate?

6. Suppose you have data that show the rates of return earned by Stock X, Stock Y, and the market over the last five years, along with the risk-free rate of return and the required return on the market. You also have estimates of the expected returns on X and Y.
   a. How could you decide, based on these expected returns, if Stocks X and Y are good deals, bad deals, or in equilibrium?
   b. Now suppose in Year 6 the market is quite strong. Stock X has a high positive return, but Stock Y’s price falls because investors suddenly become quite concerned about its future prospects; that is, it becomes riskier, and like a bond that suddenly becomes risky, its price falls. Based on the CAPM and using the most recent five years of data, would Stock Y’s required return as calculated just after the end of Year 6 rise or fall? What can you say about these results?
In Chapter 1, we told you that managers should strive to make their firms more valuable, and that the value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its free cash flows (FCF). This chapter shows you how to measure a firm’s risk.

stock pays no dividends, but at the end of one year, you sell the stock for $1,100. What is the return on your $1,000 investment?

One way to express an investment return is in dollar terms. The dollar return is simply the total dollars received from the investment less the amount invested:

\[
\text{Dollar return} = \text{Amount received} - \text{Amount invested}
\]

\[
= \$1,100 - \$1,000
\]

\[
= \$100
\]

If, at the end of the year, you sell the stock for only $900, your dollar return would be $-100.

Although expressing returns in dollars is easy, two problems arise: (1) To make a meaningful judgment about the return, you need to know the scale (size) of the investment; a $100 return on a $100 investment is a good return (assuming the investment is held for one year), but a $100 return on a $10,000 investment would be a poor return. (2) You also need to know the timing of the return; a $100 return on a $100 investment is a very good return if it occurs after one year, but the same dollar return after 20 years would not be very good.
The solution to the scale and timing problems is to express investment results as *rates of return,* or *percentage returns.* For example, the rate of return on the one-year stock investment, when $1,100 is received after one year, is 10 percent:

\[
\text{Rate of return} = \frac{\text{Amount received} - \text{Amount invested}}{\text{Amount invested}} = \frac{\text{Dollar return}}{\text{Amount invested}} = \frac{\$100}{\$1,000} = 0.10 = 10\%
\]

The rate of return calculation “standardizes” the return by considering the annual return per unit of investment. Although this example has only one outflow and one inflow, the annualized rate of return can easily be calculated in situations where multiple cash flows occur over time by using time value of money concepts.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between dollar returns and rates of return.

Why are rates of return superior to dollar returns in terms of accounting for the size of investment and the timing of cash flows?

**STAND-ALONE RISK**

*Risk* is defined in *Webster’s* as “a hazard; a peril; exposure to loss or injury.” Thus, risk refers to the chance that some unfavorable event will occur. If you engage in skydiving, you are taking a chance with your life—skydiving is risky. If you bet on the horses, you are risking your money. If you invest in speculative stocks (or, really, *any* stock), you are taking a risk in the hope of earning an appreciable return.

An asset’s risk can be analyzed in two ways: (1) on a stand-alone basis, where the asset is considered in isolation, and (2) on a portfolio basis, where the asset is held as one of a number of assets in a portfolio. Thus, an asset’s *stand-alone risk* is the risk an investor would face if he or she held only this one asset. Obviously, most assets are held in portfolios, but it is necessary to understand stand-alone risk in order to understand risk in a portfolio context.

To illustrate the risk of financial assets, suppose an investor buys $100,000 of short-term Treasury bills with an expected return of 5 percent. In this case, the rate of return on the investment, 5 percent, can be estimated quite precisely, and the investment is defined as being essentially *risk free.* However, if the $100,000 were invested in the stock of a company just being organized to prospect for oil in the mid-Atlantic, then the investment’s return could not be estimated precisely. One might analyze the situation and conclude that the *expected* rate of return, in a statistical sense, is 20 percent, but the investor should recognize that the *actual* rate of return could range from, say, +1,000 percent to −100 percent. Because there is a significant danger of actually earning much less than the expected return, the stock would be relatively risky.

*No investment should be undertaken unless the expected rate of return is high enough to compensate the investor for the perceived risk of the investment.* In our example, it is clear that few if any investors would be willing to buy the oil company’s stock if its expected return were the same as that of the T-bill.

Risky assets rarely actually produce their expected rates of return—generally, risky assets earn either more or less than was originally expected. Indeed, if assets always produced their expected returns, they would not be risky. Investment risk,
then, is related to the probability of actually earning a low or negative return—the greater the chance of a low or negative return, the riskier the investment. However, risk can be defined more precisely, and we do so in the next section.

**Probability Distributions**

An event’s *probability* is defined as the chance that the event will occur. For example, a weather forecaster might state, “There is a 40 percent chance of rain today and a 60 percent chance that it will not rain.” If all possible events, or outcomes, are listed, and if a probability is assigned to each event, the listing is called a *probability distribution*. Keep in mind that the probabilities must sum to 1.0, or 100 percent.

With this in mind, consider the possible rates of return due to dividends and stock price changes that you might earn next year on a $10,000 investment in the stock of either Sale.com or Basic Foods Inc. Sale.com is an Internet company offering deep discounts on factory seconds and overstocked merchandise. Because it faces intense competition, its new services may or may not be competitive in the marketplace, so its future earnings cannot be predicted very well. Indeed, some new company could develop better services and literally bankrupt Sale.com. Basic Foods, on the other hand, distributes essential food staples to grocery stores, and its sales and profits are relatively stable and predictable.

The rate-of-return probability distributions for the two companies are shown in Table 2-1. There is a 30 percent chance of strong demand, in which case both companies will have high earnings, pay high dividends, and enjoy capital gains. There is a 40 percent probability of normal demand and moderate returns, and there is a 30 percent probability of weak demand, which will mean low earnings and dividends as well as capital losses. Notice, however, that Sale.com’s rate of return could vary far more widely than that of Basic Foods. There is a fairly high probability that the value of Sale.com’s stock will drop substantially, resulting in a 70 percent loss, while there is a much smaller possible loss for Basic Foods.

**Expected Rate of Return**

If we multiply each possible outcome by its probability of occurrence and then sum these products, as in Table 2-2, we have a *weighted average* of outcomes. The weights are the probabilities, and the weighted average is the *expected rate of*
The expected rates of return for both Sale.com and Basic Foods are shown in Table 2-2 to be 15 percent. This type of table is known as a payoff matrix.

The expected rate of return calculation can also be expressed as an equation that does the same thing as the payoff matrix table:

\[
\hat{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i r_i
\]

Here \( r_i \) is the \( i \)th possible outcome, \( P_i \) is the probability of the \( i \)th outcome, and \( n \) is the number of possible outcomes. Thus, \( \hat{r} \) is a weighted average of the possible outcomes (the \( r_i \) values), with each outcome's weight being its probability of occurrence. Using the data for Sale.com, we obtain its expected rate of return as follows:

\[
\hat{r} = 0.3(100\%) + 0.4(15\%) + 0.3(-70\%)
\]

\[
= 15\%
\]

Basic Foods’ expected rate of return is also 15 percent:

\[
\hat{r} = 0.3(40\%) + 0.4(15\%) + 0.3(-10\%)
\]

\[
= 15\%
\]
returns for Sale.com is from −70 to +100 percent, with an expected return of 15 percent. The expected return for Basic Foods is also 15 percent, but its range is much narrower.

Thus far, we have assumed that only three situations can exist: strong, normal, and weak demand. Actually, of course, demand could range from a deep depression to a fantastic boom, and there is an unlimited number of possibilities in between. Suppose we had the time and patience to assign a probability to each possible level of demand (with the sum of the probabilities still equaling 1.0) and to assign a rate of return to each stock for each level of demand. We would have a table similar to Table 2-1, except that it would have many more entries in each column. This table could be used to calculate expected rates of return as shown previously, and the probabilities and outcomes could be approximated by continuous curves such as those presented in Figure 2-2. Here we have changed the assumptions so that there is essentially a zero probability that Sale.com’s return will be less than −70 percent or more than 100 percent, or that Basic Foods’ return will be less than −10 percent or more than 40 percent, but virtually any return within these limits is possible.

*The tighter, or more peaked, the probability distribution, the more likely it is that the actual outcome will be close to the expected value, and, consequently, the less likely it is that the actual return will end up far below the expected return.* Thus, *the tighter the probability distribution, the lower the risk assigned to a stock*. Since Basic Foods has a relatively tight probability distribution, its *actual return* is likely to be closer to its 15 percent *expected return* than is that of Sale.com.
Risk is a difficult concept to grasp, and a great deal of controversy has surrounded attempts to define and measure it. However, a common definition, and one that is satisfactory for many purposes, is stated in terms of probability distributions such as those presented in Figure 2-2:

The tighter the probability distribution of expected future returns, the smaller the risk of a given investment. According to this definition, Basic Foods is less risky than Sale.com because there is a smaller chance that its actual return will end up far below its expected return.

To be most useful, any measure of risk should have a definite value—we need a measure of the tightness of the probability distribution. One such measure is the standard deviation, the symbol for which is $\sigma$, pronounced “sigma.” The smaller the standard deviation, the tighter the probability distribution, and, accordingly, the less risky the stock. To calculate the standard deviation, we proceed as shown in Table 2-3, taking the following steps:

1. Calculate the expected rate of return:

$$\text{Expected rate of return} = \hat{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i r_i$$
Calculating Sale.com’s Standard Deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_i - \bar{r}$</th>
<th>$(r_i - \bar{r})^2$</th>
<th>$(r_i - \bar{r})^2p_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 – 15 = 85</td>
<td>7,225</td>
<td>(7,225)(0.3) = 2,167.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 15 = 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0)(0.4) = 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−70 – 15 = −85</td>
<td>7,225</td>
<td>(7,225)(0.3) = 2,167.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance = $\sigma^2 = 4,335.0$

Standard deviation = $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2} = \sqrt{4,335} = 65.84$

For Sale.com, we previously found $\hat{r} = 15\%$.

2. Subtract the expected rate of return ($\bar{r}$) from each possible outcome ($r_i$) to obtain a set of deviations about $\hat{r}$ as shown in Column 1 of Table 2-3:

$$\text{Deviation}_i = r_i - \hat{r}$$

3. Square each deviation, then multiply the result by the probability of occurrence for its related outcome, and then sum these products to obtain the variance of the probability distribution as shown in Columns 2 and 3 of the table:

$$\text{Variance} = \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - \hat{r})^2p_i$$

4. Finally, find the square root of the variance to obtain the standard deviation:

$$\text{Standard Deviation} = \sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - \hat{r})^2p_i}$$

Thus, the standard deviation is essentially a weighted average of the deviations from the expected value, and it provides an idea of how far above or below the expected value the actual value is likely to be. Sale.com’s standard deviation is seen in Table 2-3 to be $\sigma = 65.84\%$. Using these same procedures, we find Basic Foods’ standard deviation to be 19.36 percent. Sale.com has the larger standard deviation, which indicates a greater variation of returns and thus a greater chance that the actual return may be substantially lower than the expected return. Therefore, Sale.com is a riskier investment than Basic Foods when held alone.

If a probability distribution is normal, the actual return will be within $\pm 1$ standard deviation of the expected return 68.26 percent of the time. Figure 2-3 illustrates this point, and it also shows the situation for $\pm 2\sigma$ and $\pm 3\sigma$. For Sale.com, $\hat{r} = 15\%$ and $\sigma = 65.84\%$, whereas $\hat{r} = 15\%$ and $\sigma = 19.36\%$ for Basic Foods. Thus, if the two distributions were normal, there would be a 68.26 percent
probability that Sale.com’s actual return would be in the range of $15 \pm 65.84$ percent, or from $-50.84$ to $80.84$ percent. For Basic Foods, the $68.26$ percent range is $15 \pm 19.36$ percent, or from $-4.36$ to $34.36$ percent. For the average firm listed on the New York Stock Exchange, $\sigma$ has generally been in the range of $35$ to $40$ percent in recent years.

**Using Historical Data to Measure Risk**

In the previous example, we described the procedure for finding the mean and standard deviation when the data are in the form of a known probability distribution. Suppose only sample returns data over some past period are available. The past realized rate of return in period $t$ is denoted by $\bar{r}_t$ (“$r$ bar t”) and the average annual return over the last $n$ years is $\bar{r}_{Avg}$. The standard deviation of returns can be estimated using this formula:

$$
\text{Estimated } \sigma = S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_t - \bar{r}_{Avg})^2}{n - 1}}
$$
When estimated from past data, the standard deviation is often denoted by $S$. Here is an example:\footnote{Equation 2-3a is built into all financial calculators, and it is very easy to use. Simply enter the rates of return and press the key marked $S$ (or $S_x$) to get the standard deviation. Note, though, that calculators have no built-in formula for finding $S$ where unequal probabilities are involved; there you must go through the process outlined in Table 2-3 and Equation 2-3. The same situation holds for computer spreadsheet programs.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$\bar{r}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\bar{r}_{avg} = \frac{(15 - 5 + 20)}{3} = 10.0\%$

Estimated $\sigma$ (or $S$) = \[ \sqrt{\frac{(15 - 10)^2 + (-5 - 10)^2 + (20 - 10)^2}{3 - 1}} \]

= \[ \sqrt{\frac{350}{2}} = 13.2\% \]

The historical $\sigma$ is often used as an estimate of the future $\sigma$. Because past variability is likely to be repeated, $S$ may be a good estimate of future risk. However, it is usually incorrect to use $\bar{r}_{avg}$ for some past period as an estimate of $\hat{r}$, the expected future return. For example, just because a stock had a 75 percent return in the past year, there is no reason to expect a 75 percent return this year.

**Measuring Stand-Alone Risk: The Coefficient of Variation**

If a choice has to be made between two investments that have the same expected returns but different standard deviations, most people would choose the one with the lower standard deviation and, therefore, the lower risk. Similarly, given a choice between two investments with the same risk (standard deviation) but different expected returns, investors would generally prefer the investment with the higher expected return. To most people, this is common sense—return is “good,” risk is “bad,” and consequently investors want as much return and as little risk as possible. But how do we choose between two investments if one has a higher expected return but the other a lower standard deviation? To help answer this question, we often use another measure of risk, the coefficient of variation (CV), which is the standard deviation divided by the expected return:

\[
\text{Coefficient of variation} = CV = \frac{\sigma}{\hat{r}} \quad \text{\scriptsize \l[2-4]} \]

\[
\text{Estimated } \sigma \text{ (or } S \text{)} = \sqrt{\frac{(15 - 10)^2 + (-5 - 10)^2 + (20 - 10)^2}{3 - 1}} \]

= \[ \sqrt{\frac{350}{2}} = 13.2\% \]
The coefficient of variation shows the risk per unit of return, and it provides a more meaningful basis for comparison when the expected returns on two alternatives are not the same. Since Basic Foods and Sale.com have the same expected return, the coefficient of variation is not necessary in this case. The firm with the larger standard deviation, Sale.com, must have the larger coefficient of variation when the means are equal. In fact, the coefficient of variation for Sale.com is $65.84/15 = 4.39$ and that for Basic Foods is $19.36/15 = 1.29$. Thus, Sale.com is more than three times as risky as Basic Foods on the basis of this criterion. Because the coefficient of variation captures the effects of both risk and return, it is a better measure than just standard deviation for evaluating stand-alone risk in situations where two or more investments have substantially different expected returns.

## Risk Aversion and Required Returns

Suppose you have worked hard and saved $1 million, which you now plan to invest. You can buy a 5 percent U.S. Treasury security, and at the end of one year you will have a sure $1.05 million, which is your original investment plus $50,000.
in interest. Alternatively, you can buy stock in Genetic Advances. If Genetic Advances’ research programs are successful, your stock will increase in value to $2.1 million. However, if the research is a failure, the value of your stock will go to zero, and you will be penniless. You regard Genetic Advances’ chances of success or failure as being 50–50, so the expected value of the stock investment is 0.5($0) + 0.5($2,100,000) = $1,050,000. Subtracting the $1 million cost of the stock leaves an expected profit of $50,000, or an expected (but risky) 5 percent rate of return: $50,000/$1,000,000 = 0.05 = 5%.

Thus, you have a choice between a sure $50,000 profit (representing a 5 percent rate of return) on the Treasury security and a risky expected $50,000 profit (also representing a 5 percent expected rate of return) on the Genetic Advances stock. Which one would you choose? If you choose the less risky investment, you are risk averse. Most investors are indeed risk averse, and certainly the average investor is risk averse with regard to his or her “serious money.” Because this is a well-documented fact, we shall assume risk aversion throughout the remainder of the book.

What are the implications of risk aversion for security prices and rates of return? The answer is that, other things held constant, the higher a security’s risk, the lower its price and the higher its required return. To see how risk aversion affects security prices, consider again Basic Foods and Sale.com. Suppose each stock is expected to pay an annual dividend of $15 forever. The price of each stock is just the present value of a perpetuity. If each stock had an expected return of 15 percent, then each stock’s price would be P = $15/0.15 = $100. Investors are averse to risk, so under these conditions there would be a general preference for Basic Foods—it has the same expected return as Sale.com but less risk. People with money to invest would bid for Basic Foods rather than Sale.com stock, and Sale.com stockholders would start selling their stock and using the money to buy Basic Foods. Buying pressure would drive up Basic Foods’ stock, and selling pressure would simultaneously cause Sale.com’s price to decline.

These price changes, in turn, would cause changes in the expected rates of return on the two securities. Suppose, for example, that Basic Foods’ stock price was bid up from $100 to $150, whereas Sale.com’s stock price declined from $100 to $75. This would cause Basic Foods’ expected return to fall to 10 percent, while Sale.com’s expected return would rise to 20 percent. The difference in returns, 20% − 10% = 10%, is a risk premium, RP, which represents the additional compensation investors require for assuming the additional risk of Sale.com stock.

This example demonstrates a very important principle: In a market dominated by risk-averse investors, riskier securities must have higher expected returns, as estimated by the marginal investor, than less risky securities. If this situation does not exist, buying and selling in the market will force it to occur. We will consider the question of how much higher the returns on risky securities must be later in the chapter, after we see how diversification affects the way risk should be measured. Then, in later chapters, we will see how risk-adjusted rates of return affect the prices investors are willing to pay for bonds and stocks.

**Self-Test Questions**

What does “investment risk” mean?
Set up an illustrative probability distribution for an investment.
What is a payoff matrix?

---

3Recall that the present value of a perpetuity is \( P = \frac{CF}{r} \), where \( CF \) is the constant annual cash flow of the perpetuity. Solving for \( r \), the expected return for Basic Foods is \( \frac{15}{150} = 0.10 = 10\% \). The expected return for Sale.com is \( \frac{15}{75} = 0.20 = 20\% \).
Which of the two stocks graphed in Figure 2-2 is less risky? Why?
How does one calculate the standard deviation?
Which is a better measure of risk if assets have different expected returns: (1) the standard deviation or (2) the coefficient of variation? Why?
Explain the following statement: “Most investors are risk averse.”
How does risk aversion affect rates of return?

RISK IN A PORTFOLIO CONTEXT

In the preceding section, we considered the risk of assets held in isolation. Now we analyze the risk of assets held in portfolios. As we shall see, an asset held as part of a portfolio is less risky than the same asset held in isolation. Accordingly, most financial assets are actually held as parts of portfolios. Banks, pension funds, insurance companies, mutual funds, and other financial institutions are required by law to hold diversified portfolios. Even individual investors—at least those whose security holdings constitute a significant part of their total wealth—generally hold portfolios, not the stock of only one firm. This being the case, from an investor’s standpoint the fact that a particular stock goes up or down is not very important; what is important is the return on his or her portfolio, and the portfolio’s risk. Logically, then, the risk and return of an individual security should be analyzed in terms of how that security affects the risk and return of the portfolio in which it is held.

To illustrate, Pay Up Inc. is a collection agency that operates nationwide through 37 offices. The company is not well known, its stock is not very liquid, its earnings have fluctuated quite a bit in the past, and it doesn’t pay a dividend. All this suggests that Pay Up is risky and that the required rate of return on its stock, \( r \), should be relatively high. However, Pay Up’s required rate of return in 2006, and all other years, was quite low in relation to those of most other companies. This indicates that investors regard Pay Up as being a low-risk company in spite of its uncertain profits. The reason for this counterintuitive fact has to do with diversification and its effect on risk. Pay Up’s earnings rise during recessions, whereas most other companies’ earnings tend to decline when the economy slumps. It’s like fire insurance—it pays off when other things go badly. Therefore, adding Pay Up to a portfolio of “normal” stocks tends to stabilize returns on the entire portfolio, thus making the portfolio less risky.

Portfolio Returns

The expected return on a portfolio, \( \hat{r}_p \), is simply the weighted average of the expected returns on the individual assets in the portfolio, with the weights being the fraction of the total portfolio invested in each asset:

\[
\hat{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \hat{r}_i
\]

\[\text{2-5}\]
Here the $\hat{r}_i$’s are the expected returns on the individual stocks, the $w_i$’s are the weights, and there are $n$ stocks in the portfolio. Note that (1) $w_i$ is the fraction of the portfolio’s dollar value invested in Stock $i$ (that is, the value of the investment in Stock $i$ divided by the total value of the portfolio) and (2) the $w_i$’s must sum to 1.0.

Assume that in August 2006, a security analyst estimated that the following returns could be expected on the stocks of four large companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Return, $\hat{r}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If we formed a $100,000 portfolio, investing $25,000 in each stock, the expected portfolio return would be 10.75 percent:

\[
\hat{r}_p = w_1\hat{r}_1 + w_2\hat{r}_2 + w_3\hat{r}_3 + w_4\hat{r}_4 = 0.25(12\%) + 0.25(11.5\%) + 0.25(10\%) + 0.25(9.5\%) = 10.75\%
\]

Of course, the actual realized rates of return will almost certainly be different from their expected values, so the realized portfolio return, $r_p$, will be different from the expected return. For example, Coca-Cola might double and provide a return of $+100\%$, whereas Microsoft might have a terrible year, fall sharply, and have a return of $-75\%$. Note, though, that those two events would be somewhat offsetting, so the portfolio’s return might still be close to its expected return, even though the individual stocks’ actual returns were far from their expected returns.

**Portfolio Risk**

As we just saw, the expected return on a portfolio is simply the weighted average of the expected returns on the individual assets in the portfolio. However, unlike returns, the risk of a portfolio, $\sigma_p$, is generally not the weighted average of the standard deviations of the individual assets in the portfolio; the portfolio’s risk will almost always be smaller than the weighted average of the assets’ $\sigma$’s. In fact, it is theoretically possible to combine stocks that are individually quite risky as measured by their standard deviations to form a portfolio that is completely riskless, with $\sigma_p = 0$.

To illustrate the effect of combining assets, consider the situation in Figure 2-4. The bottom section gives data on rates of return for Stocks W and M individually, and also for a portfolio invested 50 percent in each stock. The three graphs plot the data in a time series format. The two stocks would be quite risky if they were held in isolation, but when they are combined to form Portfolio WM, they are not risky at all. (Note: These stocks are called W and M because the graphs of their returns in Figure 2-4 resemble a W and an M.)

The reason Stocks W and M can be combined to form a riskless portfolio is that their returns move countercyclically to each other—when W’s returns fall, those of M rise, and vice versa. The tendency of two variables to move together is
called correlation, and the correlation coefficient measures this tendency.\textsuperscript{4} The symbol for the correlation coefficient is the Greek letter rho, \( \rho \) (pronounced roe). In statistical terms, we say that the returns on Stocks W and M are perfectly negatively correlated, with \( \rho = -1.0 \).

The opposite of perfect negative correlation, with \( \rho = -1.0 \), is perfect positive correlation, with \( \rho = +1.0 \). Returns on two perfectly positively correlated stocks

\textsuperscript{4}The correlation coefficient, \( \rho \), can range from +1.0, denoting that the two variables move up and down in perfect synchronization, to −1.0, denoting that the variables always move in exactly opposite directions. A correlation coefficient of zero indicates that the two variables are not related to each other—that is, changes in one variable are independent of changes in the other.

The correlation is called \( R \) when it is estimated using historical data. Here is the formula to estimate the correlation between stocks \( i \) and \( j \) (\( \bar{r}_{i,t} \) is the actual return for stock \( i \) in period \( t \) and \( \bar{r}_{i,\text{Avg}} \) is the average return during the period; similar notation is used for stock \( j \)):

\[
R = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{i,t} - \bar{r}_{i,\text{Avg}}) (\bar{r}_{j,t} - \bar{r}_{j,\text{Avg}})}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{i,t} - \bar{r}_{i,\text{Avg}})^2 \sum_{t=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{j,t} - \bar{r}_{j,\text{Avg}})^2}}
\]

Fortunately, it is easy to calculate correlation coefficients with a financial calculator. Simply enter the returns on the two stocks and then press a key labeled “\( r \).” In Excel, use the CORREL function.
(M and M’) would move up and down together, and a portfolio consisting of two such stocks would be exactly as risky as each individual stock. This point is illustrated in Figure 2-5, where we see that the portfolio’s standard deviation is equal to that of the individual stocks. Thus, diversification does nothing to reduce risk if the portfolio consists of perfectly positively correlated stocks.

Figures 2-4 and 2-5 demonstrate that when stocks are perfectly negatively correlated (\(\rho = -1.0\)), all risk can be diversified away, but when stocks are perfectly positively correlated (\(\rho = +1.0\)), diversification does no good whatsoever. In reality, most stocks are positively correlated, but not perfectly so. On average, the correlation coefficient for the returns on two randomly selected stocks would be about +0.6, and for most pairs of stocks, \(\rho\) would lie in the range of +0.5 to +0.7. Under such conditions, combining stocks into portfolios reduces risk but does not eliminate it completely. Figure 2-6 illustrates this point with two stocks whose correlation coefficient is \(\rho = +0.67\). The portfolio’s average return is 15 percent, which is exactly the same as the average return for each of the two stocks, but its standard deviation is 20.6 percent, which is less than the standard deviation of either stock. Thus, the portfolio’s risk is not an average of the risks of its individual stocks—diversification has reduced, but not eliminated, risk.

From these two-stock portfolio examples, we have seen that in one extreme case (\(\rho = -1.0\)), risk can be completely eliminated, while in the other extreme
case \((\rho = +1.0)\), diversification does nothing to limit risk. The real world lies between these extremes, so in general, combining two stocks into a portfolio reduces, but does not eliminate, the risk inherent in the individual stocks.

What would happen if we included more than two stocks in the portfolio? As a rule, the risk of a portfolio will decline as the number of stocks in the portfolio increases. If we added enough partially correlated stocks, could we completely eliminate risk? In general, the answer is no, but the extent to which adding stocks to a portfolio reduces its risk depends on the degree of correlation among the stocks: The smaller the positive correlation coefficients, the lower the risk in a large portfolio. If some stocks had correlations of \(-1.0\), all risk could be eliminated. In the real world, where the correlations among the individual stocks are generally positive but less than +1.0, some, but not all, risk can be eliminated.

To test your understanding, would you expect to find higher correlations between the returns on two companies in the same or in different industries? For example, would the correlation of returns on Ford’s and General Motors’ stocks be higher, or would the correlation coefficient be higher between either Ford or GM and AT&T, and how would those correlations affect the risk of portfolios containing them?

---

**Figure 2-6** Rates of Return for Two Partially Correlated Stocks \((\rho = +0.67)\) and for Portfolio WY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stock W ((\bar{r}_W))</th>
<th>Stock Y ((\bar{r}_Y))</th>
<th>Portfolio WY ((\bar{r}_P))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
<td>(17.0)</td>
<td>(11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average return</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Answer: Ford’s and GM’s returns have a correlation coefficient of about 0.9 with one another because both are affected by auto sales, but their correlation is only about 0.6 with AT&T.

Implications: A two-stock portfolio consisting of Ford and GM would be less well diversified than a two-stock portfolio consisting of Ford or GM, plus AT&T. Thus, to minimize risk, portfolios should be diversified across industries.

Diversifiable Risk versus Market Risk

As noted above, it is difficult if not impossible to find stocks whose expected returns are negatively correlated—most stocks tend to do well when the national economy is strong and badly when it is weak. Thus, even very large portfolios end up with a substantial amount of risk, but not as much risk as if all the money were invested in only one stock.

To see more precisely how portfolio size affects portfolio risk, consider Figure 2-7, which shows how portfolio risk is affected by forming larger and larger portfolios of randomly selected New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) stocks. Standard deviations are plotted for an average one-stock portfolio, a two-stock portfolio, and so on, up to a portfolio consisting of all 2,000-plus common stocks that were listed on the NYSE at the time the data were graphed. The graph illustrates that, in general, the risk of a portfolio consisting of large-company stocks tends to decline and to approach some limit as the size of the portfolio increases. According to data accumulated in recent years, \( \sigma_1 \), the standard deviation of a one-stock portfolio (or an average stock), is approximately 35 percent. A portfolio consisting of all stocks, which is called the market portfolio, would have a standard deviation, \( \sigma_M \), of about 20.1 percent, which is shown as the horizontal dashed line in Figure 2-7.

Thus, almost half of the risk inherent in an average individual stock can be eliminated if the stock is held in a reasonably well-diversified portfolio, which is one containing 40 or more stocks in a number of different industries. Some risk always remains, however, so it is virtually impossible to diversify away the effects of broad stock market movements that affect almost all stocks.

The part of a stock’s risk that can be eliminated is called diversifiable risk, while the part that cannot be eliminated is called market risk.\(^5\) The fact that a large part of the risk of any individual stock can be eliminated is vitally important, because rational investors will eliminate it and thus render it irrelevant.

Diversifiable risk is caused by such random events as lawsuits, strikes, successful and unsuccessful marketing programs, the winning or losing of a major contract, and other events that are unique to a particular firm. Because these events are random, their effects on a portfolio can be eliminated by diversification—bad events in one firm will be offset by good events in another. Market risk, on the other hand, stems from factors that systematically affect most firms: war, inflation, recessions, and high interest rates. Since most stocks are negatively affected by these factors, market risk cannot be eliminated by diversification.

We know that investors demand a premium for bearing risk; that is, the higher the risk of a security, the higher its expected return must be to induce investors to buy (or to hold) it. However, if investors are primarily concerned with the risk of their portfolios rather than the risk of the individual securities in the

\(^5\)Diversifiable risk is also known as company-specific, or unsystematic, risk. Market risk is also known as nondiversifiable, or systematic, or beta, risk; it is the risk that remains after diversification.
portfolio, how should the risk of an individual stock be measured? One answer is provided by the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), an important tool used to analyze the relationship between risk and rates of return. The primary conclusion of the CAPM is this: The relevant risk of an individual stock is its contribution to the risk of a well-diversified portfolio. A stock might be quite risky if held by itself, but if half of its risk can be eliminated by diversification, then its relevant risk, which is its contribution to the portfolio’s risk, is much smaller than its stand-alone risk.

A simple example will help make this point clear. Suppose you are offered the chance to flip a coin once. If it’s heads, you win $20,000, but if it’s tails, you lose $16,000. This is a good bet—the expected return is $20,000 \times 0.5 - $16,000 \times 0.5 = $2,000. However, it is a highly risky proposition, because you have a 50 percent chance of losing $16,000. Thus, you might well refuse to make the bet. Alternatively, suppose you were offered the chance to flip a coin 100 times, and you would win $200 for each head but lose $160 for each tail. It is theoretically possible that you would flip all heads and win $20,000, and it is also

---

6Indeed, the 1990 Nobel Prize was awarded to the developers of the CAPM, Professors Harry Markowitz and William F. Sharpe. The CAPM is a relatively complex theory, and only its basic elements are presented in this chapter. A more in-depth presentation appears in Chapter 3.
theoretically possible that you would flip all tails and lose $16,000, but the chances are very high that you would actually flip about 50 heads and about 50 tails, winning a net of about $2,000. Although each individual flip is a risky bet, collectively you have a low-risk proposition because most of the risk has been diversified away. This is the idea behind holding portfolios of stocks rather than just one stock, except that with stocks all of the risk cannot be eliminated by diversification—those risks related to broad, systematic changes in the stock market will remain.

Are all stocks equally risky in the sense that adding them to a well-diversified portfolio would have the same effect on the portfolio’s riskiness? The answer is no. Different stocks will affect the portfolio differently, so different securities have different degrees of relevant risk. How can the relevant risk of an individual stock be measured? As we have seen, all risk except that related to broad market movements can, and presumably will, be diversified away. After all, why accept risk that can be easily eliminated? The risk that remains after diversifying is market risk, or the risk that is inherent in the market, and it can be measured by the degree to which a given stock tends to move up or down with the market. In the

Although U.S. investors have traditionally been relatively reluctant to hold international assets, it is a safe bet that in the years ahead U.S. investors will shift more and more of their assets to overseas investments.

Source: For further reading, see also Kenneth Kasa, “Measuring the Gains from International Portfolio Diversification,” Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Weekly Letter, no. 94-14 (April 8, 1994).

Figure 2-7, presented earlier, demonstrated that an investor can significantly reduce the risk of his or her portfolio by holding a large number of stocks. The figure accompanying this box suggests that investors may be able to reduce risk even further by holding a large portfolio of stocks from all around the world, because the returns of domestic and international stocks are not perfectly correlated.
next section, we develop a measure of a stock’s market risk, and then, in a later section, we introduce an equation for determining the required rate of return on a stock, given its market risk.

The Concept of Beta

As we noted above, the primary conclusion of the CAPM is that the relevant risk of an individual stock is the amount of risk the stock contributes to a well-diversified portfolio. The benchmark for a well-diversified stock portfolio is the market portfolio, which is a portfolio containing all stocks. Therefore, the relevant risk of an individual stock, which is called its beta coefficient, is defined under the CAPM as the amount of risk that the stock contributes to the market portfolio. In CAPM terminology, $\rho_{iM}$ is the correlation between the $i$th stock’s return and the return on the market, $\sigma_i$ is the standard deviation of the $i$th stock’s return, and $\sigma_M$ is the standard deviation of the market’s return. In the literature on the CAPM, it is proved that the beta coefficient of the $i$th stock, denoted by $b_i$, can be found as follows:

$$b_i = \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_M} \right) \rho_{iM}$$

This tells us that a stock with a high standard deviation, $\sigma_i$, will tend to have a high beta. This makes sense, because if all other things are equal, a stock with high stand-alone risk will contribute a lot of risk to the portfolio. Note too that a stock with a high correlation with the market, $\rho_{iM}$, will also have a large beta, and hence be risky. This also makes sense, because a high correlation means that diversification is not helping much, hence the stock contributes a lot of risk to the portfolio.

Calculators and spreadsheets can use Equation 2-6 to calculate beta, but there is another way. Suppose you plotted the stock’s returns on the $y$-axis of a graph and the market portfolio’s returns on the $x$-axis, as shown in Figure 2-8. The tendency of a stock to move up and down with the market is reflected in its beta coefficient. An average-risk stock is defined as one with a beta equal to 1.0. Such a stock’s returns tend to move up and down, on average, by about the same amount as the general market, which is measured by some index such as the Dow Jones Industrials, the S&P 500, or the New York Stock Exchange Index. A portfolio of such $b = 1.0$ stocks will move up and down with the broad market indexes, and it will be just as risky as the indexes. A portfolio of $b = 0.5$ stocks will be half as risky as the market. On the other hand, a portfolio of $b = 2.0$ stocks will be twice as risky as the market. The value of such a portfolio could double—or halve—in a short time, and if you held such a portfolio, you could quickly go from millionaire to pauper.

Figure 2-8 graphs the relative volatility of three stocks. The data below the graph assume that in 2004 the “market,” defined as a portfolio consisting of all stocks, had a total return (dividend yield plus capital gains yield) of $\bar{r}_M = 10\%$, and Stocks H, A, and L (for High, Average, and Low risk) also all had returns of 10 percent. In 2005, the market went up sharply, and the return on the market portfolio was $\bar{r}_M = 20\%$. Returns on the three stocks also went up: H soared to 30 percent; A went up to 20 percent, the same as the market; and L only went up to 15 percent. Now suppose the market dropped in 2006, and the market return was $\bar{r}_M = -10\%$. The three stocks’ returns also fell, H plunging to $-30\%$, A falling to $-10\%$, and L going down to $\bar{r}_L = 0\%$. Thus, the three stocks
all moved in the same direction as the market, but H was by far the most volatile; A was just as volatile as the market; and L was less volatile.

Beta measures a stock’s volatility relative to the market, which by definition has $b = 1.0$. As we noted above, a stock’s beta can be calculated by plotting a line like those in Figure 2-8. The slopes of the lines show how each stock moves in response to a movement in the general market—indeed, the slope coefficient of such a “regression line” is defined as a beta coefficient. (Procedures for actually calculating betas are described later in this chapter.) Most stocks have betas in the range of 0.50 to 1.50, and the average beta for all stocks is 1.0 by definition.
Theoretically, it is possible for a stock to have a negative beta. In this case, the stock’s returns would tend to rise whenever the returns on other stocks fall. In practice, very few stocks have a negative beta. Keep in mind that a stock in a given period may move counter to the overall market, even though the stock’s beta is positive. If a stock has a positive beta, we would expect its return to increase whenever the overall stock market rises. However, company-specific factors may cause the stock’s realized return to decline, even though the market’s return is positive.

The beta of a portfolio is a weighted average of its individual securities’ betas:

\[
b_p = w_1b_1 + w_2b_2 + \cdots + w_nb_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_ib_i
\]

Here \(b_p\) is the beta of the portfolio, and it shows how volatile the portfolio is in relation to the market; \(w_i\) is the fraction of the portfolio invested in the \(i\)th stock; and \(b_i\) is the beta coefficient of the \(i\)th stock. For example, if an investor holds a $100,000 portfolio consisting of $33,333.33 invested in each of three stocks, and if each of the stocks has a beta of 0.7, then the portfolio’s beta will be \(b_p = 0.7\):

\[
b_p = 0.3333(0.7) + 0.3333(0.7) + 0.3333(0.7) = 0.7
\]

Such a portfolio will be less risky than the market, so it should experience relatively narrow price swings and have relatively small rate-of-return fluctuations. In terms of Figure 2-8, the slope of its regression line would be 0.7, which is less than that for a portfolio of average stocks.

Now suppose one of the existing stocks is sold and replaced by a stock with \(b_i = 2.0\). This action will increase the beta of the portfolio from \(b_{p1} = 0.7\) to \(b_{p2} = 1.13\):

\[
b_{p2} = 0.3333(0.7) + 0.3333(0.7) + 0.3333(2.0) = 1.13
\]

Had a stock with \(b_i = 0.2\) been added, the portfolio beta would have declined from 0.7 to 0.53. Adding a low-beta stock, therefore, would reduce the risk of the portfolio. Consequently, adding new stocks to a portfolio can change the riskiness of that portfolio. Thus, since a stock’s beta measures its contribution to the risk of a portfolio, beta is the theoretically correct measure of the stock’s risk.

The preceding analysis of risk in a portfolio context is part of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), and we can summarize our discussion to this point as follows:

1. A stock’s risk consists of two components, market risk and diversifiable risk.
2. Diversifiable risk can be eliminated by diversification, and most investors do indeed diversify, either by holding large portfolios or by purchasing shares in a mutual fund. We are left, then, with market risk, which is caused by general movements in the stock market and which reflects the fact that most stocks are systematically affected by events like war, recessions, and inflation. Market risk is the only risk relevant to a rational, diversified investor because such an investor would eliminate diversifiable risk.
3. Investors must be compensated for bearing risk—the greater the risk of a stock, the higher its required return. However, compensation is required only for risk that cannot be eliminated by diversification. If risk premiums existed
on stocks due to diversifiable risk, well-diversified investors would start buying those securities (which would not be especially risky to such investors) and bidding up their prices, and the stocks’ final (equilibrium) expected returns would reflect only nondiversifiable market risk.

4. The market risk of a stock is measured by its beta coefficient, which is an index of the stock’s relative volatility. If \( b = 1.0 \), then the stock is about as risky as the market, if held in a diversified portfolio. If \( b < 1.0 \), the stock is less risky than the market. If beta is greater than 1.0, the stock is more risky.

5. The beta of a portfolio is a weighted average of the individual securities’ betas.

6. *Since a stock’s beta coefficient determines how the stock affects the risk of a diversified portfolio, beta is the most relevant measure of any stock’s risk.*

Self-Test Questions

Explain the following statement: “An asset held as part of a portfolio is generally less risky than the same asset held in isolation.”

What is meant by perfect positive correlation, perfect negative correlation, and zero correlation?

In general, can the risk of a portfolio be reduced to zero by increasing the number of stocks in the portfolio? Explain.

What is the beta of a stock that is as risky as the market?

Why is beta the theoretically correct measure of a stock’s risk?

If you plotted the returns on a particular stock versus those on the Dow Jones Index over the past five years, what would the slope of the regression line you obtained indicate about the stock’s market risk?

**CALCULATING BETA COEFFICIENTS**

The CAPM is an *ex ante* model, which means that all of the variables represent before-the-fact, *expected* values. In particular, the beta coefficient used by investors should reflect the expected volatility of a given stock’s return versus the return on the market during some future period. However, people generally calculate betas using data from some past period, and then assume that the stock’s relative volatility will be the same in the future as it was in the past.

Table 2-4 shows the betas for some well-known companies, as provided by two different financial organizations, Thomson ONE—Business School Edition and Yahoo!Finance. Notice that their estimates of beta usually differ, because they calculate beta in slightly different ways. Given these differences, many analysts choose to calculate their own betas.

Recall from Figure 2-8 how betas are calculated. The actual historical returns for a company are plotted on the y-axis and the market portfolio’s returns are plotted on the x-axis. A regression line is then fitted through the points, and the slope of the regression line provides an estimate of the stock’s beta. Although it is possible to calculate beta coefficients with a calculator, they are usually calculated with a computer, either with a statistical software program or a spreadsheet program. The file *IFM9 Ch02 Tool Kit.xls* available through ThomsonNOW shows how GE’s beta coefficient is calculated using Excel’s regression function.7

The first step in a regression analysis is compiling the data. Most analysts use four to five years of monthly data, although some use 52 weeks of weekly data.

---

7For an explanation of calculating beta with a financial calculator, see the Chapter 2 Web Extension available through ThomsonNOW.
We decided to use four years of monthly data, so we began by downloading 49 months of stock prices for GE from the Yahoo!Finance Web site. We used the S&P 500 Index as the market portfolio because most analysts use this index. Table 2-5 shows a portion of this data; the full data set is in the file IFM9 Ch02 Tool Kit.xls on ThomsonNOW.

The second step is to convert the stock prices into rates of return. For example, to find the September 2004 return for GE, we find the percentage change from the previous month: $(33.88/32.79 - 1) \times 100\% = 3.3\%$. We also find the percent change of the S&P Index level, and use this as the market return.

As Table 2-5 shows, GE had an average annual return of 8.2 percent during this four-year period, while the market had an average annual return of 4.8 percent. As we noted before, it is usually unreasonable to think that the future expected return for a stock will equal its average historical return over a relatively short period, such as four years. However, we might well expect past volatility to be a reasonable estimate of future volatility, at least during the next couple of years. Note that the standard deviation for GE’s return during this period was 24.5 percent versus 16.5 percent for the market. Thus, the market’s volatility is less than that of GE. This is what we would expect, since the market is a well-diversified portfolio and thus much of its risk has been diversified away. The correlation between GE’s stock returns and the market returns is about 59 percent, which is a little higher than the correlation for a typical stock.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock (Ticker Symbol)</th>
<th>Beta: Thomson ONE</th>
<th>Beta: Yahoo!Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amazon.com (AMZN)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco Systems (CSCO)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch (MER)</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell Computer (DELL)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric (GE)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Corp. (MSFT)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energen Corp. (EGN)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empire District Electric (EDE)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola (KO)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procter &amp; Gamble (PG)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinz (HNZ)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prices reported in Yahoo!Finance are adjusted for dividends and stock splits so we can calculate the return as the percentage change in the adjusted price. If you use a source that reports actual market prices, then you have to make the adjustment yourself when calculating returns. For example, suppose the stock price is $100 in July, the company has a 2-for-1 split, and the actual price is then $60 in August. The reported adjusted price for August would be $60, but the reported price for July would be lowered to $50 to reflect the stock split. This gives an accurate stock return of 20 percent: $(60 - 50)/50 = 20\%$, the same as if there had not been a split, in which case the return would have been $(120 - 100)/100 = 20\%$.

Or suppose the actual price in September was $50, the company paid a $10 dividend, and the actual price in October was $60. Shareholders have earned a return of $(60 + 10 - 50)/50 = 40\%$. Yahoo reports an adjusted price of $60 for October, and an adjusted price of $42.857 for September, which gives a return of $(60 - 42.857)/42.857 = 40\%$. Again, the percentage change in the adjusted price accurately reflects the actual return.
Figure 2-9 shows a plot of GE’s returns against the market returns. As you will notice if you look in the file IFM9 Ch02 Tool Kit.xls, we used the Excel Chart feature to add a trend line and to display the equation and $R^2$ value on the chart itself. Alternatively, we could have used the Excel regression analysis feature, which would have provided more detailed data.

Figure 2-9 shows that GE’s beta is about 0.88, as shown by the slope coefficient in the regression equation displayed on the chart. This means that GE’s beta is a little less than the 1.0 average beta. Thus, GE moves up and down slightly less than the market. Note, however, that the points are not clustered very tightly around the regression line. Sometimes GE does much better than the market, while at other times it does much worse. The $R^2$ value shown in the chart measures the degree of dispersion about the regression line. Statistically speaking, it measures the percentage of the variance that is explained by the regression equation. An $R^2$ of 1.0 indicates that all points lie exactly on the line, hence that all of the variance of the y-variable is explained by the x-variable. GE’s $R^2$ is about 0.35, which is higher than for most individual stocks. This indicates that about 35 percent of the variance in GE’s returns is explained by the market returns. If we had done a similar analysis for a portfolio of 40 randomly selected stocks, then the points would probably have been clustered tightly around the regression line, and the $R^2$ would have probably been over 0.9.

Finally, note that the intercept shown in the regression equation on the chart is about $-0.0034$. Since the regression equation is based on monthly data, this means that over this period GE’s stock earned 0.34 percent less per month than an average stock as a result of factors other than a general increase in stock prices.

**Self-Test Questions**

What types of data are needed to calculate a beta coefficient for an actual company?

What does the $R^2$ measure? What is the $R^2$ for a typical company?
In the preceding section, we saw that under the CAPM theory, beta is the appropriate measure of a stock’s relevant risk. Now we must specify the relationship between risk and return: For a given level of risk as measured by beta, what rate of return should investors require to compensate them for bearing that risk? To begin, let us define the following terms:

\[ \hat{r}_i \] = expected rate of return on the \( i \)th stock.

\[ r_i \] = required rate of return on the \( i \)th stock. This is the minimum expected return that is required to induce an average investor to purchase the stock.

\[ \bar{r} \] = realized, after-the-fact return.

\[ r_{RF} \] = risk-free rate of return. In this context, \( r_{RF} \) is generally measured by the expected return on long-term U.S. Treasury bonds.

\[ b_i \] = beta coefficient of the \( i \)th stock.

\[ r_M \] = required rate of return on a portfolio consisting of all stocks, which is called the market portfolio.

\[ \text{RP}_M \] = risk premium on “the market.” \( \text{RP}_M = (r_M - r_{RF}) \) is the additional return over the risk-free rate required to induce an average investor to invest in the market portfolio.

\[ \text{RP}_i \] = risk premium on the \( i \)th stock: \( \text{RP}_i = (\text{RP}_M)b_i \).
The market risk premium, RP_M, shows the premium investors require for bearing the risk of an average stock, and it depends on the degree of risk aversion that investors on average have. Let us assume that at the current time, Treasury bonds yield r_{RF} = 6\%, and the market has a required return of r_M = 11\%. The market risk premium is 5\%:

$$RP_M = r_M - r_{RF} = 11\% - 6\% = 5\%$$

We can measure a stock’s relative riskiness by its beta coefficient. The risk premium for the ith stock is

$$\text{Risk premium for Stock i } = RP_i = (RP_M)b_i$$ \hfill \textit{2-8}$$

If we know the market risk premium, RP_M, and the stock’s risk as measured by its beta coefficient, b_i, we can find the stock’s risk premium as the product (RP_M)b_i. For example, if b_i = 0.5 and RP_M = 5\%, then RP_i is 2.5\%:

$$RP_i = (5\%)(0.5)$$
$$= 2.5\%$$

It follows that if one stock were twice as risky as another, its risk premium would be twice as high, while if its risk were only half as much, its risk premium would be half as large.

The required return for any investment can be expressed in general terms as

$$\text{Required return } = \text{Risk-free return } + \text{Premium for risk}$$

Here the risk-free return includes a premium for expected inflation, and we assume that the assets under consideration have similar maturities and liquidity. Under these conditions, the relationship between the required return and risk is called the Security Market Line (SML):

$$\text{SML Equation: Required return on Stock i } = \text{Risk-free rate } + \left( \frac{\text{Market risk Premium}}{b_i} \right)$$ \hfill \textit{2-9}$$

The required return for Stock i can be written as follows:

$$r_i = 6\% + 5\%(0.5)$$
$$= 8.5\%$$

If some other Stock j were riskier than Stock i and had b_j = 2.0, then its required rate of return would be 16\%:

$$r_j = 6\% + (5\%)2.0 = 16\%$$

An average stock, with b = 1.0, would have a required return of 11\%, the same as the market return:

$$r_A = 6\% + (5\%)1.0 = 11\% = r_M$$
As noted above, Equation 2-9 is called the Security Market Line (SML) equation, and it is often expressed in graph form, as in Figure 2-10, which shows the SML when \( r_{RF} = 6\% \) and \( R_{PM} = 5\% \). Note the following points:

1. Required rates of return are shown on the vertical axis, while risk as measured by beta is shown on the horizontal axis. This graph is quite different from the one shown in Figure 2-8, where the returns on individual stocks were plotted on the vertical axis and returns on the market index were shown on the horizontal axis. The slopes of the three lines in Figure 2-8 were used to calculate the three stocks’ betas, and those betas were then plotted as points on the horizontal axis of Figure 2-10.

2. Riskless securities have \( b_i = 0 \); therefore, \( r_{RF} \) appears as the vertical axis intercept in Figure 2-10. If we could construct a portfolio that had a beta of zero, it would have a required return equal to the risk-free rate.

3. The slope of the SML (5 percent in Figure 2-10) reflects the degree of risk aversion in the economy—the greater the average investor’s aversion to risk, then (a) the steeper the slope of the line, (b) the greater the risk premium for all stocks, and (c) the higher the required rate of return on all stocks. These points are discussed further in a later section.

---

**Figure 2-10**  
*The Security Market Line (SML)*

Students sometimes confuse beta with the slope of the SML. This is a mistake. The slope of any straight line is equal to the “rise” divided by the “run,” or \((Y_1 - Y_0)/(X_1 - X_0)\). Consider Figure 2-10. If we let \( Y = r \) and \( X = \beta \), and we go from the origin to \( b = 1.0 \), we see that the slope is \((r_M - r_{RF})/(b_M - b_{RF}) = (11\% - 6\%)/(1 - 0) = 5\% \). Thus, the slope of the SML is equal to \((r_M - r_{RF})\), the market risk premium. In Figure 2-10, \( r_i = 6\% + 5\%b_i \), so an increase of beta from 1.0 to 2.0 would produce a 5 percentage point increase in \( r_i \).
4. The values we worked out for stocks with \( b_i = 0.5 \), \( b_i = 1.0 \), and \( b_i = 2.0 \) agree with the values shown on the graph for \( r_L \), \( r_A \), and \( r_H \).

Both the Security Market Line and a company’s position on it change over time due to changes in interest rates, investors’ aversion to risk, and individual companies’ betas. Such changes are discussed in the following sections.

**The Impact of Inflation**

Interest is the same as “rent” on borrowed money, or the price of money. Thus, \( r_{RF} \) is the price of money to a riskless borrower. The risk-free rate as measured by the rate on U.S. Treasury securities is called the *nominal, or quoted, rate*, and it consists of two elements: (1) a *real inflation-free rate of return*, \( r^* \), and (2) an *inflation premium*, \( IP \), equal to the anticipated rate of inflation.\(^{10}\) Thus, \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP \). The real rate on long-term Treasury bonds has historically ranged from 2 to 4 percent, with a mean of about 3 percent. Therefore, if no inflation were expected, long-term Treasury bonds would yield about 3 percent. Therefore, if no inflation were expected, long-term Treasury bonds would yield about 3 percent. However, as the expected rate of inflation increases, a premium must be added to the real risk-free rate of return to compensate investors for the loss of purchasing power that results from inflation. Therefore, the 6 percent \( r_{RF} \) shown in Figure 2-10 might be thought of as consisting of a 3 percent real risk-free rate of return plus a 3 percent inflation premium: \( r_{RF} = r^* + IP = 3\% + 3\% = 6\% \).

If the expected inflation rate rose by 2 percent, to \( 3\% + 2\% = 5\% \), this would cause \( r_{RF} \) to rise to 8 percent. Such a change is shown in Figure 2-11.

---

\(^{10}\)Long-term Treasury bonds also contain a maturity risk premium, \( MRP \). Here we include the \( MRP \) in \( r^* \) to simplify the discussion.
Notice that under the CAPM, the increase in $r_{RF}$ leads to an *equal* increase in the rate of return on all risky assets, because the same inflation premium is built into the required rate of return of both riskless and risky assets. For example, the rate of return on an average stock, $r_M$, increases from 11 to 13 percent. Other risky securities’ returns also rise by two percentage points.

The discussion above also applies to any change in the nominal risk-free interest rate, whether it is caused by a change in expected inflation or in the real interest rate. The key point to remember is that a change in $r_{RF}$ will not necessarily cause a change in the market risk premium, which is the required return on the market, $r_M$, minus the risk-free rate, $r_{RF}$. In other words, as $r_{RF}$ changes, so may the required return on the market, keeping the market risk premium stable. Think of a sailboat floating in a harbor. The distance from the ocean floor to the ocean surface is like the risk-free rate, and it moves up and down with the tides. The distance from the top of the ship’s mast to the ocean floor is like the required market return: it, too, moves up and down with the tides. But the distance from the mast-top to the ocean surface is like the market risk premium—it generally stays the same, even though tides move the ship up and down. In other words, a change in the risk-free rate also causes a change in the required market return, $r_M$, resulting in a relatively stable market risk premium, $r_M - r_{RF}$.

**Changes in Risk Aversion**

The slope of the Security Market Line reflects the extent to which investors are averse to risk—the steeper the slope of the line, the greater the average investor’s risk aversion. Suppose investors were indifferent to risk; that is, they were not risk averse. If $r_{RF}$ were 6 percent, then risky assets would also provide an expected return of 6 percent, because if there were no risk aversion, there would be no risk premium, and the SML would be plotted as a horizontal line. As risk aversion increases, so does the risk premium, and this causes the slope of the SML to become steeper.

Figure 2-12 illustrates an increase in risk aversion. The market risk premium rises from 5 to 7.5 percent, causing $r_M$ to rise from $r_{M1} = 11\%$ to $r_{M2} = 13.5\%$. The returns on other risky assets also rise, and the effect of this shift in risk aversion is more pronounced on riskier securities. For example, the required return on a stock with $b_i = 0.5$ increases by only 1.25 percentage points, from 8.5 to 9.75 percent, whereas that on a stock with $b_i = 1.5$ increases by 3.75 percentage points, from 13.5 to 17.25 percent.

**Changes in a Stock’s Beta Coefficient**

As we shall see later in the book, a firm can influence its market risk, hence its beta, through changes in the composition of its assets and also through its use of debt. A company’s beta can also change as a result of external factors such as increased competition in its industry, the expiration of basic patents, and the like. When such changes occur, the required rate of return also changes.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate among the expected rate of return ($\hat{r}$), the required rate of return ($r$), and the realized, after-the-fact return ($\bar{r}$) on a stock. Which would have to be larger to get you to buy the stock, $\hat{r}$ or $r$? Would $\hat{r}$, $r$, and $\bar{r}$ typically be the same or different for a given company?

What are the differences between the relative volatility graph (Figure 2-8), where “betas are made,” and the SML graph (Figure 2-10), where “betas are used”? Discuss both how the graphs are constructed and the information they convey.

What happens to the SML graph in Figure 2-10 when inflation increases or decreases?
What happens to the SML graph when risk aversion increases or decreases? What would the SML look like if investors were indifferent to risk, that is, had zero risk aversion? How can a firm influence its market risk as reflected in its beta?

**SOME CONCERNS ABOUT BETA AND THE CAPM**

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is more than just an abstract theory described in textbooks—it is also widely used by analysts, investors, and corporations. However, despite the CAPM’s intuitive appeal, a number of studies have raised concerns about its validity. In particular, a study by Eugene Fama of the University of Chicago and Kenneth French of Yale casts doubt on the CAPM.\(^\text{11}\) Fama and French found two variables that are consistently related to stock returns: (1) the firm’s size and (2) its market/book ratio. After adjusting for other factors, they found that smaller firms have provided relatively high returns, and that returns are relatively high on stocks with low market/book ratios. At the same time, and contrary to the CAPM, they found no relationship between a stock’s beta and its return.

As an alternative to the traditional CAPM, researchers and practitioners have begun to look to more general multi-beta models that expand on the CAPM and address its shortcomings. The multi-beta model is an attractive generalization of

---

the traditional CAPM model’s insight that market risk, or the risk that cannot be diversified away, underlies the pricing of assets. In the multi-beta model, market risk is measured relative to a set of risk factors that determine the behavior of asset returns, whereas the CAPM gauges risk only relative to the market return. It is important to note that the risk factors in the multi-beta model are all nondiversifiable sources of risk. Empirical research investigating the relationship between economic risk factors and security returns is ongoing, but it has discovered several risk factors, including the bond default premium, the bond term structure premium, and inflation, that affect most securities.

Practitioners and academicians have long recognized the limitations of the CAPM, and they are constantly looking for ways to improve it. The multi-beta model is a potential step in that direction.12

**Self-Test Question**

Are there any reasons to question the validity of the CAPM? Explain.

---

12We discuss Fama and French’s results and their three factor model, along with the APT model and behavioral models, in Chapter 3.

---

**SUMMARY**

In this chapter, we described the trade-off between risk and return. We began by discussing how to calculate risk and return for both individual assets and portfolios. In particular, we differentiated between stand-alone risk and risk in a portfolio context, and we explained the benefits of diversification. Finally, we developed the CAPM, which explains how risk affects rates of return. In the chapters that follow, we will give you the tools to estimate the required rates of return for bonds, preferred stock, and common stock, and we will explain how firms use these returns to develop their costs of capital. As you will see, the cost of capital is an important element in the firm’s capital budgeting process. The key concepts covered in this chapter are listed below.

- **Risk** can be defined as the chance that some unfavorable event will occur.
- The risk of an asset’s cash flows can be considered on a stand-alone basis (each asset by itself) or in a portfolio context, where the investment is combined with other assets and its risk is reduced through diversification.
- Most rational investors hold portfolios of assets, and they are more concerned with the riskiness of their portfolios than with the risk of individual assets.
- The expected return on an investment is the mean value of its probability distribution of returns.
- The greater the probability that the actual return will be far below the expected return, the greater the stand-alone risk associated with an asset.
- The average investor is risk averse, which means that he or she must be compensated for holding risky assets. Therefore, riskier assets have higher required returns than less risky assets.
- An asset’s risk consists of (1) diversifiable risk, which can be eliminated by diversification, plus (2) market risk, which cannot be eliminated by diversification.
- The relevant risk of an individual asset is its contribution to the riskiness of a well-diversified portfolio, which is the asset’s market risk. Since market risk cannot be eliminated by diversification, investors must be compensated for bearing it.
A stock’s **beta coefficient**, \( b \), is a measure of its market risk. Beta measures the extent to which the stock’s returns move relative to the market.

A **high-beta stock** is more volatile than an average stock, while a **low-beta stock** is less volatile than an average stock. An average stock has \( b = 1.0 \).

The beta of a portfolio is a weighted average of the betas of the individual securities in the portfolio.

The **Security Market Line (SML)** equation shows the relationship between a security’s market risk and its required rate of return. The return required for any security \( i \) is equal to the **risk-free rate** plus the **market risk premium** times the security’s beta: 

\[
\hat{r}_i = r_{RF} + (R_{PM})b_i.
\]

Even though the expected rate of return on a stock is generally equal to its required return, a number of things can happen to cause the required rate of return to change: (1) the **risk-free rate can change** because of changes in either real rates or anticipated inflation, (2) a stock’s **beta can change**, and (3) investors’ aversion to risk can change.

Because returns on assets in different countries are not perfectly correlated, **global diversification** may result in lower risk for multinational companies and globally diversified portfolios.

**QUESTIONS**

2-1 Define the following terms, using graphs or equations to illustrate your answers wherever feasible:

a. Stand-alone risk; risk; probability distribution  
b. Expected rate of return, \( \hat{r} \)  
c. Continuous probability distribution  
d. Standard deviation, \( \sigma \); variance, \( \sigma^2 \); coefficient of variation, \( CV \)  
e. Risk aversion; realized rate of return, \( \bar{r} \)  
f. Risk premium for Stock \( i \), \( RP_i \); market risk premium, \( RP_M \)  
g. Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)  
h. Expected return on a portfolio, \( \hat{r}_p \); market portfolio  
i. Correlation coefficient, \( \rho \); correlation  
j. Market risk; diversifiable risk; relevant risk  
k. Beta coefficient, \( b \); average stock’s beta, \( b_A \)  
l. Security Market Line (SML); SML equation  
m. Slope of SML as a measure of risk aversion

2-2 The probability distribution of a less risky return is more peaked than that of a riskier return. What shape would the probability distribution have for (a) completely certain returns and (b) completely uncertain returns?

2-3 Security A has an expected return of 7 percent, a standard deviation of returns of 35 percent, a correlation coefficient with the market of \(-0.3\), and a beta coefficient of \(-1.5\). Security B has an expected return of 12 percent, a standard deviation of returns of 10 percent, a correlation with the market of 0.7, and a beta coefficient of 1.0. Which security is riskier? Why?

2-4 Suppose you owned a portfolio consisting of $250,000 worth of long-term U.S. government bonds.

a. Would your portfolio be riskless?  
b. Now suppose you hold a portfolio consisting of $250,000 worth of 30-day Treasury bills. Every 30 days your bills mature, and you reinvest the principal ($250,000) in a new batch of bills. Assume that you live on the investment
income from your portfolio and that you want to maintain a constant standard of living. Is your portfolio truly riskless?
c. Can you think of any asset that would be completely riskless? Could someone develop such an asset? Explain.

2-5 If investors' aversion to risk increased, would the risk premium on a high-beta stock increase more or less than that on a low-beta stock? Explain.

2-6 If a company's beta were to double, would its expected return double?

2-7 Is it possible to construct a portfolio of stocks which has an expected return equal to the risk-free rate?

### PROBLEMS

**2-1**
A stock’s return has the following distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand for the Company’s Products</th>
<th>Probability of This Demand Occurring</th>
<th>Rate of Return if This Demand Occurs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the stock’s expected return, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation.

**2-2**
An individual has $35,000 invested in a stock which has a beta of 0.8 and $40,000 invested in a stock with a beta of 1.4. If these are the only two investments in her portfolio, what is her portfolio’s beta?

**2-3**
Assume that the risk-free rate is 5 percent and the market risk premium is 6 percent. What is the expected return for the overall stock market? What is the required rate of return on a stock that has a beta of 1.2?

**2-4**
Assume that the risk-free rate is 6 percent and the expected return on the market is 13 percent. What is the required rate of return on a stock that has a beta of 0.7?

**2-5**
The market and Stock J have the following probability distributions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>r_M</th>
<th>r_J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Calculate the expected rates of return for the market and Stock J.
b. Calculate the standard deviations for the market and Stock J.
c. Calculate the coefficients of variation for the market and Stock J.

**2-6**
Suppose r_{RF} = 5%, r_{M} = 10%, and r_{A} = 12%.

a. Calculate Stock A’s beta.
b. If Stock A’s beta were 2.0, what would be A’s new required rate of return?
2-7 Required Rate of Return

Suppose \( r_{RF} = 9\% \), \( r_M = 14\% \), and \( b_i = 1.3 \).

a. What is \( r_i \), the required rate of return on Stock \( i \)?

b. Now suppose \( r_{RF} \) (1) increases to 10 percent or (2) decreases to 8 percent. The slope of the SML remains constant. How would this affect \( r_M \) and \( r_i \)?

c. Now assume \( r_{RF} \) remains at 9 percent but \( r_M \) (1) increases to 16 percent or (2) falls to 13 percent. The slope of the SML does not remain constant. How would these changes affect \( r_i \)?

2-8 Portfolio Beta

Suppose you hold a diversified portfolio consisting of a $7,500 investment in each of 20 different common stocks. The portfolio beta is equal to 1.12. Now, suppose you have decided to sell one of the stocks in your portfolio with a beta equal to 1.0 for $7,500 and to use these proceeds to buy another stock for your portfolio. Assume the new stock’s beta is equal to 1.75. Calculate your portfolio’s new beta.

2-9 Portfolio Required Return

Suppose you are the money manager of a $4 million investment fund. The fund consists of 4 stocks with the following investments and betas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>(0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the market required rate of return is 14 percent and the risk-free rate is 6 percent, what is the fund’s required rate of return?

2-10 Portfolio Beta

You have a $2 million portfolio consisting of a $100,000 investment in each of 20 different stocks. The portfolio has a beta equal to 1.1. You are considering selling $100,000 worth of one stock which has a beta equal to 0.9 and using the proceeds to purchase another stock which has a beta equal to 1.4. What will be the new beta of your portfolio following this transaction?

2-11 Required Rate of Return

Stock R has a beta of 1.5, Stock S has a beta of 0.75, the expected rate of return on an average stock is 13 percent, and the risk-free rate of return is 7 percent. By how much does the required return on the riskier stock exceed the required return on the less risky stock?

2-12 Realized Rates of Return

Stocks A and B have the following historical returns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stock A’s Returns, ( r_A )</th>
<th>Stock B’s Returns, ( r_B )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>(18.00%)</td>
<td>(14.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>21.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>30.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>(0.50)</td>
<td>(7.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>26.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Calculate the average rate of return for each stock during the 5-year period.

b. Assume that someone held a portfolio consisting of 50 percent of Stock A and 50 percent of Stock B. What would have been the realized rate of return on the portfolio in each year? What would have been the average return on the portfolio during this period?

c. Calculate the standard deviation of returns for each stock and for the portfolio.
d. Calculate the coefficient of variation for each stock and for the portfolio.
e. If you are a risk-averse investor, would you prefer to hold Stock A, Stock B, or the portfolio? Why?

You have observed the following returns over time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stock X</th>
<th>Stock Y</th>
<th>Market</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume that the risk-free rate is 6 percent and the market risk premium is 5 percent.

a. What are the betas of Stocks X and Y?
b. What are the required rates of return for Stocks X and Y?
c. What is the required rate of return for a portfolio consisting of 80 percent of Stock X and 20 percent of Stock Y?
d. If Stock X’s expected return is 22 percent, is Stock X under- or overvalued?

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch02 P14 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Bartman Industries’ and Reynolds Incorporated’s stock prices and dividends, along with the Market Index, are shown below. Stock prices are reported for December 31 of each year, and dividends reflect those paid during the year. The market data are adjusted to include dividends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BARTMAN INDUSTRIES</th>
<th>REYNOLDS INCORPORATED</th>
<th>MARKET INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stock Price</td>
<td>Dividend</td>
<td>Stock Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$17.250</td>
<td>$1.15</td>
<td>$48.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>14.750</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>52.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>16.500</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>48.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10.750</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>57.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11.375</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>60.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>7.625</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>55.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### a.
Use the data given to calculate annual returns for Bartman, Reynolds, and the Market Index, and then calculate average returns over the 5-year period. (Hint: Remember, returns are calculated by subtracting the beginning price from the ending price to get the capital gain or loss, adding the dividend to the capital gain or loss, and dividing the result by the beginning price. Assume that dividends are already included in the index. Also, you cannot calculate the rate of return for 2001 because you do not have 2000 data.)

### b.
Calculate the standard deviations of the returns for Bartman, Reynolds, and the Market Index. (Hint: Use the sample standard deviation formula given in the chapter, which corresponds to the STDEV function in Excel.)

### c.
Now calculate the coefficients of variation for Bartman, Reynolds, and the Market Index.
d. Construct a scatter diagram graph that shows Bartman’s and Reynolds’s returns on the vertical axis and the Market Index’s returns on the horizontal axis.
e. Estimate Bartman’s and Reynolds’s betas by running regressions of their returns against the Index’s returns. Are these betas consistent with your graph?
f. The risk-free rate on long-term Treasury bonds is 6.04 percent. Assume that the market risk premium is 5 percent. What is the expected return on the market? Now use the SML equation to calculate the two companies’ required returns.
g. If you formed a portfolio that consisted of 50 percent of Bartman stock and 50 percent of Reynolds stock, what would be its beta and its required return?
h. Suppose an investor wants to include Bartman Industries’ stock in his or her portfolio. Stocks A, B, and C are currently in the portfolio, and their betas are 0.769, 0.985, and 1.423, respectively. Calculate the new portfolio’s required return if it consists of 25 percent of Bartman, 15 percent of Stock A, 40 percent of Stock B, and 20 percent of Stock C.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

minicase

Assume that you recently graduated with a major in finance, and you just landed a job as a financial planner with Barney Smith Inc., a large financial services corporation. Your first assignment is to invest $100,000 for a client. Because the funds are to be invested in a business at the end of 1 year, you have been instructed to plan for a 1-year holding period. Further, your boss has restricted you to the following investment alternatives, shown with their probabilities and associated outcomes. (Disregard for now the items at the bottom of the data; you will fill in the blanks later.)

Barney Smith’s economic forecasting staff has developed probability estimates for the state of the economy, and its security analysts have developed a sophisticated computer program that was used to estimate the rate of return on each alternative under each state of the economy. Alta Industries is an electronics firm; Repo Men Inc. collects past-due debts; and American Foam manufactures mattresses and various other foam products. Barney Smith also maintains an “index fund” which owns a market-weighted fraction of all publicly traded stocks; you can invest in that fund, and thus obtain average stock market results. Given the situation as described, answer the following questions.

a. What are investment returns? What is the return on an investment that costs $1,000 and is sold after 1 year for $1,100?
b. (1) Why is the T-bill’s return independent of the state of the economy? Do T-bills promise a completely risk-free return? (2) Why are Alta Industries’ returns expected to move with the economy...
whereas Repo Men’s are expected to move counter to the economy.

c. Calculate the expected rate of return on each alternative and fill in the blanks in the row for \( \hat{r} \) in the table below.

d. You should recognize that basing a decision solely on expected returns is appropriate only for risk-neutral individuals. Because your client, like virtually everyone, is risk averse, the riskiness of each alternative is an important aspect of the decision. One possible measure of risk is the standard deviation of returns. (1) Calculate this value for each alternative, and fill in the blank in the row for \( \sigma \) in the table below. (2) What type of risk is measured by the standard deviation? (3) Draw a graph that shows roughly the shape of the probability distributions for Alta Industries, American Foam, and T-bills.

e. Suppose you suddenly remembered that the coefficient of variation (CV) is generally regarded as being a better measure of stand-alone risk than the standard deviation when the alternatives being considered have widely differing expected returns. Calculate the missing CVs, and fill in the blanks in the row for CV in the table below. Does the CV produce the same risk rankings as the standard deviation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of the Economy</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>T-Bills</th>
<th>Alta Industries</th>
<th>Repo Men</th>
<th>American Foam</th>
<th>Market Portfolio</th>
<th>2-Stock Portfolio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recession</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>(22.0%)</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>(13.0%)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>(20.0)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \hat{r} )</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \text{Note that the estimated returns of American Foam do not always move in the same direction as the overall economy. For example, when the economy is below average, consumers purchase fewer mattresses than they would if the economy were stronger. However, if the economy is in a flat-out recession, a large number of consumers who were planning to purchase a more expensive inner spring mattress may purchase, instead, a cheaper foam mattress. Under these circumstances, we would expect American Foam’s stock price to be higher if there is a recession than if the economy was just below average.} \)

f. Suppose you created a 2-stock portfolio by investing $50,000 in Alta Industries and $50,000 in Repo Men. (1) Calculate the expected return (\( \hat{r}_p \)), the standard deviation (\( \sigma_p \)), and the coefficient of variation (CV\_p) for this portfolio and fill in the appropriate blanks in the table. (2) How does the risk of this 2-stock portfolio compare with the risk of the individual stocks if they were held in isolation?

g. Suppose an investor starts with a portfolio consisting of one randomly selected stock. What would happen (1) to the risk and (2) to the expected return of the portfolio as more and more randomly selected stocks were added to the portfolio? What is the implication for investors?

Draw a graph of the two portfolios to illustrate your answer.

h. (1) Should portfolio effects impact the way investors think about the risk of individual stocks? (2) If you decided to hold a 1-stock portfolio, and consequently were exposed to more risk than diversified investors, could you expect to be compensated for all of your risk; that is, could you earn a risk premium on that part of your risk that you could have eliminated by diversifying?

i. How is market risk measured for individual securities? How are beta coefficients calculated?

j. Suppose you have the following historical returns for the stock market and for another company,
P. Q. Unlimited. Explain how to calculate beta, and use the historical stock returns to calculate the beta for PQU. Interpret your results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>PQU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

k. The expected rates of return and the beta coefficients of the alternatives as supplied by Barney Smith’s computer program are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Return ((\hat{r}))</th>
<th>Risk (Beta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alta Industries</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Foam</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-bills</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repo Men</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>(0.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Do the expected returns appear to be related to each alternative’s market risk? (2) Is it possible to choose among the alternatives on the basis of the information developed thus far?

l. (1) Write out the Security Market Line (SML) equation, use it to calculate the required rate of return on each alternative, and then graph the relationship between the expected and required rates of return. (2) How do the expected rates of return compare with the required rates of return? (3) Does the fact that Repo Men has an expected return that is less than the T-bill rate make any sense? (4) What would be the market risk and the required return of a 50-50 portfolio of Alta Industries and Repo Men? Of Alta Industries and American Foam?

m. (1) Suppose investors raised their inflation expectations by 3 percentage points over current estimates as reflected in the 8 percent T-bill rate. What effect would higher inflation have on the SML and on the returns required on high- and low-risk securities? (2) Suppose instead that investors’ risk aversion increased enough to cause the market risk premium to increase by 3 percentage points. (Inflation remains constant.) What effect would this have on the SML and on returns of high- and low-risk securities?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

Probably the best sources of additional information on probability distributions and single-asset risk measures are statistics textbooks. For example, see Kohler, Heinz, Statistics for Business and Economics: Excel Enhanced (Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt College Publishers, 2002).


Probably the best place to find an extension of portfolio theory concepts is one of the investments textbooks. These are some good ones:


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:
Case 2, “Peachtree Securities, Inc. (A).”

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 2, “Powerline Network Corporation (Risk and Return).”
In Chapter 2 we presented the key elements of risk and return analysis. There we saw that much of a stock's risk can be eliminated by diversification, so rational investors should hold portfolios of stocks rather than just one stock. We also introduced the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which links risk and required rates of return, using a stock’s beta coefficient as the relevant measure of risk. In this chapter, we extend these concepts by presenting an in-depth treatment of portfolio concepts and the CAPM, including a more detailed look at how betas are calculated. In addition, we discuss two other asset pricing models, the Arbitrage Pricing Theory model and the Fama-French three-factor model. We also introduce a new but fast-growing field, behavioral finance.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. In general terms, what is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)? What assumptions were made when it was derived?
2. Define the terms covariance and correlation coefficient. How are they related to one another, and how do they affect the required rate of return on a stock? Would correlation affect its required rate of return if a stock were held (say, by the company’s founder) in a one-asset portfolio?
3. What is an efficient portfolio? What is the Capital Market Line (CML), how is it related to efficient portfolios, and how does it interface with an investor’s indifference curve to determine the investor’s optimal portfolio? Is it possible that two rational investors could agree as to the specifications of the Capital Market Line, but one would hold a portfolio heavily weighted with Treasury securities while the other held only risky stocks bought on margin?
4. What is the Security Market Line (SML)? What information is developed in the Capital Market Line analysis and then carried over and used to help specify the SML? For practical applications as opposed to theoretical considerations, which is more relevant, the CML or the SML?
5. What is the difference between an historical beta, an adjusted beta, and a fundamental beta? Does it matter which beta is used, and if so, which is best?
6. Has the validity of the CAPM been confirmed through empirical tests?
7. What is the difference between a diversifiable risk and a nondiversifiable risk? Should stock portfolio managers try to eliminate both types of risk?
8. If a publicly traded company has a large number of undiversified investors, along with some who are well diversified, can the undiversified investors earn a rate of return high enough to compensate them for the risk they bear? Does this affect the company’s cost of capital?

MEASURING PORTFOLIO RISK

In the preceding chapter, we examined portfolio risk at an intuitive level. We now describe how portfolio risk is actually measured and dealt with in practice. First, the risk of a portfolio is measured by the standard deviation of its returns. Equation 3-1 is used to calculate this standard deviation:

\[ \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{pi} - \hat{r}_p)^2 p_i} \]
In Chapter 1, we told you that managers should strive to make their firms more valuable and that the value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its free cash flows (FCF). This chapter provides additional insights into how to measure a firm’s risk.

### Covariance and the Correlation Coefficient

Two key concepts in portfolio analysis are (1) covariance and (2) the correlation coefficient. **Covariance** is a measure that combines the variance (or volatility) of a stock’s returns with the tendency of those returns to move up or down at the same time other stocks move up or down. For example, the covariance between Stocks A and B tells us whether the returns of the two stocks tend to rise and fall together, and how large those movements tend to be. Equation 3-2 defines the covariance (Cov) between Stocks A and B:

\[
\text{Cov}(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i (r_{pi} - \bar{r}_p) (\hat{r}_p - \bar{r}_p)
\]

Here, \( \bar{r}_p \) is the portfolio’s standard deviation; \( r_{pi} \) is the return on the portfolio in the \( i \)th state of the economy; \( \hat{r}_p \) is the expected rate of return on the portfolio; \( P_i \) is the probability of occurrence of the \( i \)th state of the economy; and there are \( n \) economic states. This equation is exactly the same as the one for the standard deviation of a single asset, except that here the asset is a portfolio of assets (for example, a mutual fund).
The first term in parentheses after the $\Sigma$ is the deviation of Stock A’s return from its expected value under the $i$th state of the economy; the second term is Stock B’s deviation under the same state; and $P_i$ is the probability of the $i$th state occurring.

The covariance of two assets will be large and positive if their returns have large standard deviations and tend to move together; it will be large and negative for two high-$\sigma$ assets that move counter to one another; and it will be small if the two assets’ returns move randomly, rather than up or down with one another, or if either of the assets has a small standard deviation.

To illustrate the calculation process, first look at Table 3-1, which presents the probability distributions of the rates of return on four stocks, and at Figure 3-1, which plots scatter diagrams between returns on several pairs of the stocks. We can use Equation 3-2 to calculate the covariance between Stocks F and G as follows:

$$
\text{Cov}(FG) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} (r_{Fi} - \bar{r}_F) (r_{Gi} - \bar{r}_G)P_i
$$

$$
= (6 - 10)(14 - 10)(0.1) + (8 - 10)(12 - 10)(0.2) \\
+ (10 - 10)(10 - 10)(0.4) + (12 - 10)(8 - 10)(0.2) \\
+ (14 - 10)(6 - 10)(0.1)
$$

$$
= -4.8
$$

The negative sign indicates that the rates of return on Stocks F and G tend to move in opposite directions: When G’s return is large, F’s is small, as shown in Panel b of Figure 3-1.

The covariance between Stocks F and H is +10.8, indicating that these assets tend to move together, as shown in Panel c. A zero covariance, as between Stocks E and F, indicates that there is no relationship between the variables; that is, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3-1</th>
<th>Probability Distributions of Stocks E, F, G, and H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability of Occurrence</td>
<td>RATE OF RETURN DISTRIBUTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\bar{r} = 10.0\%$  
$\sigma = 0.0\%$  

See IFM Ch03 Tool Kit.xls for all calculations.
variables are independent. (E’s return is always 10 percent, so $\sigma_E = 0\%$, and the covariance of E with any asset must be zero.)

The correlation coefficient standardizes the covariance, which facilitates comparisons by putting the measures on a similar scale. The correlation coefficient, $\rho$, is calculated as follows for variables A and B:

$$
\text{Correlation coefficient (AB)} = \rho_{AB} = \frac{\text{Cov}(AB)}{\sigma_A \sigma_B}
$$

The sign of the correlation coefficient is the same as the sign of the covariance, so a positive sign means that the variables move together, a negative sign indicates that they move in opposite directions, and if $\rho$ is close to zero, they move independently of one another. Moreover, the standardization process confines the correlation coefficient to values between $-1.0$ and $+1.0$. Finally, note that Equation 3-3 can be solved to find the covariance:

$$
\text{Cov}(AB) = \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B
$$
Using Equation 3-3, the correlation coefficient between Stocks F and G is 
\[ \rho_{FG} = \frac{-4.8}{(2.2)(2.2)} = -1.0 \]

These two stocks are said to be perfectly negatively correlated. As Panel b of Figure 3-1 shows, we could plot a straight line on all the points. In other words, G’s return is a perfect predictor of F’s. Whenever the points lie exactly on a line, \( \rho \) must be equal to 1.0 if the line slopes up and equal to −1.0 if the line slopes down.

The correlation coefficient between Stocks F and H is \( +0.9 \). Thus, when H’s return is large, F’s return is also usually large. But H isn’t a perfect predictor of F, since their plotted points do not lie exactly on a straight line.

The Two-Asset Case

If the standard deviations and correlation coefficients for the returns on the individual securities are known, a complicated-looking but operationally simple equation can be used to determine the risk of a two-asset portfolio:

\[
\text{Portfolio SD} = \sigma_p = \sqrt{w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2w_A(1 - w_A)\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B} \tag{3-4}\]

Here \( w_A \) is the fraction of the portfolio invested in Security A, so \( (1 - w_A) \) is the fraction invested in Security B. We illustrate the equation in the next section.

Measuring Risk in Practice

Equations 3-1 and 3-2 are forward looking in the sense that they use returns in the future states of the economy and the probabilities of these future events in the calculations. However, economists and financial analysts rarely have such finely honed expectations about the future. Rather, they often use the historical standard deviations and covariances of assets as their predictions about future standard deviations and covariances. If a given portfolio’s annual return over each of the previous \( n \) years has been \( \bar{r}_{p1}, \bar{r}_{p2}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{pn} \), then the standard deviation of these historical returns is

\[
\text{Portfolio historical standard deviation} = \sigma_p = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{pi} - \bar{r}_{p,\text{Avg}})^2}{n - 1}} \tag{3-1a}\]

\textit{Equation 3-4 is derived from Equation 3-1 in standard statistics books. Notice that if } \( w_A = 1 \), all of the portfolio is invested in Security A, and Equation 3-4 reduces to \( \sigma_A \):

\[
\sigma_p = \sqrt{\sigma_A^2} = \sigma_A
\]

The portfolio contains but a single asset, so the risk of the portfolio and that of the asset are identical. Equation 3-4 could be expanded to include any number of assets by adding additional terms, but we shall not do so here.
where \( \bar{r}_{p,\text{Avg}} \) is the average of the \( n \) historical returns. This equation looks a lot like Equation 3-1 except that historical returns are used instead of the future return in the \( i \)th state of the economy, and the weights are all \( 1/(n - 1) \) rather than \( P_i \). This equation can also be used to calculate the standard deviation of an individual asset’s historical returns.

The covariance of a pair of assets’ historical returns can be calculated in the same way. Just replace the future returns with historical returns in Equation 3-2 and replace the probabilities by \( 1/(n - 1) \). If Stocks A and B have historical returns \( \bar{r}_{A1}, \bar{r}_{A2}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{An} \) and \( \bar{r}_{B1}, \bar{r}_{B2}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{Bn} \) over the previous \( n \) years, then

\[
\text{Historical covariance} = \text{Historical Cov}(AB) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{Ai} - \bar{r}_{A,\text{Avg}})(\bar{r}_{Bi} - \bar{r}_{B,\text{Avg}})}{n - 1}} \tag{3-2a}
\]

where \( \bar{r}_{A,\text{Avg}} \) is the average of A’s \( n \) historical returns, and \( \bar{r}_{B,\text{Avg}} \) is the average of B’s \( n \) historical returns.

**Self-Test Questions**

1. How is the risk of a portfolio measured?
2. What does the correlation coefficient measure?

**EFFICIENT PORTFOLIOS**

One important use of portfolio risk concepts is to select **efficient portfolios**, defined as those portfolios that provide the highest expected return for any degree of risk, or the lowest degree of risk for any expected return. To illustrate the concept, assume that two securities, A and B, are available, and we can allocate our funds between them in any proportion. Suppose Security A has an expected rate of return of \( \hat{r}_A = 5\% \) and a standard deviation of returns \( \sigma_A = 4\% \), while \( \hat{r}_B = 8\% \) and \( \sigma_B = 10\% \). Our first task is to determine the set of attainable portfolios, and then from this attainable set to select the efficient subset.

To construct the attainable set, we need data on the degree of correlation between the two securities’ expected returns, \( \rho_{AB} \). Let us work with three different assumed degrees of correlation, \( \rho_{AB} = +1.0 \), \( \rho_{AB} = 0 \), and \( \rho_{AB} = -1.0 \), and use them to develop the portfolios’ expected returns, \( \hat{r}_p \), and standard deviations, \( \sigma_p \). (Of course, only one correlation can exist; our example simply shows three alternative situations that might exist.)

To calculate \( \hat{r}_p \), we use a modified version of Equation 2-5 from Chapter 2, substituting the given values for \( \hat{r}_A \) and \( \hat{r}_B \), and then calculating \( \hat{r}_p \) for different values of \( w_A \). For example, when \( w_A \) equals 0.75, then \( \hat{r}_p = 5.75\% \):

\[
\hat{r}_p = w_A \hat{r}_A + (1 - w_A) \hat{r}_B \tag{3-5}
\]

\[
= 0.75(5\%) + 0.25(8\%) = 5.75\%
\]

Other values of \( \hat{r}_p \) were found similarly, and they are shown in the \( \hat{r}_p \) column of Table 3-2.
Next, we use Equation 3-4 to find $\sigma_p$. Substitute the given values for $\sigma_A$, $\sigma_B$, and $\rho_{AB}$, and then calculate $\sigma_p$ for different values of $w_A$. For example, in the case where $\rho_{AB} = 0$ and $w_A = 0.75$, then $\sigma_p = 3.9\%:

$$
\sigma_p = \sqrt{w_A^2\sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2\sigma_B^2 + 2w_A(1 - w_A)\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B}
$$

\[
= \sqrt{(0.5625)(16) + (0.0625)(100) + 2(0.75)(0.25)(0)(4)(10)}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{9.00 + 6.25} = \sqrt{15.15} = 3.9\%
\]

Table 3-2 gives $\hat{r}_p$ and $\sigma_p$ values for $w_A$ = 1.00, 0.75, 0.50, 0.25, and 0.00, and Figure 3-2 plots $\hat{r}_p$, $\sigma_p$, and the attainable set of portfolios for each correlation. In both the table and the graphs, note the following points:

1. The three graphs across the top row of Figure 3-2 designate Case I, where the two assets are perfectly positively correlated, that is, $\rho_{AB} = +1.0$. The three graphs in the middle row are for the zero correlation case, and the three in the bottom row are for perfect negative correlation.

2. We rarely encounter $\rho_{AB} = -1.0$, 0.0, or +1.0. Generally, $\rho_{AB}$ is in the range of +0.5 to +0.7 for most stocks. Case II (zero correlation) produces graphs which, pictorially, most closely resemble real-world examples.

3. The left column of graphs shows how the expected portfolio returns vary with different combinations of A and B. We see that these graphs are identical in each of the three cases: The portfolio return, $\hat{r}_p$, is a linear function of $w_A$, and it does not depend on the correlation coefficients. This is also seen from the single $\hat{r}_p$ column back in Table 3-2.

4. The middle column of graphs shows how risk is affected by the portfolio mix. Starting from the top, we see that portfolio risk, $\sigma_p$, increases linearly in Case I, where $\rho_{AB} = +1.0$; it is nonlinear in Case II; and Case III shows that risk can be completely diversified away if $\rho_{AB} = -1.0$. Thus $\sigma_p$, unlike $\hat{r}_p$, does depend on correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of Proportion of</th>
<th>$\hat{r}_p$</th>
<th>$\sigma_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio in Security A</td>
<td>Proportion of Portfolio in Security B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Value of $w_A$)</td>
<td>(Value of $1 - w_A$)</td>
<td>Case I ($\rho_{AB} = +1.0$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Note that in both Cases II and III, but not in Case I, someone holding only Stock A could sell some A, buy some B, and both increase his or her expected return and lower risk.

6. The right column of graphs shows the attainable, or feasible, set of portfolios constructed with different mixes of Securities A and B. Unlike the other columns, which plotted return and risk versus the portfolio's composition, each of the three graphs here was plotted from pairs of \( \hat{r}_p \) and \( \sigma_p \) as shown in Table 3-2. For example, Point A in the upper right graph is the point \( \hat{r}_p = 5\% \), \( \sigma_p = 4\% \) from the Case I data. All other points on the curves were plotted similarly. With only two securities in the portfolio, the attainable set is a curve or line, and we can achieve each risk/return combination on the relevant curve by some allocation of our investment funds between Securities A and B.

7. Are all combinations on the attainable set equally good? The answer is no. Only that part of the attainable set from Y to B in Cases II and III is defined to be efficient. The part from A to Y is inefficient because for any degree of risk on the line segment AY, a higher return can be found on segment YB. Thus, no rational investor would hold a portfolio that lay on segment AY. In Case I, however, the entire feasible set is efficient—no combination of the securities can be ruled out.
From these examples we see that in one extreme case \((\rho = -1.0)\), risk can be completely eliminated, while in the other extreme case \((\rho = +1.0)\), diversification does no good whatsoever. In between these extremes, combining two stocks into a portfolio reduces but does not eliminate the risk inherent in the individual stocks.\(^3\)

### Self-Test Questions

What is meant by the term “attainable, or feasible, set”?

Within the attainable set, which portfolios are “efficient”?

### CHOOSING THE OPTIMAL PORTFOLIO

With only two assets, the feasible set of portfolios is a line or curve as shown in the third column of graphs back in Figure 3-2. However, if we were to increase the number of assets, we would obtain an area like the shaded area in Figure 3-3. The points A, H, G, and E represent single securities (or portfolios containing only one security). All the other points in the shaded area and its boundaries, which comprise the feasible set, represent portfolios of two or more securities. Each point in this area represents a particular portfolio with a risk of \(\sigma_p\) and an expected return of \(\hat{r}_p\). For example, point X represents one such portfolio’s risk and expected return, as do B, C, and D.

Given the full set of potential portfolios that could be constructed from the available assets, which portfolio should actually be held? This choice involves two

---

\(^3\)If we differentiate Equation 3-4, set the derivative equal to zero, and then solve for \(w_A\), we obtain the fraction of the portfolio that should be invested in Security A if we wish to form the least-risky portfolio. Here is the equation:

\[
\text{Minimum risk portfolio: } w_A = \frac{\sigma_B \left( r_A - \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \right)}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2 \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B}
\]

As a rule, we limit \(w_A\) to the range 0 to +1.0; that is, if the solution value is \(w_A > 1.0\), set \(w_A = 1.0\), and if \(w_A\) is negative, set \(w_A = 0.0\). A \(w_A\) value that is negative means that Security A is sold short; for \(w_A\) greater than 1.0, B is sold short. In a short sale, you borrow a stock and then sell it, expecting to buy it back later (at a lower price) in order to repay the person from whom the stock was borrowed. If you sell short and the stock price rises, you lose, but you win if the price declines.
separate decisions: (1) determining the efficient set of portfolios and (2) choosing from the efficient set the single portfolio that is best for the specific investor.

**The Efficient Frontier**

In Figure 3-3, the boundary line BCDE defines the efficient set of portfolios, which is also called the efficient frontier. Portfolios to the left of the efficient set are not possible because they lie outside the attainable set. Portfolios to the right of the boundary line (interior portfolios) are inefficient because some other portfolio would provide either a higher return for the same degree of risk or a lower risk for the same rate of return. For example, Portfolio X is dominated by Portfolios C and D.

**Risk/Return Indifference Curves**

Given the efficient set of portfolios, which specific portfolio should an investor choose? To determine the optimal portfolio for a particular investor, we must know the investor’s attitude toward risk as reflected in his or her risk/return trade-off function, or indifference curve.

An investor’s risk/return trade-off function is based on the standard economic concepts of utility theory and indifference curves, which are illustrated in Figure 3-4. The curves labeled $I_Y$ and $I_Z$ represent the indifference curves of Individuals Y and Z.

---

**Figure 3-4 Risk/Return Indifference Curves**

- Y’s Risk Premium (RP) for Risk = $\sigma_p = 3.3\%$; RP$_Y = 2.5\%$
- Z’s Risk Premium (RP) for Risk = $\sigma_p = 3.3\%$; RP$_Z = 1.0\%$

---

*A computational procedure for determining the efficient set of portfolios was developed by Harry Markowitz and first reported in his article “Portfolio Selection,” *Journal of Finance*, March 1952. In this article, Markowitz developed the basic concepts of portfolio theory, and he later won the Nobel Prize in economics for his work.*
Ms. Y is indifferent between the riskless 5 percent portfolio, a portfolio with an expected return of 6 percent but a risk of $\sigma_p = 1.4\%$, and so on. Mr. Z is indifferent between a riskless 5 percent return, an expected 6 percent return with risk of $\sigma_p = 3.3\%$, and so on.

Note that Ms. Y requires a higher expected rate of return as compensation for any given amount of risk; thus, Ms. Y is said to be more risk averse than Mr. Z. Her higher risk aversion causes Ms. Y to require a higher risk premium—defined here as the difference between the 5 percent riskless return and the expected return required to compensate for any specific amount of risk—than Mr. Z requires. Thus, Ms. Y requires a risk premium ($RP_Y$) of 2.5 percent to compensate for a risk of $\sigma_p = 3.3\%$, while Mr. Z's risk premium for this degree of risk is only $RP_Z = 1.0\%$. As a generalization, the steeper the slope of an investor's indifference curve, the more risk averse the investor. Thus, Ms. Y is more risk averse than Mr. Z.

Each individual has a “map” of indifference curves; the indifference maps for Ms. Y and Mr. Z are shown in Figure 3-5. The higher curves denote a greater level of satisfaction (or utility). Thus, $I_{Z2}$ is better than $I_{Z1}$ because, for any level of risk, Mr. Z has a higher expected return, hence greater utility. An infinite number of indifference curves could be drawn in the map for each individual, and each individual has a unique map.

The Optimal Portfolio for an Investor

Figure 3-5 also shows the feasible set of portfolios for the two-asset case, under the assumption that $\rho_{AB} = 0$, as it was developed in Figure 3-2. The optimal portfolio
for each investor is found at the tangency point between the efficient set of portfolios and one of the investor’s indifference curves. This tangency point marks the highest level of satisfaction the investor can attain. Ms. Y, who is more risk averse than Mr. Z, chooses a portfolio with a lower expected return (about 6 percent) but a risk of only $\sigma_p = 4.2\%$. Mr. Z picks a portfolio that provides an expected return of about 7.2 percent, but it has a risk of about $\sigma_p = 7.1\%$. Ms. Y’s portfolio is more heavily weighted with the less risky security, while Mr. Z’s portfolio contains a larger proportion of the more risky security.\(^5\)

Self-Test Questions

What is the efficient frontier?

What are indifference curves?

Conceptually, how does an investor choose his or her optimal portfolio?

THE BASIC ASSUMPTIONS
OF THE CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which was introduced in the last chapter, specifies the relationship between risk and required rates of return on assets when they are held in well-diversified portfolios. The assumptions underlying the CAPM’s development are summarized in the following list:\(^6\)

1. All investors focus on a single holding period, and they seek to maximize the expected utility of their terminal wealth by choosing among alternative portfolios on the basis of each portfolio’s expected return and standard deviation.
2. All investors can borrow or lend an unlimited amount at a given risk-free rate of interest, $r_{RF}$, and there are no restrictions on short sales of any asset.\(^7\)
3. All investors have identical estimates of the expected returns, variances, and covariances among all assets (that is, investors have homogeneous expectations).
4. All assets are perfectly divisible and perfectly liquid (that is, marketable at the going price).
5. There are no transactions costs.
6. There are no taxes.
7. All investors are price takers (that is, all investors assume that their own buying and selling activity will not affect stock prices).
8. The quantities of all assets are given and fixed.

Theoretical extensions in the literature have relaxed some of these assumptions, and in general these extensions have led to conclusions that are reasonably consistent with the basic theory. However, the validity of any model can be established only through empirical tests, which we discuss later in the chapter.

Self-Test Question

What are the key assumptions of the CAPM?

\(^5\)Ms. Y’s portfolio would contain 67 percent of Security A and 33 percent of Security B, whereas Mr. Z’s portfolio would consist of 27 percent of Security A and 73 percent of Security B. These percentages can be determined with Equation 3-5 by simply seeing what percentage of the two securities is consistent with $\bar{r}_p = 6.0\%$ and 7.2\%. For example, $w_A(5\%) + (1 - w_A)(8\%) = 7.2\%$, and solving for $w_A$, we obtain $w_A = 0.27$ and $(1 - w_A) = 0.73$.


\(^7\)See Footnote 3 for the definition of short sales.
Figure 3-5 showed the set of portfolio opportunities for the two-asset case, and it illustrated how indifference curves can be used to select the optimal portfolio from the feasible set. In Figure 3-6, we show a similar diagram for the many-asset case, but here we also include a risk-free asset with a return \( r_{RF} \). The riskless asset by definition has zero risk, \( \sigma = 0 \%), so it is plotted on the vertical axis.

The figure shows both the feasible set of portfolios of risky assets (the shaded area) and a set of indifference curves (I1, I2, I3) for a particular investor. Point N, where indifference curve I1 is tangent to the efficient set, represents a possible portfolio choice; it is the point on the efficient set of risky portfolios where the investor obtains the highest possible return for a given amount of risk and the smallest degree of risk for a given expected return.

However, the investor can do better than Portfolio N; he or she can reach a higher indifference curve. In addition to the feasible set of risky portfolios, we now have a risk-free asset that provides a riskless return, \( r_{RF} \). Given the risk-free asset, investors can create new portfolios that combine the risk-free asset with a portfolio of risky assets. This enables them to achieve any combination of risk and return on the straight line connecting \( r_{RF} \) with M, the point of tangency between that straight line and the efficient frontier of risky asset portfolios.8 Some portfolios on the line \( r_{RF} MZ \) will be preferred to most risky portfolios on the efficient frontier BNME, so the points on the line \( r_{RF} MZ \) now represent the best attainable combinations of risk and return.

Given the new opportunities along line \( r_{RF} MZ \), our investor will move from Point N to Point R, which is on his or her highest attainable risk/return indifference curve. Note that any point on the old efficient frontier BNME (except the point of

---

8The risk/return combinations between a risk-free asset and a risky asset (a single stock or a portfolio of stocks) will always be linear. To see this, consider the following equations, which were developed earlier, for return, \( \hat{r}_p \), and risk, \( \sigma_p \), for any combination \( w_{RF} \) and \( (1 - w_{RF}) \):

\[
\hat{r}_p = w_{RF} r_{RF} + (1 - w_{RF}) \hat{r}_M \quad | 3-5a |
\]

and

\[
\sigma_p = \sqrt{w_{RF}^2 \sigma_{RF}^2 + (1 - w_{RF})^2 \sigma_M^2 + 2w_{RF}(1 - w_{RF}) \rho_{RF,M} \sigma_{RF} \sigma_M} \quad | 3-4a |
\]

Equation 3-5a is linear. As for Equation 3-4a, we know that \( r_{RF} \) is the risk-free asset, so \( \sigma_{RF} = 0 \); hence, \( \sigma_{RF}^2 \) is also zero. Using this information, we can simplify Equation 3-4a as follows:

\[
\sigma_p = \sqrt{(1 - w_{RF})^2 \sigma_M^2} = (1 - w_{RF}) \sigma_M \quad | 3-4b |
\]

Thus, \( \sigma_p \) is also linear when a riskless asset is combined with a portfolio of risky assets.

If expected returns, as measured by \( \hat{r}_p \), and risk, as measured by \( \sigma_p \), are both linear functions of \( w_{RF} \), then the relationship between \( \hat{r}_p \) and \( \sigma_p \), when graphed as in Figure 3-6, must also be linear. For example, if 100 percent of the portfolio is invested in \( r_{RF} \) with a return of 8 percent, the portfolio return will be 8 percent and \( \sigma_p \) will be 0. If 100 percent is invested in M, with \( \hat{r}_M = 12 \)% and \( \sigma_M = 10 \)% , then \( \sigma_p = 1.0(10\%) = 10 \)% , and \( \hat{r}_p = 0(8\%) + 1.0(12\%) = 12 \)% . If 50 percent of the portfolio is invested in M and 50 percent in the risk-free asset, then \( \hat{r}_p = 0.5(10\%) = 5 \)% , and \( \hat{r}_p = 0.5(8\%) + 0.5(12\%) = 10 \)% . Plotting these points will reveal the linear relationship given as \( r_{RF} MZ \) in Figure 3-6.
tangency $M$) is dominated by some point along the line $r_{RF}MZ$. In general, since investors can include both the risk-free security and a fraction of the risky portfolio, $M$, in a portfolio, it will be possible to move to a point such as $R$. In addition, if the investor can borrow as well as lend (lending is equivalent to buying risk-free debt securities) at the riskless rate, $r_{RF}$, it is possible to move out on the line segment $MZ$, and one would do so if his or her indifference curve were tangent to $r_{RF}MZ$ to the right of Point $M$.9

All investors should hold portfolios lying on the line $r_{RF}MZ$ under the conditions assumed in the CAPM. This implies that they should hold portfolios that are combinations of the risk-free security and the risky portfolio $M$. Thus, the addition of the risk-free asset totally changes the efficient set: The efficient set now lies along line $r_{RF}MZ$ rather than along the curve BNME. Also, note that if the capital market is to be in equilibrium, $M$ must be a portfolio that contains every risky asset in exact proportion to that asset’s fraction of the total market value of all assets; that is, if Security $i$ is X percent of the total market value of all securities, X percent of the market portfolio $M$ must consist of Security $i$. (In other words, $M$ is the market-value-weighted portfolio of all risky assets in the economy.) Thus,

---

9An investor who is highly averse to risk will have a steep indifference curve and will end up holding only the riskless asset, or perhaps a portfolio at a point such as $R$, holding some of the risky market portfolio and some of the riskless asset. An investor only slightly averse to risk will have a relatively flat indifference curve, which will cause him or her to move out beyond $M$ toward $Z$, borrowing to do so. This investor might buy stocks on margin, which means borrowing and using the stocks as collateral. If individuals’ borrowing rates are higher than $r_{RF}$, then the line $r_{RF}MZ$ will tilt down (that is, be less steep) beyond $M$. This condition would invalidate the basic CAPM, or at least require it to be modified. Therefore, the assumption of being able to borrow or lend at the same rate is crucial to CAPM theory.
all investors should hold portfolios that lie on the line $r_{RF}MZ$, with the particular location of a given individual’s portfolio being determined by the point at which his or her indifference curve is tangent to the line.

The line $r_{RF}MZ$ in Figure 3-6 is called the **Capital Market Line (CML)**. It has an intercept of $r_{RF}$ and a slope of $(\hat{r}_M - r_{RF})/\sigma_M$.$^{10}$ Therefore, the equation for the Capital Market Line may be expressed as follows:

$\text{CML: } \hat{r}_p = r_{RF} + \left( \frac{\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}}{\sigma_M} \right) \sigma_p$

The expected rate of return on an efficient portfolio is equal to the riskless rate plus a risk premium that is equal to $(\hat{r}_M - r_{RF})/\sigma_M$ multiplied by the portfolio’s standard deviation, $\sigma_p$. Thus, the CML specifies a linear relationship between an efficient portfolio’s expected return and risk, with the slope of the CML being equal to the expected return on the market portfolio of risky stocks, $\hat{r}_M$, minus the risk-free rate, $r_{RF}$, which is called the **market risk premium**, all divided by the standard deviation of returns on the market portfolio, $\sigma_M$:

Slope of the CML = $(\hat{r}_M - r_{RF})/\sigma_M$

For example, suppose $r_{RF} = 10\%$, $\hat{r}_M = 15\%$, and $\sigma_M = 15\%$. Then, the slope of the CML would be $(15\% - 10\%)/15\% = 0.33$, and if a particular efficient portfolio had $\sigma_p = 10\%$, then its $\hat{r}_p$ would be

$\hat{r}_p = 10\% + 0.33(10\%) = 13.3\%$

A riskier portfolio with $\sigma_p = 20\%$ would have $\hat{r}_p = 10\% + 0.33(20\%) = 16.6\%$.

The CML is graphed in Figure 3-7. It is a straight line with an intercept at $r_{RF}$ and a slope equal to the market risk premium $(\hat{r}_M - r_{RF})$ divided by $\sigma_M$. The slope of the CML reflects the aggregate attitude of investors toward risk.

Note that an efficient portfolio is one that is well diversified; hence all of its unsystematic risk has been eliminated and its only remaining risk is market risk. Therefore, unlike individual stocks, the risk of an efficient portfolio is measured by its standard deviation, $\sigma_p$. The CML equation specifies the relationship between risk and return for such efficient portfolios, that is, for portfolios that lie on the CML, and in the CML equation and graph, risk is measured by portfolio standard deviation.

The CML specifies the relationship between risk and return for an efficient portfolio, but investors and managers are more concerned about the relationship between risk and return for individual assets. To develop the risk-return relationship for individual securities, note in Figure 3-6 that all investors are assumed to hold portfolio M, so M must be the market portfolio, that is, the one that contains all stocks. Note also that M is an efficient portfolio. Thus, the CML defines the relationship between the market portfolio’s expected return and its standard deviation. Equations 3-5 and 3-4 show the formulas for the expected return and standard deviation for a two-asset portfolio, and there exist analogous equations for the expected return and standard deviation of a portfolio that contains many

---

$^{10}$Recall that the slope of any line is measured as $\Delta Y/\Delta X$, or the change in height associated with a given change in horizontal distance. $r_{RF}$ is at 0 on the horizontal axis, so $\Delta X = \sigma_M - 0 = \sigma_M$. The vertical axis difference associated with a change from $r_{RF}$ to $\hat{r}_M$ is $\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}$. Therefore, slope $\Delta Y/\Delta X = (\hat{r}_M - r_{RF})/\sigma_M$. 
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The Capital Market Line (CML)

Figure 3-7

\[
\text{CML: } \hat{r}_p = r_{RF} + \left( \frac{\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}}{\sigma_M} \right) \sigma_p
\]

Note: We did not draw it in, but you can visualize the shaded space shown in Figure 3-6 in this graph, and the CML as the line formed by connecting \( r_{RF} \) with the tangent to the shaded space.

assets, such as the market portfolio.\(^\text{11}\) It is possible to take the equations for the expected return and standard deviation of a multi-asset portfolio and show that the required return for each individual stock, \( J \), must conform to the following equation in order for the CML to hold for the market portfolio:

\[
\hat{r}_j = r_{RF} + \left( \frac{\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}}{\sigma_M} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Cov}(r_j, r_M)}{\sigma_M} \right)
\]

\[= r_{RF} + \left( \frac{\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}}{\sigma_M} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Cov}(r_j, r_M)}{\sigma_M^2} \right)\]

The CAPM defines the beta coefficient of company \( J \), \( b_J \), as follows:

\[
b_J = \frac{\text{Covariance between Stock J and the market}}{\text{Variance of market returns}} = \frac{\text{Cov}(r_J, r_M)}{\sigma_M^2}
\]

\[= \rho_{JM} \frac{\sigma_J \sigma_M}{\sigma_M^2} = \rho_{JM} \left( \frac{\sigma_J}{\sigma_M} \right)\]

\(^\text{11}\)The percentage of the investment in asset \( i \) is \( w_i \), the expected return for asset \( i \) is \( \hat{r}_i \), the standard deviation of asset \( i \) is \( \sigma_i \), and the correlation between asset \( i \) and asset \( j \) is \( \rho_{ij} \). The expected return for a portfolio with \( N \) assets is \( \hat{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (w_i \hat{r}_i) \) and the variance of the portfolio is \( \sigma_p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (w_i w_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}) \).
Recall that the risk premium for the market, \( \text{RP}_M \), is \( r_M - r_{RF} \). Using this definition and substituting Equation 3-8 into Equation 3-7 gives the Security Market Line (SML):

\[
\text{SML} = r_j = r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b_j \\
= r_{RF} + (\text{RP}_M)b_j
\]

The SML tells us that an individual stock’s required return is equal to the risk-free rate plus a premium for bearing risk. The premium for risk is equal to the risk premium for the market, \( \text{RP}_M \), multiplied by the risk of the individual stock, as measured by its beta coefficient. The beta coefficient measures the amount of risk that the stock contributes to the market portfolio.

Unlike the CML for a well-diversified portfolio, the SML tells us that the standard deviation (\( \sigma_j \)) of an individual stock should not be used to measure its risk, because some of the risk as reflected by \( \sigma_j \) can be eliminated by diversification. Beta reflects risk after taking diversification benefits into account, so beta, rather than \( \sigma_j \), is used to measure individual stocks’ risk to investors. Be sure to keep in mind the distinction between the SML and the CML, and why that distinction exists.

**Self-Test Questions**

1. Draw a graph showing the feasible set of risky assets, the efficient frontier, the risk-free asset, and the CML.
2. Write out the equation for the CML and explain its meaning.
3. Write out the equation for the SML and explain its meaning.
4. What is the difference between the CML and the SML?

---

**CALCULATING BETA COEFFICIENTS**

Equation 3-8 defines beta, but recall from Chapter 2 that this equation for beta is also the formula for the slope coefficient in a regression of the stock return against the market return. Therefore, beta can be calculated by plotting the historical returns of a stock on the y-axis of a graph versus the historical returns of the market portfolio on the x-axis, and fitting the regression line. In his 1964 article that set forth the CAPM, Sharpe called this regression line the **characteristic line**. Thus, a stock’s beta is the slope of its characteristic line. In Chapter 2 we used this approach to calculate the beta for General Electric. In this chapter, we perform a more detailed analysis of the calculation of beta for General Electric, and we also perform a similar analysis for a portfolio of stocks, Fidelity’s Magellan Fund.

**Calculating the Beta Coefficient for a Single Stock: General Electric**

Table 3-3 shows a portion of the data used in this analysis; the full data set is in the file **IFM9 Ch03 Tool Kit.xls** on ThomsonNOW. Table 3-3 shows the market returns (defined as the percentage price change of the S&P 500), the stock returns for GE, and the returns on the Magellan Fund (which is a well-diversified portfolio). Table 3-3 also shows the risk-free rate, defined as the rate on a short-term U.S. Treasury bill, which we will use later in this analysis.

As Table 3-3 shows, GE had an average annual return of \(-8.2\) percent during this four-year period, while the market had an average annual return of \(-4.8\) percent.
As we noted before, it is usually unreasonable to think that the future expected return for a stock will equal the average historical return over a relatively short period, such as four years. However, we might well expect past volatility to be a reasonable estimate of future volatility, at least during the next couple of years. Note that the standard deviation for GE’s returns during this period was 24.5 percent, and that of the market was 16.5 percent, about two-thirds that of GE. This is what we would expect, since the market is a well-diversified portfolio from which much risk has been diversified away. The correlation between GE’s returns and the market’s returns is about 0.59, which is close to the correlation for an average stock.

Figure 3-8 shows a plot of GE’s returns against the market’s returns. As you will notice if you look in the file IFM9 Ch03 Tool Kit.xls, we used the Excel chart feature to add a trend line and to display the equation and $R^2$ value on the chart itself. We also used the Excel regression analysis feature, which provides additional data.

Table 3-4 reports some of the regression results for GE. Its estimated beta, which is the slope coefficient, is about 0.88. This means that GE’s beta is close to the average beta of 1.0. Therefore, GE moves up and down, on average, by roughly the same percent as the market. As with all regression results, 0.88 is just an estimate of beta, and not necessarily the true value of beta. Table 3-4 also shows the $t$ statistic and the probability that the true beta is zero. For GE, this probability is approximately equal to zero. This means that there is virtually a zero chance that the true beta is equal to zero. Since this probability is less than 5 percent, statisticians would say that the slope coefficient, beta, is “statistically significant.” The output of the regression analysis also gives us the 95 percent confidence interval for the estimate of beta. For GE, the results tell us that we can be 95 percent confident that the true beta is between 0.53 and 1.24. This is an extremely wide range, but it is typical for most individual stocks. Therefore, the regression estimate for the beta of any single company is highly uncertain.
$r_j = -0.0034 + 0.8826 r_M$

$R^2 = 0.3522$

**Table 3-4** Regression Results for Calculating Beta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel a: General Electric (Market model)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>Probability of t Statistic</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel b: Magellan Fund (Market model)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>Probability of t Statistic</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>64.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel c: General Electric (CAPM: Excess returns)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>Probability of t Statistic</th>
<th>Lower 95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Upper 95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* The market model uses actual historical returns; the CAPM model uses returns in excess of the risk-free rate.
Note also that the points in Figure 3-8 are not clustered very tightly around the regression line. Sometimes GE does much better than the market; other times it does much worse. The $R^2$ value shown in the chart measures the degree of dispersion about the regression line. Statistically speaking, it measures the percent of variance that is explained by the regression equation. An $R^2$ of 1.0 indicates that all points lie exactly on the line, hence that all of the variance of the $y$-variable is explained by the $x$-variable. The $R^2$ for GE is about 0.35, which is typical for most individual stocks. This indicates that about 35 percent of the variance in GE’s returns is explained by the market return.

Finally, note that the intercept shown in the regression equation displayed on the chart is about $-0.003$. Since the regression equation is based on monthly data, this means that GE had a $-0.3$ percent average monthly return that was not explained by the CAPM model. However, the regression results in Table 3-4 also show that the probability of the $t$ statistic is greater than 5 percent, meaning that the “true” intercept might be zero. Therefore, most statisticians would say that this intercept is not statistically significant—the returns of GE are so volatile that we cannot be sure that the true intercept is not equal to zero. Translating statistician-talk into English, this means that the part of GE’s average monthly return that is not explained by the CAPM could very well be zero. Thus, the CAPM might very well explain all of GE’s average monthly returns.

### The Market Model versus the CAPM

Note that when we estimated beta, we used the following regression equation:

$$
\bar{r}_j = a_j + b_j \bar{r}_M + e_j
$$

where

- $\bar{r}_j$ = historical (realized) rate of return on Stock J.
- $\bar{r}_M$ = historical (realized) rate of return on the market.
- $a_j$ = vertical axis intercept term for Stock J.
- $b_j$ = slope, or beta coefficient, for Stock J.
- $e_j$ = random error, reflecting the difference between the actual return on Stock J in a given period and the return as predicted by the regression line.

Equation 3-10 is called the **market model**, because it regresses the stock’s return against the market’s return. However, the SML of the CAPM for realized returns is a little different from Equation 3-10, as is shown below:

$$
\text{SML for realized returns: } \bar{r}_j = \bar{r}_{RF} + (\bar{r}_M - \bar{r}_{RF})b_j + e_j
$$

where $\bar{r}_{RF}$ is the historical (realized) risk-free rate.

To use the CAPM to estimate beta, we must rewrite Equation 3-9a to be a regression equation by adding an intercept, $a_j$. The resulting regression equation is
Therefore, to be theoretically correct when estimating beta, we should use the stock’s return in excess of the risk-free rate as the y-variable and the market’s return in excess of the risk-free rate as the x-variable. We did this for GE using the data in Table 3-3, and the results are reported in Panel c of Table 3-4. Note that there are no appreciable differences between the results in Panel a, the market model, and in Panel c, the CAPM model. This typically is the case, so we will use the market model in the rest of this book.

### Calculating the Beta Coefficient for a Portfolio: The Magellan Fund

Let’s calculate beta for the Magellan Fund, which is a well-diversified portfolio. Figure 3-9 shows the plot of Magellan’s monthly returns versus the market’s monthly returns. Note the differences between this chart and the one for GE shown in Figure 3-8. The points for Magellan are tightly clustered around the regression line, indicating that the vast majority of Magellan’s volatility is explained by the stock market. The $R^2$ of over 0.98 confirms this visual conclusion. We can also see from Table 3-3 that the Magellan Fund has a standard deviation of 16.8 percent, which is only slightly higher than the 16.5 percent standard deviation of the market.

As Table 3-4 shows, the estimated beta is 1.01, and the 95 percent confidence interval is from 0.98 to 1.04, which is much tighter than the one for GE. The intercept is virtually zero, and the probability of the intercept’s t statistic is greater than 5 percent. Therefore, the intercept is statistically insignificant, indicating that the CAPM explains the average monthly return of the Magellan Fund.

Mutual fund managers are often evaluated by their risk-adjusted performance. The three most widely used measures are Jensen’s *alpha*, Sharpe’s *reward-to-variability ratio*, and Treynor’s *reward-to-volatility ratio*. The Excel file *IFM9 Ch03 Tool Kit.xls* shows all calculations for these measures. Jensen’s *alpha*, which is the intercept in a CAPM regression of excess returns, is $-0.37$ percent per year for Magellan, which seems to indicate that the Magellan fund had substandard performance. However, this intercept was not statistically significantly different from zero. Its t statistic is $-0.42$, which is so low a value that it could happen about 68 percent of the time by chance even if the intercept is truly zero. If this probability is greater than 5 percent, as is the case for Magellan, most statisticians would be reluctant to conclude that Magellan’s excess return of $-0.37$ percent is truly worse than zero, and is not just a result of pure chance.

Sharpe’s reward-to-variability ratio is defined as the portfolio’s average return (in excess of the risk-free rate) divided by its standard deviation. Sharpe’s ratio for Magellan during the past four years is $0.44$, which is almost the same as the S&P’s measure of $-0.42$.

Treynor’s reward-to-volatility measure is defined as the portfolio’s average return (in excess of the risk-free rate) divided by its beta. For Magellan, this is $-7.2$ percent, which is a little worse than the S&P 500’s ratio of $-0.69$ percent. All in all, the Magellan fund seems to have slightly underperformed the market, but perhaps not by a statistically significant amount. While it is not clear whether or not Magellan “beat the market,” it did dramatically reduce the risk faced by investors vis-à-vis the risk inherent in a randomly chosen individual stock.
Additional Insights into Risk and Return

The CAPM provides some additional insights into the relationship between risk and return. In the following illustrations of these insights, we will use GE to represent Stock J:

1. The *predicted future* returns on Stock J are assumed to bear a linear relationship of the following form to those of the market:

\[
\hat{r}_J = a_J + b_J \hat{r}_M + e_J
\]

Here we assume that the historical relationship between Stock J and the market as a whole, as given by its characteristic line, will continue into the future. For example, we might assume the predicted return for GE in a future period, given the market’s return in the period, is

\[
\hat{r}_{GE} = -0.003 + 0.88 \hat{r}_M + e_{GE}
\]

2. In addition to general market movements, each firm also faces events that are unique to it and thus are independent of the general economic climate. Such events cause the returns on Firm J’s stock to move somewhat independently of those for the market as a whole, and these random events are accounted for by
the random error term, \( e_j \). Before the fact, the expected value of the error term is zero; after the fact, it is generally either positive or negative. This component of total risk is the stock’s **diversifiable**, or **company-specific**, risk, and rational investors will eliminate its effects by holding diversified portfolios of stocks.

3. The regression coefficient, \( b \) (the beta coefficient), is a market sensitivity index; it measures the relative volatility of a given stock versus the average stock, or “the market.” The tendency of an individual stock to move with the market constitutes a risk, because the market does fluctuate, and these fluctuations cannot be diversified away. This part of total risk is the stock’s **market**, or **nondiversifiable**, risk. Even well-diversified portfolios contain some market risk.

4. The relationship between a stock’s total risk, market risk, and diversifiable risk can be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Total risk} = \text{Variance} = \text{Market risk} + \text{Diversifiable risk}
\]

\[
\sigma_J^2 = b_J^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{e_J}^2
\]

Here \( \sigma_J^2 \) is the variance (or total risk) of Stock J, \( \sigma_M^2 \) is the variance of the market, \( b_J \) is Stock J’s beta coefficient, and \( \sigma_{e_J}^2 \) is the variance of Stock J’s regression error term.

5. If in Figure 3-8 all the points had plotted exactly on the regression line, then the variance of the error term, \( \sigma_{e_J}^2 \), would have been zero, and all of the stock’s total risk would have been market risk. On the other hand, if the points were widely scattered about the regression line, much of the stock’s total risk would be diversifiable. The shares of a large, well-diversified mutual fund would plot very close to the regression line.

6. If the stock market never fluctuated, then stocks would have no market risk. Of course, the market does fluctuate, so market risk is present—even if you hold an extremely well-diversified portfolio, you will still suffer losses if the market falls. As shown in Table 3-3, the standard deviation of annual market returns, \( \sigma_M \), was about 16.5 percent in the last four years. However, on a single day, October 19, 1987, the market lost about 25 percent of its value.

7. Beta is a measure of relative market risk, but the actual market risk of Stock J is \( b_J^2 \sigma_M \). Market risk can also be expressed in standard deviation form, \( b_J \sigma_M \). Therefore, GE’s market risk is \( b_{GE} \sigma_M = 0.88(16.5\%) = 14.5\% \), while its total risk is \( \sigma_{GE} = 24.5\% \). The higher a stock’s beta, the higher its market risk. If beta were zero, the stock would have no market risk, while if beta were 1.0, the stock would be exactly as risky as the market—assuming the stock is held in a diversified portfolio—and the stock’s market risk would be \( \sigma_M \).

8. Diversifiable risk can and should be eliminated by diversification, so the relevant risk is market risk, not total risk. If Stock J had \( b = 0.5 \), then the stock’s relevant risk would be \( b_J \sigma_M = 0.5(16.5\%) = 8.25\% \). A portfolio of such low-beta stocks would have a standard deviation of \( \sigma_p = 8.25\% \), or one-half the standard deviation on a portfolio of average (\( b = 1.0 \)) stocks. Had Stock J been a high-beta stock (\( b = 2.0 \)), then its relevant risk would have been \( b_J \sigma_M = 2.0(16.5\%) = 33.0\% \). A portfolio of \( b = 2.0 \) stocks would have \( \sigma_p = 33.0\% \), so such a portfolio would be twice as risky as a portfolio of average stocks.

9. A stock’s risk premium depends only on its market risk, not its total risk: \( RP_J = (r_M - r_{RF})b_J \). Mr. S might own only Stock J, and hence be concerned with its total risk and seek a return based on that risk. However, if other investors hold well-diversified portfolios, they would face less risk from Stock J. Therefore, if
Stock J offered a return high enough to satisfy Mr. S, it would represent a bargain for other investors, who would then buy it, pushing its price up and its yield down in the process. Since most financial assets are held by diversified investors, and since any given security can have only one price and hence only one rate of return, market action drives each stock’s risk premium to the level specified by its relevant, or market, risk.

**Advanced Issues in Calculating Beta**

Betas are generally estimated from the stock’s characteristic line by running a linear regression between past returns on the stock in question and past returns on some market index. We define betas developed in this manner as **historical betas**. However, in most situations, it is the **future** beta that is needed. This has led to the development of two different types of betas: (1) adjusted betas and (2) fundamental betas.

**Adjusted betas** grew largely out of the work of Marshall E. Blume, who showed that true betas tend to move toward 1.0 over time. Therefore, we can begin with a firm’s pure historical statistical beta, make an adjustment for the expected future movement toward 1.0, and produce an adjusted beta that will, on average, be a better predictor of the future beta than would the unadjusted historical beta. *Value Line* publishes betas based on approximately this formula:

$$\text{Adjusted beta} = 0.67(\text{Historical beta}) + 0.33(1.0)$$

Consider American Camping Corporation, a retailer of supplies for outdoor activities. ACC’s historical beta is 1.2. Therefore, its adjusted beta is

$$\text{Adjusted beta} = 0.67(1.2) + 0.33(1.0) = 1.13$$

Other researchers have extended the adjustment process to include such fundamental risk variables as financial leverage, sales volatility, and the like. The end product here is a **fundamental beta**. These betas are constantly adjusted to reflect changes in a firm’s operations and capital structure, whereas with historical betas (including adjusted ones), such changes might not be reflected until several years after the company’s “true” beta had changed.

Adjusted betas are obviously heavily dependent on unadjusted historical betas, and so are fundamental betas as they are actually calculated. Therefore, the plain old historical beta, calculated as the slope of the characteristic line, is important even if one goes on to develop a more exotic version. With this in mind, it should be noted that several different sets of data can be used to calculate historical betas, and the different data sets produce different results. Here are some points to note:

1. Betas can be based on historical periods of different lengths. For example, data for the past one, two, three, and so on, years may be used. Most people who calculate betas today use five years of data, but this choice is arbitrary, and different lengths of time usually alter significantly the calculated beta for a given company.
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13See Barr Rosenberg and James Guy, “Beta and Investment Fundamentals,” *Financial Analysts Journal* (May–June 1976), pp. 60–72. Rosenberg, then a professor at the University of California at Berkeley, later founded a company that calculates fundamental betas by a proprietary procedure and then sells them to institutional investors.

14A commercial provider of betas once told the authors that his firm, and others, did not know what the right period was, but they all decided to use five years in order to reduce the apparent differences between various services’ betas, because large differences reduced everyone’s credibility!
2. Returns may be calculated over holding periods of different lengths—a day, a week, a month, a quarter, a year, and so on. For example, if it has been decided to analyze data on NYSE stocks over a five-year period, then we might obtain $52(5) = 260$ weekly returns on each stock and on the market index. We could also use $12(5) = 60$ monthly returns, or $1(5) = 5$ annual returns. The set of returns on each stock, however large the set turns out to be, would then be regressed on the corresponding market returns to obtain the stock’s beta. In statistical analysis, it is generally better to have more rather than fewer observations, because using more observations generally leads to greater statistical confidence. This suggests the use of weekly returns, and, say, five years of data, for a sample size of 260, or even daily returns for a still larger sample size. However, the shorter the holding period, the more likely the data are to exhibit random “noise.” Also, the greater the number of years of data, the more likely it is that the company’s basic risk position has changed. Thus, the choice of both the number of years of data and the length of the holding period for calculating rates of return involves trade-offs between a desire to have many observations versus a desire to rely on recent and consequently more relevant data.

3. The value used to represent “the market” is also an important consideration, as the index used can have a significant effect on the calculated beta. Many analysts today use the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index (based on more than 2,000 common stocks, weighted by the value of each company), but others use the S&P 500 Index. In theory, the broader the index, the better the beta. Indeed, the index should really include returns on all stocks, bonds, leases, private businesses, real estate, and even “human capital.” As a practical matter, however, we cannot get accurate returns data on most other types of assets, so measurement problems largely restrict us to stock indexes.

Where does this leave financial managers regarding the proper beta? They must “pay their money and take their choice.” Some managers calculate their own betas, using whichever procedure seems most appropriate under the circumstances. Others use betas calculated by organizations such as Yahoo!Finance or Value Line, perhaps using one service or perhaps averaging the betas of several services. The choice is a matter of judgment and data availability, for there is no “right” beta. Generally, though, the betas derived from different sources will, for a given company, be reasonably close together. If they are not, then our confidence in using the CAPM will be diminished.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain the meaning and significance of a stock’s beta coefficient. Illustrate your explanation by drawing, on one graph, the characteristic lines for stocks with low, average, and high risk. (Hint: Let your three characteristic lines intersect at $\bar{r}_i = \bar{r}_m = 6\%$, the assumed risk-free rate.)

What is a typical $R^2$ for the characteristic line of an individual stock? For a portfolio?

What is the market model? How is it different from the SML for the CAPM?

How are stand-alone risk, market risk, and diversifiable risk related?

**EMPIRICAL TESTS OF THE CAPM**

Does the CAPM’s SML produce reasonable estimates for a stock’s required return? The literature dealing with empirical tests of the CAPM is quite extensive, so we can give here only a synopsis of some of the key work.
Tests of the Stability of Beta Coefficients

According to the CAPM, the beta used to estimate a stock’s market risk should reflect investors’ estimates of the stock’s future volatility in relation to that of the market. Obviously, we do not know now how a stock will be related to the market in the future, nor do we know how the average investor views this expected future relative volatility. All we have are data on past volatility, which we can use to plot the characteristic line and to calculate historical betas. If historical betas have been stable over time, then there would seem to be reason for investors to use past betas as estimators of future volatility. For example, if Stock J’s beta had been stable in the past, then its historical $b_J$ would probably be a good proxy for its ex ante, or expected, beta. By “stable” we mean that if $b_J$ were calculated with data from the period of, say, 2002 to 2006, then this same beta (approximately) should be found from 2007 to 2011.

Robert Levy, Marshall Blume, and others have studied the question of beta stability in depth. Levy calculated betas for individual securities, as well as for portfolios of securities, over a range of time intervals. He concluded (1) that the betas of individual stocks are unstable, hence that past betas for individual securities are not good estimators of their future risk, but (2) that betas of portfolios of 10 or more randomly selected stocks are reasonably stable, hence that past portfolio betas are good estimators of future portfolio volatility. In effect, the errors in individual securities’ betas tend to offset one another in a portfolio. The work of Blume and others supports this position.

The conclusion that follows from the beta stability studies is that the CAPM is a better concept for structuring investment portfolios than it is for estimating the required return for individual securities.

Tests of the CAPM Based on the Slope of the SML

The CAPM states that a linear relationship exists between a security’s required rate of return and its beta. Further, when the SML is graphed, the vertical axis intercept should be $r_{RF}$, and the required rate of return for a stock (or portfolio) with $b = 1.0$ should be $r_M$, the required rate of return on the market. Various researchers have attempted to test the validity of the CAPM by calculating betas and realized rates of return, plotting these values in graphs such as that in Figure 3-10, and then observing whether or not (1) the intercept is equal to $r_{RF}$, (2) the plot is linear, and (3) the line passes through the point $b = 1.0$, $r_M$. Monthly or daily historical rates of return are generally used for stocks, and both 30-day Treasury bill rates and long-term Treasury bond rates have been used to estimate the value of $r_{RF}$. Also, most of the studies actually analyzed portfolios rather than individual securities because security betas are so unstable.

Before discussing the results of the tests, it is critical to recognize that although the CAPM is an ex ante, or forward-looking, model, the data used to test it are entirely historical. This presents a problem, for there is no reason to believe that realized rates of return over past holding periods are necessarily equal to the rates of return people expect in the future. Also, historical betas may or may not reflect
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expected future risk. This lack of ex ante data makes it extremely difficult to test the CAPM, but for what it is worth, here is a summary of the key results:

1. The evidence generally shows a significant positive relationship between realized returns and beta. However, the slope of the relationship is usually less than that predicted by the CAPM.
2. The relationship between risk and return appears to be linear. Empirical studies give no evidence of significant curvature in the risk/return relationship.
3. Tests that attempt to assess the relative importance of market and company-specific risk do not yield conclusive results. The CAPM implies that company-specific risk should not be relevant, yet both kinds of risk appear to be positively related to security returns; that is, higher returns seem to be required to compensate for diversifiable as well as market risk. However, it may be that the observed relationships reflect statistical problems rather than the true nature of capital markets.
4. Richard Roll has questioned whether it is even conceptually possible to test the CAPM. Roll showed that the linear relationship that prior researchers had observed in graphs like Figure 3-10 resulted from the mathematical properties of the models being tested, hence that a finding of linearity proved nothing whatsoever about the validity of the CAPM. Roll’s work did not disprove the CAPM, but he did show that it is virtually impossible to prove that investors behave in accordance with its predictions.
5. If the CAPM were completely valid, it should apply to all financial assets, including bonds. In fact, when bonds are introduced into the analysis, they do not plot on the SML. This is worrisome, to say the least.

---

Current Status of the CAPM

The CAPM is extremely appealing at an intellectual level: It is logical and rational, and once someone works through and understands the theory, his or her reaction is usually to accept it without question. However, doubts begin to arise when one thinks about the assumptions upon which the model is based, and these doubts are as much reinforced as reduced by the empirical tests. Our own views as to the current status of the CAPM are as follows:

1. The CAPM framework, with its focus on market as opposed to stand-alone risk, is clearly a useful way to think about the riskiness of assets. Thus, as a conceptual model, the CAPM is of truly fundamental importance.

2. When applied in practice, the CAPM appears to provide neat, precise answers to important questions about risk and required rates of return. However, the answers are less clear than they seem. The simple truth is that we do not know precisely how to measure any of the inputs required to implement the CAPM. These inputs should all be ex ante, yet only ex post data are available. Further, historical data on $r_m$, $r_f$, and betas vary greatly depending on the time period studied and the methods used to estimate them. Thus, although the CAPM appears precise, estimates of $r_j$ found through its use are subject to potentially large errors.

3. Because the CAPM is logical in the sense that it represents the way risk-averse people ought to behave, the model is a useful conceptual tool.

4. It is appropriate to think about many financial problems in a CAPM framework. However, it is important to recognize the limitations of the CAPM when using it in practice.

Self-Test Questions

What are the two major types of tests that have been performed to test the validity of the CAPM? Explain their results. (Hint: beta stability and slope of the SML.)

Are there any reasons to question the validity of the CAPM? Explain.

ARBITRAGE PRICING THEORY

The CAPM is a single-factor model. That is, it specifies risk as a function of only one factor, the security’s beta coefficient. Perhaps the risk/return relationship is more complex, with a stock’s required return a function of more than one factor. For example, what if investors, because personal tax rates on capital gains are lower than those on dividends, value capital gains more highly than dividends? Then, if two stocks had the same market risk, the stock paying the higher dividend would have the higher required rate of return. In that case, required returns would be a function of two factors, market risk and dividend policy.

Further, what if many factors are required to specify the equilibrium risk/return relationship rather than just one or two? Stephen Ross has proposed an approach called the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT).17 The APT can include any number of risk factors, so the required return could be a function of two, three, four, or more factors. We should note at the outset that the APT is based on complex mathematical and statistical theory that goes far beyond the scope of this text. Also, although the APT model is widely discussed in academic literature, practical usage to date has been limited. However, usage may increase, so students should at least have an intuitive idea of what the APT is all about.

The SML states that each stock’s required return is equal to the risk-free rate plus the product of the market risk premium times the stock’s beta coefficient:

\[ r_i = r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b_i \]  \[ 3-9 \]

The historical realized return, \( \bar{r}_i \), which will generally be different from the expected return, can be expressed as follows:

\[ \bar{r}_i = \hat{r}_i + (\bar{r}_M - \hat{r}_M)b_i + e_i \]  \[ 3-13 \]

Thus, the realized return, \( \bar{r}_i \), will be equal to the expected return, \( \hat{r}_i \), plus a positive or negative increment, \( (\bar{r}_M - \hat{r}_M)b_i \), which depends jointly on the stock’s beta and whether the market did better or worse than was expected, plus a random error term, \( e_i \).

The market’s realized return, \( \bar{r}_M \), is in turn determined by a number of factors, including domestic economic activity as measured by gross domestic product (GDP), the strength of the world economy, the level of inflation, changes in tax laws, and so forth. Further, different groups of stocks are affected in different ways by these fundamental factors. So, rather than specifying a stock’s return as a function of one factor (return on the market), one could specify required and realized returns on individual stocks as a function of various fundamental economic factors. If this were done, we would transform Equation 3-13 into 3-14:

\[ \bar{r}_i = \hat{r}_i + (\bar{F}_1 - \hat{F}_1)b_{i1} + \cdots + (\bar{F}_j - \hat{F}_j)b_{ij} + e_i \]  \[ 3-14 \]

Here

- \( \bar{r}_i \) = realized rate of return on Stock i.
- \( \hat{r}_i \) = expected rate of return on Stock i.
- \( \bar{F}_j \) = realized value of economic Factor j.
- \( \hat{F}_j \) = expected value of Factor j.
- \( b_{ij} \) = sensitivity of Stock i to economic Factor j.
- \( e_i \) = effect of unique events on the realized return of Stock i.

Equation 3-14 shows that the realized return on any stock is equal to (1) the stock’s expected return, (2) increases or decreases that depend on unexpected changes in fundamental economic factors times the sensitivity of the stock to these changes, and (3) a random term that reflects changes unique to the firm or industry.

Certain stocks or groups of stocks are most sensitive to Factor 1, others to Factor 2, and so forth, and every portfolio’s returns depend on what happened to the different fundamental factors. Theoretically, one could construct a portfolio such that (1) the portfolio was riskless and (2) the net investment in it was zero (some stocks would be sold short, with the proceeds from the short sales being used to buy the stocks held long). Such a zero investment portfolio must have a zero expected return, or else arbitrage operations would occur and cause the
prices of the underlying assets to change until the portfolio’s expected return was zero. Using some complex mathematics and a set of assumptions including the possibility of short sales, the APT equivalent of the CAPM’s Security Market Line can be developed from Equation 3-14:\(^1\)

\[ r_j = r_{RF} + (r_1 - r_{RF})b_{j1} + \cdots + (r_j - r_{RF})b_{jj} \]  

Here \( r_j \) is the required rate of return on a portfolio that is sensitive only to the \( j \)th economic factor (\( b_{j} = 1.0 \)) and has zero sensitivity to all other factors. Thus, for example, \( (r_2 - r_{RF}) \) is the risk premium on a portfolio with \( b_2 = 1.0 \) and all other \( b_j = 0.0 \). Note that Equation 3-15 is identical in form to the SML, but it permits a stock’s required return to be a function of multiple factors.

To illustrate the APT concept, assume that all stocks’ returns depend on only three risk factors: inflation, industrial production, and the aggregate degree of risk aversion (the cost of bearing risk, which we assume is reflected in the spread between the yields on Treasury and low-grade bonds). Further, suppose (1) the risk-free rate is 8.0 percent; (2) the required rate of return is 13 percent on a portfolio with unit sensitivity (\( b = 1.0 \)) to inflation and zero sensitivities (\( b = 0.0 \)) to industrial production and degree of risk aversion; (3) the required return is 10 percent on a portfolio with unit sensitivity to industrial production and zero sensitivities to inflation and degree of risk aversion; and (4) the required return is 6 percent on a portfolio (the risk-bearing portfolio) with unit sensitivity to the degree of risk aversion and zero sensitivities to inflation and industrial production. Finally, assume that Stock i has factor sensitivities (betas) of 0.9 to the inflation portfolio, 1.2 to the industrial production portfolio, and -0.7 to the risk-bearing portfolio. Stock i’s required rate of return, according to the APT, would be 16.3 percent:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_i &= 8\% + (13\% - 8\%)0.9 + (10\% - 8\%)1.2 + (6\% - 8\%)(-0.7) \\
    &= 16.3\%
\end{align*}
\]

Note that if the required rate of return on the market were 15.0 percent and Stock i had a CAPM beta of 1.1, then its required rate of return, according to the SML, would be 15.7 percent:

\[
    r_i = 8\% + (15\% - 8\%)1.1 = 15.7\%
\]

The primary theoretical advantage of the APT is that it permits several economic factors to influence individual stock returns, whereas the CAPM assumes that the effect of all factors, except those unique to the firm, can be captured in a single measure, the volatility of the stock with respect to the market portfolio. Also, the APT requires fewer assumptions than the CAPM and hence is more general. Finally, the APT does not assume that all investors hold the market portfolio, which is a CAPM requirement that clearly is not met in practice.

However, the APT faces several major hurdles in implementation, the most severe being that the APT does not identify the relevant factors. Thus, the APT does not tell us what factors influence returns, nor does it even indicate how many factors should appear in the model. There is some empirical evidence that only three or four factors are relevant: perhaps inflation, industrial production, the spread
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between low- and high-grade bonds, and the term structure of interest rates, but no one knows for sure.

The APT’s proponents argue that it is not actually necessary to identify the relevant factors. Researchers use a complex statistical procedure called **factor analysis** to develop the APT parameters. Basically, they start with hundreds, or even thousands, of stocks and then create several different portfolios, where the returns on each portfolio are not highly correlated with returns on the other portfolios. Thus, each portfolio is apparently more heavily influenced by one of the unknown factors than are the other portfolios. Then, the required rate of return on each portfolio becomes the estimate for that unknown economic factor, shown as \( r_j \) in Equation 3-15. The sensitivities of each individual stock’s returns to the returns on that portfolio are the factor sensitivities (betas). Unfortunately, the results of factor analysis are not easily interpreted; hence it does not provide significant insights into the underlying economic determinants of risk.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the primary difference between the APT and the CAPM?

What are some disadvantages of the APT?

---

### THE FAMA-FRENCH THREE-FACTOR MODEL

As we mentioned in Chapter 2, the results of two studies by Eugene F. Fama and Kenneth R. French of the University of Chicago seriously challenge the CAPM.\(^{19}\)

In the first of these studies, published in 1992, Fama and French hypothesized that the SML should have three factors. The first is the stock’s CAPM beta, which measures the market risk of the stock. The second is the size of the company, measured by the market value of its equity (MVE), because if small companies are riskier than large companies, then we might expect small companies to have higher stock returns than large companies. The third factor is the book value of equity divided by the market value of equity, or the book-to-market ratio (B/M). If the market value is larger than the book value, then investors are optimistic about the stock’s future. On the other hand, if the book value is larger than the market value, then investors are pessimistic about the stock’s future, and it is likely that a ratio analysis would reveal that the company is experiencing sub-par operating performance and possibly even financial distress. In other words, a stock with a high B/M ratio might be risky, in which case investors would require a higher expected return to induce them to invest in such a stock.

When Fama and French tested their hypotheses, they found that small companies and companies with high B/M ratios had higher rates of return than the average stock, just as they hypothesized. Somewhat surprisingly, however, they found no relation between beta and return. After taking into account the returns due to the company’s size and B/M ratio, high-beta stocks did not have higher-than-average returns, and low-beta stocks did not have lower-than-average returns.

In the second of their two studies, published in 1993, Fama and French developed a three-factor model based on their previous results. The first factor in the **Fama-French three-factor model** is the market risk premium, which is the market return, \( \bar{r}_M \), minus the risk-free rate, \( \bar{r}_{RF} \). Thus, their model begins like the CAPM, but they go on to add a second and third factor.\(^{20}\) To form the second factor, they
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\(^{20}\) Although our description captures the essence of their process for forming factors, their actual process is a little more complicated. The interested reader should see their 1993 paper as referenced in Footnote 19.
ranked all actively traded stocks by size and then divided them into two portfolios, consisting of small and big stocks. They calculated the return on each of these two portfolios, and created a third portfolio by subtracting the return on the big portfolio from that of the small one. They called this the SMB portfolio (for small size minus big size). This portfolio is designed to measure the variation in stock returns that is caused by the size effect.

To form the third factor, they ranked all stocks according to their book-to-market ratios (B/M). They placed the 30 percent of stocks with the highest ratios into a portfolio that they called the H portfolio (for high B/M ratios). They placed the 30 percent of stocks with the lowest ratios into a portfolio called the L portfolio (for low B/M ratios). They subtracted the return of the L portfolio from the H portfolio, and they called the result the HML portfolio (for high-B/M ratio minus low-B/M ratio). Their resulting model is shown here:

\[
(r_i - r_{RF}) = a_i + b_i(r_M - r_{RF}) + c_i(r_{SMB}) + d_i(r_{HML}) + e_i
\]

where

- \( r_i \) = historical (realized) rate of return on Stock i.
- \( r_{RF} \) = historical (realized) rate of return on the risk-free rate.
- \( r_M \) = historical (realized) rate of return on the market.
- \( r_{SMB} \) = historical (realized) rate of return on the small-size portfolio minus the big-size portfolio.
- \( r_{HML} \) = historical (realized) rate of return on the high-B/M portfolio minus the low-B/M portfolio.
- \( a_i \) = vertical axis intercept term for Stock i.
- \( b_i, c_i, \) and \( d_i \) = slope coefficients for Stock i.
- \( e_i \) = random error, reflecting the difference between the actual return on Stock i in a given period and the return as predicted by the regression line.

Here is how you might apply this model. Suppose you ran the regression in Equation 3-16 for a stock, and estimated the following regression coefficients: \( a_i = 0.0 \), \( b_i = 0.9 \), \( c_i = 0.2 \), and \( d_i = 0.3 \). Assume that the expected market risk premium is 6 percent (that is, \( r_M - r_{RF} = 6\% \)) and that the risk-free rate is 6.5 percent. Suppose the expected value of \( r_{SMB} \) is 3.2 percent and the expected value of \( r_{HML} \) is 4.8 percent. Using the CAPM SML, the required return on the stock is

\[
r_i = r_{RF} + a_i + b_i(r_M - r_{RF})
\]

\[
= 6.5\% + 0.0\% + 0.9(6\%)
\]

\[
= 11.9\%
\]

These are the average returns Fama and French found in their sample period for \( r_{SMB} \) and \( r_{HML} \).
Using the Fama-French three-factor model, the expected return is:

\[ r_i = r_{RF} + a_i + b_i(r_M - r_{RF}) + c_i(r_{SMB}) + d_i(r_{HML}) \]

\[ = 6.5\% + 0.0\% + 0.9(6\%) + 0.2(3.2\%) + 0.3(4.8\%) \]
\[ = 13.98\% \]

To date, the Fama-French three-factor model has been used primarily by academic researchers rather than by managers at actual companies, the majority of whom are using the CAPM. One reason for this difference is data availability. Most professors have access to the type of data that is required to calculate the factors, but the data for the size factor and the B/M factor are not readily available to the general public. A second reason is the difficulty in estimating the expected values of the size factor and the B/M factor. Although we know the historical average returns for these factors, we don’t know whether the past historical returns are good estimators of the future expected returns. Third, many managers choose to wait and adopt a new theory only after it has been widely accepted by the academic community.

And that isn’t the case right now. In fact, there are a number of subsequent studies indicating that the Fama-French model is not correct.\(^2\) Several of these studies suggest that the size effect is no longer having an effect on stock returns, that there never was a size effect (the previous results were caused by peculiarities in the data sources), or that the size effect doesn’t apply to most companies. Other studies suggest that the book-to-market effect is not as significant as first supposed and that the book-to-market effect is not caused by risk. Another recent study shows that if the composition of a company’s assets were changing over time with respect to the mix of physical assets and growth opportunities (such as R&D, patents, etc.), then it would appear as though there were size and book-to-market effects. In other words, even if the returns on the individual assets conform to the CAPM, changes in the mix of assets would cause the firm’s beta to change over time in such a way that the firm will appear to have size and book-to-market effects.\(^3\)

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the factors in the Fama-French model?

How can the model be used to estimate the required return on a stock?

Why isn’t the model widely used by managers at actual companies?

---


AN ALTERNATIVE THEORY OF RISK AND RETURN: BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

In addition to the Arbitrage Pricing Theory and the Fama-French three-factor model, there are several other arguments against the CAPM. First, there is some evidence that stocks may have short-term momentum. Stocks that perform poorly tend to continue performing poorly over the next 3 to 12 months, and stocks that perform well tend to continue performing well in the short-term future. On the other hand, there is some evidence that stocks have long-term reversals. In particular, stocks that have the lowest returns in a five-year period tend to outperform the market during the next five years. The opposite is true for stocks that outperform the market during a five-year period: They tend to have lower than average returns during the next five-year period.\(^{24}\)

In response to such observations, a number of researchers are blending psychology with finance, creating a new field called behavioral finance. There is a large body of evidence in the field of psychology indicating that people don't behave rationally in many areas of their lives, so some argue that we should not expect people to behave rationally with their investments.\(^{25}\) For example, most people experience “loss aversion,” or a strong desire to avoid realizing losses. This leads investors to sell winners much more frequently than losers, even though this is suboptimal for tax purposes.\(^{26}\) Many psychological tests also show that people are overconfident with respect to their own abilities relative to the abilities of others, which is the basis of Garrison Keillor’s joke about a town where all the children are above average. Humans also tend to have “biased self-attribution,” a fancy way of saying that we believe our failures are due to bad luck but that our successes are due to our skill. Some researchers have hypothesized that the combination of overconfidence and biased self-attribution leads to overly volatile stock markets, short-term momentum, and long-term reversals.\(^{27}\) In other words, stock returns reflect the irrational, but predictable, behavior of humans. We will have much more to say about this when we discuss stock returns in Chapter 5.

Self-Test Questions

What is short-term momentum? What are long-term reversals?
What is behavioral finance?
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**SUMMARY**

Chapter 3 completes our discussion of risk and return for traded securities. The primary goal of this chapter was to extend your knowledge of risk and return concepts. The key concepts covered are listed below:
The feasible set of portfolios represents all portfolios that can be constructed from a given set of assets.

An efficient portfolio is one that offers the most return for a given amount of risk, or the least risk for a given amount of return.

The optimal portfolio for an investor is defined by the investor's highest possible indifference curve that is tangent to the efficient set of portfolios.

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) describes the relationship between market risk and required rates of return.

The Capital Market Line (CML) describes the risk/return relationship for efficient portfolios, that is, for portfolios that consist of a mix of the market portfolio and a riskless asset.

The Security Market Line (SML) is an integral part of the CAPM, and it describes the risk/return relationship for individual assets. The required rate of return for any Stock J is equal to the risk-free rate plus the market risk premium times the stock’s beta coefficient: \( r_J = r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b_J \).

Stock J’s beta coefficient, \( b_J \), is a measure of the stock’s market risk. Beta measures the volatility of returns on a security relative to returns on the market, which is the portfolio of all risky assets.

The beta coefficient is measured by the slope of the stock’s characteristic line, which is found by regressing historical returns on the stock versus historical returns on the market.

Although the CAPM provides a convenient framework for thinking about risk and return issues, it cannot be proven empirically, and its parameters are very difficult to estimate. Thus, the required rate of return for a stock as estimated by the CAPM may not be exactly equal to the true required rate of return.

Deficiencies in the CAPM have motivated theorists to seek other risk/return equilibrium models, and the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) is one important new model.

The Fama-French three-factor model has one factor for the market return, a second factor for the size effect, and a third factor for the book-to-market effect.

Behavioral finance assumes that investors don’t always behave rationally.

In the next two chapters, we will see how a security’s required rate of return affects its value.

**QUESTIONS**

3-1 Define the following terms, using graphs or equations to illustrate your answers wherever feasible:

a. Portfolio; feasible set; efficient portfolio; efficient frontier
b. Indifference curve; optimal portfolio
c. Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM); Capital Market Line (CML)
d. Characteristic line; beta coefficient, \( b \)
e. Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT); Fama-French three-factor model; behavioral finance

3-2 Security A has an expected rate of return of 6 percent, a standard deviation of returns of 30 percent, a correlation coefficient with the market of \(-0.25\), and a beta coefficient of \(-0.5\). Security B has an expected return of 11 percent, a standard deviation of returns of 10 percent, a correlation with the market of 0.75, and a beta coefficient of 0.5. Which security is more risky? Why?
PROBLEMS

3-1 You are given the following set of data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NYSE</th>
<th>Stock X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(26.5%)</td>
<td>(14.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(7.2)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Use a spreadsheet (or a calculator with a linear regression function) to determine Stock X’s beta coefficient.
b. Determine the arithmetic average rates of return for Stock X and the NYSE over the period given. Calculate the standard deviations of returns for both Stock X and the NYSE.
c. Assuming (1) that the situation during Years 1 to 7 is expected to hold true in the future (that is, \( \hat{r}_X = \bar{r}_X; \hat{r}_M = \bar{r}_M; \) and both \( \sigma_X \) and \( b_X \) in the future will equal their past values), and (2) that Stock X is in equilibrium (that is, it plots on the Security Market Line), what is the risk-free rate?
d. Plot the Security Market Line.
e. Suppose you hold a large, well-diversified portfolio and are considering adding to the portfolio either Stock X or another stock, Stock Y, that has the same beta as Stock X but a higher standard deviation of returns. Stocks X and Y have the same expected returns; that is, \( \hat{r}_X = \hat{r}_Y = 10.6\% \). Which stock should you choose?

3-2 You are given the following set of data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NYSE</th>
<th>Stock Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(14.7)</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(26.5)</td>
<td>(15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(7.2)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean = 9.8%  
\( \sigma = 19.6\% \)
a. Construct a scatter diagram showing the relationship between returns on Stock Y and the market. Use a spreadsheet or a calculator with a linear regression function to estimate beta.

b. Give a verbal interpretation of what the regression line and the beta coefficient show about Stock Y’s volatility and relative riskiness as compared with those of other stocks.

c. Suppose the scatter of points had been more spread out, but the regression line was exactly where your present graph shows it. How would this affect (1) the firm’s risk if the stock is held in a one-asset portfolio and (2) the actual risk premium on the stock if the CAPM holds exactly?

d. Suppose the regression line had been downward sloping and the beta coefficient had been negative. What would this imply about (1) Stock Y’s relative riskiness, (2) its correlation with the market, and (3) its probable risk premium?

3-3
SML and CML Comparison

The beta coefficient of an asset can be expressed as a function of the asset’s correlation with the market as follows:

\[ b_i = \frac{\rho_{im}}{\sigma_m} \]

a. Substitute this expression for beta into the Security Market Line (SML), Equation 3-9. This results in an alternative form of the SML.

b. Compare your answer to part a with the Capital Market Line (CML), Equation 3-6. What similarities are observed? What conclusions can be drawn?

3-4
CAPM and the Fama-French Three-Factor Model

Suppose you are given the following information. The beta of company i, \( b_i \), is 1.1, the risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \), is 7 percent, and the expected market premium, \( r_M - r_{RF} \), is 6.5 percent. (Assume that \( a_i = 0.0 \).)

a. Use the Security Market Line (SML) of CAPM to find the required return for this company.

b. Because your company is smaller than average and more successful than average (that is, it has a low book-to-market ratio), you think the Fama-French three-factor model might be more appropriate than the CAPM. You estimate the additional coefficients from the Fama-French three-factor model: The coefficient for the size effect, \( c_s \), is 0.7, and the coefficient for the book-to-market effect, \( d_m \), is -0.3. If the expected value of the size factor is 5 percent and the expected value of the book-to-market factor is 4 percent, what is the required return using the Fama-French three-factor model?

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
To begin, briefly review the Chapter 2 Mini Case. Then, extend your knowledge of risk and return by answering the following questions:

a. Suppose Asset A has an expected return of 10 percent and a standard deviation of 20 percent. Asset B has an expected return of 16 percent and a standard deviation of 40 percent. If the correlation between A and B is 0.6, what are the expected return and standard deviation for a portfolio comprised of 30 percent Asset A and 70 percent Asset B?

b. Plot the attainable portfolios for a correlation of 0.6. Now plot the attainable portfolios for correlations of +1.0 and −1.0.

c. Suppose a risk-free asset has an expected return of 5 percent. By definition, its standard deviation is zero, and its correlation with any other asset is also zero. Using only Asset A and the risk-free asset, plot the attainable portfolios.

d. Construct a reasonable, but hypothetical, graph that shows risk, as measured by portfolio standard deviation, on the x-axis and expected rate of return on the y-axis. Now add an illustrative feasible (or attainable) set of portfolios, and show what portion of the feasible set is efficient. What makes a particular portfolio efficient? Don’t worry about specific values when constructing the graph—merely illustrate how things look with “reasonable” data.

e. Now add a set of indifference curves to the graph created for part b. What do these curves represent? What is the optimal portfolio for this investor? Finally, add a second set of indifference curves that leads to the selection of a different optimal portfolio. Why do the two investors choose different portfolios?

f. What is the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)? What are the assumptions that underlie the model?

g. Now add the risk-free asset. What impact does this have on the efficient frontier?

h. Write out the equation for the Capital Market Line (CML) and draw it on the graph. Interpret the CML. Now add a set of indifference curves, and illustrate how an investor’s optimal portfolio is some combination of the risky portfolio and the risk-free asset. What is the composition of the risky portfolio?

i. What is a characteristic line? How is this line used to estimate a stock’s beta coefficient? Write out and explain the formula that relates total risk, market risk, and diversifiable risk.

j. What are two potential tests that can be conducted to verify the CAPM? What are the results of such tests? What is Roll’s critique of CAPM tests?

k. Briefly explain the difference between the CAPM and the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT).

l. Suppose you are given the following information. The beta of a company, $b$, is 0.9; the risk-free rate, $r_{RF}$, is 6.8 percent; and the expected market premium, $r_M - r_{RF}$, is 6.3 percent. Because your company is larger than average and more successful than average (that is, it has a lower book-to-market ratio), you think the Fama-French three-factor model might be more appropriate than the CAPM. You estimate the additional coefficients from the Fama-French three-factor model: The coefficient for the size effect, $c$, is −0.5, and the coefficient for the book-to-market effect, $d$, is −0.3. If the expected value of the size factor is 4 percent and the expected value of the book-to-market factor is 5 percent, what is the required return using the Fama-French three-factor model? (Assume that $a_i = 0.0$.) What is the required return using CAPM?
Probably the best place to find more information on CAPM and APT concepts is in one of the investments textbooks. These are some good recent ones:

For a thorough discussion of beta stability, see

For an article supporting a positive link between market risk and return, see

For additional discussion of Arbitrage Pricing Theory, see

The following case from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, covers many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and is available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).
Case 2, “Peachtree Securities, Inc. (A).”
Growing companies must acquire land, buildings, equipment, inventory, and other operating assets. The debt markets are a major source of funding for such purchases. Therefore, every manager should have a working knowledge of the types of bonds companies and government agencies issue, the terms that are contained in bond contracts, the types of risks to which both bond investors and issuers are exposed, and procedures for determining the values of and rates of return on bonds.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Define and discuss how to calculate a bond’s coupon rate, current yield, expected capital gains yield for the current year, yield to maturity (YTM), and yield to call (YTC). What might be some representative numbers for a strong company like GE today? Are these rates fixed for the life of the bond, or do they change over time?

2. Define the terms interest rate risk and reinvestment rate risk. How are these risks affected by maturities, call provisions, and coupon rates? Why might different types of investors view these risks differently? How would they affect the yield curve? Illustrate your answers with bonds with different maturities and different coupon rates, but just discuss the effects of call provisions.

3. Would a bond be more or less desirable if you learned that it has a sinking fund that requires the company to redeem, say, 10 percent of the original issue each year beginning in 2012, either through open market purchases or by calling the redeemed bonds at par? How would it affect your answer if you learned that the bond was selling at a high premium, say, 130 percent of par, or at a large discount, say, 70 percent of par?

4. What is a bond rating, and how do ratings affect bonds’ prices and yields? Who rates bonds, and what are some of the factors the rating agencies consider? Is it possible for a given company to have several different bonds outstanding that have different ratings? Explain.

5. Financial assets such as mortgages, credit card receivables, and auto loan receivables are often bundled up, placed in a bank trust department, and then used as collateral for publicly traded bonds. Bond prices typically rise when interest rates decline, but bonds backed by mortgages frequently fall when rates decline. Why might this happen?

### WHO ISSUES BONDS?

A bond is a long-term contract under which a borrower agrees to make payments of interest and principal, on specific dates, to the holders of the bond. For example, on January 5, 2007, MicroDrive Inc. borrowed $50 million by issuing $50 million of bonds. For convenience, we assume that MicroDrive sold 50,000 individual bonds for $1,000 each. Actually, it could have sold one $50 million bond, 10 bonds with a $5 million face value, or any other combination that totals to $50 million. In any event, MicroDrive received the $50 million, and in exchange it promised to make annual interest payments and to repay the $50 million on a specified maturity date.

Investors have many choices when investing in bonds, but bonds are classified into four main types: Treasury, corporate, municipal, and foreign. Each type differs with respect to expected return and degree of risk.
Treasury bonds, sometimes referred to as government bonds, are issued by the U.S. federal government. It is reasonable to assume that the federal government will make good on its promised payments, so these bonds have no default risk. However, Treasury bond prices decline when interest rates rise, so they are not free of all risks.

Corporate bonds, as the name implies, are issued by corporations. Unlike Treasury bonds, corporate bonds are exposed to default risk—if the issuing company gets into trouble, it may be unable to make the promised interest and principal payments. Different corporate bonds have different levels of default risk, depending on the issuing company’s characteristics and the terms of the specific bond.
bond. Default risk often is referred to as “credit risk,” and the larger the default or credit risk, the higher the interest rate the issuer must pay.

**Municipal bonds**, or “munis,” are issued by state and local governments. Like corporate bonds, munis have default risk. However, munis offer one major advantage over all other bonds: The interest earned on most municipal bonds is exempt from federal taxes and also from state taxes if the holder is a resident of the issuing state. Consequently, municipal bonds carry interest rates that are considerably lower than those on corporate bonds with the same default risk.

**Foreign bonds** are issued by foreign governments or foreign corporations. Foreign corporate bonds are, of course, exposed to default risk, and so are some foreign government bonds. An additional risk exists if the bonds are denominated in a currency other than that of the investor’s home currency. For example, if a U.S. investor purchases a corporate bond denominated in Japanese yen and the yen subsequently falls relative to the dollar, then the investor will lose money, even if the company does not default on its bonds.

**Self-Test Questions**

- What is a bond?
- What are the four main types of bonds?
- Why are U.S. Treasury bonds not riskless?
- To what types of risk are investors of foreign bonds exposed?

**KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF BONDS**

Although all bonds have some common characteristics, they do not always have identical contractual features, as described below.

**Par Value**

The **par value** is the stated face value of the bond; for illustrative purposes we generally assume a par value of $1,000, although any multiple of $1,000 (for example, $5,000) can be used. The par value generally represents the amount of money the firm borrows and promises to repay on the maturity date.

**Coupon Interest Rate**

MicroDrive’s bonds require the company to pay a fixed number of dollars of interest each year (or, more typically, each six months). When this **coupon payment**, as it is called, is divided by the par value, the result is the **coupon interest rate**. For example, MicroDrive’s bonds have a $1,000 par value, and they pay $100 in interest each year. The bond’s coupon interest is $100, so its coupon interest rate is $100/$1,000 = 10%. The coupon payment, which is fixed at the time the bond is issued, remains in force during the life of the bond. Typically, at the time a bond is issued its coupon payment is set at a level that will enable the bond to be issued at or near its par value.

---

2 At one time, bonds literally had a number of small (1/2- by 2-inch), dated coupons attached to them, and on each interest payment date the owner would clip off the coupon for that date and either cash it at his or her bank or mail it to the company’s paying agent, who would then mail back a check for the interest. For example, a 30-year, semiannual bond would start with 60 coupons. Today, most new bonds are **registered**—no physical coupons are involved, and interest checks are mailed automatically to the registered owners.
In some cases, a bond’s coupon payment will vary over time. For these **floating-rate bonds**, the coupon rate is set for, say, the initial six-month period, after which it is adjusted every six months based on some market rate. Some corporate issues are tied to the Treasury bond rate, while other issues are tied to other rates, such as LIBOR. Many additional provisions can be included in floating-rate issues. For example, some are convertible to fixed-rate debt, whereas others have upper and lower limits (“caps” and “floors”) on how high or low the rate can go.

Floating-rate debt is popular with investors who are worried about the risk of rising interest rates, since the interest paid on such bonds increases whenever market rates rise. This causes the market value of the debt to be stabilized, and it also provides institutional buyers, such as banks, with income that is better geared to their own obligations. Banks’ deposit costs rise with interest rates, so the income on floating-rate loans that they have made rises at the same time their deposit costs are rising. The savings and loan industry was almost destroyed as a result of its former practice of making fixed-rate mortgage loans but borrowing on floating-rate terms. If you are earning 6 percent fixed but paying 10 percent floating—which they were—you soon go bankrupt—which they did. Moreover, floating-rate debt appeals to corporations that want to issue long-term debt without committing themselves to paying a historically high interest rate for the entire life of the loan.

Some bonds pay no coupons at all, but are offered at a substantial discount below their par values and hence provide capital appreciation rather than interest income. These securities are called **zero coupon bonds** (“zeros”). Other bonds pay some coupon interest, but not enough to be issued at par. In general, any bond originally offered at a price significantly below its par value is called an **original issue discount (OID) bond**. Corporations first used zeros in a major way in 1981. In recent years IBM, Alcoa, JCPenney, ITT, Cities Service, GMAC, and Lockheed Martin have used zeros to raise billions of dollars.

Some bonds don’t pay cash coupons but pay coupons consisting of additional bonds (or a percentage of an additional bond). These are called **payment in kind bonds**, or just **PIK bonds**. PIK bonds are usually issued by companies with cash flow problems, which makes them risky.

Some bonds have a step-up provision: If the company’s bond rating is downgraded, then it must increase the bond’s coupon rate. Step-ups are more popular in Europe than in the United States, but that is beginning to change. Note that a step-up is quite dangerous from the company’s standpoint. The downgrade means that it is having trouble servicing its debt, and the step-up will exacerbate the problem. This has led to a number of bankruptcies.

**Maturity Date**

Bonds generally have a specified **maturity date** on which the par value must be repaid. MicroDrive’s bonds, which were issued on January 5, 2007, will mature on January 5, 2022; thus, they had a 15-year maturity at the time they were issued. Most bonds have **original maturities** (the maturity at the time the bond is issued) ranging from 10 to 40 years, but any maturity is legally permissible. Of course, the effective maturity of a bond declines each year after it has been issued. Thus, MicroDrive’s bonds had a 15-year original maturity, but in 2008, a year later, they will have a 14-year maturity, and so on.

---

3In July 1993, Walt Disney Co., attempting to lock in a low interest rate, issued the first 100-year bonds to be sold by any borrower in modern times. Soon after, Coca-Cola became the second company to stretch the meaning of “long-term bond” by selling $150 million of 100-year bonds.
Provisions to Call or Redeem Bonds

Most corporate bonds contain a call provision, which gives the issuing corporation the right to call the bonds for redemption. The call provision generally states that the company must pay the bondholders an amount greater than the par value if they are called. The additional sum, which is termed a call premium, is often set equal to one year’s interest if the bonds are called during the first year, and the premium declines at a constant rate of \( \frac{\text{INT}}{N} \) each year thereafter, where INT = annual interest and \( N \) = original maturity in years. For example, the call premium on a $1,000 par value, 10-year, 10 percent bond would generally be $100 if it were called during the first year, $90 during the second year (calculated by reducing the $100, or 10 percent, premium by one-tenth), and so on. However, bonds are often not callable until several years (generally 5 to 10) after they are issued. This is known as a deferred call, and the bonds are said to have call protection.

Suppose a company sold bonds when interest rates were relatively high. Provided the issue is callable, the company could sell a new issue of low-yielding securities if and when interest rates drop. It could then use the proceeds of the new issue to retire the high-rate issue and thus reduce its interest expense. This process is called a refunding operation.

A call provision is valuable to the firm but potentially detrimental to investors. If interest rates go up, the company will not call the bond, and the investor will be stuck with the original coupon rate on the bond, even though interest rates in the economy have risen sharply. However, if interest rates fall, the company will call the bond and pay off investors, who then must reinvest the proceeds at the current market interest rate, which is lower than the rate they were getting on the original bond. In other words, the investor loses when interest rates go up, but doesn’t reap the gains when rates fall. To induce an investor to take this type of risk, a new issue of callable bonds must provide a higher interest rate than an otherwise similar issue of noncallable bonds. For example, Pacific Timber Company issued bonds yielding 9.5 percent; these bonds were callable immediately. On the same day, Northwest Milling Company sold an issue with similar risk and maturity that yielded 9.2 percent, but these bonds were noncallable for 10 years. Investors were willing to accept a 0.3 percent lower interest rate on Northwest’s bonds for the assurance that the 9.2 percent interest rate would be earned for at least 10 years. Pacific, on the other hand, had to incur a 0.3 percent higher annual interest rate to obtain the option of calling the bonds in the event of a subsequent decline in rates.

Bonds that are redeemable at par at the holder’s option protect investors against a rise in interest rates. If rates rise, the price of a fixed-rate bond declines. However, if holders have the option of turning their bonds in and having them redeemed at par, they are protected against rising rates. Examples of such debt include Transamerica’s $50 million issue of 25-year, 8\(1/2\) percent bonds. The bonds are not callable by the company, but holders can turn them in for redemption at par five years after the date of issue. If interest rates have risen, holders will turn in the bonds and reinvest the proceeds at a higher rate. This feature enabled Transamerica to sell the bonds with an 8\(1/2\) percent coupon at a time when other similarly rated bonds had yields of 9 percent.

In late 1988, the corporate bond markets were sent into turmoil by the leveraged buyout of RJR Nabisco. RJR’s bonds dropped in value by 20 percent within

---

4A majority of municipal bonds also contain call provisions. Although the U.S. Treasury no longer issues callable bonds, some past Treasury issues were callable.
days of the LBO announcement, and the prices of many other corporate bonds also plunged, because investors feared that a boom in LBOs would load up many companies with excessive debt, leading to lower bond ratings and declining bond prices. All this led to a resurgence of concern about event risk, which is the risk that some sudden event, such as an LBO, will occur and increase the credit risk of the company, hence lowering the firm’s bond rating and the value of its outstanding bonds. Investors’ concern over event risk meant that those firms deemed most likely to face events that could harm bondholders had to pay dearly to raise new debt capital, if they could raise it at all. In an attempt to control debt costs, a new type of protective covenant was devised to minimize event risk. This covenant, called a super poison put, enables a bondholder to turn in, or “put” a bond back to the issuer at par in the event of a takeover, merger, or major recapitalization.

Poison puts had actually been around since 1986, when the leveraged buyout trend took off. However, the earlier puts proved to be almost worthless because they allowed investors to “put” their bonds back to the issuer at par value only in the event of an unfriendly takeover. But because almost all takeovers are eventually approved by the target firm’s board, mergers that started as hostile generally ended as friendly. Also, the earlier poison puts failed to protect investors from voluntary recapitalizations, in which a company sells a big issue of bonds to pay a big, one-time dividend to stockholders or to buy back its own stock. The “super” poison puts that were used following the RJR buyout announcement protected against both of these actions. This is a good illustration of how quickly the financial community reacts to changes in the marketplace.

Finally, some bonds have a make-whole call provision. This allows a company to call the bond, but it must pay a call price that is essentially equal to the market value of a similar noncallable bond. This provides companies with an easy way to repurchase bonds as part of a financial restructuring, such as a merger.

**Sinking Funds**

Some bonds also include a sinking fund provision that facilitates the orderly retirement of the bond issue. On rare occasions the firm may be required to deposit money with a trustee, which invests the funds and then uses the accumulated sum to retire the bonds when they mature. Usually, though, the sinking fund is used to buy back a certain percentage of the issue each year. A failure to meet the sinking fund requirement causes the bond to be thrown into default, which may force the company into bankruptcy. Obviously, a sinking fund can constitute a significant cash drain on the firm.

In most cases, the firm is given the right to handle the sinking fund in either of two ways:

1. The company can call in for redemption (at par value) a certain percentage of the bonds each year; for example, it might be able to call 5 percent of the total original amount of the issue at a price of $1,000 per bond. The bonds are numbered serially, and those called for redemption are determined by a lottery administered by the trustee.
2. The company may buy the required number of bonds on the open market.

The firm will choose the least-cost method. If interest rates have risen, causing bond prices to fall, it will buy bonds in the open market at a discount; if interest rates have fallen, it will call the bonds. Note that a call for sinking fund purposes is quite different from a refunding call as discussed above. A sinking fund call
typically requires no call premium, but only a small percentage of the issue is normally callable in any one year.\(^5\)

Although sinking funds are designed to protect bondholders by ensuring that an issue is retired in an orderly fashion, you should recognize that sinking funds can work to the detriment of bondholders. For example, suppose the bond carries a 10 percent interest rate, but yields on similar bonds have fallen to 7.5 percent. A sinking fund call at par would require an investor to give up a bond that pays $100 of interest and then to reinvest in a bond that pays only $75 per year. This obviously harms those bondholders whose bonds are called. On balance, however, bonds that have a sinking fund are regarded as being safer than those without such a provision, so at the time they are issued sinking fund bonds have lower coupon rates than otherwise similar bonds without sinking funds.

Other Features

Several other types of bonds are used sufficiently often to warrant mention. First, convertible bonds are bonds that are convertible into shares of common stock, at a fixed price, at the option of the bondholder. Convertibles have a lower coupon rate than nonconvertible debt, but they offer investors a chance for capital gains in exchange for the lower coupon rate. Bonds issued with warrants are similar to convertibles. Warrants are options that permit the holder to buy stock for a stated price, thereby providing a capital gain if the price of the stock rises. Bonds that are issued with warrants, like convertibles, carry lower coupon rates than straight bonds.

Another type of bond is an income bond, which pays interest only if the interest is earned. These securities cannot bankrupt a company, but from an investor’s standpoint they are riskier than “regular” bonds. Yet another bond is the indexed, or purchasing power, bond, which first became popular in Brazil, Israel, and a few other countries plagued by high inflation rates. The interest rate paid on these bonds is based on an inflation index such as the consumer price index, so the interest paid rises automatically when the inflation rate rises, thus protecting the bondholders against inflation. In January 1997, the U.S. Treasury began issuing indexed bonds, and they currently pay a rate that is roughly 1 to 4 percent plus the rate of inflation during the past year.

Self-Test Questions

Define “floating-rate bonds” and “zero coupon bonds.”

Why is a call provision advantageous to a bond issuer?

What are the two ways a sinking fund can be handled? Which method will be chosen by the firm if interest rates have risen? If interest rates have fallen?

Are securities that provide for a sinking fund regarded as being riskier than those without this type of provision? Explain.

What are income bonds and indexed bonds?

Why do bonds with warrants and convertible bonds have lower coupons than similarly rated bonds that do not have these features?

\(^5\)Some sinking funds require the issuer to pay a call premium.
The value of any financial asset—a stock, a bond, a lease, or even a physical asset such as an apartment building or a piece of machinery—is simply the present value of the cash flows the asset is expected to produce.

The cash flows from a specific bond depend on its contractual features as described above. For a standard coupon-bearing bond such as the one issued by MicroDrive, the cash flows consist of interest payments during the 15-year life of the bond, plus the amount borrowed (generally the $1,000 par value) when the bond matures. In the case of a floating-rate bond, the interest payments vary over time. In the case of a zero coupon bond, there are no interest payments, only the face amount when the bond matures. For a “regular” bond with a fixed coupon rate, here is the situation:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & r_d \% & 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & N \\
\text{Bond's Value} & \text{INT} & \text{INT} & \text{INT} & \cdots & \text{INT} & M
\end{array}
\]

Here

\[r_d = \text{the bond's market rate of interest} = 10\%.\] This is the discount rate that is used to calculate the present value of the bond’s cash flows. It is also called the “yield” or “going rate of interest.” Note that \(r_d\) is not the coupon interest rate. It is equal to the coupon rate only if (as in this case) the bond is selling at par. Generally, most coupon bonds are issued at par, which implies that the coupon rate is set at \(r_d\). Thereafter, interest rates, as measured by \(r_d\), will fluctuate, but the coupon rate is fixed, so \(r_d\) will equal the coupon rate only by chance. We used the term “i” or “I” to designate the interest rate for many calculations because those terms are used on financial calculators but “r,” with the subscript “d” to designate the rate on a debt security, is normally used in finance.\(^6\)

\[N = \text{the number of years before the bond matures} = 15.\] Note that \(N\) declines each year after the bond was issued, so a bond that had a maturity of 15 years when it was issued (original maturity = 15) will have \(N = 14\) after one year, \(N = 13\) after two years, and so on. Note also that at this point we assume that the bond pays interest once a year, or annually, so \(N\) is measured in years. Later on, we will deal with semiannual payment bonds, which pay interest each six months.

\[\text{INT} = \text{dollars of interest paid each year} = \text{Coupon rate} \times \text{Par value} = 0.10(\$1,000) = 100.\] In calculator terminology, \(\text{INT} = \text{PMT} = 100.\) If the bond had been a semiannual payment bond, the payment would have been \$50 every six months. The payment would be zero if MicroDrive had issued zero coupon bonds, and it would vary if the bond was a “ floater.”

\[M = \text{the par, or maturity, value of the bond} = \$1,000.\] This amount must be paid off at maturity.

---

\(^6\)The appropriate interest rate on a bond depends on its risk, liquidity, and years to maturity, as well as supply and demand conditions in the capital markets.
The following general equation, written in several forms, can be used to find the value of any bond, \( V_B \):

\[
V_B = \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^{t+1}} + \cdots + \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^N} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]

\[
= \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]

\[
= \text{INT} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1 + r_d)^N}}{r_d} \right) + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]

| 4-1 |

Note that the cash flows consist of an annuity of \( N \) years plus a lump sum payment at the end of Year \( N \), and this fact is reflected in Equation 4-1. Further, Equation 4-1 can be solved by one of three procedures: (1) numerically, (2) with a financial calculator, and (3) with a spreadsheet.

**Numerical Solution**

Inserting values for MicroDrive’s bond, we have

\[
V_B = \sum_{t=1}^{15} \frac{$100}{(1.10)^t} + \frac{$1,000}{(1.10)^{15}}
\]

\[
= $100 \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1.1)^{15}}}{0.1} \right) + \frac{$1,000}{(1.1)^{15}} = $1,000
\]

| 4-1a |

Or one could simply discount each cash flow back to the present and sum these PVs to find the bond’s value; see Figure 4-1. This procedure is not very efficient, especially if the bond has many years to maturity. Alternatively, you could use the formula in the second row of Equation 4-1a with a simple or scientific calculator, although this would still be somewhat cumbersome.

**Financial Calculator Solution**

All five financial calculator keys are used with bonds. Here is the setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs:</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output:</th>
<th>= -1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simply input $N = 15, \ r_d = 10, \ INT = PMT = 100, \ M = FV = 1000$, and then press the PV key to find the value of the bond, $1,000. Since the PV is an outflow to the investor, it is shown with a negative sign. The calculator is programmed to solve Equation 4-1: It finds the PV of an annuity of $100 per year for 15 years, discounted at 10 percent, then it finds the PV of the $1,000 maturity payment, and then it adds these two PVs to find the value of the bond. Notice that even though the time line in Figure 4-1 shows a total of $1,100 at Year 15, you should not enter FV = 1100! When you entered $N = 15$ and $PMT = 100$, you told the calculator that there is a $100 payment at Year 15. Thus, the FV = 1000 accounts for any extra payment at Year 15, above and beyond the $100 payment.

**Spreadsheet Solution**

Here we want to find the PV of the cash flows, so we would use the PV function. Put the cursor on Cell B10, click the function wizard, then Financial, PV, and OK. Then fill in the dialog box with Rate = 0.1 or F3, Nper = 15 or Q5, Pmt = 100 or C6, Fv = 1000 or Q7, and Type = 0 or leave it blank. Then, when you click OK, you will get the value of the bond, $-1,000. Like the financial calculator solution, this is negative because the Pmt and Fv are positive.

An alternative, and in this case somewhat easier, procedure given that the time line has been created, is to use the NPV function. Click the function wizard, then Financial, NPV, and OK. Then input Rate = 0.1 or F3 and Value 1 = C8:Q8. Then click OK to get the answer, $1,000.

Note that by changing the interest rate in F3, we can instantly find the value of the bond at any other discount rate. Note also that *Excel* provides specialized functions for bond prices. For example, in *Excel* you could use the function wizard to enter this formula:

$$= \text{PRICE(Date(2007,1,5),Date (2022,1,5),10%,10%,100,1,0)}$$

**Figure 4-1 Time Line for MicroDrive Inc.’s Bonds, 10% Interest Rate**

![Time Line for MicroDrive Inc.’s Bonds, 10% Interest Rate](image)
The first two arguments in the function give the current and maturity dates. The next argument is the bond’s coupon rate, followed by the current market interest rate, or yield. The fifth argument, 100, is the redemption value of the bond at maturity, expressed as a percent of the face value. The sixth argument is the number of payments per year, and the last argument, 0, tells the program to use the U.S. convention for counting days, which is to assume 30 days per month and 360 days per year. This function produces the value 100, which is the current price expressed as a percent of the bond’s par value, which is $1,000. Therefore, you can multiply $1,000 by 100 percent to get the current price, which is $1,000. This function is essential if a bond is being evaluated between coupon payment dates.

### Changes in Bond Values over Time

At the time a coupon bond is issued, the coupon is generally set at a level that will cause the market price of the bond to equal its par value. If a lower coupon were set, investors would not be willing to pay $1,000 for the bond, while if a higher coupon were set, investors would clamor for the bond and bid its price up over $1,000. Investment bankers can judge quite precisely the coupon rate that will cause a bond to sell at its $1,000 par value.

A bond that has just been issued is known as a **new issue**. (Investment bankers classify a bond as a new issue for about one month after it has first been issued. New issues are usually actively traded, and are called “on-the-run” bonds.) Once the bond has been on the market for a while, it is classified as an **outstanding bond**, also called a **seasoned issue**. Newly issued bonds generally sell very close to par, but the prices of seasoned bonds vary widely from par. Except for floating-rate bonds, coupon payments are constant, so when economic conditions change, a bond with a $100 coupon that sold at par when it was issued will sell for more or less than $1,000 thereafter.

MicroDrive’s bonds with a 10 percent coupon rate were originally issued at par. If \( r_d \) remained constant at 10 percent, what would the value of the bond be one year after it was issued? Now the term to maturity is only 14 years—that is, \( N = 14 \). With a financial calculator, just override \( N = 15 \) with \( N = 14 \), press the PV key, and you find a value of $1,000. If we continued, setting \( N = 13 \), \( N = 12 \),
and so forth, we would see that the value of the bond will remain at $1,000 as long as the going interest rate remains constant at the coupon rate, 10 percent.7

Now suppose interest rates in the economy fell after the MicroDrive bonds were issued, and, as a result, \( r_d \) fell below the coupon rate, decreasing from 10 to 5 percent. Both the coupon interest payments and the maturity value remain constant, but now 5 percent would have to be used for \( r_d \) in Equation 4-1. The value of the bond at the end of the first year would be $1,494.93:

\[
V_B = \frac{100}{100} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1.05)^{14}}}{0.05} \right) + \frac{1,000}{(1.05)^{14}} = $1,494.93
\]

With a financial calculator, just change \( r_d = 1 \) from 10 to 5, and then press the PV key to get the answer, $1,494.93. Thus, if \( r_d \) fell below the coupon rate, the bond would sell above par, or at a premium.

The arithmetic of the bond value increase should be clear, but what is the logic behind it? The fact that \( r_d \) has fallen to 5 percent means that if you had $1,000 to invest, you could buy new bonds like MicroDrive’s (every day some 10 to 12 companies sell new bonds), except that these new bonds would pay $50 of interest each year rather than $100. Naturally, you would prefer $100 to $50, so you would be willing to pay more than $1,000 for a MicroDrive bond to obtain its higher coupons. All investors would react similarly, and as a result, the MicroDrive bonds would be bid up in price to $1,494.93, at which point they would provide the same rate of return to a potential investor as the new bonds, 5 percent.

Assuming that interest rates remain constant at 5 percent for the next 14 years, what would happen to the value of a MicroDrive bond? It would fall gradually from $1,494.93 at present to $1,000 at maturity, when MicroDrive will redeem each bond for $1,000. This point can be illustrated by calculating the value of the bond 1 year later, when it has 13 years remaining to maturity. With a financial calculator, merely input the values for N, I, PMT, and FV, now using \( N = 13 \), and press the PV key to find the value of the bond, $1,469.68. Thus, the value of the bond will have fallen from $1,494.93 to $1,469.68, or by $25.25. If you were to calculate the value of the bond at other future dates, the price would continue to fall as the maturity date approached.

Note that if you purchased the bond at a price of $1,494.93 and then sold it one year later with \( r_d \) still at 5 percent, you would have a capital loss of $25.25, or a total return of $100.00 - $25.25 = $74.75. Your percentage rate of return would consist of an interest yield (also called a current yield) plus a capital gains yield, calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Interest yield} = \frac{1000}{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Capital gains yield} = \frac{V - 1000}{1000}
\]

where \( V \) is the bond price at the time of sale.

The bond prices quoted by brokers are calculated as described. However, if you bought a bond between interest payment dates, you would have to pay the basic price plus accrued interest. Thus, if you purchased a MicroDrive bond six months after it was issued, your broker would send you an invoice stating that you must pay $1,000 as the basic price of the bond plus $50 interest, representing one-half the annual interest of $100. The seller of the bond would receive $1,050. If you bought the bond the day before its interest payment date, you would pay $1,000 \( \times (364/365) \times $100 \) = \$1,099.73. Of course, you would receive an interest payment of $100 at the end of the next day.

Throughout the chapter, we assume that bonds are being evaluated immediately after an interest payment date. The more expensive financial calculators have a built-in calendar that permits the calculation of exact values between interest payment dates, as do spreadsheet programs. For example, see Excel’s PRICE function, which is illustrated in the IFM9 Ch04 Tool Kit.xls file.
Interest, or current, yield = \$100/\$1,494.93 = 0.0669 = 6.69%
Capital gains yield = -$25.25/\$1,494.93 = -0.0169 = -1.69%
Total rate of return, or yield = \$74.75/\$1,494.93 = 0.0500 = 5.00%

Had interest rates risen from 10 to 15 percent during the first year after issue rather than fallen from 10 to 5 percent, then you would enter N = 14, I = 15, PMT = 100, and FV = 1000, and then press the PV key to find the value of the bond, $713.78. In this case, the bond would sell below its par value, or at a discount. The total expected future return on the bond would again consist of a current yield and a capital gains yield, but now the capital gains yield would be positive. The total return would be 15 percent. To see this, calculate the price of the bond with 13 years left to maturity, assuming that interest rates remain at 15 percent. With a calculator, enter N = 13, I = 15, PMT = 100, and FV = 1000, and then press PV to obtain the bond’s value, $720.84.

Note that the capital gain for the year is the difference between the bond’s value at Year 2 (with 13 years remaining) and the bond’s value at Year 1 (with 14 years remaining), or $720.84 - $713.78 = $7.06. The interest yield, capital gains yield, and total yield are calculated as follows:

Interest, or current, yield = \$100/\$713.78 = 0.1401 = 14.01%
Capital gains yield = \$7.06/\$713.78 = 0.0099 = 0.99%
Total rate of return, or yield = \$107.06/\$713.78 = 0.1500 = 15.00%

Figure 4-2 graphs the value of the bond over time, assuming that interest rates in the economy (1) remain constant at 10 percent, (2) fall to 5 percent and then remain constant at that level, or (3) rise to 15 percent and remain constant at that level.
level. Of course, if interest rates do not remain constant, then the price of the bond will fluctuate. However, regardless of what future interest rates do, the bond’s price will approach $1,000 as it nears the maturity date (barring bankruptcy, in which case the bond’s value might fall dramatically).

Figure 4-2 illustrates the following key points:

1. Whenever the going rate of interest, r_d, is equal to the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond will sell at its par value. Normally, the coupon rate is set equal to the going rate when a bond is issued, causing it to sell at par initially.
2. Interest rates do change over time, but the coupon rate remains fixed after the bond has been issued. Whenever the going rate of interest rises above the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond’s price will fall below its par value. Such a bond is called a discount bond.
3. Whenever the going rate of interest falls below the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond’s price will rise above its par value. Such a bond is called a premium bond.
4. Thus, an increase in interest rates will cause the prices of outstanding bonds to fall, whereas a decrease in rates will cause bond prices to rise.
5. The market value of a bond will always approach its par value as its maturity date approaches, provided the firm does not go bankrupt.

These points are very important, for they show that bondholders may suffer capital losses or make capital gains, depending on whether interest rates rise or fall after the bond was purchased.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how to calculate a bond’s price.

What is meant by the terms “new issue” and “seasoned issue”?

Explain what happens to the price of a fixed-rate bond if (1) interest rates rise above the bond’s coupon rate or (2) interest rates fall below the bond’s coupon rate.

Why do the prices of fixed-rate bonds fall if expectations for inflation rise?

What is a “discount bond”? A “premium bond”?

**BOND YIELDS**

If you examine the bond market table of *The Wall Street Journal* or a bond dealer’s price sheet, you will typically see information regarding each bond’s maturity date, price, and coupon interest rate. You will also see the bond’s reported yield. Unlike the coupon interest rate, which is fixed, the bond’s yield varies from day to day depending on current market conditions. Moreover, the yield can be calculated in three different ways, and three “answers” can be obtained. These different yields are described in the following sections.

**Yield to Maturity**

Suppose you were offered a 14-year, 10 percent annual coupon, $1,000 par value bond at a price of $1,494.93. What rate of interest would you earn on your investment if you bought the bond and held it to maturity? This rate is called the bond’s yield to maturity (YTM), and it is the interest rate generally discussed by investors when they talk about rates of return. The yield to maturity is generally the same as the market rate of interest, r_d, and to find it, all you need to do is solve Equation 4-1 for r_d:

\[
V_B = \$1,494.93 = \frac{\$100}{(1 + r_d)^1} + \cdots + \frac{\$100}{(1 + r_d)^{14}} + \frac{\$1,000}{(1 + r_d)^{14}}
\]
You could substitute values for \( r_d \) until you find a value that “works” and forces the sum of the PVs on the right side of the equal sign to equal $1,494.93. Alternatively, you could substitute values of \( r_d \) into the third form of Equation 4-1 until you find a value that works.

Finding \( r_d = YTM \) by trial and error would be a tedious, time-consuming process, but as you might guess, it is easy with a financial calculator. Here is the setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs:</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>-1494.93</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outputs:</td>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>( I )</td>
<td>( PV )</td>
<td>( PMT )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simply enter \( N = 14 \), \( PV = -1494.93 \), \( PMT = 100 \), and \( FV = 1000 \), and then press the I key. The answer, 5 percent, will then appear.

The yield to maturity is identical to the total rate of return discussed in the preceding section. The yield to maturity can also be viewed as the bond’s promised rate of return, which is the return that investors will receive if all the promised payments are made. However, the yield to maturity equals the expected rate of return only if (1) the probability of default is zero and (2) the bond cannot be called. If there is some default risk, or if the bond may be called, then there is some probability that the promised payments to maturity will not be received, in which case the calculated yield to maturity will differ from the expected return.

The YTM for a bond that sells at par consists entirely of an interest yield, but if the bond sells at a price other than its par value, the YTM will consist of the interest yield plus a positive or negative capital gains yield. Note also that a bond’s yield to maturity changes whenever interest rates in the economy change, and this is almost daily. One who purchases a bond and holds it until it matures will receive the YTM that existed on the purchase date, but the bond’s calculated YTM will change frequently between the purchase date and the maturity date.

**Yield to Call**

If you purchased a bond that was callable and the company called it, you would not have the option of holding the bond until it matured. Therefore, the yield to maturity would not be earned. For example, if MicroDrive’s 10 percent coupon bonds were callable, and if interest rates fell from 10 percent to 5 percent, then the company could call in the 10 percent bonds, replace them with 5 percent bonds, and save $100 – $50 = $50 interest per bond per year. This would be beneficial to the company, but not to its bondholders.

If current interest rates are well below an outstanding bond’s coupon rate, then a callable bond is likely to be called, and investors will estimate its expected rate of return as the yield to call (YTC) rather than as the yield to maturity. To calculate the YTC, solve this equation for \( r_d \):

\[
\text{Price of bond} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{\text{Call price}}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]

\[4-2\]

---

8You could also find the YTM with a spreadsheet. In Excel, you would use the RATE function for this bond, inputting Nper = 14, Pmt = 100, Pv = –1494.93, Fv = 1000, 0 for Type, and leave Guess blank. In Excel, the YIELD function allows the user to input specific purchase and maturity dates. See the IFM9 Ch04 Tool Kit.xls file for details.
Here \( N \) is the number of years until the company can call the bond; call price is the price the company must pay in order to call the bond (it is often set equal to the par value plus one year's interest); and \( r_d \) is the YTC.

To illustrate, suppose MicroDrive's bonds had a provision that permitted the company, if it desired, to call the bonds 10 years after the issue date at a price of $1,100. Suppose further that interest rates had fallen, and one year after issuance the going interest rate had declined, causing the price of the bonds to rise to $1,494.93. Here is the time line and the setup for finding the bond's YTC with a financial calculator:

The YTC is 4.21 percent—this is the return you would earn if you bought the bond at a price of $1,494.93 and it was called nine years from today. (The bond could not be called until 10 years after issuance, and one year has gone by, so there are nine years left until the first call date.)

Do you think MicroDrive will call the bonds when they become callable? MicroDrive's action would depend on what the going interest rate is when the bonds become callable. If the going rate remains at \( r_d = 5\% \), then MicroDrive could save \( 10\% - 5\% = 5\% \), or $50 per bond per year, by calling them and replacing the 10 percent bonds with a new 5 percent issue. There would be costs to the company to refund the issue, but the interest savings would probably be worth the cost, so MicroDrive would probably refund the bonds. Therefore, you would probably earn \( YTC = 4.21\% \) rather than \( YTM = 5\% \) if you bought the bonds under the indicated conditions.

In the balance of this chapter, we assume that bonds are not callable unless otherwise noted, but some of the end-of-chapter problems deal with yield to call.

**Current Yield**

If you examine brokerage house reports on bonds, you will often see reference to a bond's current yield. The current yield is the annual interest payment divided by the bond's current price. For example, if MicroDrive's bonds with a 10 percent coupon were currently selling at $985, the bond's current yield would be 10.15 percent ($100/$985).

Unlike the yield to maturity, the current yield does not represent the rate of return that investors should expect on the bond. The current yield provides information regarding the amount of cash income that a bond will generate in a given year, but since it does not take account of capital gains or losses that will be realized if the bond is held until maturity (or call), it does not provide an accurate measure of the bond's total expected return.

The fact that the current yield does not provide an accurate measure of a bond's total return can be illustrated with a zero coupon bond. Since zeros pay no
annual income, they always have a current yield of zero. This indicates that the bond will not provide any cash interest income, but since the bond will appreciate in value over time, its total rate of return clearly exceeds zero.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain the difference between the yield to maturity and the yield to call.

How does a bond’s current yield differ from its total return?

Could the current yield exceed the total return?

**BONDS WITH SEMIANNUAL COUPONS**

Although some bonds pay interest annually, the vast majority actually pay interest semiannually. To evaluate semiannual payment bonds, we must modify the valuation model as follows:

1. Divide the annual coupon interest payment by 2 to determine the dollars of interest paid each six months.
2. Multiply the years to maturity, N, by 2 to determine the number of semiannual periods.
3. Divide the nominal (quoted) interest rate, \( r_d \), by 2 to determine the periodic (semiannual) interest rate.

By making these changes, we obtain the following equation for finding the value of a bond that pays interest semiannually:

\[
V_B = \sum_{t=1}^{2N} \frac{\text{INT}/2}{(1 + r_d/2)^t} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d/2)^{2N}}
\]
To illustrate, assume now that MicroDrive’s bonds pay $50 interest each six months rather than $100 at the end of each year. Thus, each interest payment is only half as large, but there are twice as many of them. The coupon rate is thus “10 percent, semiannual payments.” This is the nominal, or quoted, rate.  

When the going (nominal) rate of interest is 5 percent with semiannual compounding, the value of this 15-year bond is found as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Inputs:} & & 30 & 2.5 & 50 & 1000 \\
\text{Output:} & & N & I & PV & PMT & FV \\
\end{align*}
\]

Enter \( N = 30 \), \( r_d = 2.5 \), \( PMT = 50 \), \( FV = 1000 \), and then press the PV key to obtain the bond’s value, \$1,523.26. The value with semiannual interest payments is slightly larger than \$1,518.98, the value when interest is paid annually. This higher value occurs because interest payments are received somewhat faster under semiannual compounding.

**Self-Test Question**

Describe how the annual bond valuation formula is changed to evaluate semiannual coupon bonds. Then, write out the revised formula.

### ASSESSING THE RISK OF A BOND

#### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rates go up and down over time, and an increase in interest rates leads to a decline in the value of outstanding bonds. This risk of a decline in bond values due to rising interest rates is called **interest rate risk**. To illustrate, suppose you bought some 10 percent MicroDrive bonds at a price of \$1,000, and interest rates in the following year rose to 15 percent. As we saw earlier, the price of the bonds would fall to \$713.78, so you would have a loss of \$286.22 per bond.  

Interest rates can and do rise, and rising rates cause a loss of value for bondholders. Thus, people or firms who invest in bonds are exposed to risk from changing interest rates.

\[
\text{EAR} = \text{EFF\%} = \left(1 + \frac{r_{\text{nom}}}{M}\right)^m - 1 = \left(1 + \frac{0.10}{2}\right)^2 - 1 = (1.05)^2 - 1 = 10.25\%
\]

Note also that 10 percent with annual payments is different from 10 percent with semiannual payments. Thus, we have assumed a change in effective rates in this section from the situation in the preceding section, where we assumed 10 percent with annual payments.

\(\text{You would have an accounting (and tax) loss only if you sold the bond; if you held it to maturity, you would not have such a loss. However, even if you did not sell, you would still have suffered a real economic loss in an opportunity cost sense because you would have lost the opportunity to invest at 15 percent and would be stuck with a 10 percent bond in a 15 percent market. In an economic sense, “paper losses” are just as bad as realized accounting losses.}\)
One’s exposure to interest rate risk is higher on bonds with long maturities than on those maturing in the near future. This point can be demonstrated by showing how the value of a 1-year bond with a 10 percent annual coupon fluctuates with changes in $r_d$, and then comparing these changes with those on a 14-year bond as calculated previously. The 1-year bond’s value for $r_d = 5\%$ is shown below:

You would obtain the first value with a financial calculator by entering $N = 1$, $I = 5$, $PMT = 100$, and $FV = 1000$, and then pressing $PV$ to get $–1,047.62$. With everything still in your calculator, enter $I = 10$ to override the old $I = 5$, and press $PV$ to find the bond’s value at $r_d = I = 10$; it is $1,000$. Then enter $I = 15$ and press the $PV$ key to find the last value, $956.52$.

The values of the 1-year and 14-year bonds at several current market interest rates are summarized and plotted in Figure 4-3. Note how much more sensitive the price of the 14-year bond is to changes in interest rates. At a 10 percent interest rate, both the 14-year and the 1-year bonds are valued at $1,000$. When rates rise to 15 percent, the 14-year bond falls to $713.78$, but the 1-year bond falls only to $956.52$.

For bonds with similar coupons, this differential sensitivity to changes in interest rates always holds true—the longer the maturity of the bond, the more its price changes in response to a given change in interest rates. Thus, even if the risk of default on two bonds is exactly the same, the one with the longer maturity is exposed to more risk from a rise in interest rates.

The logical explanation for this difference in interest rate risk is simple. Suppose you bought a 14-year bond that yielded 10 percent, or $100$ a year. Now suppose interest rates on comparable-risk bonds rose to 15 percent. You would be stuck with only $100$ of interest for the next 14 years. On the other hand, had you bought a 1-year bond, you would have a low return for only 1 year. At the end of the year, you would get your $1,000$ back, and you could then reinvest it and receive 15 percent, or $150$ per year, for the next 13 years. Thus, interest rate risk reflects the length of time one is committed to a given investment.

As we just saw, the prices of long-term bonds are more sensitive to changes in interest rates than are short-term bonds. To induce an investor to take this extra

---

11 Actually, a bond’s maturity and coupon rate both affect interest rate risk. Low coupons mean that most of the bond’s return will come from repayment of principal, whereas on a high coupon bond with the same maturity, more of the cash flows will come in during the early years due to the relatively large coupon payments. A measurement called “duration,” which finds the average number of years the bond’s PV of cash flows remain outstanding, has been developed to combine maturity and coupons. A zero coupon bond, which has no interest payments and whose payments all come at maturity, has a duration equal to the bond’s maturity. Coupon bonds all have durations that are shorter than maturity, and the higher the coupon rate, the shorter the duration. Bonds with longer duration are exposed to more interest rate risk. Excel’s DURATION function provides an easy way to calculate a bond’s duration. See the Web Extension to this chapter for more on duration.

12 If a 10-year bond were plotted in Figure 4-3, its curve would lie between those of the 14-year bond and the 1-year bond. The curve of a 1-month bond would be almost horizontal, indicating that its price would change very little in response to an interest rate change, but a 100-year bond (or a perpetuity) would have a very steep slope. Also, zero coupon bond prices are quite sensitive to interest rate changes, and the longer the maturity of the zero, the greater its price sensitivity. Therefore, 30-year zero coupon bonds have a huge amount of interest rate risk.
risk, long-term bonds must have a higher expected rate of return than short-term bonds. This additional return is the maturity risk premium (MRP). Therefore, one might expect to see higher yields on long-term than on short-term bonds. Does this actually happen? Generally, the answer is yes. Recall that the yield curve usually is upward sloping, which is consistent with the idea that longer maturity bonds must have higher expected rates of return to compensate for their higher risk. Indeed, the interest rate on a bond depends on the underlying level of interest rates in the economy, $r^*$, and several types of risk. These sources of risk are expected inflation (IP), default risk (DRP), liquidity (LP), and maturity (MRP): $r_d = r^* + IP + DRP + LP + MRP$. 

See IFM9 Ch04 Tool Kit.xls for details.
Reinvestment Rate Risk

As we saw in the preceding section, an increase in interest rates will hurt bondholders because it will lead to a decline in the value of a bond portfolio. But can a decrease in interest rates also hurt bondholders? The answer is yes, because if interest rates fall, a bondholder will probably suffer a reduction in his or her income. For example, consider a retiree who has a portfolio of bonds and lives off the income they produce. The bonds, on average, have a coupon rate of 10 percent. Now suppose interest rates decline to 5 percent. Many of the bonds will be called, and as calls occur, the bondholder will have to replace 10 percent bonds with 5 percent bonds. Even bonds that are not callable will mature, and when they do, they will have to be replaced with lower-yielding bonds. Thus, our retiree will suffer a reduction of income.

The risk of an income decline due to a drop in interest rates is called reinvestment rate risk. Reinvestment rate risk is obviously high on callable bonds. It is also high on short maturity bonds, because the shorter the maturity of a bond, the fewer the years when the relatively high old interest rate will be earned, and the sooner the funds will have to be reinvested at the new low rate. Thus, retirees whose primary holdings are short-term securities, such as bank CDs and short-term bonds, are hurt badly by a decline in rates, but holders of long-term bonds continue to enjoy their old high rates.

Comparing Interest Rate and Reinvestment Rate Risk

Note that interest rate risk relates to the value of the bonds in a portfolio, while reinvestment rate risk relates to the income the portfolio produces. If you hold long-term bonds, you will face a lot of interest rate risk because the value of your bonds will decline if interest rates rise, but you will not face much reinvestment rate risk, so your income will be stable. On the other hand, if you hold short-term bonds, you will not be exposed to much interest rate risk because the value of your portfolio will be stable, but you will be exposed to considerable reinvestment rate risk because your income will fluctuate with changes in interest rates.

We see, then, that no fixed-rate bond can be considered totally riskless—even most Treasury bonds are exposed to both interest rate and reinvestment rate risk. One can minimize interest rate risk by holding short-term bonds, or one can minimize reinvestment rate risk by holding long-term bonds, but the actions that lower one type of risk increase the other. Bond portfolio managers try to balance these two risks, but some risk generally remains.

Self-Test Questions

Differentiate between interest rate risk and reinvestment rate risk.
To which type of risk are holders of long-term bonds more exposed? Short-term bondholders?

Default Risk

Another important risk associated with bonds is default risk. If the issuer defaults, investors receive less than the promised return on the bond. Therefore, investors need to assess a bond’s default risk before making a purchase. Note that the quoted interest rate includes a default risk premium (DRP)—the greater the default

\textsuperscript{13} Note, though, that indexed Treasury bonds are almost riskless, but they pay a relatively low real rate. Also, risks have not disappeared—they are simply transferred from bondholders to taxpayers.
risk, the higher the bond’s yield to maturity. The default risk on Treasury securities is zero, but default risk can be substantial for corporate and municipal bonds.

Suppose two bonds have the same promised cash flows, coupon rate, maturity, liquidity, and inflation exposure, but one bond has more default risk than the other. Investors will naturally pay less for the bond with the greater chance of default. As a result, bonds with higher default risk will have higher interest rates.

If its default risk changes, this will affect the price of a bond. For example, if the default risk of the MicroDrive bonds increases, the bonds’ price will fall and the yield to maturity ($YTM = r_d$) will increase.

In this section we consider some issues related to default risk. First, we show that corporations can influence the default risk of their bonds by changing the type of bonds they issue. Second, we discuss bond ratings, which are used to measure default risk. Third, we describe the “junk bond market,” which is the market for bonds with a relatively high probability of default. Finally, we consider bankruptcy and reorganization, which affect how much an investor will recover if a default occurs.

**Bond Contract Provisions That Influence Default Risk**

Default risk is affected by both the financial strength of the issuer and the terms of the bond contract, especially whether collateral has been pledged to secure the bond. Several types of contract provisions are discussed below.

**Bond Indentures** An indenture is a legal document that spells out the rights of both bondholders and the issuing corporation, and a trustee is an official (usually a bank) who represents the bondholders and makes sure the terms of the indenture are carried out. The indenture may be several hundred pages in length, and it will include restrictive covenants that cover such points as the conditions under which the issuer can pay off the bonds prior to maturity, the levels at which certain ratios must be maintained if the company is to issue additional debt, and restrictions against the payment of dividends unless earnings meet certain specifications.

The trustee is responsible for monitoring the covenants and for taking appropriate action if a violation does occur. What constitutes “appropriate action” varies with the circumstances. It might be that to insist on immediate compliance would result in bankruptcy and possibly large losses on the bonds. In such a case, the trustee might decide that the bondholders would be better served by giving the company a chance to work out its problems and thus avoid forcing it into bankruptcy.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (1) approves indentures and (2) makes sure that all indenture provisions are met before allowing a company to sell new securities to the public. Also, it should be noted that the indentures of many larger corporations were actually written in the 1930s or 1940s, and that many issues of new bonds sold since then were covered by the same indenture. The interest rates on the bonds, and perhaps also the maturities, vary depending on market conditions at the time of each issue, but bondholders’ protection as spelled out in the indenture is the same for all bonds of the same type. A firm will have different indentures for each of the major types of bonds it issues. For example, one indenture will cover its first mortgage bonds, another its debentures, and a third its convertible bonds.

**Mortgage Bonds** Under a mortgage bond, the corporation pledges certain assets as security for the bond. To illustrate, in 2006 Billingham Corporation
needed $10 million to build a major regional distribution center. Bonds in the amount of $4 million, secured by a first mortgage on the property, were issued. (The remaining $6 million was financed with equity capital.) If Billingham defaults on the bonds, the bondholders can foreclose on the property and sell it to satisfy their claims.

If Billingham chose, it could issue second mortgage bonds secured by the same $10 million of assets. In the event of liquidation, the holders of these second mortgage bonds would have a claim against the property, but only after the first mortgage bondholders had been paid off in full. Thus, second mortgages are sometimes called junior mortgages, because they are junior in priority to the claims of senior mortgages, or first mortgage bonds.

All mortgage bonds are subject to an indenture. The indentures of many major corporations were written 20, 30, 40, or more years ago. These indentures are generally “open ended,” meaning that new bonds can be issued from time to time under the same indenture. However, the amount of new bonds that can be issued is virtually always limited to a specified percentage of the firm’s total “bondable property,” which generally includes all land, plant, and equipment.

**Debentures** A debenture is an unsecured bond, and as such it provides no lien against specific property as security for the obligation. Debenture holders are, therefore, general creditors whose claims are protected by property not otherwise pledged. In practice, the use of debentures depends both on the nature of the firm’s assets and on its general credit strength. Extremely strong companies often use debentures; they simply do not need to put up property as security for their debt. Debentures are also issued by weak companies that have already pledged most of their assets as collateral for mortgage loans. In this latter case, the debentures are quite risky, and they will bear a high interest rate.

**Subordinated Debentures** The term subordinate means “below,” or “inferior to,” and, in the event of bankruptcy, subordinated debt has claims on assets only after senior debt has been paid off. Subordinated debentures may be subordinated either to designated notes payable (usually bank loans) or to all other debt. In the event of liquidation or reorganization, holders of subordinated debentures cannot be paid until all senior debt, as named in the debentures’ indenture, has been paid.

**Development Bonds** Some companies may be in a position to benefit from the sale of either development bonds or pollution control bonds. State and local governments may set up both industrial development agencies and pollution control agencies. These agencies are allowed, under certain circumstances, to sell tax-exempt bonds, then to make the proceeds available to corporations for specific uses deemed (by Congress) to be in the public interest. Thus, an industrial development agency in Florida might sell bonds to provide funds for a paper company to build a plant in the Florida Panhandle, where unemployment is high. Similarly, a Detroit pollution control agency might sell bonds to provide Ford with funds to be used to purchase pollution control equipment. In both cases, the income from the bonds would be tax exempt to the holders, so the bonds would sell at relatively low interest rates. Note, however, that these bonds are guaranteed by the corporation that will use the funds, not by a governmental unit, so their rating reflects the credit strength of the corporation using the funds.

**Municipal Bond Insurance** Municipalities can have their bonds insured, which means that an insurance company guarantees to pay the coupon and principal
payments should the issuer default. This reduces risk to investors, who will thus accept a lower coupon rate for an insured bond vis-à-vis an uninsured one. Even though the municipality must pay a fee to get its bonds insured, its savings due to the lower coupon rate often make insurance cost effective. Keep in mind that the insurers are private companies, and the value added by the insurance depends on the creditworthiness of the insurer. However, the larger ones are strong companies, and their own ratings are AAA. Therefore, the bonds they insure are also rated AAA, regardless of the credit strength of the municipal issuer. Bond ratings are discussed in the next section.

**Bond Ratings**

Since the early 1900s, bonds have been assigned quality ratings that reflect their probability of going into default. The three major rating agencies are Moody’s Investors Service (Moody’s), Standard & Poor’s Corporation (S&P), and Fitch Investors Service. Moody’s and S&P’s rating designations are shown in Table 4-1. The triple- and double-A bonds are extremely safe. Single-A and triple-B bonds are also strong enough to be called investment grade bonds, and they are the lowest-rated bonds that many banks and other institutional investors are permitted by law to hold. Double-B and lower bonds are speculative, or junk bonds. These bonds have a significant probability of going into default. A later section discusses junk bonds in more detail.

**Bond Rating Criteria**  Bond ratings are based on both qualitative and quantitative factors, some of which are listed below:

1. **Various ratios**, including the debt ratio, the times-interest-earned ratio, and the EBITDA coverage ratio. The better the ratios, the higher the rating.  
2. **Mortgage provisions**: Is the bond secured by a mortgage? If it is, and if the property has a high value in relation to the amount of bonded debt, the bond’s rating is enhanced.  
3. **Subordination provisions**: Is the bond subordinated to other debt? If so, it will be rated at least one notch below the rating it would have if it were not sub-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4-1</th>
<th><strong>Moody’s and S&amp;P Bond Ratings</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INVESTMENT GRADE</strong></td>
<td><strong>JUNK BONDS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody’s Aaa</td>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody’s Aa</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody’s A</td>
<td>Caa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody’s Baa</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P AAA</td>
<td>BB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P AA</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P A</td>
<td>CCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P BBB</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Both Moody’s and S&P use “modifiers” for bonds rated below triple-A. S&P uses a plus and minus system; thus, A+ designates the strongest A-rated bonds and A− the weakest. Moody’s uses a 1, 2, or 3 designation, with 1 denoting the strongest and 3 the weakest; thus, within the double-A category, Aa1 is the best, Aa2 is average, and Aa3 is the weakest.

14In the discussion to follow, reference to the S&P code is intended to imply the Moody’s and Fitch’s codes as well. Thus, triple-B bonds mean both BBB and Baa bonds; double-B bonds mean both BB and Ba bonds; and so on.
15See Chapter 8 for an explanation of these and other ratios.
ordinated. Conversely, a bond with other debt subordinated to it will have a somewhat higher rating.

4. **Guarantee provisions:** Some bonds are guaranteed by other firms. If a weak company’s debt is guaranteed by a strong company (usually the weak company’s parent), the bond will be given the strong company’s rating.

5. **Sinking fund:** Does the bond have a sinking fund to ensure systematic repayment? This feature is a plus factor to the rating agencies.

6. **Maturity:** Other characteristics the same, a bond with a shorter maturity will be judged less risky than a longer-term bond, and this will be reflected in the ratings.

7. **Stability:** Are the issuer’s sales and earnings stable?

8. **Regulation:** Is the issuer regulated, and could an adverse regulatory climate cause the company’s economic position to decline? Regulation is especially important for utilities and telephone companies.

9. **Antitrust:** Are any antitrust actions pending against the firm that could erode its position?

10. **Overseas operations:** What percentage of the firm’s sales, assets, and profits are from overseas operations, and what is the political climate in the host countries?

11. **Environmental factors:** Is the firm likely to face heavy expenditures for pollution control equipment?

12. **Product liability:** Are the firm’s products safe? The tobacco companies today are under pressure, and so are their bond ratings.

13. **Pension liabilities:** Does the firm have unfunded pension liabilities that could pose a future problem?

14. **Labor unrest:** Are there potential labor problems on the horizon that could weaken the firm’s position? As this is written, a number of airlines face this problem, and it has caused their ratings to be lowered.

15. **Accounting policies:** If a firm uses relatively conservative accounting policies, its reported earnings will be of “higher quality” than if it uses less conservative procedures. Thus, conservative accounting policies are a plus factor in bond ratings.

Representatives of the rating agencies have consistently stated that no precise formula is used to set a firm’s rating; all the factors listed, plus others, are taken into account, but not in a mathematically precise manner. Nevertheless, as we see in Table 4-2, there is a strong correlation between bond ratings and many of the ratios described in Chapter 8. Not surprisingly, companies with lower debt ratios, higher cash flow to debt, higher returns on capital, higher EBITDA interest coverage ratios, and EBIT interest coverage ratios typically have higher bond ratings.

**Importance of Bond Ratings** Bond ratings are important both to firms and to investors. First, because a bond’s rating is an indicator of its default risk, the rating has a direct, measurable influence on the bond’s interest rate and the firm’s cost of debt. Second, most bonds are purchased by institutional investors rather than individuals, and many institutions are restricted to investment-grade securities. Thus, if a firm’s bonds fall below BBB, it will have a difficult time selling new bonds because many potential purchasers will not be allowed to buy them. In addition, the covenants may stipulate that the interest rate is automatically increased if the rating falls below a specified level.

As a result of their higher risk and more restricted market, lower-grade bonds have higher required rates of return, \( r_{dL} \), than high-grade bonds. Figure 4-4 illustrates this point. In each of the years shown on the graph, U.S. government bonds have had the lowest yields, AAAs have been next, and BBB bonds have had the highest yields. The figure also shows that the gaps between yields on the three
### Table 4-2  
**Bond Rating Criteria: Median Financial Ratios for Different Bond Rating Classifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th>AAA</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>BBB</th>
<th>BB</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>CCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBIT interest coverage</td>
<td>23.8×</td>
<td>13.6×</td>
<td>6.9×</td>
<td>4.2×</td>
<td>2.3×</td>
<td>0.9×</td>
<td>0.4×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EBIT/Interest)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA interest coverage</td>
<td>25.3×</td>
<td>17.1×</td>
<td>9.4×</td>
<td>5.9×</td>
<td>3.1×</td>
<td>1.6×</td>
<td>0.9×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EBITDA/Interest)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds from operations/Total debt</td>
<td>167.8%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free operating cash flow/Total debt</td>
<td>104.1%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>−0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt/EBITDA</td>
<td>0.2×</td>
<td>1.1×</td>
<td>1.7×</td>
<td>2.4×</td>
<td>3.8×</td>
<td>5.6×</td>
<td>7.4×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on capital</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt/Total capital</td>
<td>6.2×</td>
<td>34.8×</td>
<td>39.8×</td>
<td>45.6×</td>
<td>57.2×</td>
<td>74.2×</td>
<td>101.2×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Standard & Poor’s 2004 Corporate Ratings Criteria, October 28, 2004. For ratio definitions and updates, go to [http://www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com); select Credit Ratings, then Industrials (under Browse By Sector), then Criteria and Definitions, then the Corporate Criteria Book. Scroll down until you come to the Ratings and Ratios; Ratio Medians; Ratio Guidelines link. The ratios require a free registration.*

### Figure 4-4  
**Yields on Selected Long-Term Bonds, 1978–2004**

*Source: Federal Reserve Board, [http://www.federalreserve.gov](http://www.federalreserve.gov).*
types of bonds vary over time, indicating that the cost differentials, or risk premiums, fluctuate from year to year.\textsuperscript{16}

Table 4-3 reports yields and risk premiums for selected years. As Column (4) shows, the average risk premium for a AAA bond relative to a Treasury bond during this period was 1.0 percent, but it varied quite a bit, ranging from 0.2 percent in 1979 to 2.1 percent in 2001 (not shown). For BBB bonds, Column (5) shows that the risk premium relative to a T-bond averaged 2.1 percent, but it ranged from 1.1 percent in 1978 to 3.2 percent in 2002. The risk premiums for AAA and BBB bonds tend to move together (their correlation is about 0.66), with BBB bond yields averaging about 1.1 percentage points more than AAA bonds. However, there are times when the risk premium of a BBB bond relative to an AAA bond differs significantly from its average. For example, Column (6) shows that the premium was only 0.6 percent in 1997 but 2.3 percent in 1982.

**Changes in Ratings** Changes in a firm’s bond rating affect both its ability to borrow long-term capital and the cost of that capital. Rating agencies review outstanding bonds on a periodic basis, occasionally upgrading or downgrading a bond as a result of its issuer’s changed circumstances. For example, in January 2005,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>AAA Treasury</th>
<th>AAA Corporate</th>
<th>AAA BBB</th>
<th>BBB Treasury</th>
<th>BBB Corporate</th>
<th>BBB AAA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28-year average: 1.0 2.1 1.1
Maximum: 2.1 3.2 2.3
Minimum: 0.2 1.1 0.6

Source: Federal Reserve Board, \texttt{http://www.federalreserve.gov.}

\textsuperscript{16}The term risk premium ought to reflect only the difference in expected (and required) returns between two securities that results from differences in their risk. However, the differences between yields to maturity on different types of bonds consist of (1) a true risk premium; (2) a liquidity premium, which reflects the fact that U.S. Treasury bonds are more readily marketable than most corporate bonds; (3) a call premium, because most Treasury bonds are not callable whereas corporate bonds are; and (4) an expected loss differential, which reflects the probability of loss on the corporate bonds. As an example of the last point, suppose the yield to maturity on a BBB bond was 8.0 percent versus 5.5 percent on government bonds, but there was a 5 percent probability of total default loss on the corporate bond. In this case, the expected return on the BBB bond would be 0.95(8.0%) + 0.05(0%) = 7.6%, and the risk premium would be 2.1 percent, not the full 2.5 percentage points difference in “promised” yields to maturity. Because of all these points, the risk premiums given in Table 4-3 overstate somewhat the true (but unmeasurable) theoretical risk premiums.
Standard & Poor’s reported that it had increased the rating on Americas Mining Corporation and its subsidiaries from B– to BB– because of “. . . the companies’ huge debt reduction in 2004, improved operating performance, good copper price performance, and advance in financial flexibility. . . .” However, S&P also lowered the rating of EMCOR Group, a Norwalk, Connecticut, contractor, from BBB– to BB+ because “it is unlikely the company will be able to achieve and consistently maintain profitability and leverage measures consistent with the prior ratings.”

Junk Bonds

Prior to the 1980s, fixed-income investors such as pension funds and insurance companies were generally unwilling to buy risky bonds, so it was almost impossible for risky companies to raise capital in the public bond markets. Then, in the late 1970s, Michael Milken of the investment banking firm Drexel Burnham Lambert, relying on historical studies that showed that risky bonds yielded more than enough to compensate for their risk, began to convince institutional investors of the merits of purchasing risky debt. Thus was born the “junk bond,” a high-risk, high-yield bond issued to finance a leveraged buyout, a merger, or a troubled company.17 For example, Public Service of New Hampshire financed construction of its troubled Seabrook nuclear plant with junk bonds, and junk bonds were used by Ted Turner to finance the development of CNN and Turner Broadcasting. In junk bond deals, the debt ratio is generally extremely high, so the bondholders must bear as much risk as stockholders normally would. The bonds’ yields reflect this fact—a promised return of 25 percent per annum was required to sell some Public Service of New Hampshire bonds.

The emergence of junk bonds as an important type of debt is another example of how the investment banking industry adjusts to and facilitates new developments in capital markets. In the 1980s, mergers and takeovers increased dramatically. People like T. Boone Pickens and Henry Kravis thought that certain old-line, established companies were run inefficiently and were financed too conservatively, and they wanted to take these companies over and restructure them. Michael Milken and his staff at Drexel Burnham Lambert began an active campaign to persuade certain institutions (often S&Ls) to purchase high-yield bonds. Milken developed expertise in putting together deals that were attractive to the institutions yet feasible in the sense that projected cash flows were sufficient to meet the required interest payments. The fact that interest on the bonds was tax deductible, combined with the much higher debt ratios of the restructured firms, also increased after-tax cash flows and helped make the deals feasible.

The development of junk bond financing has done much to reshape the U.S. financial scene. The existence of these securities contributed to the loss of independence of Gulf Oil and hundreds of other companies, and it led to major shake-ups in such companies as CBS, Union Carbide, and USX (formerly U.S. Steel). It also caused Drexel Burnham Lambert to leap from essentially nowhere in the 1970s to become the most profitable investment banking firm during the 1980s.

The phenomenal growth of the junk bond market was impressive, but controversial. In 1989, Drexel Burnham Lambert was forced into bankruptcy, and “junk bond king” Michael Milken, who had earned $500 million two years earlier, was

17Another type of junk bond is one that was highly rated when it was issued but whose rating has fallen because the issuing corporation has fallen on hard times. Such bonds are called “fallen angels.”
sent to jail. Those events led to the collapse of the junk bond market in the early 1990s. Since then, however, the junk bond market has rebounded, and junk bonds are here to stay as an important form of corporate financing.

**Bankruptcy and Reorganization**

During recessions, bankruptcies normally rise, and recent recessions are no exception. The 2001 recession claimed Worldcom, Enron, Conseco, Global Crossing, United Airlines, Adelphia Communications, Pacific Gas and Electric, Kmart, and the FINOVA Group. The total assets of these companies, prior to filing for bankruptcy, were about $355 billion! A brief discussion of bankruptcy follows, while a more detailed discussion appears in Chapter 25.

When a business becomes *insolvent*, it does not have enough cash to meet its interest and principal payments. A decision must then be made whether to dissolve the firm through *liquidation* or to permit it to *reorganize* and thus stay alive. These issues are addressed in Chapters 7 and 11 of the federal bankruptcy statutes, and the final decision is made by a federal bankruptcy court judge.

The decision to force a firm to liquidate versus permit it to reorganize depends on whether the value of the reorganized firm is likely to be greater than the value of the firm's assets if they are sold off piecemeal. In a reorganization, the firm's creditors negotiate with management on the terms of a potential reorganization. The reorganization plan may call for a *restructuring* of the firm's debt, in which case the interest rate may be reduced, the term to maturity lengthened, or some of the debt may be exchanged for equity. The point of the restructuring is to reduce the financial charges to a level that the firm’s cash flows can support. Of course, the common stockholders also have to give up something—they often see their position diluted as a result of additional shares being given to debtholders in exchange for accepting a reduced amount of debt principal and interest. In fact, the original common stockholders often end up with nothing. A trustee may be appointed by the court to oversee the reorganization, but generally the existing management is allowed to retain control.

Liquidation occurs if the company is deemed to be too far gone to be saved—if it is worth more dead than alive. If the bankruptcy court orders a liquidation, assets are sold off and the cash obtained is distributed as specified in Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Act. Here is the priority of claims:

1. Past-due property taxes.
2. Secured creditors are entitled to the proceeds from the sale of the specific property that was used to support their loans.
3. The trustee’s costs of administering and operating the bankrupt firm are next in line.
4. Expenses incurred after bankruptcy was filed come next.
5. Wages due workers, up to a limit of $2,000 per worker, follow.
6. Claims for unpaid contributions to employee benefit plans are next. This amount, together with wages, cannot exceed $2,000 per worker.
7. Unsecured claims for customer deposits up to $900 per customer are sixth in line.
8. Federal, state, and local taxes due come next.
9. Unfunded pension plan liabilities are next although some limitations exist.
10. General unsecured creditors are ninth on the list.
11. Preferred stockholders come next, up to the par value of their stock.
12. Common stockholders are finally paid, if anything is left, which is rare.
The key points for you to know are (1) the federal bankruptcy statutes govern both reorganization and liquidation, (2) bankruptcies occur frequently, and (3) a priority of the specified claims must be followed when distributing the assets of a liquidated firm.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between mortgage bonds and debentures.

Name the major rating agencies, and list some factors that affect bond ratings.

Differentiate between a Chapter 7 liquidation and a Chapter 11 reorganization.

List the priority of claims for the distribution of a liquidated firm’s assets.

## BOND MARKETS

Corporate bonds are traded primarily in the over-the-counter market rather than in organized exchanges. Most bonds are owned by and traded among the large financial institutions (for example, life insurance companies, mutual funds, and pension funds, all of which deal in very large blocks of securities), and it is relatively easy for the over-the-counter bond dealers to arrange the transfer of large blocks of bonds among the relatively few holders of the bonds.

Information on bond trades in the over-the-counter market is not widely published, but a representative group of bonds is listed and traded on the bond division of the NYSE and is reported on the bond market page of *The Wall Street Journal*. Bond data are also available on the Internet, at sites such as [http://www.bondpage.com](http://www.bondpage.com). Table 4-4 reports data for selected bonds of BellSouth Corporation. Note that BellSouth actually had more issues outstanding, but Table 4-4 reports data for only six bonds.

The bonds of BellSouth and other companies can have various denominations, but for convenience we generally think of each bond as having a par value of $1,000—this is how much per bond the company borrowed and how much it must someday repay. However, since other denominations are possible, for trading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S&amp;P Bond Rating</th>
<th>Issue Name</th>
<th>Coupon Rate</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Yield to Maturity</th>
<th>Yield to Call</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>10/15/2006</td>
<td>3.398</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>102.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>10/15/2011</td>
<td>4.347</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>109.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>5.200</td>
<td>9/15/2014</td>
<td>4.813</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>102.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>7.000</td>
<td>10/1/2025</td>
<td>5.601</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>116.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>6.875</td>
<td>10/15/2031</td>
<td>5.620</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>117.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>BellSouth</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>11/15/2034</td>
<td>5.657</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>104.899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- A denotes a callable bond. On this date there were no quotes for callable bonds.
- NC indicates that the bond is not callable.
- The price is reported as a percentage of par.

**Source:** January 30, 2005: [http://www.bondpage.com](http://www.bondpage.com). Select Corporates and search on BellSouth as the issue. Requires free registration.
and reporting purposes bonds are quoted as percentages of par. Looking at the fourth bond listed in the data in Table 4-4, we see that the bond is of the series that pays a 7 percent coupon, or 0.07($1,000) = $70.00 of interest per year. The BellSouth bonds, and most others, pay interest semiannually, so all rates are nominal, not EAR rates. This bond matures and must be repaid on October 1, 2025; it is not shown in the table, but this bond was issued in 1995, so it had a 30-year original maturity. The price shown in the last column is expressed as a percentage of par, 116.990 percent, which translates to $1,169.90. This bond has a yield to maturity of 5.601 percent.

BellSouth has no callable bonds outstanding; however, Citigroup Capital has a callable 7.750 percent coupon bond that matures on 12/1/2036 with a yield to maturity of 6.821 percent. The Citigroup bond is callable on 12/1/2006, and its yield to call is 2.751 percent. Because Citigroup is likely to call the bond and replace it with a lower coupon bond in 2006, investors are treating the bond like a 2-year bond with a yield of about 2.7 percent.

Coupon rates are generally set at levels that reflect the “going rate of interest” on the day a bond is issued. If the rates were set lower, investors simply would not buy the bonds at the $1,000 par value, so the company could not borrow the money it needed. Thus, bonds generally sell at their par values on the day they are issued, but their prices fluctuate thereafter as interest rates change.

As shown in Figure 4-5, the BellSouth bonds initially sold at par, but then fell below par in 1996 when interest rates rose. The price rose above par in 1997 and
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1998 when interest rates fell, but the price fell again in 1999 and 2000 after increases in interest rates. It rose again in 2001 through 2003, when interest rates fell. It fell in 2004 due to expectations of rising rates but recovered in 2005. The dashed line in Figure 4-5 shows the projected price of the bonds, in the unlikely event that interest rates remain constant from 2005 to 2025. Looking at the actual and projected price history of these bonds, we see (1) the inverse relationship between interest rates and bond values and (2) the fact that bond values approach their par values as their maturity date approaches.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why do most bond trades occur in the over-the-counter market?
If a bond issue is to be sold at par, how will its coupon rate be determined?

**SUMMARY**

This chapter described the different types of bonds governments and corporations issue, explained how bond prices are established, and discussed how investors estimate the rates of return they can expect to earn. We also discussed the various types of risks that investors face when they buy bonds. The key concepts covered are summarized below.

- A **bond** is a long-term promissory note issued by a business or governmental unit. The issuer receives money in exchange for promising to make interest payments and to repay the principal on a specified future date.

- Some recent innovations in long-term financing include **zero coupon bonds**, which pay no annual interest, but are issued at a discount; **floating-rate debt**, whose interest payments fluctuate with changes in the general level of interest rates; and **junk bonds**, which are high-risk, high-yield instruments issued by firms that use a great deal of financial leverage.

- A **call provision** gives the issuing corporation the right to redeem the bonds prior to maturity under specified terms, usually at a price greater than the maturity value (the difference is a **call premium**). A firm will typically call a bond if interest rates fall substantially below the coupon rate.

- A **redeemable bond** gives the investor the right to sell the bond back to the issuing company at a previously specified price. This is a useful feature (for investors) if interest rates rise or if the company engages in unanticipated risky activities.

- A **sinking fund** is a provision that requires the corporation to retire a portion of the bond issue each year. The purpose of the sinking fund is to provide for the orderly retirement of the issue. A sinking fund typically requires no call premium.

- The **value of a bond** is found as the present value of an **annuity** (the interest payments) plus the present value of a lump sum (the **principal**). The bond is evaluated at the appropriate periodic interest rate over the number of periods for which interest payments are made.

- The equation used to find the value of an annual coupon bond is

\[
V_B = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]
An adjustment to the formula must be made if the bond pays interest semi-annually: divide INT and \( r_d \) by 2, and multiply N by 2.

The return earned on a bond held to maturity is defined as the bond’s yield to maturity (YTM). If the bond can be redeemed before maturity, it is callable, and the return investors receive if it is called is defined as the yield to call (YTC). The YTC is found as the present value of the interest payments received while the bond is outstanding plus the present value of the call price (the par value plus a call premium).

The longer the maturity of a bond, the more its price will change in response to a given change in interest rates; this is called interest rate risk. However, bonds with short maturities expose investors to high reinvestment rate risk, which is the risk that income from a bond portfolio will decline because cash flows received from bonds will be rolled over at lower interest rates.

Corporate and municipal bonds have default risk. If an issuer defaults, investors receive less than the promised return on the bond. Therefore, investors should evaluate a bond’s default risk before making a purchase.

There are many different types of bonds with different sets of features. These include convertible bonds, bonds with warrants, income bonds, purchasing power (indexed) bonds, mortgage bonds, debentures, subordinated debentures, junk bonds, development bonds, and insured municipal bonds. The return required on each type of bond is determined by the bond’s riskiness.

Bonds are assigned ratings that reflect the probability of their going into default. The highest rating is AAA, and they go down to D. The higher a bond’s rating, the lower its risk and therefore its interest rate.

**QUESTIONS**

4-1 Define each of the following terms:

a. Bond; Treasury bond; corporate bond; municipal bond; foreign bond
b. Par value; maturity date; coupon payment; coupon interest rate
c. Floating-rate bond; zero coupon bond; original issue discount bond (OID)
d. Call provision; redeemable bond; sinking fund
e. Convertible bond; warrant; income bond; indexed, or purchasing power, bond
f. Premium bond; discount bond
g. Current yield (on a bond); yield to maturity (YTM); yield to call (YTC)
h. Reinvestment risk; interest rate risk; default risk
i. Indentures; mortgage bond; debenture; subordinated debenture
j. Development bond; municipal bond insurance; junk bond; investment-grade bond

4-2 “The values of outstanding bonds change whenever the going rate of interest changes. In general, short-term interest rates are more volatile than long-term interest rates. Therefore, short-term bond prices are more sensitive to interest rate changes than are long-term bond prices.” Is this statement true or false? Explain.

4-3 The rate of return you would get if you bought a bond and held it to its maturity date is called the bond’s yield to maturity. If interest rates in the economy rise after a bond has been issued, what will happen to the bond’s price and to its YTM? Does the length of time to maturity affect the extent to which a given change in interest rates will affect the bond’s price?

4-4 If you buy a callable bond and interest rates decline, will the value of your bond rise by as much as it would have risen if the bond had not been callable? Explain.
4-5 A sinking fund can be set up in one of two ways:

1. The corporation makes annual payments to the trustee, who invests the proceeds in securities (frequently government bonds) and uses the accumulated total to retire the bond issue at maturity.

2. The trustee uses the annual payments to retire a portion of the issue each year, either calling a given percentage of the issue by a lottery and paying a specified price per bond or buying bonds on the open market, whichever is cheaper.

Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each procedure from the viewpoint of both the firm and its bondholders.

PROBLEMS

4-1 Callaghan Motors’ bonds have 10 years remaining to maturity. Interest is paid annually, the bonds have a $1,000 par value, and the coupon interest rate is 8 percent. The bonds have a yield to maturity of 9 percent. What is the current market price of these bonds?

4-2 Wilson Wonders’ bonds have 12 years remaining to maturity. Interest is paid annually, the bonds have a $1,000 par value, and the coupon interest rate is 10 percent. The bonds sell at a price of $850. What is their yield to maturity?

4-3 Thatcher Corporation’s bonds will mature in 10 years. The bonds have a face value of $1,000 and an 8 percent coupon rate, paid semiannually. The price of the bonds is $1,100. The bonds are callable in 5 years at a call price of $1,050. What is their yield to maturity? What is their yield to call?

4-4 Heath Foods’ bonds have 7 years remaining to maturity. The bonds have a face value of $1,000 and a yield to maturity of 8 percent. They pay interest annually and have a 9 percent coupon rate. What is their current yield?

4-5 Nungesser Corporation has issued bonds that have a 9 percent coupon rate, payable semiannually. The bonds mature in 8 years, have a face value of $1,000, and a yield to maturity of 8.5 percent. What is the price of the bonds?

4-6 The Garraty Company has two bond issues outstanding. Both bonds pay $100 annual interest plus $1,000 at maturity. Bond L has a maturity of 15 years, and Bond S a maturity of 1 year.

a. What will be the value of each of these bonds when the going rate of interest is (1) 5 percent, (2) 8 percent, and (3) 12 percent? Assume that there is only one more interest payment to be made on Bond S.

b. Why does the longer-term (15-year) bond fluctuate more when interest rates change than does the shorter-term bond (1 year)?

4-7 The Heymann Company’s bonds have 4 years remaining to maturity. Interest is paid annually; the bonds have a $1,000 par value; and the coupon interest rate is 9 percent.

a. What is the yield to maturity at a current market price of (1) $829 or (2) $1,104?

b. Would you pay $829 for one of these bonds if you thought that the appropriate rate of interest was 12 percent—that is, if \( r_d = 12\% \)? Explain your answer.
Six years ago, The Singleton Company sold a 20-year bond issue with a 14 percent annual coupon rate and a 9 percent call premium. Today, Singleton called the bonds. The bonds originally were sold at their face value of $1,000. Compute the realized rate of return for investors who purchased the bonds when they were issued and who surrender them today in exchange for the call price.

A 10-year, 12 percent semiannual coupon bond with a par value of $1,000 may be called in 4 years at a call price of $1,060. The bond sells for $1,100. (Assume that the bond has just been issued.)

a. What is the bond’s yield to maturity?
b. What is the bond’s current yield?
c. What is the bond’s capital gain or loss yield?
d. What is the bond’s yield to call?

You just purchased a bond that matures in 5 years. The bond has a face value of $1,000 and has an 8 percent annual coupon. The bond has a current yield of 8.21 percent. What is the bond’s yield to maturity?

A bond that matures in 7 years sells for $1,020. The bond has a face value of $1,000 and a yield to maturity of 10.5883 percent. The bond pays coupons semiannually. What is the bond’s current yield?

Lloyd Corporation’s 14 percent coupon rate, semiannual payment, $1,000 par value bonds that mature in 30 years are callable 5 years from now at a price of $1,050. The bonds sell at a price of $1,353.54, and the yield curve is flat. Assuming that interest rates in the economy are expected to remain at their current level, what is the best estimate of Lloyd’s nominal interest rate on new bonds?

Suppose Ford Motor Company sold an issue of bonds with a 10-year maturity, a $1,000 par value, a 10 percent coupon rate, and semiannual interest payments.

a. Two years after the bonds were issued, the going rate of interest on bonds such as these fell to 6 percent. At what price would the bonds sell?
b. Suppose that, 2 years after the initial offering, the going interest rate had risen to 12 percent. At what price would the bonds sell?
c. Suppose that the conditions in part a existed—that is, interest rates fell to 6 percent 2 years after the issue date. Suppose further that the interest rate remained at 6 percent for the next 8 years. What would happen to the price of the Ford Motor Company bonds over time?

A bond trader purchased each of the following bonds at a yield to maturity of 8 percent. Immediately after she purchased the bonds, interest rates fell to 7 percent. What is the percentage change in the price of each bond after the decline in interest rates? Fill in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Type</th>
<th>Price @ 8%</th>
<th>Price @ 7%</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-year, 10% annual coupon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-year zero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100 perpetuity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An investor has two bonds in his portfolio. Each bond matures in 4 years, has a face value of $1,000, and has a yield to maturity equal to 9.6 percent. One bond, Bond C, pays an annual coupon of 10 percent; the other bond, Bond Z, is a zero coupon bond.

a. Assuming that the yield to maturity of each bond remains at 9.6 percent over the next 4 years, what will be the price of each of the bonds at the following time periods? Fill in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>Price of Bond C</th>
<th>Price of Bond Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Plot the time path of the prices for each of the two bonds.

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch04 P16 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 4-9. After completing parts a through d, answer the following related questions.

e. How would the price of the bond be affected by changing interest rates? (Hint: Conduct a sensitivity analysis of price to changes in the yield to maturity, which is also the going market interest rate for the bond. Assume that the bond will be called if and only if the going rate of interest falls below the coupon rate. That is an oversimplification, but assume it anyway for purposes of this problem.)

f. Now assume that the date is October 25, 2006. Assume further that our 12 percent, 10-year bond was issued on July 1, 2006, is callable on July 1, 2010, at $1,060, will mature on June 30, 2016, pays interest semiannually (January 1 and July 1), and sells for $1,100. Use your spreadsheet to find (1) the bond’s yield to maturity and (2) its yield to call.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.
Sam Strother and Shawna Tibbs are vice presidents of Mutual of Seattle Insurance Company and codirectors of the company’s pension fund management division. An important new client, the North-Western Municipal Alliance, has requested that Mutual of Seattle present an investment seminar to the mayors of the represented cities, and Strother and Tibbs, who will make the actual presentation, have asked you to help them by answering the following questions. Because the Boeing Company operates in one of the league’s cities, you are to work Boeing into the presentation.

a. What are the key features of a bond?
b. What are call provisions and sinking fund provisions? Do these provisions make bonds more or less risky?
c. How is the value of any asset whose value is based on expected future cash flows determined?
d. How is the value of a bond determined? What is the value of a 10-year, $1,000 par value bond with a 10 percent annual coupon if its required rate of return is 10 percent?
e. (1) What would be the value of the bond described in part d if, just after it had been issued, the expected inflation rate rose by 3 percentage points, causing investors to require a 13 percent return? Would we now have a discount or a premium bond?
(2) What would happen to the bond’s value if inflation fell, and rd declined to 7 percent? Would we now have a premium or a discount bond?
(3) What would happen to the value of the 10-year bond over time if the required rate of return remained at 13 percent, or if it remained at 7 percent? [Hint: With a financial calculator, enter PMT, I, FV, and N, and then change (override) N to see what happens to the PV as the bond approaches maturity.]
f. (1) What is the yield to maturity on a 10-year, 9 percent, annual coupon, $1,000 par value bond that sells for $887.00? That sells for $1,134.20? What does the fact that a bond sells at a discount or at a premium tell you about the relationship between rd and the bond’s coupon rate?
(2) What are the total return, the current yield, and the capital gains yield for the discount bond? (Assume the bond is held to maturity and the company does not default on the bond.)
g. What is interest rate (or price) risk? Which bond has more interest rate risk, an annual payment 1-year bond or a 10-year bond? Why?
h. What is reinvestment rate risk? Which has more reinvestment rate risk, a 1-year bond or a 10-year bond?
i. How does the equation for valuing a bond change if semiannual payments are made? Find the value of a 10-year, semiannual payment, 10 percent coupon bond if nominal rd = 13%.
j. Suppose you could buy, for $1,000, either a 10 percent, 10-year, annual payment bond or a 10 percent, 10-year, semiannual payment bond. They are equally risky. Which would you prefer? If $1,000 is the proper price for the semiannual bond, what is the equilibrium price for the annual payment bond?
k. Suppose a 10-year, 10 percent, semiannual coupon bond with a par value of $1,000 is currently selling for $1,135.90, producing a nominal yield to maturity of 8 percent. However, the bond can be called after 5 years for a price of $1,050.
(1) What is the bond’s nominal yield to call (YTC)?
(2) If you bought this bond, do you think you would be more likely to earn the YTM or the YTC? Why?
l. Boeing’s bonds were issued with a yield to maturity of 7.5 percent. Does the yield to maturity represent the promised or expected return on the bond?
m. Boeing’s bonds were rated AA by S&P. Would you consider these bonds investment grade or junk bonds?
n. What factors determine a company’s bond rating?
o. If this firm were to default on the bonds, would the company be immediately liquidated? Would the bondholders be assured of receiving all of their promised payments?
SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

Many investment textbooks cover bond valuation models in depth and detail. Some of the better ones are listed in the Chapter 2 references.

For some works on valuation, see


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 3, “Powerline Network Corporation (Bonds and Preferred Stock).”
In Chapter 4 we examined bonds. We now turn to common and preferred stock, beginning with some important background material that helps establish a framework for valuing these securities.

While it is generally easy to predict the cash flows received from bonds, forecasting the cash flows on common stocks is much more difficult. However, two fairly straightforward models can be used to help estimate the “true,” or intrinsic, value of a common stock: (1) the dividend growth model, which we describe in this chapter, and (2) the total corporate value model, which we explain in Chapter 11.

The concepts and models developed here will also be used when we estimate the cost of capital in Chapter 10. In subsequent chapters, we demonstrate how the cost of capital is used to help make many important decisions, especially the decision to invest or not invest in new assets. Consequently, it is critically important that you understand the basics of stock valuation.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answer with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Assuming that the required rate of return is determined by the CAPM, explain how you would use the dividend growth model to estimate the price for Stock i. Indicate what data you would need, and give an example of a “reasonable” value for each data input.

2. How would the stock’s calculated price be affected if g, rRF, IP (the premium for inflation), rM, and b_i each (a) “improved” or (b) “became worse” by some arbitrary but “reasonable” amount? “Improved” means caused the stock price to increase, and “became worse” means lowered the price. “Reasonable” means that the condition has existed in the recent past for the economy and/or some particular company. You can look at our model for examples.

3. How could you use the nonconstant growth model to find the value of the stock? Here you can assume that the expected growth rate starts at a high level, then declines for several years, and finally reaches a steady state where growth is constant.

4. Suppose you were offered a chance to buy a stock at a specified price. The stock paid a dividend last year, and the dividend is expected to grow at a very high rate for several years, at a moderate rate for several more years, and at a constant rate from then on. How could you estimate the expected rate of return on the stock?

5. In general, what are some characteristics of stocks for which a dividend growth model is appropriate? What are some characteristics of stocks for which these models are not appropriate? How could you evaluate this second type of stock?

6. What does each of the three forms of the Efficient Markets Hypothesis say about
   a. Technical trading rules, that is, rules based on past movements in the stock?
   b. Fundamental analysis, that is, trying to identify undervalued or overvalued stocks based on publicly available financial information?
   c. Insider trading?
   d. Hot tips from (1) Internet chat rooms, (2) close friends unconnected with the company, or (3) close friends who work for the company?

---

**LEGAL RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES OF COMMON STOCKHOLDERS**

The common stockholders are the owners of a corporation, and as such they have certain rights and privileges as discussed in this section.

**Control of the Firm**

Its common stockholders have the right to elect a firm’s directors, who, in turn, elect the officers who manage the business. In a small firm, the largest stockholder typically assumes the positions of president and chairperson of the board of directors.
In Chapter 1, we told you that managers should strive to make their firms more valuable and that the value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its free cash flows (FCF). This chapter provides additional insights in how to measure the risk and return demanded by a firm's stockholders, which affects the firm's weighted average cost of capital. It also shows you how to estimate the stock's value, which is a key part of the firm's total value.

In a large, publicly owned firm, the managers typically have some stock, but their personal holdings are generally insufficient to give them voting control. Thus, the managements of most publicly owned firms can be removed by the stockholders if the management team is not effective.

State and federal laws stipulate how stockholder control is to be exercised. First, corporations must hold an election of directors periodically, usually once a year, with the vote taken at the annual meeting. Frequently, one-third of the directors are elected each year for a three-year term. Each share of stock has one vote; thus, the owner of 1,000 shares has 1,000 votes for each director. 

Cumulative voting helps small groups get representation on the board.

In the situation described, a 1,000-share stockholder could cast 1,000 votes for each of three directors if there were three contested seats on the board. An alternative procedure that may be prescribed in the corporate charter calls for cumulative voting: Here the 1,000-share stockholder would get 3,000 votes if there were three vacancies, and he or she could cast all of them for one director. Cumulative voting helps small groups get representation on the board.
effort to overthrow management and take control of the business. This is known as a \textit{proxy fight}. Proxy fights are discussed in detail in Chapter 11.

\section*{The Preemptive Right}

Common stockholders often have the right, called the \textit{preemptive right}, to purchase any additional shares sold by the firm. In some states, the preemptive right is automatically included in every corporate charter; in others, it is necessary to insert it specifically into the charter.

The preemptive right enables current stockholders to maintain control and prevents a transfer of wealth from current stockholders to new stockholders. If it were not for this safeguard, the management of a corporation could issue a large number of additional shares at a low price and purchase these shares itself. Management could thereby seize control of the corporation and steal value from the current stockholders. For example, suppose 1,000 shares of common stock, each with a price of $100, were outstanding, making the total market value of the firm $100,000. If an additional 1,000 shares were sold at $50 a share, or for $50,000, this would raise the total market value to $150,000. When total market value is divided by new total shares outstanding, a value of $75 a share is obtained. The old stockholders thus lose $25 per share, and the new stockholders have an instant profit of $25 per share. Thus, selling common stock at a price below the market value would dilute its price and transfer wealth from the present stockholders to those who were allowed to purchase the new shares. The preemptive right prevents such occurrences.

\section*{Self-Test Questions}

What is a proxy fight?

What are the two primary reasons for the existence of the preemptive right?

\section*{TYPES OF COMMON STOCK}

Although most firms have only one type of common stock, in some instances \textit{classified stock} is used to meet the special needs of the company. Generally, when special classifications are used, one type is designated \textit{Class A}, another \textit{Class B}, and so on. Small, new companies seeking funds from outside sources frequently use different types of common stock. For example, when Genetic Concepts went public, its Class A stock was sold to the public and paid a dividend, but this stock had no voting rights for five years. Its Class B stock, which was retained by the organizers of the company, had full voting rights for five years, but the legal terms stated that dividends could not be paid on the Class B stock until the company had established its earning power by building up retained earnings to a designated level. The use of classified stock thus enabled the public to take a position in a conservatively financed growth company without sacrificing income, while the founders retained absolute control during the crucial early stages of the firm’s development. At the same time, outside investors were protected against excessive withdrawals of funds by the original owners. As is often the case in such situations, the Class B stock was called \textit{founders’ shares}.

Note that “Class A,” “Class B,” and so on, have no standard meanings. Most firms have no classified shares, but a firm that does could designate its Class B shares as founders’ shares and its Class A shares as those sold to the public, while another could reverse these designations. Still other firms could use stock
classifications for entirely different purposes. For example, when General Motors acquired Hughes Aircraft for $5 billion, it paid in part with a new Class H common, GMH, which had limited voting rights and whose dividends were tied to Hughes’s performance as a GM subsidiary. The reasons for the new stock were reported to be (1) that GM wanted to limit voting privileges on the new classified stock because of management’s concern about a possible takeover and (2) that Hughes employees wanted to be rewarded more directly on Hughes’s own performance than would have been possible through regular GM stock.

GM’s deal posed a problem for the NYSE, which had a rule against listing a company’s common stock if the company had any nonvoting common stock outstanding. GM made it clear that it was willing to delist if the NYSE did not change its rules. The NYSE concluded that such arrangements as GM had made were logical and were likely to be made by other companies in the future, so it changed its rules to accommodate GM. In reality, though, the NYSE had little choice. In recent years, the Nasdaq market has proven that it can provide a deep, liquid market for common stocks, and the defection of GM would have hurt the NYSE much more than GM.

As these examples illustrate, the right to vote is often a distinguishing characteristic between different classes of stock. Suppose two classes of stock differ in but one respect: One class has voting rights but the other does not. As you would expect, the stock with voting rights would be more valuable. In the United States, which has a legal system with fairly strong protection for minority stockholders (that is, noncontrolling stockholders), voting stock typically sells at a price 4 to 6 percent above that of otherwise similar nonvoting stock. Thus, if a stock with no voting rights sold for $50, then one with voting rights would probably sell for $52 to $53. In countries with legal systems that provide less protection for minority stockholders, the right to vote is far more valuable. For example, voting stock on average sells for 45 percent more than nonvoting stock in Israel, and for 82 percent more in Italy.

As we noted above, General Motors created its Class H common stock as a part of its acquisition of Hughes Aircraft. This type of stock, with dividends tied to a particular part of a company, is called tracking stock. It also is called target stock. Although GM used its tracking stock in an acquisition, other companies are attempting to use such stock to increase shareholder value. For example, in 1995 US West had several business areas with very different growth prospects, ranging from slow-growth local telephone services to high-growth cellular, cable television, and directory services. US West felt that investors were unable to correctly value its high-growth lines of business, since cash flows from slow-growth and high-growth businesses were mingled. To separate the cash flows and to allow separate valuations, the company issued tracking stocks. Similarly, Georgia-Pacific Corp. issued tracking stock for its timber business, and in 2002 Loews Corporation, a holding company with property and casualty insurance, oil and gas drilling, and tobacco subsidiaries, issued Carolina Group tracking stock tied to the performance of its Lorillard tobacco subsidiary. Despite this trend, many analysts are skeptical as to whether tracking stock increases a company’s total market value. Companies still report consolidated financial statements for the entire company, and they have considerable leeway in allocating costs and reporting the financial results for the various divisions, even those with tracking stock. Thus, a tracking stock is not the same as the stock of an independent, stand-alone company.

**Self-Test Question**

What are some reasons a company might use classified stock?
The Market for Common Stock

Some companies are so small that their common stocks are not actively traded; they are owned by only a few people, usually the companies’ managers. The stock in such firms is said to be closely held. In contrast, the stocks of most larger companies are owned by a large number of investors, most of whom are not active in management. Such stock is called publicly held stock.

A recent study found that institutional investors owned more than 60 percent of all publicly held common stocks. Included are pension plans, mutual funds, foreign investors, insurance companies, and brokerage firms. These institutions buy and sell relatively actively, so they account for about 75 percent of all transactions. Thus, institutional investors have a heavy influence on the prices of individual stocks.

Types of Stock Market Transactions

We can classify stock market transactions into three distinct types:

1. Trading in the outstanding shares of established, publicly owned companies: the secondary market. For example, if the owner of 100 shares of publicly held stock sells his or her stock, the trade is said to have occurred in the secondary market. Thus, the market for outstanding shares, or used shares, is the secondary market. The company receives no new money when sales occur in this market.

2. Additional shares sold by established, publicly owned companies: the primary market. If a company decides to sell (or issue) additional shares to raise new equity capital, this transaction is said to occur in the primary market.

3. Initial public offerings by privately held firms: the IPO market. Whenever stock in a closely held corporation is offered to the public for the first time, the company is said to be going public. The market for stock that is just being offered to the public is called the initial public offering (IPO) market.

There were 242 IPOs in 2004, bringing in a total of $34.2 billion. The average first-day return was 9.75 percent, although some firms had spectacular first-day price run-ups. For example, JED Oil Inc was up 103 percent on its first day of trading, and it gained more than 142 percent for the year. However, not all companies fared so well—China Finance Online was down 22.9 percent its first day, and it lost a total of 17.4 percent for the year. Moreover, even if you are able to identify a “hot” issue, it is often difficult to purchase shares in the initial offering. These deals are generally oversubscribed, which means that the demand for shares at the offering price exceeds the number of shares issued. In such instances, investment bankers favor large institutional investors (who are their best customers), and small investors find it hard, if not impossible, to get in on the ground floor. They can buy the stock in the aftermarket, but evidence suggests that if you do not get in on the ground floor, the average IPO underperforms the overall market over the longer run.²

Before you conclude that it isn’t fair to let only the best customers have the stock in an initial offering, think about what it takes to become a best customer. Best customers are usually investors who have done lots of business in the past with

the investment banking firm’s brokerage department. In other words, they have paid large sums as commissions in the past, and they are expected to continue doing so in the future. As is so often true, there is no free lunch—most of the investors who get in on the ground floor of an IPO have in fact paid for this privilege.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between closely held stock and publicly owned stock.
Differentiate between primary and secondary markets.
What is an IPO?

**COMMON STOCK VALUATION**

Common stocks provide an expected future cash flow stream, and a stock’s value is found in the same manner as the values of other financial assets—namely, as the present value of the expected future cash flow stream. The expected cash flows consist of two elements: (1) the dividends expected in each year and (2) the price investors expect to receive when they sell the stock. The expected final stock price includes the return of the original investment plus an expected capital gain.

**Definitions of Terms Used in Stock Valuation Models**

We saw in Chapter 1 that managers seek to maximize the values of their firms’ stocks. A manager’s actions affect both the stream of income to investors and the riskiness of that stream. Therefore, managers need to know how alternative actions are likely to affect stock prices. At this point we develop some models to help

The Daily Planet Ltd. made history on May 1, 2003, by becoming the world’s first publicly traded brothel. Technically, the Daily Planet only owns property, including a hotel with 18 rooms, each with a different theme, but all having multiperson showers and very large beds. The Daily Planet charges guests a room fee of A$115 per hour; clients also pay a fee of A$115 directly to individual members of the staff.

The IPO was for 7.5 million shares of stock, initially priced at A$0.50. However, the price ended the first day of trading at A$1.09, for a first-day return of 118 percent. The price closed the second day at A$1.56, for a two-day return of 212 percent, one of the largest returns since the days of the dot-com boom. Institutional investors normally buy about 60 to 70 percent of IPO stock, but they didn’t participate in this offering. The Daily Planet plans to use some of the proceeds to pay down debt and the rest for expansion, possibly through franchising.

The company is named after the fictitious newspaper where comic strip character Clark Kent was a reporter. All receptionists have “Lois Lane” nametags, and there is a telephone box in the lobby. What would Superman think!
show how the value of a share of stock is determined. We begin by defining the following terms:

\[ D_t = \text{dividend the stockholder expects to receive at the end of Year } t. \]  

\( D_0 \) is the most recent dividend, which has already been paid; \( D_1 \) is the first dividend expected, and it will be paid at the end of this year; \( D_2 \) is the dividend expected at the end of two years; and so forth. \( D_1 \) represents the first cash flow a new purchaser of the stock will receive. Note that \( D_0 \), the dividend that has just been paid, is known with certainty. However, all future dividends are expected values, so the estimate of \( D_t \) may differ among investors. ³

\[ P_0 = \text{actual market price of the stock today.} \]

\( \hat{P}_t = \text{expected price of the stock at the end of each Year } t \) (pronounced “P hat t”). \( \hat{P}_0 \) is the intrinsic, or fundamental, value of the stock today as seen by the particular investor doing the analysis; \( \hat{P}_1 \) is the price expected at the end of one year; and so on. Note that \( \hat{P}_0 \) is the intrinsic value of the stock today based on a particular investor’s estimate of the stock’s expected dividend stream and the riskiness of that stream. Hence, whereas the market price \( P_0 \) is fixed and is identical for all investors, \( \hat{P}_0 \) could differ among investors depending on how optimistic they are regarding the company. The caret, or “hat,” is used to indicate that \( \hat{P}_0 \) is an estimated value. \( \hat{P}_0 \), the individual investor’s estimate of the intrinsic value today, could be above or below \( P_0 \), the current stock price, but an investor would buy the stock only if his or her estimate of \( \hat{P}_0 \) were equal to or greater than \( P_0 \).

Since there are many investors in the market, there can be many values for \( \hat{P}_0 \). However, we can think of a group of “average,” or “marginal,” investors whose actions actually determine the market price. For these marginal investors, \( P_0 \) must equal \( \hat{P}_0 \); otherwise, a disequilibrium would exist, and buying and selling in the market would change \( P_0 \) until \( P_0 = \hat{P}_0 \) for the marginal investor.

\[ \frac{D_1}{P_0} = \text{expected dividend yield during the coming year. If the stock is expected to pay a dividend of } D_1 = \$1 \text{ during the next 12 months, and if its current price is } P_0 = \$10, \text{ then the expected dividend yield is } \frac{\$1}{\$10} = 0.10 = 10\%. \]

\[ \frac{\hat{P}_1 - P_0}{P_0} = \text{expected capital gains yield during the coming year. If the stock sells for } \$10 \text{ today, and if it is expected to rise to } \$10.50 \text{ at the end of one year, then the expected capital gain is } \hat{P}_1 - P_0 = \$10.50 - \$10.00 = \$0.50, \text{ and the expected capital gains yield is } \frac{\$0.50}{\$10} = 0.05 = 5\%. \]

\( g = \text{expected growth rate in dividends as predicted by a marginal investor. If dividends are expected to grow at a constant rate, } g \text{ is also equal to the expected rate of growth in earnings and in the stock’s price. Different investors may use different } g \text{’s to evaluate a} \)

³Stocks generally pay dividends quarterly, so theoretically we should evaluate them on a quarterly basis. However, in stock valuation, most analysts work on an annual basis because the data generally are not precise enough to warrant refinement to a quarterly model. For additional information on the quarterly model, see Charles M. Linke and J. Kenton Zumwalt, “Estimation Biases in Discounted Cash Flow Analysis of Equity Capital Cost in Rate Regulation,” Financial Management (Autumn 1984), pp. 15–21.
firm’s stock, but the market price, $P_0$, is set on the basis of the estimated by marginal investors.

$r_s =$ minimum acceptable, or required, rate of return on the stock, considering both its riskiness and the returns available on other investments. Again, this term generally relates to marginal investors. The primary determinants of $r_s$ include the real rate of return, expected inflation, and risk.

$\hat{r}_s =$ expected rate of return that an investor who buys the stock expects to receive in the future. $\hat{r}_s$ (pronounced “$r$ hat $s$”) could be above or below $r_s$ but one would buy the stock only if $\hat{r}_s$ were equal to or greater than $r_s$. $\hat{r}_s$ is equal to the expected dividend yield ($D_1/P_0$) plus expected capital gains yield $[(\hat{P}_1 - P_0)/P_0]$. In our example, $\hat{r}_s = 10\% + 5\% = 15\%$.

$\bar{r}_s =$ actual, or realized, after-the-fact rate of return, pronounced “$r$ bar $s$.” You may expect to obtain a return of $\hat{r}_s = 15\%$ if you buy ExxonMobil today, but if the market goes down, you may end up next year with an actual realized return that is much lower, perhaps even negative.

Expected Dividends as the Basis for Stock Values

Like all financial assets, equilibrium stock prices are the present value of a stream of cash flows. What are the cash flows that corporations provide to their stockholders? First, think of yourself as an investor who buys a stock with the intention of holding it (in your family) forever. In this case, all that you (and your heirs) will receive is a stream of dividends, and the value of the stock today is calculated as the present value of an infinite stream of dividends:

\[
\text{Value of stock} = \hat{P}_0 = \text{PV of expected future dividends} = \frac{D_1}{(1 + r_s)^1} + \frac{D_2}{(1 + r_s)^2} + \cdots + \frac{D_{\infty}}{(1 + r_s)^{\infty}} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_t}{(1 + r_s)^t}
\]

What about the more typical case, where you expect to hold the stock for a finite period and then sell it—what is the value of $\hat{P}_0$ in this case? Unless the company is likely to be liquidated or sold and thus to disappear, the value of the stock is again determined by Equation 5-1. To see this, recognize that for any individual investor, the expected cash flows consist of expected dividends plus the expected sale price of the stock. However, the sale price the current investor receives will depend on the dividends some future investor expects. Therefore, for all present and future investors in total, expected cash flows must be based on expected future dividends. Put another way, unless a firm is liquidated or sold to another concern, the cash flows it provides to its stockholders will consist only of a stream of dividends; therefore, the value of a share of its stock must be the present value of that expected dividend stream.
The general validity of Equation 5-1 can also be confirmed by asking the following question: Suppose I buy a stock and expect to hold it for one year. I will receive dividends during the year plus the value $\hat{P}_1$ when I sell out at the end of the year. But what will determine the value of $\hat{P}_1$? The answer is that it will be determined as the present value of the dividends expected during Year 2 plus the stock price at the end of that year, which, in turn, will be determined as the present value of another set of future dividends and an even more distant stock price. This process can be continued ad infinitum, and the ultimate result is Equation 5-1.4

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the two parts of most stocks' expected total return?

How does one calculate the capital gains yield and the dividend yield of a stock?

## CONSTANT GROWTH STOCKS

Equation 5-1 is a generalized stock valuation model in the sense that the time pattern of $D_t$ can be anything: $D_t$ can be rising, falling, fluctuating randomly, or it can even be zero for several years, and Equation 5-1 will still hold. With a computer spreadsheet we can easily use this equation to find a stock's intrinsic value for any pattern of dividends.5 In practice, the hard part is getting an accurate forecast of the future dividends. However, in many cases, the stream of dividends is expected to grow at a constant rate. If this is the case, Equation 5-1 may be rewritten as follows:6

\[
\hat{P}_0 = \frac{D_0(1 + g)}{(1 + r_s)^1} + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^2}{(1 + r_s)^2} + \cdots + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^\infty}{(1 + r_s)^\infty}
\]

\[
= D_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + g)^i}{(1 + r_s)^i}
\]

\[
= \frac{D_0(1 + g)}{r_s - g} = \frac{D_1}{r_s - g}
\]

The last term of Equation 5-2 is called the **constant growth model**, or the **Gordon model** after Myron J. Gordon, who did much to develop and popularize it.

A necessary condition for the validity of Equation 5-2 is that $r_s$ be greater than $g$. Look back at the second form of Equation 5-2. If $g$ is larger than $r_s$, then $(1 + g)/(1 + r_s)$ must always be greater than one. In this case, the second line of

---

4We should note that investors periodically lose sight of the long-run nature of stocks as investments and forget that in order to sell a stock at a profit, one must find a buyer who will pay the higher price. If you analyze a stock's value in accordance with Equation 5-1, conclude that the stock's market price exceeds a reasonable value, and then buy the stock anyway, then you would be following the "bigger fool" theory of investment—you think that you may be a fool to buy the stock at its excessive price, but you also think that when you get ready to sell it, you can find someone who is an even bigger fool. The bigger fool theory was widely followed in the spring of 2000, just before the Nasdaq market lost more than one-third of its value.

5Actually, we can find an approximate price. If we project dividends for 100 years or more, the present value of that finite dividend stream is approximately equal to the present value of the infinite dividend stream.

6The last term in Equation 5-2 is derived in the Web Extension to this chapter.
Equation 5-2 is the sum of an infinite number of terms, with each term being a number larger than one. Therefore, if the constant $g$ were greater than $r_s$, the resulting stock price would be infinite! Since no company is worth an infinite price, it is impossible to have a constant growth rate that is greater than $r_s$. Occasionally, a student will plug a value for $g$ greater than $r_s$ into the last form of Equation 5-2 and report a negative stock price. This is nonsensical. The last form of Equation 5-2 is valid only when $g$ is less than $r_s$. If $g$ is greater than $r_s$, the constant growth model cannot be used and the answer you would get from using Equation 5-2 would be wrong and misleading.

Illustration of a Constant Growth Stock

Assume that MicroDrive just paid a dividend of $1.15 (that is, $D_0 = $1.15). Its stock has a required rate of return, $r_s$, of 13.4 percent, and investors expect the dividend to grow at a constant 8 percent rate in the future. The estimated dividend one year hence would be $D_1 = $1.15(1.08) = $1.24; $D_2$ would be $1.34; and the estimated dividend five years hence would be $1.69:

$$D_t = D_0(1 + g)^t = $1.15(1.08)^5 = $1.69$$

We could use this procedure to estimate each future dividend, and then use Equation 5-1 to determine the current stock value, $\hat{P}_0$. In other words, we could find each expected future dividend, calculate its present value, and then sum all the present values to find the intrinsic value of the stock.

Such a process would be time consuming, but we can take a short cut—just insert the illustrative data into Equation 5-2 to find the stock’s intrinsic value, $23:

$$\hat{P}_0 = \frac{\$1.15(1.08)}{0.134 - 0.08} = \frac{1.242}{0.054} = $23.00$$

The concept underlying the valuation process for a constant growth stock is graphed in Figure 5-1. Dividends are growing at the rate $g = 8\%$, but because $r_s > g$, the present value of each future dividend is declining. For example, the dividend in Year 1 is $D_1 = D_0(1 + g)^1 = $1.15(1.08) = $1.242. However, the present value of this dividend, discounted at 13.4 percent, is $PV(D_1) = $1.242/(1.134)^1 = $1.095.

The dividend expected in Year 2 grows to $1.242(1.08) = $1.341, but the present value of this dividend falls to $1.043. Continuing, $D_3 = $1.449 and $PV(D_3) = $0.993, and so on. Thus, the expected dividends are growing, but the present value of each successive dividend is declining, because the dividend growth rate (8\%) is less than the rate used for discounting the dividends to the present (13.4\%).

If we summed the present values of each future dividend, this summation would be the value of the stock, $\hat{P}_0$, when $g$ is a constant, this summation is equal to $D_1/(r_s - g)$, as shown in Equation 5-2. Therefore, if we extended the lower step function curve in Figure 5-1 on out to infinity and added up the present values of each future dividend, the summation would be identical to the value given by Equation 5-2, $23.00$.

Although Equation 5-2 assumes that dividends grow to infinity, most of the value is based on dividends during a relatively short time period. In our example, 70 percent of the value is attributed to the first 25 years, 91 percent to the first 50 years, and 99.4 percent to the first 100 years. So, companies don’t have to live forever for the Gordon growth model to be used.
Dividend and Earnings Growth

Growth in dividends occurs primarily as a result of growth in earnings per share (EPS). Earnings growth, in turn, results from a number of factors, including (1) inflation, (2) the amount of earnings the company retains and reinvests, and (3) the rate of return the company earns on its equity (ROE). Regarding inflation, if output (in units) is stable, but both sales prices and input costs rise at the inflation rate, then EPS will also grow at the inflation rate. Even without inflation, EPS will also grow as a result of the reinvestment, or plowback, of earnings. If the firm’s earnings are not all paid out as dividends (that is, if some fraction of earnings is retained), the dollars of investment behind each share will rise over time, which should lead to growth in earnings and dividends.

Even though a stock’s value is derived from expected dividends, this does not necessarily mean that corporations can increase their stock prices by simply raising the current dividend. Shareholders care about all dividends, both current and those expected in the future. Moreover, there is a trade-off between current dividends and future dividends. Companies that pay high current dividends necessarily retain and reinvest less of their earnings in the business, and that reduces future earnings and dividends. So, the issue is this: Do shareholders prefer higher current dividends at the cost of lower future dividends, the reverse, or are stockholders indifferent? There is no simple answer to this question. Shareholders prefer to have the company retain earnings, hence pay less current dividends, if it has highly...
profitable investment opportunities, but they want the company to pay earnings out if investment opportunities are poor. Taxes also play a role—since dividends and capital gains are taxed differently, dividend policy affects investors’ taxes. We will consider dividend policy in detail in Chapter 17.

**Do Stock Prices Reflect Long-Term or Short-Term Events?**

Managers often complain that the stock market is shortsighted, and that it cares only about next quarter’s performance. Let’s use the constant growth model to test this assertion. MicroDrive’s most recent dividend was $1.15, and it is expected to grow at a rate of 8 percent per year. Since we know the growth rate, we can forecast the dividends for each of the next five years and then find their present values:

\[
PV = \frac{D_0(1 + g)^1}{(1 + r_f)^1} + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^2}{(1 + r_f)^2} + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^3}{(1 + r_f)^3} + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^4}{(1 + r_f)^4} + \frac{D_0(1 + g)^5}{(1 + r_f)^5}
\]

\[
= \frac{1.15(1.08)^1}{(1.134)^1} + \frac{1.15(1.08)^2}{(1.134)^2} + \frac{1.15(1.08)^3}{(1.134)^3} + \frac{1.15(1.08)^4}{(1.134)^4} + \frac{1.15(1.08)^5}{(1.134)^5}
\]

\[
= 1.242 + 1.341 + 1.449 + 1.565 + 1.690
\]

\[
= 1.095 + 1.043 + 0.993 + 0.946 + 0.901
\]

\[
= 5.00
\]

Recall that MicroDrive’s stock price is $23.00. Therefore, only $5.00, or 22 percent, of the $23.00 stock price is attributable to short-term cash flows. This means that MicroDrive’s managers will have a bigger effect on the stock price if they work to increase long-term cash flows rather than focus on short-term flows. This situation holds for most companies. Indeed, a number of professors and consulting firms have used actual company data to show that more than 80 percent of a typical company’s stock price is due to cash flows expected more than five years in the future.

This brings up an interesting question. If most of a stock’s value is due to long-term cash flows, why do managers and analysts pay so much attention to quarterly earnings? Part of the answer lies in the information conveyed by short-term earnings. For example, if actual quarterly earnings are lower than expected, not because of fundamental problems but only because a company has increased its research and development (R&D) expenditures, studies have shown that the stock price probably won’t decline and may actually increase. This makes sense, because R&D should increase future cash flows. On the other hand, if quarterly earnings are lower than expected because customers don’t like the company’s new products, then this new information will have negative implications for future values of g, the long-term growth rate. As we show later in this chapter, even small changes in g can lead to large changes in stock prices. Therefore, quarterly earnings themselves might not be very important, but the information they convey about future prospects can be terribly important.

Another reason many managers focus on short-term earnings is that some firms pay managerial bonuses on the basis of current earnings rather than stock prices (which reflect future earnings). For these managers, the concern with quarterly earnings is not due to their effect on stock prices—it’s due to their effect on bonuses.7

---

7Many apparent puzzles in finance can be explained either by managerial compensation systems or by peculiar features of the Tax Code. So, if you can’t explain a firm’s behavior in terms of economic logic, look to bonuses or taxes as possible explanations.
When Can the Constant Growth Model Be Used?

The constant growth model is often appropriate for mature companies with a stable history of growth. Expected growth rates vary somewhat among companies, but dividend growth for most mature firms is generally expected to continue in the future at about the same rate as nominal gross domestic product (real GDP plus inflation). On this basis, one might expect the dividends of an average, or “normal,” company to grow at a rate of 5 to 8 percent a year.

Note too that Equation 5-2 is sufficiently general to handle the case of a zero growth stock, where the dividend is expected to remain constant over time. If \( g = 0 \), Equation 5-2 reduces to Equation 5-3:

\[
\hat{p}_0 = \frac{D}{r_s}
\]

This is essentially the equation for a perpetuity, and it is simply the dividend divided by the discount rate.

Self-Test Questions

Write out and explain the valuation formula for a constant growth stock.

Are stock prices affected more by long-term or short-term events?

EXPECTED RATE OF RETURN ON A CONSTANT GROWTH STOCK

We can solve Equation 5-2 for \( r_s \), again using the hat to indicate that we are dealing with an expected rate of return:

\[
\hat{r}_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g
\]

Thus, if you buy a stock for a price \( P_0 = $23 \), and if you expect the stock to pay a dividend \( D_1 = $1.242 \) one year from now and to grow at a constant rate \( g = 8\% \) in the future, then your expected rate of return will be 13.4 percent:

\[
\hat{r}_s = \frac{$1.242}{$23} + 8\% = 5.4\% + 8\% = 13.4\%
\]

In this form, we see that \( \hat{r}_s \) is the expected total return and that it consists of an expected dividend yield, \( D_1/P_0 = 5.4\% \), plus an expected growth rate or capital gains yield, \( g = 8\% \).

---

\(^8\)The \( r_s \) value in Equation 5-2 is a required rate of return, but when we solve for \( r_s \) to obtain Equation 5-4, we are finding an expected rate of return. Obviously, the solution requires that \( r_s = \hat{r}_s \). This equality holds if the stock market is in equilibrium, a condition that will be discussed later in the chapter.
Suppose this analysis had just been conducted, with the current price, $P_0$, equal to $23 and the Year 1 expected dividend, $D_1$, equal to $1.242. What is the expected price at the end of the first year, immediately after $D_1$ has been paid? We would again apply Equation 5-2, but this time we would use the Year 2 dividend, $D_2 = D_1 (1 + g) = 1.242(1.08) = 1.3414$

\[
\hat{P}_1 = \frac{D_2}{r_s - g} = \frac{1.3414}{0.134 - 0.08} = 24.84
\]

Now, note that $24.84 is 8 percent larger than $P_0$, the $23 price found one year earlier:

\[
$23(1.08) = 24.84
\]

Thus, we would expect a capital gain of $24.84 - 23.00 = 1.84 during the year, which would provide a capital gains yield of 8 percent:

\[
\text{Capital gains yield} = \frac{\text{Capital gain}}{\text{Beginning price}} = \frac{1.84}{23.00} = 0.08 = 8\%
\]

We could extend the analysis, and in each future year the expected capital gains yield would always equal $g$, the expected dividend growth rate.

The dividend yield during the year could be estimated as follows:

\[
\text{Dividend yield} = \frac{D_2}{\hat{P}_1} = \frac{1.3414}{24.84} = 0.054 = 5.4\%
\]

The dividend yield for the next year could also be calculated, and again it would be 5.4 percent. Thus, for a constant growth stock, the following conditions must hold:

1. The dividend is expected to grow forever at a constant rate, $g$.
2. The stock price is expected to grow at this same rate.
3. The expected dividend yield is constant.
4. The expected capital gains yield is also constant, and it is equal to $g$.
5. The expected total rate of return, $\hat{r}_s$, is equal to the expected dividend yield plus the expected growth rate: $\hat{r}_s = \text{dividend yield} + g$.

The term expected should be clarified—it means expected in a probabilistic sense, as the “statistically expected” outcome. Thus, if we say the growth rate is expected to remain constant at 8 percent, we mean that the best prediction for the growth rate in any future year is 8 percent, not that we literally expect the growth rate to be exactly 8 percent in each future year. In this sense, the constant growth assumption is a reasonable one for many large, mature companies.

What conditions must hold if a stock is to be evaluated using the constant growth model? What does the term “expected” mean when we say “expected growth rate”?

**VALUING STOCKS THAT HAVE A NONCONSTANT GROWTH RATE**

For many companies, it is inappropriate to assume that dividends will grow at a constant rate. Firms typically go through life cycles. During the early part of their lives, their growth is much faster than that of the economy as a whole; then they
match the economy’s growth; and finally their growth is slower than that of the economy.\textsuperscript{9} Automobile manufacturers in the 1920s, computer software firms such as Microsoft in the 1990s, and technology firms such as Cisco in the 2000s are examples of firms in the early part of the cycle; these firms are called \textit{supernormal}, or \textit{nonconstant}, growth firms. Figure 5-2 illustrates nonconstant growth and also compares it with normal growth, zero growth, and negative growth.\textsuperscript{10}

In the figure, the dividends of the supernormal growth firm are expected to grow at a 30 percent rate for three years, after which the growth rate is expected to fall to 8 percent, the assumed average for the economy. The value of this firm, like any other, is the present value of its expected future dividends as determined by Equation 5-1. When $D_t$ is growing at a constant rate, we simplify Equation 5-1 to $\hat{P}_0 = \frac{D_1}{r_e - g}$. In the supernormal case, however, the expected growth rate is not a constant—it declines at the end of the period of supernormal growth.

Because Equation 5-2 requires a constant growth rate, we obviously cannot use it to value stocks that have nonconstant growth. However, assuming that a company currently enjoying supernormal growth will eventually slow down and

\textsuperscript{9}The concept of life cycles could be broadened to \textit{product cycle}, which would include both small startup companies and large companies like Procter & Gamble, which periodically introduce new products that give sales and earnings a boost. We should also mention \textit{business cycles}, which alternately depress and boost sales and profits. The growth rate just after a major new product has been introduced, or just after a firm emerges from the depths of a recession, is likely to be much higher than the “expected long-run average growth rate,” which is the proper number for a DCF analysis.

\textsuperscript{10}A negative growth rate indicates a declining company. A mining company whose profits are falling because of a declining ore body is an example. Someone buying such a company would expect its earnings, and consequently its dividends and stock price, to decline each year, and this would lead to capital losses rather than capital gains. Obviously, a declining company’s stock price will be relatively low, and its dividend yield must be high enough to offset the expected capital loss and still produce a competitive total return. Students sometimes argue that they would never be willing to buy a stock whose price was expected to decline. However, if the annual dividends are large enough to \textit{more than offset} the falling stock price, the stock could still provide a good return.
become a constant growth stock, we can combine Equations 5-1 and 5-2 to form a new formula, Equation 5-5, for valuing it. First, we assume that the dividend will grow at a nonconstant rate (generally a relatively high rate) for \( N \) periods, after which it will grow at a constant rate, \( g \). \( N \) is often called the terminal date, or horizon date.

We can use the constant growth formula, Equation 5-2, to determine what the stock’s horizon, or terminal, value will be \( N \) periods from today:

\[
\hat{P}_N = \frac{D_{N+1}}{r_s - g} = \frac{D_N(1 + g)}{r_s - g}
\]

The stock’s intrinsic value today, \( \hat{P}_0 \), is the present value of the dividends during the nonconstant growth period plus the present value of the horizon value:

\[
\hat{P}_0 = \frac{D_1}{(1 + r_s)^1} + \frac{D_2}{(1 + r_s)^2} + \cdots + \frac{D_N}{(1 + r_s)^N} + \frac{D_{N+1}}{(1 + r_s)^{N+1}} + \cdots + \frac{D_{\infty}}{(1 + r_s)^\infty}
\]

To implement Equation 5-5, we go through the following three steps:

1. Estimate the expected dividends for each year during the period of nonconstant growth.
2. Find the expected price of the stock at the end of the nonconstant growth period, at which point it has become a constant growth stock.
3. Find the present values of the expected dividends during the nonconstant growth period and the present value of the expected stock price at the end of the nonconstant growth period. Their sum is the intrinsic value of the stock, \( \hat{P}_0 \).

Figure 5-3 can be used to illustrate the process for valuing nonconstant growth stocks. Here we make the following assumptions:

\( r_s \) = stockholders’ required rate of return = 13.4%. This rate is used to discount the cash flows.

\( N \) = years of supernormal growth = 3.

\( g_s \) = rate of growth in both earnings and dividends during the supernormal growth period = 30%. This rate is shown directly on the time line.
Process for Finding the Value of a Supernormal Growth Stock

**Figure 5-3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dividend (D)</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>D0 = $1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1 = 1.15(1.30) = $1.4950</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D2 = $1.4950(1.30) = $1.9435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>D3 = $1.9435(1.30) = $2.5266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D4 = $2.5266(1.08) = $2.7287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes to Figure 5-3:**

**Step 1.** Calculate the dividends expected at the end of each year during the nonconstant growth period. Calculate the first dividend, \( D_1 = D_0(1 + g_s) = $1.15(1.30) = $1.4950 \). Here \( g_s \) is the growth rate during the three-year supernormal growth period, 30 percent. Show the $1.4950 on the time line as the cash flow at Time 1. Then, calculate \( D_2 = D_1(1 + g_s) = $1.4950(1.30) = $1.9435 \), and then \( D_3 = D_2(1 + g_s) = $1.9435(1.30) = $2.5266 \). Show these values on the time line as the cash flows at Time 2 and Time 3. Note that \( D_0 \) is used only to calculate \( D_1 \).

**Step 2.** At Time 3, the stock becomes a constant growth stock. Therefore, we can use the constant growth formula to find \( \hat{P}_3 \), which is the PV of the dividends from Time 4 to infinity as evaluated at Time 3.

First, we determine \( D_4 = $2.5266(1.08) = $2.7287 \) for use in the formula, and then we calculate \( \hat{P}_3 \) as follows:

\[
\hat{P}_3 = \frac{D_4}{r_s - g_n} = \frac{$2.7287}{0.134 - 0.08} = $50.5310
\]

We show this $50.5310 on the time line as a second cash flow at Time 3. The $50.5310 is a Time 3 cash flow in the sense that the owner of the stock could sell it for $50.5310 at Time 3 and also in the sense that $50.5310 is the present value of the dividend cash flows from Time 4 to infinity.

**Step 3.** Now that the cash flows have been placed on the time line, we can discount each cash flow at the required rate of return, \( r_s = 13.4\% \). This produces the PVs shown to the left below the time line, and the sum of the PVs is the value of the supernormal growth stock, $39.21.

With a financial calculator, you can find the PV of the cash flows as shown on the time line with the cash flow (CFLO) register of your calculator. Enter 0 for CF0 because you get no cash flow at Time 0, CF1 = 1.495, CF2 = 1.9435, and CF3 = 2.5266 + 50.531 = 53.0576. Then enter I = 13.4, and press the NPV key to find the value of the stock, $39.21.

(Note: The growth rate during the supernormal growth period could vary from year to year. Also, there could be several different supernormal growth periods, e.g., 30 percent for three years, then 20 percent for three years, and then a constant 8 percent.)

\( g_n = \) rate of normal, constant growth after the supernormal period = 8 percent. This rate is also shown on the time line, between Periods 3 and 4.

\( D_0 = \) last dividend the company paid = $1.15.

The valuation process as diagrammed in Figure 5-3 is explained in the steps set forth below the time line. The estimated value of the supernormal growth stock is $39.21.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how one would find the value of a supernormal growth stock.

Explain what is meant by “horizon (terminal) date” and “horizon (terminal) value.”
STOCK VALUATION BY THE FREE CASH FLOW APPROACH

The box at the beginning of the chapter showed that the value of a firm is the present value of its future expected free cash flows (FCFs), discounted at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Following is a simple example illustrating this approach to stock valuation.

Suppose a firm had a free cash flow of $200 million at the end of the most recent year. Chapter 9 shows how to forecast financial statements and free cash flows, but for now let’s assume that the firm’s FCFs are expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent per year forever. Chapter 10 explains how to estimate the weighted average cost of capital, but for now let’s assume that the firm’s WACC is 9 percent. The present value of the expected future free cash flows is the PV of a growing annuity, so we can use a variation of Equation 5-2, the value of a constantly growing stream of dividends:

\[ V = \frac{\text{FCF}(1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} = \frac{200(1.05)}{0.09 - 0.05} = 5,250 \text{ million} \]

FCFs are the cash flow available for distribution to all of the firm’s investors, not just the shareholders. The WACC is the average rate of return required by all of the firm’s investors, not just shareholders. Therefore, V is the value of the entire firm’s operations, not just the value of its equity. If the firm had any nonoperating assets, such as short-term investments in marketable securities, we would add them to V to find the total value. The firm in this example has no nonoperating assets, so its total value is $5,250 million. To find the value of equity, subtract the value of claims held by all groups other than common shareholders, such as debtholders and preferred stock holders. If the value of debt and preferred stock equals $2,000 million, then the firm’s equity has a value of $5,250 − $2,000 = $3,250 million. If 325 million shares of stock are outstanding, then the intrinsic stock value $3,250/325 = $10 per share. This example should give you the general idea behind the free cash flow approach to stock valuation, but see Chapter 11 for a more comprehensive example, including the situation where free cash flows are growing initially at a nonconstant rate.

**Self-Test Question**

Explain how to find the stock price using the free cash flow approach.

MARKET MULTIPLE ANALYSIS

Another method of stock valuation is market multiple analysis, which applies a market-determined multiple to net income, earnings per share, sales, book value, or, for businesses such as cable TV or cellular telephone systems, the number of subscribers. While the discounted dividend method applies valuation concepts in a precise manner, focusing on expected cash flows, market multiple analysis is more judgmental. To illustrate the concept, suppose that a company’s forecasted earnings per share are $7.70. The average price per share to earnings per share (P/E) ratio for similar publicly traded companies is 12.

To estimate the company’s stock value using the market P/E multiple approach, simply multiply its $7.70 earnings per share by the market multiple of 12 to obtain the value of $7.70(12) = $92.40. This is its estimated stock price per share.
Note that measures other than net income can be used in the market multiple approach. For example, another commonly used measure is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA). The EBITDA multiple is the total value of a company (the market value of equity plus debt) divided by EBITDA. This multiple is based on total value, since EBITDA measures the entire firm’s performance. Therefore, it is called an entity multiple. The EBITDA market multiple is the average EBITDA multiple for similar publicly traded companies. Multiplying a company’s EBITDA by the market multiple gives an estimate of the company’s total value. To find the company’s estimated stock price per share, subtract debt from total value, and then divide by the number of shares of stock.

As noted above, in some businesses such as cable TV and cellular telephone, an important element in the valuation process is the number of customers a company has. For example, telephone companies have been paying about $2,000 per customer when acquiring cellular operators. Managed care companies such as HMOs have applied similar logic in acquisitions, basing their valuations on the number of people insured. Some Internet companies have been valued by the number of “eyeballs,” which is the number of hits on the site.

**Self-Test Questions**
What is market multiple analysis?
What is an entity multiple?

**STOCK MARKET EQUILIBRIUM**

Recall that \( r_i \), the required return on Stock i, can be found using the Security Market Line (SML) equation as it was developed in our discussion of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) back in Chapter 2:

\[
 r_i = r_{RF} + (\text{RPM})b_i 
\]

If the risk-free rate of return is 8 percent, the market risk premium, \( \text{RPM} \), is 4 percent, and Stock i has a beta of 2, then the marginal investor will require a return of 16 percent on Stock i:

\[
 r_i = 8\% + (4\%) \times 2.0 \\
 = 16\% 
\]

The marginal investor will want to buy Stock i if its expected rate of return is more than 16 percent, will want to sell it if the expected rate of return is less than 16 percent, and will be indifferent, hence will hold but not buy or sell, if the expected rate of return is exactly 16 percent. Now suppose the investor’s portfolio contains Stock i, and he or she analyzes the stock’s prospects and concludes that its earnings, dividends, and price can be expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent per year. The last dividend was \( D_0 = $2.8571 \), so the next expected dividend is

\[
 D_1 = $2.8571(1.05) = $3
\]

Our marginal investor observes that the present price of the stock, \( P_0 \), is $30. Should he or she purchase more of Stock i, sell the stock, or maintain the present position?
The investor can calculate Stock i’s expected rate of return as follows:

\[ \hat{r}_i = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g = \frac{\$3}{\$30} + 5\% = 15\% \]

Because the expected rate of return is less than the required return of 16 percent, this marginal investor would want to sell the stock, as would most other holders. However, few people would want to buy at the $30 price, so the present owners would be unable to find buyers unless they cut the price of the stock. Thus, the price would decline, and this decline would continue until the price reached $27.27, at which point the stock would be in equilibrium, defined as the price at which the expected rate of return, 16 percent, is equal to the required rate of return:

\[ \hat{r}_i = \frac{\$3}{\$27.27} + 5\% = 11\% + 5\% = 16\% = r_i \]

Had the stock initially sold for less than $27.27, say, $25, events would have been reversed. Investors would have wanted to buy the stock because its expected rate of return would have exceeded its required rate of return, and buy orders would have driven the stock’s price up to $27.27.

To summarize, in equilibrium two related conditions must hold:

1. A stock’s expected rate of return as seen by the marginal investor must equal its required rate of return: \( \hat{r}_i = r_i \).
2. The actual market price of the stock must equal its intrinsic value as estimated by the marginal investor: \( P_0 = \hat{P}_0 \).

Of course, some individual investors may believe that \( \hat{r}_i > r_i \) and \( \hat{P}_0 > P_0 \); hence they would invest in the stock, while other investors may have an opposite view and would sell all of their shares. However, it is the marginal investor who establishes the actual market price, and for this investor, we must have \( \hat{r}_i = r_i \) and \( P_0 = \hat{P}_0 \). If these conditions do not hold, trading will occur until they do.

**Changes in Equilibrium Stock Prices**

Stock prices are not constant—they undergo violent changes at times. For example, on September 17, 2001, the first day of trading after the terrorist attacks of September 11, the Dow Jones average dropped 685 points. This was the largest decline ever in the Dow, but not the largest percentage loss, which was \(-24.4\%\) on December 12, 1914. More recently, the Dow fell by 22.6 percent on October 19, 1987. The Dow has also had some spectacular increases. In fact, its eighth-largest increase was 368 points on September 24, 2001, shortly after its largest-ever decline. The Dow’s largest increase ever was 499 points on April 16, 2000, and its largest percentage gain of 15.4 percent occurred on March 15, 1933. At the risk of understatement, the stock market is volatile!

To see how such changes can occur, assume that Stock i is in equilibrium, selling at a price of $27.27. If all expectations were exactly met, during the next year the price would gradually rise to $28.63, or by 5 percent. However, many different events could occur to cause a change in the equilibrium price. To illustrate,
consider again the set of inputs used to develop Stock i’s price of $27.27, along with a new set of assumed input variables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE VALUE</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free rate, rRF</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market risk premium, rM - rRF</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock i’s beta coefficient, b_i</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock i’s expected growth rate, g_i</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D₀</td>
<td>$2.8571</td>
<td>$2.8571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of Stock i</td>
<td>$27.27</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now give yourself a test: How would the indicated change in each variable, by itself, affect the price, and what is your guess as to the new stock price?

Every change, taken alone, would lead to an increase in the price. Taken together, the first three changes lower r_i, which declines from 16 to 10 percent:

Original $r_i = 8\% + 4\%(2.0) = 16\%$
New $r_i = 7\% + 3\%(1.0) = 10\%$

Using these values, together with the new g value, we find that $\hat{P}_0$ rises from $27.27$ to $75.71$:¹¹

Original $\hat{P}_0 = \frac{2.8571 \times (1.05)}{0.16 - 0.05} = \frac{3}{0.11} = 27.27$
New $\hat{P}_0 = \frac{2.8571 \times (1.06)}{0.10 - 0.06} = \frac{3.0285}{0.04} = 75.71$

At the new price, the expected and required rates of return are equal:¹²

$\hat{r}_i = \frac{3.0285}{75.71} + 6\% = 10\% = r_i$

As this example illustrates, even small changes in the size or riskiness of expected future dividends can cause large changes in stock prices. What might cause investors to change their expectations about future dividends? It could be new information about the company, such as preliminary results for an R&D program, initial sales of a new product, or the discovery of harmful side effects from the use of an existing product. Or, new information that will affect many companies could arrive, such as a tightening of interest rates by the Federal Reserve. Given

¹¹A price change of this magnitude is by no means rare for an individual stock. The prices of many stocks double or halve during a year. For example, Ciena, a phone equipment maker, fell by 76.1 percent in 1998, increased by 183 percent in 2000, declined by 84 percent in 2001, and declined by another 64 percent in 2002. In 2004 alone, Ciena declined by 79 percent and then increased by 102 percent.

¹²It should be obvious by now that actual realized rates of return are not necessarily equal to expected and required returns. Thus, an investor might have expected to receive a return of 15 percent if he or she had bought Ciena stock, but after the fact, the realized return was far above 15 percent in 2000 and was far below in 1998, 2001, and 2002.
the existence of computers and telecommunications networks, new information hits the market on an almost continuous basis, and it causes frequent and sometimes large changes in stock prices. In other words, ready availability of information causes stock prices to be volatile!

If a stock’s price is stable, that probably means that little new information is arriving. But if you think it’s risky to invest in a volatile stock, imagine how risky it would be to invest in a company that rarely released new information about its sales or operations. It may be bad to see your stock’s price jump around, but it would be a lot worse to see a stable quoted price most of the time and then to see huge moves on the rare days when new information was released. Fortunately, in our economy timely information is readily available, and evidence suggests that stocks, especially those of large companies, adjust rapidly to new information. Consequently, equilibrium ordinarily exists for any given stock, and required and expected returns are generally equal. Stock prices certainly change, sometimes violently and rapidly, but this simply reflects changing conditions and expectations. There are, of course, times when a stock appears to react for several months to favorable or unfavorable developments. However, this does not signify a long adjustment period; rather, it simply indicates that as more new pieces of information about the situation become available, the market adjusts to them. The ability of the market to adjust to new information is discussed in the next section.

The Efficient Markets Hypothesis

A body of theory called the Efficient Markets Hypothesis (EMH) holds (1) that stocks are always in equilibrium and (2) that it is impossible for an investor to consistently “beat the market.” Essentially, those who believe in the EMH note that there are 100,000 or so full-time, highly trained, professional analysts and traders operating in the market, while there are fewer than 3,000 major stocks. Therefore, if each analyst followed 30 stocks (which is about right, as analysts tend to specialize in the stocks in a specific industry), there would on average be 1,000 analysts following each stock. Further, these analysts work for organizations such as Citigroup, Merrill Lynch, Prudential Insurance, and the like, which have billions of dollars available with which to take advantage of bargains. In addition, as a result of SEC disclosure requirements and electronic information networks, as new information about a stock becomes available, these 1,000 analysts generally receive and evaluate it at about the same time. Therefore, the price of a stock will adjust almost immediately to any new development.

Levels of Market Efficiency

If markets are efficient, stock prices will rapidly reflect all available information. This raises an important question: What types of information are available and, therefore, incorporated into stock prices? Financial theorists have discussed three forms, or levels, of market efficiency.

Weak-Form Efficiency  The weak form of the EMH states that all information contained in past price movements is fully reflected in current market prices. If this were true, then information about recent trends in stock prices would be of no use in selecting stocks—the fact that a stock has risen for the past three days, for example, would give us no useful clues as to what it will do today or tomorrow.
People who believe that weak-form efficiency exists also believe that “tape watchers” and “chartists” are wasting their time. For example, after studying the past history of the stock market, a chartist might “discover” the following pattern: If a stock falls three consecutive days, its price typically rises 10 percent the following day. The technician would then conclude that investors could make money by purchasing a stock whose price has fallen three consecutive days.

But if this pattern truly existed, wouldn’t other investors also discover it, and if so, why would anyone be willing to sell a stock after it had fallen three consecutive days if he or she knows its price is expected to increase by 10 percent the next day? In other words, if a stock is selling at $40 per share after falling three consecutive days, why would investors sell the stock if they expected it to rise to $44 per share one day later? Those who believe in weak-form efficiency argue that if the stock was really likely to rise to $44 tomorrow, its price today would actually rise to somewhere near $44 immediately, thereby eliminating the trading opportunity. Consequently, weak-form efficiency implies that any information that comes from past stock prices is rapidly incorporated into the current stock price.

Semistrong-Form Efficiency The semistrong form of the EMH states that current market prices reflect all publicly available information. Therefore, if semistrong-form efficiency exists, it would do no good to pore over annual reports or other published data because market prices would have adjusted to any good or bad news contained in such reports back when the news came out. With semistrong-form efficiency, investors should expect to earn the returns predicted by the SML, but they should not expect to do any better unless they have either good luck or access to information that is not publicly available. However, insiders (for example, the presidents of companies) who have information that is not publicly available can earn consistently abnormal returns (returns higher than those predicted by the SML) even under semistrong-form efficiency.

Another implication of semistrong-form efficiency is that whenever information is released to the public, stock prices will respond only if the information is different from what had been expected. If, for example, a company announces a 30 percent increase in earnings, and if that increase is about what analysts had been expecting, the announcement should have little or no effect on the company’s stock price. On the other hand, the stock price would probably fall if analysts had expected earnings to increase by more than 30 percent, but it probably would rise if they had expected a smaller increase.

Strong-Form Efficiency The strong form of the EMH states that current market prices reflect all pertinent information, whether publicly available or privately held. If this form holds, even insiders would find it impossible to earn consistently abnormal returns in the stock market.

Implications of Market Efficiency

What bearing does the EMH have on financial decisions? If stock prices already reflect all publicly available information, and hence are fairly priced, one can “beat the market” consistently only by luck, and it is difficult, if not impossible,
for anyone to consistently outperform the market averages. For the most part, empirical tests support the EMH in its weak and semistrong forms.\textsuperscript{14} However, people such as corporate officers, who have inside information, can do better than the averages.\textsuperscript{15}

Some investors may be able to analyze and react more quickly than others to releases of new information, and these investors may have a temporary advantage over others. However, the buy-sell actions of those investors quickly bring market prices into equilibrium. For most stocks, most of the time it is generally safe to assume that $\hat{r}_t = r_t$, that $P_0 = P_0$, and that the stocks plot on the SML.

Market efficiency also has important implications for managerial decisions, especially stock issues, stock repurchases, and tender offers. If the market prices stocks fairly, then managerial decisions based on the premise that a stock is undervalued or overvalued might not make sense. Managers may have better information about their own companies than outsiders, but they cannot use this information for their own advantage, nor can they deliberately defraud any investors.

**Self-Test Questions**

What two conditions must hold for a stock to be in equilibrium?

What is the Efficient Markets Hypothesis (EMH)?

What are the differences among the three forms of the EMH?

What are the implications of the EMH for financial decisions?

**ACTUAL STOCK PRICES AND RETURNS**

Our discussion thus far has focused on expected stock prices and expected rates of return. Anyone who has ever invested in the stock market knows that there can be, and there generally are, large differences between expected and realized prices and returns. Figure 5-4 shows how the stock market has moved in recent years. We know from theory that expected returns, as estimated by a marginal investor, are always positive, but in some years, as Figure 5-4 shows, stock prices fall. Of course, even in bad years some individual companies do well, so “the name of the game” in security analysis is to pick the winners. In subsequent chapters, we will examine the actions that managers can take to increase the odds of their firms doing relatively well even in a falling market.

**Investing in International Stocks**

The U.S. stock market amounts to only about 40 percent of the world stock market, and this is prompting many U.S. investors to also hold foreign stocks. Analysts have long touted the benefits of investing overseas, arguing that foreign stocks improve diversification and provide good growth opportunities. Table 5-1 shows how stocks in different countries performed in 2004. The number on the

\textsuperscript{14}Virtually no academic studies have shown that excess returns (that is, above those predicted by the CAPM) can be earned by using past stock prices to predict future stock prices. One possible exception is in the area of long-term reversals, where portfolios of stocks with poor past long-term performance tend to do slightly better than average in the long term, and vice versa. With respect to other publicly available information, there have been periods when small stocks and “value” stocks (those with a high book-to-market ratio) had excess returns, but those patterns may not still persist. When a way to “beat” the market becomes known, the actions of investors tend to eliminate it.

\textsuperscript{15}Several cases of illegal insider trading have made the headlines, and the Enron, WorldCom, and other scandals were reported in 2001 and 2002. These cases involved employees of several corporations and major investment banking houses, and even an employee of the SEC. In one famous case during the 1980s, Ivan Boesky admitted to making $50 million by purchasing the stock of firms he knew were about to merge. He went to jail, and he had to pay a large fine, but he helped disprove the strong-form EMH.
Figure 5-4 \textit{S&P 500 Index, 1969–2004}

![Graph showing the S&P 500 Index from 1969 to 2004.](image)

Source: Data taken from \url{http://finance.yahoo.com}.

Table 5-1 \textit{Dow Jones Global Indexes in 2004 (Rates of Return)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>+67.96%</td>
<td>+55.61%</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>+23.99%</td>
<td>+7.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>+52.17</td>
<td>+28.12</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>+21.98</td>
<td>+12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>+46.53</td>
<td>+45.45</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>+21.24</td>
<td>+12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>+46.47</td>
<td>+32.96</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>+19.09</td>
<td>+14.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>+43.07</td>
<td>+32.55</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>+17.99</td>
<td>+18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>+40.02</td>
<td>+29.72</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>+17.07</td>
<td>+8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>+37.91</td>
<td>+27.77</td>
<td>U.K.</td>
<td>+16.93</td>
<td>+8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>+36.22</td>
<td>+25.12</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>+16.62</td>
<td>+11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>+33.50</td>
<td>+23.15</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>+14.29</td>
<td>+5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>+31.84</td>
<td>+45.30</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>+14.18</td>
<td>+4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>+30.09</td>
<td>+31.46</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>+11.84</td>
<td>+3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>+30.03</td>
<td>+17.87</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>+11.11</td>
<td>+11.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>+28.75</td>
<td>+19.01</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>+10.16</td>
<td>+10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>+28.69</td>
<td>+23.38</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>+10.03</td>
<td>+2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>+27.59</td>
<td>+18.21</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>+5.84</td>
<td>−1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>+26.07</td>
<td>+16.80</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>−8.77</td>
<td>−10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>+25.59</td>
<td>+17.68</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>−18.83</td>
<td>+31.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

right indicates how stocks in each country performed in terms of its local currency, while the left numbers show how the country’s stocks performed in terms of the U.S. dollar. For example, in 2004 Swiss stocks rose by only 4.78 percent, but the Swiss Franc rose by about 9.40 percent versus the U.S. dollar. Therefore, if U.S. investors had bought Swiss stocks, they would have made 4.78 percent in Swiss Franc terms, but those Swiss Francs would have bought 9.40 percent more U.S. dollars, so the effective return would have been 14.18 percent. So, the results of foreign investments depend in part on what happens to the exchange rate. Indeed, when you invest overseas, you are making two bets: (1) that foreign stocks will increase in their local markets and (2) that the currencies in which you will be paid will rise relative to the dollar.

Even though foreign stocks have exchange rate risk, this by no means suggests that investors should avoid foreign stocks. Foreign investments still improve diversification, and it is inevitable that there will be years when foreign stocks outperform domestic stocks. When this occurs, U.S. investors will be glad they put some of their money in overseas markets.

### Stock Market Reporting

Up until a couple of years ago, the best source of stock quotations was the business section of a daily newspaper, such as The Wall Street Journal. One problem with newspapers, however, is that they are printed only once a day. Now it is possible to get quotes all during the day from a wide variety of Internet sources. One of the best is provided by Bloomberg at [http://www.bloomberg.com](http://www.bloomberg.com). Figure 5-5 shows a quote for Abbott Labs, which is traded on the NYSE under the symbol ABT. As Figure 5-5 shows, Abbott Labs’ stock ended the day at $45.98, for a gain of $0.23, which is a 0.503 percent increase from the previous day. The data also show that Abbott opened the current day at $45.60, reached a high during the day of $46.18, and fell as low as $45.55. During the past year, the price has been as high as $48.16 and as low as $36.74. More than 4.6 million shares traded during the day. If this quote had been during trading hours, it would also have provided information about the quotes at which the stock could be bought (the

---

16Most free sources actually provide quotes that are delayed by 20 minutes.
Ask quote) or sold (the Bid quote). In addition to this information, the Web page has links to research and much more detailed data for Abbott Labs.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how financial markets adjust to bring stocks into equilibrium.
Explain why expected, required, and realized returns are often different.
What are the key benefits and risks of adding foreign stocks to a portfolio?

## PREFERRED STOCK

Preferred stock is a *hybrid*—it is similar to bonds in some respects and to common stock in others. Like bonds, preferred stock has a par value and a fixed amount of dividends that must be paid before dividends can be paid on the common stock. However, if the preferred dividend is not earned, the directors can omit (or “pass”) it without throwing the company into bankruptcy. So, although preferred stock has a fixed payment like bonds, a failure to make this payment will not lead to bankruptcy.

As noted above, a preferred stock entitles its owners to regular, fixed dividend payments. If the payments last forever, the issue is a perpetuity whose value, $V_{ps}$, is found as follows:

$$V_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{r_{ps}} \tag{5-7}$$

$V_{ps}$ is the value of the preferred stock, $D_{ps}$ is the preferred dividend, and $r_{ps}$ is the required rate of return. MicroDrive has preferred stock outstanding that pays a dividend of $10 per year. If the required rate of return on this preferred stock is 10 percent, then its value is $100, found by solving Equation 5-7 as follows:

$$V_{ps} = \frac{10.00}{0.10} = 100.00$$

If we know the current price of a preferred stock and its dividend, we can solve for the rate of return as follows:

$$r_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{V_{ps}} \tag{5-7a}$$

Some preferred stocks have a stated maturity date, say, 50 years. If MicroDrive’s preferred matured in 50 years, paid a $10 annual dividend, and had a required return of 8 percent, then we could find its price as follows: Enter $N = 50$, $I = 8$, $PMT = 10$, and $FV = 100$. Then press PV to find the price, $V_{ps} = 124.47$. If $r_{ps} = 1 = 10\%$, change $I = 8$ to $I = 10$, and find $P = V_{ps} = PV = 100$. If you know the price of a share of preferred stock, you can solve for $I$ to find the expected rate of return, $r_{ps}$.

Most preferred stocks pay dividends quarterly. This is true for MicroDrive, so we could find the effective rate of return on its preferred stock (perpetual or maturing) as follows:
A story in *Fortune* profiled the dramatic revolution in the way investors trade stocks. Just a few years ago, the vast majority of investors bought and sold stocks by calling a full-service broker. The typical broker would execute orders, maintain records, assist with stock selection, and provide guidance regarding long-run asset allocations. Those services came at a price—when investors bought stocks, the commissions were often well in excess of $100 a trade.

While the full-service broker is far from dead, many are on the ropes. Now large and small investors have online access to the same type of company and market information that brokers provide, and they can trade stocks online for less than $10 a trade. Online trading is by no means relegated to just a few investors—it now represents a significant percentage of all trades that occur. In 1989 only 28 percent of households owned stock, while 10 years later this percentage had risen to 48 percent.

Changing technology is encouraging more and more investors to take control of their own finances. While this trend has lowered traditional brokers’ incomes, it has reduced transaction costs, increased information, and empowered investors. Of course, concerns have been raised about whether individual investors fully understand the risks involved, and whether they have sound strategies in place for long-run investing. The tech stock crash of 2000 and the subsequent bear market showed how vulnerable individual investors are to market movements.

*Source: Andy Serwer, Christine Y. Chen, and Angel Key, “A Nation of Traders,” *Fortune* (1999), pp. 116–120. Copyright © 1999 Time Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.*

\[
\text{EFF} = \text{EAR}_p = \left(1 + \frac{r_{\text{Nom}}}{m}\right)^m - 1 = \left(1 + \frac{0.10}{4}\right)^4 - 1 = 10.38\%
\]

If an investor wanted to compare the returns on MicroDrive’s bonds and its preferred stock, it would be best to convert the nominal rates on each security to effective rates and then compare these “equivalent annual rates.”

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain the following statement: “Preferred stock is a hybrid security.”

Is the equation used to value preferred stock more like the one used to evaluate a perpetual bond or the one used for common stock?

**SUMMARY**

Corporate decisions should be analyzed in terms of how alternative courses of action are likely to affect a firm’s value. However, it is necessary to know how stock prices are established before attempting to measure how a given decision will affect a specific firm’s value. This chapter showed how stock values are determined, and also how investors go about estimating the rates of return they expect to earn. The key concepts covered are listed below.
• A **proxy** is a document that gives one person the power to act for another, typically the power to vote shares of common stock. A **proxy fight** occurs when an outside group solicits stockholders’ proxies in an effort to vote a new management team into office.

• A **takeover** occurs when a person or group succeeds in ousting a firm’s management and takes control of the company.

• Stockholders often have the right to purchase any additional shares sold by the firm. This right, called the **preemptive right**, protects the control of the present stockholders and prevents dilution of their value.

• Although most firms have only one type of common stock, in some instances **classified stock** is used to meet the special needs of the company. One type is **founders’ shares**. This is stock owned by the firm’s founders that carries sole voting rights but restricted dividends for a specified number of years.

• **Closely held stock** is owned by a few individuals who are typically associated with the firm’s management.

• **Publicly owned stock** is owned by a relatively large number of individuals who are not actively involved in the firm’s management.

• Whenever stock in a closely held corporation is offered to the public for the first time, the company is said to be **going public**. The market for stock that is just being offered to the public is called the **initial public offering (IPO) market**.

• The **intrinsic value of a share of stock** is calculated as the present value of the stream of dividends the stock is expected to provide in the future.

• The equation used to find the **value of a constant growth stock** is

\[ \hat{P}_0 = \frac{D_1}{r_s - g} \]

• The **expected total rate of return** from a stock consists of an expected dividend yield plus an expected capital gains yield. For a constant growth firm, both the expected dividend yield and the expected capital gains yield are constant.

• The equation for \( \hat{r}_s \), the **expected rate of return on a constant growth stock**, can be expressed as follows:

\[ \hat{r}_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g \]

• A **zero growth stock** is one whose future dividends are not expected to grow at all, while a **supernormal growth stock** is one whose earnings and dividends are expected to grow much faster than the economy as a whole over some specified time period and then to grow at the “normal” rate.

• To find the **present value of a supernormal growth stock**, (1) find the dividends expected during the supernormal growth period, (2) find the price of the stock at the end of the supernormal growth period, (3) discount the dividends and the projected price back to the present, and (4) sum these PVs to find the current value of the stock, \( \hat{P}_0 \).

• The **horizon (terminal) date** is the date when individual dividend forecasts are no longer made because the dividend growth rate is assumed to be constant.

• The **horizon (terminal) value** is the value at the horizon date of all future dividends after that date.
• The **marginal investor** is a representative investor whose actions reflect the beliefs of those people who are currently trading a stock. It is the marginal investor who determines a stock’s price.

• **Equilibrium** is the condition under which the expected return on a security as seen by the marginal investor is just equal to its required return, \( \hat{r} = r \). Also, the stock’s intrinsic value must be equal to its market price, \( \hat{P}_0 = P_0 \).

• The **Efficient Markets Hypothesis (EMH)** holds (1) that stocks are always in equilibrium and (2) that it is impossible for an investor who does not have inside information to consistently “beat the market.” Therefore, according to the EMH, stocks are always fairly valued \( (\hat{P}_0 = P_0) \), the required return on a stock is equal to its expected return \( (r = \hat{r}) \), and all stocks’ expected returns plot on the SML.

• Differences can and do exist between expected and realized returns in the stock and bond markets—only for short-term, risk-free assets are expected and actual (or realized) returns equal.

• When U.S. investors purchase foreign stocks, they hope (1) that stock prices will increase in the local market and (2) that the foreign currencies will rise relative to the U.S. dollar.

• **Preferred stock** is a hybrid security having some characteristics of debt and some of equity.

• Most preferred stocks are **perpetuities**, and the value of a share of perpetual preferred stock is found as the dividend divided by the required rate of return:

\[
V_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{r_{ps}}
\]

• **Maturing preferred stock** is evaluated with a formula that is identical in form to the bond value formula.

**QUESTIONS**

5-1 Define each of the following terms:

a. Proxy; proxy fight; takeover; preemptive right; classified stock; founders’ shares
b. Closely held stock; publicly owned stock
c. Secondary market; primary market; going public; initial public offering (IPO)
d. Intrinsic value \( (\hat{P}_0) \); market price \( (P_0) \)
e. Required rate of return \( (r) \); expected rate of return \( (\hat{r}) \); actual, or realized, rate of return \( (\hat{r}) \)
f. Capital gains yield; dividend yield; expected total return
g. Normal, or constant, growth; supernormal, or nonconstant, growth; zero growth stock
h. Equilibrium; Efficient Markets Hypothesis (EMH); three forms of EMH
i. Preferred stock

5-2 Two investors are evaluating General Motors’ stock for possible purchase. They agree on the expected value of \( D_1 \) and also on the expected future dividend growth rate. Further, they agree on the riskiness of the stock. However, one investor normally holds stocks for 2 years, while the other normally holds stocks for 10 years. On the basis of the type of analysis done in this chapter, they should both be willing to pay the same price for General Motors’ stock. True or false? Explain.
A bond that pays interest forever and has no maturity date is a perpetual bond. In what respect is a perpetual bond similar to a no-growth common stock, and to a share of preferred stock?

**PROBLEMS**

5-1  
**DPS Calculation**  
Warr Corporation just paid a dividend of $1.50 a share (i.e., \(D_0 = 1.50\)). The dividend is expected to grow 5 percent a year for the next 3 years, and then 10 percent a year thereafter. What is the expected dividend per share for each of the next 5 years?

5-2  
**Constant Growth Valuation**  
Thomas Brothers is expected to pay a $0.50 per share dividend at the end of the year (i.e., \(D_1 = 0.50\)). The dividend is expected to grow at a constant rate of 7 percent a year. The required rate of return on the stock, \(r_s\), is 15 percent. What is the value per share of the company’s stock?

5-3  
**Constant Growth Valuation**  
Harrison Clothiers’ stock currently sells for $20 a share. The stock just paid a dividend of $1.00 a share (i.e., \(D_0 = 1.00\)). The dividend is expected to grow at a constant rate of 10 percent a year. What stock price is expected 1 year from now? What is the required rate of return on the company’s stock?

5-4  
**Preferred Stock Valuation**  
Fee Founders has preferred stock outstanding which pays a dividend of $5 at the end of each year. The preferred stock sells for $60 a share. What is the preferred stock’s required rate of return?

5-5  
**Supernormal Growth Valuation**  
A company currently pays a dividend of $2 per share, \(D_0 = 2\). It is estimated that the company’s dividend will grow at a rate of 20 percent per year for the next 2 years, then the dividend will grow at a constant rate of 7 percent thereafter. The company’s stock has a beta equal to 1.2, the risk-free rate is 7.5 percent, and the market risk premium is 4 percent. What would you estimate is the stock’s current price?

5-6  
**Constant Growth Rate, \(g\)**  
A stock is trading at $80 per share. The stock is expected to have a year-end dividend of $4 per share (\(D_1 = 4\)), which is expected to grow at some constant rate \(g\) throughout time. The stock’s required rate of return is 14 percent. If you are an analyst who believes in efficient markets, what is your forecast of \(g\)?

5-7  
**Constant Growth Valuation**  
You are considering an investment in the common stock of Keller Corp. The stock is expected to pay a dividend of $2 a share at the end of the year (\(D_1 = 2.00\)). The stock has a beta equal to 0.9. The risk-free rate is 5.6 percent, and the market risk premium is 6 percent. The stock’s dividend is expected to grow at some constant rate \(g\). The stock currently sells for $25 a share. Assuming the market is in equilibrium, what does the market believe will be the stock price at the end of 3 years? (That is, what is \(P_3\)?)

5-8  
**Preferred Stock Rate of Return**  
What will be the nominal rate of return on a preferred stock with a $100 par value, a stated dividend of 8 percent of par, and a current market price of (a) $60, (b) $80, (c) $100, and (d) $140?

5-9  
**Declining Growth Stock Valuation**  
Martell Mining Company’s ore reserves are being depleted, so its sales are falling. Also, its pit is getting deeper each year, so its costs are rising. As a result, the company’s earnings and dividends are declining at the constant rate of 5 percent per year. If \(D_0 = 5\) and \(r_s = 15\%\), what is the value of Martell Mining’s stock?
The beta coefficient for Stock C is \( b_C = 0.4 \), whereas that for Stock D is \( b_D = -0.5 \). (Stock D’s beta is negative, indicating that its rate of return rises whenever returns on most other stocks fall. There are very few negative beta stocks, although collection agency stocks are sometimes cited as an example.)

a. If the risk-free rate is 9 percent and the expected rate of return on an average stock is 13 percent, what are the required rates of return on Stocks C and D?

b. For Stock C, suppose the current price, \( P_0 \), is $25; the next expected dividend, \( D_1 \), is $1.50; and the stock’s expected constant growth rate is 4 percent. Is the stock in equilibrium? Explain, and describe what will happen if the stock is not in equilibrium.

Assume that the average firm in your company’s industry is expected to grow at a constant rate of 6 percent and its dividend yield is 7 percent. Your company is about as risky as the average firm in the industry, but it has just successfully completed some R&D work that leads you to expect that its earnings and dividends will grow at a rate of 50 percent \( [D_1 = D_0(1 + g) = D_0(1.50)] \) this year and 25 percent the following year, after which growth should match the 6 percent industry average rate. The last dividend paid \( (D_0) \) was $1. What is the value per share of your firm’s stock?

Microtech Corporation is expanding rapidly, and it currently needs to retain all of its earnings; hence it does not pay any dividends. However, investors expect Microtech to begin paying dividends, with the first dividend of $1.00 coming 3 years from today. The dividend should grow rapidly—at a rate of 50 percent per year—during Years 4 and 5. After Year 5, the company should grow at a constant rate of 8 percent per year. If the required return on the stock is 15 percent, what is the value per share of the stock today?

Ezzell Corporation issued preferred stock with a stated dividend of 10 percent of par. Preferred stock of this type currently yields 8 percent, and the par value is $100. Assume dividends are paid annually.

a. What is the value of Ezzell’s preferred stock?

b. Suppose interest rate levels rise to the point where the preferred stock now yields 12 percent. What would be the value of Ezzell’s preferred stock?

Your broker offers to sell you some shares of Bahnsen & Co. common stock that paid a dividend of $2 yesterday. You expect the dividend to grow at the rate of 5 percent per year for the next 3 years, and, if you buy the stock, you plan to hold it for 3 years and then sell it.

a. Find the expected dividend for each of the next 3 years; that is, calculate \( D_1 \), \( D_2 \), and \( D_3 \). Note that \( D_0 = $2 \).

b. Given that the appropriate discount rate is 12 percent and that the first of these dividend payments will occur 1 year from now, find the present value of the dividend stream; that is, calculate the PV of \( D_1 \), \( D_2 \), and \( D_3 \), and then sum these PVs.

c. You expect the price of the stock 3 years from now to be $34.73; that is, you expect \( P_3 \) to equal $34.73. Discounted at a 12 percent rate, what is the present value of this expected future stock price? In other words, calculate the PV of $34.73.

d. If you plan to buy the stock, hold it for 3 years, and then sell it for $34.73, what is the most you should pay for it?
5-15 Return on Common Stock

You buy a share of The Ludwig Corporation stock for $21.40. You expect it to pay dividends of $1.07, $1.1449, and $1.2250 in Years 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and you expect to sell it at a price of $26.22 at the end of 3 years.

a. Calculate the growth rate in dividends.
b. Calculate the expected dividend yield.
c. Assuming that the calculated growth rate is expected to continue, you can add the dividend yield to the expected growth rate to get the expected total rate of return. What is this stock’s expected total rate of return?

e. Use Equation 5-2 to calculate the present value of this stock. Assume that $g = 5\%$, and it is constant.
f. Is the value of this stock dependent upon how long you plan to hold it? In other words, if your planned holding period were 2 years or 5 years rather than 3 years, would this affect the value of the stock today, $P_0$?

5-16 Constant Growth Stock Valuation

Investors require a 15 percent rate of return on Levine Company’s stock ($r_s = 15\%$).

a. What will be Levine’s stock value if the previous dividend was $D_0 = $2 and if investors expect dividends to grow at a constant compound annual rate of (1) –5 percent, (2) 0 percent, (3) 5 percent, and (4) 10 percent?
b. Using data from part a, what is the Gordon (constant growth) model value for Levine’s stock if the required rate of return is 15 percent and the expected growth rate is (1) 15 percent or (2) 20 percent? Are these reasonable results? Explain.
c. Is it reasonable to expect that a constant growth stock would have $g > r_s$?

5-17 Supernormal Growth Stock Valuation

Wayne-Martin Electric Inc. (WME) has just developed a solar panel capable of generating 200 percent more electricity than any solar panel currently on the market. As a result, WME is expected to experience a 15 percent annual growth rate for the next 5 years. By the end of 5 years, other firms will have developed comparable technology, and WME’s growth rate will slow to 5 percent per year indefinitely. Stockholders require a return of 12 percent on WME’s stock. The most recent annual dividend ($D_0$), which was paid yesterday, was $1.75 per share.

a. Calculate WME’s expected dividends for $t = 1, t = 2, t = 3, t = 4$, and $t = 5$.
b. Calculate the value of the stock today, $P_0$. Proceed by finding the present value of the dividends expected at $t = 1, t = 2, t = 3, t = 4$, and $t = 5$ plus the present value of the stock price which should exist at $t = 5, P_5$. The $P_5$ stock price can be found by using the constant growth equation. Notice that to find $P_5$, you use the dividend expected at $t = 6$, which is 5 percent greater than the $t = 5$ dividend.
c. Calculate the expected dividend yield, $D_1/P_0$, the capital gains yield expected during the first year, and the expected total return (dividend yield plus capital gains yield) during the first year. (Assume that $P_0 = P_{05}$, and recognize that the capital gains yield is equal to the total return minus the dividend yield.) Also calculate these same three yields for $t = 5$ (e.g., $D_6/P_5$).

5-18 Supernormal Growth Stock Valuation

Taussig Technologies Corporation (TTC) has been growing at a rate of 20 percent per year in recent years. This same growth rate is expected to last for another 2 years.

a. If $D_0 = $1.60, $r_s = 10\%$, and $g_n = 6\%$, what is TTC’s stock worth today? What are its expected dividend yield and capital gains yield at this time?
b. Now assume that TTC’s period of supernormal growth is to last another 5 years rather than 2 years. How would this affect its price, dividend yield, and capital gains yield? Answer in words only.

c. What will be TTC’s dividend yield and capital gains yield once its period of supernormal growth ends? (Hint: These values will be the same regardless of whether you examine the case of 2 or 5 years of supernormal growth; the calculations are very easy.)

d. Of what interest to investors is the changing relationship between dividend yield and capital gains yield over time?

The risk-free rate of return, \( r_{RF} \), is 11 percent; the required rate of return on the market, \( r_M \), 14 percent; and Upton Company’s stock has a beta coefficient of 1.5.

a. If the dividend expected during the coming year, \( D_1 \), is $2.25, and if \( g = 5\% \), at what price should Upton’s stock sell?

b. Now, suppose the Federal Reserve Board increases the money supply, causing the risk-free rate to drop to 9 percent and \( r_M \) to fall to 12 percent. What would this do to the price of the stock?

c. In addition to the change in part b, suppose investors’ risk aversion declines; this fact, combined with the decline in \( r_{RF} \), causes \( r_M \) to fall to 11 percent. At what price would Upton’s stock sell?

d. Now, suppose Upton has a change in management. The new group institutes policies that increase the expected constant growth rate to 6 percent. Also, the new management stabilizes sales and profits, and thus causes the beta coefficient to decline from 1.5 to 1.3. Assume that \( r_{RF} \) and \( r_M \) are equal to the values in part c. After all these changes, what is Upton’s new equilibrium price? (Note: \( D_1 \) goes to $2.27.)

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

5-20 Build a Model: Supernormal Growth and Corporate Valuation

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch05 P20 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 5-18, parts a, b, and c, using a spreadsheet model. For part b, calculate the price, dividend yield, and capital gains yield as called for in the problem.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Sam Strother and Shawna Tibbs are senior vice presidents of Mutual of Seattle. They are co-directors of the company's pension fund management division, with Strother having responsibility for fixed income securities (primarily bonds) and Tibbs responsible for equity investments. A major new client, the Northwestern Municipal Alliance, has requested that Mutual of Seattle present an investment seminar to the mayors of the represented cities, and Strother and Tibbs, who will make the actual presentation, have asked you to help them.

To illustrate the common stock valuation process, Strother and Tibbs have asked you to analyze the Temp Force Company, an employment agency that supplies word processor operators and computer programmers to businesses with temporarily heavy workloads. You are to answer the following questions.

a. Describe briefly the legal rights and privileges of common stockholders.

b. (1) Write out a formula that can be used to value any stock, regardless of its dividend pattern.
(2) What is a constant growth stock? How are constant growth stocks valued?
(3) What happens if a company has a constant g that exceeds its r_s? Will many stocks have expected g > r_s in the short run (i.e., for the next few years)? In the long run (i.e., forever)?

c. Assume that Temp Force has a beta coefficient of 1.2, that the risk-free rate (the yield on T-bonds) is 7.0 percent, and that the market risk premium is 5 percent. What is the required rate of return on the firm's stock?

d. Assume that Temp Force is a constant growth company whose last dividend (D_0, which was paid yesterday) was $2.00 and whose dividend is expected to grow indefinitely at a 6 percent rate.
(1) What is the firm's expected dividend stream over the next 3 years?
(2) What is the firm's current stock price?
(3) What is the stock's expected value 1 year from now?
(4) What are the expected dividend yield, the capital gains yield, and the total return during the first year?

e. Now assume that the stock is currently selling at $30.29. What is the expected rate of return on the stock?

f. What would the stock price be if its dividends were expected to have zero growth?

g. Now assume that Temp Force is expected to experience supernormal growth of 30 percent for the next 3 years, then to return to its long-run constant growth rate of 6 percent. What is the stock's value under these conditions? What is its expected dividend yield and capital gains yield in Year 1? In Year 4?

h. Is the stock price based more on long-term or short-term expectations? Answer this by finding the percentage of Temp Force's current stock price based on dividends expected more than 3 years in the future.

i. Suppose Temp Force is expected to experience zero growth during the first 3 years and then to resume its steady-state growth of 6 percent in the fourth year. What is the stock's value now? What is its expected dividend yield and its capital gains yield in Year 1? In Year 4?

j. Finally, assume that Temp Force's earnings and dividends are expected to decline by a constant 6 percent per year, that is, g = -6%. Why would anyone be willing to buy such a stock, and at what price should it sell? What would be the dividend yield and capital gains yield in each year?

k. What is market multiple analysis?

l. Why do stock prices change? Suppose the expected D_1 is $2, the growth rate is 5 percent, and r_s is 10 percent. Using the constant growth model, what is the price? What is the impact on stock price if g is 4 percent or 6 percent? If r_s is 9 percent or 11 percent?

m. What does market equilibrium mean?

n. If equilibrium does not exist, how will it be established?

o. What is the Efficient Markets Hypothesis, what are its three forms, and what are its implications?

p. Temp Force recently issued preferred stock. It pays an annual dividend of $5, and the issue price was $50 per share. What is the expected return to an investor on this preferred stock?
Many investment textbooks cover stock valuation models in depth, and some are listed in the Chapter 2 references.

For some works on valuation, see


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 4, “Powerline Network Corporation (Stocks).”
Every manager should understand the basic principles of option pricing. First, many projects allow managerial intervention as market conditions change. These “embedded options” often mean the difference between a successful project and a failure. Understanding basic financial options helps to manage the value inherent in these real options. Second, many companies use derivatives to manage risk, and understanding financial options is necessary before tackling derivatives. Third, option pricing theory provides insights into the optimal debt/equity choice, especially when convertible securities are involved. Finally, understanding financial options will help you with any employee stock options that you receive.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. How is the value of a financial option affected by (a) the current price of the underlying asset, (b) the exercise (or strike) price, (c) the risk-free rate, (d) the time until expiration (or maturity), and (e) the variance of returns on the asset?

2. Should options given as part of compensation packages be reported on the income statement as an expense? What are some pros and cons relating to this issue?

3. The rationale behind granting stock options is to induce employees to work harder and be more productive. As the stock price increases (presumably due to their hard work), the employees share in this added wealth. Another way to share this wealth would be to grant shares of stock, rather than options. What are the advantages and disadvantages of using stock options rather than shares of stock as employee incentives?

4. The stockholders’ claim in a levered firm can be viewed as a call option; stockholders have the option to purchase the firm’s assets by paying off its debt. What incentives does this provide to stockholders and managers in choosing investment projects?

FINANCIAL OPTIONS

An option is a contract that gives its holder the right to buy (or sell) an asset at some predetermined price within a specified period of time. The following sections explain the different features that affect an option’s value.

Option Types and Markets

There are many types of options and option markets. To illustrate how options work, suppose you owned 100 shares of General Computer Corporation (GCC), which on Friday, January 9, 2006, sold for $53.50 per share. You could sell to someone the right to buy your 100 shares at any time until May 14, 2006, at a price of, say, $55 per share. This is called an American option, because it can be exercised any time before it expires. By contrast, a European option can only be exercised on its expiration date. The $55 is called the strike, or exercise, price. The last day that the option can be exercised is called the expiration date. Such options exist, and they are traded on a number of exchanges, with the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) being the oldest and the largest. This type of

---

1For an in-depth treatment of options, see Don M. Chance, An Introduction to Derivatives and Risk Management (Mason, OH: Thomson/South-Western, 2004).
An option is defined as a **call option**, because the buyer has a “call” on 100 shares of stock. The seller of an option is called the option **writer**. An investor who “writes” call options against stock held in his or her portfolio is said to be selling **covered options**. Options sold without the stock to back them up are called **naked options**. When the exercise price exceeds the current stock price, a call option is said to be **out-of-the-money**. When the exercise price is less than the current price of the stock, the option is **in-the-money**.

You can also buy an option that gives you the right to **sell** a stock at a specified price within some future period—this is called a **put option**. For example, suppose you think GCC’s stock price is likely to decline from its current level of $53.50 sometime during the next four months. A put option will give you the right to sell at a fixed price even after the market price declines. You could then buy at the new lower market price, sell at the higher fixed price, and earn a profit. Table 6-1 provides data on GCC’s options. You could buy the four-month May put option for $218.75 ($23/16 × 100). That would give you the right to sell 100 shares (that you would not necessarily own) at a price of $50 per share ($50 is the strike price). Suppose you bought this 100-share contract for $218.75 and then GCC’s stock fell to $45. You could buy the stock on the open market at $45 and exercise your put option by selling the stock at $50. Your profit from exercising the option would be ($50 − $45)(100) = $500. After subtracting the $218.75 you paid for the option, your profit (before taxes and commissions) would be $281.25.

Table 6-1 contains an extract from the Listed Options Quotations Table as it would appear the next day in a daily newspaper. Sport World’s February $55 call option sold for $0.50. Thus, for $0.50(100) = $50 you could buy options that would give you the right to buy 100 shares of Sport World stock at a price of $55 per share from January until February, or during the next month. If the stock price stayed below $55 during that period, you would lose your $50, but if it rose

### Table 6-1 January 9, 2006, Listed Options Quotations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closing Price</th>
<th>Strike Price</th>
<th>CALLS—LAST QUOTE</th>
<th>PUTS—LAST QUOTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>February</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Computer Corporation (GCC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 1/2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>4 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 1/2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1 9/16</td>
<td>2 1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 1/2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Medical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 5/8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>5 1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sport World</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 1/8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1 1/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: r means not traded on January 9.*

2Actually, the expiration date is the Friday before the third Saturday of the exercise month. Also, note that option contracts are generally written in 100-share multiples.
to $65, your $50 investment would increase in value to $(65 - $55)(100) = $1,000 in less than 30 days. That translates into a very healthy annualized rate of return. Incidentally, if the stock price did go up, you would not actually exercise your options and buy the stock—rather, you would sell the options, which would then have a value of at least $1,000 versus the $50 you paid, to another option buyer or to the original seller.

In addition to options on individual stocks, options are also available on several stock indexes such as the NYSE Index and the S&P 100 Index. Index options permit one to hedge (or bet) on a rise or fall in the general market as well as on individual stocks.

Option trading is one of the hottest financial activities in the United States. The leverage involved makes it possible for speculators with just a few dollars to make a fortune almost overnight. Also, investors with sizable portfolios can sell options against their stocks and earn the value of the option (less brokerage commissions), even if the stock’s price remains constant. Most importantly, though, options can be used to create hedges that protect the value of an individual stock or portfolio.3

Conventional options are generally written for six months or less, but a type of option called a Long-term Equity AnticiPation Security (LEAPS) is different. Like conventional options, LEAPS are listed on exchanges and are available on both individual stocks and stock indexes. The major difference is that LEAPS are long-term options, having maturities of up to 2½ years. One-year LEAPS cost about twice as much as the matching three-month option, but because of their much longer time to expiration, LEAPS provide buyers with more potential for gains and offer better long-term protection for a portfolio.

Corporations on whose stocks options are written have nothing to do with the option market. Corporations do not raise money in the option market, nor do they have any direct transactions in it. Moreover, option holders do not vote for corporate directors or receive dividends. There have been studies by the SEC and others as to whether option trading stabilizes or destabilizes the stock market, and whether this activity helps or hinders corporations seeking to raise new capital. The studies have not been conclusive, but option trading is here to stay, and many regard it as the most exciting game in town.

Factors That Affect the Value of a Call Option

Table 6-1 can provide some insights into call option valuation. First, we see that at least three factors affect a call option’s value:

1. Market price versus strike price. The higher the stock’s market price in relation to the strike price, the higher will be the call option price. Thus, Sport World’s $55 February call option sells for $0.50, whereas U.S. Medical’s $55 February option sells for $4.25. This difference arises because U.S. Medical’s current stock price is $56 5/8 versus only $53 1/8 for Sport World.

2. Level of strike price. The higher the strike price, the lower the call option price. Thus, all of GCC’s call options, regardless of exercise month, decline as the strike price increases.

3Insiders who trade illegally generally buy options rather than stock because the leverage inherent in options increases the profit potential. Note, though, that it is illegal to use insider information for personal gain, and an insider using such information would be taking advantage of the option seller. Insider trading, in addition to being unfair and essentially equivalent to stealing, hurts the economy: Investors lose confidence in the capital markets and raise their required returns because of an increased element of risk, and this raises the cost of capital and thus reduces the level of real investment.
3. **Length of option.** The longer the option period, the higher the option price. This occurs because the longer the time before expiration, the greater the chance that the stock price will climb substantially above the exercise price. Thus, option prices increase as the expiration date is lengthened.

Other factors that affect option values, especially the volatility of the underlying stock, are discussed in later sections.

### Exercise Value versus Option Price

How is the actual price of a call option determined in the market? In a later section, we present a widely used model (the Black-Scholes model) for pricing call options, but first it is useful to establish some basic concepts. To begin, we define a call option’s **exercise value** as follows:

\[
\text{Exercise value} = \text{MAX} \left[ \text{Current price of the stock} - \text{Strike price}, 0 \right]
\]

The exercise value is what the option would be worth if it expired immediately. For example, if a stock sells for $50 and its option has a strike price of $20, then you could buy the stock for $20 by exercising the option. You would own a stock worth $50, but you would have to pay only $20. Therefore, the option would be worth $30 if you had to exercise it immediately. The minimum exercise value is zero, because no one would exercise an out-of-the-money option.

Figure 6-1 presents some data on Space Technology Inc. (STI), a company that recently went public and whose stock price has fluctuated widely during its short history. The third column in the tabular data shows the exercise values for STI’s call option when the stock was selling at different prices; the fourth column gives the actual market prices for the option; and the fifth column shows the time value, which is the excess of the actual option price over its exercise value.

First, notice that the market value of the option is zero when the stock price is zero. This is because a stock price falls to zero only when there is no possibility that the company would ever generate any future cash flows; in other words, the company must be out of business. In such a situation, an option would be worthless.

Second, notice that the market price of the option is always greater than or equal to the exercise value. If the option price ever fell below the exercise value, then you could buy the option and immediately exercise it, reaping a riskless profit. Because everyone would try to do this, the price of the option would be driven up until it was at least as high as the exercise value.

Third, notice that the market value of the option is greater than zero even when the option is out-of-the-money. For example, the option price is $2 when the stock price is only $10. Depending on the remaining time until expiration and the stock’s volatility, there is a chance that the stock price will rise above $20, so the option has value even if it is out-of-the-money.

Fourth, Figure 6-1 shows the value of the option steadily increasing as the stock price increases. This shouldn’t be surprising, since the option’s expected pay-off increases along with the stock price. But notice that as the stock price rises, the option price and exercise value begin to converge, causing the time value to get

---

4MAX means choose the maximum. For example, \(\text{MAX}[15, 0] = 15\), and \(\text{MAX}[-10, 0] = 0\).

5Among traders an option’s market price is also called its “premium.” This is particularly confusing since for all other securities the term *premium* means the excess of the market price over some base price. To avoid confusion, we will not use the term *premium* to refer to the option price. Also, the difference between an option’s market price and its exercise value is called its “time value” because this represents the extra amount over the option’s immediate value a purchaser will pay for the chance the stock price will appreciate over time.
smaller and smaller. This happens because there is virtually no chance that the stock will be out-of-the-money at expiration if the stock price is presently very high. Thus, owning the option is like owning the stock, less the exercise price. Although we don’t show it in Figure 6-1, the market price of the option also converges to the exercise value if the option is about to expire. With expiration close, there isn’t much time for the stock price to change, so the option’s time value would be close to zero for all stock prices.

Fifth, an option has more leverage than the stock. For example, if you buy STT’s stock at $20 and it goes up to $30, you would have a 50 percent rate of return. But if you bought the option instead, its price would go from $8 to $16 versus the stock price increase from $20 to $30. Thus, there is a 100 percent

### Figure 6-1  
Space Technology Inc.: Option Price and Exercise Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price of Stock (1)</th>
<th>Strike Price (2)</th>
<th>Exercise Value of Option (\text{MAX}(1) - (2), 0) = (3))</th>
<th>Market Price of Option (4)</th>
<th>Time Value ((4) - (3) = (5))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>54.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
return on the option versus a 50 percent return on the stock. Of course, leverage is a double-edged sword: If the stock price falls to $10, then you would have a 50 percent loss on the stock, but the option price would fall to $2, leaving you with a 75 percent loss. In other words, the option magnifies the returns on the stock, for good or ill.

Sixth, options typically have considerable upside potential but limited downside risk. To see this, suppose you buy the option for $8 when the stock price is $20. If the stock price is $28 when the option expires, your net gain would be $0: you gain $28 − $20 = $8 when you exercise the option, but your original investment was $8. Now suppose the stock price is either $30 or $20 at expiration. If it’s $30, your net gain is $10 − $8 = $2. If it’s $20, the stock is out-of-the-money, and your net loss is the $8 cost of your investment. Now suppose the stock price is either $50 or $5. If it’s $50, your net gain is $30 − $8 = $22; if $5, your net loss is still your $8 initial investment. As this example shows, the payoffs from the option aren’t symmetric. The most you can lose is $8, and this happens whether the stock price at expiration is $20, $10, or even $1. On the other hand, every dollar of stock price above $20 yields an extra dollar of payoff from the option, and every dollar above $28 is a dollar of net profit.

In addition to the stock price and the exercise price, the price of an option depends on three other factors: (1) the option’s term to maturity, (2) the variability of the stock price, and (3) the risk-free rate. We will explain precisely how these factors affect call option prices later, but for now, note these points:

1. The longer a call option has to run, the greater its value and the larger its time value. If an option expires at 4 p.m. today, there is not much chance that the stock price will go up very much, so the option will sell at close to its exercise value and its time value will be small. On the other hand, if the expiration date is a year away, the stock price could rise sharply, pulling the option’s value up with it.

2. An option on an extremely volatile stock is worth more than one on a very stable stock. If the stock price rarely moves, then there is little chance of a large gain on the stock; hence the option will not be worth much. However, if the stock is highly volatile, the option could easily become very valuable. At the same time, losses on options are limited—you can make an unlimited amount, but you can lose only what you paid for the option. Therefore, a large decline in a stock’s price does not have a corresponding bad effect on option holders. As a result of the unlimited upside but limited downside potential, the more volatile a stock, the higher the value of its options.

3. Options will be exercised in the future, and part of a call option’s value depends on the present value of the cost to exercise it. If interest rates are high, then the present value of the cost to exercise is low, which increases the option’s value.

Because of Points 1 and 2, a graph such as Figure 6-1 would show that the longer an option’s life, the higher its market price line would be above the exercise value line. Similarly, the more volatile the price of the underlying stock, the higher would be the market price line. We will see precisely how these factors, and also the risk-free rate, affect option values when we discuss the Black-Scholes model.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is an option? A call option? A put option?
Define a call option’s exercise value. Why is the actual market price of a call option usually above its exercise value?
What are some factors that affect a call option’s value?
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When granted to executives and other employees, options are a “hybrid” form of compensation. At some companies, especially small ones, option grants may be a substitute for cash wages—employees are willing to take lower cash salaries if they also have options. Options also provide an incentive for employees to work harder. Whether issued to motivate employees or to conserve cash, options clearly have value at the time they are granted, and they transfer wealth from existing shareholders to employees to the extent that they do not reduce cash expenditures or increase employee productivity sufficiently to offset their value at the time of issue.

Companies like the fact that an option grant requires no immediate cash expenditure, although it might dilute shareholder wealth if it is later exercised. Employees, and especially CEOs, like the potential wealth that they receive when they are granted options. When option grants were relatively small, they didn’t show up on investors’ radar screens. However, as the high-tech sector began making mega-grants in the 1990s, and as other industries followed suit in the heavy use of options, stockholders began to realize that large grants were making some CEOs filthy rich at the stockholders’ expense.

Before 2005, option grants were not very visible in companies’ financial reports. Even though such grants are clearly a wealth transfer to employees, companies were only required to footnote the grants and could ignore them when reporting their income statements and balance sheets before 2005. As we write this in early 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board has published Statement 123R that requires companies to show option grants as an expense on the income statement. To do this, the value of the grant is estimated at the time of the grant and then expensed during the vesting period. For example, if the initial value is $100 million and the vesting period is two years, the company would report a $50 million expense for each of the next two years. This approach isn’t perfect because it isn’t a cash expense, and it does not take into account changes in the option’s value after it is initially granted. However, it does make the option grant more visible to investors, which is a good thing.

INTRODUCTION TO OPTION PRICING MODELS: THE BINOMIAL APPROACH

All option pricing models are based on the concept of a riskless hedge. The purpose of such a hedge isn’t to create a riskless security—you can buy Treasury securities for that—but, instead, to determine how much an option is worth. To see how this works, suppose a hypothetical investor, we’ll call her the hedger, buys some shares of stock and simultaneously writes a call option on the stock. As a result of writing the call option, our hedger (1) receives a payment from the call option’s purchaser and (2) assumes an obligation to satisfy the purchaser if he or she chooses to exercise the option. Let’s focus only on the hedger’s portfolio, which contains stock and the obligation to satisfy the option’s purchaser (we’ll solve for the amount the hedger receives for selling the option in just a bit). If the stock price goes up, the hedger will earn a profit on the stock. However, the
option holder will then exercise the option, our hedger will have to sell a share of stock to the option holder at the exercise price (which is below the market price), and that will reduce our hedger’s profit on the stock’s gain. Conversely, if the stock goes down, our hedger will lose on her stock investment, but she won’t lose as much in satisfying the option: If the stock goes down a lot, the option holder won’t exercise the option, and the hedger will owe nothing; if the stock goes down a little, then the hedger might still have to sell a share at a below-market price to satisfy the option holder, but the market price will be closer to the exercise price, so the hedger will lose less. As we will soon show, it is possible to create the portfolio such that the hedger will end up with a riskless position—the value of the portfolio will be the same regardless of what the stock does.

If the portfolio is riskless, then its return must be equal to the riskless rate in order to keep the market in equilibrium. If the portfolio offered a higher rate of return than the riskless rate, arbitrageurs would buy the portfolio and in the process push the price up and the rate of return down, and vice versa if it offered less than the riskless rate. Given the price of the stock, its volatility, the option’s exercise price, the life of the option, and the risk-free rate, there is a single option price that satisfies the equilibrium condition, namely, that the portfolio will earn the riskless rate.

The following example applies the binomial approach, so named because we assume the stock price can take on only one of two possible values at the end of each period. The stock of Western Cellular, a manufacturer of cell phones, sells for $40 per share. Options exist that permit the holder to buy one share of Western at an exercise price of $35. These options will expire at the end of one year. The steps to the binomial approach are shown below.

**Step 1. Define the possible ending prices of the stock.** Let’s assume that Western’s stock will be selling at one of two prices at the end of the year, either $50 or $32. If there is a 70 percent chance of the $50 price, then Western’s expected price is $0.7($50) + $0.3($32) = $44.6. Because the current stock price is $40, Western has an 11.5 percent expected return: ($44.6 - $40)/$40 = 0.115 = 11.5%. If Western were a riskier stock, then we would have assumed different ending prices that had a wider range and possibly a higher expected return. See the Web Extension to this chapter for a more detailed explanation of the relationship between the stock’s risk and the possible ending stock prices. Figure 6-2 illustrates the stock’s possible price paths, and contains additional information that is explained below.

**Step 2. Find the range of values at expiration.** When the option expires at the end of the year, Western’s stock will sell for either $50 or $32, a range of $50 - $32 = $18. As shown in Figure 6-2, the option will pay $15 if the stock is $50, because this is above the exercise price of $35: $50 - $35 = $15. The option will pay nothing if the stock price is $32, because this is below the exercise price. The range of option payoffs is $15 - $0 = $15. The hedger’s portfolio consists of the stock and the obligation to satisfy the option holder, so the value of the portfolio in one year is the stock price minus the option payoff.

**Step 3. Buy exactly enough stock to equalize the range of payoffs for the stock and the option.** Figure 6-2 shows that the range of payoffs for the stock is $18 and the range for the option is $15. To construct the riskless portfolio, we need to equalize these ranges so that the profits from the stock

---

6As we’ll soon show, we don’t have to specify the probability of the ending prices to calculate the option price.
exactly offset the losses in satisfying the option holder. We do so by buying $15/$18 share and selling one option (or 8,333 shares and 10,000 options). In this case, the current value of the stock in the portfolio is $40(0.8333) = $33.33. The value of the portfolio’s stock at the end of the year will be either $50(0.8333) = $41.67 or $32(0.8333) = $26.67. As shown in Figure 6-3, the range of the stock’s ending value is now $41.67 – $26.67 = $15.

**Step 4. Create a riskless hedged investment.** We created a riskless portfolio by buying 0.8333 share of the stock and selling one call option, as shown in Figure 6-3. The stock in the portfolio will have a value of either $41.67 or $26.67, depending on the ending price of Western’s stock. The call option that was sold will have no effect on the value of the portfolio if Western’s price falls to $32 because it will not be exercised—it will expire worthless. However, if the stock price ends at $50, the holder of the option will exercise it, paying the $35 exercise price for stock that would cost $50 on the open market. The option holder’s profit is the option writer’s loss, so the option will cost the hedger $15. Now note that the value of the portfolio is $26.67 regardless of whether Western’s stock goes up or down, so the portfolio is riskless. A hedge has been created that protects against both increases and decreases in the price of the stock.

**Step 5. Find the call option’s price.** To this point, we have not mentioned the price of the call option that was sold to create the riskless hedge. What is the *fair*, or *equilibrium*, price? The value of the portfolio will be $26.67 at the end of the year, regardless of what happens to the price of the stock. This $26.67 is riskless, and so the portfolio should earn the risk-free rate.

---

7Here is why equalizing ranges gives the correct number of shares of stock. Let $P_u$ be the stock price if it goes up, $P_d$ the stock price if it goes down, $C_u$ the call option payoff if the stock goes up, $C_d$ the call option payoff if the stock goes down, and $N$ the number of shares of stock. We want the portfolio value to be the same whether the stock is high or low. The portfolio value for a high stock price is $N(P_u) - C_u$ and the value for a low stock price is $N(P_d) - C_d$. Setting these equal and solving for $N$ yields $N = \frac{C_u - C_d}{P_u - P_d}$, which is the same as equalizing the ranges.
which is 8 percent. If the risk-free rate is compounded daily, the present value of the portfolio’s ending value is \(8\)

\[
PV = \frac{\$26.67}{\left(1 + \frac{0.08}{365}\right)^{365}} = \$24.62
\]

This means that the current value of the portfolio must be $24.62 to ensure that the portfolio earns the risk-free rate of return. The current value of the portfolio is equal to the value of the stock minus the value of the obligation to cover the call option. At the time the call option is sold, the obligation’s value is exactly equal to the price of the option. Because Western’s stock is currently selling for $40, and because the portfolio contains 0.8333 share, the value of the stock in the portfolio is 0.8333($40) = $33.33. What remains is the price of the option:

\[
PV \text{ of portfolio} = \text{Current value of stock in portfolio} - \text{Current option price}
\]

\[
\text{Current option price} = \text{Current value of stock in portfolio} - PV \text{ of portfolio}
\]

\[
= $33.33 - $24.62 = $8.71
\]

If this option sold at a price higher than $8.71, other investors could create riskless portfolios as described above and earn more than the riskless rate. Investors (especially the large investment banking firms) would create such portfolios and sell options until their price fell to $8.71, at which point the market would be in equilibrium. Conversely, if the options sold
for less than $8.71, investors would create an “opposite” portfolio by buying a call option and selling short the stock. The resulting supply shortage would drive the price up to $8.71. Thus, investors (or arbitrageurs) would buy and sell in the market until the options were priced at their equilibrium level.

Clearly, this example is unrealistic. Although you could duplicate the purchase of 0.8333 share by buying 8,333 shares and selling 10,000 options, the stock price assumptions are unrealistic; Western’s stock price could be almost anything after one year, not just $50 or $32. However, if we allowed the stock to move up or down more often during the year, then a more realistic range of ending prices would result. For example, suppose we allowed stock prices to change every six months, with Western’s stock price either going up to $46.84 or down to $34.16. If the price goes up in the first six months to $46.84, then suppose it either goes up to $54.84 or down to $40 by the end of the year. If the price fell to $34.16 during the first six months, then suppose it either goes up to $40 or down to $29.17 by the end of the year. This pattern of stock price movements is called a binomial lattice and is shown in Figure 6-4.

If we focus only on the upper right portion of the lattice shown inside the oval, it is very similar to the problem we just solved in Figures 6-2 and 6-3. We can apply the same solution procedure to find the value of the option at the end of six months, given a six-month stock price of $46.84. As explained in the Web Extension to this chapter, the value of the option at the end of six months is $13.21, given that the stock price goes up to $46.84; see IFM9 Ch06 Tool Kit.xls for all calculations. Applying the same approach to the lower right portion of the lattice, the Web Extension and Tool Kit show that the option value at the end of six months is $2.83, given a six-month stock price of $34.16. These values are shown in Figure 6-5. Using the values in Figure 6-5 and the same approach as before, we

---

9Suppose an investor (or speculator) does not own any IBM stock. If the investor anticipates a rise in the stock price and consequently buys IBM stock, he or she is said to have gone long in IBM. On the other hand, if the investor thinks IBM’s stock is likely to fall, he or she could go short, or sell IBM short. Because the short seller has no IBM stock, he or she would have to borrow the shares from a broker and sell the borrowed shares. If the stock price falls, the short seller could, later on, buy shares on the open market and pay back the ones borrowed from the broker. The short seller’s profit, before commissions and taxes, would be the difference between the price received from the short sale and the price paid later to purchase the replacement stock.
can calculate the current price, which is $8.60. Notice that by solving three binomial problems, we are able to find the current option price.

If we break the year into smaller periods and allow the stock price to move up or down more often, the lattice would have a more realistic range of possible outcomes. Of course, estimating the current option price would require solving lots of binomial problems within the lattice, but each problem is very simple, and computers can solve them rapidly. With more outcomes, the resulting estimated option price is more accurate. For example, if we divide the year into 10 periods, the estimated price is $8.38. With 100 periods, the price is $8.41. With 1,000, it is still $8.41, which shows that the solution converges to its final value with a relatively small number of steps. In fact, as we break the year into smaller and smaller periods, the solution for the binomial approach converges to the Black-Scholes solution, which is described in the next section.

The binomial approach is widely used to value options with more complicated payoffs than the call option in our example, such as employee stock options. This is beyond the scope of a financial management textbook, but if you are interested in learning more about the binomial approach, take a look at the textbooks listed in the end-of-chapter references.

Self-Test Questions

Describe how a risk-free portfolio can be created using stocks and options. How can such a portfolio be used to help estimate a call option’s value?

THE BLACK-SCHOLES OPTION PRICING MODEL (OPM)

The Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (OPM), developed in 1973, helped give rise to the rapid growth in options trading. This model, which has even been programmed into some handheld and Web-based calculators, is widely used by option traders.

OPM Assumptions and Equations

In deriving their option pricing model, Fischer Black and Myron Scholes made the following assumptions:

1. The stock underlying the call option provides no dividends or other distributions during the life of the option.
2. There are no transaction costs for buying or selling either the stock or the option.
3. The short-term, risk-free interest rate is known and is constant during the life of the option.
4. Any purchaser of a security may borrow any fraction of the purchase price at the short-term, risk-free interest rate.
5. Short selling is permitted, and the short seller will receive immediately the full cash proceeds of today’s price for a security sold short.
6. The call option can be exercised only on its expiration date.
7. Trading in all securities takes place continuously, and the stock price moves randomly.

The derivation of the Black-Scholes model rests on the concept of a riskless hedge such as the one we set up in the last section. By buying shares of a stock and simultaneously selling call options on that stock, an investor can create a risk-free investment position, where gains on the stock will exactly offset losses on the option. This riskless hedged position must earn a rate of return equal to the risk-free rate. Otherwise, an arbitrage opportunity will exist, and people trying to take advantage of this opportunity will drive the price of the option to the equilibrium level as specified by the Black-Scholes model.

The Black-Scholes model consists of the following three equations:

\[
V = P[N(d_1)] - Xe^{-rFt}[N(d_2)]
\]

\[
d_1 = \frac{\ln(P/X) + [r_{RF} + (\sigma^2/2)]t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}
\]

\[
d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{t}
\]

Here

\[V = \text{current value of the call option.}\]
\[P = \text{current price of the underlying stock.}\]
\[N(d_1) = \text{probability that a deviation less than } d_1 \text{ will occur in a standard normal distribution. Thus, } N(d_1) \text{ and } N(d_2) \text{ represent areas under a standard normal distribution function.}\]
\[X = \text{exercise, or strike, price of the option.}\]
\[e \approx 2.7183.\]
\[r_{RF} = \text{risk-free interest rate.}^{10}\]
\[t = \text{time until the option expires (the option period).}\]
\[\ln(P/X) = \text{natural logarithm of } P/X.\]
\[\sigma^2 = \text{variance of the rate of return on the stock.}\]

\[10\text{The risk-free rate should be expressed as a continuously compounded rate. If } r \text{ is a continuously compounded rate, then the effective annual yield is } e^r - 1.0. \text{An 8 percent continuously compounded rate of return yields } e^{0.08} - 1 = 8.33\%. \text{In all of the Black-Scholes option pricing model examples, we will assume that the rate is expressed as a continuously compounded rate.}\]
Note that the value of the option is a function of the variables we discussed earlier: (1) \( P \), the stock’s price; (2) \( t \), the option’s time to expiration; (3) \( X \), the strike price; (4) \( \sigma^2 \), the variance of the underlying stock; and (5) \( r_{RF} \), the risk-free rate. We do not derive the Black-Scholes model—the derivation involves some extremely complicated mathematics that go far beyond the scope of this text. However, it is not difficult to use the model. Under the assumptions set forth previously, if the option price is different from the one found by Equation 6-1, this would provide the opportunity for arbitrage profits, which would force the option price back to the value indicated by the model.\(^{11}\) As we noted earlier, the Black-Scholes model is widely used by traders, so actual option prices conform reasonably well to values derived from the model.

Loosely speaking, the first term of Equation 6-1, \( P[N(d_1)] \), can be thought of as the expected present value of the terminal stock price, given that \( P > X \) and the option will be exercised. The second term, \( X e^{-r_{RF}t}[N(d_2)] \), can be thought of as the present value of the exercise price, given that the option will be exercised. However, rather than try to figure out exactly what the equations mean, it is more productive to plug in some numbers to see how changes in the inputs affect the value of an option. The following example is also in the file IFM9 Ch06 Tool Kit.xls, on ThomsonNOW.

### OPM Illustration

The current stock price, \( P \), the exercise price, \( X \), and the time to maturity, \( t \), can all be obtained from a newspaper such as The Wall Street Journal. The risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \), is the yield on a Treasury bill with a maturity equal to the option expiration date. The annualized variance of stock returns, \( \sigma^2 \), can be estimated by multiplying the variance of the percentage change in daily stock prices for the past year [that is, the variance of \( (P_t - P_{t-1})/P_{t-1} \)] by 365 days.

Assume that the following information has been obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= $20. \\
X &= $20. \\
t &= 3 \text{ months or 0.25 year.} \\
r_{RF} &= 6.4\% = 0.064. \\
\sigma^2 &= 0.16. \text{ Note that if } \sigma^2 = 0.16, \text{ then } \sigma = \sqrt{0.16} = 0.4. 
\end{align*}
\]

Given this information, we can now use the OPM by solving Equations 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3. Since \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are required inputs for Equation 6-1, we solve Equations 6-2 and 6-3 first:

\[
d_1 = \frac{\ln(P/X) + [0.064 + (0.16/2)](0.25)}{0.40(0.50)}
\]
Note that \( N(d_1) = N(0.180) \) and \( N(d_2) = N(-0.020) \) represent areas under a standard normal distribution function. From the table in Appendix A, or from the Excel function NORMSDIST, we see that the value \( d_1 = 0.180 \) implies a probability of 0.0714, so \( N(d_1) = 0.5714 \). Since \( d_2 \) is negative, \( N(d_2) = 0.500 - 0.0080 = 0.4920 \). We can use those values to solve Equation 6-1:

\[
V = \$20[N(d_1)] - \$20e^{-(0.064)(0.25)}[N(d_2)] \\
= \$20[0.5714] - \$20(0.9841)[0.4920] \\
= \$20(0.5714) - \$19.68(0.4920) \\
= \$11.43 - \$9.69 = \$1.74
\]

Thus the value of the option, under the assumed conditions, is $1.74. Suppose the actual option price was $2.25. Arbitrageurs could simultaneously sell the option, buy the underlying stock, and earn a riskless profit. Such trading would occur until the price of the option was driven down to $1.74. The reverse would occur if the option sold for less than $1.74. Thus, investors would be unwilling to pay more than $1.74 for the option, and they could not buy it for less, so $1.74 is the equilibrium value of the option.

To see how the five OPM factors affect the value of the option, consider Table 6-2. Here the top row shows the base-case input values that were used above to illustrate the OPM and the resulting option value, \( V = \$1.74 \). In each of the subsequent rows, the boldfaced factor is increased, while the other four are held constant at their base-case levels. The resulting value of the call option is given in the last column. Now let’s consider the effects of the changes:

1. **Current stock price.** If the current stock price, \( P \), increases from $20 to $25, the option value increases from $1.74 to $5.57. Thus, the value of the option increases as the stock price increases, but by less than the stock price increase, $3.83 versus $5.00. Note, though, that the percentage increase in the option

### Table 6-2  Effects of OPM Factors on the Value of a Call Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>INPUT FACTORS</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( P )</td>
<td>( X )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base case</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ( P ) by $5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ( X ) by $5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ( t ) to 6 months</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ( r_{RF} ) to 9%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ( \sigma^2 ) to 0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
value, \((\$5.57 - \$1.74)/\$1.74 = 220\%\), far exceeds the percentage increase in the stock price, \((\$25 - \$20)/\$20 = 25\%\).

2. Exercise price. If the exercise price, \(X\), increases from \$20 to \$25, the value of the option declines. Again, the decrease in the option value is less than the exercise price increase, but the percentage change in the option value, \((0.34 - \$1.74)/\$1.74 = -78\%\), exceeds the percentage change in the exercise price, \((\$25 - \$20)/\$20 = 25\%\).

3. Option period. As the time to expiration increases from \(t = 3\) months (or 0.25 year) to \(t = 6\) months (or 0.50 year), the value of the option increases from \$1.74 to \$2.54. This occurs because the value of the option depends on the chances for an increase in the price of the underlying stock, and the longer the option has to go, the higher the stock price may climb. Thus, a six-month option is worth more than a three-month option.

4. Risk-free rate. As the risk-free rate increases from 6.4 to 9 percent, the value of the option increases slightly, from \$1.74 to \$1.81. Equations 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3 suggest that the principal effect of an increase in \(r_{RF}\) is to reduce the present value of the exercise price, \(Xe^{-rt}\), hence to increase the current value of the option.\(^{12}\) The risk-free rate also plays a role in determining the values of the normal distribution functions \(N(d_1)\) and \(N(d_2)\), but this effect is of secondary importance. Indeed, option prices in general are not very sensitive to interest rate changes, at least not to changes within the ranges normally encountered.

5. Variance. As the variance increases from the base case 0.16 to 0.25, the value of the option increases from \$1.74 to \$2.13. Therefore, the riskier the underlying security, the more valuable the option. This result is logical. First, if you bought an option to buy a stock that sells at its exercise price, and if \(\sigma^2 = 0\), then there would be a zero probability of the stock going up, hence a zero probability of making money on the option. On the other hand, if you bought an option on a high-variance stock, there would be a higher probability that the stock would go way up, hence that you would make a large profit on the option. Of course, a high-variance stock could go way down, but as an option holder, your losses would be limited to the price paid for the option—only the right-hand side of the stock’s probability distribution counts. Put another way, an increase in the price of the stock helps option holders more than a decrease hurts them, so the greater the variance, the greater is the value of the option. This makes options on risky stocks more valuable than those on safer, low-variance stocks.

Myron Scholes and Robert Merton were awarded the 1997 Nobel Prize in Economics, and Fischer Black would have been a co-recipient had he still been living. Their work provided analytical tools and methodologies that are widely used to solve many types of financial problems, not just option pricing. Indeed, the entire field of modern risk management is based primarily on their contributions. Although the Black-Scholes model was derived for a European option that can be exercised only on its maturity date, it also applies to American options that don’t pay any dividends prior to expiration. The textbooks listed in the end-of-chapter references show adjusted models for dividend-paying stocks.

\(^{12}\)At this point, you may be wondering why the first term in Equation 6-1, \(P[N(d_1)]\), is not discounted. In fact, it has been, because the current stock price, \(P\), already represents the present value of the expected stock price at expiration. In other words, \(P\) is a discounted value, and the discount rate used in the market to determine today’s stock price includes the risk-free rate. Thus, Equation 6-1 can be thought of as the present value of the end-of-period-option spread between the stock price and the strike price, adjusted for the probability that the stock price will be higher than the strike price.
If an employee stock option grant meets certain conditions, it is called a “tax-qualifying grant,” or sometimes an “Incentive Stock Option”; otherwise, it is a “nonqualifying grant.” For example, suppose you receive a grant of 1,000 options with an exercise price of $50. If the stock price goes to $110 and you exercise the options, you must pay $50(1,000) = $50,000 for stock that is worth $110,000, which is a sweet deal. But what is your tax liability? If you receive a nonqualifying grant, you are liable for ordinary income taxes on 1,000($110 - $50) = $60,000 when you exercise the option. If it is a tax-qualified grant, you owe no regular taxes when exercised. If you then wait at least a year and sell the stock, say, for $150, you would have a long-term capital gain of 1,000($150 - $50) = $100,000, which would be taxed at the lower capital gains rate.

Before you gloat over your newfound wealth, you had better consult your accountant. Your “profit” when you exercise the tax-qualified options isn’t taxable under the regular tax code, but it is under the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) code. With an AMT tax rate of up to 28 percent, you might owe as much as 0.28($110 - $50)(1,000) = $16,800. Here’s where people get into trouble. The AMT tax isn’t due until the following April, so you might think about waiting until then to sell some stock to pay your AMT tax (so that the sale will qualify as a long-term capital gain).

But what happens if the stock price falls to $5 by next April? You can sell your stock, which raises only $5(1,000) = $5,000 in cash. Without getting into the details, you have a long-term capital loss of 1,000($50 - $5) = $45,000, but IRS regulations limit your net capital loss in a single year to $3,000. In other words, the cash from the sale and the tax benefit from the capital loss aren’t nearly enough to cover the AMT tax. You may be able to reduce your taxes in future years because of the AMT tax you pay this year and the carry forward of the remaining long-term capital loss, but that doesn’t help right now. You lost $45,000 of your original $50,000 investment, you now have very little cash, and, adding insult to injury, the IRS will insist that you also pay the $16,800 AMT tax.

This is exactly what happened to many people who made paper fortunes in the dot-com boom only to see them evaporate in the ensuing bust. They were left with worthless stock but multi-million-dollar AMT tax obligations. In fact, many still have IRS liens garnishing their wages until they eventually pay their AMT tax. So if you receive stock options, we congratulate you. But unless you want to be the next poster child for poor financial planning, we advise you to settle your AMT tax when you incur it.

Self-Test Questions

What is the purpose of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model?
Explain what a “riskless hedge” is and how the riskless hedge concept is used in the Black-Scholes OPM.
Describe the effect of a change in each of the following factors on the value of a call option:
(1) Stock price.
(2) Exercise price.
(3) Option life.
(4) Risk-free rate.
(5) Stock price variance, that is, riskiness of stock.
THE VALUATION OF PUT OPTIONS

A put option gives its owner the right to sell a share of stock. If the stock pays no dividends and the option can only be exercised upon its maturity date, what is its value? Rather than reinventing the wheel, consider the payoffs for two portfolios, as shown in Table 6-3. The first portfolio consists of a put option and a share of stock; the second has a call option (with the same exercise price and expiration date as the call option) and some cash. The amount of cash is equal to the present value of the exercise cost, discounted at the continuously compounded risk-free rate, which is \(X e^{-rFt}\). At expiration, the value of this cash will equal the exercise cost, \(X\).

If the stock price, \(P\), is less than the exercise price, \(X\), when the option expires, then the value of the put option is \(X - P\). Therefore, the value of Portfolio 1, which contains the put and the stock, is equal to \(X - P + P\), or just \(X\). For Portfolio 2, the value of the call is zero (because the call option is out-of-the-money), and the value of the cash is \(X\), for a total value of \(X\). Notice that both portfolios have the same payoffs if the stock price is less than the exercise price.

What if the stock price is greater than the exercise price? In this case, the put is worth nothing, so the value of Portfolio 1 is equal to the stock price, \(P\). The call option is worth \(P - X\), and the cash is worth \(X\), so the value of Portfolio 2 is \(P\). Therefore, the values of the two portfolios are equal, whether the stock price is below or above the exercise price.

If the two portfolios have identical payoffs, they must have identical values. This is known as the **put-call parity relationship**:

\[
\text{Put option} + \text{Stock} = \text{Call option} + \text{PV of exercise price}
\]

If \(V\) is the Black-Scholes value of the call option, then the value of a put is\(^{13}\)

\[
\text{Put option} = V - P + X e^{-rFt}
\]

---

**Table 6-3 Portfolio Payoffs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STOCK PRICE AT EXPIRATION IF:</th>
<th>(P &lt; X)</th>
<th>(P \geq X)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Put</td>
<td>(X - P)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock</td>
<td>(P)</td>
<td>(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio 1:</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(P - X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio 2:</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(P)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{13}\)This model cannot be applied to an American put option or to a European option on a stock that pays a dividend prior to expiration. The textbooks listed in the end-of-chapter references show valuation approaches for these situations.
For example, consider a put option written on the stock discussed in the previous section. If the put option has the same exercise price and expiration date as the call, its price is

$$\text{Put option} = 1.74 - 20 + 20 e^{-0.064(0.25)}$$

$$= 1.74 - 20 + 19.68 = 1.42$$

Self-Test Question In words, what is put-call parity?

APPLICATIONS OF OPTION PRICING IN CORPORATE FINANCE

Option pricing is used in four major areas in corporate finance: (1) real options analysis for project evaluation and strategic decisions, (2) risk management, (3) capital structure decisions, and (4) compensation plans.

Real Options

Suppose a company has a one-year proprietary license to develop a software application for use in a new generation of wireless cellular telephones. Hiring programmers and marketing consultants to complete the project will cost $30 million. The good news is that if consumers love the new cell phones, there will be a tremendous demand for the new software. The bad news is that if sales of the new cell phones are low, the software project will be a disaster. Should the company spend the $30 million and develop the software?

Because the company has a license, it has the option of waiting for a year, at which time it might have a much better insight into market demand for the new cell phones. If demand is high in a year, then the company can spend the $30 million and develop the software. If demand is low, it can avoid losing the $30 million development cost by simply letting the license expire. Notice that the license is analogous to a call option: It gives the company the right to buy something (in this case, software for the new cell phones) at a fixed price ($30 million) at any time during the next year. The license gives the company a real option, because the underlying asset (the software) is a real asset and not a financial asset.

There are many other types of real options, including the option to increase capacity at a plant, to expand into new geographical regions, to introduce new products, to switch inputs (such as gas versus oil), to switch outputs (such as producing sedans versus SUVs), and to abandon a project. Many companies now evaluate real options with techniques similar to those described earlier in the chapter for pricing financial options. Real options are described in greater depth in Chapter 14.

Risk Management

Suppose a company plans to issue $400 million of bonds in six months to pay for a new plant now under construction. The plant will be profitable if interest rates remain at current levels, but if rates rise, it will be unprofitable. To hedge against rising rates, the company could purchase a put option on Treasury bonds. If interest rates go up, the company would “lose” because its bonds would carry a high interest rate, but it would have an offsetting gain on its put options. Conversely, if rates fall, the company would “win” when it issues its own low-rate bonds, but it
would lose on the put options. By purchasing puts, the company has hedged the risk it would otherwise face due to possible interest rate changes.

Another example of risk management is a firm that bids on a foreign contract. For example, suppose a winning bid means the firm will receive a payment of 12 million euros in nine months. At a current exchange rate of $1.04 per euro, the project would be profitable. But if the exchange rate falls to $0.80 per euro, the project would be a loser. To avoid exchange rate risk, the firm could take a short position in a forward contract, which would allow it to convert 12 million euros into dollars at a fixed rate of $1.00 per euro in nine months, which would still ensure a profitable project. This eliminates exchange rate risk if the firm wins the contract, but what if the firm loses the contract? It would still be obligated to sell 12 million euros at a price of $1.00 per euro, which could be a disaster. For example, if the exchange rate rises to $1.25 per euro, the firm would have to spend $15 million to purchase 12 million euros at a price of $1.25/€ and then sell the euros for $12 million = ($1.00/€)(€12 million), a loss of $3 million.

To eliminate this risk, the firm could also purchase a currency call option that allows it to buy 12 million euros at a fixed price of $1.00 per euro. If the company wins the bid, it will let the option expire, but it will use the forward contract to convert the euros at the forward contract’s rate of $1.00 per euro. If the firm loses the bid, then it will exercise the call option and purchase 12 million euros for $1.00 per euro. It will then use those proceeds to close out the forward contract. Thus, the company is able to lock in the future exchange rate if it wins the bid and avoid any net payments at all if it loses the bid. The total cost in either scenario is equal to the initial cost of the option. In other words, the cost of the option is like insurance that guarantees the exchange rate if the company wins the bid and guarantees no net obligations if it loses the bid.

Many other applications of risk management involve futures contracts and other complex derivatives rather than calls and puts. However, the principles used in pricing derivatives are similar to those used earlier in this chapter for pricing options. Thus, financial options and their valuation techniques play key roles in risk management. Derivatives and their use in risk management are discussed in greater depth in Chapter 24.

**Capital Structure Decisions**

Decisions regarding the mix of debt and equity used to finance operations are quite important. One interesting aspect of the capital structure decision is based on option pricing. For example, consider a firm with debt requiring a final principal payment of $60 million in one year. If the company’s value one year from now is $61 million, then it can pay off the debt and have $1 million left for stockholders. If the firm’s value is less than $60 million, then it might well file for bankruptcy and turn over its assets to the creditors, resulting in stockholders’ equity of zero. In other words, the value of the stockholders’ equity is analogous to a call option: The equity holders have the right to buy the assets for $60 million (which is the face value of the debt) in one year (when the debt matures).

Suppose the firm’s owner-managers are considering two projects. One has very little risk, and it will result in an asset value of either $59 million or $61 million. The other has high risk, and it will result in an asset value of either $20 million or $100 million. Notice that the equity will be worth zero if the assets are worth less than $60 million, so the stockholders will be hurt no more if the assets end up at $20 million than if they end up at $59 million. On the other hand, the stockholders
would benefit much more if the assets were worth $100 million rather than $61 million. Thus, the owner-managers have an incentive to choose risky projects, which is consistent with an option’s value rising with the risk of the underlying asset. Potential lenders recognize this situation, so they build covenants into loan agreements that restrict managers from making excessively risky investments.

Not only does option pricing theory help explain why managers might want to choose risky projects (for example, think about Enron) and why debtholders might want very restrictive covenants, but options also play a direct role in capital structure choices. For example, a firm might choose to issue convertible debt, which gives bondholders the option to convert their debt into stock if the value of the company turns out to be higher than expected. In exchange for this option, bondholders charge a lower interest rate than for nonconvertible debt. Because owner-managers must share the wealth with convertible bondholders, they have a smaller incentive to gamble with high-risk projects. We discuss options and capital structure in Chapter 16, and convertible securities in Chapter 20.

Compensation Plans

Many companies use stock options as a part of their compensation plans. It is important for boards of directors to understand the value of these options before they grant them to employees. This is likely to become an even more important issue as FASB’s 2004 requirement to expense stock options is implemented.

Self-Test Question

Describe four ways that option pricing is used in corporate finance.

SUMMARY

In this chapter we discussed option pricing topics, including the following:

- **Financial options** are instruments that (1) are created by exchanges rather than firms, (2) are bought and sold primarily by investors, and (3) are of importance to both investors and financial managers.
- The two primary types of financial options are (1) **call options**, which give the holder the right to purchase a specified asset at a given price (the **exercise**, or **strike**, price) for a given period of time, and (2) **put options**, which give the holder the right to sell an asset at a given price for a given period of time.
- A call option’s **exercise value** is defined as the maximum of zero or the current price of the stock less the strike price.
- The **Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (OPM)** can be used to estimate the value of a call option.
- The five inputs to the Black-Scholes model are (1) P, the current stock price; (2) X, the exercise price; (3) rRF, the risk-free interest rate; (4) t, the remaining time until expiration; and (5) σ², the variance of the stock’s rate of return.
- A call option’s value increases if: P increases, X decreases, rRF increases, t increases, or σ² increases.
- The **put-call parity relationship** states that: Put option + Stock = Call option + PV of exercise price.
QUESTIONS

6-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Option; call option; put option
   b. Exercise value; strike price
   c. Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model

6-2 Why do options sell at prices higher than their exercise values?

6-3 Describe the effect on a call option’s price caused by an increase in each of the following factors: (1) stock price, (2) exercise price, (3) time to expiration, (4) risk-free rate, and (5) variance of stock return.

PROBLEMS

6-1 Assume you have been given the following information on Purcell Industries:
   Current stock price = $15
   Exercise price of option = $15
   Time to maturity of option = 6 months
   Risk-free rate = 6%
   Variance of stock return = 0.12
   \( d_1 = 0.24495 \)
   \( N(d_1) = 0.59675 \)
   \( d_2 = 0.00000 \)
   \( N(d_2) = 0.50000 \)

   Using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, what would be the value of the option?

6-2 The exercise price on one of Flanagan Company’s options is $15, its exercise value is $22, and its time value is $5. What are the option’s market value and the price of the stock?

6-3 Use the Black-Scholes model to find the price for a call option with the following inputs: (1) current stock price is $30, (2) exercise price is $35, (3) time to expiration is 4 months, (4) annualized risk-free rate is 5%, and (5) variance of stock return is 0.25.

6-4 The current price of a stock is $20. In 1 year, the price will be either $26 or $16. The annual risk-free rate is 5 percent. Find the price of a call option on the stock that has an exercise price of $21 and that expires in 1 year. (Hint: Use daily compounding.)

6-5 The current price of a stock is $15. In 6 months, the price will be either $18 or $13. The annual risk-free rate is 6 percent. Find the price of a call option on the stock that has an exercise price of $14 and that expires in 6 months. (Hint: Use daily compounding.)

6-6 The current price of a stock is $33, and the annual risk-free rate is 6 percent. A call option with an exercise price of $32 and 1 year until expiration has a current value of $6.56. What is the value of a put option written on the stock with the same exercise price and expiration date as the call option?
SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

6-7
Build a Model: Black-Scholes Model

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch06 P7 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 6-1. Then work the next two parts of this problem given below.

a. Construct data tables for the exercise value and Black-Scholes option value for this option, and graph this relationship. Include possible stock price values ranging up to $30.00.

b. Suppose this call option is purchased today. Draw the profit diagram of this option position at expiration.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

minicase

Assume that you have just been hired as a financial analyst by Triple Trice Inc., a mid-sized California company that specializes in creating exotic clothing. Because no one at Triple Trice is familiar with the basics of financial options, you have been asked to prepare a brief report that the firm’s executives could use to gain at least a cursory understanding of the topic.

To begin, you gathered some outside materials on the subject and used these materials to draft a list of pertinent questions that need to be answered. In fact, one possible approach to the paper is to use a question-and-answer format. Now that the questions have been drafted, you have to develop the answers.

a. What is a financial option? What is the single most important characteristic of an option?

b. Options have a unique set of terminology. Define the following terms:
   1. Call option
   2. Put option
   3. Exercise price
   4. Striking, or strike, price
   5. Option price
   6. Expiration date
   7. Exercise value
   8. Covered option
   9. Naked option
  10. In-the-money call
  11. Out-of-the-money call
  12. LEAPS
c. Consider Triple Trice’s call option with a $25 strike price. The following table contains historical values for this option at different stock prices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Price</th>
<th>Call Option Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Create a table that shows (a) stock price, (b) strike price, (c) exercise value, (d) option price, and (e) the time value, which is the option’s price less its exercise value.

(2) What happens to the time value as the stock price rises? Why?

d. In 1973, Fischer Black and Myron Scholes developed the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (OPM).

(1) What assumptions underlie the OPM?

(2) Write out the three equations that constitute the model.

(3) What is the value of the following call option according to the OPM?

Stock price = $27.00
Exercise price = $25.00
Time to expiration = 6 months
Risk-free rate = 6.0%
Stock return variance = 0.11

e. What impact do each of the following call option parameters have on the value of a call option?

(1) Current stock price
(2) Exercise price
(3) Option’s term to maturity
(4) Risk-free rate
(5) Variability of the stock price

f. What is put-call parity?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

A manager’s primary goal is to maximize the value of his or her firm’s stock. Value is based on the stream of cash flows the firm will generate in the future. But how does an investor go about estimating future cash flows, and how does a manager decide which actions are most likely to increase cash flows? The answers to both questions lie in a study of the financial statements that publicly traded firms must provide to investors. Here “investors” include both institutions (banks, insurance companies, pension funds, and the like) and individuals. Thus, this chapter begins with a discussion of what the basic financial statements are, how they are used, and what kinds of financial information users need.

The value of any business asset—whether it is a financial asset such as a stock or a bond, or a real (physical) asset such as land, buildings, and equipment—depends on the usable, after-tax cash flows the asset is expected to produce. Therefore, the chapter also explains the difference between accounting income and cash flow. Finally, since it is after-tax cash flow that is important, the chapter provides an overview of the federal income tax system.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch07 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.
Beginning-of-Chapter Questions

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. How are the balance sheet and the income statement related to one another? How would you explain to a layperson the primary purpose of each of the statements? Which of the numbers in the income statement is considered to be most important?

2. WorldCom capitalized some costs that should, under standard accounting practices, have been expensed. Enron and some other companies took similar actions to inflate their reported income and to hide debts. (a) Explain how such improper and illegal actions would affect the firms’ financial statements and stock prices. (b) What effect did the revelations about these actions have on the specific companies’ stock prices and the prices of other stocks? (c) Could such actions affect the entire economy?

3. How could (accurate) balance sheet and income statement information be used, along with other information, to make a statement of cash flows? What is the primary purpose of this statement?

4. Differentiate between net income, EPS, EBITDA, net cash flow, NOPAT, free cash flow, MVA, and EVA. What is the primary purpose of each item; that is, when and how is it used?

5. How and why are regular accounting data modified for use in financial management? (Hint: Think about cash and operations.)

6. The income statement shows “flows” over a period of time, while the balance sheet shows accounts at a given point in time. Explain how these two concepts are combined when we calculate free cash flow.

7. Taxes affect many financial decisions. Explain how (a) interest and dividend payments are treated for tax purposes, from both a company’s and an investor’s perspective, and (b) how dividends and capital gains are treated for tax purposes by individuals. In your answers, explain how these tax treatments influence corporations’ and investors’ behavior.

8. If Congress wants to stimulate the economy, explain how it might alter each of the following: (a) personal and corporate tax rates, (b) depreciation schedules, (c) the differential between the tax rate on personal income and long-term capital gains. How would these changes affect corporate profitability and free cash flow? How would they affect investors’ choices regarding which securities to hold in their portfolios? Might any of these actions affect the general level of interest rates?

Financial Statements and Reports

Of the various reports corporations issue to their stockholders, the annual report is probably the most important. Two types of information are provided. First, there is a verbal section, often presented as a letter from the chairman, that
In Chapter 1, we told you that managers should strive to make their firms more valuable, and that the value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its free cash flows (FCF). This chapter shows you how to use a company’s financial statements to calculate FCF.

\[
\text{Value of the Firm} = \frac{FCF_1}{(1 + \text{WACC})^1} + \frac{FCF_2}{(1 + \text{WACC})^2} + \frac{FCF_3}{(1 + \text{WACC})^3} + \cdots + \frac{FCF_\infty}{(1 + \text{WACC})^\infty}
\]

describes the firm’s operating results during the past year and then discusses new developments that will affect future operations. Second, the annual report presents four basic financial statements—the balance sheet, the income statement, the statement of retained earnings, and the statement of cash flows. Taken together, these statements give an accounting picture of the firm’s operations and financial position. Detailed data are provided for the two or three most recent years, along with historical summaries of key operating statistics for the past five or ten years.¹

The quantitative and verbal materials are equally important. The financial statements report what has actually happened to assets, earnings, and dividends over the past few years, whereas the verbal statements attempt to explain why things turned out the way they did.

For illustrative purposes, we use data on MicroDrive Inc., a producer of disk drives for microcomputers. Formed in 1982, MicroDrive has grown steadily and has earned a reputation for being one of the best firms in the microcomputer

¹Firms also provide less comprehensive quarterly reports. Larger firms file even more detailed statements, giving breakdowns for each major division or subsidiary, with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These reports, called 10-K reports, are available on the SEC’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov under the heading “EDGAR.”
components industry. MicroDrive’s earnings dropped a bit in the most recent year. Management blamed a three-month strike that kept the firm from fully utilizing a new plant that had been financed mostly with debt. However, management went on to paint a more optimistic picture for the future, stating that full operations had been resumed, that several new products had been introduced, and that profits were expected to rise sharply. Of course, the profit increase may not occur, and analysts should compare management’s past statements with subsequent results when judging the credibility of the projected improvement. In any event, the information contained in an annual report is used by investors to help form expectations about future earnings and dividends.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the annual report, and what two types of information are given in it? Why is the annual report of great interest to investors? What four types of financial statements are typically included in the annual report?

---

### THE BALANCE SHEET

Table 7-1 shows MicroDrive’s most recent balance sheets, which represent “snapshots” of its financial position on the last day of each year. Balance sheet accounts actually change daily as inventories are increased or decreased, as fixed assets are added or retired, and as bank loans are increased or decreased, but only the amounts as of the balance sheet date are shown. The left side lists assets in order of “liquidity,” or the length of time it typically takes to convert them to cash. The right side lists liabilities and equity, which are claims against the assets, in the order in which they must be paid: Accounts payable must generally be paid off within 30 days, notes payable within 90 days, and so on, down to the stockholders’ equity accounts, which represent ownership and need never be “paid off.”

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the balance sheet, and what information does it provide? How is the order of the information shown on the balance sheet determined? Why might a company’s December 31 balance sheet differ from its June 30 balance sheet?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7-1</th>
<th>MicroDrive Inc.: December 31 Balance Sheets (Millions of Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>2006</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>$ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See IFM9 Ch07 Tool Kit.xlsx for details.
THE INCOME STATEMENT

Table 7-2 gives the income statements for MicroDrive, which show its financial performance over each of the last two years. Income statements can cover any period of time, but they are usually prepared monthly, quarterly, and annually. Unlike the balance sheet, which is a snapshot of a firm at a point in time, the income statement reflects performance during the period.

Table 7-2  MicroDrive Inc.: Income Statements for Years Ending December 31
(Millions of Dollars, Except for Per-Share Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$3,000.0</td>
<td>$2,850.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td>$2,616.2</td>
<td>$2,497.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before</td>
<td>$ 383.8</td>
<td>$ 353.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interest, taxes,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depreciation, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amortization (EBITDA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and</td>
<td>$ 100.0</td>
<td>$ 90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amortization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before</td>
<td>$ 283.8</td>
<td>$ 263.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interest and taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EBIT, or operating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less interest</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before</td>
<td>$ 195.8</td>
<td>$ 203.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taxes (EBT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income before</td>
<td>$ 117.5</td>
<td>$ 121.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preferred dividends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred dividends</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 113.5</td>
<td>$ 117.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dividends</td>
<td>$ 57.5</td>
<td>$ 53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition to retained</td>
<td>$ 56.0</td>
<td>$ 64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>earnings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per-Share Data

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common stock price</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 2.27</td>
<td>$ 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends per share</td>
<td>$ 1.15</td>
<td>$ 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book value per share</td>
<td>$17.92</td>
<td>$16.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BVPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow per share</td>
<td>$ 4.27</td>
<td>$ 4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CFPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There are 50,000,000 shares of common stock outstanding. Note that EPS is based on earnings after preferred dividends—that is, on net income available to common stockholders. Calculations of the most recent EPS, DPS, BVPS, and CFPS are shown below.

\[
\text{Earnings per share} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Common shares outstanding}} = \frac{113,500,000}{50,000,000} = 2.27
\]

\[
\text{Dividends per share} = \frac{\text{Dividends paid to common stockholders}}{\text{Common shares outstanding}} = \frac{57,500,000}{50,000,000} = 1.15
\]

\[
\text{Book value per share} = \frac{\text{Total common equity}}{\text{Common shares outstanding}} = \frac{896,000,000}{50,000,000} = 17.92
\]

\[
\text{Cash flow per share} = \frac{\text{Net income} + \text{Depreciation} + \text{Amortization}}{\text{Common shares outstanding}} = \frac{213,500,000}{50,000,000} = 4.27
\]
Subtracting operating costs from net sales, but excluding depreciation and amortization, results in **EBITDA**, which stands for earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are annual charges that reflect the estimated costs of the assets used up each year. Depreciation applies to tangible assets, such as plant and equipment, whereas amortization applies to intangible assets such as patents, copyrights, trademarks, and goodwill. Because neither depreciation nor amortization is paid in cash, some analysts claim that EBITDA is a better measure of financial strength than is net income. However, as we show later in the chapter, EBITDA is not as important as free cash flow. In fact, some financial wags have stated that EBITDA really stands for “earnings before anything bad happens.”

The net income available to common shareholders, which is revenues less expenses, taxes, and preferred dividends (but before paying common dividends), is generally referred to as **net income**, although it is also called **profit** or **earnings**, particularly in the news or financial press. Dividing net income by the number of shares outstanding gives earnings per share (EPS), which is often called “the bottom line.” Throughout this book, unless otherwise indicated, net income means net income available to common stockholders.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is an income statement, and what information does it provide?

Why is earnings per share called “the bottom line”?

What is EBITDA?

Regarding the time period reported, how does the income statement differ from the balance sheet?

**STATEMENT OF RETAINED EARNINGS**

Table 7-3, the **statement of retained earnings**, shows that MicroDrive began 2006 with $710 million of retained earnings, that during the year it earned $113.5 million and paid out $57.5 in dividends, and that it plowed the difference, $56 million, back into the business. These “corporate savings” caused retained earnings to increase from $710 million at the end of 2005 to $766 million at the end of 2006.

Note that “retained earnings” represents a **claim against assets**, not an asset per se. In 2006 MicroDrive’s stockholders allowed it to reinvest $56 million instead of distributing the money as dividends, and management spent this money on new

---

2 The accounting treatment of goodwill resulting from mergers has changed in recent years. Rather than an annual charge, companies are required to evaluate periodically the value of goodwill and reduce net income only if the goodwill’s value has decreased materially (become impaired, in the language of accountants). For example, in 2002 AOL Time Warner wrote off almost $100 billion associated with the AOL merger. It doesn’t take too many $100 billion expenses to really hurt net income!

3 Companies report “comprehensive income” as well as net income. Comprehensive income is equal to net income plus several comprehensive income items. One example of comprehensive income is the unrealized gain or loss that occurs when a marketable security, classified as available for sale, is marked-to-market. For our purposes, we assume that there are no comprehensive income items, so we present only basic income statements throughout the text. Although not required, some companies also report “pro forma income.” For example, if a company incurs an expense that it doesn’t expect to recur, such as closing a plant, it might calculate pro forma income as though it had not incurred the one-time expense. There are no hard and fast rules for calculating pro forma income, and companies report it on a voluntary basis. As a result, it is often subject to abuse, with many companies finding ingenious ways to make pro forma income higher than traditional income. The SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) are taking steps to reduce deceptive uses of pro forma reporting.
assets. Thus, retained earnings as reported on the balance sheet does not represent cash and is not “available” for the payment of dividends or anything else.  

**Self-Test Questions**

- What is the statement of retained earnings, and what information does it provide?
- Why do changes in retained earnings occur?
- Explain why the following statement is true: “Retained earnings as reported on the balance sheet do not represent cash and are not ‘available’ for the payment of dividends or anything else.”

### NET CASH FLOW

Many financial analysts focus on net cash flow. A business’s net cash flow generally differs from its accounting profit because some of the revenues and expenses listed on the income statement were not received or paid in cash during the year. The relationship between net cash flow and net income can be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Net cash flow} = \text{Net income} - \text{Noncash revenues} + \text{Noncash charges}
\]

The primary examples of noncash charges are depreciation and amortization. These items reduce net income but are not paid out in cash, so we add them back to net income when calculating net cash flow. Another example of a noncash charge is deferred taxes. In some instances, companies are allowed to defer tax payments to a later date even though the tax payment is reported as an expense on the income statement. Therefore, deferred tax payments would be added to net income when calculating net cash flow. At the same time, some revenues may not

---

4The amount reported in the retained earnings account is not an indication of the amount of cash the firm has. Cash (as of the balance sheet date) is found in the cash account, an asset account. A positive number in the retained earnings account indicates only that in the past the firm earned some income, but its dividends paid were less than its earnings. Even though a company reports record earnings and shows an increase in its retained earnings account, it still may be short of cash.

The same situation holds for individuals. You might own a new BMW (no loan), lots of clothes, and an expensive stereo, hence have a high net worth, but if you have only 23 cents in your pocket plus $5 in your checking account, you would still be short of cash.

5Deferred taxes may arise, for example, if a company uses accelerated depreciation for tax purposes but straight-line depreciation for reporting its financial statements to investors.
A wide range of valuable financial information is available on the Internet. With just a couple of clicks, an investor can easily find the key financial statements for most publicly traded companies. Here’s a partial (but by no means a complete) list of places you can go to get started:

- One of the very best sources of financial information is Thomson Financial. Go to the ThomsonNOW Web site and follow the directions to access Thomson ONE—Business School Edition. An especially useful feature is the ability to download up to ten years of financial statements in spreadsheet form. First, enter the ticker for a company and then click Go. From the top tab (in dark blue), select Financials. This will show a second row of items (in light blue). Selecting More from this row will reveal a drop-down menu. Select SEC Database Reports & Charts. This will bring up another drop-down menu which includes the ten-year balance sheets, income statements, and statement of cash flows. To download the financial statements into a spreadsheet, first select one of the statements, such as the 10YR Balance Sheet. The balance sheets will then be displayed on your browser page. To download, click on the Excel icon toward the right of the light blue row at the top of the Thomson ONE panel. This will bring up a dialog box that lets you download the Excel file to your computer.

- Try Yahoo’s finance Web site, http://finance.yahoo.com. Here you will find updated market information along with links to a variety of interesting research sites. Enter a stock’s ticker symbol, click GO, and you will see the stock’s current price, along with recent news about the company. The panel on the left has links to key statistics, the company’s income statement, balance sheet, statement of cash flows, and more. The Yahoo site also has a list of insider transactions, so you can tell if a company’s CEO and other key insiders are buying or selling

Typically, depreciation and amortization are by far the largest noncash items, and in many cases the other noncash items roughly net out to zero. For this reason, many analysts assume that net cash flow equals net income plus depreciation and amortization:

\[
\text{Net cash flow} = \text{Net income} + \text{Depreciation and amortization}
\]  

To keep things simple, we will generally assume that Equation 7-2 holds. However, you should remember that Equation 7-2 will not accurately reflect net cash flow in those instances where there are significant noncash items beyond depreciation and amortization.

We can illustrate Equation 7-2 with 2006 data for MicroDrive taken from Table 7-2:

\[
\text{Net cash flow} = 113.5 + 100.0 = 213.5 \text{ million}
\]
To illustrate depreciation’s effect, suppose a machine with a life of five years and a zero expected salvage value was purchased in 2005 for $100,000 and placed into service in 2006. This $100,000 cost is not expensed in the purchase year; rather, it is charged against production over the machine’s five-year depreciable life. If the depreciation expense were not taken, profits would be overstated, and taxes would be too high. So, the annual depreciation charge is deducted from sales revenues, along with such other costs as labor and raw materials, to determine income. However, because the $100,000 was actually expended back in 2005, the depreciation charged against income in 2006 and subsequent years is not a cash outlay, as are labor or raw materials charges. Depreciation is a noncash charge, so it must be added back to net income to obtain the net cash flow. If we assume that all other noncash items (including amortization) sum to zero, then net cash flow is simply equal to net income plus depreciation.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between net cash flow and accounting profit.

In accounting, the emphasis is on net income. What is emphasized in finance, and why is that item emphasized?

Assuming that depreciation is its only noncash expense, how can someone calculate a business’s net cash flow?
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Even if a company reports a large net income during a year, the amount of cash reported on its year-end balance sheet may be the same or even lower than its beginning cash. The reason is that its net income can be used in a variety of ways, not just kept as cash in the bank. For example, the firm may use its net income to pay dividends, to increase inventories, to finance accounts receivable, to invest in fixed assets, to reduce debt, or to buy back common stock. Indeed, the company’s cash position as reported on its balance sheet is affected by a great many factors, including the following:

1. Net income before preferred dividends. Other things held constant, a positive net income will lead to more cash in the bank. However, as we discuss below, other things generally are not held constant.

2. Noncash adjustments to net income. To calculate cash flow, it is necessary to adjust net income to reflect noncash revenues and expenses, such as depreciation and deferred taxes, as shown above in the calculation of net cash flow.

3. Changes in working capital. Increases in current assets other than cash, such as inventories and accounts receivable, decrease cash, whereas decreases in these accounts increase cash. For example, if inventories are to increase, the firm must use some of its cash to acquire the additional inventory. Conversely, if inventories decrease, this generally means the firm is selling inventories and not replacing all of them, hence generating cash. On the other hand, if payables increase, the firm has received additional credit from its suppliers, which saves cash, but if payables decrease, this means it has used cash to pay off its suppliers. Therefore, increases in current liabilities such as accounts payable increase cash, whereas decreases in current liabilities decrease cash.

4. Fixed assets. If a company invests in fixed assets, this will reduce its cash position. On the other hand, if it sells some fixed assets, this will increase cash.

5. Security transactions and dividend payments. If a company issues stock or bonds during the year, the funds raised will increase its cash position. On the other hand, if the company uses cash to buy back outstanding stock or to pay off debt, or if it pays dividends to its shareholders, this will reduce cash.

Each of the above factors is reflected in the statement of cash flows, which summarizes the changes in a company’s cash position. The statement separates activities into three categories, plus a summary section:

1. Operating activities, which includes net income, depreciation, changes in current assets and liabilities other than cash, short-term investments, and short-term debt.

2. Investing activities, which includes investments in or sales of fixed assets.

3. Financing activities, which includes raising cash by selling short-term investments or by issuing short-term debt, long-term debt, or stock. Also, because both dividends paid and cash used to buy back outstanding stock or bonds reduce the company’s cash, such transactions are included here.

Accounting texts explain how to prepare the statement of cash flows, but the statement is used to help answer questions such as these: Is the firm generating enough cash to purchase the additional assets required for growth? Is the firm generating any extra cash that can be used to repay debt or to invest in new products? Such information is useful both for managers and investors, so the statement of cash flows is an important part of the annual report.
Table 7-4 shows MicroDrive’s statement of cash flows as it would appear in the company’s annual report. The top section shows cash generated by and used in operations—for MicroDrive, operations provided net cash flows of minus $2.5 million. This subtotal, the minus $2.5 million net cash flow provided by operating activities, is in many respects the most important figure in any of the financial statements. Profits as reported on the income statement can be “doctored” by such tactics as depreciating assets too slowly, not recognizing bad debts promptly, and the like. However, it is far more difficult to simultaneously doctor profits and the working capital accounts. Therefore, it is not uncommon for a company to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Activities</th>
<th>Cash Provided or Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income before preferred dividends</td>
<td>$117.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncash adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation(^a)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to changes in working capital:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in accounts receivable</td>
<td>(60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in inventories</td>
<td>(200.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in accounts payable</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in accruals</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>($ 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Investing Activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash used to acquire fixed assets(^c)</td>
<td>($230.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing Activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of short-term investments</td>
<td>$ 65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in notes payable</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in bonds outstanding</td>
<td>174.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of preferred and common dividends</td>
<td>(61.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by financing activities</td>
<td>$227.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in cash</td>
<td>($ 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash at beginning of year</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash at end of year</td>
<td>$ 10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Depreciation is a noncash expense that was deducted when calculating net income. It must be added back to show the correct cash flow from operations.

\(^b\)An increase in a current asset decreases cash. An increase in a current liability increases cash. For example, inventories increased by $200 million, so that reduced cash by a like amount.

\(^c\)The net increase in fixed assets is $130 million; however, this net amount is after a deduction for the year’s depreciation expense. Depreciation expense would have to be added back to find the increase in gross fixed assets. From the company’s income statement, we see that the 2006 depreciation expense is $100 million; thus, expenditures on fixed assets were actually $230 million.
report positive net income right up to the day it declares bankruptcy. In such cases, however, the net cash flow from operations almost always began to deteriorate much earlier, and analysts who kept an eye on cash flow could have predicted trouble. Therefore, if you are ever analyzing a company and are pressed for time, look first at the trend in net cash flow provided by operating activities, because it will tell you more than any other number.

The second section shows long-term fixed-asset investing activities. MicroDrive purchased fixed assets totaling $230 million; this was the only long-term investment it made during 2006.

The third section, financing activities, includes borrowing from banks (notes payable), selling of new bonds, and the paying of dividends on common and preferred stock. MicroDrive raised $289 million by borrowing and by selling off its short-term investments, but it paid $61.5 million in preferred and common dividends. Therefore, its net inflow of funds from financing activities was $227.5 million.

In the summary, where all of these sources and uses of cash are totaled, we see that MicroDrive’s cash outflows exceeded its cash inflows by $5 million during 2006; that is, its net change in cash was a negative $5 million.

MicroDrive’s statement of cash flows should be worrisome to its managers and to outside analysts. The company had a $2.5 million cash shortfall from operations, it spent an additional $230 million on new fixed assets, and it paid out another $61.5 million in dividends. It covered these cash outlays by borrowing heavily and by liquidating $65 million of short-term investments. Obviously, this situation cannot continue year after year, so something will have to be done. Later in the chapter we consider some of the actions MicroDrive’s financial staff might recommend to ease the cash flow problem.

**Self-Test Questions**

What types of questions does the statement of cash flows answer?

Identify and briefly explain the three different categories of activities shown in the statement of cash flows.

**MODIFYING ACCOUNTING DATA FOR MANAGERIAL DECISIONS**

Thus far in the chapter we have focused on financial statements as they are presented in the annual report. However, these statements are designed more for use by creditors and tax collectors than for managers and stock analysts. Therefore, certain modifications are needed for use in corporate decision making. In the following sections we discuss how financial analysts combine stock prices and accounting data to make the statements more useful.

**Operating Assets and Total Net Operating Capital**

Different firms have different financial structures, different tax situations, and different amounts of nonoperating assets. These differences affect traditional accounting measures such as the rate of return on equity. They can cause two firms, or two divisions within a single firm, that actually have similar operations to appear to be operated with different efficiency. This is important, because if managerial compensation systems are to function properly, operating managers must be judged and compensated for those things that are under their control, not on the basis of things outside their control. Therefore, to judge managerial performance, we need to compare managers’ ability to generate operating income (EBIT) with the operating assets under their control.
The first step in modifying the traditional accounting framework is to divide total assets into two categories, **operating assets**, which consist of the assets necessary to operate the business, and **nonoperating assets**, which include cash and short-term investments above the level required for normal operations, investments in subsidiaries, land held for future use, and the like. Moreover, operating assets are further divided into **operating current assets**, such as inventory, and **long-term operating assets**, such as plant and equipment. Obviously, if a manager can generate a given amount of profit and cash flow with a relatively small investment in operating assets, then the amount of capital investors must put up is reduced and the rate of return on that capital increases.

Most capital used in a business is supplied by investors—stockholders, bondholders, and lenders such as banks. Investors must be paid for the use of their money, with payment coming as interest in the case of debt and as dividends plus capital gains in the case of stock. So, if a company buys more assets than it actually needs, and thus raises too much capital, then its capital costs will be unnecessarily high.

Must all of the capital used to acquire assets be obtained from investors? The answer is no, because some of the funds are provided as a normal consequence of operations. For example, some funds will come from suppliers and be reported as **accounts payable**, while other funds will come as **accrued wages and accrued taxes**, which amount to short-term loans from workers and tax authorities. Such funds are called **operating current liabilities**. Therefore, if a firm needs $100 million of assets, but it has $10 million of accounts payable and another $10 million of accrued wages and taxes, then its **investor-supplied capital** would be only $80 million.

Those current assets used in operations are called **operating working capital**, and operating working capital less operating current liabilities is called **net operating working capital**. Therefore, net operating working capital is the working capital acquired with investor-supplied funds. Here is the definition in equation form:

\[
\text{Net operating working capital} = \frac{\text{Operating current assets}}{\text{Operating current liabilities}}
\]

Now think about how these concepts can be used in practice. First, all companies must carry some cash to “grease the wheels” of their operations. Companies continuously receive checks from customers and write checks to suppliers, employees, and so on. Because inflows and outflows do not coincide perfectly, a company must keep some cash in its bank account. In other words, some cash is required to conduct operations. The same is true for most other current assets, such as inventory and accounts receivable, which are required for normal operations. However, any short-term securities the firm holds generally result from investment decisions made by the treasurer, and they are not used in the core operations. Therefore, short-term investments are normally excluded when calculating net operating working capital.\(^6\)

---

\(^6\)If the marketable securities are held as a substitute for cash, and therefore reduce the cash requirements, then they may be classified as part of operating working capital. Generally, though, large holdings of marketable securities are held as a reserve for some contingency or else as a temporary “parking place” for funds prior to an acquisition, a major capital investment program, or the like.
Some current liabilities—especially accounts payable and accruals—arise in the normal course of operations. Moreover, each dollar of such current liabilities is a dollar that the company does not have to raise from investors to acquire current assets. Therefore, to calculate net operating working capital, we deduct these operating current liabilities from the operating current assets. Other current liabilities that charge interest, such as notes payable to banks, are treated as investor-supplied capital and thus are not deducted when calculating net working capital.

If you are ever uncertain about an item, ask yourself whether it is a natural consequence of operations or if it is a discretionary choice, such as a particular method of financing, or an investment in a financial asset. If it is discretionary, it is not an operating asset or liability.

We can apply these definitions to MicroDrive, using the balance sheet data given in Table 7-1. Here is the net operating working capital for 2006:

Net operating working capital = (Cash + Accounts receivable + Inventories) – (Accounts payable + Accruals)

= ($10 + $375 + $615) – ($60 + $140)

= $800 million

MicroDrive’s total net operating capital at year-end 2006 was the sum of its net operating working capital and its operating long-term assets (which consist only of net plant and equipment):

\[
\text{Total net operating capital} = (\text{Net operating working capital}) + (\text{Operating long-term assets})
\]

\[
= $800 + $1,000
\]

= $1,800 million

For the previous year, net operating working capital was

Net operating working capital = ($15 + $315 + $415) – ($30 + $130)

= $585 million

Adding the $870 million of fixed assets, MicroDrive’s total operating capital at year-end 2005 was

Total net operating capital = $585 + $870

= $1,455 million

Notice that we have defined total net operating capital as the sum of net operating working capital and operating long-term assets. In other words, our definition is in terms of operating assets and liabilities. However, we can also calculate total net operating capital by adding up the funds provided by investors, such as notes payable, long-term bonds, preferred stock, and total common equity. For MicroDrive, the total capital provided by investors at year-end 2005 was $60 + $580 + $40 + $840 = $1,520 million. Of this amount, $65 million was tied up in short-term investments, which are not directly related to MicroDrive’s operations. Therefore, only $1,520 – $65 = $1,455 million of investor-supplied capital was used in operations. Notice that this is exactly the same value as calculated above. This shows that we can calculate total net operating capital either from net...
operating working capital and operating long-term assets or from the investor-
supplied funds. We usually base our calculations upon the first definition since it is
possible to perform this calculation for a division, whereas it is not possible to do
so using the definition based on investor-supplied capital.

We use the terms total net operating capital, operating capital, net operating
assets, and capital to mean the same thing. Unless we specifically say “investor-
supplied capital,” we are referring to total net operating capital.

MicroDrive increased its operating capital to $1,800 from $1,455 million, or
by $345 million, during 2006. Furthermore, most of this increase went into work-
ing capital, which rose from $585 to $800 million, or by $215 million. This 37
percent increase in net operating working capital versus a sales increase of only 5
percent (from $2,850 to $3,000 million) should set off warning bells in your head:
Why did MicroDrive tie up so much additional cash in working capital? Is the
company gearing up for a big increase in sales, or are inventories not moving and
receivables not being collected? We will address these questions in detail in Chap-
ter 8, when we cover ratio analysis.

Net Operating Profit after Taxes (NOPAT)

If two companies have different amounts of debt, hence different amounts of
interest charges, they could have identical operating performances but different net
incomes—the one with more debt would have a lower net income. Net income is
certainly important, but it does not always reflect the true performance of a com-
pany’s operations or the effectiveness of its operating managers. A better measure-
ment for comparing managers’ performance is net operating profit after taxes, or
NOPAT, which is the amount of profit a company would generate if it had no
debt and held no financial assets. NOPAT is defined as follows:7

\[
\text{NOPAT} = \text{EBIT}(1 - \text{Tax rate})
\]

Using data from the income statements of Table 7-2, MicroDrive’s 2006 NOPAT
is found to be

\[
\text{NOPAT} = 283.8(1 - 0.4) = 283.8(0.6) = 170.3 \text{ million}
\]

This means MicroDrive generated an after-tax operating profit of $170.3 mil-
lion, a little better than its previous NOPAT of $263(0.6) = $157.8 million. How-
ever, the income statements in Table 7-2 show that MicroDrive’s earnings per
share actually declined. This decrease in EPS was caused by an increase in interest
expense, and not by a decrease in operating profit. Moreover, the balance sheets in
Table 7-1 show an increase in debt. But why did MicroDrive increase its debt? As
we just saw, its investment in operating capital increased dramatically during
2006, and that increase was financed primarily with debt.

7For firms with a more complicated tax situation, it is better to define NOPAT as follows: NOPAT = (Net income before
preferred dividends) + (Net interest expense)(1 − Tax rate). Also, if firms are able to defer paying some of their taxes,
perhaps by the use of accelerated depreciation, then NOPAT should be adjusted to reflect the taxes that the company
actually paid on its operating income. See P. Daves, M. Ehrhardt, and R. Shrieves, Corporate Valuation: A Guide for
Managers and Investors (Mason, OH: Thomson/South-Western, 2004), for a detailed explanation of these and other
adjustments.
Recent accounting frauds by Enron, World-Com, Xerox, Merck, Arthur Andersen, Tyco, and many others have shown that analysts can no longer blindly assume that a firm’s published financial statements are the best representation of its financial position. Clearly, many companies were “pushing the envelope” if not outright lying in an effort to make their companies look better.

A recent Fortune article points out that there are only three basic ways to manipulate financial statements: moving earnings from the future to the present, avoiding taxes, or hiding debt. For example, suppose one telecom firm (think WorldCom or Global Crossing) sold the right to use parts of its fiber-optic network for 10 years to another telecom for $100 million. The seller would immediately record revenues of $100 million. The buyer, however, could spread the expense over 10 years and report an expense of only $10 million this year. The buyer would simultaneously sell similar rights to the original seller for $100 million. This way, no cash changes hands, both companies report an extra $100 million in revenue, but each reports a cost of only $10 million. Thus, both companies “created” an extra $90 million in pre-tax profits, without doing anything. Of course, both companies will have to report an extra $10 million expense each year for the remaining nine years, but they have each boosted short-term profits and thus this year’s executive bonuses. To boost earnings next year, all they have to do is play the same game, but on a bigger scale.

For hiding debt, it’s hard to beat Enron’s special purpose entities (SPEs). These SPEs owed hundreds of millions of dollars, and it turned out that Enron was responsible for this debt, even though it never showed up on Enron’s financial statements.

How can you spot bamboozling? Here are some tips. When companies have lots of write-offs or charges for restructuring, it could be that they are planning on managing earnings in the future. In other words, they sandbag this year to pad next year’s earnings. Beware of serial acquirers, especially if they use their own stock to buy other companies. This can increase reported earnings, but it often erodes value since the acquirer usually pays a large premium for the target. Watch out for companies that depreciate their assets much slower than others in the industry (this is shown in the financial statement’s footnotes). This causes current earnings to look larger than their competitors’, even though they aren’t actually performing any better. Perhaps the best evidence of bamboozling is if earnings are consistently growing faster than cash flows, which almost always indicates a financial scam.

In response to these and other abuses, Congress passed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. One of its provisions requires both the CEO and the CFO to sign a statement certifying that the “financial statements and disclosures fairly represent, in all material respects, the operations and financial condition” of the company. This will make it easier to haul off in handcuffs a CEO or CFO who has been misleading investors. Whether this will prevent future bamboozling remains to be seen.


Free Cash Flow

Earlier in this chapter, we defined net cash flow as net income plus noncash adjustments, which typically means net income plus depreciation. Note, though, that cash flows cannot be maintained over time unless depreciated fixed assets are replaced, so management is not completely free to use net cash flows however it
chooses. Therefore, we now define another term, free cash flow (FCF), which is the cash flow actually available for distribution to investors after the company has made all the investments in fixed assets and working capital necessary to sustain ongoing operations.

When you studied income statements in accounting, the emphasis was probably on the firm’s net income, which is its accounting profit. However, the value of a company’s operations is determined by the stream of cash flows that the operations will generate now and in the future. To be more specific, the value of operations depends on all the future expected free cash flows (FCFs), defined as after-tax operating profit minus the amount of new investment in working capital and fixed assets necessary to sustain the business. Thus, free cash flow represents the cash that is actually available for distribution to investors. Therefore, the way for managers to make their companies more valuable is to increase free cash flow.

Calculating Free Cash Flow

As shown earlier in the chapter, MicroDrive had $1,455 million of total net operating capital at the end of 2005, but $1,800 million at the end of 2006. Therefore, during 2006, it made a net investment in operating capital of

\[
\text{Net investment in operating capital} = 1,800 - 1,455 = 345 \text{ million}
\]

MicroDrive’s free cash flow in 2006 was

\[
\text{FCF} = \text{NOPAT} - \text{Net investment in operating capital} \quad | \text{7-6} |
\]

\[
= 170.3 - 345
= -174.7 \text{ million}
\]

Net fixed assets rose from $870 to $1,000 million, or by $130 million. However, MicroDrive reported $100 million of depreciation, so its gross investment in fixed assets was $130 + $100 = $230 million for the year. With this background, we find the gross investment in operating capital as follows:

\[
\text{Gross investment} = \text{Net investment} + \text{Depreciation} \quad | \text{7-7} |
\]

\[
= 345 + 100 = 445 \text{ million}
\]

An algebraically equivalent expression for free cash flow is

\[
\text{FCF} = (\text{NOPAT} + \text{Depreciation}) - \text{Gross investment in operating capital} \quad | \text{7-6a} |
\]

\[
= (170.3 + 100) - 445
= -174.7 \text{ million}
\]

The two equations are equivalent because depreciation is added to both NOPAT and net investment in Equation 7-6 to arrive at Equation 7-6a. We usually use Equation 7-6, because it saves us this step.
The Uses of FCF

Recall that free cash flow (FCF) is the amount of cash that is available for distribution to all investors, including both shareholders and debtholders. There are five good uses for FCF:

1. Pay interest to debtholders, keeping in mind that the net cost to the company is the after-tax interest expense.
2. Repay debtholders, that is, pay off some of the debt.
3. Pay dividends to shareholders.
4. Repurchase stock from shareholders.
5. Buy marketable securities or other nonoperating assets.

Recall that the company does not have to use FCF to acquire operating assets since, by definition, FCF already takes into account the purchase of all operating assets needed to support growth. Unfortunately, there is evidence to suggest that some companies with high FCF tend to make unnecessary investments that don’t add value, such as paying too much to acquire some other company. Thus, high FCF can cause waste if managers fail to act in the best interest of shareholders. As discussed in Chapter 1, this is called an agency cost, since managers are hired as agents to act on behalf of stockholders. We discuss agency costs and ways to control them in Chapter 11, where we discuss value-based management and corporate governance, and in Chapter 15, where we discuss the choice of capital structure.

In practice, most companies combine these five uses in such a way that the net total is equal to FCF. For example, a company might pay interest and dividends, issue new debt, and also sell some of its marketable securities. Some of these activities are cash outflows (for example, paying interest and dividends) and some are cash inflows (for example, issuing debt and selling marketable securities), but the net cash flow from these five activities is equal to FCF.

FCF and Corporate Value

FCF is the amount of cash available for distribution to investors, and, as a result, the value of a company depends on the present value of its expected future FCFs, discounted at the company’s weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Subsequent chapters will develop the tools needed to forecast FCFs and evaluate their risk. Chapter 11 ties all this together with a model that is used to calculate the value of a company. Even though you do not yet have all the tools to apply the model, it’s important that you understand this basic concept: FCF is the cash available for distribution to investors. Therefore, the value of a firm primarily depends on its expected future FCFs.

Evaluating FCF, NOPAT, and Operating Capital

Even though MicroDrive had a positive NOPAT, its very high investment in operating assets resulted in a negative free cash flow. Because free cash flow is what is available for distribution to investors, not only was there nothing for investors, but investors actually had to provide additional money to keep the business going. Investors provided most of this new money as debt.

Is a negative free cash flow always bad? The answer is, “Not necessarily. It depends on why the free cash flow was negative.” If FCF was negative because NOPAT was negative, that is a bad sign, because then the company is probably experiencing operating problems. However, many high-growth companies have
positive NOPAT but negative free cash flow because they are making large investments in operating assets to support growth. There is nothing wrong with profitable growth, even if it causes negative free cash flows.

One way to determine whether growth is profitable is by examining the **return on invested capital (ROIC)**, which is the ratio of NOPAT to total operating capital. If the ROIC exceeds the rate of return required by investors, then a negative free cash flow caused by high growth is nothing to worry about. Chapter 11 discusses this in detail.

To calculate the ROIC, we first calculate NOPAT and operating capital. The return on invested capital (ROIC) is a performance measure that indicates how much NOPAT is generated by each dollar of operating capital:

$$\text{ROIC} = \frac{\text{NOPAT}}{\text{Operating capital}}$$

If ROIC is greater than the rate of return investors require, which is the weighted average cost of capital (WACC), then the firm is adding value.

As noted earlier, a negative current FCF is not necessarily bad, provided it is due to high growth. For example, Home Depot had negative FCF due to its rapid growth, but it also had a very high ROIC, and this high ROIC resulted in a high market value for the stock.

MicroDrive had an ROIC in 2006 of 9.46 percent ($170.3/1,800 \times 0.0946$). Is this enough to cover its cost of capital? We’ll answer that question in the next section.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is net operating working capital? Why does it exclude most short-term investments and also notes payable?

What is total net operating capital? Why is it important for managers to calculate a company’s capital requirements?

Why is NOPAT a better performance measure than net income?

What is free cash flow? Why is it important?

**MVA AND EVA**

Neither traditional accounting data nor the modified data discussed in the preceding section incorporate stock prices, even though the primary goal of management is to maximize the firm’s stock price. Financial analysts have therefore developed two additional performance measures, MVA, or market value added, and EVA, or economic value added. These concepts are discussed in this section.8

**Market Value Added (MVA)**

The primary goal of most firms is to maximize shareholders’ wealth. This goal obviously benefits shareholders, but it also helps to ensure that scarce resources are allocated efficiently, which benefits the economy. Shareholder wealth is maximized

---

8The concepts of EVA and MVA were developed by Joel Stern and Bennett Stewart, co-founders of the consulting firm Stern Stewart & Company. Stern Stewart copyrighted the terms “EVA” and “MVA,” so other consulting firms have given other names to these values. Still, EVA and MVA are the terms most commonly used in practice.
by maximizing the difference between the market value of the firm's stock and the amount of equity capital that was supplied by shareholders. This difference is called the market value added (MVA):

\[
\text{MVA} = \text{Market value of stock} - \text{Equity capital supplied by shareholders} = (\text{Shares outstanding})(\text{Stock price}) - \text{Total common equity}
\]

To illustrate, consider Coca-Cola. In February 2005, its total market equity value was $102.8 billion, while its balance sheet showed that stockholders had put up only $14.9 billion. Thus, Coca-Cola's MVA was $102.8 - $14.9 = $87.9 billion. This $87.9 billion represents the difference between the money that Coca-Cola's stockholders have invested in the corporation since its founding—including retained earnings—versus the cash they could get if they sold the business. The higher its MVA, the better the job management is doing for the firm's shareholders.

Sometimes MVA is defined as the total market value of the company minus the total amount of investor-supplied capital:

\[
\text{MVA} = \text{Total market value} - \text{Total investor-supplied capital} = (\text{Market value of stock} + \text{Market value of debt}) - \text{Total investor-supplied capital}
\]

For most companies, the total amount of investor-supplied capital is the sum of equity, debt, and preferred stock. We can calculate the total amount of investor-supplied capital directly from their reported values in the financial statements. The total market value of a company is the sum of the market values of common equity, debt, and preferred stock. It is easy to find the market value of equity, since stock prices are readily available, but it is not always easy to find the market value of debt. Hence, many analysts use the value of debt that is reported in the financial statements, or the debt's book value, as an estimate of its market value.

For Coca-Cola, the total amount of reported debt was about $6.5 billion, and Coca-Cola had no preferred stock. Using this as an estimate of the market value of debt, Coke's total market value was $102.8 + $6.5 = $109.3 billion. The total amount of investor-supplied funds was $14.9 + $6.5 = $21.4 billion. Using these total values, the MVA was $109.3 - $21.4 = $87.9 billion. Note that this is the same answer that we got using the previous definition of MVA. Both methods will give the same results if the market value of debt is approximately equal to its book value.

**Economic Value Added (EVA)**

Whereas MVA measures the effects of managerial actions since the very inception of a company, economic value added (EVA) focuses on managerial effectiveness in a given year. The basic EVA formula is as follows:

\[
\text{EVA} = \text{Net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT)} - \text{After-tax dollar cost of capital used to support operations} = \text{EBIT}(1 - \text{Tax rate}) - (\text{Total net operating capital})(\text{WACC})
\]
We can also calculate EVA in terms of ROIC:

\[
\text{EVA} = (\text{Operating capital})(\text{ROIC} - \text{WACC})
\]

As this equation shows, a firm adds value—that is, has a positive EVA—if its ROIC is greater than its WACC. If WACC exceeds ROIC, then new investments in operating capital will reduce the firm’s value.

EVA is an estimate of a business’s true economic profit for the year, and it differs sharply from accounting profit.\(^9\) EVA represents the residual income that remains after the cost of all capital, including equity capital, has been deducted, whereas accounting profit is determined without imposing a charge for equity capital. As we discuss in Chapter 10, equity capital has a cost, because funds provided by shareholders could have been invested elsewhere, where they would have earned a return. Shareholders give up the opportunity to invest elsewhere when they provide capital to the firm. The return they could earn elsewhere in investments of equal risk represents the cost of equity capital. This cost is an opportunity cost rather than an accounting cost, but it is quite real nevertheless.

Note that when calculating EVA we do not add back depreciation. Although it is not a cash expense, depreciation is a cost since worn-out assets must be replaced, and it is therefore deducted when determining both net income and EVA. Our calculation of EVA assumes that the true economic depreciation of the company’s fixed assets exactly equals the depreciation used for accounting and tax purposes. If this were not the case, adjustments would have to be made to obtain a more accurate measure of EVA.

EVA measures the extent to which the firm has increased shareholder value. Therefore, if managers focus on EVA, this will help to ensure that they operate in a manner that is consistent with maximizing shareholder wealth. Note too that EVA can be determined for divisions as well as for the company as a whole, so it provides a useful basis for determining managerial performance at all levels. Consequently, EVA is being used by an increasing number of firms as the primary basis for determining managerial compensation.

Table 7-5 shows how MicroDrive’s MVA and EVA are calculated. The stock price was $23 per share at year-end 2006, down from $26 per share the previous year. Its WACC, which is the percentage after-tax cost of capital, was 10.8 percent in 2005 and 11.0 percent in 2006, and its tax rate was 40 percent. Other data in Table 7-5 were given in the basic financial statements provided earlier in the chapter.

Note first that the lower stock price and the higher book value of equity (due to retaining earnings during 2006) combined to reduce the MVA. The 2006 MVA is still positive, but $460 - $254 = $206 million of stockholders’ value was lost during the year.

EVA for 2005 was just barely positive, and in 2006 it was negative. Operating income (NOPAT) rose, but EVA still declined, primarily because the amount of capital rose more sharply than NOPAT—by about 26 percent versus 8 percent—and the cost of this additional capital pulled EVA down.

---

\(^9\)The most important reason EVA differs from accounting profit is that the cost of equity capital is deducted when EVA is calculated. Other factors that could lead to differences include adjustments that might be made to depreciation, to research and development costs, to inventory valuations, and so on. These other adjustments also can affect the calculation of investor supplied capital, which affects both EVA and MVA. See Stewart, *The Quest for Value*, listed in the Selected Additional References at the end of the chapter.
Recall also that net income fell, but not nearly so dramatically as the decline in EVA. Net income does not reflect the amount of equity capital employed, but EVA does. Because of this omission, net income is not as useful as EVA for setting corporate goals and measuring managerial performance.

We will have more to say about both MVA and EVA later in the book, but we can close this section with two observations. First, there is a relationship between MVA and EVA, but it is not a direct one. If a company has a history of negative EVAs, then its MVA will probably be negative, and vice versa if it has a history of positive EVAs. However, the stock price, which is the key ingredient in the MVA calculation, depends more on expected future performance than on historical performance. Therefore, a company with a history of negative EVAs could have a positive MVA, provided investors expect a turnaround in the future.

The second observation is that when EVAs or MVAs are used to evaluate managerial performance as part of an incentive compensation program, EVA is the measure that is typically used. The reasons are (1) EVA shows the value added during a given year, whereas MVA reflects performance over the company’s entire life, perhaps even including times before the current managers were born, and (2) EVA can be applied to individual divisions or other units of a large corporation, whereas MVA must be applied to the entire corporation.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define “market value added (MVA)” and “economic value added (EVA).”

How does EVA differ from accounting profit?

---

**Table 7-5**

|MVA and EVA for MicroDrive ( Millions of Dollars, Except Per-Share Data)  |
|---|---|
| **MVA Calculation** | 2006 | 2005 |
| Price per share | $23.0 | $26.0 |
| Number of shares (millions) | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Market value of equity = Share price (number of shares) | $1,150.0 | $1,300.0 |
| Book value of equity | $896.0 | $840.0 |
| MVA = Market value — Book value | $254.0 | $460.0 |

**EVA Calculation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>$283.8</td>
<td>$263.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOPAT = EBIT(1 — T)</td>
<td>$170.3</td>
<td>$157.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investor-supplied operating capital</td>
<td>$1,800.0</td>
<td>$1,455.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average cost of capital, WACC (%)</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar cost of capital = Operating capital (WACC)</td>
<td>$198.0</td>
<td>$157.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA = NOPAT — Capital cost</td>
<td>($27.7)</td>
<td>$0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROIC = NOPAT/Operating capital</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
<td>10.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROIC — Cost of capital = ROIC — WACC</td>
<td>(1.54%)</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA = (Operating capital)(ROIC — WACC)</td>
<td>($27.7)</td>
<td>$0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Investor-supplied operating capital equals the sum of notes payable, long-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity, less short-term investments. It could also be calculated as total liabilities and equity minus accounts payable, accruals, and short-term investments. It is also equal to total net operating capital.*
THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX SYSTEM

The value of any financial asset (including stocks, bonds, and mortgages), as well as most real assets such as plants or even entire firms, depends on the stream of cash flows produced by the asset. Cash flows from an asset consist of usable income plus depreciation, and usable income means income after taxes. The following sections describe the key features of corporate and individual taxation.

Corporate Income Taxes

The corporate tax structure, shown in Table 7-6, is relatively simple. The marginal tax rate is the rate paid on the last dollar of income, while the average tax rate is the average rate paid on all income. To illustrate, if a firm had $65,000 of taxable income, its tax bill would be

\[
\text{Taxes} = 7,500 + 0.25(65,000 - 50,000) = 7,500 + 3,750 = 11,250
\]

Its marginal rate would be 25 percent, and its average tax rate would be $11,250/$65,000 = 17.3%. Note that corporate income above $18,333,333 has an average and marginal tax rate of 35 percent.¹⁰

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7-6 Corporate Tax Rates as of January 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If a Corporation's Taxable Income Is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to $50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000—$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000—$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000—$335,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$335,000—$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000,000—$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000,000—$18,333,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $18,333,333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹⁰Prior to 1987, many large, profitable corporations such as General Electric and Boeing paid no income taxes. The reasons for this were as follows: (1) expenses, especially depreciation, were defined differently for calculating taxable income than for reporting earnings to stockholders, so some companies reported positive profits to stockholders but losses—hence no taxes—to the Internal Revenue Service; and (2) some companies that did have tax liabilities used various tax credits to offset taxes that would otherwise have been payable. This situation was effectively eliminated in 1987.

The principal method used to eliminate this situation is the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Under the AMT, both corporate and individual taxpayers must figure their taxes in two ways, the "regular" way and the AMT way, and then pay the higher of the two. The AMT is calculated as follows: (1) Figure your regular taxes. (2) Take your taxable income under the regular method and then add back certain items, especially income on certain municipal bonds, depreciation in excess of straight-line depreciation, certain research and drilling costs, itemized or standard deductions (for individuals), and a number of other items. (3) The income determined in (2) is defined as AMT income, and it must then be multiplied by the AMT tax rate to determine the tax due under the AMT system. An individual or corporation must then pay the higher of the regular tax or the AMT tax. In 2005, there were two AMT tax rates for individuals (26 percent and 28 percent, depending on the level of AMT income and filing status). Most corporations have an AMT of 20 percent. However, there is no AMT for very small companies, defined as those that have had average sales of less than $7.5 million for the last three years.
Interest and Dividend Income Received by a Corporation

Interest income received by a corporation is taxed as ordinary income at regular corporate tax rates. However, 70 percent of the dividends received by one corporation from another is excluded from taxable income, while the remaining 30 percent is taxed at the ordinary tax rate.\(^\text{11}\) Thus, a corporation earning more than $18,333,333 and paying a 35 percent marginal tax rate would pay only \((0.30)(0.35) = 0.105\) = 10.5% of its dividend income as taxes, so its effective tax rate on dividends received would be 10.5 percent. If this firm had $10,000 in pre-tax dividend income, its after-tax dividend income would be $8,950:

\[
\text{After-tax income} = \text{Before-tax income} - \text{Taxes} = \text{Before-tax income} - (\text{Before-tax income})(\text{Effective tax rate}) = \text{Before-tax income}(1 - \text{Effective tax rate}) = 10,000(1 - 0.105) = 10,000(0.895) = 8,950
\]

If the corporation pays its own after-tax income out to its stockholders as dividends, the income is ultimately subjected to triple taxation: (1) the original corporation is first taxed, (2) the second corporation is then taxed on the dividends it received, and (3) the individuals who receive the final dividends are taxed again. This is the reason for the 70 percent exclusion on intercorporate dividends.

If a corporation has surplus funds that can be invested in marketable securities, the tax factor favors investment in stocks, which pay dividends, rather than in bonds, which pay interest. For example, suppose GE had $100,000 to invest, and it could buy either bonds that paid interest of $8,000 per year or preferred stock that paid dividends of $7,000. GE is in the 35 percent tax bracket; therefore, its tax on the interest, if it bought bonds, would be \(0.35(8,000) = 2,800\), and its after-tax income would be $5,200. If it bought preferred (or common) stock, its tax would be \(0.35[(0.30)(7,000)] = 735\), and its after-tax income would be $6,265. Other factors might lead GE to invest in bonds, but the tax factor certainly favors stock investments when the investor is a corporation.\(^\text{12}\)

Interest and Dividends Paid by a Corporation

A firm’s operations can be financed with either debt or equity capital. If it uses debt, it must pay interest on this debt, whereas if it uses equity, it is expected to pay dividends to the equity investors (stockholders). The interest paid by a corporation is deducted from its operating income to obtain its taxable income, but dividends paid are not deductible. Therefore, a firm needs $1 of pre-tax income to pay $1 of interest, but if it is in the 40 percent federal-plus-state tax bracket, it must earn $1.67 of pre-tax income to pay $1 of dividends:

\(^\text{11}\)The size of the dividend exclusion actually depends on the degree of ownership. Corporations that own less than 20 percent of the stock of the dividend-paying company can exclude 70 percent of the dividends received; firms that own more than 20 percent but less than 80 percent can exclude 80 percent of the dividends; and firms that own more than 80 percent can exclude the entire dividend payment. We will, in general, assume a 70 percent dividend exclusion.

\(^\text{12}\)This illustration demonstrates why corporations favor investing in lower-yielding preferred stocks over higher-yielding bonds. When tax consequences are considered, the yield on the preferred stock, \((1 - 0.35)(0.30)(7.0%) = 6.265\%\), is higher than the yield on the bond, \((1 - 0.35)(8.0%) = 5.2\%\). Also, note that corporations are restricted in their use of borrowed funds to purchase other firms’ preferred or common stocks. Without such restrictions, firms could engage in tax arbitrage, whereby the interest on borrowed funds reduces taxable income on a dollar-for-dollar basis, but taxable income is increased by only \$0.30 per dollar of dividend income. Thus, current tax laws reduce the 70 percent dividend exclusion in proportion to the amount of borrowed funds used to purchase the stock.
Working backward, if a company has $1.67 in pre-tax income, it must pay $0.67 in taxes \((0.4)(1.67) = 0.67\). This leaves it with after-tax income of $1.00.

Of course, it is generally not possible to finance exclusively with debt capital, and the risk of doing so would offset the benefits of the higher expected income. Still, the fact that interest is a deductible expense has a profound effect on the way businesses are financed—our corporate tax system favors debt financing over equity financing. This point is discussed in more detail in Chapters 10 and 15.

**Corporate Capital Gains** Before 1987, corporate long-term capital gains were taxed at lower rates than corporate ordinary income, so the situation was similar for corporations and individuals. Under current law, however, corporations’ capital gains are taxed at the same rates as their operating income.

**Corporate Loss Carryback and Carryforward** Ordinary corporate operating losses can be carried back (carryback) to each of the preceding 2 years and forward (carryforward) for the next 20 years and used to offset taxable income in those years. For example, an operating loss in 2006 could be carried back and used to reduce taxable income in 2004 and 2005, and forward, if necessary, and used in 2007, 2008, and so on, to the year 2026. After carrying back two years, any remaining loss is typically carried forward first to the next year, then to the one after that, and so on, until losses have been used up or the 20-year carryforward limit has been reached.\(^{13}\)

To illustrate, suppose Apex Corporation had $2 million of pre-tax profits (taxable income) in 2004 and 2005, and then, in 2006, Apex lost $12 million. Also, assume that Apex’s federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. As shown in Table 7-7, the company would use the carryback feature to recompute its taxes for 2004, using $2 million of the 2006 operating losses to reduce the 2004 pre-tax profit to zero. This would permit it to recover the taxes paid in 2004. Therefore, in 2006 Apex would receive a refund of its 2004 taxes because of the loss experienced in 2006. Because $10 million of the unrecovered losses would still be available, Apex would repeat this procedure for 2005. Thus, in 2006 the company would pay zero taxes for 2006 and also would receive a refund for taxes paid in 2004 and 2005. Apex would still have $8 million of unrecovered losses to carry forward, subject to the 20-year limit. This $8 million could be used to offset future taxable income. The purpose of this loss treatment is to avoid penalizing corporations whose incomes fluctuate substantially from year to year.

**Improper Accumulation to Avoid Payment of Dividends** Corporations could refrain from paying dividends and thus permit their stockholders to avoid personal income taxes on dividends. To prevent this, the Tax Code contains an improper accumulation provision that states that earnings accumulated by a corporation are subject to penalty rates if the purpose of the accumulation is to enable

---

\(^{13}\)In the wake of the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon on September 11, 2001, Congress temporarily changed the carryback provision in the Tax Code. The new provision allows operating losses incurred in tax years ending in 2001 or 2002 to be carried back five years rather than the normal two years. This provision expired in 2003, so we will use a two-year carryback provision in all of the examples.
stockholders to avoid personal income taxes. A cumulative total of $250,000 (the balance sheet item “retained earnings”) is by law exempted from the improper accumulation tax for most corporations. This is a benefit primarily to small corporations.

The improper accumulation penalty applies only if the retained earnings in excess of $250,000 are shown by the IRS to be unnecessary to meet the reasonable needs of the business. A great many companies do indeed have legitimate reasons for retaining more than $250,000 of earnings. For example, earnings may be retained and used to pay off debt, to finance growth, or to provide the corporation with a cushion against possible cash drains caused by losses. How much a firm should be allowed to accumulate for uncertain contingencies is a matter of judgment. We shall consider this matter again in Chapter 17, which deals with corporate dividend policy.

**Consolidated Corporate Tax Returns** If a corporation owns 80 percent or more of another corporation’s stock, it can aggregate income and file one consolidated tax return; thus, the losses of one company can be used to offset the profits of another. (Similarly, one division’s losses can be used to offset another division’s profits.) No business ever wants to incur losses (you can go broke losing $1 to save 35¢ in taxes), but tax offsets do help make it more feasible for large, multidivisional corporations to undertake risky new ventures or ventures that will suffer losses during a developmental period.

**Taxes on Overseas Income** Many U.S. corporations have overseas subsidiaries, and those subsidiaries must pay taxes in the countries where they operate. Often, foreign tax rates are lower than U.S. rates. As long as foreign earnings are reinvested overseas, no U.S. tax is due on those earnings. However, when foreign earnings are repatriated to the U.S. parent, they are taxed at the applicable U.S. rate, less a credit for taxes paid to the foreign country. As a result, U.S. corporations such as IBM, Coca-Cola, and Microsoft have been able to defer billions of dollars of taxes. This procedure has stimulated overseas investments by U.S.

---

**Table 7-7** Apex Corporation: Calculation of $12 Million Loss Carryback and Amount Available for Carryforward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Past Year 2004</th>
<th>Past Year 2005</th>
<th>Current Year 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original taxable income</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>−$12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryback credit</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted profit</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes previously paid (40%)</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference = Tax refund due</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tax refund received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of loss carryforward available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current loss</td>
<td>−$12,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryback losses used</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryforward losses still available</td>
<td></td>
<td>−$8,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
multinational firms—they can continue the deferral indefinitely, but only if they reinvest the earnings in their overseas operations.\footnote{This is a contentious political issue. U.S. corporations argue that our tax system is similar to systems in the rest of the world, and if they were taxed immediately on all overseas earnings they would be at a competitive disadvantage vis-á-vis their global competitors. Others argue that taxation encourages overseas investments at the expense of domestic investments, contributing to the jobs outsourcing problem and also to the federal budget deficit.}

**Taxation of Small Businesses: S Corporations**

The Tax Code provides that small businesses that meet certain restrictions as spelled out in the code may be set up as corporations and thus receive the benefits of the corporate form of organization—especially limited liability—yet still be taxed as proprietorships or partnerships rather than as corporations. These corporations are called \textit{S corporations}. (“Regular” corporations are called \textit{C corporations}.) If a corporation elects S corporation status for tax purposes, all of the business’s income is reported as personal income by its stockholders, on a pro rata basis, and thus is taxed at the rates that apply to individuals. This is an important benefit to the owners of small corporations in which all or most of the income earned each year will be distributed as dividends, because then the income is taxed only once, at the individual level.

**Personal Taxes**

The Web Extension to this chapter provides a more detailed treatment of individual taxation, but the key elements are presented here. \textit{Ordinary income} consists primarily of wages or profits from a proprietorship or partnership, plus investment income. For the 2005 tax year, individuals with less than $7,300 of taxable income are subject to a federal income tax rate of 10 percent. For those with higher income, tax rates increase and go up to 35 percent, depending on the level of income. This is called a \textit{progressive tax}, because the higher one’s income, the larger the percentage paid in taxes.

As noted above, individuals are taxed on investment income as well as earned income, but with a few exceptions and modifications. For example, interest received from most state and local government bonds, called \textit{municipals} or “\textit{munis},” is not subject to federal taxation. However, interest earned on most other bonds or lending is taxed as ordinary income. This means that a lower-yielding muni can provide the same after-tax return as a higher-yielding corporate bond. For a taxpayer in the 35 percent marginal tax bracket, a muni yielding 5.5 percent provides the same after-tax return as a corporate bond with a pre-tax yield of 8.46 percent: $8.46\%(1 - 0.35) = 5.5\%$.

Assets such as stocks, bonds, and real estate are defined as capital assets. If you own a capital asset and its price goes up, then your wealth increases, but you are not liable for any taxes on your increased wealth until you sell the asset. If you sell the asset for more than you originally paid, the profit is called a \textit{capital gain}; if you sell it for less, then you suffer a \textit{capital loss}. The length of time you owned the asset determines the tax treatment. If held for less than one year, then your gain or loss is simply added to your other ordinary income. If held for more than a year, then gains are called \textit{long-term capital gains} and are taxed at a lower rate. See the Chapter 7 Web Extension for details, but the long-term capital gains rate is 15 percent for most situations.
Under the 2003 tax law changes, dividends are now taxed as though they were capital gains. As stated earlier, corporations may deduct interest payments but not dividends when computing their corporate tax liability, which means that dividends are taxed twice, once at the corporate level and again at the personal level. This differential treatment motivates corporations to use debt relatively heavily, and to pay small (or even no) dividends. The 2003 tax law did not eliminate the differential treatment of dividends and interest payments from the corporate perspective, but it did make the tax treatment of dividends more similar to that of capital gains from investors’ perspectives. To see this, consider a company that doesn’t pay a dividend but instead reinvests the cash it could have paid. The company’s stock price should increase, leading to a capital gain, which would be taxed at the same rate as the dividend. Of course, the stock price appreciation isn’t actually taxed until the stock is sold, whereas the dividend is taxed in the year it is paid, so dividends will still be more costly than capital gains for many investors.

Finally, note that the income of both S corporations and noncorporate businesses is reported as income by the firms’ owners. Since there are far more S corporations, partnerships, and proprietorships than C corporations (which are subject to the corporate tax), individual tax considerations play an important role in business finance.

Self-Test Questions

- Explain what is meant by this statement: “Our tax rates are progressive.”
- Explain the difference between marginal tax rates and average tax rates.
- What is a “municipal bond,” and how are these bonds taxed?
- What are capital gains and losses, and how are they taxed?
- How does the federal income tax system treat dividends received by a corporation versus those received by an individual?
- What is the difference in the tax treatment of interest and dividends paid by a corporation?
- Does this factor favor debt or equity financing?
- Briefly explain how tax loss carryback and carryforward procedures work.

SUMMARY

The primary purposes of this chapter were (1) to describe the basic financial statements, (2) to present some background information on cash flows, and (3) to provide an overview of the federal income tax system. The key concepts covered are listed below.

- The four basic statements contained in the annual report are the balance sheet, the income statement, the statement of retained earnings, and the statement of cash flows. Investors use the information provided in these statements to form expectations about the future levels of earnings and dividends, and about the firm’s riskiness.
- The balance sheet shows assets on the left-hand side and liabilities and equity, or claims against assets, on the right-hand side. (Sometimes assets are shown at the top and claims at the bottom of the balance sheet.) The balance sheet may be thought of as a snapshot of the firm’s financial position at a particular point in time.
- The income statement reports the results of operations over a period of time, and it shows earnings per share as its “bottom line.”
• The statement of retained earnings shows the change in retained earnings between balance sheet dates. Retained earnings represent a claim against assets, not assets per se.
• The statement of cash flows reports the effect of operating, investing, and financing activities on cash flows over an accounting period.
• Net cash flow differs from accounting profit because some of the revenues and expenses reflected in accounting profits may not have been received or paid out in cash during the year. Depreciation is typically the largest noncash item, so net cash flow is often expressed as net income plus depreciation. Investors are at least as interested in a firm’s projected net cash flow as in reported earnings because it is cash, not paper profit, that is paid out as dividends and plowed back into the business to produce growth.
• Operating current assets are the current assets that are used to support operations, such as cash, inventory, and accounts receivable. They do not include short-term investments.
• Operating current liabilities are the current liabilities that occur as a natural consequence of operations, such as accounts payable and accruals. They do not include notes payable or any other short-term debts that charge interest.
• Net operating working capital is the difference between operating current assets and operating current liabilities. Thus, it is the working capital acquired with investor-supplied funds.
• Operating long-term assets are the long-term assets used to support operations, such as net plant and equipment. They do not include any long-term investments that pay interest or dividends.
• Total net operating capital (which means the same as operating capital and net operating assets) is the sum of net operating working capital and operating long-term assets. It is the total amount of capital needed to run the business.
• NOPAT is net operating profit after taxes. It is the after-tax profit a company would have if it had no debt and no investments in nonoperating assets. Because it excludes the effects of financial decisions, it is a better measure of operating performance than is net income.
• Free cash flow (FCF) is the amount of cash flow remaining after a company makes the asset investments necessary to support operations. In other words, FCF is the amount of cash flow available for distribution to investors, so the value of a company is directly related to its ability to generate free cash flow. It is defined as NOPAT minus the net investment in operating capital.
• Market value added (MVA) represents the difference between the total market value of a firm and the total amount of investor-supplied capital. If the market values of debt and preferred stock equal their values as reported on the financial statements, then MVA is the difference between the market value of a firm’s stock and the amount of equity its shareholders have supplied.
• Economic value added (EVA) is the difference between after-tax operating profit and the total dollar cost of capital, including the cost of equity capital. EVA is an estimate of the value created by management during the year, and it differs substantially from accounting profit because no charge for the use of equity capital is reflected in accounting profit.
• The value of any asset depends on the stream of after-tax cash flows it produces. Tax rates and other aspects of our tax system are changed by Congress every year or so.
• Interest income received by a corporation is taxed as **ordinary income**; however, 70 percent of the dividends received by one corporation from another are excluded from **taxable income**.

• Because interest paid by a corporation is a **deductible** expense while dividends are not, our tax system favors debt over equity financing.

• Ordinary corporate operating losses can be **carried back** to each of the preceding 2 years and **forward** for the next 20 years and used to offset taxable income in those years.

• **S corporations** are small businesses that have the limited-liability benefits of the corporate form of organization yet are taxed as a partnership or a proprietorship.

• In the United States, tax rates are **progressive**—the higher one’s income, the larger the percentage paid in taxes.

• Assets such as stocks, bonds, and real estate are defined as **capital assets**. If a capital asset is sold for more than its cost, the profit is called a **capital gain**. If the asset is sold for a loss, it is called a **capital loss**. Assets held for more than a year provide **long-term** gains or losses.

• Dividends are taxed as though they were capital gains.

### QUESTIONS

**7-1** Define each of the following terms:

a. Annual report; balance sheet; income statement

b. Common stockholders’ equity, or net worth; retained earnings

c. Statement of retained earnings; statement of cash flows

d. Depreciation; amortization; EBITDA

e. Operating current assets; operating current liabilities; net operating working capital; total net operating capital

f. Accounting profit; net cash flow; NOPAT; free cash flow

g. Market value added; economic value added

h. Progressive tax; taxable income; marginal and average tax rates

i. Capital gain or loss; tax loss carryback and carryforward

j. Improper accumulation; S corporation

**7-2** What four statements are contained in most annual reports?

**7-3** If a “typical” firm reports $20 million of retained earnings on its balance sheet, could its directors declare a $20 million cash dividend without any qualms whatsoever?

**7-4** Explain the following statement: “While the balance sheet can be thought of as a snapshot of the firm’s financial position at a point in time, the income statement reports on operations over a period of time.”

**7-5** What is operating capital, and why is it important?

**7-6** Explain the difference between NOPAT and net income. Which is a better measure of the performance of a company’s operations?

**7-7** What is free cash flow? Why is it the most important measure of cash flow?

**7-8** If you were starting a business, what tax considerations might cause you to prefer to set it up as a proprietorship or a partnership rather than as a corporation?
Note: By the time this book is published, Congress might have changed rates and/or other provisions of current tax law—as noted in the chapter, such changes occur fairly often. Work all problems on the assumption that the information in the chapter is applicable.

7-1 Personal After-Tax Yield
An investor recently purchased a corporate bond which yields 9 percent. The investor is in the 36 percent combined federal and state tax bracket. What is the bond’s after-tax yield?

7-2 Personal After-Tax Yield
Corporate bonds issued by Johnson Corporation currently yield 8 percent. Municipal bonds of equal risk currently yield 6 percent. At what tax rate would an investor be indifferent between these two bonds?

7-3 Corporate Tax Liability
The Talley Corporation had a taxable income of $365,000 from operations after all operating costs but before (1) interest charges of $50,000, (2) dividends received of $15,000, (3) dividends paid of $25,000, and (4) income taxes. What is the firm’s income tax liability and its after-tax income? What are the company’s marginal and average tax rates on taxable income?

7-4 Corporate Tax Liability
The Wendt Corporation had $10.5 million of taxable income.
(a) What is the company’s federal income tax bill for the year?
(b) Assume the firm receives an additional $1 million of interest income from some bonds it owns. What is the tax on this interest income?
(c) Now assume that Wendt does not receive the interest income but does receive an additional $1 million as dividends on some stock it owns. What is the tax on this dividend income?

7-5 Corporate After-Tax Yield
The Shrieves Corporation has $10,000 that it plans to invest in marketable securities. It is choosing among AT&T bonds, which yield 7.5 percent, state of Florida muni bonds, which yield 5 percent, and AT&T preferred stock, with a dividend yield of 6 percent. Shrieves’s corporate tax rate is 35 percent, and 70 percent of the dividends received are tax exempt. Assuming that the investments are equally risky and that Shrieves chooses strictly on the basis of after-tax returns, which security should be selected? What is the after-tax rate of return on the highest-yielding security?

7-6 Cash Flow
The Klaven Corporation has operating income (EBIT) of $750,000. The company’s depreciation expense is $200,000. Klaven is 100 percent equity financed, and it faces a 40 percent tax rate. What is the company’s net income? What is its net cash flow?

7-7 Income and Cash Flow Analysis
The Menendez Corporation expects to have sales of $12 million. Costs other than depreciation are expected to be 75 percent of sales, and depreciation is expected to be $1.5 million. All sales revenues will be collected in cash, and costs other than depreciation must be paid for during the year. Menendez’s federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent.
(a) Set up an income statement. What is Menendez’s expected net cash flow?
(b) Suppose Congress changed the tax laws so that Menendez’s depreciation expenses doubled. No changes in operations occurred. What would happen to reported profit and to net cash flow?
(c) Now suppose that Congress, instead of doubling Menendez’s depreciation, reduced it by 50 percent. How would profit and net cash flow be affected?
(d) If this were your company, would you prefer Congress to cause your depreciation expense to be doubled or halved? Why?
You have just obtained financial information for the past 2 years for Powell Panther Corporation. Answer the following questions.

a. What is the net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) for 2006?
b. What are the amounts of net operating working capital for both years?
c. What are the amounts of total net operating capital for both years?
d. What is the free cash flow for 2006?
e. How can you explain the large increase in dividends in 2006?

**Powell Panther Corporation: Income Statements for Year Ending December 31 (Millions of Dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$1,200.0</td>
<td>$1,000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs excluding depreciation</td>
<td>1,020.0</td>
<td>850.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>$125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less interest</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes</td>
<td>$128.3</td>
<td>$104.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income available to common stockholders</td>
<td>$77.0</td>
<td>$62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dividends</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Powell Panther Corporation: Balance Sheets as of December 31 (Millions of Dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>$ 12.0</td>
<td>$ 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$372.0</td>
<td>$360.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>250.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$672.0</td>
<td>$610.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$108.0</td>
<td>$ 90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$247.0</td>
<td>$201.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>$397.0</td>
<td>$351.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock (50 million shares)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>208.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common equity</td>
<td>$275.0</td>
<td>$258.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$672.0</td>
<td>$610.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Herrmann Company has made $150,000 before taxes during each of the last 15 years, and it expects to make $150,000 a year before taxes in the future. However, in 2006 the firm incurred a loss of $650,000. The firm will claim a tax credit at the time it files its 2006 income tax return, and it will receive a check from the U.S. Treasury. Show how it calculates this credit, and then indicate the firm’s tax liability for each of the next 5 years. Assume a 40 percent tax rate on all income to ease the calculations.

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch07 P10 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Cumberland Industries’ most recent balance sheets (in thousands of dollars) are shown below and in the partial model in the file:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 91,450</td>
<td>$ 74,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>11,400</td>
<td>15,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>103,365</td>
<td>85,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>38,444</td>
<td>34,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$244,659</td>
<td>$210,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>67,165</td>
<td>42,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$311,824</td>
<td>$252,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 30,761</td>
<td>$ 23,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>30,477</td>
<td>22,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>16,717</td>
<td>14,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 77,955</td>
<td>$ 59,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>76,264</td>
<td>63,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>$154,219</td>
<td>$123,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>57,605</td>
<td>38,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total common equity</td>
<td>$157,605</td>
<td>$128,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$311,824</td>
<td>$252,670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The company’s sales for 2006 were $455,150,000, and EBITDA was 15 percent of sales. Furthermore, depreciation amounted to 11 percent of net fixed assets, interest charges were $8,575,000, the state-plus-federal corporate tax rate was 40 percent, and Cumberland pays 40 percent of its net income out in dividends. Given this information, construct Cumberland’s 2006 income statement. (Hint: Start with the partial model in the file.)

b. Next, construct the firm’s statement of retained earnings for the year ending December 31, 2006, and then its 2006 statement of cash flows.

c. Calculate net operating working capital, total net operating capital, net operating profit after taxes, and free cash flow for 2006.

d. Calculate the firm’s EVA and MVA for 2006. Assume that Cumberland had 10 million shares outstanding, that the year-end closing stock price was $17.25 per share, and its after-tax cost of capital (WACC) was 12 percent.
Donna Jamison, a graduate of the University of Tennessee with four years of banking experience, was recently brought in as assistant to the chairman of the board of Computron Industries, a manufacturer of electronic calculators.

The company doubled its plant capacity, opened new sales offices outside its home territory, and launched an expensive advertising campaign. Computron’s results were not satisfactory, to put it mildly. Its board of directors, which consisted of its president and vice president plus its major stockholders (who were all local businesspeople), was most upset when directors learned how the expansion was going. Suppliers were being paid late and were unhappy, and the bank was complaining about the deteriorating situation and threatening to cut off credit. As a result, Al Watkins, Computron’s president, was informed that changes would have to be made, and quickly, or he would be fired. Also, at the board’s insistence Donna Jamison was brought in and given the job of assistant to Fred Campo, a retired banker who was Computron’s chairman and largest stockholder. Campo agreed to give up a few of his golfing days and to help nurse the company back to health, with Jamison’s help.

Jamison began by gathering financial statements and other data.

### 2005 2006

#### Balance Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 9,000</td>
<td>$ 7,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>48,600</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>351,200</td>
<td>632,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>715,200</td>
<td>1,287,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$1,124,000</td>
<td>$1,946,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross fixed assets</td>
<td>491,000</td>
<td>1,202,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>146,200</td>
<td>263,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>$ 344,800</td>
<td>$ 939,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$1,468,800</td>
<td>$2,886,592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Liabilities and Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 145,600</td>
<td>$ 324,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>284,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 481,600</td>
<td>$1,328,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>323,432</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock (100,000 shares)</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>460,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>203,768</td>
<td>97,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity</td>
<td>$ 663,768</td>
<td>$ 557,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$1,468,800</td>
<td>$2,886,592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income Statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$3,432,000</td>
<td>$5,834,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold</td>
<td>2,864,000</td>
<td>4,980,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>340,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>18,900</td>
<td>116,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating costs</td>
<td>$3,222,900</td>
<td>$5,816,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>$ 209,100</td>
<td>$ 17,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>176,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>$ 146,600</td>
<td>$ (158,560)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>58,640</td>
<td>(63,424)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 87,960</td>
<td>$ (95,136)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock price</td>
<td>$ 8.50</td>
<td>$ 6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares outstanding</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>$ 0.880</td>
<td>$ (0.951)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>$ 0.220</td>
<td>$ 0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of Retained Earnings, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance of retained earnings, 12/31/2005</td>
<td>$ 203,768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Net income, 2006</td>
<td>(95,136)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Dividends paid, 2006</td>
<td>(11,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of retained earnings, 12/31/2006</td>
<td>$ 97,632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of Cash Flows, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>($ 95,136)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncash adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>116,960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in working capital:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accounts receivable</td>
<td>(280,960)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in inventories</td>
<td>(572,160)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accounts payable</td>
<td>178,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accruals</td>
<td>148,960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>($ 503,936)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Investing Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash used to acquire fixed assets</td>
<td>($ 711,950)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assume that you are Jamison’s assistant, and you must help her answer the following questions for Campo.

a. What effect did the expansion have on sales and net income? What effect did the expansion have on the asset side of the balance sheet? What effect did it have on liabilities and equity?
b. What do you conclude from the statement of cash flows?
c. What is free cash flow? Why is it important? What are the five uses of FCF?
d. What are operating current assets? What are operating current liabilities? How much net operating working capital and total net operating capital does Computron have?
e. What are Computron’s net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) and free cash flow (FCF)?
f. Calculate Computron’s return on invested capital. Computron has a 10 percent cost of capital (WACC). Do you think Computron’s growth added value?
g. Jamison also has asked you to estimate Computron’s EVA. She estimates that the after-tax cost of capital was 10 percent in both years.
h. What happened to Computron’s market value added (MVA)?
i. Assume that a corporation has $100,000 of taxable income from operations plus $5,000 of interest income and $10,000 of dividend income. What is the company’s federal tax liability?
j. Assume that you are in the 25 percent marginal tax bracket and that you have $5,000 to invest. You have narrowed your investment choices down to California bonds with a yield of 7 percent or equally risky ExxonMobil bonds with a yield of 10 percent. Which one should you choose and why? At what marginal tax rate would you be indifferent to the choice between California and ExxonMobil bonds?

### Selected Additional References

The effects of alternative accounting policies on financial statements are discussed in the investment textbooks referenced in Chapter 2 and also in the many excellent texts on financial statement analysis. For example, see Fraser, Lyn M., and Aileen Ormiston, *Understanding Financial Statements* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2004).


Financial statement analysis involves (1) comparing the firm’s performance with that of other firms in the same industry and (2) evaluating trends in the firm’s financial position over time. This analysis helps managers identify deficiencies and then take actions to improve performance. The real value of financial statements lies in the fact that they can be used to help predict future earnings, dividends, and free cash flow. From an investor’s standpoint, predicting the future is what financial statement analysis is all about, while from management’s standpoint, financial statement analysis is useful both to help anticipate future conditions and, more important, as a starting point for planning actions that will improve the firm’s future performance.¹

¹Widespread accounting fraud has cast doubt on whether all firms’ published financial statements can be trusted. New regulations by the SEC and the exchanges, and new laws enacted by Congress, have both improved oversight of the accounting industry and increased the criminal penalties on management for fraudulent reporting.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Why are financial ratios used? Name five categories of ratios, and then list several ratios in each category. Would a bank loan officer, a bond analyst, a stock analyst, and a manager be likely to put the same emphasis and interpretation on each ratio?

2. Suppose a company has a DSO that is considerably higher than its industry average. If the company could reduce its accounts receivable to the point where its DSO was equal to the industry average without affecting its sales or its operating costs, how would this affect (a) its free cash flow? (b) its return on common equity? (c) its debt ratio? (d) its times-interest-earned ratio? (e) its loan/EBITDA ratio? (f) its price/earnings ratio? (g) its market/book ratio?

3. How do managers, bankers, and security analysts use (a) trend analysis, (b) benchmarking, (c) percent change analysis, and (d) common size analysis?

4. Explain how ratio analysis in general, and the Du Pont system in particular, can be used by managers to help maximize their firms’ stock prices.

5. How would each of the following factors affect ratio analysis? (a) The firm’s sales are highly seasonal. (b) The firm uses some type of window dressing. (c) The firm issues more debt and uses the proceeds to repurchase stock. (d) The firm leases more of its fixed assets than most firms in its industry. (e) In an effort to stimulate sales, the firm eases its credit policy by offering 60-day credit terms rather than the current 30-day terms. How might one use sensitivity analysis to help quantify the answers?

6. How might one establish norms (or target values) for the financial ratios of a company that is just getting started? Where might data for this purpose be obtained? Could information of this type be used to help determine how much debt and equity capital a new firm would require?

**RATIO ANALYSIS**

Financial ratios are designed to help evaluate financial statements. For example, Firm A might have debt of $5,248,760 and interest charges of $419,900, while Firm B might have debt of $52,647,980 and interest charges of $3,948,600. Which company is stronger? The burden of these debts, and the companies’ ability to repay them, can best be evaluated by comparing (1) each firm’s debt to its assets and (2) the interest it must pay to the income it has available for payment of interest. Such comparisons are made by ratio analysis.

We will calculate the Year 2006 financial ratios for MicroDrive Inc., using data from the balance sheets and income statements given in Table 8-1. We will
The value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its expected future free cash flows (FCF). This chapter shows you how to use financial statements to evaluate a company’s risk and its ability to generate free cash flows.

**LIQUIDITY RATIOS**

A liquid asset is one that trades in an active market and hence can be quickly converted to cash at the going market price, and a firm’s “liquidity ratios” deal with this question: Will the firm be able to pay off its debts as they come due over the next year or so? As shown in Table 8-1, MicroDrive has current liabilities of $310 million that must be paid off within the coming year. Will it have trouble satisfying those obligations? A full liquidity analysis requires the use of cash budgets, but by relating the amount of cash and other current assets to current obligations, ratio analysis provides a quick, easy-to-use measure of liquidity. Two commonly used liquidity ratios are discussed in this section.
### Table 8-1  MicroDrive Inc.: Balance Sheets and Income Statements for Years Ending December 31 ( Millions of Dollars, Except for Per Share Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>$220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$810</td>
<td>Long-term bonds (^a)</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>$1,064</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(400,000 shares)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50,000,000 shares)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total common equity</td>
<td>$896</td>
<td>$840</td>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1,680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Net sales                           | $3,000.0 | $2,850.0 |
| Operating costs excluding depreciation and amortization \(^b\) | 2,616.2 | 2,497.0 |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) | $383.8 | $353.0 |
| Depreciation                        | 100.0 | 90.0 |
| Amortization                        | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization       | $100.0 | $90.0 |
| Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT, or operating income) | $283.8 | $263.0 |
| Less interest                       | 88.0 | 60.0 |
| Earnings before taxes (EBT)         | $195.8 | $203.0 |
| Taxes (40%)                         | 78.3 | 81.2 |
| Net income before preferred dividends | $117.5 | $121.8 |
| Preferred dividends                 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Net income                          | $113.5 | $117.8 |
| Common dividends                    | $57.5 | $53.0 |
| Addition to retained earnings       | $56.0 | $64.8 |

**Per-Share Data**

- Common stock price: $23.00 $26.00
- Earnings per share (EPS): $2.27 $2.36
- Book value per share (BVPS): $17.92 $16.80
- Cash flow per share (CFPS): $4.27 $4.16

\(^a\)The bonds have a sinking fund requirement of $20 million a year.

\(^b\)The costs include lease payments of $28 million a year.
**Ability to Meet Short-Term Obligations: The Current Ratio**

The current ratio is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities:

\[
\text{Current ratio} = \frac{\text{Current assets}}{\text{Current liabilities}}
\]

Current assets normally include cash, marketable securities, accounts receivable, and inventories. Current liabilities consist of accounts payable, short-term notes payable, current maturities of long-term debt, accrued taxes, and other accrued expenses (principally wages).

MicroDrive has a lower current ratio than the average for its industry. Is this good or bad? Sometimes the answer depends on who is asking the question. For example, suppose a supplier is trying to decide whether to extend credit to MicroDrive. In general, creditors like to see a high current ratio. If a company is getting into financial difficulty, it will begin paying its bills (accounts payable) more slowly, borrowing from its bank, and so on, so its current liabilities will be increasing. If current liabilities are rising faster than current assets, the current ratio will fall, and this could spell trouble. Because the current ratio provides the best single indicator of the extent to which the claims of short-term creditors are covered by assets that are expected to be converted to cash fairly quickly, it is the most commonly used measure of short-term solvency.

Now consider the current ratio from the perspective of a shareholder. A high current ratio could mean that the company has a lot of money tied up in non-productive assets, such as excess cash or marketable securities. Or perhaps the high current ratio is due to large inventory holdings, which might well become obsolete before they can be sold. Thus, shareholders might not want a high current ratio.

An industry average is not a magic number that all firms should strive to maintain—in fact, some very well-managed firms will be above the average while other good firms will be below it. However, if a firm’s ratios are far removed from the averages for its industry, this is a red flag, and analysts should be concerned about why the variance occurs. For example, suppose a low current ratio is traced to low inventories. Is this a competitive advantage resulting from the firm’s mastery of just-in-time inventory management, or an Achilles’ heel that is causing the firm to miss shipments and lose sales? Ratio analysis doesn’t answer such questions, but it does point to areas of potential concern.

**Quick, or Acid Test, Ratio**

The quick, or acid test, ratio is calculated by deducting inventories from current assets and then dividing the remainder by current liabilities:

\[
\text{Quick, or acid test, ratio} = \frac{\text{Current assets} - \text{Inventories}}{\text{Current liabilities}}
\]
Inventories are typically the least liquid of a firm’s current assets; hence they are the current assets on which losses are most likely to occur in a bankruptcy. Therefore, a measure of the firm’s ability to pay off short-term obligations without relying on the sale of inventories is important.

The industry average quick ratio is 2.1, so MicroDrive’s 1.2 ratio is low in comparison with other firms in its industry. Still, if the accounts receivable can be collected, the company can pay off its current liabilities without having to liquidate its inventory.

**Self-Test Questions**

Identify two ratios that are used to analyze a firm’s liquidity position, and write out their equations.

What are the characteristics of a liquid asset? Give some examples.

Which current asset is typically the least liquid?

**ASSET MANAGEMENT RATIOS**

The second group of ratios, the asset management ratios, measures how effectively the firm is managing its assets. These ratios are designed to answer this question: Does the total amount of each type of asset as reported on the balance sheet seem reasonable, too high, or too low in view of current and projected sales levels? If a company has excessive investments in assets, then its operating assets and capital will be unduly high, which will reduce its free cash flow and its stock price. On the other hand, if a company does not have enough assets, it will lose sales, which will hurt profitability, free cash flow, and the stock price. Therefore, it is important to have the right amount invested in assets. Ratios that analyze the different types of assets are described in this section.

**Evaluating Inventories: The Inventory Turnover Ratio**

The inventory turnover ratio is defined as sales divided by inventories:

\[
\text{Inventory turnover ratio} = \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Inventories}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$3,000}{\$615} = 4.9 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 9.0 times

As a rough approximation, each item of MicroDrive’s inventory is sold out and restocked, or “turned over,” 4.9 times per year. “Turnover” is a term that originated many years ago with the old Yankee peddler, who would load up his wagon with goods and then go off to peddle his wares. The merchandise was called “working capital” because it was what he actually sold, or “turned over,” to produce his profits, whereas his “turnover” was the number of trips he took each
year. Annual sales divided by inventory equaled turnover, or trips per year. If he made 10 trips per year, stocked 100 pans, and made a gross profit of $5 per pan, his annual gross profit would be (100)($5)(10) = $5,000. If he went faster and made 20 trips per year, his gross profit would double, other things held constant. So, his turnover directly affected his profits.

MicroDrive’s turnover of 4.9 times is much lower than the industry average of 9 times. This suggests that MicroDrive is holding too much inventory. Excess inventory is, of course, unproductive, and it represents an investment with a low or zero rate of return. MicroDrive’s low inventory turnover ratio also makes us question the current ratio. With such a low turnover, we must wonder whether the firm is actually holding obsolete goods not worth their stated value.2

Note that sales occur over the entire year, whereas the inventory figure is for one point in time. For this reason, it is better to use an average inventory measure.3 If the firm’s business is highly seasonal, or if there has been a strong upward or downward sales trend during the year, it is especially useful to make some such adjustment. To maintain comparability with industry averages, however, we did not use the average inventory figure.

**Evaluating Receivables: The Days Sales Outstanding**

Days sales outstanding (DSO), also called the “average collection period” (ACP), is used to appraise accounts receivable, and it is calculated by dividing accounts receivable by average daily sales to find the number of days’ sales that are tied up in receivables.4 Thus, the DSO represents the average length of time that the firm must wait after making a sale before receiving cash, which is the average collection period. MicroDrive has 46 days sales outstanding, well above the 36-day industry average:

\[
\text{DSO} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Average sales per day}} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Annual sales/365}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$375}{\$3,000/365} = \frac{\$375}{\$8.219} = 45.6 \text{ days} = 46 \text{ days}
\]

Industry average = 36 days

The DSO can also be evaluated by comparison with the terms on which the firm sells its goods. For example, MicroDrive’s sales terms call for payment within 30 days, so the fact that 45 days’ sales, not 30 days’, are outstanding indicates that customers, on the average, are not paying their bills on time. This deprives MicroDrive of funds that it could use to invest in productive assets. Moreover, in some instances the fact that a customer is paying late may signal that the customer

---

2A problem arises calculating and analyzing the inventory turnover ratio. Sales are stated at market prices, so if inventories are carried at cost, as they generally are, the calculated turnover overstates the true turnover ratio. Therefore, it would be more appropriate to use cost of goods sold in place of sales in the formula’s numerator. However, established compilers of financial ratio statistics such as Dun & Bradstreet use the ratio of sales to inventories carried at cost. To develop a figure that can be compared with those published by Dun & Bradstreet and similar organizations, it is necessary to measure inventory turnover with sales in the numerator, as we do here.

3Preferably, the average inventory value should be calculated by summing the monthly figures during the year and dividing by 12. If monthly data are not available, one can add the beginning and ending annual figures and divide by 2. However, most industry ratios are calculated as above, using end-of-year values.

4It would be better to use average receivables, but we used year-end values for comparability with the industry average.
is in financial trouble, in which case MicroDrive may have a hard time ever collecting the receivable. Therefore, if the trend in DSO over the past few years has been rising, but the credit policy has not been changed, this would be strong evidence that steps should be taken to expedite the collection of accounts receivable.

**Evaluating Fixed Assets: The Fixed Assets Turnover Ratio**

The **fixed assets turnover ratio** measures how effectively the firm uses its plant and equipment. It is the ratio of sales to net fixed assets:

\[
\text{Fixed assets turnover ratio} = \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Net fixed assets}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$3,000}{\$1,000} = 3.0 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 3.0 times

MicroDrive’s ratio of 3.0 times is equal to the industry average, indicating that the firm is using its fixed assets about as intensively as are other firms in its industry. Therefore, MicroDrive seems to have about the right amount of fixed assets in relation to other firms.

A potential problem can exist when interpreting the fixed assets turnover ratio. Recall from accounting that fixed assets reflect the historical costs of the assets. Inflation has caused the value of many assets that were purchased in the past to be seriously understated. Therefore, if we were comparing an old firm that had acquired many of its fixed assets years ago at low prices with a new company that had acquired its fixed assets only recently, we would probably find that the old firm had the higher fixed assets turnover ratio. However, this would be more reflective of the difficulty accountants have in dealing with inflation than of any inefficiency on the part of the new firm. Financial analysts must recognize that this problem exists and deal with it judgmentally.

**Evaluating Total Assets: The Total Assets Turnover Ratio**

The final asset management ratio, the **total assets turnover ratio**, measures the turnover of all the firm’s assets; it is calculated by dividing sales by total assets:

\[
\text{Total assets turnover ratio} = \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Total assets}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$3,000}{\$2,000} = 1.5 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 1.8 times

MicroDrive’s ratio is somewhat below the industry average, indicating that the company is not generating a sufficient volume of business given its total asset investment. Sales should be increased, some assets should be sold, or a combination of these steps should be taken.
Identify four ratios that are used to measure how effectively a firm is managing its assets, and write out their equations.

How might rapid growth distort the inventory turnover ratio?

What potential problem might arise when comparing different firms’ fixed assets turnover ratios?

**DEBT MANAGEMENT RATIOS**

The extent to which a firm uses debt financing, or financial leverage, has three important implications: (1) By raising funds through debt, stockholders can maintain control of a firm without increasing their investment. (2) If the firm earns more on investments financed with borrowed funds than it pays in interest, then its shareholders’ returns are magnified, or “leveraged,” but their risks are also magnified. (3) Creditors look to the equity, or owner-supplied funds, to provide a margin of safety, so the higher the proportion of funding supplied by stockholders, the less risk creditors face. Chapter 15 explains the first two points in detail, while the following ratios examine leverage from a creditor’s point of view.

**How the Firm Is Financed: Total Liabilities to Total Assets**

The ratio of total liabilities to total assets is called the debt ratio, or sometimes the total debt ratio. It measures the percentage of funds provided by sources other than equity:

\[
\text{Debt ratio} = \frac{\text{Total liabilities}}{\text{Total assets}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$310 + \$754}{\$2,000} = \frac{\$1,064}{\$2,000} = 53.2\%
\]

Industry average = 40.0%

Creditors prefer low debt ratios because the lower the ratio, the greater the cushion against creditors’ losses in the event of liquidation. Stockholders, on the other hand, may want more leverage because it magnifies expected earnings.

MicroDrive’s debt ratio is 53.2 percent, which means that its creditors have supplied more than half the total financing. As we will discuss in Chapter 15, a variety of factors determine a company’s optimal debt ratio. Nevertheless, the fact that MicroDrive’s debt ratio exceeds the industry average raises a red flag and may make it costly for MicroDrive to borrow additional funds without first raising more equity capital. Creditors may be reluctant to lend the firm more money, and management would probably be subjecting the firm to the risk of bankruptcy if it increased the debt ratio by borrowing additional funds.

If you use a debt ratio that you did not calculate yourself, be sure to find out how the ratio was defined. Some sources provide the ratio of long-term debt to total assets, and some provide the ratio of debt to equity, so be sure to check the source’s definition.\(^5\)

\(^5\)The debt-to-assets (D/A) and debt-to-equity (D/E) ratios are simply transformations of each other:

\[
D/E = \frac{D/A}{1 - D/A} \quad \text{and} \quad D/A = \frac{D/E}{1 + D/E}
\]


**Ability to Pay Interest: Times-Interest-Earned**

The **times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio** is determined by dividing earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT in Table 8-1) by the interest charges:

\[
\text{Times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio} = \frac{\text{EBIT}}{\text{Interest charges}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$283.8}{\$88} = 3.2 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 6.0 times

The TIE ratio measures the extent to which operating income can decline before the firm is unable to meet its annual interest costs. Failure to meet this obligation can bring legal action by the firm’s creditors, possibly resulting in bankruptcy. Note that earnings before interest and taxes, rather than net income, is used in the numerator. Because interest is paid with pre-tax dollars, the firm’s ability to pay current interest is not affected by taxes.

MicroDrive’s interest is covered 3.2 times. Since the industry average is 6 times, MicroDrive is covering its interest charges by a relatively low margin of safety. Thus, the TIE ratio reinforces the conclusion from our analysis of the debt ratio that MicroDrive would face difficulties if it attempted to borrow additional funds.

**Ability to Service Debt: EBITDA Coverage Ratio**

The TIE ratio is useful for assessing a company’s ability to meet interest charges on its debt, but this ratio has two shortcomings: (1) Interest is not the only fixed financial charge—companies must also reduce debt on schedule, and many firms lease assets and thus must make lease payments. If they fail to repay debt or meet lease payments, they can be forced into bankruptcy. (2) EBIT does not represent all the cash flow available to service debt, especially if a firm has high depreciation and/or amortization charges. To account for these deficiencies, bankers and others have developed the **EBITDA coverage ratio**, defined as follows:

\[
\text{EBITDA coverage ratio} = \frac{\text{EBITDA} + \text{Lease payments}}{\text{Interest} + \text{Principal payments} + \text{Lease payments}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\$383.8 + \$28}{\$88 + \$20 + \$28} = \frac{\$411.8}{\$136} = 3.0 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 4.3 times

---

\[\text{Different analysts define the EBITDA coverage ratio in different ways. For example, some would omit the lease payment information, and others would “gross up” principal payments by dividing them by } (1 - T) \text{ because these payments are not tax deductions, hence must be made with after-tax cash flows. We included lease payments because, for many firms, they are quite important, and failing to make them can lead to bankruptcy just as surely as can failure to make payments on “regular” debt. We did not gross up principal payments because, if a company is in financial difficulty, its tax rate will probably be zero, hence the gross up is not necessary whenever the ratio is really important.}\]
MicroDrive had $383.8 million of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA). Also, lease payments of $28 million were deducted while calculating EBITDA. That $28 million was available to meet financial charges, hence it must be added back, bringing the total available to cover fixed financial charges to $411.8 million. Fixed financial charges consisted of $88 million of interest, $20 million of sinking fund payments, and $28 million for lease payments, for a total of $136 million. Therefore, MicroDrive covered its fixed financial charges by 3.0 times. However, if EBITDA declines, the coverage will fall, and EBITDA certainly can decline. Moreover, MicroDrive’s ratio is well below the industry average, so again, the company seems to have a relatively high level of debt.

The EBITDA coverage ratio is most useful for relatively short-term lenders such as banks, which rarely make loans (except real estate–backed loans) for longer than about five years. Over a relatively short period, depreciation-generated funds can be used to service debt. Over a longer time, those funds must be reinvested to maintain the plant and equipment or else the company cannot remain in business. Therefore, banks and other relatively short-term lenders focus on the EBITDA coverage ratio, whereas long-term bondholders focus on the TIE ratio.

**Self-Test Questions**

How does the use of financial leverage affect current stockholders’ control position?

In what way do taxes influence a firm’s willingness to finance with debt?

In what way does the use of debt involve a risk-versus-return trade-off?

Explain the following statement: “Analysts look at both balance sheet and income statement ratios when appraising a firm’s financial condition.”

Name three ratios that are used to measure the extent to which a firm uses financial leverage, and write out their equations.

### PROFITABILITY RATIOS

Profitability is the net result of a number of policies and decisions. The ratios examined thus far provide useful clues as to the effectiveness of a firm’s operations, but the **profitability ratios** go on to show the combined effects of liquidity, asset management, and debt on operating results.

#### Profit Margin on Sales

The **profit margin on sales**, calculated by dividing net income by sales, gives the profit per dollar of sales:

\[
\text{Profit margin on sales} = \frac{\text{Net income available to common stockholders}}{\text{Sales}}
\]

\[
= \frac {$113.5}{$3,000} = 3.8\%
\]

Industry average = 5.0%

MicroDrive’s profit margin is below the industry average of 5 percent. This subpar result occurs because costs are too high. High costs, in turn, generally occur

---

7A sinking fund is a required annual payment designed to reduce the balance of a bond or preferred stock issue.
You must be a good financial detective to analyze financial statements, especially if the company operates overseas. Despite attempts to standardize accounting practices, there are many differences in the way financial information is reported in different countries, and these differences create headaches for investors trying to make cross-border company comparisons.

A study by two Rider College accounting professors demonstrated that huge differences can exist. The professors developed a computer model to evaluate the net income of a hypothetical but typical company operating in different countries. Applying the standard accounting practices of each country, the hypothetical company would have reported net income of $34,600 in the United States, $260,600 in the United Kingdom, and $240,600 in Australia.

Such variances occur for a number of reasons. In most countries, including the United States, an asset’s balance sheet value is reported at original cost less any accumulated depreciation. However, in some countries, asset values are adjusted to reflect current market prices. Also, inventory valuation methods vary from country to country, as does the treatment of goodwill. Other differences arise from the treatment of leases, research and development costs, and pension plans.

These differences arise from a variety of legal, historical, cultural, and economic factors. For example, in Germany and Japan large banks are the key source of both debt and equity capital, whereas in the United States public capital markets are most important. As a result, U.S. corporations disclose a great deal of information to the public, while German and Japanese corporations use very conservative accounting practices that appeal to the banks.

There are two basic trends regarding international accounting standards. The first is a movement toward a single set of accounting standards. For example, the European Union recently passed regulations requiring all EU-listed companies to comply by 2005 with standards defined by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). There are also ongoing discussions between the IASB and the U.S. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) to develop a single set of financial standards for all companies worldwide. Second, IASB standards rely on general principles, while FASB standards are rules based. As the recent accounting scandals demonstrate, many U.S. companies have been able to comply with U.S. rules while violating the principle, or intent, underlying the rules. This is fueling a debate over the relative effectiveness of principles-based versus rules-based standards.

firm with the low profit margin might end up with a higher rate of return on its stockholders’ investment due to its use of financial leverage. We will see exactly how profit margins and the use of debt interact to affect the return on stockholders’ equity later in the chapter, when we examine the Du Pont model.

**Basic Earning Power (BEP)**

The **basic earning power (BEP)** ratio is calculated by dividing earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) by total assets:

\[
\text{Basic earning power ratio (BEP)} = \frac{\text{EBIT}}{\text{Total assets}}
\]

Industry average = 17.2%

\[
= \frac{283.8}{2,000} = 14.2\%
\]

This ratio shows the raw earning power of the firm’s assets, before the influence of taxes and leverage, and it is useful for comparing firms with different tax situations and different degrees of financial leverage. Because of its low turnover ratios and low profit margin on sales, MicroDrive is not getting as high a return on its assets as is the average company in its industry.\(^8\)

**Return on Total Assets**

The ratio of net income to total assets measures the **return on total assets (ROA)** after interest and taxes:

\[
\text{Return on total assets} = \text{ROA} = \frac{\text{Net income available to common stockholders}}{\text{Total assets}}
\]

\[
= \frac{113.5}{2,000} = 5.7\%
\]

Industry average = 9.0%

MicroDrive’s 5.7 percent return is well below the 9 percent average for the industry. This low return results from (1) the company’s low basic earning power plus (2) high interest costs resulting from its above-average use of debt, both of which cause its net income to be relatively low.

---

\(^8\)Notice that EBIT is earned throughout the year, whereas the total assets figure is an end-of-the-year number. Therefore, it would be conceptually better to calculate this ratio as EBIT/Average assets = EBIT/(Beginning assets + Ending assets)/2. We have not made this adjustment because the published ratios used for comparative purposes do not include it. However, when we construct our own comparative ratios, we do make the adjustment. Incidentally, the same adjustment would also be appropriate for the next two ratios, ROA and ROE.
Return on Common Equity

Ultimately, the most important, or “bottom line,” accounting ratio is the ratio of net income to common equity, which measures the return on common equity (ROE):

\[
\text{Return on common equity} = \text{ROE} = \frac{\text{Net income available to common stockholders}}{\text{Common equity}}
\]

\[
= \frac{113.5}{896} = 12.7\%
\]

Industry average = 15.0%

Stockholders invest to get a return on their money, and this ratio tells how well they are doing in an accounting sense. MicroDrive’s 12.7 percent return is below the 15 percent industry average, but not as far below as the return on total assets. This somewhat better result is due to the company’s greater use of debt, a point that is analyzed in detail later in the chapter.

Self-Test Questions

Identify and write out the equations for four ratios that show the combined effects of liquidity, asset management, and debt management on profitability.

Why is the basic earning power ratio useful?

Why does the use of debt lower the ROA?

What does ROE measure? Since interest expense lowers profits, does using debt lower ROE?

MARKET VALUE RATIOS

A final group of ratios, the market value ratios, relates the firm’s stock price to its earnings, cash flow, and book value per share. These ratios give management an indication of what investors think of the company’s past performance and future prospects. If the liquidity, asset management, debt management, and profitability ratios all look good, then the market value ratios will be high, and the stock price will probably be as high as can be expected.

Price/Earnings Ratio

The price/earnings (P/E) ratio shows how much investors are willing to pay per dollar of reported profits. MicroDrive’s stock sells for $23, so with an EPS of $2.27 its P/E ratio is 10.1:

\[
\text{Price/earnings (P/E) ratio} = \frac{\text{Price per share}}{\text{Earnings per share}}
\]

\[
= \frac{23.00}{2.27} = 10.1 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 12.5 times

P/E ratios are higher for firms with strong growth prospects, other things held constant, but they are lower for riskier firms. Because MicroDrive’s P/E ratio is
below the average, this suggests that the company is regarded as being somewhat riskier than most, as having poorer growth prospects, or both.

**Price/Cash Flow Ratio**

In some industries, stock price is tied more closely to cash flow rather than net income. Consequently, investors often look at the price/cash flow ratio, where cash flow is defined as net income plus depreciation and amortization:

\[
\text{Price/cash flow} = \frac{\text{Price per share}}{\text{Cash flow per share}}
\]

\[
= \frac{23.00}{4.27} = 5.4 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 6.8 times

MicroDrive’s price/cash flow ratio is also below the industry average, once again suggesting that its growth prospects are below average, its risk is above average, or both.

Note that some analysts look at multiples beyond just the price/earnings and the price/cash flow ratios. For example, depending on the industry, some may look at measures such as price/sales, price/customers, or price/EBITDA per share. Ultimately, though, value depends on free cash flows, so if these “exotic” ratios do not forecast future free cash flow, they may turn out to be misleading. This was true in the case of the dot-com retailers before they crashed and burned in 2000, costing investors many billions.

**Market/Book Ratio**

The ratio of a stock’s market price to its book value gives another indication of how investors regard the company. Companies with relatively high rates of return on equity generally sell at higher multiples of book value than those with low returns. First, we find MicroDrive’s book value per share:

\[
\text{Book value per share} = \frac{\text{Common equity}}{\text{Shares outstanding}}
\]

\[
= \frac{896}{50} = 17.92
\]

Now we divide the market price by the book value to get a market/book (M/B) ratio of 1.3 times:

\[
\text{Market/book ratio} = \frac{\text{Market price per share}}{\text{Book value per share}}
\]

\[
= \frac{23.00}{17.92} = 1.3 \text{ times}
\]

Industry average = 1.7 times
Investors are willing to pay relatively little for a dollar of MicroDrive’s book value. The average company in the S&P 500 had a market/book ratio of about 4.03 in the winter of 2005. Since M/B ratios typically exceed 1.0, this means that investors are willing to pay more for stocks than their accounting book values. The book value is a record of the past, showing the cumulative amount that stockholders have invested, either directly by purchasing newly issued shares or indirectly through retaining earnings. In contrast, the market price is forward-looking, incorporating investors’ expectations of future cash flows. For example, in early 2005 Alaska Air had a market/book ratio of only 1.19, reflecting the crisis in the airlines industry caused by the terrorist attacks and oil price increases, whereas Dell Computer’s market/book ratio was 15.32, indicating that investors expected Dell’s past successes to continue.

Table 8-2 summarizes MicroDrive’s financial ratios. As the table indicates, the company has many problems.

Describe three ratios that relate a firm’s stock price to its earnings, cash flow, and book value per share, and write out their equations.

How do market value ratios reflect what investors think about a stock’s risk and expected rate of return?

What does the price/earnings (P/E) ratio show? If one firm’s P/E ratio is lower than that of another, what are some factors that might explain the difference?

How is book value per share calculated? Explain why book values often deviate from market values.

**TREND ANALYSIS, COMMON SIZE ANALYSIS, AND PERCENT CHANGE ANALYSIS**

It is important to analyze trends in ratios as well as their absolute levels, for trends give clues as to whether a firm’s financial condition is likely to improve or to deteriorate. To do a trend analysis, one simply plots a ratio over time, as shown in Figure 8-1. This graph shows that MicroDrive’s rate of return on common equity has been declining since 2003, even though the industry average has been relatively stable. All the other ratios could be analyzed similarly.

Common size analysis and percent change analysis are two other techniques that can be used to identify trends in financial statements. Common size analysis is also useful in comparative analysis, and some sources of industry data, such as Risk Management Associates, are presented exclusively in common size form.

In a common size analysis, all income statement items are divided by sales, and all balance sheet items are divided by total assets. Thus, a common size income statement shows each item as a percentage of sales, and a common size balance sheet shows each item as a percentage of total assets. The advantage of common size analysis is that it facilitates comparisons of balance sheets and income statements over time and across companies.

Table 8-3 contains MicroDrive’s 2005 and 2006 common size income statements, along with the composite statement for the industry. (Note: Rounding may cause addition/subtraction differences in Tables 8-3 and 8-4.) MicroDrive’s operating costs are slightly above average, as are its interest expenses, but its taxes are relatively low because of its low EBIT. The net effect of all these forces is a relatively low profit margin.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Formula for Calculation</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Industry Average</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liquidity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Current assets / Current liabilities</td>
<td>$1,000 / $310 = 3.2 × 4.2 ×</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick, or acid test</td>
<td>Current assets − Inventories / Current liabilities</td>
<td>$385 / $310 = 1.2 × 2.1 ×</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>Sales / Inventories</td>
<td>$3,000 / $615 = 4.9 × 9.0 ×</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding (DSO)</td>
<td>Annual sales / 365</td>
<td>$375 / $8,219 = 46 days 36 days</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets turnover</td>
<td>Sales / Net fixed assets</td>
<td>$3,000 / $1,000 = 3.0 × 3.0 ×</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets turnover</td>
<td>Sales / Total assets</td>
<td>$3,000 / $2,000 = 1.5 × 1.8 ×</td>
<td>Somewhat low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt ratio</td>
<td>Total liabilities / Total assets</td>
<td>$1,064 / $2,000 = 53.2% 40.0%</td>
<td>High (risky)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times-interest-earned (TIE)</td>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) / Interest charges</td>
<td>$283.8 / $88 = 3.2 × 6.0 ×</td>
<td>Low (risky)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA coverage</td>
<td>EBITDA + Lease payments / Interest + Principal payments + Lease payments</td>
<td>$411.8 / $136 = 3.0 × 4.3 ×</td>
<td>Low (risky)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profitability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit margin on sales</td>
<td>Net income available to common stockholders / Sales</td>
<td>$113.5 / $3,000 = 3.8% 5.0%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic earning power (BEP)</td>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) / Total assets</td>
<td>$283.8 / $2,000 = 14.2% 17.2%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on total assets (ROA)</td>
<td>Net income available to common stockholders / Total assets</td>
<td>$113.5 / $2,000 = 5.7% 9.0%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on common equity (ROE)</td>
<td>Net income available to common stockholders / Common equity</td>
<td>$113.5 / $896 = 12.7% 15.0%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/earnings (P/E)</td>
<td>Price per share / Earnings per share</td>
<td>$23.00 / $2.27 = 10.1 × 12.5 ×</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/cash flow</td>
<td>Price per share / Cash flow per share</td>
<td>$23.00 / $4.27 = 5.4 × 6.8 ×</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/book (M/B)</td>
<td>Market price per share / Book value per share</td>
<td>$23.00 / $17.92 = 1.3 × 1.7 ×</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8-4 shows MicroDrive’s common size balance sheets, along with the industry average. Its accounts receivable are significantly higher than the industry average, its inventories are significantly higher, and it uses far more fixed charge capital (debt and preferred) than the average firm.

A final technique used to help analyze a firm’s financial statements is percentage change analysis. In this type of analysis, growth rates are calculated for all income statement items and balance sheet accounts. To illustrate, Table 8-5 contains MicroDrive’s income statement percentage change analysis for 2006. Sales increased at a 5.3 percent rate during 2006, while total operating costs increased...
at a slower 5.0 percent rate, leading to 7.9 percent growth in EBIT. The fact that sales increased faster than operating costs is positive, but this “good news” was offset by a 46.7 percent increase in interest expense. The significant growth in

Table 8-4 MicroDrive Inc.: Common Size Balance Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2006 Industry Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and equivalents</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred equity</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common equity</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8-5 MicroDrive Inc.: Income Statement Percentage Change Analysis (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$2,850</td>
<td>$3,000.0</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs excluding depreciation</td>
<td>$2,497</td>
<td>$2,616.2</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating costs</td>
<td>$2,587</td>
<td>$2,716.2</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT)</td>
<td>$ 263</td>
<td>$ 283.8</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less interest</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes (EBT)</td>
<td>$ 203</td>
<td>$ 195.8</td>
<td>(3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income before preferred dividends</td>
<td>$ 122</td>
<td>$ 117.5</td>
<td>(3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred dividends</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income available to common stockholders</td>
<td>$ 118</td>
<td>$ 113.5</td>
<td>(3.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interest expense caused growth in both earnings before taxes and net income to be negative. Thus, the percentage change analysis points out that the decrease in reported income in 2006 resulted almost exclusively from an increase in interest expense. This conclusion could be reached by analyzing dollar amounts, but percentage change analysis simplifies the task. The same type of analysis applied to the balance sheets would show that assets grew at a 19.0 percent rate, largely because inventories grew at a whopping 48.2 percent rate. With only a 5.3 percent growth in sales, the extreme growth in inventories should be of great concern to MicroDrive’s managers.

The conclusions reached in common size and percentage change analyses generally parallel those derived from ratio analysis. However, occasionally a serious deficiency is highlighted by only one of the three analytical techniques. Also, it is often useful to have all three and to drive home to management, in slightly different ways, the need to take corrective actions. Thus, a thorough financial statement analysis will include ratio, percentage change, and common size analyses, as well as a Du Pont analysis, as described next.

**Self-Test Questions**

How does one do a trend analysis?
What important information does a trend analysis provide?
What is common size analysis?
What is percent change analysis?

## TYING THE RATIOS TOGETHER: THE DU PONT EQUATION

The profit margin times the total assets turnover is called the Du Pont equation, and it gives the rate of return on assets (ROA):

\[
\text{ROA} = \text{Profit margin} \times \text{Total assets turnover}
\]

\[
= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Sales}} \times \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Total assets}}
\]

\[= 3.8\% \times 1.5 = 5.7\%
\]

MicroDrive made 3.8 percent, or 3.8 cents, on each dollar of sales, and its assets were “turned over” 1.5 times during the year. Therefore, the company earned a return of 5.7 percent on its assets.

If the company were financed only with common equity, the rate of return on assets (ROA) and the return on equity (ROE) would be the same because the total assets would equal the common equity:

\[
\text{ROA} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Total assets}} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Common equity}} = \text{ROE}
\]

This equality holds if and only if Total assets = Common equity, that is, if the company uses no debt. MicroDrive does use debt, so its common equity is less than total assets. Therefore, the return to the common stockholders (ROE) must
be greater than the ROA of 5.7 percent. To find the ROE, multiply the rate of return on assets (ROA) by the *equity multiplier*, which is the ratio of assets to common equity:

\[
\text{Equity multiplier} = \frac{\text{Total assets}}{\text{Common equity}}
\]

Firms that use a large amount of debt financing (a lot of leverage) will necessarily have a high equity multiplier—the more the debt, the less the equity, hence the higher the equity multiplier. For example, if a firm has $1,000 of assets and is financed with $800 (or 80 percent) debt, then its equity will be $200, and its equity multiplier will be $1,000/$200 = 5. Had it used only $200 of debt, then its equity would have been $800, and its equity multiplier would have been only $1,000/$800 = 1.25.9

MicroDrive’s return on equity (ROE) depends on its ROA and its use of leverage.

\[
\text{ROE} = \text{ROA} \times \text{Equity multiplier}
\]

\[
= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Total assets}} \times \frac{\text{Total assets}}{\text{Common equity}}
\]

\[
= 5.7\% \times \frac{2,000}{896}
\]

\[
= 5.7\% \times 2.23
\]

\[
= 12.7\%
\]

Now we can combine Equations 8-1 and 8-2 to form the *extended Du Pont equation*, which shows how the profit margin, the assets turnover ratio, and the equity multiplier combine to determine the ROE:

\[
\text{ROE} = (\text{Profit margin})(\text{Total assets turnover})(\text{Equity multiplier})
\]

\[
= \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Sales}} \times \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Total assets}} \times \frac{\text{Total assets}}{\text{Common equity}}
\]

For MicroDrive, we have

\[
\text{ROE} = (3.8\%)(1.5)(2.23)
\]

\[
= 12.7\%
\]

9Expressed algebraically,

\[
\text{Debt ratio} = \frac{D}{A} = \frac{A - E}{A} = \frac{A}{A} - \frac{E}{A} = 1 - \frac{1}{\text{Equity multiplier}}
\]

Here D is debt, E is equity, A is total assets, and A/E is the equity multiplier. This equation ignores preferred stock.
The 12.7 percent rate of return could, of course, be calculated directly: both Sales and Total assets cancel, leaving \( \frac{Net\ income}{Common\ equity} = \frac{113.5}{896} = 12.7\% \). However, the Du Pont equation shows how the profit margin, the total assets turnover, and the use of debt interact to determine the return on equity.

The insights provided by the Du Pont model are valuable, and it can be used for “quick and dirty” estimates of the impact that operating changes have on returns. For example, holding all else equal, if MicroDrive can drive up its ratio of sales/total assets to 1.8, then its ROE will improve to \( (3.8\%)(1.8)(2.23) = 15.25\% \). For a more complete “what if” analysis, most companies use a forecasting model such as the one described in Chapter 9.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how the extended, or modified, Du Pont equation can be used to reveal the basic determinants of ROE.

What is the equity multiplier?

---

**COMPARATIVE RATIOS AND “BENCHMARKING”**

Ratio analysis involves comparisons—a company’s ratios are compared with those of other firms in the same industry, that is, with industry average figures. However, like most firms, MicroDrive’s managers go one step further—they also compare their ratios with those of a smaller set of the leading computer companies. This technique is called **benchmarking**, and the companies used for the comparison are called **benchmark companies**. For example, MicroDrive benchmarks against five other firms that its management considers to be the best-managed companies with operations similar to its own.

Many companies also benchmark various parts of their overall operation against top companies, whether they are in the same industry or not. For example, MicroDrive has a division that sells hard drives directly to consumers through catalogs and the Internet. This division’s shipping department benchmarks against L.L. Bean, even though they are in different industries, because L.L. Bean’s shipping department is one of the best. MicroDrive wants its own shippers to strive to match L.L. Bean’s record for on-time shipments.

Comparative ratios are available from a number of sources, including **Value Line**, Dun and Bradstreet (D&B), and the **Annual Statement Studies** published by Risk Management Associates, which is the national association of bank loan officers. Table 8-6 reports selected ratios from Reuters available through Yahoo!.

Each data-supplying organization uses a somewhat different set of ratios designed for its own purposes. For example, D&B deals mainly with small firms, many of which are proprietorships, and it sells its services primarily to banks and other lenders. Therefore, D&B is concerned largely with the creditor’s viewpoint, and its ratios emphasize current assets and liabilities, not market value ratios. So, when you select a comparative data source, you should be sure that your emphasis is similar to that of the agency whose ratios you plan to use. Additionally, there are often definitional differences in the ratios presented by different sources, so before using a source, be sure to verify the exact definitions of the ratios to ensure consistency with your own work.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between trend analysis and comparative ratio analysis.

Why is it useful to do a comparative ratio analysis?

What is benchmarking?
USES AND LIMITATIONS OF RATIO ANALYSIS

Ratio analysis is used by three main groups: (1) managers, who employ ratios to help analyze, control, and thus improve their firms’ operations; (2) credit analysts, including bank loan officers and bond rating analysts, who analyze ratios to help ascertain a company’s ability to pay its debts; and (3) stock analysts, who are interested in a company’s efficiency, risk, and growth prospects. In later chapters we will look more closely at the basic factors that underlie each ratio, which will give you a better idea about how to interpret and use ratios. Note, though, that while ratio analysis can provide useful information concerning a company’s operations and financial condition, it does have limitations that necessitate care and judgment. Some potential problems are listed below:

1. Many large firms operate different divisions in different industries, and for such companies it is difficult to develop a meaningful set of industry averages. Therefore, ratio analysis is more useful for small, narrowly focused firms than for large, multidivisional ones.

2. Most firms want to be better than average, so merely attaining average performance is not necessarily good. As a target for high-level performance, it is best to focus on the industry leaders’ ratios. Benchmarking helps in this regard.

3. Inflation may have badly distorted firms’ balance sheets—recorded values are often substantially different from “true” values. Further, because inflation

---

Table 8-6 Comparative Ratios for Dell Computer Corporation, the Computer Hardware Industry, the Technology Sector, and the S&P 500

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Dell</th>
<th>Computer Hardware Industrya</th>
<th>Technology Sectorb</th>
<th>S&amp;P 500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P/E ratio</td>
<td>34.22</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>28.87</td>
<td>21.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market to book</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price to tangible book</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price to cash flow</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>26.35</td>
<td>25.48</td>
<td>16.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net profit margin</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick ratio</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current ratio</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt to equity</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt to equity</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest coverage (TIE)c</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on assets</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on equity</td>
<td>49.15</td>
<td>30.63</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>19.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>98.31</td>
<td>52.33</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>11.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset turnover</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

aThe computer hardware industry is comprised of 50 firms, including IBM, Dell, Apple, Sun Microsystems, Gateway, and Silicon Graphics.
bThe technology sector contains 11 industries, including communications equipment, computer hardware, computer networks, semiconductors, and software and programming.
cDell had more interest income than interest expense.

To find comparative ratios from Thomson ONE—Business School Edition, enter the ticker for a company and then click “Go.” From the top tab (in dark blue), select “Peers.” This will show a second row of items (in light blue). Selecting “Financials” will reveal a drop-down menu with several different categories of ratios and other financial information. Similarly, selecting “Performance,” “Earnings,” or “More” will reveal other drop-down menus for other useful financial information, including a Du Pont analysis. The default peer set is based on the industry as defined by the SIC code, but Thomson ONE also allows you to customize your own peer group.

Another great source for comparative ratios is http://www.investor.reuters.com. You have to register to use the site, but registration is free. Once you register and log in, this Web page contains a field to enter a company’s ticker symbol. Do this, click the “Symbol” ratio button, and then click the “Go” button. This brings up a table with the stock quote, some company information, and some additional links. Select “Ratios,” which brings up a page with a detailed ratio analysis for the company and includes comparative ratios for other companies in the same sector, the same industry, and the S&P 500.

affects both depreciation charges and inventory costs, profits are also affected. Thus, a ratio analysis for one firm over time, or a comparative analysis of firms of different ages, must be interpreted with judgment.

4. Seasonal factors can also distort a ratio analysis. For example, the inventory turnover ratio for a food processor will be radically different if the balance sheet figure used for inventory is the one just before versus just after the close of the canning season. This problem can be minimized by using monthly averages for inventory (and receivables) when calculating turnover ratios.

5. Firms can employ “window dressing” techniques to make their financial statements look stronger. To illustrate, a Chicago builder borrowed on a two-year basis in late December. Because the loan was for more than one year, it was not included in current liabilities. The builder held the proceeds of the loan as cash. This improved his current and quick ratios, and made his year-end balance sheet look stronger. However, the improvement was strictly window dressing; a week later the builder paid off the loan and the balance sheet was back at the old level.

6. Different accounting practices can distort comparisons. As noted earlier, inventory valuation and depreciation methods can affect financial statements and thus distort comparisons among firms. Also, if one firm leases a substantial amount of its productive equipment, then its assets may appear low relative to sales because leased assets often do not appear on the balance sheet. At the same time, the liability associated with the lease obligation may not be shown as a debt. Therefore, leasing can artificially improve both the turnover and the debt ratios.

7. It is difficult to generalize about whether a particular ratio is “good” or “bad.” For example, a high current ratio may indicate a strong liquidity posi-
tion, which is good, or excessive cash, which is bad (because excess cash in the bank is a nonearning asset). Similarly, a high fixed assets turnover ratio may denote either that a firm uses its assets efficiently or that it is undercapitalized and cannot afford to buy enough assets.

8. A firm may have some ratios that look “good” and others that look “bad,” making it difficult to tell whether the company is, on balance, strong or weak. However, statistical procedures can be used to analyze the net effects of a set of ratios. Many banks and other lending organizations use discriminant analysis, a statistical technique, to analyze firms’ financial ratios, and then classify the firms according to their probability of getting into financial trouble.

9. Effective use of financial ratios requires that the financial statements on which they are based be accurate. Revelations in 2001 and 2002 of accounting fraud by such industry giants as WorldCom and Enron showed that financial statements are not always accurate; hence information based on reported data can be misleading.

Ratio analysis is useful, but analysts should be aware of these problems and make adjustments as necessary. Ratio analysis conducted in a mechanical, unthinking manner is dangerous, but used intelligently and with good judgment, it can provide useful insights into a firm’s operations. Your judgment in interpreting a set of ratios is bound to be weak at this point, but it will improve as you go through the remainder of the book.

**Self-Test Questions**

List three types of users of ratio analysis. Would the different users emphasize the same or different types of ratios?

List several potential problems with ratio analysis.

**LOOKING BEYOND THE NUMBERS**

Hopefully, working through this chapter has helped your understanding of financial statements and improved your ability to interpret accounting numbers. These important and basic skills are necessary when making business decisions, evaluating performance, and forecasting likely future developments.

Sound financial analysis involves more than just calculating numbers—good analysis requires that certain qualitative factors be considered when evaluating a company. These factors, as summarized by the American Association of Individual Investors (AAII), include the following:

1. **Are the company’s revenues tied to one key customer?** If so, the company’s performance may decline dramatically if the customer goes elsewhere.
2. **To what extent are the company’s revenues tied to one key product?** Companies that rely on a single product may be more efficient and focused, but a lack of diversification increases risk.
3. **To what extent does the company rely on a single supplier?** Depending on a single supplier may lead to unanticipated shortages and thus to lower profits.
4. **What percentage of the company’s business is generated overseas?** Companies with a large percentage of overseas business are often able to realize higher growth and larger profit margins. However, firms with large overseas operations also find that the value of their operations depends in large part on the value of the local currency. Thus, fluctuations in currency markets create additional risks for firms with large overseas operations. In addition, the political stability of the region is important.
5. **What about the competition?** It is important to consider both the likely actions of the current competition and the likelihood of new competitors in the future.

6. **What are the company’s future prospects?** Does the company invest heavily in research and development? If so, its future prospects may depend critically on the success of products currently in the pipeline.

7. **How does the legal and regulatory environment affect the company?** It is crucial to factor in the effects of proposed regulations and pending or likely lawsuits.

---

**Self-Test Question**

What are some qualitative factors analysts should consider when evaluating a company’s likely future financial performance?

---

**SUMMARY**

The primary purpose of this chapter was to discuss techniques used by investors and managers to analyze financial statements. The key concepts covered are listed below.

- **Financial statement analysis** generally begins with a set of financial ratios designed to reveal a company’s strengths and weaknesses as compared with other companies in the same industry, and to show whether its financial position has been improving or deteriorating over time.

- **Liquidity ratios** show the relationship of a firm’s current assets to its current liabilities, and thus its ability to meet maturing debts. Two commonly used liquidity ratios are the current ratio and the quick, or acid test, ratio.

- **Asset management ratios** measure how effectively a firm is managing its assets. These ratios include inventory turnover, days sales outstanding, fixed assets turnover, and total assets turnover.

- **Debt management ratios** reveal (1) the extent to which the firm is financed with debt and (2) its likelihood of defaulting on its debt obligations. They include the debt ratio, times-interest-earned ratio, and EBITDA coverage ratio.

- **Profitability ratios** show the combined effects of liquidity, asset management, and debt management policies on operating results. They include the profit margin on sales, the basic earning power ratio, the return on total assets, and the return on common equity.

- **Market value ratios** relate the firm’s stock price to its earnings, cash flow, and book value per share, thus giving management an indication of what investors think of the company’s past performance and future prospects. These include the price/earnings ratio, price/cash flow ratio, and the market/book ratio.

- **Trend analysis**, where one plots a ratio over time, is important, because it reveals whether the firm’s condition has been improving or deteriorating over time.

- The **Du Pont system** is designed to show how the profit margin on sales, the assets turnover ratio, and the use of debt interact to determine the rate of return on equity. The firm’s management can use the Du Pont system to analyze ways of improving performance.

- **Benchmarking** is the process of comparing a particular company with a group of “benchmark” companies.
ROE is important, but it does not take account of either the amount of investment or risk.

Ratio analysis has limitations, but used with care and judgment, it can be very helpful.

QUESTIONS

8-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Liquidity ratios: current ratio; quick, or acid test, ratio
   b. Asset management ratios: inventory turnover ratio; days sales outstanding (DSO); fixed assets turnover ratio; total assets turnover ratio
   c. Financial leverage: debt ratio; times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio; coverage ratio
   d. Profitability ratios: profit margin on sales; basic earning power (BEP) ratio; return on total assets (ROA); return on common equity (ROE)
   e. Market value ratios: price/earnings (P/E) ratio; price/cash flow ratio; market/book (M/B) ratio; book value per share
   f. Trend analysis; comparative ratio analysis; benchmarking
   g. Du Pont equation; “window dressing”; seasonal effects on ratios

8-2 Financial ratio analysis is conducted by managers, equity investors, long-term creditors, and short-term creditors. What is the primary emphasis of each of these groups in evaluating ratios?

8-3 Over the past year, M. D. Ryngaert & Co. has realized an increase in its current ratio and a drop in its total assets turnover ratio. However, the company’s sales, quick ratio, and fixed assets turnover ratio have remained constant. What explains these changes?

8-4 Profit margins and turnover ratios vary from one industry to another. What differences would you expect to find between a grocery chain such as Safeway and a steel company? Think particularly about the turnover ratios, the profit margin, and the Du Pont equation.

8-5 How might (a) seasonal factors and (b) different growth rates distort a comparative ratio analysis? Give some examples. How might these problems be alleviated?

8-6 Why is it sometimes misleading to compare a company’s financial ratios with those of other firms that operate in the same industry?

PROBLEMS

8-1 Liquidity Ratios
Ace Industries has current assets equal to $3 million. The company’s current ratio is 1.5, and its quick ratio is 1.0. What is the firm’s level of current liabilities? What is the firm’s level of inventories?

8-2 Days Sales Outstanding
Baker Brothers has a DSO of 40 days. The company’s average daily sales are $20,000. What is the level of its accounts receivable? Assume there are 365 days in a year.

8-3 Debt Ratio
Bartley Barstools has an equity multiplier of 2.4. The company’s assets are financed with some combination of long-term debt and common equity. What is the company’s debt ratio?
Doublewide Dealers has an ROA of 10 percent, a 2 percent profit margin, and a return on equity equal to 15 percent. What is the company’s total assets turnover? What is the firm’s equity multiplier?

Assume you are given the following relationships for the Brauer Corporation:

- Sales/Total assets: 1.5×
- Return on assets (ROA): 3%
- Return on equity (ROE): 5%

Calculate Brauer’s profit margin and debt ratio.

The Petry Company has $1,312,500 in current assets and $525,000 in current liabilities. Its initial inventory level is $375,000, and it will raise funds as additional notes payable and use them to increase inventory. How much can Petry’s short-term debt (notes payable) increase without pushing its current ratio below 2.0? What will be the firm’s quick ratio after Petry has raised the maximum amount of short-term funds?

The Kretovich Company had a quick ratio of 1.4, a current ratio of 3.0, an inventory turnover of 6 times, total current assets of $810,000, and cash and marketable securities of $120,000. What were Kretovich’s annual sales and its DSO? Assume a 365-day year.

The H. R. Pickett Corporation has $500,000 of debt outstanding, and it pays an interest rate of 10 percent annually: Pickett’s annual sales are $2 million, its average tax rate is 30 percent, and its net profit margin on sales is 5 percent. If the company does not maintain a TIE ratio of at least 5 times, its bank will refuse to renew the loan, and bankruptcy will result. What is Pickett’s TIE ratio?

Data for Barry Computer Company and its industry averages follow.

a. Calculate the indicated ratios for Barry.

b. Construct the extended Du Pont equation for both Barry and the industry.

c. Outline Barry’s strengths and weaknesses as revealed by your analysis.

d. Suppose Barry had doubled its sales as well as its inventories, accounts receivable, and common equity during 2006. How would that information affect the validity of your ratio analysis? (Hint: Think about averages and the effects of rapid growth on ratios if averages are not used. No calculations are needed.)

Barry Computer Company: Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2006 (In Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Accounts payable</th>
<th></th>
<th>Notes payable</th>
<th>Other current liabilities</th>
<th>Long-term debt</th>
<th>Common equity</th>
<th>Total liabilities and equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 77,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$129,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$947,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>336,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>241,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$655,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>292,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$947,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sales $1,607,500
Cost of goods sold 1,392,500
Selling, general, and administrative expenses 145,000
  Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) $ 70,000
Interest expense 24,500
  Earnings before taxes (EBT) $ 45,500
Federal and state income taxes (40%) 18,200
Net income $ 27,300

Ratio Barry Industry Average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Barry</th>
<th>Industry Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets/current liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales/inventory</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales/fixed assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.1×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales/total assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income/sales</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income/total assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income/common equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt/total assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Calculation is based on a 365-day year.
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Complete the balance sheet and sales information in the table that follows for Hoffmeister Industries using the following financial data:

Debt ratio: 50%
Quick ratio: 0.80×
Total assets turnover: 1.5×
Days sales outstanding: 36.5 days\(^a\)
Gross profit margin on sales: (Sales − Cost of goods sold)/Sales = 25%
Inventory turnover ratio: 5×

\(^a\)Calculation is based on a 365-day year.

**Balance Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>Accounts payable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>Long-term debt 60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>Common stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>Retained earnings 97,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>Cost of goods sold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Corrigan Corporation’s forecasted 2007 financial statements follow, along with some industry average ratios.

a. Calculate Corrigan’s 2007 forecasted ratios, compare them with the industry average data, and comment briefly on Corrigan’s projected strengths and weaknesses.
b. What do you think would happen to Corrigan’s ratios if the company initiated
cost-cutting measures that allowed it to hold lower levels of inventory and sub-
stantially decreased the cost of goods sold? No calculations are necessary.
Think about which ratios would be affected by changes in these two accounts.

**Corrigan Corporation: Forecasted Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>439,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>894,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$1,405,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>431,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$1,836,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts and notes payable</td>
<td>$ 432,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 602,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>404,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>575,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>254,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$1,836,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corrigan Corporation: Forecasted Income Statement for 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$4,290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold</td>
<td>3,580,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general, and administrative expenses</td>
<td>370,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>159,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes (EBT)</td>
<td>$ 180,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>72,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 108,408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Per-Share Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>$4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash dividends per share</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/E ratio</td>
<td>5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market price (average)</td>
<td>$23.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of shares outstanding</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Industry Financial Ratios (2006)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quick ratio</td>
<td>1.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current ratio</td>
<td>2.7×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>7.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding</td>
<td>32 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets turnover</td>
<td>13.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets turnover</td>
<td>2.6×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on assets</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on equity</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt ratio</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit margin on sales</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/E ratio</td>
<td>5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/cash flow ratio</td>
<td>3.5×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aIndustry average ratios have been constant for the past 4 years.*

*bBased on year-end balance sheet figures.*

*cCalculation is based on a 365-day year.*
SPREADSHEET PROBLEM
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Build a Model:
Ratio Analysis

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch08 P12 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. This problem requires you to further analyze the financial data given for Cumberland Industries in the Build a Model problem for Chapter 7.

Cumberland Industries’ common stock has increased in price from $14.75 to $17.25 from the end of 2005 to the end of 2006, and its shares outstanding increased from 9 to 10 million shares during that same period. Cumberland has annual lease payments of $75,000 (which are included in operating costs on the income statement), but no sinking fund payments are required. Now answer the following questions.

Using Cumberland’s financial statements as given in the Chapter 7 Build a Model problem, perform a ratio analysis for 2005 and 2006. Consider its liquidity, asset management, debt management, profitability, and market value ratios.

a. Has Cumberland’s liquidity position improved or worsened? Explain.
b. Has Cumberland’s ability to manage its assets improved or worsened? Explain.
c. How has Cumberland’s profitability changed during the last year?
e. Perform a common size analysis. What has happened to the composition (that is, percentage in each category) of assets and liabilities?
f. Perform a percent change analysis. What does this tell you about the change in profitability and asset utilization?

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
The first part of the case, presented in Chapter 7, discussed the situation that Computron Industries was in after an expansion program. Thus far, sales have not been up to the forecasted level, costs have been higher than were projected, and a large loss occurred in 2006, rather than the expected profit. As a result, its managers, directors, and investors are concerned about the firm’s survival.

Donna Jamison was brought in as assistant to Fred Campo, Computron’s chairman, who had the task of getting the company back into a sound financial position. Computron’s 2005 and 2006 balance sheets and income statements, together with projections for 2007, are shown in the following tables. Also, the tables show the 2005 and 2006 financial ratios, along with industry average data. The 2007 projected financial statement data represent Jamison’s and Campo’s best guess for 2007 results, assuming that some new financing is arranged to get the company “over the hump.”

### Balance Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$7,282</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term investments</td>
<td>48,600</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>71,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>351,200</td>
<td>632,160</td>
<td>878,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>715,200</td>
<td>1,287,360</td>
<td>1,716,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$1,124,000</td>
<td>$1,946,802</td>
<td>$2,680,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross fixed assets</td>
<td>491,000</td>
<td>1,202,950</td>
<td>1,220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>146,200</td>
<td>263,160</td>
<td>383,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>$344,800</td>
<td>$939,790</td>
<td>$836,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$1,468,800</td>
<td>$2,886,592</td>
<td>$3,516,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$145,600</td>
<td>$324,000</td>
<td>$359,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>284,960</td>
<td>380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$481,600</td>
<td>$1,328,960</td>
<td>$1,039,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>323,432</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock (100,000 shares)</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>1,680,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>203,768</td>
<td>97,632</td>
<td>296,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity</td>
<td>$663,768</td>
<td>$557,632</td>
<td>$1,977,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$1,468,800</td>
<td>$2,886,592</td>
<td>$3,516,952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: “E” indicates estimated. The 2007 data are forecasts.*
### Income Statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007E</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$3,432,000</td>
<td>$5,834,400</td>
<td>$7,035,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold</td>
<td>2,864,000</td>
<td>4,980,000</td>
<td>5,800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>340,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>612,960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>18,900</td>
<td>116,960</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,222,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,816,960</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,532,960</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>$ 209,100</td>
<td>$ 17,440</td>
<td>$ 502,640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>176,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>$ 146,600</td>
<td>($ 158,560)</td>
<td>$ 422,640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>58,640</td>
<td>(63,424)</td>
<td>169,056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income</strong></td>
<td>$ 87,960</td>
<td>($ 95,136)</td>
<td>$ 253,548</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Data

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock price</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$12.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares outstanding</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>$0.880</td>
<td>($0.951)</td>
<td>$1.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>$0.220</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book value per share</td>
<td>$6.638</td>
<td>$5.576</td>
<td>$7.909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease payments</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* “E” indicates estimated. The 2007 data are forecasts.

### Ratio Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007E</th>
<th>Industry Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>2.3×</td>
<td>1.5×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.7×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick</td>
<td>0.8×</td>
<td>0.5×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>4.8×</td>
<td>4.5×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.1×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets turnover</td>
<td>10.0×</td>
<td>6.2×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets turnover</td>
<td>2.3×</td>
<td>2.0×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt ratio</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIE</td>
<td>3.3×</td>
<td>0.1×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.2×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA coverage</td>
<td>2.6×</td>
<td>0.8×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.0×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit margin</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>− 1.6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic earning power</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>− 3.3%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>− 17.1%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/earnings (P/E)</td>
<td>9.7×</td>
<td>− 6.3×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.2×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price/cash flow</td>
<td>8.0×</td>
<td>27.5×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.6×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/book</td>
<td>1.3×</td>
<td>1.1×</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.9×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* “E” indicates estimated. The 2007 data are forecasts.
Jamison examined monthly data for 2006 (not
given in the case), and she detected an improving
pattern during the year. Monthly sales were rising,
costs were falling, and large losses in the early
months had turned to a small profit by December.
Thus, the annual data looked somewhat worse than
final monthly data. Also, it appeared to be taking
longer for the advertising program to get the mes-
 sage across, for the new sales offices to generate
sales, and for the new manufacturing facilities to
operate efficiently. In other words, the lags between
spending money and deriving benefits were longer
than Computron’s managers had anticipated. For
these reasons, Jamison and Campo see hope for the
company—provided it can survive in the short run.

Jamison must prepare an analysis of where the
company is now, what it must do to regain its finan-
cial health, and what actions should be taken. Your
assignment is to help her answer the following ques-
tions. Provide clear explanations, not yes or no
answers.

a. Why are ratios useful? What are the five major
categories of ratios?
b. Calculate the 2007 current and quick ratios
based on the projected balance sheet and income
statement data. What can you say about the
company’s liquidity position in 2005, 2006, and
as projected for 2007? We often think of ratios
as being useful (1) to managers to help run the
business, (2) to bankers for credit analysis, and
(3) to stockholders for stock valuation. Would
these different types of analysts have an equal
interest in the liquidity ratios?
c. Calculate the 2007 inventory turnover, days
sales outstanding (DSO), fixed assets turnover,
and total assets turnover. How does Compu-
tron’s utilization of assets stack up against that
of other firms in its industry?
d. Calculate the 2007 debt, times-interest-earned,
and EBITDA coverage ratios. How does Com-
putron compare with the industry with respect
to financial leverage? What can you conclude
from these ratios?
e. Calculate the 2007 profit margin, basic earning
power (BEP), return on assets (ROA), and
return on equity (ROE). What can you say
about these ratios?
f. Calculate the 2007 price/earnings ratio,
price/cash flow ratio, and market/book ratio. Do
these ratios indicate that investors are expected
to have a high or low opinion of the company?
g. Perform a common size analysis and percent
change analysis. What do these analyses tell you
about Computron?
h. Use the extended Du Pont equation to provide a
summary and overview of Computron’s financial
condition as projected for 2007. What are the
firm’s major strengths and weaknesses?
i. What are some potential problems and limita-
tions of financial ratio analysis?
j. What are some qualitative factors analysts
should consider when evaluating a company’s
likely future financial performance?
The effects of alternative accounting policies on both financial statements and ratios based on these statements are discussed in the books referenced in Chapter 7.

The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:
Case 35, “Mark X Company (A),” which illustrates the use of ratio analysis in the evaluation of a firm’s existing and potential financial positions;

Case 36, “Garden State Container Corporation,” which is similar in content to Case 35; Case 36A, “Safe Packaging Corporation,” which updates Case 36; Case 36B, “Sweet Dreams Inc.,” which also updates Case 36; Case 42, “Swan-Davis, Inc.,” which illustrates how financial analysis, based on both historical statements and forecasted statements, is used for internal management and lending decisions.
Managers use **pro forma**, or **projected, financial statements** in four ways: (1) By looking at projected statements, they can assess whether the firm’s anticipated performance is in line with the firm’s own general targets and with investors’ expectations. (2) Pro forma statements can be used to estimate the effect of proposed operating changes, enabling managers to conduct “what if” analyses. (3) Managers use pro forma statements to anticipate the firm’s future financing needs. (4) Managers forecast free cash flows under different operating plans, forecast their capital requirements, and then choose the plan that maximizes shareholder value. Security analysts make the same types of projections, forecasting future earnings, cash flows, and stock prices.
BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. List and discuss briefly the major components of a firm’s strategic plan. What role do projections of financial statements play in the development of the strategic plan?
2. One forecasting technique is called the percent of sales method, and it is used to forecast future financial statements. If you had a company’s balance sheets and income statements for the past five years but no other information, how could you use the percent of sales method to forecast the following items for the coming year? (a) its sales revenues. (b) Its financial statements. (c) Its funds requirements (AFN). (d) Its financial condition and profitability as shown by its ROE and other key ratios.
3. If you had a set of industry average ratios for the firm you were analyzing, how might you use these data?
4. All forecasts are subject to error. Do you think top managers would be concerned about the effects on the firm if sales revenues or unit costs, for example, turned out to be different from the forecasted level? How could you provide information on the effects of such errors?
5. Define the following terms and then explain the role they might play in your forecast. (a) Economies of scale. (b) Lumpy assets. (c) Excess capacity.
6. Funds requirement can be forecasted by the financial statement method, but you could also use the AFN formula. What is this formula, and how does it operate? What are its advantages and disadvantages relative to the financial statement method?
7. For most firms, there is some sales growth rate at which they could grow without needing any external financing, that is, where $AFN/H = 0$. How could you determine that growth rate? What variables under management’s control would affect this sustainable growth rate?

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL PLANNING

Our primary objective in this book is to explain what managers can do to make their companies more valuable. However, value creation is impossible unless the company has a well-articulated plan. As Yogi Berra once said, “You’ve got to be careful if you don’t know where you’re going, because you might not get there.”

Strategic Plans

Strategic plans usually begin with a statement of the overall corporate purpose. Many companies are very clear about their corporate purpose: “Our mission is to maximize shareowner value over time.”

This corporate purpose is increasingly common for U.S. companies, but that has not always been the case. For example, Varian Associates, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange
The value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its expected future free cash flows (FCF). This chapter shows you how to project the financial statements that are used to calculate expected future free cash flows. The next chapter shows you how to take those projected financial statements and estimate the value of the firm under different financial plans.

A corporate focus on creating wealth for the company’s owners is not yet as common abroad as it is in the United States. For example, Veba AG, one of Germany’s largest companies, created a stir in 1996 when it stated in its annual report that “Our commitment is to create value for you, our shareholders.” This was quite different from the usual German model, in which companies have representatives from labor on their boards of directors and explicitly state their commitments to a variety of stakeholders. As one might expect, Veba’s stock has con-
sistantly outperformed the average German stock. As the trend in international investing continues, more and more non–U.S. companies are adopting a corporate purpose similar to that of Varian and Veba.

Corporate scope defines a firm’s lines of business and geographic area of operations. For example, Coca-Cola limits its products to soft drinks, but on a global scale. Pepsi-Cola recently followed Coke’s lead—it restricted its scope by spinning off its food service businesses. In fact, several studies have found that the market tends to value focused firms more highly than diversified firms.

The corporate purpose states the general philosophy of the business, but it does not provide managers with operational objectives. The statement of corporate objectives sets forth specific goals to guide management. Most organizations have both qualitative and quantitative objectives. A typical quantitative objective might be attaining a 50 percent market share, a 20 percent ROE, a 10 percent earnings growth rate, or a $100 million economic value added (EVA).

Once a firm has defined its purpose, scope, and objectives, it must develop a strategy for achieving its goals. Corporate strategies are broad approaches rather than detailed plans. For example, one airline may have a strategy of offering no-frills service between a limited number of cities, while another’s strategy may be to offer “staterooms in the sky.” Any such strategy should be compatible with the firm’s purpose, scope, and objectives.

**Operating Plans**

Operating plans provide detailed implementation guidance to help meet the corporate objectives. These plans can be developed for any time horizon, but most companies use a five-year horizon. A five-year plan is detailed for the first year, with each succeeding year’s plan becoming less specific. The plan explains who is responsible for each particular function, when specific tasks are to be accomplished, sales and profit targets, and the like.

Large, multidivisional companies such as General Electric break down their operating plans by divisions. Thus, each division has its own goals, mission, and plan for meeting its objectives, and these plans are then consolidated to form the corporate plan.

**The Financial Plan**

The financial planning process has five steps:

1. Project financial statements to analyze the effects of the operating plan on projected profits and financial ratios.
2. Determine the funds needed to support the five-year plan.
3. Forecast the funds to be generated internally and identify those to be obtained from external sources, subject to any constraints due to borrowing covenants, such as restrictions on the debt ratio, the current ratio, and the coverage ratios.
4. Establish a performance-based management compensation system that rewards employees for creating shareholder wealth.
5. Monitor operations after implementing the plan, identify the cause of any deviations, and take corrective actions.

---

In the remainder of this chapter, we explain how to create a financial plan, including its three key components: (1) the sales forecast, (2) pro forma financial statements, and (3) the external financing plan. We discuss compensation in Chapter 11.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are four ways that managers use pro forma statements?

Briefly explain the following terms: (1) corporate purpose, (2) corporate scope, (3) corporate objectives, and (4) corporate strategies.

Briefly describe the contents of an operating plan.

What are the steps of the financial planning process?

**SALES FORECAST**

The sales forecast generally starts with a review of sales during the past five to ten years, expressed in a graph such as that in Figure 9-1. The first part of the graph shows five years of historical sales for MicroDrive. The graph could have contained ten years of sales data, but MicroDrive typically focuses on sales figures for the latest five years because the firm’s studies have shown that its future growth is more closely related to recent events than to the distant past.

Entire courses are devoted to forecasting sales, so we can only touch on the basic elements here. However, forecasting the future sales growth rate always begins with a look at past growth. For example, the average of MicroDrive’s recent annual growth rates is 10.3 percent. However, the compound growth rate from 2002 to 2006 is the solution value for \( g \) in the equation

\[
2,058 (1 + g)^4 = 3,000
\]

and it can be found by solving the equation or with a financial calculator, entering \( N = 4, PV = -2058, PMT = 0, FV = 3000 \), and then pressing I to get \( g = 9.9 \) percent.

The preceding approaches are simple, but both can be poor representations of past growth. First, the arithmetic average procedure generally produces numbers that are too high. To illustrate why, suppose sales grew by 100 percent one year and then fell by 50 percent the next year. There would actually be zero growth over the two years, but the calculated average growth rate would be 25 percent. Similarly, the point-to-point procedure is not reliable because if either the beginning or ending year is an “outlier” in the sense of being above or below the trend line shown in Figure 9-1, then the calculated growth rate will not be representative of past growth. The solution to these problems is to use a regression approach, where a curve is fitted to the historic sales data and then the slope of that curve is used to measure historic growth. If we expect a constant growth rate (as opposed to a constant dollar amount, which would mean a declining growth rate), then the regression should be based on the natural log of sales, not sales itself. With a spreadsheet, this is not a difficult calculation, and by far the easiest way to calculate the growth rate is with Excel’s LOGEST function. Simply type the years and sales into a spreadsheet, click \( f_x \) on the menu bar, select Statistical functions, and then choose the LOGEST function. Highlight the sales range for the Y variable and the years range for X in the function dialog box, and then click
OK. The result will be \( 1 + g \), so you finish by subtracting 1 to get the growth rate. For MicroDrive, the growth rate is 9.1 percent.\(^2\)

Although it is useful to calculate the past growth rate in sales, much more is involved in estimating future sales. Future sales will depend on the economy (both domestic and global), the industry’s prospects, the company’s current product line, proposed products that are in the pipeline, and marketing campaigns. When MicroDrive incorporated these issues into its analysis, it estimated 10 percent expected growth for the upcoming year.

If the sales forecast is off, the consequences can be serious. First, if the market expands by more than MicroDrive has anticipated, the company will not be able to meet demand. Its customers will end up buying competitors’ products, and MicroDrive will lose market share. On the other hand, if its projections are overly optimistic, MicroDrive could end up with too much plant, equipment, and inventory, which hurts free cash flow and stock prices. If MicroDrive had financed an unnecessary expansion with debt, high interest charges would compound its problems. Thus, an accurate sales forecast is critical to the firm’s well-being.

\(^2\)These approaches are demonstrated in the IFM9 Ch09 Tool Kit.xls. Also, the Chapter 10 Web Extension illustrates these approaches when estimating dividend growth rates.
List some factors that should be considered when developing a sales forecast.
Explain why an accurate sales forecast is critical to profitability.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FORECASTING:
THE PERCENT OF SALES METHOD

Once sales have been forecasted, we must forecast future balance sheets and income statements. The most commonly used technique is the percent of sales method, which begins with the sales forecast, expressed as an annual growth rate in dollar sales revenues. Many items on the income statement and balance sheets are assumed to increase proportionally with sales, with their values for a particular year estimated as percentages of the forecasted sales for that year. The remaining items on the forecasted statements—items that are not tied directly to sales—depend on the company’s dividend policy and its relative use of debt and equity financing.

In the following sections we explain the percent of sales method and use it to forecast MicroDrive’s financial statements.3

Step 1. Analyze the Historical Ratios

The first step is to analyze the historical ratios. This differs somewhat from the ratio analysis of Chapter 8, since the objective here is to forecast the future, or pro forma, financial statements. The percent of sales method assumes that costs in a given year will be some specified percentage of that year’s sales. Thus, we begin our analysis by calculating the ratio of costs to sales for several past years. We illustrate the method using only two years of data for MicroDrive, but a thorough analysis should have at least five years of historical data. Table 9-1 shows MicroDrive’s ratio of costs to sales for the past two years. In 2005, MicroDrive had an 87.6 percent ratio of costs to sales, and the ratio dropped to 87.2 percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9-1</th>
<th>Historical Ratios for MicroDrive Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actual 2005</strong></td>
<td><strong>Actual 2006</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs to sales</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation to net plant and equipment</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash to sales</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable to sales</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory to sales</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment to sales</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable to sales</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals to sales</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in 2006. The table also shows the historical average, which in this case is the average of the two prior years. The last column shows the ratio of costs to sales for the industry composite, which is the sum of the financial statements for all firms in the industry. Note that MicroDrive has improved its costs/sales ratio, but it still is higher than the industry average.

The table also shows the ratio of depreciation to net plant and equipment. Because depreciation depends on the asset base, it is more reasonable to forecast depreciation as a percent of net plant and equipment rather than of sales.

Many other items on MicroDrive’s balance sheets will also increase with sales. The company writes and deposits checks every day. Because managers don’t know exactly when all of the checks will clear, they can’t predict exactly what the balance in their checking accounts will be on any given day. Therefore, they must maintain a balance of cash and cash equivalents (such as very short-term marketable securities) to avoid overdrawing their accounts. We discuss the issue of cash management in Chapter 21, but for now we simply assume that the cash required to support the company’s operations is proportional to its sales. Table 9-1 shows the ratio of cash to sales for the past two years, as well as the historical average and the industry composite ratio. All of the remaining pro forma balance sheet ratios, which we discuss below, also are shown in Table 9-1.

Unless a company changes its credit policy or has a change in its customer base, accounts receivable should be proportional to sales. Furthermore, as sales increase, firms generally must carry more inventories. Chapter 21 discusses inventory management in detail, but for now we assume that inventory will also be proportional to sales.

It might be reasonable to assume that cash, accounts receivable, and inventories will be proportional to sales, but will the amount of net plant and equipment go up and down as sales go up and down? The correct answer could be either yes or no. When companies acquire plant and equipment, they often install more capacity than they currently need due to economies of scale in building capacity. Moreover, even if a plant is operating at its maximum rated capacity, most companies can produce additional units by reducing downtime for scheduled maintenance, by running machinery at a higher than optimal speed, or by adding a second or third shift. Therefore, at least in the short run, companies may not have a very close relationship between sales and net plant and equipment.

However, some companies do have a fixed relationship between sales and plant and equipment, even in the short term. For example, new stores in many retail chains achieve the same sales during their first year as the chain’s existing stores. The only way such retailers can grow (beyond inflation) is by adding new stores. Such companies therefore have a strong proportional relationship between fixed assets and sales.

Finally, in the long term there is a strong relationship between sales and net plant and equipment for virtually all companies: Few companies can continue to increase sales unless they eventually add capacity. Therefore, as a first approximation it is reasonable to assume that the long-term ratio of net plant and equipment to sales will be constant.

For the first years in a forecast, managers generally build in the actual planned expenditures on plant and equipment. If those estimates are not available, it is generally best to assume a constant ratio of net plant and equipment to sales.

Some items on the liability side of the balance sheet can be expected to increase spontaneously with sales, producing what are called spontaneously generated funds. The two primary types of spontaneous funds are accounts payable and accruals. Regarding payables, as sales increase, so will purchases of raw materials,
and those larger purchases will spontaneously lead to a higher level of accounts payable. Similarly, more sales will require more labor, while higher sales normally result in higher taxable income and thus taxes. Therefore, accrued wages and taxes both increase.

All of the historical ratios are shown in Table 9-1. Using these ratios, along with the industry composite ratios and a knowledge of MicroDrive’s operating plans and industry trends, its managers are ready to begin forecasting the projected, or pro forma, financial statements.

**Step 2. Forecast the Income Statement**

In this section we explain how to forecast the income statement, and in the following section we forecast the balance sheet. Although we cover these topics in two separate sections, the forecasted financial statements are actually integrated with one another and with the previous year’s statements. For example, the income statement item “depreciation” depends on net plant and equipment, which is a balance sheet item, and “retained earnings,” which is a balance sheet item, depends on the previous year’s retained earnings, the forecasted net income, and the firm’s dividend policy. Keep this interrelatedness in mind as you go through the forecast.

**Forecast Sales** Table 9-2 shows the forecasted income statement. Management forecasts that sales will grow by 10 percent. Thus, forecasted sales, shown in Row 1, Column 3, is the product of $3,000 million prior year’s sales and \((1 + g)\), or $3,000(1.1) = $3,300 million.

**Forecast Earnings before Interest and Taxes (EBIT)** Table 9-1 shows that MicroDrive’s ratio of costs to sales for the most recent year was 87.2 percent \((\frac{2,616}{3,000} = 0.872)\). Thus, to get a dollar of sales, MicroDrive had to incur 87.2 cents of costs. Initially, we assume that the cost structure will remain unchanged. Later on, we explore the impact of changes in the cost structure, but for now we assume that forecasted costs will equal 87.2 percent of forecasted sales. See Row 2 of Table 9-2.

The most recent ratio of depreciation to net plant and equipment, shown in Table 9-1, was 10 percent \((\frac{100}{1,000} = 0.10)\), and MicroDrive’s managers believe this is a good estimate of future depreciation rates. As we show later in Table 9-3, the forecasted net plant and equipment is $1,100 million. Therefore, forecasted depreciation is 0.10($1,100) = $110 million. Notice how a balance sheet item, net plant and equipment, affects the charge for depreciation, which is an income statement item.

Total operating costs, shown on Row 4, are the sum of costs of goods sold plus depreciation, and EBIT is then found by subtraction.

**Forecast Interest Expense** How should we forecast the interest charges? The actual net interest expense is the sum of the firm’s daily interest charges less its daily interest income, if any, from short-term investments. Most companies have a variety of different debt obligations with different fixed interest rates and/or floating interest rates. For example, bonds issued in different years generally have different fixed rates, while most bank loans have rates that vary with interest rates in the economy. Given this situation, it is impossible to forecast the exact interest expense for the upcoming year, so we make two simplifying assumptions.
Assumption 1. Specifying the Balance of Debt for Computing Interest Expense  As noted above, interest on bank loans is calculated daily, based on the amount of debt at the beginning of the day, while bond interest depends on the amount of bonds outstanding. If debt remained constant all during the year, the correct balance to use when forecasting the annual interest expense would be the amount of debt at the beginning of the year, which is the same as the debt shown on the balance sheets at the end of the previous year. But how should you forecast the annual interest expense if debt is expected to change during the year, which is typical for most companies? One option would be to base the interest expense on the debt balance shown at the end of the forecasted year, but this has two disadvantages. First, this would charge a full year’s interest on the additional debt, which would imply that the debt was put in place on January 1. Because this is usually not true, that forecast would overstate the most likely interest expense. Second, this assumption causes circularity in the spreadsheet. We discuss this in detail in the Web Extension to this chapter, but the short explanation is that additional debt causes additional interest expense, which reduces the addition to retained earnings, which in turn requires a higher level of debt, which causes still more interest expense, and the cycle keeps repeating. This is called **financing feedback**. Spreadsheets can deal with this problem (see the Web Extension to this chapter), but add complexity to the model that might not be worth the benefits.

A similar approach would be to base the interest expense on the average of the debt at the beginning and end of the year. This approach would produce the correct interest expense only if debt were added evenly throughout the year, which is a big assumption. In addition, it also results in a circular model with all its complexity.

### Table 9-2  MicroDrive Inc.: Actual and Projected Income Statements  (Millions of Dollars Except Per Share Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual 2006 (1)</th>
<th>Forecast Basis (2)</th>
<th>Forecast for 2007 (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sales $3,000.0</td>
<td>110% × 2006 Sales = $3,300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Costs except depreciation $2,616.2</td>
<td>87.2% × 2007 Sales = $2,877.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Depreciation expense $100.0</td>
<td>10% × 2007 Net plant = $110.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Total operating costs $2,716.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,987.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. EBIT $283.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>$312.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Less interest $88.0</td>
<td>(See text for explanation) 92.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Earnings before taxes (EBT) $195.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>$219.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Taxes (40%) $78.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. NI before preferred dividends $117.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$131.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Preferred dividends $4.0</td>
<td>Dividend rate × 2006 preferred = 4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. NI available to common $113.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$127.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Shares of common equity 50.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Dividends per share $1.15</td>
<td>108% × 2006 DPS = $1.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Dividends to common $57.5</td>
<td>2007 DPS × Number of shares = $62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Additions to retained earnings $56.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$65.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See IFM9 Ch09 Tool Kit.xls for details.
A third approach, which we illustrate below, works well for most situations. We base the interest expense on the amount of debt at the beginning of the year as shown on the previous year’s balance sheet. However, since this will underestimate the true interest expense if debt increases throughout the year, as it usually does for most companies, we use an interest rate that is about 0.5 percent higher than the rate we actually expect. This approach provides reasonably accurate forecasts without greatly increasing the model’s complexity. Keep in mind, though, that this simple approach might not work well in all situations, so see the Web Extension to this chapter if you want to implement the more complex modeling technique.

Assumption 2. Specifying Interest Rates  As noted earlier, different loans have different interest rates. Rather than trying to specify the rate on each separate debt issue, we usually specify only two rates, one for short-term notes payable and one for long-term bonds. The interest rate on short-term debt usually floats, and because the best estimate of future rates is generally the current rate, it is most reasonable to apply the current market rate to short-term loans. For MicroDrive, the appropriate short-term rate is about 8.5 percent, which we rounded up to 9 percent because we will apply it to the debt at the beginning of the year.

Most companies’ long-term debt consists of several different bond issues with different interest rates. During the course of the year, some of this debt may be paid off, and some new long-term debt may be added. Rather than try to estimate the interest expense for each particular issue, we apply a single interest rate to the total amount of long-term debt. This rate is an average of the rates on the currently outstanding long-term bonds and the rate that is expected on any new long-term debt. The average rate on MicroDrive’s existing long-term bonds is about 10 percent, and it would have to pay about 10.5 percent on new long-term bonds. The average rate on old and new bonds would be somewhere between 10 and 10.5 percent, which we round up to 11 percent because we are going to apply it to the debt at the beginning of the year, as explained above.

Calculating Interest Expense  The forecasted interest expense is the net interest paid on short-term financing plus the interest on long-term bonds. We estimate the net interest on short-term financing by first finding the interest expense on notes payable and then subtracting any interest income from short-term investments. We base interest charges on the amount of short-term debt at the beginning of the year (which is the debt at the end of the previous year), and we note that MicroDrive had no short-term investments. Therefore, MicroDrive’s net short-term interest is $0.09($110) − $0.09($0) = $9.9 million. The interest on long-term bonds is $0.11($754.0) = $82.94, rounded to $82.9 million. Therefore, the total interest expense is $9.9 + $82.9 = $92.8 million.

Completing the Income Statement  Earnings before taxes (EBT) is calculated by subtracting interest from EBIT, and then we deduct taxes calculated at a 40 percent rate. The resulting net income before preferred dividends for 2007, which is $131.8 million, is shown in Row 9 of Table 9-2. MicroDrive’s preferred stock pays a dividend of 10 percent. Based on the amount of preferred stock at the beginning of the year, the preferred dividends are 0.10($40) = $4 million. Thus, MicroDrive’s forecasted net income available to common stock is $127.8 million, shown in Row 11.

Row 12 shows the number of shares of common stock, and Row 13 shows the most recent dividend per share, $1.15. MicroDrive does not plan to issue any new shares, but it does plan to increase the dividend by 8 percent, resulting in a
forecasted dividend of $1.08(\$1.15) = \$1.242$, rounded up to \$1.25 per share. With 50 million shares, the total forecasted dividend is 50($1.25) = \$62.5 million. The forecasted addition to retained earnings is equal to the net income available to common stockholders minus the total dividends: $127.8 - \$62.5 = \$65.3 million, as shown in Row 15.

**Step 3. Forecast the Balance Sheet**

Before going into the details of forecasting balance sheets, let’s take a look at the big picture. First, a company must have assets to support the sales as forecasted on the income statement, and if sales are growing, then assets typically must also grow. Second, if assets are to grow, then the company must obtain funds to purchase the new assets. Third, the needed funds can come from internal sources, mainly as reinvested earnings, or externally, from the sale of short-term investments, from new loans (either notes payable or long-term bonds), from new stock issues, or by increasing operating current liabilities, mainly accounts payable or accruals. Here are the steps: (1) Determine the amount of new assets needed to support the forecasted sales, (2) determine the amount of internal funds that will be available, and (3) plan to raise any required additional financing. This sounds simple, but the devil is in the details.

Let’s start with the assets required to support sales. Notice that these consist of operating current assets plus operating long-term assets. The percent of sales approach assumes initially that each class of assets is proportional to sales, so we can forecast all of the assets on MicroDrive’s balance sheet except for short-term investments, which is a nonoperating asset. Many firms use short-term investments as a temporary repository for any extra cash, or as a “slush fund” for use in times when operating cash flows are lower than expected. We’ll show how to forecast the final level of short-term investments shortly, but for now we assume that MicroDrive plans to maintain its current level of short-term investments.

The liability side of the balance sheet is a little trickier because it involves both operating effects driven by the sales and costs forecasts and financial effects that result from management’s financial policy decisions. The percent of sales method is based on the assumption that accounts payable and accruals are both proportional to sales, so given the sales forecast we can forecast operating current liabilities. Forecasting the other liability and equity items is more complicated, because these are affected by the firm’s financial policies, which can vary widely. We explain one fairly typical set of financial policies below, and we go through the calculations in detail in the chapter spreadsheet model, *IFM9 Ch09 Tool Kit.xls*. However, there are many other possible policies. The Web Extension to this chapter describes a procedure that can be used to develop a model to fit any set of financial policies.

First, note that most mature companies rarely issue new common stock, so the forecast for common stock is usually the previous year’s common stock.

Second, most firms increase their dividends at a fairly steady rate, which allows us to forecast dividend payments; see Chapter 17 for a discussion of dividend policy. Subtracting forecasted dividends from forecasted net income gives the additions to retained earnings, which allows us to specify the forecasted amount of total common equity.

Third, most firms do not use preferred stock, and those that do issue it infrequently. Therefore, we assume that the forecasted preferred stock is equal to last year’s preferred stock.
Fourth, issuing more long-term bonds is a major event for most firms, and it often requires approval from the board of directors. Chapter 15 discusses long-term debt financing in detail, but for now we simply assume that MicroDrive will not issue any new long-term debt, at least in the initial forecast.

Fifth, many firms use short-term bank loans, shown on the balance sheet as notes payable, as a financial “shock absorber.” When extra funding is needed, they draw down their lines of credit, thus increasing notes payable, until their short-term debt has risen to an unacceptably high level, at which point they arrange long-term financing. When they secure the long-term financing, they pay off some of their short-term debt to bring it down to an acceptable level. We will explain how to forecast the final level of notes payable shortly, but initially we assume that MicroDrive will simply maintain its current level of notes payable.

At this point, all of the items on the liability and equity side of the balance sheet have been specified. If we were extraordinarily lucky, the sources of financing would exactly equal the required assets. In this case, we would have exactly enough financing to acquire the assets needed to support the forecasted level of sales. But in all our years of forecasting, we have never had this happen, and you probably won’t be any luckier. Therefore, we define the term additional funds needed (AFN) as the required assets minus the specified sources of financing. If the required additional financing is positive, then we need to raise additional funds, and we “plug” this amount into the balance sheet as additional notes payable. For example, suppose the required assets equal $2,500 million and the specified sources of financing total $2,400 million. The required additional financing is $2,500 \[\div\] $2,400 = $100 million. We assume that the firm would raise this $100 million as notes payable, thus increasing the old notes payable by $100 million.

If the AFN were negative, this would mean that we are forecasting having more capital than we need. Initially, we assume that any extra funds will be used to purchase additional short-term investments, so we would “plug” the amount (the absolute value of the AFN) into short-term investments on the asset side of the balance sheet. For example, suppose the required assets equal only $2,200 million and the specified sources of financing total $2,400 million. The required additional financing is $2,200 \[\div\] $2,400 = -$200 million. Thus, the firm would have an extra $200 million that it could use to purchase short-term investments. Notice that total assets would now equal $2,200 + $200 = $2,400 million, which is exactly equal to the total sources of financing.

Before we apply this model to MicroDrive, a couple of points are worth noting. First, financial policies are not etched in stone. For example, if the forecast is for a very large need for financing, the firm might decide to issue more long-term debt or equity rather than finance the entire shortfall with notes payable. Similarly, a company with negative required additional financing might decide to use the funds to pay a special dividend, to pay off some of its debt, or even to buy back some of its stock. As we discuss, managers generally go over the initial forecast and then go back and make changes to the plan. Financial planning is truly an iterative process—managers formulate a plan, analyze the results, modify either the operating plan or their financial policies, observe the new results, and repeat the process until they are comfortable with the forecast.

Second, the plug approach that we outlined specifies the additional amount of either notes payable or short-term investments, but not both. If the AFN is positive, we assume that the firm will add to notes payable but leave short-term investments at their current level. If the AFN is negative, it will add to short-term investments but not to notes payable. Now let’s apply these concepts to MicroDrive.
Forecast Operating Assets  As noted earlier, MicroDrive’s assets must increase if sales are to increase. The company’s most recent ratio of cash to sales was approximately 0.33 percent ($10/$3,000 = 0.003333), and management believes this ratio should remain constant. Therefore, the forecasted cash balance, shown in Row 1 of Table 9-3, is 0.003333($3,300) = $11 million.

The ratio of accounts receivable to sales was $375/$3,000 = 0.125 = 12.5 percent. For now we assume that the credit policy and customers’ paying patterns will remain constant, so the forecast for accounts receivable is 0.125($3,300) = $412.5 million, as shown in Row 3.

The most recent inventory to sales ratio was $615/$3,000 = 0.205 = 20.5 percent. Assuming no change in MicroDrive’s inventory policy, the forecasted inventory is 0.205($3,300) = $676.5 million, as shown in Row 4.

| Table 9-3  MicroDrive Inc.: Actual and Projected Balance Sheets (Millions of Dollars) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Actual 2006 (1) | Forecast Basis (2) | Forecast for 2007 (3) |
| Assets  |  |  |
| 1. Cash $ 10.0 | $ 0.33% × 2007 Sales = | $ 11.0 |
| 2. Short-term investments 0.0 | Previous plus “plug” if needed 0.0 |
| 3. Accounts receivable 375.0 | 12.50% × 2007 Sales = | 412.5 |
| 4. Inventories 615.0 | 20.50% × 2007 Sales = | 676.5 |
| 5. Total current assets $1,000.0 | $1,100.0 |
| 6. Net plant and equipment 1,000.0 | 33.33% × 2007 Sales = | 1,100.0 |
| 7. Total assets $2,000.0 | $2,200.0 |
| Liabilities and Equity  |  |  |
| 8. Accounts payable $ 60.0 | $ 2.00% × 2007 Sales = | $ 66.0 |
| 9. Accruals 140.0 | 4.67% × 2007 Sales = | 154.0 |
| 10. Notes payable 110.0 | Previous plus “plug” if needed | 224.7 |
| 11. Total current liabilities $ 310.0 | | 444.7 |
| 12. Long-term bonds 754.0 | Same: no new issue | 754.0 |
| 13. Total liabilities $1,064.0 | $1,198.7 |
| 14. Preferred stock 40.0 | Same: no new issue | 40.0 |
| 15. Common stock 130.0 | Same: no new issue | 130.0 |
| 16. Retained earnings 766.0 | 2006 RE + 2007 Additions to RE = | 831.3 |
| 17. Total common equity $ 896.0 | $ 961.3 |
| 18. Total liabilities and equity $2,000.0 | $2,200.0 |
| 19. Required assetsa | $2,200.0 |
| 20. Specified sources of financingb | 2,085.3 |
| 21. Additional funds needed (AFN) | $ 114.7 |
| 22. Required additional notes payable | $ 114.7 |
| 23. Additional short-term investments 0.0 |

aRequired assets include all of the forecasted operating assets, plus short-term investments from the previous year.
bSpecified sources of financing include forecasted operating current liabilities, forecasted long-term bonds, forecasted preferred stock, forecasted common equity, and the amount of notes payable from the previous year.
The ratio of net plant and equipment to sales was $1,000/$3,000 = 0.3333 = 33.33 percent. MicroDrive’s net plant and equipment have grown fairly steadily in the past, and its managers expect steady future growth. Therefore, they forecast that they will need net plant and equipment of 0.3333($3,300) = $1,100 million.

Next, we make the temporary assumption that short-term investments will remain at their current level. We will return to this point after we forecast the rest of the balance sheet.

**Forecast Operating Current Liabilities** As noted earlier, operating current liabilities are called *spontaneously generated funds* because they increase automatically, as sales increase. MicroDrive’s most recent ratio of accounts payable to sales was $60/$3,000 = 0.02 = 2 percent. Assuming that the payables policy will not change, the forecasted level of accounts payable is 0.02($3,300) = $66 million, as shown in Row 8. The most recent ratio of accruals to sales was $140/$3,000 = 0.0467 = 4.67 percent. There is no reason to expect a change in this ratio, so the forecasted level of accruals is 0.0467($3,300) = $154 million.

**Forecast Items Determined by Financial Policy Decisions** In its initial financial plan, MicroDrive kept long-term debt at the 2006 level, as shown in Row 12. The company’s policy is not to issue any additional shares of preferred or common stock barring extraordinary circumstances. Therefore, its forecasts for preferred and common stock, shown in Rows 14 and 15, are the 2006 levels. MicroDrive plans to increase its dividend per share by about 8 percent per year. As shown in Row 15 in Table 9-2, this policy, when combined with the forecasted level of net income, results in a $65.3 million addition to retained earnings. On the balance sheet, the forecasted level of retained earnings is equal to the 2006 retained earnings plus the forecasted addition to retained earnings, or $766.0 + $65.3 = $831.3 million. Again, note that we make the temporary assumption that notes payable remain at their 2006 level.

**Step 4. Raising the Additional Funds Needed**

Based on the forecasted balance sheet, MicroDrive will need $2,200 million of operating assets to support its forecasted $3,300 million of sales. We define required assets as the sum of its forecasted operating assets plus the previous amount of short-term investments. Since MicroDrive had no short-term investments in 2006, its required assets are simply $2,200 million, as shown in Row 19 of Table 9-3.

We define the specified sources of financing as the sum of forecasted levels of operating current liabilities, long-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity, plus notes payable carried over from the previous year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>154.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable (carryover)</td>
<td>110.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
<td>754.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>130.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>831.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>$2,085.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on its required assets and specified sources of financing, MicroDrive’s AFN is \(2,200 - 2,085.3 = 114.7\) million, as shown in Rows 19, 20, and 21 of Table 9-3. Because the AFN is positive, MicroDrive needs \(114.7\) million of additional financing, and its initial financial policy is to obtain these funds as notes payable. Therefore, we add \(114.7\) million into notes payable (Row 10 of Table 9-3), bringing the forecasted total to \(110 + 114.7 = 224.7\) million. Because we added notes payable, we don’t add any short-term investment, and so this completes the initial forecast. Now it is time to analyze the plan and consider potential changes.

Analysis of the Forecast

The 2007 forecast as developed above is only the first part of MicroDrive’s total forecasting process. We must next examine the projected statements and determine whether the forecast meets the financial targets as set forth in the five-year financial plan. If the statements do not meet the targets, then elements of the forecast must be changed.

Table 9-4 shows MicroDrive’s most recent actual ratios, its projected ratios, and the latest industry average ratios. (The table also shows a “Revised Forecast” in the third column, which we will discuss later. Disregard the revised data for now.) The firm’s financial condition at the close of 2006 was weak, with many ratios being well below the industry averages. For example, MicroDrive’s current ratio, based on Column 1 of Table 9-4, was only 3.2 versus 4.2 for an average competitor.

The “Inputs” section shown on the top three rows of the table provides data on three of the model’s key drivers: (1) costs (excluding depreciation) as a percentage of sales, (2) accounts receivable as a percentage of sales, and (3) inventory as a percentage of sales. The preliminary forecast in Column 2 assumes these variables remain constant. While MicroDrive’s cost-to-sales ratio is only slightly worse than the industry average, its ratios of accounts receivable to sales and inventory to sales are significantly higher than those of its competitors. Its investment in inventories and receivables is too high, causing its returns on assets, equity, and invested capital as shown in the lower part of the table to be too low. Therefore, MicroDrive should make operational changes designed to reduce its current assets.

The “Ratios” section of Table 9-4 provides more details regarding the firm’s weaknesses. MicroDrive’s asset management ratios are much worse than the industry averages. For example, its total assets turnover ratio is 1.5 versus an industry average of 1.8. Its poor asset management ratios drag down the return on invested capital (9.5 percent for MicroDrive versus 11.4 percent for the industry average). Furthermore, MicroDrive must carry more than the average amount of debt to support its excessive assets, and the extra interest expense reduces its profit margin to 3.9 percent versus 5.0 percent for the industry. Much of the debt is short term, and this results in a current ratio of 2.5 versus the 4.2 industry average. These problems will persist unless management takes action to improve things.

After reviewing its preliminary forecast, management decided to take three steps to improve its financial condition: (1) It decided to lay off some workers and close certain operations. It forecasted that these steps would lower operating costs (excluding depreciation) from the current 87.2 to 86 percent of sales as shown in Column 3 of Table 9-4. (2) By screening credit customers more closely and being more aggressive in collecting past-due accounts, the company believes it can reduce the ratio of accounts receivable to sales from 12.5 to 11.8 percent.
Finally, management thinks it can reduce the inventory-to-sales ratio from 20.5 to 16.7 percent through the use of tighter inventory controls. 4

These projected operational changes were then used to create a revised set of forecasted statements for 2007. We do not show the new financial statements, but the revised ratios are shown in the third column of Table 9-4. You can see the details in the chapter spreadsheet model, IFM9 Ch09 Tool Kit.xls. Here are the highlights of the revised forecast:

1. The reduction in operating costs improved the 2007 NOPAT, or net operating profit after taxes, by $23.8 million. Even more impressive, the improvements in

---

4We will discuss receivables and inventory management in detail in Chapter 21.
the receivables policy and in inventory management reduced receivables and inventories by $148.5 million. The net result of the increase in NOPAT and the reduction of operating current assets was a very large increase in free cash flow for 2007, from a previously estimated $7.4 million to $179.7 million.

2. The profit margin improved to 4.6 percent. However, the firm’s profit margin still lagged the industry average because its high debt ratio results in higher-than-average interest payments.

3. The increase in the profit margin resulted in an increase in projected retained earnings. More importantly, by tightening inventory controls and reducing the days sales outstanding, MicroDrive projected a reduction in inventories and receivables. Taken together, these actions resulted in a negative AFN of $57.5 million, which means that MicroDrive would actually generate $57.5 million more from internal operations and its financing plan than it needs for new assets. Under its current financial policy, MicroDrive would have $110 million in notes payable (the amount it carried over from the previous year) and $57.5 million in short-term investments. (Note: MicroDrive’s managers considered using the $57.5 million to pay down some of the debt but decided instead to keep it as a liquid asset, which gives them the flexibility to quickly fund any new projects created by their R&D department.) The net effect is a significant reduction in MicroDrive’s debt ratio, although it is still above the industry average.

4. These actions would also raise the rate of return on assets from 5.8 to 7.2 percent, and they would boost the return on equity from 13.3 to 15.4 percent, which is even higher than the industry average.

Although MicroDrive’s managers believed that the revised forecast is achievable, they were not sure of this. Accordingly, they wanted to know how variations in sales would affect the forecast. Therefore, they ran a spreadsheet model using several different sales growth rates, and analyzed the results to see how the ratios would change under different growth scenarios. To illustrate, if the sales growth rate increased from 10 to 20 percent, the AFN would change dramatically, from a $57.5 million surplus to an $89.8 million shortfall because more assets would be required to finance the additional sales.

The spreadsheet model was also used to evaluate dividend policy. If MicroDrive decided to reduce its dividend growth rate, then additional funds would be generated, and those funds could be invested in plant, equipment, and inventories; used to reduce debt; or used to repurchase stock.

We see, then, that forecasting is an iterative process. For planning purposes, the financial staff develops a preliminary forecast based on a continuation of past policies and trends. This provides a starting point, or “baseline” forecast. Next, the projections are modified to see what effects alternative operating plans would have on the firm’s earnings and financial condition. This results in a revised forecast. Then alternative operating plans are examined under different sales growth scenarios, and the model is used to evaluate both dividend policy and capital structure decisions.

Finally, the projected statements can be used to estimate the effect of different plans on MicroDrive’s stock price. This is called value-based management, and is covered in Chapter 11.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the AFN, and how is the percent of sales method used to estimate it?
Why do accounts payable and accruals provide “spontaneous funds” to a growing firm?
THE AFN FORMULA

Most firms forecast their capital requirements by constructing pro forma income statements and balance sheets as described above. However, if the ratios are expected to remain constant, then the following formula can be used to forecast financial requirements. Here we apply the formula to MicroDrive based on the 2006 data, not the revised data, as the revised data do not assume constant ratios.

The symbols in Equation 9-1 are defined below:

\[
AFN = \text{additional funds needed.}
\]

\[
A^* = \text{assets that are tied directly to sales, hence must increase if sales are to increase. Note that A designates total assets and A^* designates those assets that must increase if sales are to increase. When the firm is operating at full capacity, as is the case here, A^* = A. Often, though, A^* and A are not equal, and either the equation must be modified or we must use the projected financial statement method.}
\]

\[
S_0 = \text{sales during the last year.}
\]

\[
A^*/S_0 = \text{percentage of required assets to sales, which also shows the required dollar increase in assets per $1 increase in sales. A^*/S_0 = $2,000/$3,000 = 0.6667 for MicroDrive. Thus, for every $1 increase in sales, assets must increase by about 67 cents.}
\]

\[
L^* = \text{liabilities that increase spontaneously. L^* is normally much less than total liabilities (L). Spontaneous liabilities include accounts payable and accruals, but not bank loans and bonds.}
\]

\[
L^*/S_0 = \text{liabilities that increase spontaneously as a percentage of sales, or spontaneously generated financing per $1 increase in sales. L^*/S_0 = ($60 + $140)/$3,000 = 0.0667 for MicroDrive. Thus, every $1 increase in sales generates about 7 cents of spontaneous financing.}
\]

\[
S_1 = \text{total sales projected for next year. Note that } S_0 \text{ designates last year’s sales, and } S_1 = $3,300 million for MicroDrive.}
\]

\[
\Delta S = \text{change in sales} = S_1 - S_0 = $3,300 million - $3,000 million = $300 million for MicroDrive.}
\]

\[
M = \text{profit margin, or profit per $1 of sales. } M = $114/$3,000 = 0.0380 \text{ for MicroDrive. So, MicroDrive earns 3.8 cents on each dollar of sales.}
\]

\[
RR = \text{retention ratio, which is the percentage of net income that is retained. For MicroDrive, } RR = $56/$114 = 0.491. RR \text{ is also equal to } 1 - \text{payout ratio, since the retention ratio and the payout ratio must total to } 1.0 = 100\%
\]
Inserting values for MicroDrive into Equation 9-1, we find the additional funds needed to be $118 million:

\[
AFN = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Required asset increase} \\
\text{Spontaneous liability increase} \\
\text{Increase in retained earnings}
\end{bmatrix} = 0.667(\Delta S) - 0.067(\Delta S) - 0.038(S_0)(0.491) \\
= 0.667($300 million) - 0.067($300 million) \\
- 0.038($3,300 million)(0.491) \\
= $200 million - $20 million - $62 million \\
= $118 million
\]

To increase sales by $300 million, the formula suggests that MicroDrive must increase assets by $200 million. The $200 million of new assets must be financed in some manner. Of the total, $20 million will come from a spontaneous increase in liabilities, while another $62 million will be obtained from retained earnings. The remaining $118 million must be raised from external sources. This value is an approximation, but it is only slightly different from the AFN figure ($114.7 million) we developed in Table 9-3.

The AFN equation shows that external financing requirements depend on five key factors:

- **Sales growth** ($\Delta S$). Rapidly growing companies require large increases in assets, and more external financing, other things held constant.
- **Capital intensity** ($A*/S_0$). The amount of assets required per dollar of sales, $A*/S_0$ in Equation 9-1, is called the capital intensity ratio. This ratio has a major effect on capital requirements. Companies with higher assets-to-sales ratios require more assets for a given increase in sales, hence a greater need for external financing.
- **Spontaneous liabilities-to-sales ratio** ($L*/S_0$). Companies that spontaneously generate a large amount of liabilities from accounts payable and accruals will have a relatively lower need for external financing.
- **Profit margin** ($M$). The higher the profit margin, the larger the net income available to support increases in assets, hence the lower the need for external financing.
- **Retention ratio** ($RR$). Companies that retain more of their earnings as opposed to paying them out as dividends will generate more retained earnings and thus have less need for external financing.

Note that Equation 9-1 provides an accurate forecast only for companies whose ratios are all expected to remain constant. It is useful to obtain a quick “back of the envelope” estimate of external financing requirements for nonconstant ratio companies, but in the planning process one should calculate the actual additional funds needed by the projected financial statement method.

**Self-Test Questions**

If all ratios are expected to remain constant, a formula can be used to forecast AFN. Give the formula and briefly explain it.

How do the following factors affect external capital requirements: (1) retention ratio, (2) capital intensity, and (3) profit margin?
FORECASTING FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS
WHEN THE BALANCE SHEET RATIOS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Both the AFN formula and the projected financial statement method as we initially used it assume that the ratios of assets and liabilities to sales \( (A*/S_0 \text{ and } L*/S_0) \) remain constant over time. This, in turn, requires the assumption that each “spontaneous” asset and liability item increases at the same rate as sales. In graph form, this implies the type of relationship shown in Panel a of Figure 9-2, a relationship that is (1) linear and (2) passes through the origin. Under those conditions, if the company’s sales increase from $200 million to $400 million, or by 100 percent, inventory will also increase by 100 percent, from $100 million to $200 million.

The assumption of constant ratios and identical growth rates is appropriate at times, but there are times when it is incorrect. Three such conditions are described in the following sections.

**Economies of Scale**

There are economies of scale in the use of many kinds of assets, and when economies occur, the ratios are likely to change over time as the size of the firm increases. For example, retailers often need to maintain base stocks of different inventory items, even if current sales are quite low. As sales expand, inventories may then grow less rapidly than sales, so the ratio of inventory to sales \( (I/S) \) declines. This situation is depicted in Panel b of Figure 9-2. Here we see that the inventory/sales ratio is 1.5, or 150 percent, when sales are $200 million, but the ratio declines to 1.0 when sales climb to $400 million.

The relationship in Panel b is linear, but nonlinear relationships often exist. Indeed, if the firm uses one popular model for establishing inventory levels (the EOQ model), its inventories will rise with the square root of sales. This situation is shown in Panel c of Figure 9-2, which shows a curved line whose slope decreases at higher sales levels. In this situation, very large increases in sales would require very little additional inventory.

See the Web Extension to this chapter for more on forecasting when variables are not proportional to sales.

**Lumpy Assets**

In many industries, technological considerations dictate that if a firm is to be competitive, it must add fixed assets in large, discrete units; such assets are often referred to as lumpy assets. In the paper industry, for example, there are strong economies of scale in basic paper mill equipment, so when a paper company expands capacity, it must do so in large, lumpy increments. This type of situation is depicted in Panel d of Figure 9-2. Here we assume that the minimum economically efficient plant has a cost of $75 million, and that such a plant can produce enough output to reach a sales level of $100 million. If the firm is to be competitive, it simply must have at least $75 million of fixed assets.

Lumpy assets have a major effect on the fixed assets/sales \( (FA/S) \) ratio at different sales levels and, consequently, on financial requirements. At Point A in Panel d, which represents a sales level of $50 million, the fixed assets are
$75 million, so the ratio FA/S = $75/$50 = 1.5. Sales can expand by $50 million, out to $100 million, with no additions to fixed assets. At that point, represented by Point B, the ratio FA/S = $75/$100 = 0.75. However, since the firm is operating at capacity (sales of $100 million), even a small increase in sales would require a doubling of plant capacity, so a small projected sales increase would bring with it a very large financial requirement.  

Several other points should be noted about Panel d of Figure 9-2. First, if the firm is operating at a sales level of $100 million or less, any expansion that calls for a sales increase above $100 million would require a doubling of the firm’s fixed assets. A much smaller percentage increase would be involved if the firm were large enough to be operating a number of plants. Second, firms generally go to multiple shifts and take other actions to minimize the need for new fixed asset capacity as they approach Point B. However, these efforts can only go so far, and eventually a fixed asset expansion will be required. Third, firms often make arrangements to share excess capacity with other firms in their industry. For example, the situation in the electric utility industry is very much like that depicted in Panel d. However, electric companies often build jointly owned plants, or else they “take turns” building plants, and then they buy power from or sell power to other utilities to avoid building new plants that would be underutilized.
Excess Capacity Adjustments

Consider again the MicroDrive example set forth in Tables 9-2 and 9-3, but now assume that excess capacity exists in fixed assets. Specifically, assume that fixed assets in 2006 were being utilized to only 96 percent of capacity. If fixed assets had been used to full capacity, 2006 sales could have been as high as $3,125 million versus the $3,000 million in actual sales:

\[
\frac{\text{Full capacity sales}}{\text{Actual sales}} = \frac{\text{Actual sales}}{\text{Percentage of capacity at which fixed assets were operated}}
\]  

\[
\frac{\$3,000 \text{ million}}{0.96} = \$3,125 \text{ million}
\]

This suggests that MicroDrive’s target fixed assets/sales ratio should be 32 percent rather than 33.3 percent:

\[
\text{Target fixed assets/Sales} = \frac{\text{Actual fixed assets}}{\text{Full capacity sales}}
\]  

\[
\frac{\$1,000}{\$3,125} = 0.32 = 32\%
\]

Therefore, if sales are to increase to $3,300 million, then fixed assets would have to increase to $1,056 million:

\[
\text{Required level of fixed assets} = (\text{Target fixed assets/Sales})(\text{Projected sales})
\]

\[
0.32(\$3,300) = \$1,056 \text{ million}
\]

We previously forecasted that MicroDrive would need to increase fixed assets at the same rate as sales, or by 10 percent. That meant an increase from $1,000 million to $1,100 million, or by $100 million. Now we see that the actual required increase is only from $1,000 million to $1,056 million, or by $56 million. Thus, the capacity-adjusted forecast is $100 million − $56 million = $44 million less than the earlier forecast. With a smaller fixed asset requirement, the projected AFN would decline from an estimated $118 million to $118 million − $44 million = $74 million.

Note also that when excess capacity exists, sales can grow to the capacity sales as determined above with no increase in fixed assets, but sales beyond that level will require fixed asset additions as calculated in our example. The same situation could occur with respect to inventories, and the required additions would
be determined in exactly the same manner as for fixed assets. Theoretically, the same situation could occur with other types of assets, but as a practical matter excess capacity normally exists only with respect to fixed assets and inventories.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how economies of scale and lumpy asset acquisition affect financial forecasting. If excess capacity exists, how will that affect the AFN?

**SUMMARY**

The key concepts covered are listed below:

- **Financial forecasting** generally begins with a forecast of the firm’s sales, in terms of both units and dollars.
- Either the *projected*, or *pro forma*, financial statement method or the **AFN formula method** can be used to forecast financial requirements. The financial statement method is more reliable, and it also provides ratios that can be used to evaluate alternative business plans.
- A firm can determine its **additional funds needed** (AFN) by estimating the amount of new assets necessary to support the forecasted level of sales and then subtracting from that amount the spontaneous funds that will be generated from operations. The firm can then plan how to raise the AFN most efficiently.
- The **higher** a firm’s sales growth rate, the **greater** will be its need for additional financing. Similarly, the **smaller** its **retention ratio**, the **greater** its need for additional funds.
- Adjustments must be made if **economies of scale** exist in the use of assets, if **excess capacity** exists, or if assets must be added in **lumpy increments**.
- **Linear regression** and **excess capacity adjustments** can be used to forecast asset requirements in situations where assets are not expected to grow at the same rate as sales.

**QUESTIONS**

9-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Operating plan; financial plan; sales forecast
   b. Pro forma financial statement; percent of sales method
   c. Spontaneously generated funds
   d. Additional funds needed (AFN); AFN formula; capital intensity ratio
   e. Lumpy assets

9-2 Certain liability and net worth items generally increase spontaneously with increases in sales. Put a check (√) by those items that typically increase spontaneously:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts payable</th>
<th>Mortgage bonds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable to banks</td>
<td>Common stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued wages</td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued taxes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9-3 The following equation can, under certain assumptions, be used to forecast financial requirements:

\[ \text{AFN} = (A^*/S_0)(\Delta S) - (L^*/S_0)(\Delta S) - MS_1(RR) \]

Under what conditions does the equation give satisfactory predictions, and when should it not be used?

9-4 Suppose a firm makes the following policy changes. If the change means that external, nonspontaneous financial requirements (AFN) will increase, indicate this by a (+); indicate a decrease by a (−); and indicate indeterminate or no effect by a (0). Think in terms of the immediate, short-run effect on funds requirements.

a. The dividend payout ratio is increased. _________

b. The firm decides to pay all suppliers on delivery, rather than after a 30-day delay, to take advantage of discounts for rapid payment. _________

c. The firm begins to sell on credit (previously all sales had been on a cash basis). _________

d. The firm’s profit margin is eroded by increased competition; sales are steady. _________

PROBLEMS

Carter Corporation’s sales are expected to increase from $5 million in 2006 to $6 million in 2007 or by 20 percent. Its assets totaled $3 million at the end of 2006. Carter is at full capacity, so its assets must grow at the same rate as projected sales. At the end of 2006, current liabilities were $1 million, consisting of $250,000 of accounts payable, $500,000 of notes payable, and $250,000 of accruals. The after-tax profit margin is forecasted to be 5 percent, and the forecasted payout ratio is 70 percent. Use this information to answer Problems 9-1, 9-2, and 9-3.

9-1 Use the AFN formula to forecast Carter’s additional funds needed for the coming year.

9-2 What would be the additional funds needed if the company’s year-end 2006 assets had been $4 million? Assume that all other numbers are the same. Why is this AFN different from the one you found in Problem 9-1? Is the company’s “capital intensity” the same or different?

9-3 Return to the assumption that the company had $3 million in assets at the end of 2006, but now assume that the company pays no dividends. Under these assumptions, what would be the additional funds needed for the coming year? Why is this AFN different from the one you found in Problem 9-1?

9-4 Pierce Furnishings generated $2.0 million in sales during 2006, and its year-end total assets were $1.5 million. Also, at year-end 2006, current liabilities were $500,000, consisting of $200,000 of notes payable, $200,000 of accounts payable, and $100,000 of accruals. Looking ahead to 2007, the company estimates that its assets must increase by 75 cents for every $1 increase in sales. Pierce’s profit margin is 5 percent, and its payout ratio is 60 percent. How large a sales increase can the company achieve without having to raise funds externally?
Upton Computers makes bulk purchases of small computers, stocks them in conveniently located warehouses, and ships them to its chain of retail stores. Upton’s balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, is shown here (millions of dollars):

- Cash $3.5
- Accounts payable $9.0
- Receivables 26.0
- Notes payable 18.0
- Inventories 58.0
- Accruals 8.5
- Total current assets $87.5
- Total current liabilities $35.5
- Net fixed assets 35.0
- Mortgage loan 6.0
- Common stock 15.0
- Retained earnings 66.0
- Total assets $122.5
- Total liabilities and equity $122.5

Sales for 2006 were $350 million, while net income for the year was $10.5 million. Upton paid dividends of $4.2 million to common stockholders. The firm is operating at full capacity. Assume that all ratios remain constant.

a. If sales are projected to increase by $70 million, or 20 percent, during 2007, use the AFN equation to determine Upton’s projected external capital requirements.

b. Construct Upton’s pro forma balance sheet for December 31, 2007. Assume that all external capital requirements are met by bank loans and are reflected in notes payable. Assume Upton’s profit margin and dividend payout ratio remain constant.

Stevens Textile’s 2006 financial statements are shown below.

**Stevens Textile: Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2006**

(Thousands of Dollars)

- Cash $1,080
- Accounts payable $4,320
- Receivables 6,480
- Accruals 2,880
- Inventories 9,000
- Notes payable 2,100
- Total current assets $16,560
- Total current liabilities $9,300
- Net fixed assets 12,600
- Mortgage bonds 3,500
- Common stock 3,500
- Retained earnings 12,860
- Total assets $29,160
- Total liabilities and equity $29,160

**Stevens Textile: Income Statement for December 31, 2006**

(Thousands of Dollars)

- Sales $36,000
- Operating costs $32,440
- Earnings before interest and taxes $3,560
- Interest 460
- Earnings before taxes $3,100
- Taxes (40%) 1,240
- Net income $1,860
- Dividends (45%) $837
- Addition to retained earnings $1,023

Suppose 2007 sales are projected to increase by 15 percent over 2006 sales. Determine the additional funds needed. Assume that the company was operating at full
capacity in 2006, that it cannot sell off any of its fixed assets, and that any required financing will be borrowed as notes payable. Also, assume that assets, spontaneous liabilities, and operating costs are expected to increase by the same percentage as sales. Use the percent of sales method to develop a pro forma balance sheet and income statement for December 31, 2007. Use an interest rate of 10 percent on the balance of debt at the beginning of the year to compute interest (cash pays no interest). Use the pro forma income statement to determine the addition to retained earnings.

Garlington Technologies Inc.’s 2006 financial statements are shown below.

**Garlington Technologies Inc.: Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$1,260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>$1,440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$696,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>$204,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Garlington Technologies Inc.: Income Statement for December 31, 2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$3,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td>$3,279,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>$320,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$18,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>$302,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>$120,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$181,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends</td>
<td>$108,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppose that in 2007 sales increase by 10 percent over 2006 sales and that 2007 dividends will increase to $112,000. Construct the pro forma financial statements using the percent of sales method. Assume the firm operated at full capacity in 2006. Use an interest rate of 13 percent on the debt balance at the beginning of the year. Assume that the AFN will be in the form of notes payable.

At year-end 2006, total assets for Bertin Inc. were $1.2 million and accounts payable were $375,000. Sales, which in 2006 were $2.5 million, are expected to increase by 25 percent in 2007. Total assets and accounts payable are proportional to sales and that relationship will be maintained. Bertin typically uses no current liabilities other than accounts payable. Common stock amounted to $425,000 in 2006, and retained earnings were $295,000. Bertin plans to sell new common stock in the amount of $75,000. The firm’s profit margin on sales is 6 percent; 40 percent of earnings will be paid out as dividends.

a. What was Bertin’s total debt in 2006?

b. How much new, long-term debt financing will be needed in 2007? (Hint: AFN = New stock – New long-term debt.) Do not consider any financing feedback effects.
The Booth Company’s sales are forecasted to increase from $1,000 in 2006 to $2,000 in 2007. Here is the December 31, 2006, balance sheet:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 100</td>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and equity</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Booth’s fixed assets were used to only 50 percent of capacity during 2006, but its current assets were at their proper levels. All assets except fixed assets increase at the same rate as sales, and fixed assets would also increase at the same rate if the current excess capacity did not exist. Booth’s after-tax profit margin is forecasted to be 5 percent, and its payout ratio will be 60 percent. What is Booth’s additional funds needed (AFN) for the coming year?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch09 P10 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Cumberland Industries’ financial planners must forecast the company’s financial results for the coming year. The forecast will be based on the percent of sales method, and any additional funds needed will be obtained by using a mix of notes payable, long-term debt, and common stock. No preferred stock will be issued. Data for the problem, including Cumberland Industries’ balance sheet and income statement, can be found in the spreadsheet problem for Chapter 7. Use these data to answer the following questions.

a. Cumberland Industries has had the following sales since 2001. Assuming the historical trend continues, what will sales be in 2007?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$129,215,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>180,901,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>235,252,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>294,065,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>396,692,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>455,150,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base your forecast on a spreadsheet regression analysis of the 2001–2006 sales. By what percentage are sales predicted to increase in 2007 over 2006? Is the sales growth rate increasing or decreasing?

b. Cumberland’s management believes that the firm will actually experience a 20 percent increase in sales during 2007. Construct the 2007 pro forma financial statements. Cumberland will not issue any new stock or long-term bonds. Assume Cumberland will carry forward its current amounts of short-term investments and notes payable, prior to calculating AFN. Assume that any additional funds needed (AFN) will be raised as notes payable (if AFN is
negative, Cumberland will purchase additional short-term investments). Use an interest rate of 9 percent for short-term debt (and for the interest income on short-term investments) and a rate of 11 percent for long-term debt. No interest is earned on cash. Use the beginning of year debt balances to calculate net interest expense. Assume dividends grow at an 8 percent rate.

c. Now create a graph that shows the sensitivity of AFN to the sales growth rate. To make this graph, compare the AFN at sales growth rates of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 percent.

d. Calculate net operating working capital (NOWC), total operating capital, NOPAT, and operating cash flow (OCF) for 2006 and 2007. Also, calculate the free cash flow (FCF) for 2007.

e. Suppose Cumberland can reduce its inventory to sales ratio to 5 percent and its cost to sales ratio to 83 percent. What happens to AFN and FCF?

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

**minicase**

Betty Simmons, the new financial manager of Southeast Chemicals (SEC), a Georgia producer of specialized chemicals for use in fruit orchards, must prepare a financial forecast for 2007. SEC’s 2006 sales were $2 billion, and the marketing department is forecasting a 25 percent increase for 2007. Simmons thinks the company was operating at full capacity in 2006, but she is not sure about this. The 2006 financial statements, plus some other data, are shown below.

**A. 2006 Balance Sheet (Millions of Dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent of Sales</th>
<th>Percent of Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and securities</td>
<td>$ 20</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accruals</td>
<td>$ 100</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. 2006 Income Statement (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent of Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold (COGS)</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales, general, and administrative costs (SGA)</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends (40%)</td>
<td>21.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition to retained earnings</td>
<td>$32.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Key Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SEC</th>
<th>Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit margin</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on equity</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>15.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding (365 days)</td>
<td>43.80 days</td>
<td>32.00 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>8.33×</td>
<td>11.00×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets turnover</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt/assets</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
<td>36.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times interest earned</td>
<td>10.00×</td>
<td>9.40×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current ratio</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on invested capital (NOPAT/Operating capital)</td>
<td>6.67%</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume that you were recently hired as Simmons’s assistant, and your first major task is to help her develop the forecast. She asked you to begin by answering the following set of questions.

a. Describe three ways that pro forma statements are used in financial planning.

b. Explain the steps in financial forecasting.

c. Assume (1) that SEC was operating at full capacity in 2006 with respect to all assets, (2) that all assets must grow proportionally with sales, (3) that accounts payable and accruals will also grow in proportion to sales, and (4) that the 2006 profit margin and dividend payout will be maintained. Under these conditions, what will the company’s financial requirements be for the coming year? Use the AFN equation to answer this question.

d. How would changes in the following items affect the AFN: (1) sales increase? (2) the dividend payout ratio increases? (3) the profit margin increases? (4) the capital intensity ratio increases? and (5) SEC begins paying its suppliers sooner? (Consider each item separately and hold all other things constant.)

Briefly explain how to forecast financial statements using the percent of sales approach. Be sure to explain how to forecast interest expenses.

Now estimate the 2007 financial requirements using the percent of sales approach. Assume (1) that each type of asset, as well as payables, accruals, and fixed and variable costs, will be the same percent of sales in 2007 as in 2006; (2) that the payout ratio is held constant at 40 percent; (3) that external funds needed are financed 50 percent by notes payable and 50 percent by long-term debt (no new common stock will be issued); (4) that all debt carries an interest rate of 10 percent; and (5) that interest expenses should be based on the balance of debt at the beginning of the year.
g. Why does the percent of sales approach produce somewhat different AFN than the equation approach? Which method provides the more accurate forecast?

h. Calculate SEC’s forecasted ratios, and compare them with the company’s 2006 ratios and with the industry averages. Calculate SEC’s forecasted free cash flow and return on invested capital (ROIC).

i. Based on comparisons between SEC’s days sales outstanding (DSO) and inventory turnover ratios with the industry average figures, does it appear that SEC is operating efficiently with respect to its inventory and accounts receivable? Suppose SEC were able to bring these ratios into line with the industry averages and reduce its SGA/Sales ratio to 33 percent. What effect would this have on its AFN and its financial ratios? What effect would this have on free cash flow and ROIC?

j. Suppose you now learn that SEC’s 2006 receivables and inventories were in line with required levels, given the firm’s credit and inventory policies, but that excess capacity existed with regard to fixed assets. Specifically, fixed assets were operated at only 75 percent of capacity. (1) What level of sales could have existed in 2006 with the available fixed assets? (2) How would the existence of excess capacity in fixed assets affect the additional funds needed during 2007?

k. The relationship between sales and the various types of assets is important in financial forecasting. The percent of sales approach, under the assumption that each asset item grows at the same rate as sales, leads to an AFN forecast that is reasonably close to the forecast using the AFN equation. Explain how each of the following factors would affect the accuracy of financial forecasts based on the AFN equation: (1) economies of scale in the use of assets and (2) lumpy assets.

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For a more detailed explanation of financial forecasting and valuation, see


The heart of successful financial planning is the sales forecast. On this key subject, see


Computer modeling is becoming increasingly important. For general references, see


For an article on control, see


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:

Most important business decisions require capital. For example, when Daimler-Benz decided to develop the Mercedes ML 320 sport utility vehicle and to build a plant in Alabama to produce it, Daimler had to estimate the total investment that would be required and the cost of the required capital. The expected rate of return exceeded the cost of the capital, so Daimler went ahead with the project. Microsoft had to make a similar decision with Windows XP, Pfizer with Viagra, and South-Western when it decided to publish this textbook.

Mergers and acquisitions often require enormous amounts of capital. For example, Vodafone Group, a large telecommunications company in the United Kingdom, spent $60 billion to acquire AirTouch Communications, a U.S. telecommunications company, in 1999. The resulting company, Vodafone AirTouch, later made a $124 billion offer for Mannesmann, a German company. In both cases, Vodafone estimated the incremental cash flows that would result from the acquisition, and then discounted those cash flows at the estimated cost of capital. The resulting values were greater than the targets’ market prices, so Vodafone made the offers.

Recent survey evidence indicates that almost half of all large companies have elements in their compensation plans that use the concept of economic value added (EVA). As described in Chapter 7, EVA is the difference between net operating profit after taxes and a charge for capital, where the capital charge is calculated by multiplying the amount of capital by the cost of capital. Thus, the cost of capital is an increasingly important component of compensation plans.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch10 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What are the main components of a company’s cost of capital? Rank these components from lowest to highest cost (a) on a before-tax and (b) on an after-tax cost basis, and explain why these differences exist.

2. How are the component costs combined to form a weighted average cost of capital (WACC), and why is it necessary to use the WACC in capital budgeting?

3. What weights should be used when you calculate the WACC? Discuss the choice between book value and market value weights, and the role of the “target” capital structure for a firm whose actual capital structure is far removed from the target.

4. Describe each of the following methods for estimating the cost of equity: (a) the CAPM, (b) DCF, and (c) the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium. Where can you obtain inputs for each of these methods, and how accurate are estimates based on each procedure? Can you state categorically that one method is better than the others, or does the “best” method depend on the circumstances?

5. How do flotation costs affect the cost of capital? Are these costs about the same for each of the three capital components? How do they change as the firm raises larger and larger amounts of capital, and how do flotation costs affect the way a company raises capital from year to year?

6. For a given firm, why does WACC change over time? Can the firm control the factors that lead to changes in the WACC and thus determine its WACC?

7. At any one time, should the same WACC be used to evaluate each of a company’s capital budgeting projects? If not, how should the WACC be adjusted for the different projects?

The cost of capital is also a key factor in choosing the mixture of debt and equity used to finance the firm. As these examples illustrate, the cost of capital is a critical element in business decisions.¹

THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL

What precisely do the terms “cost of capital” and “weighted average cost of capital” mean? To begin, note that it is possible to finance a firm entirely with common equity. However, most firms employ several types of capital, called capital components, with common and preferred stock, along with debt, being the three

¹The cost of capital is an important factor in the regulation of electric, gas, and telephone companies. These utilities are natural monopolies in the sense that one firm can supply service at a lower cost than could two or more firms. Because it has a monopoly, your electric or telephone company could, if it were unregulated, exploit you. Therefore, regulators (1) determine the cost of the capital investors have provided the utility and (2) then set rates designed to permit the company to earn its cost of capital, no more and no less.
In Chapter 1, we told you that managers should strive to make their firms more valuable and that the value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its free cash flows (FCF). In particular, a firm’s value is the present value of its FCFs, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). In the previous chapters, we examined the major sources of financing (stocks, bonds, and preferred stock) on an individual basis. In this chapter, we put those pieces together and estimate the WACC.

Most firms set target percentages for the different financing sources. For example, National Computer Corporation (NCC) plans to raise 30 percent of its required capital as debt, 10 percent as preferred stock, and 60 percent as common equity. This is its target capital structure. We discuss how targets are established in
Chapter 15, but for now simply accept NCC’s 30/10/60 debt, preferred, and common percentages as given.

The following sections discuss each of the component costs in more detail, and then we show how to combine them to calculate the weighted average cost of capital.

**Self-Test Questions**

1. What are the three major capital components?
2. What is a component cost?
3. What is a target capital structure?

**COST OF DEBT, \( r_d(1 - T) \)**

The first step in estimating the cost of debt is to determine the rate of return debtholders require, or \( r_d \). Although estimating \( r_d \) is conceptually straightforward, some problems arise in practice. Companies use both fixed- and floating-rate debt, straight and convertible debt, and debt with and without sinking funds, and each form has a somewhat different cost.

It is unlikely that the financial manager will know at the start of a planning period the exact types and amounts of debt that will be used during the period. The type or types used will depend on the specific assets to be financed and on capital market conditions as they develop over time. Even so, the financial manager does know what types of debt are typical for his or her firm. For example, NCC typically issues commercial paper to raise short-term money to finance working capital, and it issues 30-year bonds to raise long-term debt used to finance its capital budgeting projects. Since the WACC is used primarily in capital budgeting, NCC’s treasurer uses the cost of 30-year bonds in her WACC estimate.

Assume that it is January 2007, and NCC’s treasurer is estimating the WACC for the coming year. How should she calculate the component cost of debt? Most financial managers would begin by discussing current and prospective interest rates with their investment bankers. Assume that NCC’s bankers state that a new 30-year, non-callable, straight bond issue would require an 11 percent coupon rate with semiannual payments, and that it would be offered to the public at its $1,000 par value. Therefore, \( r_d \) is equal to 11 percent.²

Note that the 11 percent is the cost of new, or marginal, debt, and it will probably not be the same as the average rate on NCC’s previously issued debt, which is called the historical, or embedded, rate. The embedded cost is important for some decisions but not for others. For example, the average cost of all the capital raised in the past and still outstanding is used by regulators when they determine the rate of return a public utility should be allowed to earn. However, in financial management the WACC is used primarily to make investment decisions, and these decisions hinge on projects’ expected future returns versus the cost of new, or marginal, capital. Thus, for our purposes, the relevant cost is the marginal cost of new debt to be raised during the planning period.

Suppose NCC had issued debt in the past, and its bonds are publicly traded. The financial staff could use the market price of the bonds to find their yield to maturity (or yield to call if the bonds sell at a premium and are likely to be called). The yield is the rate of return the existing bondholders expect to receive,

---

²The effective annual rate is \((1 + 0.11/2)^2 - 1 = 11.3\%\), but NCC and most other companies use nominal rates for all component costs.
and it is also a good estimate of \( r_d \), the rate of return that new bondholders would require.

If NCC had no publicly traded debt, its staff could look at yields on publicly traded debt of similar firms. This too should provide a reasonable estimate of \( r_d \).

The required return to debtholders, \( r_d \), is not equal to the company’s cost of debt because, since interest payments are deductible, the government in effect pays part of the total cost. As a result, the cost of debt to the firm is less than the rate of return required by debtholders.

The after-tax cost of debt, \( r_d(1 - T) \), is used to calculate the weighted average cost of capital, and it is the interest rate on debt, \( r_d \), less the tax savings that result because interest is deductible. This is the same as \( r_d \) multiplied by \((1 - T)\), where \( T \) is the firm’s marginal tax rate.\(^3\)

\[
\text{After-tax component cost of debt} = \text{Interest rate} - \text{Tax savings} = r_d - r_dT = r_d(1 - T)
\]

Therefore, if NCC can borrow at an interest rate of 11 percent, and if it has a marginal federal-plus-state tax rate of 40 percent, then its after-tax cost of debt is 6.6 percent:

\[
r_d(1 - T) = 11\%(1.0 - 0.4) = 11\%(0.6) = 6.6\%
\]

Flotation costs are usually fairly small for most debt issues, and so most analysts ignore them when estimating the cost of debt. Later in the chapter we show how to incorporate flotation costs for those cases in which they are significant.

Self-Test Questions

Why is the after-tax cost of debt rather than the before-tax cost used to calculate the weighted average cost of capital?

Is the relevant cost of debt the interest rate on already outstanding debt or that on new debt? Why?

COST OF PREFERRED STOCK, \( r_{ps} \)

A number of firms, including NCC, use preferred stock as part of their permanent financing mix. Preferred dividends are not tax deductible. Therefore, the company bears their full cost, and no tax adjustment is used when calculating the cost of preferred stock. Note too that while some preferreds are issued without a stated maturity date, today most have a sinking fund that effectively limits their life. Finally, although it is not mandatory that preferred dividends be paid, firms generally have every intention of doing so, because otherwise (1) they cannot pay dividends on

\(^3\)The federal tax rate for most corporations is 35 percent. However, most corporations are also subject to state income taxes, so the marginal tax rate on most corporate income is about 40 percent. For illustrative purposes, we assume that the effective federal-plus-state tax rate on marginal income is 40 percent. The effective tax rate is zero for a firm with such large current or past losses that it does not pay taxes. In this situation the after-tax cost of debt is equal to the pre-tax interest rate.
their common stock, (2) they will find it difficult to raise additional funds in the capital markets, and (3) in some cases preferred stockholders can take control of the firm.

The **component cost of preferred stock** used to calculate the weighted average cost of capital, \( r_{ps} \), is the preferred dividend, \( D_{ps} \), divided by the net issuing price, \( P_n \), which is the price the firm receives after deducting flotation costs:

\[
\text{Component cost of preferred stock} = r_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{P_n}
\]

Flotation costs are higher for preferred stock than for debt; hence they are incorporated into the formula for preferred stocks’ costs.

To illustrate the calculation, assume that NCC has preferred stock that pays a $10 dividend per share and sells for $100 per share. If NCC issued new shares of preferred, it would incur an underwriting (or flotation) cost of 2.5 percent, or $2.50 per share, so it would net $97.50 per share. Therefore, NCC’s cost of preferred stock is 10.3 percent:

\[
r_{ps} = \frac{10}{97.50} = 10.3\%
\]

**Self-Test Questions**

Does the component cost of preferred stock include or exclude flotation costs? Explain.

Why is no tax adjustment made to the cost of preferred stock?

**COST OF COMMON STOCK, \( r_s \)**

Companies can raise common equity in two ways: (1) directly, by issuing new shares, and (2) indirectly, by retaining earnings. If new shares are issued, what rate of return must the company earn to satisfy the new stockholders? In Chapter 2, we saw that investors require a return of \( r_s \). However, a company must earn more than \( r_s \) on new external equity to provide this rate of return to investors because there are commissions and fees, called flotation costs, when a firm issues new equity.

Few mature firms issue new shares of common stock.\(^4\) In fact, less than 2 percent of all new corporate funds come from the external equity market. There are three reasons for this:

1. Flotation costs can be quite high, as we show later in this chapter.
2. Investors perceive issuing equity as a negative signal about the true value of the company’s stock. Investors believe that managers have superior knowledge about companies’ future prospects, and that managers are most likely to issue new stock when they think the current stock price is higher than the true value. Therefore, if a mature company announces plans to issue additional shares, this typically causes its stock price to decline.
3. An increase in the supply of stock will put pressure on the stock’s price, forcing the company to sell the new stock at a lower price than existed before the new issue was announced.

\(^4\)A few companies issue new shares through new-stock dividend reinvestment plans, which we discuss in Chapter 17. Also, quite a few companies sell stock to their employees, and companies occasionally issue stock to finance huge projects or mergers.
Therefore, we assume that the companies in the following examples do not plan to issue new shares.  

Does new equity capital raised indirectly by retaining earnings have a cost? The answer is a resounding yes. If some earnings are retained, then stockholders will incur an opportunity cost—the earnings could have been paid out as dividends (or used to repurchase stock), in which case stockholders could then have reinvested the money in other investments. Thus, the firm should earn on its reinvested earnings at least as much as its stockholders themselves could earn on alternative investments of equivalent risk.

What rate of return can stockholders expect to earn on equivalent-risk investments? The answer is $r_s$, because they expect to earn that return by simply buying the stock of the firm in question or that of a similar firm. Therefore, $r_s$ is the cost of common equity raised internally by reinvesting earnings. If a company cannot earn at least $r_s$ on reinvested earnings, then it should pass those earnings on to its stockholders and let them invest the money themselves in assets that do provide $r_s$.

Whereas debt and preferred stock are contractual obligations that have easily determined costs, it is more difficult to estimate $r_s$. However, we can employ the principles described in Chapters 2 and 5 to produce reasonably good cost of equity estimates. Three methods typically are used: (1) the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), (2) the discounted cash flow (DCF) method, and (3) the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach. These methods are not mutually exclusive—no method dominates the others, and all are subject to error when used in practice. Therefore, when faced with the task of estimating a company’s cost of equity, we generally use all three methods and then choose among them on the basis of our confidence in the data used for each in the specific case at hand.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the two sources of equity capital?

Why do most established firms not issue additional shares of common equity?

Explain why there is a cost to using retained earnings; that is, why aren’t retained earnings a free source of capital?

**THE CAPM APPROACH**

To estimate the cost of common stock using the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) as discussed in Chapter 2, we proceed as follows:

**STEP 1.** Estimate the risk-free rate, $r_{RF}$.

**STEP 2.** Estimate the current expected market risk premium, $R_{PM}$, which is the expected market return minus the risk-free rate.

**STEP 3.** Estimate the stock’s beta coefficient, $b_i$, and use it as an index of the stock’s risk. The $i$ signifies the $i$th company’s beta.

**STEP 4.** Substitute the preceding values into the CAPM equation to estimate the required rate of return on the stock in question:

\[
rs = r_{RF} + (R_{PM})b_i
\]

---

There are times when companies should issue stock in spite of these problems; hence we discuss stock issues later in the chapter.
Equation 10-3 shows that the CAPM estimate of \( r_s \) begins with the risk-free rate, \( r_{RF} \), to which is added a risk premium set equal to the risk premium on the market, \( R_{PM} \), scaled up or down to reflect the particular stock's risk as measured by its beta coefficient. The following sections explain how to implement the four-step process.

### Estimating the Risk-Free Rate

The starting point for the CAPM cost of equity estimate is \( r_{RF} \), the risk-free rate. There is really no such thing as a truly riskless asset in the U.S. economy. Treasury securities are essentially free of default risk, but nonindexed long-term T-bonds will suffer capital losses if interest rates rise, and a portfolio of short-term T-bills will provide a volatile earnings stream because the rate earned on T-bills varies over time.

Since we cannot in practice find a truly riskless rate upon which to base the CAPM, what rate should we use? A recent survey of highly regarded companies shows that about two-thirds of the companies use the rate on long-term Treasury bonds.\(^6\) We agree with their choice, and here are our reasons:

1. Common stocks are long-term securities, and although a particular stockholder may not have a long investment horizon, most stockholders do invest on a long-term basis. Therefore, it is reasonable to think that stock returns embody long-term inflation expectations similar to those reflected in bonds rather than the short-term expectations in bills.
2. Treasury bill rates are more volatile than are Treasury bond rates and, most experts agree, more volatile than \( r_s \).\(^7\)
3. In theory, the CAPM is supposed to measure the expected return over a particular holding period. When it is used to estimate the cost of equity for a project, the theoretically correct holding period is the life of the project. Since many projects have long lives, the holding period for the CAPM also should be long. Therefore, the rate on a long-term T-bond is a logical choice for the risk-free rate.

In light of the preceding discussion, we believe that the cost of common equity is more closely related to Treasury bond rates than to T-bill rates. This leads us to favor T-bonds as the base rate, or \( r_{RF} \), in a CAPM cost of equity analysis. T-bond rates can be found in *The Wall Street Journal* or the *Federal Reserve Bulletin*. Generally, we use the yield on a 10-year T-bond as the proxy for the risk-free rate.

### Estimating the Market Risk Premium

The market risk premium, \( R_{PM} \), is the expected market return minus the risk-free rate. This is also called the equity risk premium, or just the equity premium. It is caused by investor risk aversion: Since most investors are averse to risk, they require a higher expected return (a risk premium) to induce them to invest in risky

---


\(^7\)Economic events usually have a larger impact on short-term rates than on long-term rates. For example, see the analysis of the 1995–1996 federal debt limit disagreement between the White House and Congress provided in Srinivas Nippani, Pu Liu, and Craig T. Schulman, “Are Treasury Securities Free of Default?” *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, June 2001, pp. 251–266.
equities versus relatively low-risk debt. The premium can be estimated on the basis of (1) historical data or (2) forward-looking data.

**Historical Risk Premium** Historical risk premium data for U.S. securities, updated annually, are available from Ibbotson Associates. Their study includes historical data on stocks, T-bills, T-bonds, and corporate bonds from 1926 through the latest year (2004 currently). Ibbotson calculates the actual realized rates of return on each set of securities and defines the historical market risk premium on common stocks as the difference between the historical realized returns on stocks and T-bonds. Ibbotson’s latest study reports a 6.6 percent arithmetic average historical risk premium and a 5.0 percent geometric average historical risk premium. If investor risk aversion had actually been constant during their sample period, then the arithmetic average would be the best estimate for next year’s risk premium, while the geometric average would be the best estimate for the longer-term risk premium, say, for the next 20 years.

For many years, academic researchers and corporate analysts used the Ibbotson historical risk premium to estimate the current equity risk premium, under the assumption that the risk premium doesn’t change over time. However, this approach has come under fire in recent years. For example, in 2000, 2001, and 2002, bonds had higher returns than stocks. This caused negative realized risk premiums during those years, which reduced the historical average risk premium. However, most knowledgeable observers believe that the true equity risk premium actually increased during the 2000–2002 period and that the increasing premium contributed to the declining stock market during those years; an increasing risk premium caused higher costs of equity, lower stock prices, and, thus, lower stock returns. As this shows, an increase in the current risk premium causes a decrease in the historical premium, and vice versa. Thus, greater risk aversion by investors will cause a lower historical risk premium as reported by Ibbotson, the exact opposite of its true effect. If risk aversion does vary over time, as many experts believe, it throws a lot of cold water on those who use the historical risk premium to estimate the current premium.

**Forward-Looking Risk Premiums** An alternative to the historical risk premium is to estimate a forward-looking, or ex ante, risk premium. The most common approach is to use the discounted cash flow (DCF) model to estimate the expected market rate of return, \( \hat{r}_M = r_M \), and then calculate \( RP_M = r_M - r_{RF} \). This procedure recognizes that if markets are in equilibrium, the expected rate of return on the market is also its required rate of return, so when we estimate \( \hat{r}_M \), we are also estimating \( r_M \):

\[
\text{Expected rate of return} = \hat{r}_M = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g = r_{RF} + RP_M = r_M = \text{Required rate of return}
\]

In words, the required return on the market is the sum of the expected dividend yield plus the expected growth rate. Note that the expected dividend yield, \( D_1/P_0 \), can be found using the current dividend yield and the expected growth rate: \( D_1/P_0 = D_0(1 + g)/P_0 \). Therefore, to estimate the required return on the market, all you need are estimates of the current dividend yield and the expected growth rate in dividends. Several data sources report the current dividend yield on the market, as

---

measured by the S&P 500. For example, Reuters.com reports a current dividend yield of 2.06 percent for the S&P 500.

It is much more difficult to obtain an estimate of the expected dividend growth rate. What we really need is the long-run dividend growth rate that a marginal investor expects to obtain if he or she bought a broad portfolio of stocks. Since we cannot identify the marginal investors, let alone get inside their heads, it is impossible to obtain a direct estimate of the relevant growth rate. Faced with these data limitations, analysts usually estimate the expected dividend growth rate in one of two ways: (1) the historical dividend growth rate or (2) analysts’ forecasts for earnings growth rates as an approximation for expected dividend growth.

For example, Reuters.com reports an 8.44 percent annual growth rate of dividends for the S&P 500 during the past five years. Using the current dividend yield of 2.06 percent, the estimated market return is

\[
    r_M = \left[ \frac{D_0}{P_0} (1 + g) \right] + g
\]

\[
    = [0.0206 (1 + 0.0844)] + 0.0844
    = 0.1067 = 10.67\%
\]

Given a current long-term T-bond rate of around 4.7 percent, the estimated forward-looking risk premium from this approach is about 10.67 - 4.7 = 5.97%. However, the problems here are similar to those encountered with historical risk premiums—there is no compelling reason to believe that investors expect future growth to be exactly like past growth, and past growth rates are extremely sensitive to the period over which growth is measured. In addition, many companies have small dividend payments but repurchase stock as a way to return free cash flow to investors. We discuss this more in Chapter 17, but the implication here is that historical dividend growth rates don’t truly reflect the cash distributions to investors.

The second approach for estimating the expected dividend growth rate is to obtain published forecasts from security analysts. Unfortunately, there are problems with this approach, too: (1) Analysts generally forecast earnings growth rates, not dividend growth rates, and the longest forecast period is typically five years.9 (2) The accuracy (and truthfulness) of analysts who work for investment banking firms has been questioned in recent years. This suggests that it might be better to use the forecasts of independent analysts, such as those who work for publications like Value Line, rather than those who work for the large investment banking firms. (3) Different analysts have different opinions, leading to very different growth rate estimates.

Yahoo! reports a 10.52 percent forecasted earnings five-year growth rate for the S&P 500. Using the current dividend yield of 2.06 percent, the estimated market risk premium is

\[
    r_M = \left[ \frac{D_0}{P_0} (1 + g) \right] + g
\]

\[
    = [0.0206(1 + 0.1052)] + 0.1052
    = 0.1280 = 12.80\%
\]

---

9In theory, the constant growth rate for sales, earnings, and dividends ought to be equal. However, this has not been true for past growth rates. For example, the S&P 500 has had past five-year annual average growth rates of 16.7 percent for sales, 20.4 percent for earnings per share, and 8.44 percent for dividends.
Given a current long-term T-bond rate of 4.7 percent, the estimated forward-looking risk premium from this approach is $12.80 - 4.7 = 8.10\%$. Notice that this is quite a bit higher than our previous estimate based on the historical dividend growth rate.

To muddy the water a bit further, some academics have recently argued for a much lower market risk premium. Eugene Fama and Kenneth French examined earnings and dividend growth rates during the period from 1951 to 2000 and found the forward-looking market risk premium to be 2.55 percent. Jay Ritter argues that the forward-looking market risk premium should be based on inflation-adjusted expected returns and should be even lower—closer to 1 percent.10

**Our View on the Market Risk Premium** After reading the previous sections, you might well be confused about the correct market risk premium, since the different approaches give different results. Here is our opinion. The risk premium is driven primarily by investors' attitudes toward risk, and there are good reasons to believe that investors are less risk averse today than 50 years ago. The advent of pension plans, Social Security, health insurance, and disability insurance means that people today can take more chances with their investments, which should make them less risk averse. Also, many households have dual incomes, which also allows investors to take more chances. Finally, the historical average return on the market as Ibbotson measures it is probably too high due to a survivorship bias. Putting it all together, we conclude that the true risk premium in 2006 is lower than the long-term historical average.

But how much lower is the current premium? In our consulting, we typically use a risk premium of about 5 percent, but we would have a hard time arguing with someone who used a risk premium in the range of 3.5 to 6.5 percent. We believe that investor risk aversion is relatively stable but not absolutely constant from year to year. When market prices are relatively high, then investors are feeling less risk averse, so we use a risk premium at the low end of our range. Conversely, we use a risk premium at the high end of our range when market prices are relatively low. The bottom line is that there is no way to prove that a particular risk premium is either right or wrong, although we would be suspicious of an estimated market premium that is less than 3.5 percent or greater than 6.5 percent.

**Estimating Beta**

Recall from Chapter 2 that beta is usually estimated as the slope coefficient in a regression, with the company’s stock returns on the y-axis and market returns on the x-axis. The resulting beta is called the *historical beta*, since it is based on historical data. Although this approach is conceptually straightforward, complications quickly arise in practice.

First, there is no theoretical guidance as to the correct holding period over which to measure returns. The returns for a company can be calculated using daily, weekly, or monthly time periods, and the resulting estimates of beta will differ. Beta is also sensitive to the number of observations used in the regression. With too few observations, the regression loses statistical power, but with too

---

many, the “true” beta may have changed during the sample period. In practice, it is common to use either four to five years of monthly returns or one to two years of weekly returns.

Second, the market return should, theoretically, reflect every asset, even the human capital being built by students. In practice, however, it is common to use only an index of common stocks such as the S&P 500, the NYSE Composite, or the Wilshire 5000. Even though these indexes are highly correlated with one another, using different indexes in the regression will often result in different estimates of beta.

Third, some organizations modify the calculated historical beta in order to produce what they deem to be a more accurate estimate of the “true” beta, where the true beta is the one that reflects the risk perceptions of the marginal investor. One modification, called an \textit{adjusted beta}, attempts to correct a possible statistical bias by adjusting the historical beta to make it closer to the average beta of 1.0. Another modification, called a \textit{fundamental beta}, incorporates information about the company, such as changes in its product lines and capital structure.

Fourth, even the best estimates of beta for an individual company are statistically imprecise. The average company has an estimated beta of 1.0, but the 95 percent confidence interval ranges from about 0.6 to 1.4. For example, if your regression produces an estimated beta of 1.0, then you can be 95 percent sure that the true beta is in the range of 0.6 to 1.4.

The preceding discussion refers to conditions in the United States and other countries with well-developed financial markets, where relatively good data are available. Still, as we have seen, beta can only be estimated within a fairly wide range. When we move on to countries with less-developed financial markets, we are even less certain about the true size of a company’s beta.

Moreover, further complications arise when we are dealing with multinational companies, especially those that raise equity capital in different parts of the world. We might, for example, be relatively confident in the beta calculated for the parent company in its home country but less confident of the betas for subsidiaries located in other countries. When such complications arise, we are often forced to make “educated guesses” as to the appropriate beta. It would, of course, be nice to have exact, precise numbers for everything and thus be able to make decisions with a great deal of confidence. However, that’s just not the way the world is—we are often forced to use judgment, and our discussion should help improve your judgment regarding the choice of beta for use in cost of capital studies.

\section*{An Illustration of the CAPM Approach}

To illustrate the CAPM approach for NCC, assume that $r_{RF} = 8\%$, $R_{PM} = 6\%$, and $b_i = 1.1$, indicating that NCC is somewhat riskier than average. Therefore, NCC’s cost of equity is 14.6 percent:

$$r_s = 8\% + (6\%)(1.1)$$
$$= 8\% + 6.6\%$$
$$= 14.6\%$$

It should be noted that although the CAPM approach appears to yield an accurate, precise estimate of $r_s$, it is hard to know the correct estimates of the inputs required to make it operational because (1) it is hard to estimate precisely the beta that investors expect the company to have in the future, and (2) it is difficult to
estimate the market risk premium. Despite these difficulties, surveys indicate that CAPM is the preferred choice for the vast majority of companies.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is generally considered to be the most appropriate estimate of the risk-free rate, the yield on a short-term T-bill or the yield on a long-term T-bond? 

Explain the two methods for estimating the market risk premium, that is, the historical data approach and the forward-looking approach. 

What are some of the problems encountered when estimating beta?

### DIVIDEND-YIELD-PLUS-GROWTH-RATE, OR DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW (DCF), APPROACH

In Chapter 5, we saw that if dividends are expected to grow at a constant rate, then the price of a stock is

\[
P_0 = \frac{D_1}{r_s - g}
\]
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Here \(P_0\) is the current price of the stock, \(D_1\) is the dividend expected to be paid at the end of Year 1, and \(r_s\) is the required rate of return. We can solve for \(r_s\) to obtain the required rate of return on common equity, which for the marginal investor is also equal to the expected rate of return:

\[
r_s = \hat{r}_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + \text{Expected } g
\]
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Thus, investors expect to receive a dividend yield, \(D_1/P_0\), plus a capital gain, \(g\), for a total expected return of \(\hat{r}_s\). In equilibrium this expected return is also equal to the required return, \(r_s\). This method of estimating the cost of equity is called the discounted cash flow, or DCF, method. Henceforth, we will assume that markets are at equilibrium, hence \(r_s = \hat{r}_s\), so we can use the terms \(r_s\) and \(\hat{r}_s\) interchangeably.

### Estimating Inputs for the DCF Approach

Three inputs are required to use the DCF approach: the current stock price, the current dividend, and the expected growth in dividends. Of these inputs, the growth rate is by far the most difficult to estimate. The following sections describe the most commonly used approaches for estimating the growth rate: (1) historical growth rates, (2) the retention growth model, and (3) analysts’ forecasts.

**Historical Growth Rates** First, if earnings and dividend growth rates have been relatively stable in the past, and if investors expect these trends to continue, then the past realized growth rate may be used as an estimate of the expected future growth rate.
We explain several different methods for estimating historical growth rates in the Web Extension to this chapter, found on the ThomsonNOW Web site; the spreadsheet in the file *IFM9 Ch10 Tool Kit.xls* shows the calculations. For NCC, these different methods produce estimates of historical growth ranging from 4.6 percent to 11.0 percent, with most estimates fairly close to 7 percent.

As the *IFM9 Ch10 Tool Kit.xls* shows, one can take a given set of historical data and, depending on the years and the calculation method used, obtain a large number of quite different growth rates. Now recall our purpose in making these calculations: We are seeking the future dividend growth rate that investors expect, and we reasoned that, if past growth rates have been stable, then investors might base future expectations on past trends. This is a reasonable proposition, but, unfortunately, we rarely find much historical stability. Therefore, the use of historical growth rates in a DCF analysis must be applied with judgment, and also be used (if at all) in conjunction with other growth estimation methods as discussed next.

**Retention Growth Model** Most firms pay out some of their net income as dividends and reinvest, or retain, the rest. The payout ratio is the percent of net income that the firm pays out as a dividend, defined as total dividends divided by net income; see Chapter 8 for more details on ratios. The retention ratio is the complement of the payout ratio: Retention ratio = (1 − Payout ratio). ROE is the return on equity, defined as net income available for common stockholders divided by common equity. Although we don’t prove it here, you should find it reasonable that the growth rate of a firm will depend on the amount of net income that it retains and the rate it earns on the retentions. Using this logic, we can write the retention growth model:

\[ g = \text{ROE(Retention ratio)} \]

Equation 10-6 produces a constant growth rate, but when we use it we are, by implication, making four important assumptions: (1) We expect the payout rate, and thus the retention rate, to remain constant; (2) we expect the return on equity on new investment to remain constant; (3) the firm is not expected to issue new common stock, or, if it does, we expect this new stock to be sold at a price equal to its book value; and (4) future projects are expected to have the same degree of risk as the firm’s existing assets.

NCC has had an average return on equity of about 14.5 percent over the past 15 years. The ROE has been relatively steady, but even so it has ranged from a low of 11.0 percent to a high of 17.6 percent. In addition, NCC’s dividend payout rate has averaged 0.52 over the past 15 years, so its retention rate has averaged \(1.0 - 0.52 = 0.48\). Using Equation 10-6, we estimate \(g\) to be 7 percent:

\[ g = 14.5\%(0.48) = 7\% \]

**Analysts’ Forecasts** A third technique calls for using security analysts’ forecasts. Analysts publish earnings’ growth rate estimates for most of the larger publicly owned companies. For example, *Value Line* provides such forecasts on 1,700 companies, and all of the larger brokerage houses provide similar forecasts. Further, several companies compile analysts’ forecasts on a regular basis and provide summary information such as the median and range of forecasts on widely followed
companies. These growth rate summaries, such as those compiled by Zack’s or by Thomson ONE, can be found on the Internet. These earnings’ growth rates are often used as estimates of dividend growth rates.

However, these forecasts often involve nonconstant growth. For example, some analysts were forecasting that NCC would have a 10.4 percent annual growth rate in earnings and dividends over the next five years, but a growth rate after five years of 6.5 percent.

This nonconstant growth forecast can be used to develop a proxy constant growth rate. Computer simulations indicate that dividends beyond Year 50 contribute very little to the value of any stock—the present value of dividends beyond Year 50 is virtually zero, so for practical purposes, we can ignore anything beyond 50 years. If we consider only a 50-year horizon, we can develop a weighted average growth rate and use it as a constant growth rate for cost of capital purposes. In the NCC case, we assumed a growth rate of 10.4 percent for 5 years followed by a growth rate of 6.5 percent for 45 years. We weight the short-term growth by \( \frac{5}{50} = 10\% \) and the long-term growth by \( \frac{45}{50} = 90\% \). This produces an average growth rate of \( 0.10(10.4\%) + 0.90(6.5\%) = 6.9\% \).

Rather than convert nonconstant growth estimates into an approximate average growth rate, it is possible to use the nonconstant growth estimates to directly estimate the required return on common stock. See the Web Extension to this chapter for an explanation of this approach; all calculations are in the file **IFM9 Ch10 Tool Kit.xls**.

**Illustration of the Discounted Cash Flow Approach**

To illustrate the DCF approach, suppose NCC’s stock sells for $32; its next expected dividend is $2.40; and its expected growth rate is 7 percent. NCC’s expected and required rate of return, hence its cost of common stock, would then be 14.5 percent:

\[
\hat{r}_s = r_s = \frac{\$2.40}{\$32.00} + 7.0\%
\]

\[
= 7.5\% + 7.0\%
\]

\[
= 14.5\%
\]

**Evaluating the Methods for Estimating Growth**

Note that the DCF approach expresses the cost of common equity as the dividend yield (the expected dividend divided by the current price) plus the growth rate. The dividend yield can be estimated with a high degree of certainty, but uncertainty in the growth estimate induces uncertainty in the DCF cost estimate. We discussed three methods for estimating future growth: (1) historical growth rates, (2) retention growth model, and (3) analysts’ forecasts. Of these three methods, studies have shown that analysts’ forecasts usually represent the best source of growth rate data for DCF cost of capital estimates.\(^{11}\)

What inputs are required for the DCF method? What are the ways to estimate the dividend growth rate? Which of these methods provides the best estimate?

BOND-YIELD-PLUS-RISK-PREMIUM APPROACH

Some analysts use a subjective, ad hoc procedure to estimate a firm’s cost of common equity: They simply add a judgmental risk premium of 3 to 5 percentage points to the interest rate on the firm’s own long-term debt. It is logical to think that firms with risky, low-rated, and consequently high-interest-rate debt will also have risky, high-cost equity, and the procedure of basing the cost of equity on a readily observable debt cost utilizes this logic. In this approach,

\[ r_s = \text{Bond yield} + \text{Bond risk premium} \]

The bonds of NCC have a yield of 11.0 percent. If its bond risk premium is 3.7 percent, its estimated cost of equity is 14.7 percent:

\[ r_s = 11.0\% + 3.7\% = 14.7\% \]

Because the 3.7 percent risk premium is a judgmental estimate, the estimated value of \( r_s \) is also judgmental. Empirical work suggests that the risk premium over a firm’s own bond yield has generally ranged from 3 to 5 percentage points, with recent values close to 3 percent. With such a large range, this method is not likely to produce a precise cost of equity. However, it can get us “into the right ballpark.”

What is the reasoning behind the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach?

COMPARISON OF THE CAPM, DCF, AND BOND-YIELD-PLUS-RISK-PREMIUM METHODS

We have discussed three methods for estimating the required return on common stock. For NCC, the CAPM estimate is 14.6 percent, the DCF constant growth estimate is 14.5 percent, and the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium is 14.7 percent. The overall average of these three methods is \( (14.6\% + 14.5\% + 14.7\%)/3 = 14.6\% \). These results are unusually consistent, so it would make little difference which one we used. However, if the methods produced widely varied estimates, then a financial analyst would have to use his or her judgment as to the relative merits of each estimate and then choose the estimate that seemed most reasonable under the circumstances.

Recent surveys found that the CAPM approach is by far the most widely used method. Although most firms use more than one method, almost 74 percent of respondents in one survey, and 85 percent in the other, used the CAPM.\(^{12}\) This is in sharp contrast to a 1982 survey, which found that only 30 percent of respon-

---

\(^{12}\)See John R. Graham and Campbell Harvey, “The Theory and Practice of Corporate Finance: Evidence from the Field,” *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 60, nos. 2–3 (2001), pp. 187–243, and the paper cited in Footnote 6. Interestingly, a growing number of firms (about 34 percent) also are using CAPM-type models with more than one factor. Of these firms, over 40 percent include factors for interest-rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and business cycle risk (proxied by gross domestic product). More than 20 percent of these firms include a factor for inflation, size, and exposure to particular commodity prices. Less than 20 percent of these firms make adjustments due to distress factors, book-to-market ratios, or momentum factors.
dents used the CAPM. Approximately 16 percent now use the DCF approach, down from 31 percent in 1982. The bond-yield-plus-risk-premium is used primarily by companies that are not publicly traded.

People experienced in estimating the cost of equity recognize that both careful analysis and sound judgment are required. It would be nice to pretend that judgment is unnecessary and to specify an easy, precise way of determining the exact cost of equity capital. Unfortunately, this is not possible—finance is in large part a matter of judgment, and we simply must face that fact.

**Self-Test Question**

Which approach for estimating the required return on common stock is used most often by businesses today?

### COMPOSITE, OR WEIGHTED AVERAGE, COST OF CAPITAL, WACC

As we see in Chapter 15, each firm has an optimal capital structure, defined as that mix of debt, preferred, and common equity that causes its stock price to be maximized. Therefore, a value-maximizing firm will establish a target (optimal) capital structure and then raise new capital in a manner that will keep the actual capital structure on target over time. In this chapter, we assume that the firm has identified its optimal capital structure, that it uses this optimum as the target, and that it finances so as to remain constantly on target. How the target is established is examined in Chapter 15.

The target proportions of debt, preferred stock, and common equity, along with the component costs of capital, are used to calculate the firm’s WACC. To illustrate, suppose NCC has a target capital structure calling for 30 percent debt, 10 percent preferred stock, and 60 percent common equity. Its before-tax cost of debt, $r_d$, is 11 percent; its after-tax cost of debt is $r_d(1 - T) = 11\% (0.6) = 6.6\%$; its cost of preferred stock, $r_{ps}$, is 10.3 percent; its cost of common equity, $r_s$, is 14.6 percent; its marginal tax rate is 40 percent; and all of its new equity will come from retained earnings. We can calculate NCC’s weighted average cost of capital, WACC, as follows:

$$WACC = w_d r_d (1 - T) + w_{ps} r_{ps} + w_{ce} r_s$$

$= 0.3(11.0\%)(0.6) + 0.1(10.3\%) + 0.6(14.6\%)$

$= 11.76\% \approx 11.8\%$

Here $w_d$, $w_{ps}$, and $w_{ce}$ are the weights used for debt, preferred, and common equity, respectively.

Every dollar of new capital that NCC obtains will on average consist of 30 cents of debt with an after-tax cost of 6.6 percent, 10 cents of preferred stock with a cost of 10.3 percent, and 60 cents of common equity with a cost of 14.6 percent. The average cost of each whole dollar, the WACC, is 11.8 percent.

---

Two points should be noted. First, the WACC is the current weighted average cost the company would face for a new, or marginal, dollar of capital—it is not the average cost of dollars raised in the past. Second, the percentages of each capital component, called weights, should be based on management’s target capital structure, which is presumably an estimate of the firm’s optimal capital structure. Here are the rationales for those points.

The required rates of return for a company’s investors, whether they are new or old, are always marginal rates. For example, a stockholder might have invested in a company last year when the risk-free interest rate was 6 percent and might have had a required return on equity of 12 percent. If the risk-free rate subsequently falls and is now 4 percent, then the investor’s required return on equity is now 10 percent (holding all else constant). This is the same required rate of return that a new equity holder would have, whether the new investor bought stock in the secondary market or through a new equity offering. In other words, whether the shareholders are already equity holders or are brand new equity holders, all have the same required rate of return, which is the current required rate of return on equity. The same reasoning applies for the firm’s bondholders. All bondholders, whether old or new, have a required rate of return equal to the yield on the firm’s debt, which is based on current market conditions.

Because all investors have required rates of return based on current market conditions rather than the past market conditions at the investment’s purchase date, the cost of capital depends on current conditions, not on historic or past market conditions. It is in this sense that the cost of capital is a marginal cost, since it depends upon current market rates, which are the rates the company would pay on any new capital (ignoring flotation costs, which we discuss later in the chapter).

We have heard managers (and students!) say, “We are only raising debt this year, and it has a 5 percent after-tax cost, so we should use this, and not our 10 percent WACC, to evaluate this year’s projects.” Here is the flaw in that line of reasoning. Although some investors, such as debtholders, have higher-priority claims relative to other investors, all investors have claims on all future cash flows. For example, if a company raises debt and also invests in a new project that same year, the new debtholders don’t have a specific claim on that specific project’s cash flows (assuming it is not nonrecourse project financing). In fact, new debtholders receive a claim on the cash flows being generated by existing as well as new projects, while old debtholders (and equity holders) have claims on both new and existing projects. Thus, the decision to take on a new project should depend on the project’s ability to satisfy all of the company’s investors, not just the new debtholders, even if only debt is being raised that year.

An investor expects to receive a rate of return on the full amount that is at stake, which is the current market value of the investment. Therefore, the weights used in estimating the WACC should be based on market values, not book values. Recall from Chapter 4 that a firm’s risk, as measured by its bond rating, affects its cost of debt. Recall also that the bond rating depends in part on the percentage of the firm that is financed with debt. As we show in Chapter 15, this also affects the cost of equity. In other words, the costs of debt and equity depend on the capital structure weights. However, these costs depend more on the future weights that investors expect than the current weights, which fluctuate due to market conditions and the most recent form of external financing (debt or equity). Thus, the weights used in calculating the WACC should also be based on the expected future weights, which are the firm’s target weights.
How is the weighted average cost of capital calculated? Write out the equation. On what should the weights be based?

**FACTORS THAT AFFECT THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL**

The cost of capital is affected by a number of factors. Some are beyond the firm’s control, but others are influenced by its financing and investment policies.

**Factors the Firm Cannot Control**

The three most important factors that are beyond a firm’s direct control are (1) the level of interest rates, (2) the market risk premium, and (3) tax rates.

**The Level of Interest Rates** If interest rates in the economy rise, the cost of debt increases because firms will have to pay bondholders a higher interest rate to obtain debt capital. Also, recall from our discussion of the CAPM that higher interest rates increase the costs of common and preferred equity. During the 1990s, interest rates in the United States declined significantly. This reduced the cost of both debt and equity capital for all firms, which encouraged additional investment. Lower interest rates also enabled U.S. firms to compete more effectively with German and Japanese firms, which in the past had enjoyed relatively low costs of capital.

**Market Risk Premium** The perceived risk inherent in stocks and investors’ aversion to risk determine the market risk premium. Individual firms have no
control over this factor, but it affects the cost of equity and, through a substitution effect, the cost of debt, and thus the WACC.

**Tax Rates**  Tax rates, which are largely beyond the control of an individual firm (although firms do lobby for more favorable tax treatment), have an important effect on the cost of capital. Tax rates are used in the calculation of the cost of debt as used in the WACC, and there are other, less obvious ways in which tax policy affects the cost of capital. For example, lowering the capital gains tax rate relative to the rate on ordinary income would make stocks more attractive, which would reduce the cost of equity relative to that of debt. That would, as we see in Chapter 15, lead to a change in a firm’s optimal capital structure toward less debt and more equity.

**Factors the Firm Can Control**

A firm can affect its cost of capital through (1) its capital structure policy, (2) its dividend policy, and (3) its investment (capital budgeting) policy.

**Capital Structure Policy**  In this chapter, we assume that a firm has a given target capital structure, and we use weights based on that target structure to calculate the WACC. It is clear, though, that a firm can change its capital structure, and such a change can affect its cost of capital. First, beta is a function of financial leverage, so capital structure affects the cost of equity. Second, the after-tax cost of debt is lower than the cost of equity. Therefore, if the firm decides to use more debt and less common equity, this change in the weights in the WACC equation will tend to lower the WACC. However, an increase in the use of debt will increase the riskiness of both the debt and the equity, and increases in component costs will tend to offset the effects of the change in the weights. In Chapter 15 we discuss this in more depth, and we demonstrate that a firm’s optimal capital structure is the one that minimizes its cost of capital.

**Dividend Policy**  As we see in Chapter 17, the percentage of earnings paid out in dividends may affect a stock’s required rate of return, $r_e$. Also, if a firm’s payout ratio is so high that it must issue new stock to fund its capital budget, this will force it to incur flotation costs, and this too will affect its cost of capital. This second point is discussed in detail later in this chapter and also in Chapter 17.

**Investment Policy**  When we estimate the cost of capital, we use as the starting point the required rates of return on the firm’s outstanding stock and bonds. Those rates reflect the risk of the firm’s existing assets. Therefore, we have implicitly been assuming that new capital will be invested in assets with the same degree of risk as existing assets. This assumption is generally correct, as most firms do invest in assets similar to those they currently use. However, it would be incorrect if a firm dramatically changed its investment policy. For example, if a firm invests in an entirely new line of business, its marginal cost of capital should reflect the riskiness of that new business. To illustrate, Time Warner’s merger with AOL undoubtedly increased its risk and cost of capital.

**Self-Test Questions**

What three factors that affect the cost of capital are generally beyond the firm’s control?  
What three policies under the firm’s control are likely to affect its cost of capital?  
Explain how a change in interest rates in the economy would affect each component of the weighted average cost of capital.
ADJUSTING THE COST OF CAPITAL FOR RISK

As we have calculated it, the cost of capital reflects the average risk and overall capital structure of the entire firm. But what if a firm has divisions in several business lines that differ in risk? Or what if a company is considering a project that is much riskier than its typical project? It doesn’t make sense for a company to use its overall cost of capital to discount divisional or project-specific cash flows that don’t have the same risk as the company’s average cash flows. The following sections explain how to adjust the cost of capital for divisions and for specific projects.

The Divisional Cost of Capital

Consider Starlight Sandwich Shops, a company with two divisions—a bakery operation and a chain of cafes. The bakery division is low risk and has a 10 percent cost of capital. The cafe division is riskier and has a 14 percent cost of capital. Each division is approximately the same size, so Starlight’s overall cost of capital is 12 percent. The bakery manager has a project with an 11 percent expected rate of return, and the cafe division manager has a project with a 13 percent expected return. Should these projects be accepted or rejected? Starlight can create value if it accepts the bakery’s project, since its rate of return is greater than its cost of capital (11% > 10%), but the cafe project’s rate of return is less than its cost of capital (13% < 14%), so it should be rejected. However, if one simply compared the two projects’ returns with Starlight’s 12 percent overall cost of capital, then the bakery’s value-adding project would be rejected while the cafe’s value-destroying project would be accepted.

Many firms use the CAPM to estimate the cost of capital for specific divisions. To begin, recall that the Security Market Line equation expresses the risk/return relationship as follows:

$$r_s = r_{RF} + (RPM)\beta$$

As an example, consider the case of Huron Steel Company, an integrated steel producer operating in the Great Lakes region. For simplicity, assume that Huron has only one division and uses only equity capital, so its cost of equity is also its corporate cost of capital, or WACC. Huron’s beta = 1.1; $r_{RF} = 7\%$; and $RPM = 6\%$. Thus, Huron’s cost of equity is 13.6 percent:

$$r_s = 7\% + (6\%)1.1 = 13.6\%$$

This suggests that investors should be willing to give Huron money to invest in average-risk projects if the company expects to earn 13.6 percent or more on this money. By average risk we mean projects having risk similar to the firm’s existing division.

Now suppose Huron creates a new transportation division consisting of a fleet of barges to haul iron ore, and barge operations have betas of 1.5 rather than 1.1. The barge division, with $\beta = 1.5$, has a 16.0 percent cost of capital:

$$r_{Barge} = 7\% + (6\%)1.5 = 16.0\%$$

On the other hand, if Huron adds a low-risk division, such as a new distribution center with a beta of only 0.5, its divisional cost of capital would be 10 percent:

$$r_{Center} = 7\% + (6\%)0.5 = 10.0\%$$
A firm itself may be regarded as a “portfolio of assets,” and since the beta of a portfolio is a weighted average of the betas of its individual assets, adding the barge and distribution center divisions will change Huron’s overall beta. The exact value of the new beta would depend on the relative size of the investment in the new divisions versus Huron’s original steel operations. If 70 percent of Huron’s total value ends up in the steel division, 20 percent in the barge division, and 10 percent in the distribution center, then its new corporate beta would be

\[
\text{New beta} = 0.7(1.1) + 0.2(1.5) + 0.1(0.5) = 1.12
\]

Thus, investors in Huron’s stock would have a required return of:

\[
\text{r}_{\text{Huron}} = 7\% + (6\%)(1.12) = 13.72\%
\]

Even though the investors require an overall return of 13.72 percent, they would expect a return of at least 13.6 percent from the steel division, 16.0 percent from the barge division, and 10.0 percent from the distribution center.

Figure 10-1 gives a graphic summary of these concepts as applied to Huron Steel. Note the following points:

1. If the expected rate of return on a given capital project lies above the SML, the expected rate of return on the project is more than enough to compensate for its risk, and the project should be accepted. Conversely, if the project’s rate of return lies below the SML, it should be rejected. Thus, Project M in Figure 10-1 is acceptable, whereas Project N should be rejected. N has a higher expected return than M, but the differential is not enough to offset its much higher risk.

2. For simplicity, the Huron Steel illustration is based on the assumption that the company used no debt financing, which allows us to use the SML to plot the
company’s cost of capital. The basic concepts presented in the Huron illustration also hold for companies that use debt financing. When debt financing is used, the division’s cost of equity must be combined with the division’s cost of debt and target capital structure to obtain the division’s overall cost of capital.

**Self-Test Questions**

Based on the CAPM, how would one find the cost of capital for a low-risk division, and for a high-risk division?

Explain why you should accept a given capital project if its expected rate of return lies above the SML and reject it if its expected return is below the SML.

### TECHNIQUES FOR MEASURING DIVISIONAL BETAS

In Chapter 2 we discussed the estimation of betas for stocks and indicated the difficulties in estimating beta. The estimation of divisional betas is much more difficult, and more fraught with uncertainty. However, two approaches have been used to estimate individual assets’ betas—the pure play method and the accounting beta method.

#### The Pure Play Method

In the pure play method, the company tries to find several single-product companies in the same line of business as the division being evaluated, and it then averages those companies’ betas to determine the cost of capital for its own division. For example, suppose Huron could find three existing single-product firms that operate barges, and suppose also that Huron’s management believes its barge division would be subject to the same risks as those firms. Huron could then determine the betas of those firms, average them, and use this average beta as a proxy for the barge division’s beta.14

#### The Accounting Beta Method

As noted above, it may be impossible to find single-product, publicly traded firms suitable for the pure play approach. If that is the case, we may be able to use the accounting beta method. Betas normally are found by regressing the returns of a particular company’s stock against returns on a stock market index. However, we could run a regression of the division’s accounting return on assets against the average return on assets for a large sample of companies, such as those included in the S&P 500. Betas determined in this way (that is, by using accounting data rather than stock market data) are called accounting betas.

**Self-Test Question**

Describe the pure play and the accounting beta methods for estimating divisional betas.

---

14If the pure play firms employ different capital structures than that of Huron, this fact must be dealt with by adjusting the beta coefficients. See Chapter 15 for a discussion of this aspect of the pure play method. For a technique that can be used when pure play firms are not available, see Yatin Bhagwat and Michael Ehrhardt, “A Full Information Approach for Estimating Divisional Betas,” Financial Management, Summer 1991, pp. 60–69.
ESTIMATING THE COST OF CAPITAL FOR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS

Although it is intuitively clear that riskier projects have a higher cost of capital, it is difficult to estimate project risk. First, note that three separate and distinct types of risk can be identified:

1. **Stand-alone risk** is the variability of the project’s expected returns.
2. **Corporate, or within-firm, risk** is the variability the project contributes to the corporation’s returns, giving consideration to the fact that the project represents only one asset of the firm’s portfolio of assets, hence that some of its risk effects will be diversified away.
3. **Market, or beta, risk** is the riskiness of the project as seen by a well-diversified stockholder. Market risk is measured by the project’s effect on the firm’s beta coefficient.

Taking on a project with a high degree of either stand-alone or corporate risk will not necessarily affect the firm’s beta. However, if the project has highly uncertain returns, and if those returns are highly correlated with returns on the firm’s other assets and with most other assets in the economy, then the project will have a high degree of all types of risk. For example, suppose General Motors decides to undertake a major expansion to build electric autos. GM is not sure how its technology will work on a mass production basis, so there is much risk in the venture—its stand-alone risk is high. Management also estimates that the project will do best if the economy is strong, for then people will have more money to spend on the new autos. This means that the project will tend to do well if GM’s other divisions are doing well and will tend to do badly if other divisions are doing badly. This being the case, the project will also have high corporate risk. Finally, since GM’s profits are highly correlated with those of most other firms, the project’s beta will also be high. Thus, this project will be risky under all three definitions of risk.

Of the three measures, market risk is theoretically the most relevant because of its direct effect on stock prices. Unfortunately, the market risk for a project is also the most difficult to estimate. In practice, most decision makers consider all three risk measures in a judgmental manner.

The first step is to determine the divisional cost of capital, and then to group divisional projects into subjective risk categories. Then, using the divisional WACC as a starting point, **risk-adjusted costs of capital** are developed for each category. For example, a firm might establish three risk classes—high, average, and low—then assign average-risk projects the divisional cost of capital, higher-risk projects an above-average cost, and lower-risk projects a below-average cost. Thus, if a division’s WACC were 10 percent, its managers might use 10 percent to evaluate average-risk projects in the division, 12 percent for high-risk projects, and 8 percent for low-risk projects. While this approach is better than not risk adjusting at all, these risk adjustments are necessarily subjective and somewhat arbitrary. Unfortunately, given the data, there is no completely satisfactory way to specify exactly how much higher or lower we should go in setting risk-adjusted costs of capital.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the three types of project risk?
Which type of risk is theoretically the most relevant? Why?
Describe a procedure firms can use to develop costs of capital for projects with differing degrees of risk.
ADJUSTING THE COST OF CAPITAL FOR FLOTATION COSTS

Most debt is privately placed, and most equity is raised internally as retained earnings. In these cases, there are no flotation costs; hence the component costs of debt and equity should be estimated as discussed earlier. However, if companies issue debt or new stock to the public, then flotation costs can become important. In the following sections, we explain how to estimate the component costs of publicly issued debt and stock, and we show how these new component costs affect the marginal cost of capital.

Axis Goods Inc., a retailer of trendy sportswear, has a target capital structure of 45 percent debt, 2 percent preferred stock, and 53 percent common stock. Its common stock sells for $23, the next expected dividend is $1.24, and the expected constant growth rate is 8 percent. Based on the constant growth DCF model, Axis’s cost of common equity is $23/(1.24/1.08) = 13.4% when the equity is raised as retained earnings. Axis’s cost of preferred stock is 10.3 percent, based on the method discussed in the chapter, which incorporates flotation costs. In the following sections, we examine the effects of flotation costs on the component costs of debt and common stock, and on the marginal cost of capital.

Flotation Costs and the Component Cost of Debt

Axis can issue a 30-year, $1,000 par value bond with an interest rate of 10 percent, paid annually. Here $T = 40\%$, so the after-tax component cost of debt is $r_d(1 - T) = 10\%(1.0 - 0.4) = 6.0\%$. However, if Axis must incur flotation costs, $F$, of 1 percent of the value of the issue, then this formula must be used to find the after-tax cost of debt:

\[
M(1 - F) = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{INT(1 - T)}{[1 + r_d(1 - T)]^t} + \frac{M}{[1 + r_d(1 - T)]^N}
\]

Here $M$ is the bond’s par value, $F$ is the flotation percentage, $N$ is the bond’s maturity, $T$ is the firm’s tax rate, $INT$ is the dollars of interest per period, and $r_d(1 - T)$ is the after-tax cost of debt adjusted for flotation costs. With a financial calculator, enter $N = 30$, $PV = -990$, $PMT = 60$, and $FV = 1000$. Solving for $I$, we find $I = r_d(1 - T) = 6.07\%$, which is the after-tax component cost of debt. Note that the 6.07 percent theoretically correct after-tax cost of debt is quite close to the original 6.00 percent after-tax cost, so in this instance adjusting for flotation costs doesn’t make much difference.

However, the flotation adjustment would be higher if $F$ were larger or if the bond’s life were shorter. For example, if $F$ were 10 percent rather than 1 percent, then the flotation-adjusted $r_d(1 - T)$ would have been 6.79 percent. With $N$ at 1 year rather than 30 years, and $F$ still equal to 1 percent, then $r_d(1 - T) = 7.07\%$. Finally, if $F = 10\%$ and $N = 1$, then $r_d(1 - T) = 17.78\%$. In all of these cases the differential would be too high to ignore.\(^\text{15}\)

\(^{15}\)Strictly speaking, the after-tax cost of debt should reflect the expected cost of debt. While Axis’s bonds have a promised return of 10 percent, there is some chance of default, so its bondholders’ expected return (and consequently Axis’s cost) is a bit less than 10 percent. However, for a relatively strong company such as Axis, this difference is quite small. Also, the after-tax cash flows in Equation 10-8 are for a bond issued at or close to par. If the bond is issued at a price substantially below par, then the discount will have an impact on the after-tax cash flows.
Cost of Newly Issued Common Stock, or External Equity, $r_e$

The cost of new common equity, $r_e$, or external equity, is higher than the cost of equity raised internally by reinvesting earnings, $r_s$, because of flotation costs involved in issuing new common stock. What rate of return must be earned on funds raised by selling new stock to make issuing stock worthwhile? To put it another way, what is the cost of new common stock?

The answer for a constant growth stock is found by applying this formula:

$$r_e = \frac{D_1}{P_0(1-F)} + g$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(10-9)}$$

In Equation 10-9, $F$ is the percentage flotation cost incurred in selling the new stock, so $P_0(1-F)$ is the net price per share received by the company.

Assuming that Axis has a flotation cost of 10 percent, its cost of new outside equity is computed as follows:

$$r_e = \frac{\$1.24}{\$23(1 - 0.10)} + 8.0\%$$

$$= \frac{\$1.24}{\$20.70} + 8.0\%$$

$$= 6.0\% + 8.0\% = 14.0\%$$

Investors require a return of $r_s = 13.4\%$ on the stock.\(^{16}\) However, because of flotation costs the company must earn more than 13.4 percent on the net funds obtained by selling stock if investors are to receive a 13.4 percent return on the money they put up. Specifically, if the firm earns 14 percent on funds obtained by issuing new stock, then earnings per share will remain at the previously expected level, the firm’s expected dividend can be maintained, and, as a result, the price per share will not decline. If the firm earns less than 14 percent, then earnings, dividends, and growth will fall below expectations, causing the stock price to decline. If the firm earns more than 14 percent, the stock price will rise.

As we noted earlier, most analysts use the CAPM to estimate the cost of equity. Suppose the CAPM cost of equity for Axis is 13.8 percent. How could the analyst incorporate flotation costs? In the example above, application of the DCF methodology gives a cost of equity of 13.4 percent if flotation costs are ignored and a cost of equity of 14.0 percent if flotation costs are included. Therefore, flotation costs add 0.6 percentage point to the cost of equity ($14.0 - 13.4 = 0.6$). To incorporate flotation costs into the CAPM estimate, you would add the 0.6 percentage point to the 13.8 percent CAPM estimate, resulting in a 14.4 percent estimated cost of external equity. As an alternative, you could find the average of the CAPM, DCF, and bond-yield-plus-risk-premium costs of equity ignoring flotation costs, and then add to it the 0.6 percentage point due to flotation costs.

\(^{16}\) If there were no flotation costs, $r_s = \frac{\$1.24}{\$23} + 8.0\% = 13.4\%$. 

---

13.8 percent. How could the analyst incorporate flotation costs? In the example above, application of the DCF methodology gives a cost of equity of 13.4 percent if flotation costs are ignored and a cost of equity of 14.0 percent if flotation costs are included. Therefore, flotation costs add 0.6 percentage point to the cost of equity ($14.0 - 13.4 = 0.6$). To incorporate flotation costs into the CAPM estimate, you would add the 0.6 percentage point to the 13.8 percent CAPM estimate, resulting in a 14.4 percent estimated cost of external equity. As an alternative, you could find the average of the CAPM, DCF, and bond-yield-plus-risk-premium costs of equity ignoring flotation costs, and then add to it the 0.6 percentage point due to flotation costs.
How Much Does It Cost to Raise External Capital?

Table 10-1 shows the average flotation cost for debt and equity issued by U.S. corporations in the 1990s. The common stock flotation costs are for non-IPOs. Costs associated with IPOs are even higher—about 17 percent of gross proceeds for common equity if the amount raised is less than $10 million and about 6 percent if more than $500 million is raised. The data include both utility and nonutility companies. If utilities were excluded, flotation costs would be even higher.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are flotation costs?
Are flotation costs higher for debt or equity?

**SOME PROBLEM AREAS IN COST OF CAPITAL**

A number of difficult issues relating to the cost of capital either have not been mentioned or were glossed over in this chapter. These topics are beyond the scope of this text, but they deserve some mention both to alert you to potential dangers and to provide you with a preview of some of the matters dealt with in specialty courses.

1. *Privately owned firms.* Our discussion of the cost of equity was related primarily to publicly owned corporations, and we concentrated on the rate of return required by public stockholders. However, there is a serious question about how one should measure the cost of equity for a firm whose stock is not traded. Tax issues are also especially important in these cases. As a general rule, the same principles of cost of capital estimation apply to both privately held and publicly owned firms, but the problems of obtaining input data are somewhat different for each.

2. *Small businesses.* Small businesses are generally privately owned, making it difficult to estimate their cost of equity.

---

**Table 10-1** Average Flotation Costs for Debt and Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Capital Raised (Millions of Dollars)</th>
<th>Average Flotation Cost for Common Stock (% of Total Capital Raised)</th>
<th>Average Flotation Cost for New Debt (% of Total Capital Raised)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2–9.99</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19.99</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39.99</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–59.99</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–79.99</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–99.99</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–199.99</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–499.99</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 and up</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Measurement problems.** We cannot overemphasize the practical difficulties encountered when estimating the cost of equity. It is very difficult to obtain good input data for the CAPM, for \( g \) in the formula \( r_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g \), and for the bond risk premium in the formula \( r_s = \text{Bond yield} + \text{Bond risk premium} \). As a result, we can never be sure just how accurate our estimated cost of capital is.

4. **Costs of capital for projects of differing riskiness.** As we see in Chapter 13, it is difficult to measure projects’ risks, hence to assign risk-adjusted discount rates to capital budgeting projects of differing degrees of riskiness.

5. **Capital structure weights.** In this chapter, we simply took as given the target capital structure and used this target to obtain the weights used to calculate WACC. As we see in Chapter 15, establishing the target capital structure is a major task in itself.

Although this list of problems may appear formidable, the state of the art in cost of capital estimation is really not in bad shape. The procedures outlined in this chapter can be used to obtain cost of capital estimates that are sufficiently accurate for practical purposes, and the problems listed here merely indicate the desirability of refinements. The refinements are not unimportant, but the problems we have identified do not invalidate the usefulness of the procedures outlined in the chapter.

**Self-Test Question**

Identify some problem areas in cost of capital analysis. Do these problems invalidate the cost of capital procedures discussed in the chapter?

**FOUR MISTAKES TO AVOID**

We often see managers and students make the following mistakes when estimating the cost of capital. Although we have discussed these errors previously at separate places in the chapter, they are worth repeating here:

1. **Never use the coupon rate on a firm’s existing debt as the pre-tax cost of debt.** The relevant pre-tax cost of debt is the interest rate the firm would pay if it issued debt today.

2. **When estimating the market risk premium for the CAPM method, never use the historical average return on stocks in conjunction with the current risk-free rate.** The historical average return on common stocks has been about 12.4 percent, the historical return on long-term Treasury bonds about 5.8 percent, and the difference between them, which is the historical risk premium, is 6.6 percent. The current risk premium is found as the difference between an estimate of the current expected rate of return on common stocks and the current expected yield on T-bonds. To illustrate, suppose an estimate of the future return on common stock is 10 percent, and the current rate on long-term T-bonds is 4 percent. This implies that you expect to earn 10 percent if you buy stock today and 4 percent if you buy bonds. Therefore, this implies a current market risk premium of \( 10\% - 4\% = 6\% \). A case could be made for using either the historical or the current risk premium, but it would be wrong to take the historical rate of return on the market, 12.4 percent, subtract from it the current 4 percent rate on T-bonds, and then use \( 12.4\% - 4\% = 8.4\% \) as the risk premium.

3. **Never use the book value of equity when estimating the capital structure weights for the WACC.** Your first choice should be to use the target capital
structure to determine the weights. If you are an outside analyst and do not know the target weights, it is better to estimate weights based on the current market values of the capital components than on their book values. This is especially true for equity. For example, the stock of an average S&P 500 firm in 2005 had a market value that was about 3.99 times its book value, and in general, stocks’ market values are rarely close to their book values. If the company’s debt is not publicly traded, then it is reasonable to use the book value of debt to estimate the weights, since book and market values of debt, especially short-term debt, are usually close to one another. To summarize, if you don’t know the target weights, then use market values of equity rather than book values to obtain the weights used to calculate WACC.

4. Always remember that capital components are funds that come from investors. If it’s not from an investor, then it’s not a capital component. Sometimes the argument is made that accounts payable and accruals are sources of funding and should be included in the calculation of the WACC. However, these accounts are due to operating relationships with suppliers and employees, and they are deducted when determining the investment requirement for a project. Therefore, they should not be included in the WACC. Of course, they are not ignored in either corporate valuation or capital budgeting. As we saw in Chapter 7, current liabilities do affect cash flow, hence have an effect on corporate valuation. Moreover, in Chapter 13 we show that the same is true for capital budgeting, namely, that current liabilities affect the cash flows of a project, but not its WACC.\footnote{The same reasoning could be applied to other items on the balance sheet, such as deferred taxes. The existence of deferred taxes means that the government has collected less in taxes than a company would owe if the same depreciation and amortization rates were used for taxes as for stockholder reporting. In this sense, the government is “making a loan to the company.” However, the deferred tax account is not a source of funds from investors; hence it is not considered to be a capital component. Moreover, the cash flows that are used in capital budgeting and in corporate valuation reflect the actual taxes that the company must pay, not the “normalized” taxes it might report on its income statement. In other words, the correct adjustment for the deferred tax account is made in the cash flows, not in the WACC.}

Self-Test Question

What are four common mistakes people make when estimating the WACC?

SUMMARY

This chapter showed how the cost of capital is developed for use in capital budgeting. The key concepts covered are listed below.

- The cost of capital used in capital budgeting is a \textit{weighted average} of the types of capital the firm uses, typically debt, preferred stock, and common equity.
- The \textit{component cost of debt} is the \textit{after-tax cost of new debt}. It is found by multiplying the cost of new debt by \((1 - T)\), where \(T\) is the firm’s marginal tax rate: \(r_d(1 - T)\).
- The \textit{component cost of preferred stock} is calculated as the preferred dividend divided by the net issuing price, where the net issuing price is the price the firm receives after deducting flotation costs: \(r_{ps} = D_{ps}/P_n\).
- The \textit{cost of common equity}, \(r_s\), is also called the \textit{cost of common stock}. It is the rate of return required by the firm’s stockholders, and it can be estimated
by three methods: (1) the CAPM approach, (2) the dividend-yield-plus-growth-rate, or DCF, approach, and (3) the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach.

- To use the CAPM approach, (1) estimate the firm’s beta, (2) multiply this beta by the market risk premium to determine the firm’s risk premium, and (3) add the firm’s risk premium to the risk-free rate to obtain the cost of common stock: \( r_s = r_{RF} + (\text{RP}_M)b_f \).
- The best proxy for the risk-free rate is the yield on long-term T-bonds.
- To use the dividend-yield-plus-growth-rate approach, which is also called the discounted cash flow (DCF) approach, add the firm’s expected growth rate to its expected dividend yield: \( r_s = \frac{D_t}{P_0} + g \).
  - The growth rate can be estimated from historical earnings and dividends or by use of the retention growth model, \( g = (1 - \text{Payout})(\text{Return on equity}) \), or it can be based on analysts’ forecasts.
- The bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach calls for adding a risk premium of from 3 to 5 percentage points to the firm’s interest rate on long-term debt: \( r_s = \text{Bond yield} + \text{Bond RP} \).
- Each firm has a target capital structure, defined as that mix of debt, preferred stock, and common equity that minimizes its weighted average cost of capital (WACC):
  \[
  WACC = \sum w_i (r_i)(1 - T) + \sum w_p r_p + \sum w_c r_c
  \]

- Various factors affect a firm’s cost of capital. Some of these factors are determined by the financial environment, but the firm influences others through its financing, investment, and dividend policies.
- Ideally, the cost of capital for each project should reflect the risk of the project itself, not the risks associated with the firm’s average project as reflected in its composite WACC.
- Failing to adjust for differences in project risk would lead a firm to accept too many value-destroying risky projects and reject too many value-adding safe ones. Over time, the firm would become more risky, its WACC would increase, and its shareholder value would decline.
- A project’s stand-alone risk is the risk the project would have if it were the firm’s only asset and if stockholders held only that one stock. Stand-alone risk is measured by the variability of the asset’s expected returns.
- Corporate, or within-firm, risk reflects the effects of a project on the firm’s risk, and it is measured by the project’s effect on the firm’s earnings variability.
- Market, or beta, risk reflects the effects of a project on the riskiness of stockholders, assuming they hold diversified portfolios. Market risk is measured by the project’s effect on the firm’s beta coefficient.
- Most decision makers consider all three risk measures in a judgmental manner and then classify projects into subjective risk categories. Using the composite WACC as a starting point, risk-adjusted costs of capital are developed for each category. The risk-adjusted cost of capital is the cost of capital appropriate for a given project, given the riskiness of that project. The greater the risk, the higher the cost of capital.
- Firms may be able to use the CAPM to estimate the cost of capital for specific projects or divisions. However, estimating betas for projects is difficult.
- The pure play and accounting beta methods can sometimes be used to estimate betas for large projects or for divisions.
- Companies generally hire an investment banker to assist them when they issue common stock, preferred stock, or bonds. In return for a fee, the investment
banker helps the company with the terms, price, and sale of the issue. The banker’s fees are often referred to as **flotation costs**. The total cost of capital should include not only the required return paid to investors but also the flotation fees paid to the investment banker for marketing the issue.

- **When calculating the cost of new common stock**, the DCF approach can be adapted to account for flotation costs. For a constant growth stock, this cost can be expressed as: \( r_c = \frac{D_1}{P_0(1 - F)} + g \). Note that flotation costs cause \( r_c \) to be greater than \( r_s \).

- **Flotation cost adjustments** can also be made for debt. The bond’s issue price is reduced for flotation expenses and then used to solve for the after-tax yield to maturity.

- The three equity cost estimating techniques discussed in this chapter have **serious limitations** when applied to small firms, thus increasing the need for the small-business manager to use judgment.

The cost of capital as developed in this chapter is used in the following chapters to determine the value of a corporation and to evaluate capital budgeting projects. In addition, we extend the concepts developed here in Chapter 15, where we consider the effect of the capital structure on the cost of capital.

**QUESTIONS**

10-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Weighted average cost of capital, WACC; after-tax cost of debt, \( r_d(1 - T) \)
   b. Cost of preferred stock, \( r_{ps} \); cost of common equity or cost of common stock, \( r_s \)
   c. Target capital structure
   d. Flotation cost, \( F \); cost of new external common equity, \( r_c \)

10-2 In what sense is the WACC an average cost? A marginal cost?

10-3 How would each of the following affect a firm’s cost of debt, \( r_d(1 - T) \); its cost of equity, \( r_s \); and its weighted average cost of capital, WACC? Indicate by a plus (+), a minus (−), or a zero (0) if the factor would raise, lower, or have an indeterminate effect on the item in question. Assume other things are held constant. Be prepared to justify your answer, but recognize that several of the parts probably have no single correct answer; these questions are designed to stimulate thought and discussion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFECT ON</th>
<th>( r_d(1 - T) )</th>
<th>( r_s )</th>
<th>WACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The corporate tax rate is lowered.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The Federal Reserve tightens credit.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The firm uses more debt.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The firm doubles the amount of capital it raises during the year.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The firm expands into a risky new area.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Investors become more risk averse.</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10-4 Distinguish between beta (or market) risk, within-firm (or corporate) risk, and stand-alone risk for a potential project. Of the three measures, which is theoretically the most relevant, and why?
Suppose a firm estimates its cost of capital for the coming year to be 10 percent. What might be reasonable costs of capital for average-risk, high-risk, and low-risk projects?

**PROBLEMS**

**10-1** Cost of Equity

David Ortiz Motors has a target capital structure of 40 percent debt and 60 percent equity. The yield to maturity on the company’s outstanding bonds is 9 percent, and the company’s tax rate is 40 percent. Ortiz’s CFO has calculated the company’s WACC as 9.96 percent. What is the company’s cost of equity capital?

**10-2** Cost of Preferred Stock

Tunney Industries can issue perpetual preferred stock at a price of $50 a share. The issue is expected to pay a constant annual dividend of $3.80 a share. The flotation cost on the issue is estimated to be 5 percent. What is the company’s cost of preferred stock, $r_{ps}$?

**10-3** Cost of Equity

Javits & Sons’ common stock is currently trading at $30 a share. The stock is expected to pay a dividend of $3.00 a share at the end of the year ($D_1 = $3.00), and the dividend is expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent a year. What is the cost of common equity?

**10-4** After-Tax Cost of Debt

Calculate the after-tax cost of debt under each of the following conditions:

a. Interest rate, 13 percent; tax rate, 0 percent.

b. Interest rate, 13 percent; tax rate, 20 percent.

c. Interest rate, 13 percent; tax rate, 35 percent.

**10-5** After-Tax Cost of Debt

The Heuser Company’s currently outstanding 10 percent coupon bonds have a yield to maturity of 12 percent. Heuser believes it could issue at par new bonds that would provide a similar yield to maturity. If its marginal tax rate is 35 percent, what is Heuser’s after-tax cost of debt?

**10-6** Cost of Preferred Stock

Trivoli Industries plans to issue some $100 par preferred stock with an 11 percent dividend. The stock is selling on the market for $97.00, and Trivoli must pay flotation costs of 5 percent of the market price. What is the cost of the preferred stock for Trivoli?

**10-7** After-Tax Cost of Debt

A company’s 6 percent coupon rate, semiannual payment, $1,000 par value bond that matures in 30 years sells at a price of $515.16. The company’s federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. What is the firm’s component cost of debt for purposes of calculating the WACC? (Hint: Base your answer on the nominal rate.)

**10-8** Cost of Equity

The earnings, dividends, and stock price of Carpetto Technologies Inc. are expected to grow at 7 percent per year in the future. Carpetto’s common stock sells for $23 per share, its last dividend was $2.00, and the company will pay a dividend of $2.14 at the end of the current year.

a. Using the discounted cash flow approach, what is its cost of equity?

b. If the firm’s beta is 1.6, the risk-free rate is 9 percent, and the expected return on the market is 13 percent, what will be the firm’s cost of equity using the CAPM approach?

c. If the firm’s bonds earn a return of 12 percent, what will $r_s$ be using the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach? (Hint: Use the midpoint of the risk premium range.)

d. On the basis of the results of parts a through c, what would you estimate Carpetto’s cost of equity to be?
The Bouchard Company’s current EPS is $6.50. It was $4.42 5 years ago. The company pays out 40 percent of its earnings as dividends, and the stock sells for $36.

a. Calculate the past growth rate in earnings. (Hint: This is a 5-year growth period.)

b. Calculate the next expected dividend per share, \( D_1 \) \[ D_0 \times 0.4 \times 6.50 = 2.60 \].

Assume that the past growth rate will continue.

c. What is the cost of equity, \( r_s \), for the Bouchard Company?

Sidman Products’ stock is currently selling for $60 a share. The firm is expected to earn $5.40 per share this year and to pay a year-end dividend of $3.60.

a. If investors require a 9 percent return, what rate of growth must be expected for Sidman?

b. If Sidman reinvests earnings in projects with average returns equal to the stock’s expected rate of return, what will be next year’s EPS? [Hint: \( g = \text{ROE (Retention ratio)} \).]

On January 1, the total market value of the Tysseland Company was $60 million. During the year, the company plans to raise and invest $30 million in new projects. The firm’s present market value capital structure, shown below, is considered to be optimal. Assume that there is no short-term debt.

New bonds will have an 8 percent coupon rate, and they will be sold at par. Common stock is currently selling at $30 a share. Stockholders’ required rate of return is estimated to be 12 percent, consisting of a dividend yield of 4 percent and an expected constant growth rate of 8 percent. (The next expected dividend is $1.20, so \( \frac{1.20}{30} = 4\% \).) The marginal corporate tax rate is 40 percent.

a. To maintain the present capital structure, how much of the new investment must be financed by common equity?

b. Assume that there is sufficient cash flow such that Tysseland can maintain its target capital structure without issuing additional shares of equity. What is the WACC?

c. Suppose now that there is not enough internal cash flow and the firm must issue new shares of stock. Qualitatively speaking, what will happen to the WACC?

Suppose the Schoof Company has this book value balance sheet:

| Current assets | $30,000,000 | Current liabilities | $10,000,000 |
| Fixed assets   | 50,000,000   | Long-term debt      | 30,000,000  |
| Common equity  |             |                     |             |
| Common stock (1 million shares) | 1,000,000 | Retained earnings | 39,000,000 |

Total assets: $80,000,000

Total claims: $80,000,000

The current liabilities consist entirely of notes payable to banks, and the interest rate on this debt is 10 percent, the same as the rate on new bank loans. The long-term debt consists of 30,000 bonds, each of which has a par value of $1,000, carries an annual coupon interest rate of 6 percent, and matures in 20 years. The going rate of interest on new long-term debt, \( r_d \), is 10 percent, and this is the present yield to maturity on the bonds. The common stock sells at a price of $60 per share. Calculate the firm’s market value capital structure.
A summary of the balance sheet of Travellers Inn Inc. (TII), a company that was formed by merging a number of regional motel chains and that hopes to rival Holiday Inn on the national scene, is shown in the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travellers Inn: December 31, 2006 (Millions of Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These facts are also given for TII:

1. Short-term debt consists of bank loans that currently cost 10 percent, with interest payable quarterly. These loans are used to finance receivables and inventories on a seasonal basis, so in the off-season, bank loans are zero.
2. The long-term debt consists of 20-year, semiannual payment mortgage bonds with a coupon rate of 8 percent. Currently, these bonds provide a yield to investors of \( r_d = 12\% \). If new bonds were sold, they would yield investors 12 percent.
3. TII's perpetual preferred stock has a $100 par value, pays a quarterly dividend of $2, and has a yield to investors of 11 percent. New perpetual preferred would have to provide the same yield to investors, and the company would incur a 5 percent flotation cost to sell it.
4. The company has 4 million shares of common stock outstanding. \( P_0 = $20 \), but the stock has recently traded in the range of $17 to $23. \( D_0 = $1 \) and \( EPS_0 = $2 \). ROE based on average equity was 24 percent in 2006, but management expects to increase this return on equity to 30 percent; however, security analysts are not aware of management's optimism in this regard.
5. Betas, as reported by security analysts, range from 1.3 to 1.7; the T-bond rate is 10 percent; and \( R_{PM} \) is estimated by various brokerage houses to be in the range of 4.5 to 5.5 percent. Brokerage house reports forecast growth rates in the range of 10 to 15 percent over the foreseeable future. However, some analysts do not explicitly forecast growth rates, but they indicate to their clients that they expect TII's historical trends, as shown in the following table, to continue.
6. At a recent conference, TII's financial vice president polled some pension fund investment managers on the minimum rate of return they would have to expect on TII’s common to make them willing to buy the common rather than TII bonds, when the bonds yielded 12 percent. The responses suggested a risk premium over TII bonds of 4 to 6 percentage points.
7. TII is in the 40 percent federal-plus-state tax bracket.
8. TII's principal investment banker, Henry, Kaufman & Company, predicts a decline in interest rates, with \( r_d \) falling to 10 percent and the T-bond rate to 8 percent, although Henry, Kaufman & Company acknowledges that an increase in the expected inflation rate could lead to an increase rather than a decrease in rates.
Here is the historical record of EPS and DPS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EPS</th>
<th>DPS</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EPS</th>
<th>DPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>$0.09</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$0.78</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>$0.20</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$1.20</td>
<td>$0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$1.30</td>
<td>$0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$0.57</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>$0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$0.61</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume that you are a recently hired financial analyst, and your boss, the treasurer, has asked you to estimate the company’s WACC; assume no new equity will be issued. Your cost of capital should be appropriate for use in evaluating projects which are in the same risk class as the firm’s average assets now on the books.

Rework Problem 10-3, assuming that new stock will be issued. The stock will be issued for $30 and the flotation cost is 10 percent of the issue proceeds. The expected dividend and growth remain at $3.00 per share and 5 percent, respectively.

Suppose a company will issue new 20-year debt with a par value of $1,000 and a coupon rate of 9 percent, paid annually. The tax rate is 40 percent. If the flotation cost is 2 percent of the issue proceeds, what is the after-tax cost of debt?

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch10 P16 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. The stock of Gao Computing sells for $50, and last year’s dividend was $2.10. A flotation cost of 10 percent would be required to issue new common stock. Gao’s preferred stock pays a dividend of $3.30 per share, and new preferred could be sold at a price to net the company $30 per share. Security analysts are projecting that the common dividend will grow at a rate of 7 percent a year. The firm can also issue additional long-term debt at an interest rate (or before-tax cost) of 10 percent, and its marginal tax rate is 35 percent. The market risk premium is 6 percent, the risk-free rate is 6.5 percent, and Gao’s beta is 0.83.

In its cost of capital calculations, Gao uses a target capital structure with 45 percent debt, 5 percent preferred stock, and 50 percent common equity.

a. Calculate the cost of each capital component (that is, the after-tax cost of debt), the cost of preferred stock (including flotation costs), and the cost of equity (ignoring flotation costs) with the DCF method and the CAPM method.

b. Calculate the cost of new stock using the DCF model.

c. What is the cost of new common stock, based on the CAPM? (Hint: Find the difference between \( r_e \) and \( r_s \) as determined by the DCF method and add that differential to the CAPM value for \( r_s \)).

d. Assuming that Gao will not issue new equity and will continue to use the same target capital structure, what is the company’s WACC?

e. Suppose Gao is evaluating three projects with the following characteristics:

   (1) Each project has a cost of $1 million. They will all be financed using the target mix of long-term debt, preferred stock, and common equity. The cost
of the common equity for each project should be based on the beta estimated for the project. All equity will come from retained earnings.

2. Equity invested in Project A would have a beta of 0.5 and an expected return of 9.0 percent.

3. Equity invested in Project B would have a beta of 1.0 and an expected return of 10.0 percent.

4. Equity invested in Project C would have a beta of 2.0 and an expected return of 11.0 percent.

f. Analyze the company’s situation and explain why each project should be accepted or rejected.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

minicase

During the last few years, Harry Davis Industries has been too constrained by the high cost of capital to make many capital investments. Recently, though, capital costs have been declining, and the company has decided to look seriously at a major expansion program that had been proposed by the marketing department. Assume that you are an assistant to Leigh Jones, the financial vice president. Your first task is to estimate Harry Davis’s cost of capital. Jones has provided you with the following data, which she believes may be relevant to your task:

1. The firm’s tax rate is 40 percent.

2. The current price of Harry Davis’s 12 percent coupon, semiannual payment, noncallable bonds with 15 years remaining to maturity is $1,153.72. Harry Davis does not use short-term interest-bearing debt on a permanent basis. New bonds would be privately placed with no flotation cost.

3. The current price of the firm’s 10 percent, $100 par value, quarterly dividend, perpetual preferred stock is $113.10. Harry Davis would incur flotation costs of $2.00 per share on a new issue.

4. Harry Davis’s common stock is currently selling at $50 per share. Its last dividend (D₀) was $4.19, and dividends are expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent in the foreseeable future. Harry Davis’s beta is 1.2, the yield on T-bonds is 7 percent, and the market risk premium is estimated to be 6 percent. For the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach, the firm uses a 4 percentage point risk premium.

5. Harry Davis’s target capital structure is 30 percent long-term debt, 10 percent preferred stock, and 60 percent common equity.

To structure the task somewhat, Jones has asked you to answer the following questions.

a. What sources of capital should be included when you estimate Harry Davis’s weighted average cost of capital (WACC)?
(2) Should the component costs be figured on a before-tax or an after-tax basis?
(3) Should the costs be historical (embedded) costs or new (marginal) costs?

b. What is the market interest rate on Harry Davis’s debt and its component cost of debt?

c. (1) What is the firm’s cost of preferred stock?
(2) Harry Davis’s preferred stock is riskier to investors than its debt, yet the preferred’s yield to investors is lower than the yield to maturity on the debt. Does this suggest that you have made a mistake? (Hint: Think about taxes.)

d. (1) What are the two primary ways companies raise common equity?
(2) Why is there a cost associated with reinvested earnings?
(3) Harry Davis doesn’t plan to issue new shares of common stock. Using the CAPM approach, what is Harry Davis’s estimated cost of equity?

e. (1) What is the estimated cost of equity using the discounted cash flow (DCF) approach?
(2) Suppose the firm has historically earned 15 percent on equity (ROE) and retained 35 percent of earnings, and investors expect this situation to continue in the future. How could you use this information to estimate the future dividend growth rate, and what growth rate would you get? Is this consistent with the 5 percent growth rate given earlier?
(3) Could the DCF method be applied if the growth rate was not constant? How?

f. What is the cost of equity based on the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium method?

g. What is your final estimate for the cost of equity, rs?

h. What is Harry Davis’s weighted average cost of capital (WACC)?

i. What factors influence a company’s WACC?

j. Should the company use the composite WACC as the hurdle rate for each of its divisions?

k. What procedures are used to determine the risk-adjusted cost of capital for a particular division? What approaches are used to measure a division’s beta?

l. Harry Davis is interested in establishing a new division, which will focus primarily on developing new Internet-based projects. In trying to determine the cost of capital for this new division, you discover that stand-alone firms involved in similar projects have on average the following characteristics:
   • Their capital structure is 10 percent debt and 90 percent common equity.
   • Their cost of debt is typically 12 percent.
   • The beta is 1.7.
   Given this information, what would your estimate be for the division’s cost of capital?

m. What are three types of project risk? How is each type of risk used?

n. Explain in words why new common stock that is raised externally has a higher percentage cost than equity that is raised internally by reinvesting earnings.

o. (1) Harry Davis estimates that if it issues new common stock, the flotation cost will be 15 percent. Harry Davis incorporates the flotation costs into the DCF approach. What is the estimated cost of newly issued common stock, taking into account the flotation cost?
(2) Suppose Harry Davis issues 30-year debt with a par value of $1,000 and a coupon rate of 10 percent, paid annually. If flotation costs are 2 percent, what is the after-tax cost of debt for the new bond issue?

p. What four common mistakes in estimating the WACC should Harry Davis avoid?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For a comprehensive treatment of the cost of capital, see
The following articles provide some valuable insights into the CAPM approach to estimating the cost of equity:


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).

Klein-Brigham Series:

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
- Case 5, “Powerline Network Corporation (Determining the Cost of Capital).”
As we have emphasized throughout the book, maximizing shareholder value should be management’s primary objective. However, to maximize value, managers need a tool for estimating the effects of alternative strategies. In this chapter, we develop and illustrate such a tool—the corporate valuation model, which is the present value of expected future free cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital. In a sense, the corporate valuation model is the culmination of all the material covered thus far, because it pulls together financial statements, cash flows, financial projections, time value of money, risk, and the cost of capital. Companies practice value-based management by systematically using the corporate valuation model to guide their decisions.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch11 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What’s the difference between operating and nonoperating assets, and between net operating working capital and net working capital? Why are these distinctions important to someone who is estimating the value of a business?
2. What is the definition of free cash flow (FCF), and how is it related to the value of a firm’s operations as determined using the corporate valuation model?
3. What is value-based management, and how is it related to the corporate valuation model? Is value-based management a good way to run a business, or can you think of alternative systems that are likely to produce better results?
4. Define EVA and MVA, and indicate how those concepts are related to value-based management.
5. Why is corporate governance important to investors? Explain how each of the following is related to corporate governance: (a) management entrenchment, (b) hostile takeovers, (c) incentive compensation plans, (d) greenmail, (e) poison pills, (f) strong boards of directors, (g) vesting periods for options, and (h) ESOPs.
6. How does the free cash flow model differ from the dividend growth model, and what are the advantages and disadvantages of each model? Do these models produce the same answers to the total value of a firm and the value of its stock?
7. How have events such as the accounting frauds at Enron, WorldCom, and several other companies affected people’s ideas about corporate governance, the government’s role in corporate governance, and the use of options for management compensation?

OVERVIEW OF CORPORATE VALUATION

As stated earlier, managers should evaluate the effects of alternative strategies on their firms’ values. This really means forecasting financial statements under alternative strategies, finding the present value of each strategy’s cash flow stream, and then choosing the strategy that provides the maximum value. The financial statements should be projected using the techniques and procedures discussed in Chapter 9, and the discount rate should be the risk-adjusted cost of capital as discussed in Chapter 10. But what model should managers use to discount the cash flows? One possibility is the dividend growth model from Chapter 5. However, that model is often unsuitable for managerial purposes. For example, suppose a startup company is formed to develop and market a new product. Its managers will focus on product development, marketing, and raising capital. They will probably be thinking about an eventual IPO, or perhaps the sale of the company to a larger firm—Cisco, Microsoft, Intel, IBM, or another of the industry leaders that buy
The value of a firm is determined by the size, timing, and risk of its expected future free cash flows (FCF). Chapter 9 showed how to project financial statements, and Chapter 7 showed how to calculate free cash flows. Chapter 10 explained how to estimate the weighted average cost of capital. This chapter puts the pieces together and shows how to calculate the value of a firm. It also shows how to use the valuation model as a guide for choosing among different corporate strategies and operating tactics.

hundreds of successful new companies each year. For the managers of such a startup, the decision to initiate dividend payments in the foreseeable future will be totally off the radar screen. Thus, the dividend growth model is not useful for valuing most startup companies.

Also, many established firms pay no dividends. Investors may expect them to pay dividends sometime in the future, but when, and how much? As long as internal opportunities and acquisitions are so attractive, the initiation of dividends will be postponed, and this makes the dividend growth model of little use. Even Microsoft, one of the world’s most successful companies, only started paying a tiny dividend in 2003.

Finally, the dividend growth model is generally of limited use for internal management purposes, even for a dividend-paying company. If the firm consisted of just one big asset, and that asset produced all of the cash flows used to pay dividends, then alternative strategies could be judged through the use of the dividend growth model. However, most firms have several different divisions with many assets, so the corporation’s value depends on the cash flows from many different
assets, and on the actions of many managers. These managers need a way to measure the effects of their decisions on corporate value, but the discounted dividend model isn’t very useful because individual divisions don’t pay dividends.

Fortunately, the corporate valuation model does not depend on dividends, and it can be applied to divisions and subunits as well as to the entire firm.

Another important aspect of value-based management is the concept of corporate governance. The corporate valuation model shows how corporate decisions affect stockholders. However, corporate decisions are made by managers, not stockholders, and maximizing shareholder wealth is not the same as individual managers maximizing their own “satisfaction.”1 Thus, a key aspect of value-based management is making sure that managers focus on the goal of stockholder wealth maximization.

The set of rules and procedures used to motivate managers falls under the general heading of corporate governance. At the risk of oversimplification, it involves two primary mechanisms: “sticks” and “carrots.” The sticks make it easier to replace a poorly performing CEO. They include (1) provisions in the corporate charter that affect the likelihood of a takeover and (2) the composition of the board of directors. The corporate charter may make it relatively easy for a takeover to occur so that a poorly performing CEO can be replaced; or the charter may make a takeover more difficult, in which case poor managers can continue to perform poorly. The board of directors can consist of strong outsiders, who will likely monitor the CEO’s performance closely and replace him or her if things are not going well, or of friends and colleagues of the CEO, who are willing to let things slide. The carrot involves the type of managerial compensation plan the company uses. If managerial compensation is linked to the firm’s stock price or other value-based measures (such as EVA), then managers are more likely to focus on shareholder wealth maximization than if their compensation is just a fixed salary.

This chapter discusses the corporate valuation model, value-based management, and corporate governance, beginning with the corporate valuation model.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why is the corporate valuation model applicable in more circumstances than the dividend growth model?

What is value-based management?

What is corporate governance?

---

**THE CORPORATE VALUATION MODEL**

Corporate assets are of two types: operating and nonoperating. Operating assets, in turn, take two forms: assets-in-place and growth options. Assets-in-place include such tangible assets as land, buildings, machines, and inventory, plus intangible assets such as patents, customer lists, reputation, and general know-how. Growth options are opportunities to expand that arise from the firm’s current operating knowledge, experience, and other resources. The assets-in-place provide an expected stream of cash flows, and so do the growth options. To illustrate, Wal-Mart owns stores, inventory, and other tangible assets, it has a well-known name, a good reputation, and it has a lot of business know-how. These assets produce current sales and cash flows, and they also provide opportunities for new investments that will produce additional cash flows in the future. Similarly, Merck owns manufacturing

---

1A distinction is sometimes made between “executives” and “managers,” with executives being corporate officers and other members of the top management team. We do not make that distinction in this book—all people with important decision-making powers are designated “managers.”
plants, patents, and other real assets, and it has a knowledge base that facilitates the development of new drugs and thus new cash flow streams.

Most companies also own some nonoperating assets, which come in two forms. The first is a marketable securities portfolio over and above the cash needed to operate the business. For example, Ford Motor Company’s automotive operation had about $8 billion in short-term investments as of December 2004, and this was in addition to $10 billion in cash. Second, Ford also had $1.9 billion of investments in other businesses, which were reported on the asset side of the balance sheet as “Equity in Net Assets of Affiliated Companies.” In total Ford had $8 + $1.9 = $9.9 billion of nonoperating assets, compared with its $116 billion of automotive assets, or 8.5 percent of the total. For most companies, the percentage is much lower. For example, as of the end of 2004 Wal-Mart’s percentage of nonoperating assets was only 1 percent, which is more typical.

We see, then, that for most companies operating assets are far more important than nonoperating assets. Moreover, companies can influence the values of their operating assets, but the values of nonoperating assets are largely out of their direct control. Therefore, value-based management, hence this chapter, focuses on operating assets.

### Estimating the Value of Operations

Tables 11-1 and 11-2 contain the actual 2006 and projected 2007 to 2010 financial statements for MagnaVision Inc., which produces optical systems for use in medical photography. (See Chapter 9 for more details on how to project financial statements.) Growth has been rapid in the past, but the market is becoming saturated, so the sales growth rate is expected to decline from 21 percent in 2007 to a sustainable rate of 5 percent in 2010 and beyond. Profit margins are expected to improve as the production process becomes more efficient and because MagnaVision will no longer be incurring marketing costs associated with the introduction of a major product. All items on the financial statements are projected to grow at a 5 percent rate after 2010. Note that the company does not pay a dividend, but it is expected to start paying out about 75 percent of its earnings beginning in 2009. (Chapter 17 explains in more detail how companies decide how much to pay out in dividends.)

Recall that free cash flow (FCF) is the cash from operations that is actually available for distribution to investors, including stockholders, bondholders, and preferred stockholders. The value of operations is the present value of the free cash flows the firm is expected to generate out into the future. Therefore, MagnaVision’s value can be calculated as the present value of its expected future free cash flows from operations, discounted at its weighted average cost of capital, WACC, plus the value of its nonoperating assets. Here is the equation for the value of operations, which is the firm’s value as a going concern:

\[
V_{\text{op}} = \text{PV of expected future free cash flow} = \frac{\text{FCF}_1}{(1 + \text{WACC})^1} + \frac{\text{FCF}_2}{(1 + \text{WACC})^2} + \cdots + \frac{\text{FCF}_\infty}{(1 + \text{WACC})^\infty} | \text{11-1} |
\]

\[
= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{FCF}_t}{(1 + \text{WACC})^t}
\]
MagnaVision’s cost of capital is 10.84 percent. To find its value of operations as a going concern, we use an approach similar to the nonconstant dividend growth model for stocks in Chapter 5, proceeding as follows:

1. Assume that the firm will experience nonconstant growth for N years, after which it will grow at some constant rate.
2. Calculate the expected free cash flow for each of the N nonconstant growth years.
3. Recognize that after Year N growth will be constant, so we can use the constant growth formula to find the firm’s value at Year N. This is the sum of the PVs for year N + 1 and all subsequent years, discounted back to Year N.
4. Find the PV of the free cash flows for each of the N nonconstant growth years. Also, find the PV of the firm’s value at Year N.
5. Now sum all the PVs, those of the annual free cash flows during the nonconstant period plus the PV of the Year N value, to find the firm’s value of operations.

Table 11-3 calculates free cash flow for each year, using procedures discussed in Chapter 7. Line 1, with data for 2006 from the balance sheets in Table 11-2, shows the required net operating working capital, or operating current assets minus operating current liabilities, for 2006:
### Table 11-2  MagnaVision Inc.: Balance Sheets (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$17.0</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities(^a)</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>$335.0</td>
<td>$390.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>279.0</td>
<td>310.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$614.0</td>
<td>$700.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$17.0</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>140.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$183.0</td>
<td>$210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>140.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock(^b)</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common equity</strong></td>
<td>$245.0</td>
<td>$280.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and equity</strong></td>
<td>$614.0</td>
<td>$700.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes:

\(^a\)All assets except marketable securities are operating assets required to support sales. The marketable securities are financial assets not required in operations.

\(^b\)Par plus paid-in capital.

\[
\text{Required net operating working capital} = \left( \frac{\text{Cash} + \text{Accounts receivable}}{+ \text{Inventories}} \right) - \left( \frac{\text{Accounts payable} + \text{Accruals}}{} \right)
\]

\[
= (17.00 + 85.00 + 170.00) - (17.00 + 43.00)
\]

\[
= 212.00
\]

Line 2 shows required net plant and equipment, and Line 3, which is the sum of Lines 1 and 2, shows the required net operating assets, also called total net operating capital, or just operating capital. For 2006, operating capital is $212 + $279 = $491 million.

Line 4 shows the required annual addition to operating capital, found as the change in operating capital from the previous year. For 2007, the required investment in operating capital is $560 − $491 = $69 million.
Line 5 shows NOPAT, or net operating profit after taxes. Note that EBIT is operating earnings before taxes, while NOPAT is operating earnings after taxes. Therefore, NOPAT = EBIT(1 − T). With 2007 EBIT of $85 as shown in Table 11-1 and a tax rate of 40 percent, NOPAT as projected for 2007 is $51 million:

\[
\text{NOPAT} = \text{EBIT}(1 - T) = 85(1.0 - 0.4) = 51 \text{ million}
\]

Although MagnaVision’s operating capital is projected to produce $51 million of after-tax profits in 2007, the company must invest $69 million in new operating capital in 2007 to support its growth plan. Therefore, the free cash flow for 2007, shown on Line 7, is a negative $18 million:

\[
\text{Free cash flow (FCF)} = 51 - 69 = -18 \text{ million}
\]

This negative free cash flow in the early years is typical for young, high-growth companies. Even though net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) is positive in all years, free cash flow is negative because of the need to invest in operating assets. The negative free cash flow means the company will have to obtain new funds from investors, and the balance sheets in Table 11-2 show that notes payable, long-term bonds, and preferred stock all increase from 2006 to 2007. Stockholders will also help fund MagnaVision’s growth—they will receive no dividends until 2009, so all of the net income from 2007 and 2008 will be reinvested. However, as growth slows, free cash flow will become positive, and MagnaVision plans to use some of its FCF to pay dividends beginning in 2009.2

---

2MagnaVision plans to increase its debt and preferred stock each year so as to maintain a constant capital structure. We discuss capital structure in detail in Chapter 15.
A variant of the constant growth dividend model is shown below as Equation 11-2. This equation can be used to find the value of MagnaVision’s operations at time N, when its free cash flows stabilize and begin to grow at a constant rate. This is the value of all FCFs beyond time N, discounted back to time N, which is 2010 for MagnaVision.

\[
V_{op(\text{at time N})} = \sum_{t=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{FCF_t}{(1 + \text{WACC})^{t-N}} = \frac{FCF_N(1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} = \frac{FCF_{N+1}}{\text{WACC} - g}
\]

Based on a 10.84 percent cost of capital, $49 million of free cash flow in 2010, and a 5 percent growth rate, the value of MagnaVision’s operations as of December 31, 2010, is forecasted to be $880.99 million:

\[
V_{op(12/31/10)} = \frac{FCF_{12/31/10}(1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} = \frac{FCF_{12/31/11}}{\text{WACC} - g}
\]

\[
= \frac{49(1 + 0.05)}{0.1084 - 0.05} = \frac{51.45}{0.1084 - 0.05} = 880.99
\]

This $880.99 million figure is called the company’s terminal, or horizon, value, because it is the value at the end of the forecast period. It is also sometimes called a continuing value. In any case, it is the amount that MagnaVision could expect to receive if it sold its operating assets on December 31, 2010.

Figure 11-1 shows the free cash flow for each year during the nonconstant growth period, along with the horizon value of operations in 2010. To find the value of operations as of “today,” December 31, 2006, we find the PV of each annual cash flow in Figure 11-1, discounting at the 10.84 percent cost of capital. The sum of the PVs is approximately $615 million, and it represents an estimate of the price MagnaVision could expect to receive if it sold its operating assets today, December 31, 2006.

**Estimating the Price Per Share**

The total value of any company is the value of its operations plus the value of its nonoperating assets.\(^3\) As the December 31, 2006, balance sheet in Table 11-2 shows, MagnaVision had $63 million of marketable securities on that date. Unlike operating assets, we do not have to calculate a present value for marketable securities because short-term financial assets as reported on the balance sheet are at, or close to, their market value. Therefore, MagnaVision’s total value on December 31, 2006, is $615.27 + $63.00 = $678.27 million.

If the company’s total value on December 31, 2006, is $678.27 million, what is the value of its common equity? First, the sum of notes payable and long-term debt

\(^3\)The total value also includes the value of growth options not associated with assets-in-place, but MagnaVision has none.
is $123 + $124 = $247 million, and these securities have the first claim on assets and income. Accounts payable and accruals were netted out earlier when calculating free cash flow, so they have been accounted for. However, the preferred stock has a claim of $62 million, and it also ranks above the common. Therefore, the value left for common stockholders is $678.27 - $247 - $62 = $369.27 million.

Figure 11-2 is a bar chart that provides a breakdown of MagnaVision’s value. The left bar shows the company’s total value as the sum of its nonoperating assets plus its going concern value. Next, the middle bar shows the claim of each class of investors on that total value. Debtholders have the highest priority claim, and MagnaVision owes $123 million on notes payable and $124 million on long-term bonds, for a total of $247 million. The preferred stockholders have the next claim, $62 million. The remaining value belongs to the common equity, and it amounts
Finally, the bar on the right side divides the market value of the equity into the book value, which represents the actual investment stockholders have made, and the additional market value added by management (MVA).

Table 11-4 summarizes the calculations used to find MagnaVision’s stock value. There are 100 million shares outstanding, and their total value is $369.27 million. Therefore, the value of a single share is $369.27/100 = $3.69.

The Dividend Growth Model Applied to MagnaVision

MagnaVision has not yet begun to pay dividends. However, as we saw in Table 11-1, a cash dividend of $0.442 per share is forecasted for 2009. The dividend is expected to grow by about 2.5 percent in 2010, and then at a constant 5 percent rate thereafter. MagnaVision’s cost of equity is 14 percent. In this situation, we can apply the nonconstant dividend growth model as developed earlier in Chapter 5. Figure 11-3 shows that the value of MagnaVision’s stock, based on this model, is $3.70 per share, which is the same as the value found using the corporate valuation model except for a rounding difference.

Comparing the Corporate Valuation and Dividend Growth Models

Because the corporate valuation and dividend growth models give the same answer, does it matter which model you choose? In general, it does. For example, if you were a financial analyst estimating the value of a mature company whose dividends are expected to grow steadily in the future, it would probably be more efficient to use the dividend growth model. Here you would only need to estimate the growth rate in dividends, not the entire set of pro forma financial statements.

However, if a company is paying a dividend but is still in the high-growth stage of its life cycle, you would need to project the future financial statements before you could make a reasonable estimate of future dividends. Then, because you would have already estimated future financial statements, it would be a toss-up.

4Rather than subtracting the book values of debt and preferred stock, it would be better to subtract their market values. In most cases, including this one, the book values of fixed income securities are close to their market values, and when this is true, one can simply work with their book values.
as to whether the corporate valuation model or the dividend growth model would be easier to apply. Intel, which pays a dividend of about 16 cents versus earnings of about $0.97, is an example of a company to which you could apply either model.

Now suppose you were trying to estimate the value of a company that has never paid a dividend or a new firm that is about to go public, or a division that GE or some other large company is planning to sell. In all of these situations, you would have no choice: You would have to estimate future financial statements and use the corporate valuation model.

Actually, even if a company is paying steady dividends, much can be learned from the corporate valuation model; hence many analysts today use it for all types of valuations. The process of projecting the future financial statements can reveal quite a bit about the company’s operations and financing needs. Also, such an analysis can provide insights into actions that might be taken to increase the company’s value. This is value-based management, which we discuss in the next section.

**Self-Test Questions**

Give some examples of assets-in-place, growth options, and nonoperating assets.

Write out the equation for the value of operations.

What is the terminal, or horizon, value? Why is it also called the continuing value?

Explain how to estimate the price per share using the corporate valuation model.

**VALUE-BASED MANAGEMENT**

Bell Electronics Inc. has two divisions, Memory and Instruments, with total sales of $1.5 billion and operating capital of $1.07 billion. Based on its current stock and bond prices, the company’s total market value is about $1.215 billion, giving it an MVA of $145 million, found as $1.215 - $1.070 = $0.145 billion = $145 million. Because it has a positive MVA, Bell has created value for its investors. Even so, management is considering several new strategic plans in its efforts to increase the firm’s value. All of Bell’s assets are used in operations.

The Memory Division produces memory chips for such handheld electronic devices as cellular phones and PDAs (personal digital assistants), while the Instruments Division produces devices for measuring and controlling sewage and water treatment facilities. Table 11-5 shows the latest financial results for the two divisions and for the company as a whole.

As Table 11-5 shows, Bell Memory is the larger of the two divisions, with higher sales and more operating capital. Bell Memory is also more profitable, with
a NOPAT/Sales ratio of 7.9 percent versus 7.2 percent for Bell Instruments. This year, as in other recent years, the focus of the initial strategic planning sessions was on the Memory division. Bell Memory has grown rapidly because of the phenomenal growth in consumer electronics, and this division rocketed past Instruments several years ago. Although Memory’s growth had tapered off, senior management generally agreed that this division would receive the lion’s share of corporate attention and resources because it is larger, more profitable, and, frankly, more exciting. After all, Bell Memory is associated with the glamorous market for telecommunications and personal electronic devices, whereas Bell Instruments is associated with sewage and sludge.

The financial assumptions and projections associated with the preliminary strategic plans for the two divisions are shown in Tables 11-6 and 11-7. Based on the initial strategic plans, each division is projected to have 5 percent annual growth for the next five years and thereafter. The strategic plans also assume that the cost structures of the two divisions will remain unchanged from the current year, 2006. Only partial financial projections are shown in Tables 11-6 and 11-7, but when Bell’s management decides on a final strategic plan, it will develop complete financial statements for the company as a whole and use them to determine financing requirements, as described in Chapter 9.

To evaluate the plans, Bell’s management applied the corporate valuation model to each division, thus valuing them using the free cash flow valuation technique. Each division has a WACC of 10.5 percent, and Table 11-8 shows the results. The three key items are NOPAT, the required investment in operating capital, and the resulting free cash flows for each year. In addition, the table shows each division’s horizon value of operations at 2011, which is the end of the five years of explicit forecasts, calculated with Equation 11-2. The value of operations at 2006 is the present value of the free cash flows and the horizon value, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital. As expected, Bell Memory has the greater value of operations, $709.6 million versus $505.5 million for Bell Instruments. However, the managers were surprised to see that Bell Memory’s market value added (MVA) is negative: $709.6 value of operations – $870.0 operating capital = −$160.4 million. In contrast, Bell Instruments’ MVA is positive: $505.5 value of operations − $200 operating capital = $305.5 million.

A second strategic planning meeting was called to address this unexpected result. In it, Bell Memory’s managers proposed a $20 million marketing campaign to boost their sales growth rate from 5 to 6 percent. They argued that because Bell Memory is so profitable, its value would be much higher if they could push up
sales. Before accepting this proposal, though, the proposed changes were run through the valuation model. The managers changed the Bell Memory division’s growth rate from 5 to 6 percent; see the file IFM9 Ch11 Tool Kit.xls on the ThomsonNOW Web site for details. To their surprise, the division’s value of operations fell to $691.5 million, and its MVA also declined, from $160.4 million to $178.5 million. Although Bell Memory was profitable, increasing its sales growth actually reduced its value!

To better understand these results, we can express the firm’s value in terms of four fundamental wealth drivers:

Table 11-6
Initial Projections for the Bell Memory Division (Millions of Dollars, Except for Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A: Inputs</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROJECTED*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales growth rate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs/sales</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation/net plant</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash/sales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable/sales</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories/sales</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant/sales</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable/sales</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals/sales</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panel B: Partial Income Statement

Net sales $1,000.0 $1,050.0 $1,102.5 $1,157.6 $1,215.5 $1,276.3
Costs (except depreciation) 810.0 850.5 893.0 937.7 984.6 1,033.8
Depreciation 59.0 62.0 65.0 68.3 71.7 75.3
Total operating costs $ 869.0 $ 912.5 $ 958.1 $ 1,006.0 $1,056.3 $1,109.1
EBIT $ 131.0 $ 137.6 $ 144.4 $ 151.6 $159.2 $167.2

Panel C: Partial Balance Sheets

Operating Assets
Cash $ 10.0 $ 10.5 $ 11.0 $ 11.6 $ 12.2 $ 12.8
Accounts receivable 80.0 84.0 88.2 92.6 97.2 102.1
Inventories 300.0 315.0 330.8 347.3 364.7 382.9
Operating current assets $ 390.0 $ 409.5 $ 430.0 $ 451.5 $ 474.0 $ 497.7
Net plant and equipment $ 590.0 $ 619.5 $ 650.5 $ 683.0 $ 717.1 $ 753.0

Operating Liabilities
Accounts payable $ 50.0 $ 52.5 $ 55.1 $ 57.9 $ 60.8 $ 63.8
Accruals 60.0 63.0 66.2 69.5 72.9 76.6
Operating current liabilities $ 110.0 $ 115.5 $ 121.3 $ 127.3 $ 133.7 $ 140.4

*Projected figures may not total exactly due to rounding.
**Table 11-7** Initial Projections for the Bell Instruments Division (Millions of Dollars, Except for Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A: Inputs</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROJECTED(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales growth rate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs/sales</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation/net plant</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash/sales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable/sales</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories/sales</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant/sales</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable/sales</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals/sales</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel B: Partial Income Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs (except depreciation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel C: Partial Balance Sheets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating current assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating current liabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Projected figures may not total exactly due to rounding.

\(g\) = growth in sales  
**OP** = Operating profitability (OP) = NOPAT/Sales  
**CR** = Capital requirements (CR) = Operating capital/Sales  
**WACC** = weighted average cost of capital

How do these drivers affect the value of a firm? First, the sales growth rate generally, but not always, has a positive effect on value, provided the company is
However, the effect can be negative if growth requires a great deal of capital, and the cost of that capital is high. Second, operating profitability, which measures the after-tax profit per dollar of sales, always has a positive effect—the higher the better. Third, the capital requirements ratio, which measures how much operating capital is needed to generate a dollar of sales, also has a con-

### Table 11-8 Initial FCF Valuation of Each Division (Millions of Dollars, Except for Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007 2008 2009 2010 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A: FCF Valuation of the Bell Memory Division</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation of FCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating working capital</td>
<td>$280.0</td>
<td>$294.0 $308.7 $324.1 $340.3 $357.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant</td>
<td>590.0</td>
<td>619.5 650.5 683.0 717.1 753.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating capital</td>
<td>$870.0</td>
<td>$913.5 $959.2 $1,007.1 $1,057.5 $1,110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in operating capital</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 43.5 $ 45.7 $ 48.0 $ 50.4 $ 52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOPAT</td>
<td>$ 78.6</td>
<td>$ 82.5 $ 86.7 $ 91.0 $ 95.5 $ 100.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow</td>
<td>$ 39.0</td>
<td>$ 41.0 $ 43.0 $ 45.2 $ 47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth in FCF</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value of Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 905.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of operations</td>
<td>$709.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisional MVA (Value of operations — Capital)</td>
<td></td>
<td>($160.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007 2008 2009 2010 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel B: FCF Valuation of the Bell Instruments Division</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation of FCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating working capital</td>
<td>$ 50.0</td>
<td>$ 52.5 $ 55.1 $ 57.9 $ 60.8 $ 63.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>157.5 165.4 173.6 182.3 191.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating capital</td>
<td>$200.0</td>
<td>$210.0 $220.5 $231.5 $243.1 $255.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in operating capital</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 10.0 $ 10.5 $ 11.0 $ 11.6 $ 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOPAT</td>
<td>$ 36.0</td>
<td>$ 37.8 $ 39.7 $ 41.7 $ 43.8 $ 45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow</td>
<td>$ 27.8</td>
<td>$ 29.2 $ 30.6 $ 32.2 $ 33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth in FCF</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value of Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 645.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of operations</td>
<td>$505.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisional MVA (Value of operations — Capital)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$305.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The WACC is 10.5 percent for each division. The horizon value (HV) at 2011 is calculated using Equation 11-2, the constant growth formula for free cash flows $HV_{2011} = \left[ FCF_{2011} \times (1 + g) \right] \frac{WACC - g}{1 - (1 + g)}$. The value of operations is the present value of the horizon value and the free cash flows discounted at the WACC, calculated in a manner similar to Figure 11-1. Projected figures may not total exactly due to rounding. See the file *IFM9 Ch11 Tool Kit.xls* on the ThomsonNOW Web site for details.
sistent effect—the lower the CR the better, since a low CR means that the company can generate new sales with smaller amounts of new capital. Finally, the fourth factor, the WACC, also has a consistent effect—the lower it is, the higher the firm’s value.

Another important metric in the corporate valuation model is the expected return on invested capital (EROIC), defined as the expected NOPAT for the coming year divided by the amount of operating capital at the beginning of the year (which is the end of the preceding year). Thus, EROIC represents the expected return on the capital that has already been invested. To illustrate, the EROIC of the Bell Memory division for 2011, the last year in the forecast period, is

\[
\text{EROIC}_{2011} = \frac{\text{NOPAT}_{2012}}{\text{Capital}_{2011}} = \frac{\$100.3 \times (1.05)}{\$1,110.4} = 9.5\%
\]

To see exactly how the four value drivers and expected ROIC determine value for a constant growth firm, we can start with Equation 11-2,

\[
V_{\text{op}(\text{at time } N)} = \frac{\text{FCF}_{N+1}}{\text{WACC} - g}
\]

and rewrite it in terms of the value drivers:

\[
V_{\text{op}(\text{at time } N)} = \text{Capital}_N + \left[ \frac{\text{Sales}_N (1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} \right] \left[ \text{OP} - \frac{\text{WACC} (\text{CR})}{1 + g} \right]
\]

Equation 11-3 shows that the value of operations can be divided into two components: (1) the dollars of operating capital that investors have provided and (2) the additional value that management has added or subtracted, which is equivalent to MVA.

Note that the first bracket of Equation 11-3 shows the present value of growing sales, discounted at the WACC. This would be the MVA of a firm that has no costs and that never needs to invest additional capital. But firms do have costs and capital requirements, and their effect is shown in the second bracket. Here we see that, holding g constant, MVA will improve if operating profitability (OP) increases, capital requirements (CR) decrease, or WACC decreases.

Note that an increase in growth will not necessarily increase value. OP could be positive, but if CR is quite high, meaning that a lot of new capital is needed to support a given increase in sales, then the second bracket can be negative. In this situation, growth causes the term in the first bracket to increase, but it is being multiplied by a negative term in the second bracket, and the net result will be a decrease in MVA.

We can also rewrite Equation 11-2 in terms of EROIC:

\[
V_{\text{op}(\text{at time } N)} = \text{Capital}_N + \frac{\text{Capital}_N (\text{EROIC}_N - \text{WACC})}{\text{WACC} - g}
\]

Equation 11-4 also breaks value into two components, the value of capital and the MVA, shown in the second term. This term for MVA shows that value depends on the spread between the expected return on invested capital, EROIC, and WACC.
If EROIC is greater than WACC, then the return on capital is greater than the return investors expect, and management is adding value. In this case, an increase in the growth rate causes value to go up. If EROIC is exactly equal to WACC, then the firm is, in an economic sense, “breaking even.” It has positive accounting profits and cash flow, but these cash flows are just sufficient to satisfy investors, causing value to exactly equal the amount of capital that has been provided. If EROIC is less than WACC, the term in brackets is negative, management is destroying value, and growth is harmful. Here the faster the growth rate is, the lower the firm’s value.

We should also note that the insights from Equations 11-3 and 11-4 apply to all firms, but the equations themselves can only be applied to relatively stable firms whose growth has leveled out at a constant rate. For example, in 2001 Home Depot had been growing at around 20 percent per year, so we could not apply Equations 11-3 and 11-4 directly (although we could always apply Equation 11-1). Home Depot’s NOPAT/sales ratio was 5.6 percent, which was excellent for its industry, but even though it was profitable, it had negative free cash flows. This is because Home Depot was still in its high-growth phase, which required enormous investments in operating capital. Home Depot’s sales growth has slowed due to market saturation, and its free cash flows have become very large and positive. Note also that Home Depot currently has an expected ROIC of about 22 percent versus a WACC of only 10.5 percent. This large spread contributes to its $50 billion MVA.

Table 11-9 shows the value drivers for Bell’s two divisions, measured at 2011, the end of the forecast period. We report these for the end of the forecast period because ratios can change during the forecast period due to input changes. By the end of the forecast period, however, all inputs and ratios should be stable.

Table 11-9 shows that both divisions have the same growth rate and the same WACC. Bell Memory is more profitable, but it also has much higher capital requirements. The result is that Bell Memory’s expected ROIC is only 9.5 percent, well below its 10.5 percent WACC. Thus, growth doesn’t help Bell Memory—in fact, it lowers the division’s value.

Based on this analysis, Bell Memory’s managers decided not to request funds for a marketing campaign. Instead, they developed a plan to reduce capital requirements. The new plan called for spending $50 million on an integrated supply chain information system that would allow them to cut their inventories/sales ratio from 30 percent to 20 percent and also reduce the net plant/sales ratio from 59 percent to 30 percent. Table 11-10 shows operating results based on this new plan. The value of operations increases from $709.6 million to $1.1574 billion, or by $447.8 million. Because this is well over the $50 million required to implement the plan, top management decided to approve the plan. Note also that MVA becomes positive at $287.4 million, and the divisional expected ROIC rises to 13.0 percent, well over the 10.5 percent WACC.

Bell Instruments’ managers also used the valuation model to assess changes in plans for their division. Given their high expected ROIC, the Instruments division proposed (1) an aggressive marketing campaign and (2) an increase in inventories that would allow faster delivery and fewer stock-outs. Together, these changes would boost the growth rate from 5 to 6 percent. The direct cost to implement the plan was $20 million, but there was also an indirect cost in that significantly more inventories would have to be carried. Indeed, the ratio of inventories to sales was forecasted to increase from 15 to 16 percent.

Should Instruments’ new plan be implemented? Table 11-10 shows the forecasted results. The capital requirements associated with the increased inventory
caused the expected ROIC to fall from 18.9 to 18.6 percent, but (1) the 18.6 percent return greatly exceeds the 10.5 percent WACC, and (2) the spread between 18.6 percent and 10.5 percent would be earned on additional capital. This caused the forecasted value of operations to increase from $505.5 to $570.1 million, or by $64.6 million. An 18.6 percent return on $274.3 million of capital is more

### Bell Electronics’ Forecasted Value Drivers for 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Division 1: Bell Memory</th>
<th>Division 2: Bell Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth, g</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\text{NOPAT}<em>{2011}}{\text{Sales}</em>{2011}})</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital requirement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\text{Capital}<em>{2011}}{\text{Sales}</em>{2011}})</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on invested capital, EROIC</td>
<td>(\frac{\text{NOPAT}<em>{2011}(1 + g)}{\text{Capital}</em>{2011}})</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comparison of the Preliminary and Final Plans

#### (Millions of Dollars, Except for Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BELL MEMORY</th>
<th>BELL INSTRUMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales growth rate, g</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories/sales</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant/sales</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EROIC (2011)(^a)</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invested (operating) capital (2011)(^a)</td>
<td>$1,110.4</td>
<td>$867.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current value of operations (2006)(^b)</td>
<td>$709.6</td>
<td>$1,157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current MVA (2006)(^b)</td>
<td>($160.4)</td>
<td>($287.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
\(^a\)We report EROIC and capital for the end of the forecast period because ratios can change during the forecast period if inputs change during the forecast period. By the end of the forecast period, however, all inputs and ratios should be stable.
\(^b\)We report the value of operations and the MVA as of the current date, 2006, because we want to see the effect that the proposed plans have on the current value of the divisions.
valuable than an 18.9 percent return on $255.3 million of capital. You, or one of Bell’s stockholders, would surely rather have an asset that provides a 50 percent return on an investment of $1,000 than one that provides a 100 percent return on an investment of $1. Therefore, the new plan should be accepted, even though it lowers the Instruments division’s expected ROIC.

Sometimes companies focus on their profitability and growth, without giving adequate consideration to their capital requirements. This is a big mistake—all the wealth creation drivers must be taken into account, not just growth. Fortunately for Bell’s investors, the revised plan was accepted. However, as this example illustrates, it is easy for a company to mistakenly focus only on profitability and growth. They are important, but so are the other value drivers—capital requirements and the weighted average cost of capital. Value-based management explicitly includes the effects of all the value drivers because it uses the corporate valuation model, and they are all embodied in the model.

What are the four value drivers?

How is it possible that sales growth would decrease the value of a profitable firm?

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND SHAREHOLDER WEALTH

Shareholders want companies to hire managers who are able and willing to take whatever legal and ethical actions they can to maximize stock prices. This obviously requires managers with technical competence, but it also requires managers who are willing to put forth the extra effort necessary to identify and implement value-adding activities. However, managers are people, and people have both personal and corporate goals. Logically, therefore, managers can be expected to act in their own self-interests, and if their self-interests are not aligned with those of stockholders, then corporate value will not be maximized. Managers may spend too much time golfing, lunching, surfing the Net, and so forth, rather than focusing on corporate tasks, and they may also use corporate resources on activities that benefit themselves rather than shareholders. So, a key aspect of value-based management is to motivate executives and other managers to actually take the actions required under value-based management.

This section deals with corporate governance, which is defined as the set of rules and procedures that ensure that managers do indeed employ the principles of value-based management. The essence of corporate governance is to make sure that the key shareholder objective—wealth maximization—is implemented. Most corporate governance provisions come in two forms, sticks and carrots. The primary stick is the threat of removal, either as a decision by the board of directors or as the result of a hostile takeover. If a firm’s managers are maximizing the value of the resources entrusted to them, they need not fear the loss of their jobs. On the other hand, if managers are not maximizing value, they may well be removed, by

Self-Test Questions

See the Web pages of CalPERS (the California Public Employees’ Retirement System), http://www.calpers.org, and TIAA–CREF (Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association College Retirement Equity Fund), http://www.tiaa.org, for excellent discussions of shareholder-friendly corporate governance.

A potential fly in the ointment is the possibility that Bell has a compensation plan based on rates of return and not on changes in wealth. In such a plan, which is fairly typical, the managers might reject the new proposed strategic plan if it lowers ROIC and, hence, their bonuses, even though the plan is good for the company’s stockholders. We discuss the effect of compensation plans in more detail later in the chapter.

Notice that we said both legal and ethical actions. The accounting frauds perpetrated by Enron, WorldCom, and others that were uncovered in 2002 raised stock prices in the short run, but only because investors were misled about the companies’ financial positions. Then, when the correct financial information was finally revealed, the stocks tanked. Investors who bought shares based on the fraudulent financial statements lost tens of billions of dollars. Releasing false financial statements is illegal. Aggressive earnings management and the use of misleading accounting tricks to pump up reported earnings is unethical, and executives should and will go to jail as a result of their shenanigans. When we speak of taking actions to maximize stock prices, we mean making operational or financial changes designed to maximize intrinsic stock value, not fooling investors with false or misleading financial reports.
The corporate valuation model, in which free cash flows are discounted at the weighted average cost of capital to determine the value of the company, lies at the heart of value-based management. Therefore, before adopting value-based management, managers would be wise to ask if the corporate valuation model produces results that are consistent with actual market values. The answer, according to a study by Copeland, Koller, and Murrin of the consulting firm McKinsey & Company, is a resounding yes. They applied the model to 35 companies and found a 0.94 correlation between the model’s estimated values and the actual market values. Additional evidence of the model’s usefulness was provided by McCafferty’s recent survey, in which CFOs rated the corporate valuation model as the most important technique for estimating the value of a potential acquisition.

Finally, a recent Fortune article described how much corporations are paying consultants to help them implement the model. Marakon Associates, a leading advocate of value-based management, prides itself on having a single-minded view that a company should have one, and only one, goal—to increase shareholder wealth. It often takes Marakon several years to fully implement a value-based management system at a company. One reason for the lengthy implementation period is that Marakon breaks the company into segments to determine where value is currently being created or destroyed. These segments might be divisions, product lines, customers, or even channels of distribution. “Deep drilling,” as they call this process, is arduous and time consuming, and it requires a great deal of data and analysis.

Also, and perhaps even more important, full implementation requires both a change in corporate culture and the creation of an “organization’s collective ability to out-think its rivals.” In other words, the skill-set to use value-based management must permeate the entire company.

Although Marakon is a relatively small firm, with only 275 consultants versus almost 5,000 for McKinsey, it generates about $475,000 in revenue per consultant, which ties them with McKinsey as the most expensive consulting company. Note, though, that its rates seem to be justified. During the late 1990s, Marakon’s client companies created an additional $68 billion of wealth versus what they would have created had they matched their industry peers’ results.

are able to act in their own interests rather than in those of shareholders. For example, they can spend company money on such perquisites as lavish offices, memberships at country clubs, and corporate jets. Because these perks are not actually cash payments to the managers, they are called nonpecuniary benefits.

Also, entrenched managers are often reluctant to reduce fixed costs by closing or selling off redundant plants, laying off employees whose services are no longer needed, and abandoning projects that show little promise of future profits. Managers often hate to admit mistakes, and they are also reluctant to lay off people, especially old friends and colleagues, even when these actions really should be taken. Entrenchment also enables managers to acquire other companies at too high a price, as well as to accept projects that make the company larger but that have negative MVAs. These actions occur because managerial prestige and salary are associated with larger size; their effects are bad for stockholders but good for the senior executives. Note, though, that if a firm has a strong board, dominated by shareholder-oriented people such as Warren Buffett, or if its charter does not make it too difficult for an outside group to seize control and oust a poorly performing management, then such value-destroying actions are minimized.

**Barriers to Hostile Takeovers** Hostile takeovers usually occur when managers have not been willing or able to maximize the profit potential of the resources under their control. In such a situation, another company can acquire the poorly performing firm, replace its managers, increase free cash flow, and improve MVA. The following paragraphs describe some provisions that can be included in a corporate charter to make it harder for poorly performing managers to remain in control.7

A shareholder-friendly charter should ban targeted share repurchases, also known as greenmail. For example, suppose a company’s stock is selling for $20 per share. Now a hostile bidder, who plans to replace management if the takeover is successful, buys 5 percent of the company’s stock at the $20 price.8 The raider then makes an offer to purchase the remainder of the stock for $30 per share. The company might offer to buy back the bidder’s stock at a price of say $35 per share. This is called a targeted share repurchase, since the stock will be purchased only from the bidder and not from any other shareholders. Because the bidder paid only $20 per share for the stock, he or she would be making a quick profit of $15 per share, which could easily total several hundred million dollars. As a part of the deal, the raider would sign a document promising not to attempt to take over the company for a specified number of years; hence the buyback also is called greenmail. Greenmail hurts shareholders in two ways. First, they are left with $20 stock when they could have received $30 per share. Second, the company purchased stock from the bidder at $35 per share, which represents a direct loss by the remaining shareholders of $15 for each repurchased share.

Managers who buy back stock in targeted repurchases typically argue that their firms are worth more than the raiders offered, and that in time the “true value” will be revealed in the form of a much higher stock price. This situation might be true if a company were in the process of restructuring itself, or if new products with high potential were in the pipeline. But if the old management had

---

7Some states have laws that go further than others to protect management. This is one reason that many companies are incorporated in manager-friendly Delaware. Some companies have even shifted their state of incorporation to Delaware because their managers felt that a hostile takeover attempt was likely. Note that a “shareholder-friendly charter” could and would waive the company’s rights to strong anti-takeover protection, even if the state allowed it.

8Someone can, under the law, acquire up to 5 percent of a firm’s stock without announcing the acquisition. Once the 5 percent limit has been hit, the acquirer must “announce” the acquisition by filing a report with the SEC, and the report must list not only the acquirer’s position but also his or her intentions, such as a passive investment or a takeover. These reports are monitored closely, so as soon as one is filed, management is alerted to the imminent possibility of a takeover.
been in power for a long time, and if it had a history of making empty promises, then one should question whether the true purpose of the buyback was to protect stockholders or management.

Another characteristic of a stockholder-friendly charter is that it does not contain a **shareholder rights provision**, better described as a **poison pill**. These provisions give the shareholders of target firms the right to buy a specified number of shares in the company at a very low price if an outside group or firm acquires a specified percentage of the firm’s stock. Therefore, if a potential acquirer tries to take over a company, its other shareholders will be entitled to purchase additional shares of stock at a bargain price, thus seriously diluting the holdings of the raider. For this reason, these clauses are called poison pills, because if they are in the charter, the acquirer will end up swallowing a poison pill if the acquisition is successful. Obviously, the existence of a poison pill makes a takeover more difficult, and this helps to entrench management.

A third management entrenchment tool is a **restricted voting rights** provision, which automatically deprives a shareholder of voting rights if the shareholder owns more than a specified amount of stock. The board can grant voting rights to such a shareholder, but this is unlikely if the shareholder plans to take over the company.

**Effective Monitoring by a Strong Board of Directors** High compensation and prestige go with a position on the board of a major company, so board seats are prized possessions. Board members typically want to retain their positions, and they are grateful to whoever helped get them on the board. This situation has important implications for corporate governance as it affects stockholders. First, note that 30 years ago a firm’s CEO was in all likelihood also the chairman of its board. Moreover, many of the other board members were “insiders,” that is, people who held managerial positions within the company, such as the CFO. The CEO, who could remove them from their inside position if they raised objections to his policies, generally nominated them to the board. Even outside board members usually had strong connections with the CEO through personal friendships, consulting or other fee-generating activities, or **interlocking boards of directors**, where Company A’s CEO sits on Company B’s board and B’s CEO sits on A’s board. In these situations, even the outside directors are not truly independent and impartial.

Under an “old boy network” board as described above, the CEO had a much more protected position than is typical today. Now most boards are comprised primarily of outsiders who are not beholden to the CEO, which makes it much more likely that an ineffective CEO will be removed. Also, in earlier years board members were compensated in the form of salary, whereas today directors are generally given stock or options, so an ineffective management team costs the directors money. The changes in director compensation, together with directors’ greater independence, have done much to improve the way boards monitor managerial performance and react to poor results.9

---

9Note that boards can be elected by either cumulative or noncumulative voting. Under cumulative voting, each shareholder is given a number of votes equal to his or her shares times the number of board seats up for election. For example, the holder of 100 shares of stock will receive 1,000 votes if 10 seats are to be filled. Then, the shareholder can distribute his or her votes however he or she sees fit. One hundred votes could be cast for each of 10 candidates, or all 1,000 votes could be cast for one candidate. If noncumulative voting is used, our illustrative stockholder cannot concentrate his or her votes—no more than 100 votes can be cast for any one candidate.

With noncumulative voting, if management controls 51 percent of the shares, they can fill every seat on the board—dissident stockholders cannot put a representative on the board. With cumulative voting, however, if 10 seats are to be filled, dissidents can elect a representative, provided they have 10 percent plus one share of the stock.

Note also that bylaws specify whether the entire board is to be elected annually or if directors are to have staggered terms, with, say, one-third of the seats to be filled each year and directors to serve three-year terms. With staggered terms, fewer seats come up each year, making it harder for dissidents to gain representation on the board.
Why have these changes occurred? The primary reason has to do with a shift in the ownership of common stocks. Prior to the 1960s, most stock was owned by a large number of individual investors, each of whom owned a diversified portfolio of stocks. Because these individuals had just a small amount of any given company’s stock, they could do little to influence its operations. Also, with just a small investment, it was not cost effective for them to monitor companies closely. Indeed, if a stockholder was dissatisfied, he or she would typically just “vote with his or her feet,” that is, sell his or her stock. This situation began to change as institutional investors such as pension and mutual funds gained control of a larger and larger share of investment capital, and as they then acquired a larger and larger percentage of all outstanding stock. Given their large holdings, it makes sense for institutional investors to monitor management, and they have the clout to influence the board. In some cases, they have actually elected their own representatives to the board. For example, when TIAA–CREF, a huge private pension fund, became frustrated with the performance and leadership of Furr’s/Bishop, a cafeteria chain, the fund led a fight that ousted the entire board and then elected a new board, which consisted only of outsiders.

In general, activist investors with large stakes in companies have been good for all shareholders. They have searched for firms with poor profitability, then replaced management with new teams that are well-versed in value-based management techniques, and thereby improved profitability. Not surprisingly, stock prices usually rise when the news comes out that a well-known activist investor has taken a major position in an underperforming company.

Note that activist investors can improve performance even if they don’t go so far as to take over a firm. More often, they get a few people on the board, those people point out the firm’s problems, and then the other board members change their attitudes and become less tolerant when they realize that the management team is not following the dictates of value-based management. Moreover, the firm’s top managers recognize what will happen if they don’t whip the company into shape, and they go about doing just that.

As power has shifted from CEOs to boards as a whole, there has been a tendency to replace insiders with strong, independent outsiders. Today, the typical board has about one-third insiders and two-thirds outsiders, and most outsiders are truly independent. Moreover, they are compensated primarily with stock rather than a straight salary. All of this has clearly decreased the patience of boards with poorly performing CEOs, and within the past several years the CEOs of Procter & Gamble, Coca-Cola, GM, IBM, Mattel, Campbell Soup, and Xerox, to name just a few, have been removed. This would have been unheard of 30 years ago.

Using Compensation to Align Managerial and Shareholder Interests

In the preceding section we discussed the stick side of corporate governance. Now we turn to the carrot, managerial compensation. The typical CEO today receives a fixed salary plus a bonus that is zero if the firm’s performance is poor but that rises as performance becomes better and better. Salary for an average executive amounts to about 21 percent of total compensation versus bonuses of about 79 percent. So, performance certainly matters!10

---

Executive bonuses are based on a number of criteria, some reflecting short-term, or very recent, performance and others reflecting performance over a longer period. Bonuses also reflect internal operating statistics as well as stock prices, which reflect both internal operations and general stock market movements. On average, short-run operating factors such as this year’s growth in earnings per share account for about 34 percent of the bonus, 20 percent is based on longer-term operating performance such as earnings growth over the last three years, and the remaining 46 percent is linked to the company’s stock price. Bonuses can be paid in cash, in stock, or in options to buy stock. Moreover, they can be paid immediately after the relevant period (immediate vesting) or be awarded in stages over a number of years (deferred vesting). To illustrate deferred vesting, an executive might be awarded 10,000 shares of stock, but at the rate of 2,000 per year for each of the next five years, provided he or she is still with the company on each payment date.

Stock Options  Stock-based compensation is often in the form of options. Chapter 6 explains option valuation in detail, but we discuss here how a standard stock option compensation plan works. Suppose IBM decides to grant an option to an employee, allowing him or her to purchase a specified number of IBM shares at a fixed price, called the exercise price, regardless of the actual price of the stock. The exercise price is usually set equal to the current stock price at the time the option is granted. Thus, if IBM’s current price were $100, then the option would have an exercise price of $100. Options usually cannot be exercised until after some specified period (the vesting period), which is usually one to five years. Moreover, they have an expiration date, usually 10 years after issue. For our IBM example, assume that the vesting period is 3 years and the expiration date is 10 years. Thus, the employee can exercise the option 3 years after issue or wait as long as 10 years. Of course, the employee would not exercise unless IBM’s stock is above the $100 exercise price, and if the price never rose above $100, the option would expire unexercised. However, if the stock price were above $100 on the expiration date, the option would surely be exercised.

Suppose the stock price had grown to $134 after five years, at which point the employee decided to exercise the option. He or she would buy stock from IBM for $100, so IBM would get only $100 for stock worth $134. The employee would (probably) sell the stock the same day he or she exercised the option, hence would receive in cash the $34 difference between the $134 stock price and the $100 exercise price. People often time the exercise of options to the purchase of a new home or some other large expenditure.

Let’s suppose the employee is actually a senior executive and the grant was for options on 1 million shares. In this case, the executive would receive $34 for each option, or a total of $34 million. Keep in mind that this is in addition to an annual salary and other bonuses. The logic behind employee options is that they motivate people to work harder and smarter, thus making the company more valuable and benefiting shareholders. But take a closer look at this example. If the risk-free rate is 6.5 percent, the market risk premium is 6 percent, and IBM’s beta is 1.09, then the expected return, based on the CAPM, is 13 percent [6.5% + 1.09(6%) = 13%]. IBM’s dividend yield is only 0.4 percent, so the expected annual price appreciation must be around 12.6 percent (13% − 0.4% = 12.6%). Now note that if IBM’s stock price grew from $100 to $134 over five years, that would translate to an annual growth rate of only 6 percent, not the 12.6 percent.
Corporate governance includes the following factors: (1) the likelihood that a poorly performing firm can be taken over; (2) whether the board of directors is dominated by insiders or outsiders; (3) the extent to which most of the stock is held by a few large “blockholders” versus many small shareholders; and (4) the size and form of executive compensation. A recent study compared Germany, Japan, and the United States.

First, note from the accompanying table that the threat of a takeover serves as a stick in the United States but not in Japan or Germany. This threat, which reduces management entrenchment, should benefit shareholders in the United States relative to the other two countries. Second, German and Japanese boards are larger than those in the United States, and Japanese boards consist primarily of insiders versus German and American boards, which have similar inside/outside mixes. It should be noted, though, that the boards of most large German corporations include representatives of labor, whereas U.S. boards represent just shareholders. Thus, it would appear that U.S. boards, with a higher percentage of outsiders, would have interests most closely aligned with those of shareholders.

German and Japanese firms are also more likely to be controlled by large blocks of stock than those in the United States. Although pension and mutual funds, as well as other institutional investors, are increasingly important in the United States, block ownership is still less prevalent than in Germany and Japan. In both Germany and Japan, banks often own large blocks of stock, something that is not permitted by law in the United States, and corporations also own large blocks of stock in other corporations. In Japan, combinations of companies, called keiretsus, have cross-ownership of stock among the member companies, and these interlocking blocks distort the definition of an outside board member. For example, when the performance of a company in a keiretsu deteriorates, new directors are often appointed from the staffs of other members of the keiretsu. Such appointees might be classified officially as insiders, but they represent interests other than those of the troubled company’s CEO.

In general, large blockholders are better able to monitor management than are small investors, so one might expect the blockholder factor to favor German and Japanese shareholders. However, these blockholders have other relationships with the company that might be detrimental to outside shareholders. For example, if one company buys from another, they might use transfer pricing to shift wealth to a favored company, or a company might be forced to buy from a sister company in spite of the availability of lower-cost resources from outside the group.
Executive compensation packages differ dramatically across the three countries, with U.S. executives receiving by far the highest compensation. However, compensation plans are remarkably similar in terms of how sensitive total compensation is to corporate performance.

Which country’s system of corporate governance is best from the standpoint of a shareholder whose goal is stock price maximization? There is no definitive answer. U.S. stocks have had the best performance in recent years. Moreover, German and Japanese companies are slowly moving toward the U.S. system with respect to size of compensation, and compensation plans in all three countries are being linked ever more closely to performance. At the same time, though, U.S. companies are moving toward the others in the sense of having larger ownership blocks, and since those blocks are primarily held by pension and mutual funds rather than banks and related corporations, they better represent the interests of shareholders.


### International Characteristics of Corporate Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threat of a takeover</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of directors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of board</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent insiders</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent outsiders</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are large blocks of stock typically owned by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A controlling family?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another corporation?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A bank?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive compensation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of compensation</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to performance</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11It should be noted that the empirical literature listed in the end-of-chapter references shows that the correlation between executive compensation and corporate performance is mixed. Some studies suggest that the type of compensation plan used affects company performance, while others suggest little if any effect. Note also that just as “all ships rise in a rising tide,” so too do most stocks rise in a bull market such as the one during the 1990s. In a strong market, even the stocks of companies whose performance ranks in the bottom 10 percent of their peer group can rise and thus trigger handsome executive bonuses. This situation is leading to compensation plans that are based on relative as opposed to absolute stock price performance. For example, some compensation plans have indexed options, whose exercise prices depend on the performance of the market or a subset of competitors.
Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)  Studies show that 90 percent of the employees who receive stock under option plans sell the stock as soon as they exercise their options, so the plans motivate employees only for a limited period. Moreover, many companies limit their stock option plans to key managers and executives. To help provide long-term productivity gains, and also to help improve retirement incomes for all employees, Congress authorized the use of Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs). Today about 9,000 privately held companies and 1,000 publicly held firms have ESOPs, and more are being created every day. Typically, the ESOP’s major asset is shares of the common stock of the company that created it, and of the 10,000 total ESOPs, about 2,500 of them actually own a majority of their company’s stock.

To illustrate how an ESOP works, consider Gallagher & Abbott Inc. (G&A), a Knoxville, Tennessee, construction company. G&A’s simplified balance sheet is shown below:

G&A’s Balance Sheet prior to ESOP (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity (1 million shares)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now G&A creates an ESOP, which is a new legal entity. The company issues 500,000 shares of new stock at $100 per share, or $50 million in total, which it sells to the ESOP. G&A’s employees are the ESOP’s stockholders, and each employee receives an ownership interest based on the size of his or her salary and years of service. The ESOP borrows the $50 million to buy the newly issued stock. Financial institutions are willing to lend the ESOP the money because G&A signs a guarantee for the loan. Here is the company’s new balance sheet:

G&A’s Balance Sheet after the ESOP (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity (1.5 million shares)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company now has an additional $50 million of cash and $50 million more of book equity, but it has a de facto liability due to its guarantee of the ESOP’s debt. It could use the cash to finance an expansion, but many companies use the cash to repurchase their own common stock, so we assume that G&A will do likewise. The company’s new balance sheets, and that of the ESOP, are shown below:

---

14Our description is somewhat simplified. Technically, the stock would be placed in a suspense account and then be allocated to employees as the debt is repaid.
G&A’s Balance Sheet after the ESOP and Share Repurchase (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash $ 10</td>
<td>Debt $100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 190</td>
<td>Equity (1.5 million shares) 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury stock (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $200</td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESOP’s Initial Balance Sheet (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;A stock $50</td>
<td>Debt $50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $50</td>
<td><strong>Total</strong> $50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that while the company’s balance sheet looks exactly as it did initially, there is really a huge difference—the footnote that discloses that the company has guaranteed the ESOP’s debt, hence that it has an off-balance-sheet liability of $50 million. Moreover, because the ESOP has no equity, the guarantee is very real indeed. Finally, note that operating assets have not been increased at all, but the total debt outstanding and supported by those assets has increased by $50 million.¹⁵

If this were the whole story, there would be no reason to have an ESOP. However, G&A has promised to make payments to the ESOP in sufficient amounts to enable the ESOP to pay interest and principal charges on the debt so as to amortize the debt over 15 years. Thus, after 15 years the debt will be paid off, and the ESOP’s equity holders, who are the employees, will have equity with a book value of $50 million and a market value that could be much higher if G&A’s stock increases, as it should over time. Then, as employees retire, the ESOP will distribute a pro rata amount of the G&A stock to each employee, who can then use it as a part of his or her retirement plan.

An ESOP is clearly beneficial for employees, but why would a company want to establish one? There are five primary reasons:

1. Congress passed the enabling legislation in hopes of enhancing employees’ productivity and thus making the economy more efficient. In theory, if an employee has equity in the enterprise, he or she will work harder and smarter. Note too that if employees are more productive and creative, this will benefit outside shareholders, because productivity enhancements that benefit ESOP shareholders also benefit outside shareholders.

2. The ESOP represents additional compensation to employees, because in our example there is a $50 million (or more) transfer of wealth from existing shareholders to employees over the 15-year period. Presumably, if the ESOP were not created, then some other form of compensation would have been required, and that alternative compensation might not have the secondary benefit of enhancing productivity. Note too that the ESOP’s payments to employees (as opposed to the payment by the company) come primarily at retirement, and Congress wanted to boost retirement incomes.

3. Depending on when an employee’s rights to the ESOP are vested, the ESOP may help the firm retain employees.

¹⁵We assumed that the company used the $50 million paid to it by the ESOP to repurchase common stock and thus to increase its de facto debt. It could have used the $50 million to retire debt, in which case its true debt ratio would remain unchanged, or it could have used the money to support an expansion.
4. There are also strong tax incentives to encourage a company to form an ESOP. First, Congress decreed that in cases where the ESOP owns 50 percent or more of the company’s common stock, the financial institutions that lend money to ESOPs can exclude from taxable income 50 percent of the interest they receive on the loan. This improves the financial institutions’ after-tax returns, making them willing to lend to ESOPs at below-market rates. Therefore, a company that establishes an ESOP can borrow through the ESOP at a lower rate than would otherwise be available—in our example, the $50 million of debt would be at a reduced rate.

There is also a second tax advantage. If the company were to borrow directly, it could deduct interest but not principal payments from its taxable income. However, companies typically make the required payments to their ESOPs in the form of cash dividends. Dividends are not normally deductible from taxable income, but cash dividends paid on ESOP stock are deductible if the dividends are paid to plan participants or are used to repay the loan. Thus, companies whose ESOPs own 50 percent of their stock can in effect borrow on ESOP loans at subsidized rates and then deduct both the interest and principal payments made on the loans. American Airlines and Publix Supermarkets are two of the many firms that have used ESOPs to obtain this benefit, along with motivating employees by giving them an equity interest in the enterprise.

5. A less desirable use of ESOPs is to help companies avoid being acquired by another company. The company’s CEO, or someone appointed by the CEO, typically acts as trustee for its ESOP, and the trustee is supposed to vote the ESOP’s shares according to the will of the plan participants. Moreover, the participants, who are the company’s employees, usually oppose takeovers because they frequently involve labor cutbacks. Therefore, if an ESOP owns a significant percentage of the company’s shares, then management has a powerful tool for warding off takeovers. This is not good for outside stockholders.

Are ESOPs good for a company’s shareholders? In theory, ESOPs motivate employees by providing them with an ownership interest. That should increase productivity and thereby enhance stock values. Moreover, tax incentives mitigate the costs associated with some ESOPs. However, an ESOP can be used to help entrench management, and that could hurt stockholders. How do the pros and cons balance out? The empirical evidence is not entirely clear, but certain findings are worth noting. First, if an ESOP is established to help defend against a takeover, then the firm’s stock price typically falls when plans for the ESOP are announced. The market does not like the prospect of entrenching management and having to give up the premium normally associated with a takeover. However, if the ESOP is established for tax purposes and/or to motivate employees, the stock price generally goes up at the time of the announcement. In these cases, the company typically has a subsequent improvement in sales per employee and other long-term performance measures, which stimulates the stock price. Indeed, a recent study showed that companies with ESOPs enjoyed a 26 percent average annual stock return versus a return of only 19 percent for peer companies without ESOPs.16 Therefore, it appears that ESOPs, if used appropriately, can be a powerful tool to help create shareholder value.

What are two primary forms of corporate governance (that is, the carrot and the stick)?

What are three provisions in many corporate charters that deter takeovers?

Describe briefly how a typical stock option plan works.

What are ESOPs? What are some of their advantages and disadvantages?

**SUMMARY**

- **Corporate assets** consist of operating assets and financial, or nonoperating, assets.
- **Operating assets** take two forms: assets-in-place and growth options.
- **Assets-in-place** include the land, buildings, machines, and inventory that the firm uses in its operations to produce products and services.
- **Growth options** refer to opportunities the firm has to increase sales. They include opportunities arising from R&D expenditures, customer relationships, and the like.
- **Financial, or nonoperating, assets** are distinguished from operating assets and include items such as investments in marketable securities and noncontrolling interests in the stock of other companies.
- The **value of nonoperating assets** is usually close to the figure reported on the balance sheet.
- The **value of operations** is the present value of all the future free cash flows expected from operations when discounted at the weighted average cost of capital:

  \[ V_{op(\text{at time } 0)} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{FCF_t}{(1 + WACC)^t} \]

- The terminal, or **horizon, value** is the value of operations at the end of the explicit forecast period. It is also called the **continuing value**, and it is equal to the present value of all free cash flows beyond the forecast period, discounted back to the end of the forecast period at the weighted average cost of capital:

  \[ \text{Continuing value} = V_{op(\text{at time } N)} = \frac{FCF_{N+1}}{WACC - g} = \frac{FCF_N(1 + g)}{WACC - g} \]

- The **corporate valuation model** can be used to calculate the total value of a company by finding the value of operations plus the value of nonoperating assets.
- The **value of equity** is the total value of the company minus the value of the debt and preferred stock. The **price per share** is the total value of the equity divided by the number of shares.
- **Value-based management** involves the systematic use of the corporate valuation model to evaluate a company’s potential decisions.
- The four **value drivers** are (1) the growth rate in sales (g), (2) operating profitability (OP), which is measured by the ratio of NOPAT to sales, (3) capital requirements (CR) as measured by the ratio of operating capital to sales, and (4) the weighted average cost of capital (WACC).
- **Expected return on invested capital** (EROIC) is equal to expected NOPAT divided by the amount of capital that is available at the beginning of the year.
A company creates value when the spread between expected ROIC and WACC is positive, that is, when EROIC – WACC > 0.

Corporate governance involves the manner in which shareholders’ objectives are implemented, and it is reflected in a company’s policies and actions.

The two primary mechanisms used in corporate governance are: (1) the threat of removal of a poorly performing CEO and (2) the type of plan used to compensate executives and managers.

Poorly performing managers can be removed either by a takeover or by the company’s own board of directors. Provisions in the corporate charter affect the difficulty of a successful takeover, and the composition of the board of directors affects the likelihood of a manager being removed by the board.

Managerial entrenchment is most likely when a company has a weak board of directors coupled with strong anti-takeover provisions in its corporate charter. In this situation, the likelihood that badly performing senior managers will be fired is low.

Nonpecuniary benefits are noncash perks such as lavish offices, memberships at country clubs, corporate jets, foreign junkets, and the like. Some of these expenditures may be cost effective, but others are wasteful and simply reduce profits. Such fat is almost always cut after a hostile takeover.

Targeted share repurchases, also known as greenmail, occur when a company buys back stock from a potential acquiror at a higher-than-fair-market price. In return, the potential acquiror agrees not to attempt to take over the company.

Shareholder rights provisions, also known as poison pills, allow existing shareholders to purchase additional shares of stock at a lower-than-market value if a potential acquiror purchases a controlling stake in the company.

A restricted voting rights provision automatically deprives a shareholder of voting rights if the shareholder owns more than a specified amount of stock.

Interlocking boards of directors occur when the CEO of Company A sits on the board of Company B, and B’s CEO sits on A’s board.

A stock option provides for the purchase of a share of stock at a fixed price, called the exercise price, no matter what the actual price of the stock is. Stock options have an expiration date, after which they cannot be exercised.

An Employee Stock Ownership Plan, or ESOP, is a plan that facilitates employees’ ownership of stock in the company for which they work.

QUESTIONS

11-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Assets-in-place; growth options; nonoperating assets
   b. Net operating working capital; operating capital; NOPAT; free cash flow
   c. Value of operations; horizon value; corporate valuation model
   d. Value-based management; value drivers; ROIC
   e. Managerial entrenchment; nonpecuniary benefits
   f. Greenmail; poison pills; restricted voting rights
   g. Stock option; ESOP

11-2 Explain how to use the corporate valuation model to find the price per share of common equity.

11-3 Explain how it is possible for sales growth to decrease the value of a profitable company.
11-4 What are some actions an entrenched management might take that would harm shareholders?

11-5 How is it possible for an employee stock option to be valuable even if the firm’s stock price fails to meet shareholders’ expectations?

PROBLEMS

11-1 Free Cash Flow

Use the following income statements and balance sheets to calculate Garnet Inc.’s free cash flow for 2007.

**Garnet Inc.**

**2007** | **2006**
--- | ---

**Income Statement**
- Net sales | $530.00 | $500.00
- Costs (except depreciation) | 400.00 | 380.00
- Depreciation | 30.00 | 25.00
- Total operating costs | $430.00 | $405.00
- Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) | 100.00 | 95.00
- Less interest | 23.00 | 21.00
- Earnings before taxes | $77.00 | $74.00
- Taxes (40%) | 30.80 | 29.60
- Net income | $46.20 | $44.40

**Balance Sheet**
- Cash | $28.00 | $27.00
- Marketable securities | 69.00 | 66.00
- Accounts receivable | 84.00 | 80.00
- Inventories | 112.00 | 106.00
- Total current assets | $293.00 | $279.00
- Net plant and equipment | 281.00 | 265.00
- Total assets | $574.00 | $544.00

**Liabilities and Equity**
- Accounts payable | $56.00 | $52.00
- Notes payable | 138.00 | 130.00
- Accruals | 28.00 | 28.00
- Total current liabilities | $222.00 | $210.00
- Long-term bonds | 173.00 | 164.00
- Common stock | 100.00 | 100.00
- Retained earnings | 79.00 | 70.00
- Common equity | $179.00 | $170.00
- Total liabilities and equity | $574.00 | $544.00

11-2 Value of Operations

EMC Corporation has never paid a dividend. Its current free cash flow is $400,000 and is expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent. The weighted average cost of capital is WACC = 12%. Calculate EMC’s value of operations.
Brooks Enterprises has never paid a dividend. Free cash flow is projected to be $80,000 and $100,000 for the next 2 years, respectively, and after the second year it is expected to grow at a constant rate of 8 percent. The company’s weighted average cost of capital is WACC = 12%.

a. What is the terminal, or horizon, value of operations? (Hint: Find the value of all free cash flows beyond Year 2 discounted back to Year 2.)
b. Calculate the value of Brooks’s operations.

Dozier Corporation is a fast-growing supplier of office products. Analysts project the following free cash flows (FCFs) during the next 3 years, after which FCF is expected to grow at a constant 7 percent rate. Dozier’s cost of capital is WACC = 13%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Free cash flow ( $ millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. What is Dozier’s terminal, or horizon, value? (Hint: Find the value of all free cash flows beyond Year 3 discounted back to Year 3.)
b. What is the current value of operations for Dozier?
c. Suppose Dozier has $10 million in marketable securities, $100 million in debt, and 10 million shares of stock. What is the price per share?

Current and projected free cash flows for Radell Global Operations are shown below. Growth is expected to be constant after 2008. The weighted average cost of capital is 11 percent. What is the horizon, or continuing, value at 2008?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual 2006</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow (millions of dollars)</td>
<td>$606.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A company has capital of $200,000,000. It has an expected ROIC of 9 percent, forecasted constant growth of 5 percent, and a WACC of 10 percent. What is its value of operations? What is its MVA? (Hint: Use Equation 11-4.)

You are given the following forecasted information for the year 2010: Sales = $300,000,000; Operating profitability (OP) = 6%; Capital requirements (CR) = 43%; Growth (g) = 5%; and the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) = 9.8%. If these values remain constant, what is the horizon value (that is, the 2010 value of operations)? (Hint: Use Equation 11-3.)

The balance sheets of Hutter Amalgamated are shown below. If the 12/31/2006 value of operations is $756 million, what is the 12/31/2006 value of equity?
The balance sheets of Roop Industries are shown below. The 12/31/2006 value of operations is $651 million and there are 10 million shares of common equity. What is the price per share?

**Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 (Millions of Dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20.0</td>
<td>$19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>Notes payable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>Accruals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>$135.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$367.0</td>
<td>131.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>Common stock (par plus PIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$646.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>187.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Common equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$347.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial statements of Lioi Steel Fabricators are shown below, with the actual results for 2006 and the projections for 2007. Free cash flow is expected to grow at a 6 percent rate after 2007. The weighted average cost of capital is 11 percent.

a. If operating capital as of 12/31/2006 is $502.2 million, what is the free cash flow for 12/31/2007?

b. What is the horizon value as of 12/31/2007?

c. What is the value of operations as of 12/31/2006?

d. What is the total value of the company as of 12/31/2006?

e. What is the price per share for 12/31/2006?

**Income Statement for the Year Ending December 31 (Millions of Dollars Except for Per Share Data)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual 2006</th>
<th>Projected 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$500.0</td>
<td>$530.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs (except depreciation)</td>
<td>360.0</td>
<td>381.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating costs</td>
<td>$397.5</td>
<td>$421.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes</td>
<td>$102.5</td>
<td>$108.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less interest</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes</td>
<td>$88.6</td>
<td>$92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income before preferred dividends</td>
<td>$53.2</td>
<td>$55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred dividends</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income available for common dividends</td>
<td>$47.2</td>
<td>$48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dividends</td>
<td>$40.8</td>
<td>$29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition to retained earnings</td>
<td>$6.4</td>
<td>$18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of shares</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends per share</td>
<td>$4.08</td>
<td>$2.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Balance Sheets for December 31 (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual 2006</th>
<th>Projected 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$5.3</td>
<td>$5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>112.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$214.2</td>
<td>$226.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>375.0</td>
<td>397.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$589.2</td>
<td>$623.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$9.6</td>
<td>$11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$107.0</td>
<td>$113.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bonds</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>148.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock (par plus PIC)</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>164.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common equity</td>
<td>$306.4</td>
<td>$324.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and equity</strong></td>
<td>$589.2</td>
<td>$623.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

#### 11-11
**Build a Model:**

**Corporate Valuation**

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch11 P11 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. The Henley Corporation is a privately held company specializing in lawn care products and services. The most recent financial statements are shown below.

**Income Statement for the Year Ending December 31**  
(Millions of Dollars Except for Per Share Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$800.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs (except depreciation)</td>
<td>576.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating costs</td>
<td>$636.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before interest and taxes</td>
<td>$164.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less interest</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings before taxes</td>
<td>$132.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income before preferred dividends</td>
<td>$79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred dividends</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income available for common dividends</strong></td>
<td>$77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dividends</td>
<td>$31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition to retained earnings</td>
<td>$46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of shares (in millions)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends per share</td>
<td>$3.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Balance Sheets for December 31 (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>Liabilities and Equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>160.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$268.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net plant and equipment</td>
<td>600.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$868.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ratios and selected information for the current and projected years are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual 2006</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales growth rate</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs/sales</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation/net PPE</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash/sales</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable/sales</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories/sales</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net PPE/sales</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable/sales</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals/sales</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average cost of capital (WACC)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Forecast the parts of the income statement and balance sheets necessary to calculate free cash flow.
(b) Calculate free cash flow for each projected year. Also calculate the growth rates of free cash flow each year to ensure that there is constant growth (that is, the same as the constant growth rate in sales) by the end of the forecast period.
(c) Calculate operating profitability (OP = NOPAT/Sales), capital requirements (CR = Operating capital/Sales), and expected return on invested capital (EROIC = Expected NOPAT/Operating capital at beginning of year). Based on the spread between expected ROIC and WACC, do you think that the company will have a positive market value added (MVA = Market value of company – Book value of company = Value of operations – Operating capital)?
(d) Calculate the value of operations and MVA. (Hint: First calculate the horizon value at the end of the forecast period, which is equal to the value of operations at the end of the forecast period. Assume that growth beyond the horizon is 6 percent.)
(e) Calculate the price per share of common equity as of 12/31/2006.
You have been hired as a consultant to Kulpa Fishing Supplies (KFS), a company that is seeking to increase its value. The company’s CEO and founder, Mia Kulpa, has asked you to estimate the value of two privately held companies that KFS is considering acquiring. But first, the senior management of KFS would like for you to explain how to value companies that don’t pay any dividends. You have structured your presentation around the following questions:

a. List the two types of assets that companies own.
b. What are assets-in-place? How can their value be estimated?
c. What are nonoperating assets? How can their value be estimated?
d. What is the total value of a corporation? Who has claims on this value?
e. The first acquisition target is a privately held company in a mature industry. The company currently has free cash flow of $20 million. Its WACC is 10 percent and it is expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent. The company has marketable securities of $100 million. It is financed with $200 million of debt, $50 million of preferred stock, and $210 million of book equity.
   (1) What is its value of operations?
   (2) What is its total corporate value? What is its value of equity?
   (3) What is its MVA (MVA = Total corporate value – Total book value)?
f. The second acquisition target is a privately held company in a growing industry. The target has recently borrowed $40 million to finance its expansion; it has no other debt or preferred stock. It pays no dividends and currently has no marketable securities. KFS expects the company to produce free cash flows of −$5 million in 1 year, $10 million in 2 years, and $20 million in 3 years. After 3 years, free cash flow will grow at a rate of 6 percent. Its WACC is 10 percent and it currently has 10 million shares of stock.
   (1) What is its horizon value (that is, its value of operations at Year 3)? What is its current value of operations (that is, at time zero)?
   (2) What is its value of equity on a price per share basis?
g. KFS is also interested in applying value-based management to its own divisions. Explain what value-based management is.
h. What are the four value drivers? How does each of them affect value?
i. What is expected return on invested capital (EROIC)? Why is the spread between expected ROIC and WACC so important?
j. KFS has two divisions. Both have current sales of $1,000, current expected growth of 5 percent, and a WACC of 10 percent. Division A has high profitability (OP = 6%) but high capital requirements (CR = 78%). Division B has low profitability (OP = 4%) but low capital requirements (CR = 27%). What is the MVA of each division, based on the current growth of 5 percent? What is the MVA of each division if growth is 6 percent?
k. What is the expected ROIC of each division for 5 percent growth and for 6 percent growth? How is this related to MVA?
l. The managers at KFS have heard that corporate governance can affect shareholder value. List for them the two primary mechanisms of corporate governance.
m. Why is entrenched management potentially harmful to shareholders?

n. List three provisions in the corporate charter that affect takeovers.
o. Explain the difference between insiders and outsiders on the board of directors. What are interlocking boards?
p. What is a stock option in a compensation plan?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For an explanation of corporate valuation, see
For explanations of corporate valuation and value-based management, see

For additional discussions of corporate governance, see
For more on EVA and performance, see
The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 14, “Maris Distributing Company,” discusses valuation techniques used in a court case.
partthree
Project Valuation
Capital budgeting is the process of evaluating a company’s potential investments and deciding which ones to accept. This chapter provides an overview of the capital budgeting process and explains the basic techniques used to evaluate potential projects, given that their expected cash flows have already been estimated. Chapter 13 then explains how to estimate a project’s cash flows and analyze its risk.
Chapter 12  
Capital Budgeting: Decision Criteria

OVERVIEW OF CAPITAL BUDGETING

Capital budgeting is the decision process that managers use to identify those projects that add to the firm’s value, and as such it is perhaps the most important task faced by financial managers and their staffs. First, a firm’s capital budgeting decisions define its strategic direction, because moves into new products, services, or markets must be preceded by capital expenditures. Second, the results of capital budgeting decisions continue for many years, reducing flexibility. Third, poor capital budgeting can have serious financial consequences. If the firm invests too much, it will waste investors’ capital on excess capacity. On the other hand, if it does not invest enough, its equipment and computer software may not be sufficiently modern to enable it to produce competitively. Also, if it has inadequate capacity, it may lose market share to rival firms, and regaining lost customers requires heavy selling expenses, price reductions, or product improvements, all of which are costly.

A firm’s growth, and even its ability to remain competitive and to survive, depends on a constant flow of ideas for new products, for ways to make existing products better, and for ways to operate at a lower cost. Accordingly, a well-managed

BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Describe the seven primary capital budgeting decision criteria. What are their pros and cons, and how are they related to maximizing shareholder wealth? Should managers use just one criterion, or are there good reasons for using two or more criteria in the decision process?

2. Why do conflicts sometimes arise between the net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) methods; that is, what conditions can lead to conflicts? Can similar conflicts arise between modified internal rate of return (MIRR) and NPV rankings, or between rankings by the MIRR and IRR methods?

3. If management’s goal is to maximize shareholder wealth, should it focus on the regular IRR or the MIRR? Explain your answer.

4. Under what conditions might you find more than one IRR for a project? How would you decide whether or not to accept the project? If you were comparing two mutually exclusive projects, one with a single IRR of 12 percent and the other with two different IRRs of 10 percent and 15 percent, how should you choose between the projects?

5. What is the unequal life problem, under what conditions is it relevant, and how should it be dealt with?

6. What is a post-audit, and what is the purpose of this audit?

7. What is capital rationing, what conditions lead to it, and how should it be dealt with?
You can calculate the free cash flows (FCFs) for a project in much the same way as for a firm. When the project’s free cash flows are discounted at the appropriate risk-adjusted rate, the result is the project’s value. One difference between valuing a firm and valuing a project is the rate that is used to discount cash flows. For a firm, it is the overall weighted cost of capital; for a project, it is $r$, the project’s risk-adjusted cost of capital.

Subtracting the initial cost of the project gives the net present value (NPV). If a project has a positive NPV, then it adds value to the firm. In fact, the firm’s market value added (MVA) is the sum of all its projects’ NPVs. Therefore, the process for evaluating projects, called capital budgeting, is critical for a firm’s success.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why are capital budgeting decisions so important?

What are some ways firms get ideas for capital projects?
PROJECT CLASSIFICATIONS

Analyzing capital expenditure proposals is not a costless operation—benefits can be gained, but analysis does have a cost. For certain types of projects, a relatively detailed analysis may be warranted; for others, simpler procedures should be used. Accordingly, firms generally categorize projects and then analyze those in each category somewhat differently:

1. **Replacement: maintenance of business.** Replacement of worn-out or damaged equipment is necessary if the firm is to continue in business. The only issues here are (a) should this operation be continued and (b) should we continue to use the same production processes? If the answers are yes, maintenance decisions are normally made without an elaborate decision process.

2. **Replacement: cost reduction.** These projects lower the costs of labor, materials, and other inputs such as electricity by replacing serviceable but less efficient equipment. These decisions are discretionary and require a detailed analysis.

3. **Expansion of existing products or markets.** Expenditures to increase output of existing products, or to expand retail outlets or distribution facilities in markets now being served, are included here. These decisions are more complex because they require an explicit forecast of growth in demand, so a more detailed analysis is required. Also, the final decision is generally made at a higher level within the firm.

4. **Expansion into new products or markets.** These projects involve strategic decisions that could change the fundamental nature of the business, and they normally require the expenditure of large sums with delayed paybacks. Invariably, a detailed analysis is required, and the final decision is generally made at the very top—by the board of directors as a part of the firm’s strategic plan.

5. **Safety and/or environmental projects.** Expenditures necessary to comply with government orders, labor agreements, or insurance policy terms are called mandatory investments, and they often involve non-revenue-producing projects. How they are handled depends on their size, with small ones being treated much like the Category 1 projects described above.

6. **Research and development.** The expected cash flows from R&D are often too uncertain to warrant a standard discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis. Instead, decision tree analysis and the real options approach are often used.

7. **Long-term contracts.** Companies often make long-term contractual arrangements to provide products or services to specific customers. For example, IBM has signed agreements to handle computer services for other companies for periods of 5 to 10 years. There may or may not be much up-front investment, but costs and revenues will accrue over multiple years, and a DCF analysis should be performed before the contract is signed.

Within each category, projects are classified by their dollar costs: Larger investments require increasingly detailed analysis and approval at a higher level within the firm. Thus, a plant manager may be authorized to approve maintenance expenditures up to $10,000 on the basis of a relatively unsophisticated analysis, but the full board of directors may have to approve decisions that involve either amounts over $1 million or expansions into new products or markets.

**Self-Test Question**

Identify the major project classification categories, and explain how they are used.
Six key methods are used to rank projects and to decide whether or not they should be accepted for inclusion in the capital budget: (1) payback, (2) discounted payback, (3) net present value (NPV), (4) internal rate of return (IRR), (5) modified internal rate of return (MIRR), and (6) profitability index (PI). We explain how each ranking criterion is calculated, and then we evaluate how well each performs in terms of identifying those projects that will maximize the firm’s stock price.

The first, and most difficult, step in project analysis is estimating the relevant cash flows, a step that Chapter 13 explains in detail. Our present focus is on the different decision rules, so we provide the cash flows used in this chapter, starting with the expected cash flows of Project S and L in Figure 12-1. These projects are equally risky, and the cash flows for each year, $C_{F_t}$, reflect purchase cost, investments in working capital, taxes, depreciation, and salvage values. Finally, we assume that all cash flows occur at the end of the designated year. Incidentally, the S stands for short and the L for long: Project S is a short-term project in the sense that its cash inflows come in sooner than Ls.

Payback Period

The payback period, defined as the expected number of years required to recover the original investment, was the first formal method used to evaluate capital budgeting projects. The payback calculation is diagrammed in Figure 12-2, and it is explained below for Project S.

The cumulative net cash flow at $t = 0$ is just the initial cost of $-1,000$. At Year 1 the cumulative net cash flow is the previous cumulative of $-1,000$ plus the Year 1 cash flow of $500$: $-1,000 + 500 = -500$. Similarly, the cumulative for Year 2 is the previous cumulative of $-500$ plus the Year 2 inflow of $400$, resulting in $-100$. We see that by the end of Year 3 the cumulative inflows have more than recovered the initial outflow. Thus, the payback occurred during the third year. If the $300$ of inflows comes in evenly during Year 3, then the exact payback period can be found as follows:

$$\text{Payback}_S = \text{Year before full recovery} + \frac{\text{Unrecovered cost at start of year}}{\text{Cash flow during year}}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{100}{300} = 2.33 \text{ years}$$

Note: $C_{F_0}$ represents the cash flow experienced at the project’s inception.
Applying the same procedure to Project L, we find \( \text{Payback}_L = 3.33 \) years.

The shorter the payback period, the better. Therefore, if the firm required a payback of three years or less, Project S would be accepted but Project L would be rejected. If the projects were mutually exclusive, S would be ranked over L because S has the shorter payback. Mutually exclusive means that if one project is taken on, the other must be rejected. For example, the installation of a conveyor-belt system in a warehouse and the purchase of a fleet of forklifts for the same warehouse would be mutually exclusive projects—accepting one implies rejection of the other. Independent projects are projects whose cash flows don’t affect one another.

**Discounted Payback Period**

Some firms use a variant of the regular payback, the discounted payback period, which is similar to the regular payback period except that the expected cash flows are discounted by the project’s cost of capital. Thus, the discounted payback period is defined as the number of years required to recover the investment from discounted net cash flows. Figure 12-3 contains the discounted net cash flows for Projects S and L, assuming both projects have a cost of capital of 10 percent. To construct Figure 12-3, each cash inflow is divided by \((1 + r)^t = (1.10)^t\), where \(t\) is the year in which the cash flow occurs and \(r\) is the project’s cost of capital. After three years, Project S will have generated $1,011 in discounted cash inflows. Because the cost is $1,000, the discounted payback is just under three years, or, to be precise, \(2 + \frac{$214}{$225} = 2.95 \) years. Project L’s discounted payback is 3.88 years:

\[
\text{Discounted payback}_S = 2.0 + \frac{$214}{$225} = 2.95 \text{ years}
\]

\[
\text{Discounted payback}_L = 3.0 + \frac{$360}{$410} = 3.88 \text{ years}
\]

For Projects S and L, the rankings are the same regardless of which payback method is used; that is, Project S is preferred to Project L, and Project S would still be selected if the firm were to require a discounted payback of three years or less. Often, however, the regular and the discounted paybacks produce conflicting rankings.
Evaluating Payback and Discounted Payback

Note that the payback is a type of “breakeven” calculation in the sense that if cash flows come in at the expected rate until the payback year, then the project will break even. However, the regular payback does not consider the cost of capital—no cost for the debt or equity used to undertake the project is reflected in the cash flows or the calculation. The discounted payback does consider capital costs—it shows the breakeven year after covering debt and equity costs.

An important drawback of both the payback and discounted payback methods is that they ignore cash flows that are paid or received after the payback period. For example, suppose Project L had an additional cash flow of $5,000 at Year 5. Common sense suggests that Project L would be more valuable than Project S, yet its payback and discounted payback make it look worse than Project S. Consequently, both payback methods have serious deficiencies.

Although the payback methods have serious faults as ranking criteria, they do provide information on how long funds will be tied up in a project. Thus, the shorter the payback period, other things held constant, the greater the project’s liquidity. Also, since cash flows expected in the distant future are generally riskier than near-term cash flows, the payback is often used as an indicator of a project’s riskiness.

Net Present Value (NPV)

As the flaws in the payback were recognized, people began to search for ways to improve the effectiveness of project evaluations. One such method is the net present value (NPV) method, which relies on discounted cash flow (DCF) techniques. To implement this approach, we proceed as follows:

1. Find the present value of each cash flow, including all inflows and outflows, discounted at the project’s cost of capital.
2. Sum these discounted cash flows; this sum is defined as the project’s NPV.
3. If the NPV is positive, the project should be accepted, while if the NPV is negative, it should be rejected. If two projects with positive NPVs are mutually exclusive, the one with the higher NPV should be chosen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project S:</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flow</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted NCF (at 10%)</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative discounted NCF</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>−545</td>
<td>−214</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Payback$_S$ = 2.95 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project L:</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flow</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted NCF (at 10%)</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative discounted NCF</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>−909</td>
<td>−661</td>
<td>−360</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Payback$_L$ = 3.88 years
The equation for the NPV is as follows:

\[
NPV = CF_0 + \frac{CF_1}{(1 + r)^1} + \frac{CF_2}{(1 + r)^2} + \cdots + \frac{CF_n}{(1 + r)^n}
\]

Here \(CF_t\) is the expected net cash flow at Period \(t\), \(r\) is the project’s cost of capital, and \(n\) is its life. Cash outflows (expenditures such as the cost of buying equipment or building factories) are treated as negative cash flows. In evaluating Projects S and L, only \(CF_0\) is negative, but for many large projects such as the Alaska Pipeline, an electric generating plant, or a new Boeing jet aircraft, outflows occur for several years before operations begin and cash flows turn positive.

At a 10 percent cost of capital, Project S’s NPV is $78.82:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flows</th>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>454.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>330.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>225.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>68.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(r = 10\%\)

By a similar process, we find \(NPV_L = $49.18\). On this basis, both projects should be accepted if they are independent, but \(S\) should be chosen over \(L\) if they are mutually exclusive.

It is not hard to calculate the NPV as was done in the time line by using Equation 12-1 and a regular calculator. However, it is more efficient to use a financial calculator. Different calculators are set up somewhat differently, but they all have a section of memory called the “cash flow register” that is used for uneven cash flows such as those in Projects S and L (as opposed to equal annuity cash flows). A solution process for Equation 12-1 is literally programmed into financial calculators, and all you have to do is enter the cash flows (being sure to observe the signs), along with the value of \(r = I\). At that point, you have (in your calculator) this equation:

\[
NPV_S = -1,000 + \frac{500}{(1.10)^1} + \frac{400}{(1.10)^2} + \frac{300}{(1.10)^3} + \frac{100}{(1.10)^4}
\]

Note that the equation has one unknown, NPV. Now all you need to do is to ask the calculator to solve the equation for you, which you do by pressing the NPV button (and, on some calculators, the “compute” button). The answer, 78.82, will appear on the screen.1

---

1The Technology Supplement for this text explains commonly used calculator applications for a variety of calculators.
Most projects last for more than four years, and, as we see in Chapter 13, we must go through a number of steps to develop the estimated cash flows. Therefore, financial analysts generally use spreadsheets when dealing with capital budgeting projects. For Project S, this spreadsheet could be used (disregard for now the IRR on Row 6; we discuss it in the next section):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Project S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>r =</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cash flow =</td>
<td>−1,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NPV =</td>
<td>$78.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IRR =</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In *Excel*, the formula in Cell B5 is **=B4+NPV(B2,C4:F4)**, and it results in a value of **$78.82**. For a simple problem such as this, setting up a spreadsheet may not seem worth the trouble. However, in real-world problems there will be a number of rows above our cash flow line, starting with expected sales, then deducting various costs and taxes, and ending up with the cash flows shown in Row 4. Moreover, once a spreadsheet has been set up, it is easy to change input values to see what would happen under different conditions. For example, we could see what would happen if lower sales caused all cash flows to decline by $15, or if the cost of capital rose to 10.5 percent. Using *Excel*, it is easy to make such changes and then see the effects on NPV.

**Rationale for the NPV Method**

The rationale for the NPV method is straightforward. An NPV of zero signifies that the project’s cash flows are exactly sufficient to repay the invested capital and to provide the required rate of return on that capital. If a project has a positive NPV, then it is generating more cash than is needed to service the debt and to provide the required return to shareholders, and this excess cash accrues solely to the firm’s stockholders. Therefore, if a firm takes on a project with a positive NPV, the wealth of the stockholders increases. In our example, shareholders’ wealth would increase by **$78.82** if the firm takes on Project S, but by only **$49.18** if it takes on Project L. Viewed in this manner, it is easy to see why S is preferred to L, and it is also easy to see the logic of the NPV approach.

There is also a direct relationship between NPV and EVA (economic value added, as discussed in Chapter 7)—NPV is equal to the present value of the

---

2You could click the function wizard, **fx**, then Financial, then NPV, and then OK. Then insert B2 as the rate and C4:F4 as “Value 1,” which is the cash flow range. Then click OK, and edit the equation by adding B4. Note that you cannot enter the −$1,000 cost as part of the NPV range because the *Excel* NPV function assumes that the first cash flow in the range occurs at **t = 1**.

3This description of the process is somewhat oversimplified. Both analysts and investors anticipate that firms will identify and accept positive NPV projects, and current stock prices reflect these expectations. Thus, stock prices react to announcements of new capital projects only to the extent that such projects were not already expected.
project's future EVAs. Therefore, accepting positive NPV projects should result in a positive EVA and a positive MVA (market value added, or the excess of the firm's market value over its book value). So, a reward system that compensates managers for producing positive EVA will lead to the use of NPV for making capital budgeting decisions.

**Internal Rate of Return (IRR)**

In Chapter 4 we presented procedures for finding the yield to maturity, or rate of return, on a bond—if you invest in a bond, hold it to maturity, and receive all of the promised cash flows, you will earn the YTM on the money you invested. Exactly the same concepts are employed in capital budgeting when the **internal rate of return (IRR)** method is used. The IRR is defined as the discount rate that forces the NPV to equal zero:

\[
C_0 + \frac{C_{F_1}}{(1 + IRR)^1} + \frac{C_{F_2}}{(1 + IRR)^2} + \cdots + \frac{C_{F_n}}{(1 + IRR)^n} = 0
\]

\[
NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{C_{F_t}}{(1 + IRR)^t} = 0
\]

For our Project S, here is the time line setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Diagram of time line setup]

Thus, we have an equation with one unknown, IRR, and we need to solve for IRR. Although it is easy to find the NPV without a financial calculator, this is not true of the IRR. If the cash flows are constant from year to year, then we have an annuity, and we can use annuity formulas to find the IRR. However, if the cash flows are not constant, as is generally the case in capital budgeting, then it is difficult to find the IRR without a financial calculator. Without a calculator, you must solve Equation 12-2 by trial and error—try some discount rate and see if the equation solves to zero, and if it does not, try a different discount rate, and continue until you find the rate that forces the equation to equal zero. The discount rate that causes the equation (and the NPV) to equal zero is defined as the IRR. For a realistic project with a fairly long life, the trial-and-error approach is a tedious, time-consuming task.
Fortunately, it is easy to find IRRs with a financial calculator. You follow procedures almost identical to those used to find the NPV. First, you enter the cash flows as shown on the preceding time line into the calculator’s cash flow register. In effect, you have entered the cash flows into the equation shown below the time line. Note that we have one unknown, IRR, which is the discount rate that forces the equation to equal zero. The calculator has been programmed to solve for the IRR, and you activate this program by pressing the button labeled “IRR.” Then the calculator solves for IRR and displays it on the screen. Here are the IRRs for Projects S and L as found with a financial calculator:

\[
\text{IRR}_S = 14.5\% \\
\text{IRR}_L = 11.8\%
\]

It is also easy to find the IRR using the same spreadsheet we used for the NPV. With Excel, we simply enter this formula in Cell B6: =IRR(B4:F4). For Project S, the result is 14.5 percent.\(^4\)

If both projects have a cost of capital, or hurdle rate, of 10 percent, then the internal rate of return rule indicates that if the projects are independent, both should be accepted—they are both expected to earn more than the cost of the capital needed to finance them. If they are mutually exclusive, S ranks higher and should be accepted, so L should be rejected. If the cost of capital is above 14.5 percent, both projects should be rejected.

Notice that the internal rate of return formula, Equation 12-2, is simply the NPV formula, Equation 12-1, solved for the particular discount rate that forces the NPV to equal zero. Thus, the same basic equation is used for both methods, but in the NPV method the discount rate, \(r\), is specified and the NPV is found, whereas in the IRR method the NPV is specified to equal zero, and the interest rate that forces this equality (the IRR) is calculated.

Mathematically, the NPV and IRR methods will always lead to the same accept/reject decisions for independent projects. This occurs because if NPV is positive, IRR must exceed \(r\). However, NPV and IRR can give conflicting rankings for mutually exclusive projects. This point will be discussed in more detail in a later section.

**Rationale for the IRR Method**

Why is the particular discount rate that equates a project’s cost with the present value of its receipts (the IRR) so special? The reason is based on this logic: (1) The IRR on a project is its expected rate of return. (2) If the internal rate of return exceeds the cost of the funds used to finance the project, a surplus will remain after paying for the capital, and this surplus will accrue to the firm’s stockholders. (3) Therefore, taking on a project whose IRR exceeds its cost of capital increases shareholders’ wealth. On the other hand, if the internal rate of return is less than the cost of capital, then taking on the project will impose a cost on current stockholders. It is this “break-even” characteristic that makes the IRR useful in evaluating capital projects.

\(^4\)Note that the full range is specified, because Excel’s IRR function assumes that the first cash flow (the negative $1,000) occurs at \(t = 0\). You can use the function wizard if you don’t have the formula memorized.
Self-Test Questions

What four capital budgeting ranking methods were discussed in this section?
Describe each method, and give the rationale for its use.
What two methods always lead to the same accept/reject decision for independent projects?
What two pieces of information does the payback period convey that are not conveyed by the other methods?

COMPARISON OF THE NPV AND IRR METHODS

In many respects the NPV method is better than IRR, so it is tempting to explain NPV only, to state that it should be used to select projects, and to go on to the next topic. However, the IRR is familiar to many corporate executives, it is widely entrenched in industry, and it does have some virtues. Therefore, it is important for you to understand the IRR method but also to be able to explain why, at times, a project with a lower IRR may be preferable to a mutually exclusive alternative with a higher IRR.

NPV Profiles

A graph that plots a project’s NPV against the cost of capital rates is defined as the project’s net present value profile; profiles for Projects L and S are shown in Figure 12-4. To construct NPV profiles, first note that at a zero cost of capital, the NPV is simply the total of the projects’ undiscounted cash flows. Thus, at a zero cost of capital $NPV_S = $300$, and $NPV_L = $400$. These values are plotted as the vertical axis intercepts in Figure 12-4. Next, we calculate the projects’ NPVs at three costs of capital, 5, 10, and 15 percent, and plot these values. The four points plotted on our graph for each project are shown at the bottom of the figure.

Recall that the IRR is defined as the discount rate at which a project’s NPV equals zero. Therefore, the point where its net present value profile crosses the horizontal axis indicates a project’s internal rate of return. Since we calculated $IRR_S$ and $IRR_L$ in an earlier section, we can confirm the validity of the graph.

When we plot a curve through the data points, we have the net present value profiles. NPV profiles can be very useful in project analysis, and we will use them often in the remainder of the chapter.

NPV Rankings Depend on the Cost of Capital

Figure 12-4 shows that the NPV profiles of both Project L and Project S decline as the cost of capital increases. But notice in the figure that Project L has the higher NPV when the cost of capital is low, while Project S has the higher NPV if the cost of capital is greater than the 7.2 percent crossover rate. Notice also that Project L’s NPV is “more sensitive” to changes in the cost of capital than is NPV_S; that is, Project L’s net present value profile has the steeper slope, indicating that a given change in $r$ has a greater effect on $NPV_L$ than on $NPV_S$.

Recall that a long-term bond has greater sensitivity to interest rates than a short-term bond. Similarly, if a project has most of its cash flows coming in the early years, its NPV will not decline very much if the cost of capital increases, but a project whose cash flows come later will be severely penalized by high capital costs. Accordingly, Project L, which has its largest cash flows in the later years, is...
hurt badly if the cost of capital is high, while Project S, which has relatively rapid cash flows, is affected less by high capital costs. Therefore, Project L’s NPV profile has the steeper slope.

**Evaluating Independent Projects**

If *independent* projects are being evaluated, then the NPV and IRR criteria always lead to the same accept/reject decision: If NPV says accept, IRR also says accept. To see why this is so, assume that Projects L and S are independent, look at Figure 12-4, and notice (1) that the IRR criterion for acceptance for either project is that the project’s cost of capital is less than (or to the left of) the IRR and (2) that whenever a project’s cost of capital is less than its IRR, its NPV is positive. Thus, at any cost of capital less than 11.8 percent, Project L will be acceptable by both the NPV and the IRR criteria, while both methods reject Project L if the cost of capital is high.
capital is greater than 11.8 percent. Project S—and all other independent projects under consideration—could be analyzed similarly, and it will always turn out that if the IRR method says accept, then so will the NPV method.

**Evaluating Mutually Exclusive Projects**

Now assume that Projects S and L are *mutually exclusive* rather than independent. That is, we can choose either Project S or Project L, or we can reject both, but we cannot accept both projects. Notice in Figure 12-4 that as long as the cost of capital is greater than the crossover rate of 7.2 percent, then (1) NPV$_S$ is larger than NPV$_L$ and (2) IRR$_S$ exceeds IRR$_L$. Therefore, if $r$ is greater than the crossover rate of 7.2 percent, the two methods both lead to the selection of Project S. However, if the cost of capital is less than the crossover rate, the NPV method ranks Project L higher, but the IRR method indicates that Project S is better. *Thus, a conflict exists if the cost of capital is less than the crossover rate.*$^5$ NPV says choose mutually exclusive L, while IRR says take S. Which is correct? Logic suggests that the NPV method is better, because it selects the project that adds the most to shareholder wealth. But what causes the conflicting recommendations?

Two basic conditions can cause NPV profiles to cross, and thus conflicts to arise between NPV and IRR: (1) when *project size (or scale) differences* exist, meaning that the cost of one project is larger than that of the other, or (2) when *timing differences* exist, meaning that the timing of cash flows from the two projects differs such that most of the cash flows from one project come in the early years while most of the cash flows from the other project come in the later years, as occurred with our Projects L and S.

When either size or timing differences are present, the firm will have different amounts of funds to invest in the various years, depending on which of the two mutually exclusive projects it chooses. For example, if one project costs more than the other, then the firm will have more money at $t = 0$ to invest elsewhere if it selects the smaller project. Similarly, for projects of equal size, the one with the larger early cash inflows—in our example, Project S—provides more funds for reinvestment in the early years. Given this situation, the rate of return at which differential cash flows can be invested is a critical issue.

The key to resolving conflicts between mutually exclusive projects is this: How useful is it to generate cash flows sooner rather than later? The value of early cash flows depends on the return we can earn on those cash flows, that is, the rate at which we can reinvest them. *The NPV method implicitly assumes that the rate at which cash flows can be reinvested is the cost of capital, whereas the IRR method assumes that the firm can reinvest at the IRR.* These assumptions are inherent in the mathematics of the discounting process. The cash flows may actually be withdrawn as dividends by the stockholders and spent on beer and pizza, but the NPV method still assumes that cash flows can be reinvested at the cost of capital, while the IRR method assumes reinvestment at the project’s IRR.

Which is the better assumption—that cash flows can be reinvested at the cost of capital, or that they can be reinvested at the project’s IRR? The best assumption is that projects’ cash flows can be reinvested at the cost of capital, which means that the NPV method is more reliable.

$^5$The crossover rate is easy to calculate. Simply go back to Figure 12-1, where we set forth the two projects’ cash flows, and calculate the difference in those flows in each year. The differences are $CF_1 = CF_0 = 0, +$400, +$100, −$100, and −$500, respectively. Enter these values in the cash flow register of a financial calculator, press the IRR button, and the crossover rate, 7.17% = 7.2%, appears. Be sure to enter $CF_0 = 0$ or else you will not get the correct answer.
We should reiterate that, when projects are independent, the NPV and IRR methods both lead to exactly the same accept/reject decision. However, when evaluating mutually exclusive projects, especially those that differ in scale and/or timing, the NPV method should be used.

Multiple IRRs

There is another reason the IRR approach may not be reliable—when projects have nonnormal cash flows. A project has normal cash flows if it has one or more cash outflows (costs) followed by a series of cash inflows. Notice that normal cash flows have only one change in sign—they begin as negative cash flows, change to positive cash flows, and then remain positive. Nonnormal cash flows occur when there is more than one change in sign. For example, a project may begin with negative cash flows, switch to positive cash flows, and then switch back to negative cash flows. This cash flow stream has two sign changes—negative to positive and then positive to negative—so it is a nonnormal cash flow. Projects with nonnormal cash flows can actually have two or more IRRs, or multiple IRRs!

To see this, consider the equation that one solves to find a project’s IRR:

\[ \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{CF_t}{(1 + IRR)^t} = 0 \]

Notice that Equation 12-2 is a polynomial of degree n, so it may have as many as n different roots, or solutions. All except one of the roots are imaginary numbers when investments have normal cash flows (one or more cash outflows followed by cash inflows), so in the normal case, only one value of IRR appears. However, the possibility of multiple real roots, hence multiple IRRs, arises when the project has nonnormal cash flows (negative net cash flows occur during some year after the project has been placed in operation).

To illustrate, suppose a firm is considering the expenditure of $1.6 million to develop a strip mine (Project M). The mine will produce a cash flow of $10 million at the end of Year 1. Then, at the end of Year 2, $10 million must be expended to restore the land to its original condition. Therefore, the project’s expected net cash flows are as follows (in millions of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>End of Year 1</th>
<th>End of Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−$1.6</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>−$10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These values can be substituted into Equation 12-2 to derive the IRR for the investment:

\[ NPV = \frac{-1.6 \text{ million}}{(1 + IRR)^0} + \frac{10 \text{ million}}{(1 + IRR)^1} + \frac{-10 \text{ million}}{(1 + IRR)^2} = 0 \]

6Normal cash flows can also begin with positive cash flows, switch to negative cash flows, and then remain negative. The key is that there is only one change in sign.
When solved, we find that \( NPV = 0 \) when \( IRR = 25\% \) and also when \( IRR = 400\% \). Therefore, the IRR of the investment is both 25 and 400 percent. This relationship is depicted graphically in Figure 12-5. Note that no dilemma would arise if the NPV method were used; we would simply use Equation 12-1, find the NPV, and use this to evaluate the project. If Project M’s cost of capital were 10 percent, then its NPV would be \(-0.77\) million, and the project should be rejected. If \( r \) were between 25 and 400 percent, the NPV would be positive.

The example illustrates how multiple IRRs can arise when a project has non-normal cash flows. In contrast, the NPV criterion can easily be applied, and this method leads to conceptually correct capital budgeting decisions.

Describe how NPV profiles are constructed, and define the crossover rate. How does the “reinvestment rate” assumption differ between the NPV and IRR methods? If a conflict exists, should the capital budgeting decision be made on the basis of the NPV or the IRR ranking? Why? Explain the difference between normal and nonnormal cash flows, and their relationship to the “multiple IRR problem.”

If you attempted to find the IRR of Project M with many financial calculators, you would get an error message. This same message would be given for all projects with multiple IRRs. However, you can still find Project M’s IRR by first calculating NPVs using several different values for \( r \) and then plotting the NPV profile. The intersection with the X-axis gives a rough idea of the IRR value. Finally, you can use trial and error to find the exact value of \( r \) that forces NPV = 0.

The IRR function in spreadsheets begins its trial-and-error search for a solution with an initial guess. If you omit the initial guess, the Excel default starting point is 10 percent. Now suppose the values \(-1.6, +10, \) and \(-10\) were in Cells A1:C1. You could use this Excel formula, \( =\text{IRR(A1:C1,10\%)} \), where 10 percent is the initial guess, and it would produce a result of 25 percent. If you used a guess of 150 percent, you would have this formula, \( =\text{IRR(A1:C1,150\%)} \), and it would produce a result of 400 percent.
MODIFIED INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (MIRR)

In spite of a strong academic preference for NPV, surveys indicate that many executives prefer IRR over NPV. Apparently, managers find it intuitively more appealing to evaluate investments in terms of percentage rates of return than dollars of NPV. Given this fact, can we devise a percentage evaluator that is better than the regular IRR? The answer is yes—we can modify the IRR and make it a better indicator of relative profitability, hence better for use in capital budgeting. The new measure is called the modified IRR, or MIRR, and it is defined as follows:

\[
\sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{COF_t}{(1 + r)^t} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{n} CIF_t(1 + r)^{n-t}}{(1 + MIRR)^n}
\]

PV of costs = \[\text{Terminal value} = \frac{\text{Terminal value}}{(1 + MIRR)^n}\]

Here COF refers to cash outflows (negative numbers), or the cost of the project, CIF refers to cash inflows (positive numbers), and \(r\) is the cost of capital. The left term is simply the present value of the investment outlays when discounted at the cost of capital, and the numerator of the right term is the compounded future value of the inflows, assuming that the cash inflows are reinvested at the cost of capital. The compounded future value of the cash inflows is also called the terminal value, or TV. The discount rate that forces the present value of the TV to equal the present value of the costs is defined as the MIRR.\(^8\)

We can illustrate the calculation with Project S:

\[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c}
\text{Cash Flows} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline
\text{PV of Costs}\(^9\) & -1,000 & & & & \\
\text{Terminal Value (TV)} & & 330.00 & 484.00 & 665.50 & 1,579.50 \\
\text{PV of TV} = & & & & & \\
\text{NPV} & & & & & 0 \\
\end{array}\]

\(^8\)There are several alternative definitions for the MIRR. The differences primarily relate to whether negative cash flows that occur after positive cash flows begin should be compounded and treated as part of the TV or discounted and treated as a cost. A related issue is whether negative and positive flows in a given year should be netted or treated separately. For a complete discussion, see William R. McDaniel, Daniel E. McCarty, and Kenneth A. Jessell, “Discounted Cash Flow with Explicit Reinvestment Rates: Tutorial and Extension,” *The Financial Review*, August 1988, pp. 369–385; and David M. Shull, “Interpreting Rates of Return: A Modified Rate of Return Approach,” *Financial Practice and Education*, Fall 1993, pp. 67–71.

\(^9\)In this example, the only negative cash flow occurs at \(t = 0\), so the PV of costs is equal to \(CF_0\).
Using the cash flows as set out on the time line, first find the terminal value by compounding each cash inflow at the 10 percent cost of capital. Then enter \( N = 4 \), \( PV = -1000 \), \( PMT = 0 \), \( FV = 1579.5 \), and then press the \( I \) button to find \( \text{MIRR}_S = 12.1\% \). Similarly, we find \( \text{MIRR}_L = 11.3\% \).

The modified IRR has a significant advantage over the regular IRR. MIRR assumes that cash flows from all projects are reinvested at the cost of capital, while the regular IRR assumes that the cash flows from each project are reinvested at the project’s own IRR. Because reinvestment at the cost of capital is generally more correct, the modified IRR is a better indicator of a project’s true profitability. The MIRR also eliminates the multiple IRR problem. To illustrate, with \( r = 10\% \), Project M (the strip mine project) has \( \text{MIRR} = 5.6\% \) versus its 10 percent cost of capital, so it should be rejected. This is consistent with the decision based on the NPV method, because at \( r = 10\% \), \( \text{NPV} = -\$0.77 \text{ million} \).

Is MIRR as good as NPV for choosing between mutually exclusive projects? If two projects are of equal size and have the same life, then NPV and MIRR will always lead to the same decision. Thus, for any set of projects like our Projects S and L, if \( \text{NPV}_S > \text{NPV}_L \), then \( \text{MIRR}_S > \text{MIRR}_L \), and the kinds of conflicts we encountered between NPV and the regular IRR will not occur. Also, if the projects are of equal size, but differ in lives, the MIRR will always lead to the same decision as the NPV if the MIRRs are both calculated using as the terminal year the life of the longer project. (Just fill in zeros for the shorter project’s missing cash flows.) However, if the projects differ in size, then conflicts can still occur. For example, if we were choosing between a large project and a small mutually exclusive one, then we might find \( \text{NPV}_L > \text{NPV}_S \), but \( \text{MIRR}_S > \text{MIRR}_L \).

Our conclusion is that the MIRR is superior to the regular IRR as an indicator of a project’s “true” rate of return, or “expected long-term rate of return,” but the NPV method is still the best way to choose among competing projects because it provides the best indication of how much each project will add to the value of the firm.

### Self-Test Questions

Describe how the modified IRR (MIRR) is calculated.
What are the primary differences between the MIRR and the regular IRR?
What condition can cause the MIRR and NPV methods to produce conflicting rankings?

## PROFITABILITY INDEX

Another method used to evaluate projects is the **profitability index (PI):**

\[
\text{PI} = \frac{\text{PV of future cash flows}}{\text{Initial cost}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1 + r)^t}}{\text{CF}_0}
\]

---

10Most spreadsheets have a function for finding the MIRR. Refer back to our spreadsheet for Project S, with cash flows of \(-1,000, 500, 400, 300, \text{ and } 100\) in Cells B4:F4. You could use the Excel function wizard to set up the following formula: \(=\text{MIRR(B4:F4,10\%,10\%)}\). Here the first 10 percent is the cost of capital used for discounting, and the second one is the rate used for compounding, or the reinvestment rate. In our definition of the MIRR, we assume that reinvestment is at the cost of capital, so we enter 10 percent twice. The result is an MIRR of 12.1 percent.
Here $CF_t$ represents the expected future cash flows, and $CF_0$ represents the initial cost. The PI shows the relative profitability of any project, or the present value per dollar of initial cost. The PI for Project S, based on a 10 percent cost of capital, is 1.079:

$$PI_S = \frac{\$1,078.82}{\$1,000} = 1.079$$

Thus, on a present value basis, Project S is expected to produce $1.079 for each $1 of investment. Project L, with a PI of 1.049, should produce $1.049 for each dollar invested.

A project is acceptable if its PI is greater than 1.0, and the higher the PI, the higher the project’s ranking. Therefore, both S and L would be accepted by the PI criterion if they were independent, and S would be ranked ahead of L if they were mutually exclusive.

Mathematically, the NPV, IRR, MIRR, and PI methods will always lead to the same accept/reject decisions for independent projects: If a project’s NPV is positive, its IRR and MIRR will always exceed $r$, and its PI will always be greater than 1.0. However, these methods can give conflicting rankings for mutually exclusive projects. This point is discussed in more detail in the next section.

**Self-Test Question**

Explain how the PI is calculated. What does it measure?

---

**CONCLUSIONS ON CAPITAL BUDGETING METHODS**

We have discussed six capital budgeting decision methods, compared the methods with one another, and highlighted their relative strengths and weaknesses. In the process, we probably created the impression that “sophisticated” firms should use only one method in the decision process, NPV. However, virtually all capital budgeting decisions are analyzed by computer, so it is easy to calculate and list all the decision measures: payback and discounted payback, NPV, IRR, modified IRR (MIRR), and profitability index (PI). In making the accept/reject decision, most large, sophisticated firms calculate and consider all of the measures, because each one provides decision makers with a somewhat different piece of relevant information.

Payback and discounted payback provide an indication of both the risk and the liquidity of a project: A long payback means (1) that the investment dollars will be locked up for many years—hence the project is relatively illiquid—and (2) that the project’s cash flows must be forecasted far out into the future—hence the project is probably quite risky. A good analogy for this is the bond valuation process. An investor should never compare the yields to maturity on two bonds without also considering their terms to maturity, because a bond’s riskiness is affected by its maturity.

NPV is important because it gives a direct measure of the dollar benefit of the project to shareholders. Therefore, we regard NPV as the best single measure of profitability. IRR also measures profitability, but here it is expressed as a percentage rate of return, which many decision makers prefer. Further, IRR contains information concerning a project’s “safety margin.” To illustrate, consider the following two projects: Project S (for small) costs $10,000 and is expected to return $16,500 at the end of one year, while Project L (for large) costs $100,000 and has...
an expected payoff of $115,500 after one year. At a 10 percent cost of capital, both projects have an NPV of $5,000, so by the NPV rule we should be indifferent between them. However, Project S has a much larger margin for error. Even if its realized cash inflow were 39 percent below the $16,500 forecast, the firm would still recover its $10,000 investment. On the other hand, if Project L's inflows fell by only 13 percent from the forecasted $115,500, the firm would not recover its investment. Further, if no inflows were generated at all, the firm would lose only $10,000 with Project S, but $100,000 if it took on Project L.

The NPV provides no information about either of these factors—the “safety margin” inherent in the cash flow forecasts or the amount of capital at risk. However, the IRR does provide “safety margin” information—Project S's IRR is a whopping 65 percent, while Project L's IRR is only 15.5 percent. As a result, the realized return could fall substantially for Project S, and it would still make money. The modified IRR has all the virtues of the IRR, but (1) it incorporates a better reinvestment rate assumption, and (2) it avoids the multiple rate of return problem.

The PI measures profitability relative to the cost of a project—it shows the “bang per buck.” Like the IRR, it gives an indication of the project’s risk, because a high PI means that cash flows could fall quite a bit and the project would still be profitable.

The different measures provide different types of information to decision makers. Since it is easy to calculate all of them, all should be considered in the decision process. For any specific decision, more weight might be given to one measure than another, but it would be foolish to ignore the information provided by any of the methods.

Just as it would be foolish to ignore these capital budgeting methods, it would also be foolish to make decisions based solely on them. One cannot know at Time 0 the exact cost of future capital, or the exact future cash flows. These inputs are simply estimates, and if they turn out to be incorrect, then so will be the calculated NPVs and IRRs. Thus, quantitative methods provide valuable information, but they should not be used as the sole criteria for accept/reject decisions in the capital budgeting process. Rather, managers should use quantitative methods in the decision-making process but also consider the likelihood that actual results will differ from the forecasts. Qualitative factors, such as the chances of a tax increase, or a war, or a major product liability suit, should also be considered. In summary, quantitative methods such as NPV and IRR should be considered as an aid to informed decisions but not as a substitute for sound managerial judgment.

In this same vein, managers should ask sharp questions about any project that has a large NPV, a high IRR, or a high PI. In a perfectly competitive economy, there would be no positive NPV projects—all companies would have the same opportunities, and competition would quickly eliminate any positive NPV. Therefore, positive NPV projects must be predicated on some imperfection in the marketplace, and the longer the life of the project, the longer that imperfection must last. Therefore, managers should be able to identify the imperfection and explain why it will persist before accepting that a project will really have a positive NPV. Valid explanations might include patents or proprietary technology, which is how pharmaceutical and software firms create positive NPV projects. Aventis’s Allegra® allergy medicine and Microsoft’s Windows XP® operating system are examples. Companies can also create positive NPV by being the first entrant into a new market or by creating new products that meet some previously unidentified consumer needs. The Post-it® notes invented by 3M are an example.
Similarly, Dell developed procedures for direct sales of microcomputers, and in the process created projects with enormous NPV. Also, companies such as Southwest Airlines have managed to train and motivate their workers better than their competitors, and this has led to positive NPV projects. In all of these cases, the companies developed some source of competitive advantage, and that advantage resulted in positive NPV projects.

This discussion suggests three things: (1) If you can’t identify the reason a project has a positive projected NPV, then its actual NPV will probably not be positive. (2) Positive NPV projects don’t just happen—they result from hard work to develop some competitive advantage. At the risk of oversimplification, the primary job of a manager is to find and develop areas of competitive advantage. (3) Some competitive advantages last longer than others, with their durability depending on competitors’ ability to replicate them. Patents, the control of scarce resources, or large size in an industry where strong economies of scale exist can keep competitors at bay. However, it is relatively easy to replicate nonpatentable features on products. The bottom line is that managers should strive to develop nonreplicatable sources of competitive advantage, and if such an advantage cannot be demonstrated, then you should question projects with high NPV, especially if they have long lives.

**Self-Test Questions**

Describe the advantages and disadvantages of the six capital budgeting methods discussed in this chapter.

Should capital budgeting decisions be made solely on the basis of a project’s NPV?

What are some possible reasons that a project might have a large NPV?

**BUSINESS PRACTICES**

The findings of a 1992 survey of the capital budgeting methods used by the *Fortune* 500 industrial companies are shown below: 11

1. Every responding firm used some type of DCF method. In 1955, a similar study reported that only 4 percent of large companies used a DCF method. Thus, large firms’ usage of DCF methodology increased dramatically in the last half of the 20th century.

2. The payback period was used by 84 percent of Bierman’s surveyed companies. However, no company used it as the primary method, and most companies gave the greatest weight to a DCF method. In 1955, surveys similar to Bierman’s found that payback was the most important method.

3. In 1992, 99 percent of the *Fortune* 500 companies used IRR, while 85 percent used NPV. Thus, most firms actually used both methods.

4. Ninety-three percent of Bierman’s companies calculated a weighted average cost of capital as part of their capital budgeting process. A few companies apparently used the same WACC for all projects, but 73 percent adjusted the corporate WACC to account for project risk, and 23 percent made adjustments to reflect divisional risk.

5. An examination of surveys done by other authors led Bierman to conclude that there has been a strong trend toward the acceptance of academic recommendations, at least by large companies.

---

A second 1992 study, conducted by Joe Walker, Richard Burns, and Chad Denson (WBD), focused on small companies. WBD began by noting the same trend toward the use of DCF that Bierman cited, but they reported that only 21 percent of small companies used DCF versus 100 percent for Bierman’s large companies. WBD also noted that within their sample, the smaller the firm, the smaller the likelihood that DCF would be used. The focal point of the WBD study was why small companies use DCF so much less frequently than large firms. The three most frequently cited reasons, according to the survey, were (1) small firms’ preoccupation with liquidity, which is best indicated by payback, (2) a lack of familiarity with DCF methods, and (3) a belief that small project sizes make DCF not worth the effort.

The general conclusion one can reach from these studies is that large firms should and do use the procedures we recommend, and that managers of small firms, especially managers with aspirations for future growth, should at least understand DCF procedures well enough to make rational decisions about using or not using them. Moreover, as computer technology makes it easier and less expensive for small firms to use DCF methods, and as more and more of their competitors begin using these methods, survival will necessitate increased DCF usage.

**Self-Test Question**

What general considerations can be reached from these studies?

**THE POST-AUDIT**

An important aspect of the capital budgeting process is the **post-audit**, which involves (1) comparing actual results with those predicted by the project’s sponsors and (2) explaining why any differences occurred. For example, many firms require that the operating divisions send a monthly report for the first six months after a project goes into operation, and a quarterly report thereafter, until the project’s results are up to expectations. From then on, reports on the operation are reviewed on a regular basis like those of other operations.

The post-audit has three main purposes:

1. *Improve forecasts.* When decision makers are forced to compare their projections to actual outcomes, there is a tendency for estimates to improve. Conscious or unconscious biases are observed and eliminated; new forecasting methods are sought as the need for them becomes apparent; and people simply tend to do everything better, including forecasting, if they know that their actions are being monitored.

2. *Improve operations.* Businesses are run by people, and people can perform at higher or lower levels of efficiency. When a divisional team has made a forecast about an investment, its members are, in a sense, putting their reputations on the line and will strive to improve operations if they are evaluated with post-audits. In a discussion related to this point, one executive made this statement: “You academicians worry only about making good decisions. In business, we also worry about making decisions good.”

3. *Identify termination opportunities.* Although the decision to undertake a project may be the correct one based on information at hand, things don’t always turn out as expected. The post-audit can help identify projects that should be terminated because they have lost their economic viability.

---

The results of post-audits often conclude that (1) the actual NPVs of most cost reduction projects exceed their expected NPVs by a slight amount, (2) expansion projects generally fall short of their expected NPVs by a slight amount, and (3) new product and new market projects often fall short by relatively large amounts. Thus, biases seem to exist, and companies that understand them can build in corrections and thus design better capital budgeting programs. Our observations of businesses and governmental units suggest that the best-run and most successful organizations put great emphasis on post-audits. Accordingly, we regard the post-audit as being one of the most important elements in a good capital budgeting system.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is done in the post-audit?
Identify several purposes of the post-audit.

**SPECIAL APPLICATIONS OF CASH FLOW EVALUATION**

Misapplication of the NPV method can lead to errors when two mutually exclusive projects have unequal lives. There are also situations in which an asset should not be operated for its full life. The following sections explain how to evaluate cash flows in these situations.
Comparing Projects with Unequal Lives

Note that a replacement decision involves comparing two mutually exclusive projects: retaining the old asset versus buying a new one. When choosing between two mutually exclusive alternatives with significantly different lives, an adjustment is necessary. For example, suppose a company is planning to modernize its production facilities, and it is considering either a conveyor system (Project C) or some forklift trucks (Project F) for moving materials. Figure 12-6 shows both the expected net cash flows and the NPVs for these two mutually exclusive alternatives. We see that Project C, when discounted at the firm’s 11.5 percent cost of capital, has the higher NPV and thus appears to be the better project.

Although the NPV shown in Figure 12-6 suggests that Project C should be selected, this analysis is incomplete, and the decision to choose Project C is actually incorrect. If we choose Project F, we will have an opportunity to make a similar investment in three years, and if cost and revenue conditions continue at the Figure 12-6 levels, this second investment will also be profitable. However, if we choose Project C, we cannot make this second investment. Two different approaches can be used to correctly compare Projects C and F. The first is the equivalent annual annuity (EAA) approach, and the second is the replacement chain (common life) approach. Both methods are theoretically correct, but the replacement chain approach is the most widely used method in practice because it is very easy to apply using spreadsheets and because it enables analysts to incorporate a variety of assumptions regarding future inflation and efficiency gains. For those reasons, we focus here on the replacement chain approach. However, we provide a full description of the EAA approach in the Web Extension to this chapter, and the IFM9 Ch12 Tool Kit.xls illustrates the application of both methods.

The key to the replacement chain approach is to analyze both projects using a common life. In this example, we will find the NPV of Project F over a six-year period, and then compare this extended NPV with Project C’s NPV over the same six years. The NPV for Project C as calculated in Figure 12-6 is already over the six-year common life. For Project F, however, we must add in a second project.

### Figure 12-6  Expected Net Cash Flows for Projects C and F

**Project C:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
<th>Discount Rate</th>
<th>NPV at 11.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-40,000</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NPV** at 11.5% = $7,165; **IRR** = 17.5%

**Project F:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
<th>Discount Rate</th>
<th>NPV at 11.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NPV** at 11.5% = $5,391; **IRR** = 25.2%
to extend the overall life of the combined projects to six years. Here we assume (1) that Project F’s cost and annual cash inflows will not change if the project is repeated in three years and (2) that the cost of capital will remain at 11.5 percent:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV at 11.5% = $9,281; IRR = 25.2%

The NPV of this extended Project F is $9,281, and its IRR is 25.2 percent. (The IRR of two Project Fs is the same as the IRR for one Project F.) Since the $9,281 extended NPV of Project F over the six-year common life is greater than the $7,165 NPV of Project C, Project F should be selected.³¹

When should we worry about unequal life analysis? The unequal life issue (1) does not arise for independent projects, but (2) it can arise if mutually exclusive projects with significantly different lives are being compared. However, even for mutually exclusive projects, it is not always appropriate to extend the analysis to a common life. This should be done only if there is a high probability that the projects will actually be repeated at the end of their initial lives.

We should note several potentially serious weaknesses inherent in this type of analysis: (1) If inflation is expected, then replacement equipment will have a higher price. Moreover, both sales prices and operating costs will probably change. Thus, the static conditions built into the analysis would be invalid. (2) Replacements that occur down the road would probably employ new technology, which in turn might change the cash flows. (3) It is difficult enough to estimate the lives of most projects, and even more so to estimate the lives of a series of projects.

In view of these problems, no experienced financial analyst would be too concerned about comparing mutually exclusive projects with lives of, say, eight years and ten years. Given all the uncertainties in the estimation process, such projects would, for all practical purposes, be assumed to have the same life. Still, it is important to recognize that a problem exists if mutually exclusive projects have substantially different lives. When we encounter such problems in practice, we use a computer spreadsheet and build expected inflation and/or possible efficiency gains directly into the cash flow estimates, and then use the replacement chain approach. The cash flow estimation is a bit more complicated, but the concepts involved are exactly the same as in our example.

³¹Alternatively, we could recognize that the value of the cash flow stream of two consecutive Project Fs can be summarized by two NPVs: one at Year 0 representing the value of the initial project, and one at Year 3 representing the value of the replication project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV = $9,281

Ignoring rounding differences, the present value of these two cash flows, when discounted at 11.5 percent, is again $9,281.
Economic Life versus Physical Life

Projects are normally analyzed under the assumption that the firm will operate the asset over its full physical life. However, this may not be the best course of action—it may be best to terminate a project before the end of its potential life, and this possibility can materially affect the project’s estimated profitability. The situation in Table 12-1 can be used to illustrate this concept and its effects on capital budgeting. The salvage values listed in the third column are after taxes, and they have been estimated for each year of Project A’s life.

Using a 10 percent cost of capital, the expected NPV based on three years of operating cash flows and the zero abandonment (salvage) value is −$14.12:

\[
NPV = -4,800 + \frac{2,000}{1.10} + \frac{2,000}{1.10^2} + \frac{1,750}{1.10^3}
\]

\[
= -14.12
\]

Thus, Project A would not be accepted if we assume that it will be operated over its full three-year life. However, what would its NPV be if the project were terminated after two years? In this case, we would receive operating cash flows in Years 1 and 2, plus the salvage value at the end of Year 2, and the project’s NPV would be $34.71:

\[
NPV = -4,800 + \frac{2,000}{1.10} + \frac{2,000}{1.10^2} + \frac{1,650}{1.10^2}
\]

\[
= 34.71
\]

Thus, Project A would be profitable if we operate it for two years and then dispose of it. To complete the analysis, note that if the project were terminated after one year, its NPV would be −$254.55. Thus, the optimal life for this project is two years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (t)</th>
<th>Initial (Year 0) Investment and After-tax Operating Cash Flows</th>
<th>Net Salvage Value at End of Year t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($4,800)</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12-1 Project A: Investment, Operating, and Salvage Cash Flows
This type of analysis can be used to determine a project’s economic life, which is the life that maximizes the NPV and thus maximizes shareholder wealth. For Project A, the economic life is two years versus the three-year physical, or engineering, life. Note that this analysis was based on the expected cash flows and the expected salvage values, and it should always be conducted as a part of the capital budgeting evaluation if salvage values are relatively high.

**Self-Test Questions**

Briefly describe the replacement chain (common life) approach.
Define the economic life of a project (as opposed to its physical life).

## THE OPTIMAL CAPITAL BUDGET

The optimal capital budget is the set of projects that maximizes the value of the firm. Finance theory states that all projects with positive NPVs should be accepted, and the optimal capital budget consists of these positive NPV projects. However, two complications arise in practice: (1) an increasing marginal cost of capital and (2) capital rationing.

### An Increasing Marginal Cost of Capital

The cost of capital may depend on the size of the capital budget. As we discussed in Chapter 10, the flotation costs associated with issuing new equity or public debt can be quite high. This means that the cost of capital jumps upward after a company invests all of its internally generated cash and must sell new common stock. In addition, investors often perceive extremely large capital investments to be riskier, which may also drive up the cost of capital as the size of the capital budget increases. As a result, a project might have a positive NPV if it is part of a “normal size” capital budget, but the same project might have a negative NPV if it is part of an unusually large capital budget. Fortunately, this problem occurs very rarely for most firms, and it is unusual for an established firm to require new outside equity. Still, the Web Extension for this chapter contains a more detailed discussion of this problem and shows how to deal with the existence of an increasing marginal cost of capital.

### Capital Rationing

Armbrister Pyrotechnics, a manufacturer of fireworks and lasers for light shows, has identified 40 potential independent projects, with 15 having a positive NPV based on the firm’s 12 percent cost of capital. The total cost of implementing these 15 projects is $75 million. Based on finance theory, the optimal capital budget is $75 million, and Armbrister should accept the 15 projects with positive NPVs. However, Armbrister’s management has imposed a limit of $50 million for capital expenditures during the upcoming year. Due to this restriction, the company must forego a number of value-adding projects. This is an example of capital rationing, defined as a situation in which a firm limits its capital expenditures to less than the amount required to fund the optimal capital budget. Despite being at odds with finance theory, this practice is quite common.

Why would any company forego value-adding projects? Here are some potential explanations, along with some suggestions for better ways to handle these situations:
1. **Reluctance to issue new stock.** Many firms are extremely reluctant to issue new stock, so all of their capital expenditures must be funded out of debt and internally generated cash. Also, most firms try to stay near their target capital structure, and, combined with the limit on equity, this limits the amount of debt that can be added during any one year. The result can be a serious constraint on the amount of funds available for investment in new projects.

   This reluctance to issue new stock could be based on some sound reasons: (a) flotation costs can be very expensive; (b) investors might perceive new stock offerings as a signal that the company’s equity is overvalued; and (c) the company might have to reveal sensitive strategic information to investors, thereby reducing some of its competitive advantages. To avoid these costs, many companies simply limit their capital expenditures.

   However, rather than placing a somewhat artificial limit on capital expenditures, a company might be better off explicitly incorporating the costs of raising external capital into its cost of capital. If there still are positive NPV projects even using this higher cost of capital, then the company should go ahead and raise external equity and accept the projects. See the Web Extension for this chapter for more details concerning an increasing marginal cost of capital.

2. **Constraints on nonmonetary resources.** Sometimes a firm simply does not have the necessary managerial, marketing, or engineering talent to immediately accept all positive NPV projects. In other words, the potential projects are not really independent, because the firm cannot accept them all. To avoid potential problems due to spreading existing talent too thinly, many firms simply limit the capital budget to a size that can be accommodated by their current personnel.

   A better solution might be to employ a technique called **linear programming.** Each potential project has an expected NPV, and each potential project requires a certain level of support by different types of employees. A linear program can identify the set of projects that maximizes NPV, subject to the constraint that the total amount of support required for these projects does not exceed the available resources.14

3. **Controlling estimation bias.** Many managers become overly optimistic when estimating the cash flows for a project. Some firms try to control this estimation bias by requiring managers to use an unrealistically high cost of capital. Others try to control the bias by limiting the size of the capital budget. Neither solution is generally effective since managers quickly learn the rules of the game and then increase their own estimates of project cash flows, which might have been biased upward to begin with.

   A better solution is to implement a post-audit program and to link the accuracy of forecasts to the compensation of the managers who initiated the projects.

---

**Self-Test Questions**

What factors can lead to an increasing marginal cost of capital? How might this affect capital budgeting?

What is capital rationing?

What are three explanations for capital rationing? How might firms handle these situations?

---

This chapter has described six techniques (payback, discounted payback, NPV, IRR, MIRR, and PI) that are used in capital budgeting analysis. Each approach provides a different piece of information, so in this age of computers, managers often look at all of them when evaluating projects. However, NPV is the best single measure, and almost all firms now use NPV. The key concepts covered in this chapter are listed below:

- Capital budgeting is the process of analyzing potential projects. Capital budgeting decisions are probably the most important ones managers must make.
- The payback period is defined as the number of years required to recover a project’s cost. The regular payback method ignores cash flows beyond the payback period, and it does not consider the time value of money. The payback does, however, provide an indication of a project’s risk and liquidity, because it shows how long the invested capital will be “at risk.”
- The discounted payback method is similar to the regular payback method except that it discounts cash flows at the project’s cost of capital. It considers the time value of money, but it ignores cash flows beyond the payback period.
- The net present value (NPV) method discounts all cash flows at the project’s cost of capital and then sums those cash flows. The project should be accepted if the NPV is positive.
- The internal rate of return (IRR) is defined as the discount rate that forces a project’s NPV to equal zero. The project should be accepted if the IRR is greater than the cost of capital.
- The NPV and IRR methods make the same accept/reject decisions for independent projects, but if projects are mutually exclusive, then ranking conflicts can arise. If conflicts arise, the NPV method should be used. The NPV and IRR methods are both superior to the payback, but NPV is superior to IRR.
- The NPV method assumes that cash flows will be reinvested at the firm’s cost of capital, while the IRR method assumes reinvestment at the project’s IRR. Reinvestment at the cost of capital is generally a better assumption because it is closer to reality.
- The modified IRR (MIRR) method corrects some of the problems with the regular IRR. MIRR involves finding the terminal value (TV) of the cash inflows, compounded at the firm’s cost of capital, and then determining the discount rate that forces the present value of the TV to equal the present value of the outflows.
- The profitability index (PI) shows the dollars of present value divided by the initial cost, so it measures relative profitability.
- Sophisticated managers consider all of the project evaluation measures because each measure provides a useful piece of information.
- The post-audit is a key element of capital budgeting. By comparing actual results with predicted results and then determining why differences occurred, decision makers can improve both their operations and their forecasts of projects’ outcomes.
• Small firms tend to use the payback method rather than a discounted cash flow method. This may be rational, because (1) the cost of conducting a DCF analysis may outweigh the benefits for the project being considered, (2) the firm’s cost of capital cannot be estimated accurately, or (3) the small-business owner may be considering nonmonetary goals.
• If mutually exclusive projects have unequal lives, it may be necessary to adjust the analysis to put the projects on an equal life basis. This can be done using the replacement chain (common life) approach.
• A project’s true value may be greater than the NPV based on its physical life if it can be terminated at the end of its economic life.
• Flotation costs and increased riskiness associated with unusually large expansion programs can cause the marginal cost of capital to rise as the size of the capital budget increases.
• Capital rationing occurs when management places a constraint on the size of the firm’s capital budget during a particular period.

QUESTIONS

12-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Capital budgeting; regular payback period; discounted payback period
   b. Independent projects; mutually exclusive projects
   c. DCF techniques; net present value (NPV) method; internal rate of return (IRR) method
   d. Modified internal rate of return (MIRR) method; profitability index
   e. NPV profile; crossover rate
   f. Nonnormal cash flow projects; normal cash flow projects; multiple IRRs
   g. Hurdle rate; reinvestment rate assumption; post-audit
   h. Replacement chain; economic life; capital rationing

12-2 How is a project classification scheme (for example, replacement, expansion into new markets, and so forth) used in the capital budgeting process?

12-3 Explain why the NPV of a relatively long-term project, defined as one for which a high percentage of its cash flows are expected in the distant future, is more sensitive to changes in the cost of capital than is the NPV of a short-term project.

12-4 Explain why, if two mutually exclusive projects are being compared, the short-term project might have the higher ranking under the NPV criterion if the cost of capital is high, but the long-term project might be deemed better if the cost of capital is low. Would changes in the cost of capital ever cause a change in the IRR ranking of two such projects?

12-5 In what sense is a reinvestment rate assumption embodied in the NPV, IRR, and MIRR methods? What is the assumed reinvestment rate of each method?

12-6 Suppose a firm is considering two mutually exclusive projects. One has a life of 6 years and the other a life of 10 years. Would the failure to employ some type of replacement chain analysis bias an NPV analysis against one of the projects? Explain.
12-1
Decision Methods
Project K has a cost of $52,125, its expected net cash inflows are $12,000 per year for 8 years, and its cost of capital is 12 percent. (Hint: Begin by constructing a time line.)

a. What is the project’s payback period (to the closest year)?

b. What is the project’s discounted payback period?

c. What is the project’s NPV?

d. What is the project’s IRR?

e. What is the project’s MIRR?

12-2
NPV
Your division is considering two investment projects, each of which requires an up-front expenditure of $15 million. You estimate that the investments will produce the following net cash flows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project A</th>
<th>Project B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the two projects’ net present values, assuming the cost of capital is 10 percent? 5 percent? 15 percent?

12-3
NPVs, IRRs, and MIRRs for Independent Projects
Edelman Engineering is considering including two pieces of equipment, a truck and an overhead pulley system, in this year’s capital budget. The projects are independent. The cash outlay for the truck is $17,100, and that for the pulley system is $22,430. The firm’s cost of capital is 14 percent. After-tax cash flows, including depreciation, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Truck</th>
<th>Pulley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,100</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the IRR, the NPV, and the MIRR for each project, and indicate the correct accept/reject decision for each.

12-4
NPVs and IRRs for Mutually Exclusive Projects
Davis Industries must choose between a gas-powered and an electric-powered forklift truck for moving materials in its factory. Since both forklifts perform the same function, the firm will choose only one. (They are mutually exclusive investments.) The electric-powered truck will cost more, but it will be less expensive to operate; it will cost $22,000, whereas the gas-powered truck will cost $17,500. The cost of capital that applies to both investments is 12 percent. The life for both types of truck is estimated to be 6 years, during which time the net cash flows for the electric-powered truck will be $6,290 per year and those for the gas-powered truck will be $5,000 per year. Annual net cash flows include depreciation expenses. Calculate the NPV and IRR for each type of truck, and decide which to recommend.
12-5  Capital Budgeting Methods

Project S has a cost of $10,000 and is expected to produce benefits (cash flows) of $3,000 per year for 5 years. Project L costs $25,000 and is expected to produce cash flows of $7,400 per year for 5 years. Calculate the two projects’ NPVs, IRRs, MIRRs, and PIs, assuming a cost of capital of 12 percent. Which project would be selected, assuming they are mutually exclusive, using each ranking method? Which should actually be selected?

12-6  MIRR and NPV

Your company is considering two mutually exclusive projects, X and Y, whose costs and cash flows are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($1,000)</td>
<td>($1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The projects are equally risky, and their cost of capital is 12 percent. You must make a recommendation, and you must base it on the modified IRR (MIRR). What is the MIRR of the better project?

12-7  NPV and IRR Analysis

After discovering a new gold vein in the Colorado mountains, CTC Mining Corporation must decide whether to mine the deposit. The most cost-effective method of mining gold is sulfuric acid extraction, a process that results in environmental damage. To go ahead with the extraction, CTC must spend $900,000 for new mining equipment and pay $165,000 for its installation. The gold mined will net the firm an estimated $350,000 each year over the 5-year life of the vein. CTC’s cost of capital is 14 percent. For the purposes of this problem, assume that the cash inflows occur at the end of the year.

a. What are the NPV and IRR of this project?
b. Should this project be undertaken, ignoring environmental concerns?
c. How should environmental effects be considered when evaluating this, or any other, project? How might these effects change your decision in part b?

12-8  NPV and IRR Analysis

Cummings Products Company is considering two mutually exclusive investments. The projects’ expected net cash flows are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project A</th>
<th>Project B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($300)</td>
<td>($405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(387)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(193)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(180)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a. Construct NPV profiles for Projects A and B.
- b. What is each project’s IRR?
c. If you were told that each project’s cost of capital was 10 percent, which project should be selected? If the cost of capital was 17 percent, what would be the proper choice?
d. What is each project’s MIRR at a cost of capital of 10 percent? At 17%? (Hint: Consider Period 7 as the end of Project B’s life.)
e. What is the crossover rate, and what is its significance?

The Ewert Exploration Company is considering two mutually exclusive plans for extracting oil on property for which it has mineral rights. Both plans call for the expenditure of $10,000,000 to drill development wells. Under Plan A, all the oil will be extracted in 1 year, producing a cash flow at \( t = 1 \) of $12,000,000, while under Plan B, cash flows will be $1,750,000 per year for 20 years.
a. What are the annual incremental cash flows that will be available to Ewert Exploration if it undertakes Plan B rather than Plan A? (Hint: Subtract Plan A’s flows from B’s.)
b. If the firm accepts Plan A, then invests the extra cash generated at the end of Year 1, what rate of return (reinvestment rate) would cause the cash flows from reinvestment to equal the cash flows from Plan B?
c. Suppose a company has a cost of capital of 10 percent. Is it logical to assume that it would take on all available independent projects (of average risk) with returns greater than 10 percent? Further, if all available projects with returns greater than 10 percent have been taken, would this mean that cash flows from past investments would have an opportunity cost of only 10 percent, because all the firm could do with these cash flows would be to replace money that has a cost of 10 percent? Finally, does this imply that the cost of capital is the correct rate to assume for the reinvestment of a project’s cash flows?
d. Construct NPV profiles for Plans A and B, identify each project’s IRR, and indicate the crossover rate of return.

The Pinkerton Publishing Company is considering two mutually exclusive expansion plans. Plan A calls for the expenditure of $50 million on a large-scale, integrated plant which will provide an expected cash flow stream of $8 million per year for 20 years. Plan B calls for the expenditure of $15 million to build a somewhat less efficient, more labor-intensive plant which has an expected cash flow stream of $3.4 million per year for 20 years. The firm’s cost of capital is 10 percent.
a. Calculate each project’s NPV and IRR.
b. Set up a Project \( \Delta \) by showing the cash flows that will exist if the firm goes with the large plant rather than the smaller plant. What are the NPV and the IRR for this Project \( \Delta \)?
c. Graph the NPV profiles for Plan A, Plan B, and Project \( \Delta \).
d. Give a logical explanation, based on reinvestment rates and opportunity costs, as to why the NPV method is better than the IRR method when the firm’s cost of capital is constant at some value such as 10 percent.

The Ulmer Uranium Company is deciding whether or not it should open a strip mine, the net cost of which is $4.4 million. Net cash inflows are expected to be $27.7 million, all coming at the end of Year 1. The land must be returned to its natural state at a cost of $25 million, payable at the end of Year 2.
a. Plot the project’s NPV profile.
b. Should the project be accepted if \( r = 8\% \)? If \( r = 14\% \)? Explain your reasoning.
c. Can you think of some other capital budgeting situations where negative cash flows during or at the end of the project’s life might lead to multiple IRRs?
d. What is the project’s MIRR at \( r = 8\% \)? At \( r = 14\% \)? Does the MIRR method lead to the same accept/reject decision as the NPV method?

The Aubey Coffee Company is evaluating the within-plant distribution system for its new roasting, grinding, and packing plant. The two alternatives are (1) a conveyor system with a high initial cost, but low annual operating costs, and (2) several forklift trucks, which cost less, but have considerably higher operating costs. The decision to construct the plant has already been made, and the choice here will have no effect on the overall revenues of the project. The cost of capital for the plant is 8 percent, and the projects’ expected net costs are listed in the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Conveyor</th>
<th>Forklift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($500,000)</td>
<td>($200,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(120,000)</td>
<td>(160,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(120,000)</td>
<td>(160,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(120,000)</td>
<td>(160,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(120,000)</td>
<td>(160,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>(160,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12-13

a. What is the IRR of each alternative?
b. What is the present value of costs of each alternative? Which method should be chosen?

Your division is considering two investment projects, each of which requires an up-front expenditure of $25 million. You estimate that the cost of capital is 10 percent and that the investments will produce the following after-tax cash flows (in millions of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project A</th>
<th>Project B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. What is the regular payback period for each of the projects?
b. What is the discounted payback period for each of the projects?
c. If the two projects are independent and the cost of capital is 10 percent, which project or projects should the firm undertake?
d. If the two projects are mutually exclusive and the cost of capital is 5 percent, which project should the firm undertake?
e. If the two projects are mutually exclusive and the cost of capital is 15 percent, which project should the firm undertake?
f. What is the crossover rate?
g. If the cost of capital is 10 percent, what is the modified IRR (MIRR) of each project?
Shao Airlines is considering two alternative planes. Plane A has an expected life of 5 years, will cost $100 million, and will produce net cash flows of $30 million per year. Plane B has a life of 10 years, will cost $132 million, and will produce net cash flows of $25 million per year. Shao plans to serve the route for 10 years. Inflation in operating costs, airplane costs, and fares is expected to be zero, and the company’s cost of capital is 12 percent. By how much would the value of the company increase if it accepted the better project (plane)?

The Perez Company has the opportunity to invest in one of two mutually exclusive machines that will produce a product it will need for the foreseeable future. Machine A costs $10 million but realizes after-tax inflows of $4 million per year for 4 years. After 4 years, the machine must be replaced. Machine B costs $15 million and realizes after-tax inflows of $3.5 million per year for 8 years, after which it must be replaced. Assume that machine prices are not expected to rise because inflation will be offset by cheaper components used in the machines. If the cost of capital is 10 percent, which machine should the company use?

Filkins Fabric Company is considering the replacement of its old, fully depreciated knitting machine. Two new models are available: Machine 190-3, which has a cost of $190,000, a 3-year expected life, and after-tax cash flows (labor savings and depreciation) of $87,000 per year; and Machine 360-6, which has a cost of $360,000, a 6-year life, and after-tax cash flows of $98,300 per year. Knitting machine prices are not expected to rise, because inflation will be offset by cheaper components (microprocessors) used in the machines. Assume that Filkins’ cost of capital is 14 percent. Should the firm replace its old knitting machine, and, if so, which new machine should it use?

The Scampini Supplies Company recently purchased a new delivery truck. The new truck cost $22,500, and it is expected to generate net after-tax operating cash flows, including depreciation, of $6,250 per year. The truck has a 5-year expected life. The expected salvage values after tax adjustments for the truck are given below. The company’s cost of capital is 10 percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual Operating Cash Flow</th>
<th>Salvage Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($22,500)</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Should the firm operate the truck until the end of its 5-year physical life, or, if not, what is its optimal economic life?

b. Would the introduction of salvage values, in addition to operating cash flows, ever reduce the expected NPV and/or IRR of a project?
Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch12 P18 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Gardial Fisheries is considering two mutually exclusive investments. The projects’ expected net cash flows are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project A</th>
<th>Project B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($375)</td>
<td>($575)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. If you were told that each project’s cost of capital was 12 percent, which project should be selected? If the cost of capital was 18 percent, what would be the proper choice?
b. Construct NPV profiles for Projects A and B.
c. What is each project’s IRR?
d. What is the crossover rate, and what is its significance?
e. What is each project’s MIRR at a cost of capital of 12 percent? At \( r = 18\% \)? (Hint: Consider Period 7 as the end of Project B’s life.)
f. What is the regular payback period for these two projects?
g. At a cost of capital of 12 percent, what is the discounted payback period for these two projects?

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
You have just graduated from the MBA program of a large university, and one of your favorite courses was “Today's Entrepreneurs.” In fact, you enjoyed it so much you have decided you want to “be your own boss.” While you were in the master's program, your grandfather died and left you $1 million to do with as you please. You are not an inventor, and you do not have a trade skill that you can market; however, you have decided that you would like to purchase at least one established franchise in the fast-foods area, maybe two (if profitable). The problem is that you have never been one to stay with any project for too long, so you figure that your time frame is 3 years. After 3 years you will go on to something else.

You have narrowed your selection down to two choices: (1) Franchise L, Lisa’s Soups, Salads, & Stuff, and (2) Franchise S, Sam’s Wonderful Fried Chicken. The net cash flows shown below include the price you would receive for selling the franchise in Year 3 and the forecast of how each franchise will do over the 3-year period. Franchise L’s cash flows will start off slowly but will increase rather quickly as people become more health conscious, while Franchise S’s cash flows will start off high but will trail off as other chicken competitors enter the marketplace and as people become more health conscious and avoid fried foods. Franchise L serves breakfast and lunch, while Franchise S serves only dinner, so it is possible for you to invest in both franchises. You see these franchises as perfect complements to one another. You could attract both the lunch and dinner crowds and the health conscious and not so health conscious crowds without the franchises directly competing against one another.

Here are the net cash flows (in thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Franchise L</th>
<th>Franchise S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($100)</td>
<td>($100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depreciation, salvage values, net working capital requirements, and tax effects are all included in these cash flows.

You also have made subjective risk assessments of each franchise, and concluded that both franchises have risk characteristics that require a return of 10 percent. You must now determine whether one or both of the franchises should be accepted.

a. What is capital budgeting?
b. What is the difference between independent and mutually exclusive projects?
c. (1) What is the payback period? Find the paybacks for Franchises L and S.
   (2) What is the rationale for the payback method? According to the payback criterion, which franchise or franchises should be accepted if the firm’s maximum acceptable payback is 2 years, and if Franchises L and S are independent? If they are mutually exclusive?
   (3) What is the difference between the regular and discounted payback periods?
   (4) What is the main disadvantage of discounted payback? Is the payback method of any real usefulness in capital budgeting decisions?
d. (1) Define the term net present value (NPV). What is each franchise’s NPV?
   (2) What is the rationale behind the NPV method? According to NPV, which franchise or franchises should be accepted if they are independent? Mutually exclusive?
   (3) Would the NPVs change if the cost of capital changed?
e. (1) Define the term internal rate of return (IRR). What is each franchise’s IRR?
   (2) How is the IRR on a project related to the YTM on a bond?
   (3) What is the logic behind the IRR method? According to IRR, which franchises should be accepted if they are independent? Mutually exclusive?
   (4) Would the franchises’ IRRs change if the cost of capital changed?
f. (1) Draw NPV profiles for Franchises L and S. At what discount rate do the profiles cross?
Look at your NPV profile graph without referring to the actual NPVs and IRRs. Which franchise or franchises should be accepted if they are independent? Mutually exclusive? Explain. Are your answers correct at any cost of capital less than 23.6 percent?

What is the underlying cause of ranking conflicts between NPV and IRR?

Which method is the best? Why?

Define the term modified IRR (MIRR). Find the MIRRs for Franchises L and S.

What are the MIRR’s advantages and disadvantages vis-à-vis the regular IRR? What are the MIRR’s advantages and disadvantages vis-à-vis the NPV?

As a separate project (Project P), you are considering sponsoring a pavilion at the upcoming World’s Fair. The pavilion would cost $800,000, and it is expected to result in $5 million of incremental cash inflows during its 1 year of operation. However, it would then take another year, and $5 million of costs, to demolish the site and return it to its original condition. Thus, Project P’s expected net cash flows look like this (in millions of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Net Cash Flows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project is estimated to be of average risk, so its cost of capital is 10 percent.

What are normal and nonnormal cash flows?

What is Project P’s NPV? What is its IRR? Its MIRR?

Draw Project P’s NPV profile. Does Project P have normal or nonnormal cash flows? Should this project be accepted?

What does the profitability index (PI) measure? What are the PI’s of Franchises S and L?

In an unrelated analysis, you have the opportunity to choose between the following two mutually exclusive projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Initial Investment and Operating Cash Flows</th>
<th>End-of-Year Net Salvage Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>($5,000)</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the 10 percent cost of capital, what is the project’s NPV if it is operated for the full 3 years? Would the NPV change if the company planned to terminate the project at the end of Year 2? At the end of Year 1? What is the project’s optimal (economic) life?

After examining all the potential projects, you discover that there are many more projects this year with positive NPVs than in a normal year. What two problems might this extra large capital budget cause?
For an in-depth treatment of capital budgeting techniques, see


These articles are related directly to the topics in this chapter:


For some articles that discuss the capital budgeting methods actually used in practice, see


Additional capital budgeting references are provided in Chapter 13.

The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:

Case 11, “Chicago Valve Company.”

Brigham-Buzzard Series:

Case 6, “Powerline Network Corporation (Basics of Capital Budgeting).”
The basic principles of capital budgeting were covered in Chapter 12. Given a project’s expected cash flows, it is easy to calculate its payback, discounted payback, NPV, IRR, MIRR, and PI. Unfortunately, cash flows are rarely just given—rather, managers must estimate them based on information collected from sources both inside and outside the company. Moreover, uncertainty surrounds the cash flow estimates, and some projects are riskier than others. In the first part of the chapter, we develop procedures for estimating the cash flows associated with capital budgeting projects. Then, in the second part, we discuss techniques used to measure and take account of project risk.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch13 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. How do project cash flows as calculated in this chapter affect a firm’s corporate free cash flows as defined in Chapter 7 and then used in Chapter 11 to calculate a firm’s value? How does a proposed project’s estimated NPV affect the value of the firm?

2. Define (a) externalities and (b) sunk costs, and then give examples of each that might be involved in a proposal by an energy company to build a new coal-fired electric power generating unit. How would these factors be worked into the analysis?

3. If Congress shortened depreciation lives for tax purposes, how would this affect the energy project’s NPV, assuming nothing else changes?

4. If the company’s capital budgeting analyst decided to show all projected cash flows, both positive and negative, in current dollars rather than inflation-adjusted dollars, would this affect the calculated NPV?

5. Discuss some ways the company could estimate the project’s risk, and then explain how risk might be incorporated into the decision analysis.

6. What are real options and why are they important to capital budgeting?

ESTIMATING CASH FLOWS

The most important, but also the most difficult, step in capital budgeting is estimating projects’ cash flows—the investment outlays and the annual net cash flows after a project goes into operation. Many variables are involved, and many individuals and departments participate in the process. For example, the forecasts of unit sales and sales prices are normally made by the marketing group, based on their knowledge of price elasticity, advertising effects, the state of the economy, competitors’ reactions, and trends in consumers’ tastes. Similarly, the capital outlays associated with a new product are generally obtained from the engineering and product development staffs, while operating costs are estimated by cost accountants, production experts, personnel specialists, purchasing agents, and so forth.

A proper analysis includes (1) obtaining information from various departments such as engineering and marketing, (2) ensuring that everyone involved with the forecast uses a consistent set of realistic economic assumptions, and (3) making sure that no biases are inherent in the forecasts. This last point is extremely important, because some managers become emotionally involved with pet projects, and others seek to build empires. Both problems cause cash flow forecast biases which make bad projects look good—on paper.
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What is the most important step in a capital budgeting analysis?

What departments are involved in estimating a project’s cash flows?

IDENTIFYING THE RELEVANT CASH FLOWS

The first step in capital budgeting is to identify the relevant cash flows, defined as the specific set of cash flows that should be considered in the decision at hand. Analysts often make errors in estimating cash flows, but two cardinal rules can help you minimize mistakes: (1) Capital budgeting decisions must be based on cash flows, not accounting income. (2) Only incremental cash flows are relevant.

Free cash flow is the cash flow available for distribution to investors. In a nutshell, the relevant cash flow for a project is the additional free cash flow that the company can expect if it implements the project. It is the cash flow above and beyond what the company could expect if it doesn’t implement the project. The following sections discuss the relevant cash flows in more detail.
Project Cash Flow versus Accounting Income

Free cash flow is calculated as follows:\(^1\)

\[
\text{Free cash flow} = \text{Net operating profit after taxes} + \text{Depreciation} - \text{Gross fixed asset expenditures} - \text{Change in net operating working capital}
\]

\[
= \text{EBIT}(1 - T) + \text{Depreciation} - \text{Gross fixed asset expenditures} - \left[ \Delta \text{ Operating current assets} - \Delta \text{ Operating current liabilities} \right]
\]

Just as a firm’s value depends on its free cash flows, so does the value of a project. We illustrate the estimation of project cash flow later in the chapter with a comprehensive example, but it is important for you to understand that project cash flow differs from accounting income.

Costs of Fixed Assets  Most projects require assets, and asset purchases represent negative cash flows. Even though the acquisition of assets results in a cash outflow, accountants do not show the purchase of fixed assets as a deduction from accounting income. Instead, they deduct a depreciation expense each year throughout the life of the asset.

Note that the full cost of fixed assets includes any shipping and installation costs. When a firm acquires fixed assets, it often must incur substantial costs for shipping and installing the equipment. These charges are added to the price of the equipment when the project’s cost is being determined. Then, the full cost of the equipment, including shipping and installation costs, is used as the depreciable basis when depreciation charges are being calculated. For example, if a company bought a computer with an invoice price of $100,000 and paid another $10,000 for shipping and installation, then the full cost of the computer (and its depreciable basis) would be $110,000. Note too that fixed assets can often be sold at the end of a project’s life. If this is the case, then the after-tax cash proceeds represent a positive cash flow. We will illustrate both depreciation and cash flow from asset sales later in the chapter.

Noncash Charges  In calculating net income, accountants usually subtract depreciation from revenues. So, while accountants do not subtract the purchase price of fixed assets when calculating accounting income, they do subtract a charge each year for depreciation. Depreciation shelters income from taxation, and this has an impact on cash flow, but depreciation itself is not a cash flow. Therefore, depreciation must be added to NOPAT when estimating a project’s cash flow.

Changes in Net Operating Working Capital  Normally, additional inventories are required to support a new operation, and expanded sales tie up additional funds in accounts receivable. However, payables and accruals increase as a result of the expansion, and this reduces the cash needed to finance inventories and

---

\(^1\)Chapter 7 explains the calculation of free cash flow. Note that EBIT stands for earnings before interest and taxes, and it is also called pre-tax operating profit.
receivables. The difference between the required increase in operating current assets and the increase in operating current liabilities is the **change in net operating working capital**. If this change is positive, as it generally is for expansion projects, then additional financing, over and above the cost of the fixed assets, will be needed.

Toward the end of a project’s life, inventories will be used but not replaced, and receivables will be collected without corresponding replacements. As these changes occur, the firm will receive cash inflows, and as a result, the investment in net operating working capital will be returned by the end of the project’s life.

**Interest Expenses Are Not Included in Project Cash Flows**  
Recall from Chapter 12 that we discount a project’s cash flows by its cost of capital, and that the cost of capital is a weighted average (WACC) of the costs of debt, preferred stock, and common equity, adjusted for the project’s risk. This WACC is the rate of return necessary to satisfy all of the firm’s investors, both stockholders and debtholders. A common mistake made by many students and financial managers is to subtract interest payments when estimating a project’s cash flows. This is a mistake because the cost of debt is already embedded in the WACC, so subtracting interest payments from the project’s cash flows would amount to double counting interest costs.

If someone subtracted interest (or interest plus principal payments) from the project’s cash flows, then they would be calculating the cash flows available to the equity holders, and these cash flows should be discounted at the cost of equity. This technique can give the correct answer, but in order for it to work you must be very careful to adjust the amount of debt outstanding each year in order to keep the riskiness of the equity cash flows constant. This process is very complicated, and we do not recommend it. Here is one final caution: If someone subtracts interest, then it is definitely wrong to discount the resulting cash flows by the WACC, and no amount of care can correct that error.

Note that this differs from the procedures used to calculate accounting income. Accountants measure the profit available for stockholders, so interest expenses are subtracted. However, project cash flow is the cash flow available for all investors, bondholders as well as stockholders, so interest expenses are not subtracted. This is completely analogous to the procedures used in the corporate valuation model of Chapter 11, where the company’s free cash flows are discounted at the WACC. **Therefore, you should not subtract interest expenses when finding a project’s cash flows.**

**Incremental Cash Flows**

In evaluating a project, we focus on those cash flows that occur if and only if we accept the project. These cash flows, called **incremental cash flows**, represent the change in the firm’s total cash flow that occurs as a direct result of accepting the project. Three special problems in determining incremental cash flows are discussed next.

**Sunk Costs**  
A **sunk cost** is an outlay that has already occurred, hence is not affected by the decision under consideration. Since sunk costs are not incremental costs, they should not be included in the analysis. To illustrate, in 2006, Northeast BankCorp was considering whether to establish a branch office in a newly developed section of Boston. To help with its evaluation, Northeast had, back in 2005,
hired a consulting firm to perform a site analysis; the cost was $100,000, and this
amount was expensed for tax purposes in 2005. Is this 2005 expenditure a relevant
cost with respect to the 2006 capital budgeting decision? The answer is no—
the $100,000 is a *sunk cost*, and it will not affect Northeast's future cash flows
regardless of whether or not the new branch is built. It often turns out that a par-
ticular project has a negative NPV if all the associated costs, including sunk costs,
are considered. However, on an incremental basis, the project may be a good one
because the *future incremental cash flows* are large enough to produce a positive
NPV on the *incremental investment*.

**Opportunity Costs** A second potential problem relates to *opportunity costs*,
which are cash flows that could be generated from an asset the firm already owns
if it is not used for the project in question. To illustrate, Northeast BankCorp
already owns a piece of land that is suitable for the branch location. When evalu-
ating the prospective branch, should the cost of the land be disregarded because
no additional cash outlay would be required? The answer is no, because there is
an *opportunity cost* inherent in the use of the property. In this case, the land could
be sold to yield $150,000 after taxes. Use of the site for the branch would require
forgoing this inflow, so the $150,000 must be charged as an opportunity cost
against the project. Note that the proper land cost in this example is the $150,000
market-determined value, irrespective of whether Northeast originally paid
$50,000 or $500,000 for the property. (What Northeast paid would, of course,
have an effect on taxes, hence on the after-tax opportunity cost.)

**Effects on Other Parts of the Firm: Externalities** The third potential prob-
lem involves the effects of a project on other parts of the firm, which economists
call *externalities*. For example, some of Northeast’s customers who would use the
new branch are already banking with Northeast’s downtown office. The loans and
deposits, hence profits, generated by these customers would not be new to the
bank; rather, they would represent a transfer from the main office to the branch.
Thus, the net income produced by these customers should not be treated as incre-
mental income in the capital budgeting decision. On the other hand, having a sub-
urban branch would help the bank attract new business to its downtown office,
because some people like to be able to bank both close to home and close to work.
In this case, the additional income that would actually flow to the downtown office
should be attributed to the branch. Although they are often difficult to quantify,*externalities* (which can be either positive or negative) should be considered.

When a new project takes sales from an existing product, this is often called
*cannibalization*. Naturally, firms do not like to cannibalize their existing products,
but it often turns out that if they do not, someone else will. To illustrate, IBM for
years refused to provide full support for its PC division because it did not want to
steal sales from its highly profitable mainframe business. That turned out to be a
huge strategic error, because it allowed Intel, Microsoft, Dell, and others to become
dominant forces in the computer industry. Therefore, when considering externali-
ties, the full implications of the proposed new project should be taken into account.

A few young firms, including Dell Computer, have been successful selling their
products only over the Internet. Many firms, however, had established retail chan-
nels long before the Internet became a reality. For these firms, the decision to begin
selling directly to consumers over the Internet is not a simple one. For example,
Nautica Enterprises Inc. is an international company that designs, sources, markets,
and distributes sportswear. Nautica sells its products to traditional retailers such as
Saks Fifth Avenue and Parisian, who then sell to consumers. If Nautica opens its
own online Internet store, it could potentially increase its profit margin by avoiding the substantial markup added by dealers. However, Internet sales would probably cannibalize sales through its retailer network. Even worse, retailers might react adversely to Nautica’s Internet sales by redirecting the marketing effort and display space they now provide Nautica to other brands that do not compete over the Internet. Nautica, and many other producers, must determine whether the new profits from Internet sales will compensate for lost profits from existing channels. Thus far, Nautica has decided to stay with its traditional retailers.

Rather than focusing narrowly on the project at hand, analysts must anticipate the project’s impact on the rest of the firm, which requires imagination and creative thinking. As the IBM and Nautica examples illustrate, it is critical to identify and account for all externalities when evaluating a proposed project.

**Replacement Projects** If a project involves replacing existing assets with new ones, then we must estimate cash flows on an incremental basis. For example, suppose a more efficient machine would cost $100,000, but it would lead to increased output, higher sales, and lower costs. Moreover, the firm would receive $40,000 after taxes for the old machine, reducing the incremental investment to $60,000. The new machine would result in sales of $40,000 per year versus old sales of $25,000, so the incremental revenue would be $15,000, and the new costs would be $10,000 versus old costs of $15,000. Thus, the incremental costs would be $5,000, which means a saving. Finally, the old machine was being depreciated at the rate of $8,000 per year, but the new machine would have $20,000 of annual depreciation, so the incremental depreciation would be $12,000. Based on these figures, and assuming the new and old machines both have a life of five years, the incremental cash flows are given in Table 13-1.

If we looked only at the cash flows for the replacement machine without considering the old machine, then the NPV would be negative and the IRR less than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 13-1 Incremental Cash Flows and Project Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Revenues and Costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxable income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add back depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC = 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life = 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the WACC. However, if we properly considered the incremental cash flows, then we would see that the NPV on the incremental investment is positive, so the old machine should be replaced. The example demonstrates the fundamentals of a replacement analysis and the importance of focusing on incremental cash flows.

Timing of Cash Flows

We must account properly for the timing of cash flows. Accounting income statements are for periods such as years or months, so they do not reflect exactly when during the period cash revenues or expenses occur. Because of the time value of money, capital budgeting cash flows should in theory be analyzed exactly as they occur. Of course, there must be a compromise between accuracy and feasibility. A time line with daily cash flows would in theory be most accurate, but daily cash flow estimates would be costly to construct, unwieldy to use, and probably no more accurate than annual cash flow estimates because we simply cannot forecast well enough to warrant this degree of detail. Therefore, in most cases, we simply assume that all cash flows occur at the end of every year. However, for some projects, it may be useful to assume that cash flows occur at mid-year, or even quarterly or monthly.

Self-Test Questions

Why should companies use project cash flow rather than accounting income when finding the NPV of a project?

How do shipping and installation costs affect the depreciable basis?

What is the most common noncash charge that must be added back when finding project cash flows?

What is net operating working capital, and how does it affect a project’s cash flows in capital budgeting?

Explain the following terms: incremental cash flow, sunk cost, opportunity cost, externality, and cannibalization.

TAX EFFECTS

Taxes have a major effect on cash flows, and in many cases tax effects will make or break a project. Therefore, it is critical that taxes be dealt with correctly. Our tax laws are extremely complex, and they are subject to interpretation and to change. You can get assistance from your firm’s accountants and tax lawyers, but even so, you should have a working knowledge of the current tax laws and their effects on cash flows.

An Overview of Depreciation

Suppose a firm buys a milling machine for $100,000 and uses it for five years, after which it is scrapped. The cost of the goods produced by the machine must include a charge for the machine, and this charge is called depreciation. In the following sections, we review some of the depreciation concepts covered in accounting courses.

Companies often calculate depreciation one way when figuring taxes and another way when reporting income to investors: many use the straight-line method for stockholder reporting (or “book” purposes), but they use the fastest rate permitted by law for tax purposes. Under the straight-line method used for
stockholder reporting, one normally takes the cost of the asset, subtracts its estimated salvage value, and divides the net amount by the asset’s useful economic life. For an asset with a five-year life that costs $100,000 and has a $12,500 salvage value, the annual straight-line depreciation charge is \( \frac{($100,000 - $12,500)}{5} = $17,500 \). Note, however, as we discuss later, salvage value is not considered for tax depreciation purposes.

For tax purposes, Congress changes the permissible tax depreciation methods from time to time. Prior to 1954, the straight-line method was required for tax purposes, but in 1954 accelerated methods (double-declining balance and sum-of-years’-digits) were permitted. Then, in 1981, the old accelerated methods were replaced by a simpler procedure known as the Accelerated Cost Recovery System (ACRS). The ACRS system was changed again in 1986 as a part of the Tax Reform Act, and it is now known as the Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS); a 1993 tax law made further changes in this area.

Note that U.S. tax laws are very complicated, and in this text we can only provide an overview of MACRS designed to give you a basic understanding of the impact of depreciation on capital budgeting decisions. Further, the tax laws change so often that the numbers we present may be outdated before the book is even published. Thus, when dealing with tax depreciation in real-world situations, current Internal Revenue Service (IRS) publications or individuals with expertise in tax matters should be consulted.

### Tax Depreciation Life

For tax purposes, the entire cost of an asset is expensed over its **depreciable life**. Historically, an asset’s depreciable life was set equal to its estimated useful economic life; it was intended that an asset would be fully depreciated at approximately the same time that it reached the end of its useful economic life. However, MACRS totally abandoned that practice and set simple guidelines that created several classes of assets, each with a more-or-less arbitrarily prescribed life called a **recovery period** or **class life**. The MACRS class lives bear only a rough relationship to assets’ expected useful economic lives.

A major effect of the MACRS system has been to shorten the depreciable lives of assets, thus giving businesses larger tax deductions early in the assets’ lives, and thereby increasing the present value of the cash flows. Table 13-2 describes the types of property that fit into the different class life groups, and Table 13-3 sets forth the MACRS recovery allowance percentages (depreciation rates) for selected classes of investment property.

Consider Table 13-2, which gives the MACRS class life and the types of assets that fall into each category. Property in the 27.5- and 39-year categories (real estate) must be depreciated by the straight-line method, but 3-, 5-, 7-, and 10-year property (personal property) can be depreciated either by the accelerated method set forth in Table 13-3 or by the straight-line method.  

---

2As a benefit to very small companies, the Tax Code currently (2005) permits companies to **expense**, which is equivalent to depreciating over one year, up to $102,000 of equipment; see IRS Publication 946 for details. Thus, if a small company bought one asset worth up to $102,000, it could write the asset off in the year it was acquired. This is called “Section 179 expensing.” We shall disregard this provision throughout the book. Also, Congress enacted the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 following the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon. This act, among other things, temporarily changed how depreciation is charged for property acquired after September 10, 2001, and before September 11, 2004, and put in service before January 1, 2005. We shall disregard this provision throughout the book as well.
As we saw earlier in the chapter, higher depreciation expenses result in lower taxes in the early years, hence a higher present value of cash flows. Therefore, since a firm has the choice of using straight-line rates or the accelerated rates shown in Table 13-3, most elect to use the accelerated rates.

The yearly recovery allowance, or depreciation expense, is determined by multiplying each asset’s depreciable basis by the applicable recovery percentage shown in Table 13-3. Calculations are discussed in the following sections.

### Half-Year Convention

Under MACRS, the assumption is generally made that property is placed in service in the middle of the first year. Thus, for 3-year class life property, the recovery period begins in the middle of the year the asset is placed in service and ends three years later. The effect of the half-year convention is to extend the recovery period out one more year, so 3-year class life property is depreciated over four calendar years, 5-year property is depreciated over six calendar years, and so on. This convention is incorporated into Table 13-3’s recovery allowance percentages.³

### Depreciable Basis

The depreciable basis is a critical element of MACRS because each year’s allowance (depreciation expense) depends jointly on the asset’s depreciable basis and its MACRS class life. The depreciable basis under MACRS is equal to the purchase price of the asset plus any shipping and installation costs. The basis is not adjusted for salvage value (which is the estimated market value of the asset at the end of its useful life) regardless of whether accelerated or straight-line depreciation is taken.

### Sale of a Depreciable Asset

If a depreciable asset is sold, the sale price (actual salvage value) minus the then-existing undepreciated book value is added to operating income and taxed at the firm’s marginal tax rate. For example, suppose a firm buys a 5-year class life asset for $100,000 and sells it at the end of the

---

³The half-year convention also applies if the straight-line alternative is used, with half of one year’s depreciation taken in the first year, a full year’s depreciation taken in each of the remaining years of the asset’s class life, and the remaining half-year’s depreciation taken in the year following the end of the class life. You should recognize that virtually all companies have computerized depreciation systems. Each asset’s depreciation pattern is programmed into the system at the time of its acquisition, and the computer aggregates the depreciation allowances for all assets when the accountants close the books and prepare financial statements and tax returns.
fourth year for $25,000. The asset’s book value is equal to $100,000(0.11 + 0.06) = $100,000(0.17) = $17,000. Therefore, $25,000 − $17,000 = $8,000 is added to the firm’s operating income and is taxed.

**Depreciation Illustration** Assume that Stango Food Products buys a $150,000 machine that falls into the MACRS 5-year class life and places it into service on October 15, 2007. Stango must pay an additional $30,000 for delivery and installation. Salvage value is not considered, so the machine’s depreciable basis is $180,000. (Delivery and installation charges are included in the depreciable basis rather than expensed in the year incurred.) Each year’s recovery allowance (tax depreciation expense) is determined by multiplying the depreciable basis by the applicable recovery allowance percentage. Thus, the depreciation expense for 2007 is 0.20($180,000) = $36,000, and for 2008 it is

### Table 13-3 Recovery Allowance Percentage for Personal Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership Year</th>
<th>3-Year</th>
<th>5-Year</th>
<th>7-Year</th>
<th>10-Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

a. We developed these recovery allowance percentages based on the 200 percent declining balance method prescribed by MACRS, with a switch to straight-line depreciation at some point in the asset’s life. For example, consider the 5-year recovery allowance percentages. The straight-line percentage would be 20 percent per year, so the 200 percent declining balance multiplier is 2.0(20%) = 40% = 0.4. However, because the half-year convention applies, the MACRS percentage for Year 1 is 20 percent. For Year 2, there is 80 percent of the depreciable basis remaining to be depreciated, so the recovery allowance percentage is 0.40(80%) = 32%. In Year 3, 20% + 32% = 52% of the depreciation has been taken, leaving 48%, so the percentage is 0.4(48%) = 19%. In Year 4, the percentage is 0.4(29%) = 12%. After 4 years, straight-line depreciation exceeds the declining balance depreciation, so a switch is made to straight-line (this is permitted under the law). However, the half-year convention must also be applied at the end of the class life, and the remaining 17 percent of depreciation must be taken (amortized) over 1.5 years. Thus, the percentage in Year 5 is 17%/1.5 = 11%, and in Year 6, 17% − 11% = 6%. Although the tax tables carry the allowance percentages out to two decimal places, we have rounded to the nearest whole number for ease of illustration.

b. Residential rental property (apartments) is depreciated over a 27.5-year life, whereas commercial and industrial structures are depreciated over 39 years. In both cases, straight-line depreciation must be used. The depreciation allowance for the first year is based, pro rata, on the month the asset was placed in service, with the remainder of the first year’s depreciation being taken in the 28th or 40th year. A half-month convention is assumed; that is, an asset placed in service in February would receive 10.5 months of depreciation in the first year.
0.32(\$180,000) = \$57,600. Similarly, the depreciation expense is \$34,200 for 2009, \$21,600 for 2010, \$19,800 for 2011, and \$10,800 for 2012. The total depreciation expense over the six-year recovery period is \$180,000, which is equal to the depreciable basis of the machine.

As noted above, most firms use straight-line depreciation for stockholder reporting purposes but MACRS for tax purposes. In this case, for capital budgeting purposes MACRS should be used. In capital budgeting, we are concerned with cash flows, not reported income. Because MACRS depreciation is used for taxes, this type of depreciation must be used to determine the taxes that will be assessed against a particular project. Only if the depreciation method used for tax purposes is also used for capital budgeting analysis will we obtain an accurate cash flow estimate.

What do the acronyms ACRS and MACRS stand for?
Briefly describe the tax depreciation system under MACRS.
How does the sale of a depreciable asset affect a firm’s cash flows?

EVALUATING CAPITAL BUDGETING PROJECTS

Up to now, we have discussed several important aspects of cash flow analysis, but we have not seen how they affect capital budgeting decisions. Conceptually, capital budgeting is straightforward: A potential project creates value for the firm’s shareholders if and only if the net present value of the incremental cash flows from the project is positive. In practice, however, estimating these cash flows can be difficult.

Incremental cash flows are affected by whether the project is an expansion project or replacement project. A new expansion project is defined as one where the firm invests in new assets to increase sales. Here the incremental cash flows are simply the project’s cash inflows and outflows. In effect, the company is comparing what its value would be with and without the proposed project. By contrast, a replacement project occurs when the firm replaces an existing asset with a new one. In this case, the incremental cash flows are the firm’s additional inflows and outflows that result from investing in the new project. In a replacement analysis, the company is comparing its value if it takes on the new project to its value if it continues to use the existing asset.

Despite these differences, the basic principles for evaluating expansion and replacement projects are the same. In each case, the cash flows typically include the following items:

1. Initial investment outlay. This includes the cost of the fixed assets associated with the project plus any initial investment in net operating working capital (NOWC), such as raw materials.
2. Annual project cash flow. The operating cash flow is the net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) plus depreciation. Recall (a) that depreciation is added back because it is a noncash expense and (b) that financing costs (including interest expenses) are not subtracted because they are accounted for when the cash flow is discounted at the cost of capital. In addition, many projects have levels of NOWC that change during the project’s life. For example, as sales increase, more NOWC is required, and as sales fall, less NOWC is needed. The cash flows associated with annual increases or reductions in NOWC must be included when calculating the project’s annual cash flow.
3. **Terminal year cash flow.** At the end of the project’s life, some extra cash flow is usually generated from the salvage value of the fixed assets, adjusted for taxes if the assets are not sold at their book value. Any return of net operating working capital not already accounted for in the annual cash flow must also be added to the terminal year cash flow.

The classification of cash flows isn’t always as distinct as we have indicated. For example, in some projects the acquisition of fixed assets is phased in throughout the project’s life, and for other projects some fixed assets are sold off at times other than the terminal year. The important thing to remember is to include all cash flows in your analysis, no matter how you classify them.

For each year of the project’s life, the net cash flow is determined as the sum of the cash flows from each of the categories. These annual net cash flows are then plotted on a time line and used to calculate the project’s NPV and IRR.

We will illustrate the principles of capital budgeting analysis by examining a new project being considered by Regency Integrated Chips (RIC), a large Nashville-based technology company. RIC’s research and development department has been applying its expertise in microprocessor technology to develop a small computer designed to control home appliances. Once programmed, the computer will automatically control the heating and air-conditioning systems, security system, hot water heater, and even small appliances such as a coffee maker. By increasing a home’s energy efficiency, the computer can cut costs enough to pay for itself within a few years. Development has now reached the stage where a decision must be made about whether or not to go forward with full-scale production.

RIC’s marketing vice president believes that annual sales would be 20,000 units if the units were priced at $3,000 each, so annual sales are estimated at $60 million. RIC expects no growth in unit sales, and it believes that the unit price will rise by 2 percent each year. The engineering department has reported that the project will require additional manufacturing space, and RIC currently has an option to purchase an existing building, at a cost of $12 million, which would meet this need. The building would be bought and paid for on December 31, 2007, and for depreciation purposes it would fall into the MACRS 39-year class.

The necessary equipment would be purchased and installed in late 2007, and it would also be paid for on December 31, 2007. The equipment would fall into the MACRS 5-year class, and it would cost $8 million, including transportation and installation.

The project’s estimated economic life is four years. At the end of that time, the building is expected to have a market value of $7.5 million and a book value of $10.908 million, whereas the equipment would have a market value of $2 million and a book value of $1.36 million.

The production department has estimated that variable manufacturing costs would be $2,100 per unit, and that fixed overhead costs, excluding depreciation, would be $8 million a year. They expect variable costs to rise by 2 percent per year, and fixed costs to rise by 1 percent per year. Depreciation expenses would be determined in accordance with MACRS rates.

RIC’s marginal federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent; its cost of capital is 12 percent; and, for capital budgeting purposes, the company’s policy is to assume that operating cash flows occur at the end of each year. Because the plant would begin operations on January 1, 2008, the first operating cash flows would occur on December 31, 2008.

Several other points should be noted: (1) RIC is a relatively large corporation, with sales of more than $4 billion, and it takes on many investments each year.
Thus, if the computer control project does not work out, it will not bankrupt the company—management can afford to take a chance on the computer control project. (2) If the project is accepted, the company will be contractually obligated to operate it for its full four-year life. Management must make this commitment to its component suppliers. (3) Returns on this project would be positively correlated with returns on RIC's other projects and also with the stock market—the project should do well if other parts of the firm and the general economy are strong.

Assume that you have been assigned to conduct the capital budgeting analysis. For now, assume that the project has the same risk as an average project, and use the corporate weighted average cost of capital, 12 percent.

Analysis of the Cash Flows

Capital projects can be analyzed using a calculator, paper and a pencil, or a spreadsheet program such as Excel. Either way, you must set up the analysis as shown in Table 13-4 and go through the steps outlined in Parts 1 through 5 of the table. For exam purposes, you will probably have to work problems with a calculator. However, for reasons that will become obvious as you go through the chapter, in practice spreadsheets are virtually always used. Still, the steps involved in a capital budgeting analysis are the same whether you use a calculator or a computer.

Table 13-4, a printout from the file IFM9 Ch13 Tool Kit.xls, is divided into five parts: (1) Input Data, (2) Depreciation Schedule, (3) Net Salvage Values, (4) Projected Net Cash Flows, and (5) Key Output. There are also two extensions, Parts 6 and 7, that deal with risk analysis and that we will discuss later in the chapter when we cover sensitivity and scenario analyses. Note also that the table shows row and column indicators, so cells in the table have designations such as “Cell D77,” which is the location of the cost of the building, found in Part 1, Input Data. The first row shown is Row 75; the previous rows contain information about the model that we omitted from the text. Finally, the numbers in the printed table are rounded from the actual numbers in the spreadsheet.

Part 1, the Input Data section, provides the basic data used in the analysis. The inputs are really “assumptions”—thus, in the analysis we assume that 20,000 units can be sold at a price of $3 per unit. Some of the inputs are known with near certainty—for example, the 40 percent tax rate is not likely to change. Others are more speculative—units sold and the variable cost percentage are in this category. Obviously, if sales or costs are different from the assumed levels, then profits and cash flows, hence NPV and IRR, will differ from their projected levels. Later in the chapter, we discuss how changes in the inputs affect the results.

Part 2, which calculates depreciation over the project’s four-year life, is divided into two sections, one for the building and one for the equipment. The first row in each section (Rows 88 and 92) gives the yearly depreciation rates as taken from Table 13-3. The second row in each section (Rows 89 and 93) gives the dollars of depreciation, found as the rate times the asset’s depreciable basis, which, in this example, is the initial cost. The third row (Rows 90 and 94) shows the book value at the end of Year 4, found by subtracting the accumulated depreciation from the depreciable basis.

Part 3 estimates the cash flows the firm will realize when it disposes of the assets. Row 101 shows the salvage value, which is the sales price the company

---

4Recall that the sales price is actually $3,000, but for convenience we show all dollars in thousands.
expects to receive when it sells the assets four years hence. Row 102 shows the book values at the end of Year 4; these values were calculated in Part 2. Row 103 shows the expected gain or loss, defined as the difference between the sale price and the book value. As explained in notes c and d to Table 13-4, gains and losses are treated as ordinary income, not capital gains or losses. Therefore, gains result in tax liabilities, and losses produce tax credits, that are equal to the gain or loss times the 40 percent tax rate. Taxes paid and tax credits are shown in Row 104. Row 105 shows the after-tax cash flow the company expects when it disposes of

---

Table 13-4  
Analysis of a New (Expansion) Project: Parts 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Part 1. Input Data (in thousands of dollars)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Key Output: NPV = $5,809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Building cost (Depreciable basis)</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Equipment cost (Depreciable basis)</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Market value of building in 2011</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Net Operating WC/Sales</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Market value of equip. in 2011</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>First year sales (in units)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Tax rate</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Growth rate in units sold</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>WACC</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Sales price per unit</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>Inflation: growth in sales price</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Variable cost per unit</td>
<td>$2.10</td>
<td>Inflation: growth in VC per unit</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Fixed costs</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Inflation: growth in fixed costs</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Part 2. Depreciation Schedulea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Cumulative Depr’n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Building Depr’n Rate</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Building Depr’n</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td>$312</td>
<td>$312</td>
<td>$312</td>
<td>$1,092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Ending Book Val: Cost – Cum. Depr’n</td>
<td>11,844</td>
<td>11,532</td>
<td>11,220</td>
<td>$10,908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Equipment Depr’n Rate</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Equipment Depr’n</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>$2,560</td>
<td>$1,520</td>
<td>$960</td>
<td>$6,640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Ending Book Val: Cost – Cum. Depr’n</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>3,840</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td>$1,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>aThe depreciation rates are multiplied by the depreciable basis ($12,000 for the building and $8,000 for the equipment) to determine the yearly depreciation expense. The correct depreciation percentages for the building depend upon the month that the building is put in service. Because this analysis assumes that all cash flows occur at the end of the year, and to prevent unnecessary complexity, we have rounded the depreciation percentages for the building. See the Tab named Depreciation for more details.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Note again that if an asset is sold for exactly its book value, there will be no gain or loss, hence no tax liability or credit. However, if an asset is sold for other than its book value, a gain or loss will be created. For example, RIC’s building will have a book value of $10,908, but the company expects to realize only $7,500 when it is sold. This would result in a loss of $3,408. This indicates that the building should have been depreciated at a faster rate—only if depreciation had been $3,408 larger would the book and market values have been equal. So, the Tax Code stipulates that losses on the sale of operating assets can be used to reduce ordinary income, just as depreciation reduces income. On the other hand, if an asset is sold for more than its book value, as is the case for the equipment, then this signifies that the depreciation rates were too high, so the gain is called “depreciation recapture” by the IRS and is taxed as ordinary income.
the asset, found as the expected sale price minus the tax liability or plus the credit. Thus, the firm expects to net $8,863 from the sale of the building and $1,744 from the equipment, for a total of $10,607.

Next, in Part 4, we use the information developed in Parts 1, 2, and 3 to find the projected cash flows over the project’s life. Five periods are shown, from Year 0 (2007) to Year 4 (2011). The cash outlays required at Year 0 are the negative numbers in Column E for 2007, and their sum, −$26,000, is shown at the bottom in cell E149. Then, in the next four columns, we calculate the operating cash flows. We begin with sales revenues, found as the product of units sold and the sales price. Next, we subtract variable costs, which were assumed to be $2.10 per unit. We then deduct fixed operating costs and depreciation to obtain taxable operating income, or EBIT. When taxes (at a 40 percent rate) are subtracted, we are left with net operating profit after taxes, or NOPAT. Note, though, that we are seeking cash flows, not accounting income. Thus, depreciation must be added back.

RIC must purchase raw materials and replenish them each year as they are used. In Part 1 we assume that RIC must have an amount of NOWC on hand equal to 10 percent of the upcoming year’s sales. For example, sales in Year 1 are $60,000, so RIC must have $6,000 in NOWC at Year 0, as shown in Cell E141. Because RIC had no NOWC prior to Year 0, it must make a $6,000 investment in NOWC at Year 0, as shown in Cell E142. Sales increase to $61,200 in Year 2, so RIC must have $6,120 of NOWC at Year 1. Because it already had $6,000 in NOWC on hand, its net investment at Year 1 is just $120, shown in Cell F142. Note that RIC will have no sales after Year 4, so it will require no NOWC at

---

**Table 13-4 Analysis of a New (Expansion) Project: Part 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Estimated Market Value in 2011</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Book Value in 2011</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Expected Gain or Loss</td>
<td>−3,408</td>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Taxes paid or tax credit</td>
<td>−1,363</td>
<td>256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Net cash flow from salvage</td>
<td>$8,863</td>
<td>$1,744</td>
<td>$10,607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Book value equals depreciable basis (initial cost in this case) minus accumulated MACRS depreciation. For the building, accumulated depreciation equals $1,092, so book value equals $12,000 − $1,092 = $10,908. For the equipment, accumulated depreciation equals $6,640, so book value equals $8,000 − $6,640 = $1,360.

*Building: $7,500 market value − $10,908 book value = −$3,408, a loss. This represents a shortfall in depreciation taken versus “true” depreciation, and it is treated as an operating expense for 2011. Equipment: $2,000 market value − $1,360 book value = $640 profit. Here the depreciation charge exceeds the “true” depreciation, and the difference is called “depreciation recapture.” It is taxed as ordinary income in 2011.

*The actual book value at the time of disposition depends on the month of disposition. We have simplified the analysis and assumed that there will be a full year of depreciation in 2011.

*Net cash flow from salvage equals salvage (market) value minus taxes. For the building, the loss results in a tax credit, so net salvage value = $7,500 − (−$1,363) = $8,863.
Year 4. Thus, it has a positive cash flow of $6,367 at Year 4 as working capital is sold but not replaced.

When the project’s life ends, the company will receive the “Salvage Cash Flows” as shown in the column for Year 4 in the lower part of the table. When the company disposes of the building and equipment at the end of Year 4, it will receive cash as estimated back in Part 3 of the table. Thus, the total salvage cash flow amounts to $10,607 as shown in Row 147. When we sum the subtotals in Part 4, we obtain the net cash flows shown in Row 149. Those cash flows constitute a cash flow time line, and they are then evaluated in Part 5 of Table 13-4.
Making the Decision

Part 5 of the table shows the standard evaluation criteria—NPV, IRR, MIRR, and payback—based on the cash flows shown in Row 149. The NPV is positive, the IRR and MIRR both exceed the 12 percent cost of capital, and the payback indicates that the project will return the invested funds in 3.22 years. Therefore, on the basis of the analysis thus far, it appears that the project should be accepted. Note, though, that we have been assuming that the project is about as risky as the company’s average project. If the project were judged to be riskier than average, it would be necessary to increase the cost of capital, which might cause the NPV to become negative and leave the IRR and MIRR below the new WACC. Therefore, we cannot make a final decision until we evaluate the project’s risk, the topic of a later section.

Self-Test Question

What three types of cash flows must be considered when evaluating a proposed project?

ADJUSTING FOR INFLATION

Inflation is a fact of life in the United States and most other nations, so it must be considered in any sound capital budgeting analysis.

Inflation-Induced Bias

Note that in the absence of inflation, the real rate, \( r_r \), would be equal to the nominal rate, \( r_n \). Moreover, the real and nominal expected net cash flows—RCF and NCF—would also be equal. Remember that real interest rates and cash flows do not include inflation effects, while nominal rates and flows do reflect the effects of inflation. In particular, an inflation premium, IP, is built into all nominal market interest rates.
Suppose the expected rate of inflation is positive, and we expect all of the project’s cash flows—including those related to depreciation—to rise at the rate \( i \). Further, assume that this same inflation rate, \( i \), is built into the market cost of capital as an inflation premium, \( IP = i \). In this situation, the nominal net cash flow, \( NCF_t \), will increase annually at the rate of \( i \) percent, producing this result:

\[
NCF_t = RCF_t (1 + i)^t
\]

For example, if we expected a net cash flow of $100 in Year 5 in the absence of inflation, then with a 5 percent annual rate of inflation, \( NCF_5 = 100(1.05)^5 = $127.63 \).

In general, the cost of capital used as the discount rate in capital budgeting analysis is based on the market-determined costs of debt and equity, so it is a nominal rate. To convert a real interest rate, \( r_r \), to a nominal rate, \( r_n \), when the inflation rate is \( i \), we use this formula:

\[
(1 + r_n) = (1 + r_r)(1 + i)
\]

For example, if the real cost of capital is 7 percent and the inflation rate is 5 percent, then \( 1 + r_n = (1.07)(1.05) = 1.1235 \), so \( r_n = 12.35\% \).

Now if net cash flows increase at the rate of \( i \) percent per year, and if this same inflation premium is built into the firm’s cost of capital, then the NPV would be calculated as follows:

\[
\text{NPV (with inflation)} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{NCF_t}{(1 + r_n)^t} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{RCF_t(1 + i)^t}{(1 + r_r)^t(1 + i)^t}
\]

Since the \((1 + i)^t\) terms in the numerator and denominator cancel, we are left with

\[
\text{NPV} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{RCF_t}{(1 + r_r)^t}
\]

Thus, if all costs and also the sales price, hence annual cash flows, are expected to rise at the same inflation rate that investors have built into the cost of capital, then the inflation-adjusted NPV as determined using Equation 13-1 is the same whether you discount nominal cash flows at a nominal rate or real cash flows at a real rate. For example, the PV of a real $100 at Year 5 at a real rate of 7 percent is $71.30 = $100/(1.07)^5. The PV of a nominal $127.63 at Year 5 at a nominal rate of 12.35 percent is also $71.30 = $127.63/(1.1235)^5.

However, some analysts mistakenly use base year, or constant (unadjusted), dollars throughout the analysis—say, 2007 dollars if the analysis is done in 2007—along with a cost of capital as determined in the marketplace as we described in Chapter 10. This is wrong: If the cost of capital includes an inflation premium, as it typically does, but the cash flows are all stated in constant (unadjusted) dollars, then the calculated NPV will be lower than the true NPV. The denominator will reflect inflation, but the numerator will not, and this will produce a downward-biased NPV.

---

6To focus on inflation effects, we have simplified the situation somewhat. The actual project cost of capital is made up of debt and equity components, both of which are affected by inflation, but only the debt component is adjusted for tax effects. Thus, the relationship between nominal and real costs of capital is more complex than indicated in our discussion here.
Making the Inflation Adjustment

There are two ways to adjust for inflation. First, all project cash flows can be expressed as real (unadjusted) flows, with no consideration of inflation, and then the cost of capital can be adjusted to a real rate by removing the inflation premiums from the component costs. This approach is simple in theory, but to produce an unbiased NPV it requires (1) that all project cash flows, including depreciation, be affected identically by inflation, and (2) that this rate of increase equals the inflation rate built into investors’ required returns. Because these assumptions do not necessarily hold in practice, this method is not commonly used.

The second method involves leaving the cost of capital in its nominal form, and then adjusting the individual cash flows to reflect expected inflation. This is what we did earlier in our RIC example as summarized in Table 13-4. There we assumed that sales prices and variable costs would increase at a rate of 2 percent per year, fixed costs would increase by 1 percent per year, and that depreciation charges would not be affected by inflation. One should always build inflation into the cash flow analysis, with the specific adjustment reflecting as accurately as possible the most likely set of circumstances. With a spreadsheet, it is easy to make the adjustments.

Our conclusions about inflation may be summarized as follows. First, inflation is critically important, for it can and does have major effects on businesses. Therefore, it must be recognized and dealt with. Second, the most effective way of dealing with inflation in capital budgeting analyses is to build inflation estimates into each cash flow element, using the best available information on how each element will be affected. Third, since we cannot estimate future inflation rates with precision, errors are bound to be made. Thus, inflation adds to the uncertainty, or riskiness, of capital budgeting as well as to its complexity.

What is the best way of handling inflation, and how does this procedure eliminate the potential bias?

Self-Test Question

PROJECT RISK ANALYSIS: TECHNIQUES FOR MEASURING STAND-ALONE RISK

Recall from Chapter 10 that there are three distinct types of risk: stand-alone risk, corporate risk, and market risk. Why should a project’s stand-alone risk be important to anyone? In theory, this type of risk should be of little or no concern. However, it is actually of great importance for two reasons:

1. It is easier to estimate a project’s stand-alone risk than its corporate risk, and it is far easier to measure stand-alone risk than market risk.
2. In the vast majority of cases, all three types of risk are highly correlated—if the general economy does well, so will the firm, and if the firm does well, so will most of its projects. Because of this high correlation, stand-alone risk is generally a good proxy for hard-to-measure corporate and market risk.

The starting point for analyzing a project’s stand-alone risk involves determining the uncertainty inherent in its cash flows. To illustrate what is involved, consider again Regency Integrated Chips’ appliance control computer project that we discussed above. Many of the key inputs shown in Part 1 of Table 13-4 are subject to uncertainty. For example, sales were projected at 20,000 units to be sold at a net price of $3,000 per unit. However, actual unit sales will almost certainly be
somewhat higher or lower than 20,000, and the sales price will probably turn out to be different from the projected $3,000 per unit. In effect, the sales quantity and price estimates are really expected values based on probability distributions, as are many of the other values that were shown in Part 1 of Table 13-4. The distributions could be relatively “tight,” reflecting small standard deviations and low risk, or they could be “wide,” denoting a great deal of uncertainty about the actual value of the variable in question and thus a high degree of stand-alone risk.

The nature of the individual cash flow distributions, and their correlations with one another, determine the nature of the NPV probability distribution and, thus, the project’s stand-alone risk. In the following sections, we discuss three techniques for assessing a project’s stand-alone risk: (1) sensitivity analysis, (2) scenario analysis, and (3) Monte Carlo simulation.

Sensitivity Analysis

Intuitively, we know that many of the variables that determine a project’s cash flows could turn out to be different from the values used in the analysis. We also know that a change in a key input variable, such as units sold, will cause the NPV to change. Sensitivity analysis is a technique that indicates how much NPV will change in response to a given change in an input variable, other things held constant.

Sensitivity analysis begins with a base-case situation, which is developed using the expected values for each input. To illustrate, consider the data given back in Table 13-4, where projected cash flows for RIC’s computer project were shown. The values used to develop the table, including unit sales, sales price, fixed costs, and variable costs, are all most likely, or base-case, values, and the resulting $5.809 million NPV shown in Table 13-4 is called the base-case NPV. Now we ask a series of “what if” questions: “What if unit sales fall 15 percent below the most likely level?” “What if the sales price per unit falls?” “What if variable costs are $2.50 per unit rather than the expected $2.10?” Sensitivity analysis is designed to provide decision makers with answers to questions such as these.

In a sensitivity analysis, each variable is changed by several percentage points above and below the expected value, holding all other variables constant. Then a new NPV is calculated using each of these values. Finally, the set of NPVs is plotted to show how sensitive NPV is to changes in each variable. Figure 13-1 shows the computer project’s sensitivity graphs for six of the input variables. The table below the graph gives the NPVs that were used to construct the graph. The slopes of the lines in the graph show how sensitive NPV is to changes in each of the inputs: The steeper the slope, the more sensitive the NPV is to a change in the variable. From the figure and the table, we see that the project’s NPV is very sensitive to changes in the sales price and variable costs, fairly sensitive to changes in the growth rate and units sold, and not very sensitive to changes in either fixed costs or the cost of capital.

If we were comparing two projects, the one with the steeper sensitivity lines would be riskier, because for that project a relatively small error in estimating a variable such as unit sales would produce a large error in the project’s expected NPV. Thus, sensitivity analysis can provide useful insights into the riskiness of a project.

Before we move on, we should note that spreadsheet computer programs such as Excel are ideally suited for sensitivity analysis. We used the Data Table feature in the file IFM9 Ch13 Tool Kit.xls, on the ThomsonNOW Web site, to generate the data for the graph in Figure 13-1. To conduct such an analysis by hand would be extremely time consuming.
Although sensitivity analysis is probably the most widely used risk analysis technique, it does have limitations. For example, we saw earlier that the computer project’s NPV is highly sensitive to changes in the sales price and the variable cost per unit. Those sensitivities suggest that the project is risky. Suppose, however, that Home Depot or Circuit City was anxious to get the new computer product and would sign a contract to purchase 20,000 units per year for four years at $3,000 per unit. Moreover, suppose Intel would agree to provide the principal component at a price that would ensure that the variable cost per unit would not exceed $2,100. Under these conditions, there would be a low probability of high

### Scenario Analysis

Although sensitivity analysis is probably the most widely used risk analysis technique, it does have limitations. For example, we saw earlier that the computer project’s NPV is highly sensitive to changes in the sales price and the variable cost per unit. Those sensitivities suggest that the project is risky. Suppose, however, that Home Depot or Circuit City was anxious to get the new computer product and would sign a contract to purchase 20,000 units per year for four years at $3,000 per unit. Moreover, suppose Intel would agree to provide the principal component at a price that would ensure that the variable cost per unit would not exceed $2,100. Under these conditions, there would be a low probability of high

### Table: NPV at Different Deviations from Base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviation from Base Case</th>
<th>Sales Price</th>
<th>Variable Cost/Unit</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
<th>Year 1 Units Sold</th>
<th>Fixed Cost</th>
<th>WACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-30%</td>
<td>($27,223)</td>
<td>$29,404</td>
<td>($4,923)</td>
<td>($3,628)</td>
<td>$10,243</td>
<td>$9,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15</td>
<td>(10,707)</td>
<td>17,607</td>
<td>(115)</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>8,026</td>
<td>7,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>5,809</td>
<td>5,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22,326</td>
<td>(5,988)</td>
<td>12,987</td>
<td>10,528</td>
<td>3,593</td>
<td>4,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>38,842</td>
<td>(17,785)</td>
<td>21,556</td>
<td>15,247</td>
<td>1,376</td>
<td>3,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$66,064</td>
<td>$47,189</td>
<td>$26,479</td>
<td>$18,875</td>
<td>$8,867</td>
<td>$6,016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
or low sales prices and input costs, so the project would not be at all risky in spite of its sensitivity to those variables.

We see, then, that we need to extend sensitivity analysis to deal with the probability distributions of the inputs. In addition, it would be useful to vary more than one variable at a time so we could see the combined effects of changes in the variables. Scenario analysis provides these extensions—it brings in the probabilities of changes in the key variables, and it allows us to change more than one variable at a time. In a scenario analysis, the financial analyst begins with the base case, or most likely set of values for the input variables. Then, he or she asks marketing, engineering, and other operating managers to specify a worst-case scenario (low unit sales, low sales price, high variable costs, and so on) and a best-case scenario. Often, the best case and worst case are set so as to have a 25 percent probability of conditions being that good or bad, and a 50 percent probability is assigned to the base-case conditions. Obviously, conditions could actually take on other values, but parameters such as these are useful to get people focused on the central issues in risk analysis.

The best-case, base-case, and worst-case values for RIC’s computer project are shown in Table 13-5, along with a plot of the data. If the product is highly successful, then the combination of a high sales price, low production costs, high first year sales, and a strong growth rate in future sales will result in a very high NPV, $146 million. However, if things turn out badly, then the NPV would be $37 million. The graphs show a very wide range of possibilities, indicating that this is indeed a very risky project. If the bad conditions materialize, this will not bankrupt the company—this is just one project for a large company. Still, losing $37 million would certainly not help the stock price or the career of the project’s manager.

### Table 13-5 Scenario Analysis (Dollars in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Sales Price</th>
<th>Unit Sales</th>
<th>Variable Costs</th>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best case</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$3.90</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>$1.47</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>$146,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base case</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst case</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>(37,257)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected NPV = $30,135

Standard deviation = $69,267

Coefficient of variation = Standard deviation/Expected NPV = 2.30

Note: The scenario analysis calculations were performed in the Excel model, IM9 Ch13 Tool Kit.xls.
The scenario probabilities and NPVs constitute a probability distribution of returns like those we dealt with in Chapter 2, except that the returns are measured in dollars instead of percentages (rates of return). The expected NPV (in thousands of dollars) is $30,135:

\[
\text{Expected NPV} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i (\text{NPV}_i) \\
= 0.25 (\$146,180) + 0.50 (\$5,809) + 0.25 (\$37,257) \\
= \$30,135
\]

The standard deviation of the NPV is $69,267 (in thousands of dollars):

\[
\sigma_{\text{NPV}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i (\text{NPV}_i - \text{Expected NPV})^2} \\
= \sqrt{0.25 (\$146,180 - \$30,135)^2 + 0.50 (\$5,809 - \$30,135)^2 + 0.25 (\$37,257 - \$30,135)^2} \\
= \$69,267
\]

Finally, the project's coefficient of variation is

\[
\text{CV}_{\text{NPV}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{NPV}}}{\text{E}(\text{NPV})} = \frac{\$69,267}{\$30,135} = 2.30
\]

The project’s coefficient of variation can be compared with the coefficient of variation of RIC’s “average” project to get an idea of the relative riskiness of the proposed project. RIC’s existing projects, on average, have a coefficient of variation of about 1.0, so, on the basis of this stand-alone risk measure, we conclude that this project is much riskier than an “average” project.

Scenario analysis provides useful information about a project’s stand-alone risk. However, it is limited in that it considers only a few discrete outcomes (NPVs), even though there are an infinite number of possibilities. We describe a more complete method of assessing a project’s stand-alone risk in the next section.

**Monte Carlo Simulation**

Monte Carlo simulation ties together sensitivities and probability distributions. It grew out of work in the Manhattan Project to build the first atomic bomb, and was so named because it utilized the mathematics of casino gambling. While Monte Carlo simulation is considerably more complex than scenario analysis, simulation software packages make this process manageable. Many of these packages are included as add-ons to spreadsheet programs such as Microsoft Excel.

In a simulation analysis, the computer begins by picking at random a value for each variable—sales in units, the sales price, the variable cost per unit, and

---

7Note that the expected NPV, $30,135, is not the same as the base-case NPV, $5,809 (in thousands). This is because the two uncertain variables, sales volume and sales price, are multiplied together to obtain dollar sales, and this process causes the NPV distribution to be skewed to the right. A big number times another big number produces a very big number, which, in turn, causes the average, or expected value, to increase.
Following are results from a survey of capital budgeting practices in Australia, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, and Singapore.

Techniques for Evaluating Corporate Projects

Consistent with evidence on U.S. companies, most companies in this region evaluate projects using IRR, NPV, and payback. IRR use ranged from 86 percent (in Hong Kong) to 96 percent (in Australia). NPV use ranged from 81 percent (in the Philippines) to 96 percent (in Australia). Payback use ranged from 81 percent (in Indonesia) to 100 percent (in Hong Kong and the Philippines).

Techniques for Estimating the Cost of Equity Capital

Methods used to estimate the cost of equity varied considerably from country to country (see Table A). We noted in Chapter 12 that the CAPM is used most often by U.S. firms. Except for Australia, this is not the case for Asian/Pacific firms, who instead more often use the other two approaches.

Techniques for Assessing Risk

Firms in these countries rely heavily on scenario and sensitivity analyses to assess project risk. They also use decision trees and Monte Carlo simulation, but less frequently than the other techniques (see Table B).


Table A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAPM</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend yield plus growth rate</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of debt plus risk premium</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Assessment Technique</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario analysis</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity analysis</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision tree analysis</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Carlo simulation</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

so on. Then those values are combined, and the project’s NPV is calculated and stored in the computer’s memory. Next, a second set of input values is selected at random, and a second NPV is calculated. This process is repeated perhaps 1,000 times, generating 1,000 NPVs. The mean and standard deviation of the set of
Recent developments in technology have made it easier for corporations to utilize complex risk analysis techniques. New software and higher-powered computers enable financial managers to process large amounts of information, so technically astute finance people can consider a broad range of scenarios using computers to estimate the effects of changes in sales, operating costs, interest rates, the overall economy, and even the weather. Given such analysis, financial managers can make better decisions as to which course of action is most likely to maximize shareholder wealth.

Risk analysis can also take account of the correlation between various types of risk. For example, if interest rates and currencies tend to move together in a particular way, this tendency can be incorporated into the model. This can enable financial managers to make better estimates of the likelihood and effect of “worst-case” outcomes.

While this type of risk analysis is undeniably useful, it is only as good as the information and assumptions used in the models. Also, risk models frequently involve complex calculations, and they generate output that requires financial managers to have a fair amount of mathematical sophistication. However, technology is helping to solve these problems, and new programs have been developed to present risk analysis in an intuitive way. For example, Andrew Lo, an MIT finance professor, has developed a program that summarizes the risk, return, and liquidity profiles of various strategies using a new data visualization process that enables complicated relationships to be plotted along three-dimensional graphs that are easy to interpret. While some old-guard CFOs may bristle at these new approaches, younger and more computer-savvy CFOs are likely to embrace them. As Lo puts it: “The video-game generation just loves these 3-D tools.”

bound of $1.40, a most likely value of $2.10, and an upper bound of $2.50. Note that this is not a symmetric distribution. The lower bound is $0.70 less than the most likely value, but the upper bound is only $0.40 higher than the most likely value. This is because RIC has an active risk management program under which it hedges against increases in the prices of the commodities used in its production processes. The net effect is that RIC’s hedging activities reduce its exposure to price increases but still allow it to take advantage of falling prices.

Based on preliminary purchase agreements with major customers, RIC is certain that sales in the first year will be at least 15,000 units. The marketing department believes the most likely demand will be 20,000 units, but it is possible that demand will be much higher. The plant can produce a maximum of 30,000 units in the first year, although production can be expanded in subsequent years if there is higher than expected demand. Therefore, we represented Year 1 unit sales with a triangular distribution with a lower bound of 15,000 units, a most likely value of 20,000 units, and an upper bound of 30,000 units.

The marketing department anticipates no growth in unit sales after the first year, but it recognizes that actual sales growth could be either positive or negative. Moreover, actual growth is likely to be positively correlated with units sold in the first year, which means that if demand is higher than expected in the first year, then growth will probably be higher than expected in subsequent years. We represented growth with a normal distribution having an expected value of 0 percent and a standard deviation of 15 percent. We also specified the correlation between Year 1 unit sales and growth in sales to be 0.65. Graphs of these probability distributions are in Figure 13-2.

We used these inputs and the model from IFM9 Ch13 Tool Kit.xls to conduct the simulation analysis. If you want to do the simulation yourself, you should first read the instructions in the file Explanation of Simulation.doc. This explains how to install an Excel add-in, Simtools.xla, which is necessary to run the simulation. After you have installed Simtools.xla, you can run the simulation analysis, which is in a separate spreadsheet, IFM9 Ch13 Tool Kit Simulation.xls. All three files are included on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Using this model, we simulated 1,000 outcomes for the capital budgeting project. Table 13-6 presents selected results from the simulation.

After running the simulation, the first thing to do is to ensure that the results are consistent with our assumptions. The resulting mean and standard deviation of sales price are $3.01 and $0.35, respectively, which are virtually identical to our assumptions. Similarly, the resulting mean of −0.4 percent and standard deviation of 14.8 percent for growth are very close to our assumed distribution. The maximum for variable cost is $2.47, which is just under our specified maximum of $2.50, and the minimum is $1.40, which is equal to our specified minimum. Unit sales have a maximum of 29,741 and a minimum of 15,149, both of which are consistent with our assumptions. Finally, the resulting correlation between unit sales and growth is 0.664, which is very close to our assumed correlation of 0.65. Therefore, the results of the simulation are consistent with our assumptions.

Table 13-6 also reports summary statistics for the project’s NPV. The mean is $13,867, which suggests that the project should be accepted. However, the range of outcomes is quite large, from a loss of $49,550 to a gain of $124,091, so the
Project is clearly risky. The standard deviation of $22,643 indicates that losses could easily occur, and this is consistent with this wide range of possible outcomes. The coefficient of variation is 1.63, which is large compared with most of RIC’s other projects. Table 13-6 also reports a median NPV of $10,607, which means that half the time the project will have an NPV greater than $10,607. The table also reports that 72.8 percent of the time we would expect the project to have a positive NPV.

A picture is worth a thousand words, and Figure 13-3 shows the probability distribution of the outcomes. Note that the distribution of outcomes is skewed to the right. As the figure shows, the potential downside losses are not as large as the

---

Note that the standard deviation of NPV in the simulation is much smaller than the standard deviation in the scenario analysis. In the scenario analysis, we assumed that all of the poor outcomes would occur together in the worst-case scenario, and all of the positive outcomes would occur together in the best-case scenario. In other words, we implicitly assumed that all of the risky variables were perfectly positively correlated. In the simulation, we assumed that the variables were independent, with the exception of the correlation between unit sales and growth. The independence of variables in the simulation reduces the range of outcomes. For example, in the simulation, sometimes the sales price is high, but the sales growth is low. In the scenario analysis, a high sales price is always coupled with high growth. Because the scenario analysis’s assumption of perfect correlation is unlikely, simulation may provide a better estimate of project risk. However, if the standard deviations and correlations used as inputs in the simulation are not estimated accurately, then the simulation output will likewise be inaccurate.
potential upside gains. Our conclusion is that this is a very risky project, as indicated by the coefficient of variation, but it does have a positive expected NPV and the potential to be a home run.

**Self-Test Questions**

List two reasons why, in practice, a project’s stand-alone risk is important.
Differentiate between sensitivity and scenario analyses. What advantage does scenario analysis have over sensitivity analysis?
What is Monte Carlo simulation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISKY INPUTS</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sales Price</strong></td>
<td><strong>Variable Costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of NPV &gt; 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 13-3** NPV Probability Distribution
PROJECT RISK CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed the three types of risk normally considered in capital budgeting analysis—stand-alone risk, within-firm (or corporate) risk, and market risk—and we have discussed ways of assessing each. However, two important questions remain: (1) Should firms be concerned with stand-alone or corporate risk in their capital budgeting decisions, and (2) what do we do when the stand-alone, within-firm, and market risk assessments lead to different conclusions?

These questions do not have easy answers. From a theoretical standpoint, well-diversified investors should be concerned only with market risk, managers should be concerned only with stock price maximization, and this should lead to the conclusion that market (beta) risk ought to be given virtually all the weight in capital budgeting decisions. However, if investors are not well diversified, if the CAPM does not operate exactly as theory says it should, or if measurement problems keep managers from having confidence in the CAPM approach in capital budgeting, it may be appropriate to give stand-alone and corporate risk more weight than financial theory suggests. Note also that the CAPM ignores bankruptcy costs, even though such costs can be substantial, and the probability of bankruptcy depends on a firm’s corporate risk, not on its beta risk. Therefore, even well-diversified investors should want a firm’s management to give at least some consideration to a project’s corporate risk instead of concentrating entirely on market risk.

Although it would be nice to reconcile these problems and to measure project risk on some absolute scale, the best we can do in practice is to estimate project risk in a somewhat nebulous, relative sense. For example, we can generally say with a fair degree of confidence that a particular project has more or less stand-alone risk than the firm’s average project. Then, assuming that stand-alone and corporate risk are highly correlated (which is typical), the project’s stand-alone risk will be a good measure of its corporate risk. Finally, assuming that market risk and corporate risk are highly correlated (as is true for most companies), a project with more corporate risk than average will also have more market risk, and vice versa for projects with low corporate risk.

Self-Test Questions

In theory, should a firm be concerned with stand-alone and corporate risk? Should the firm be concerned with these risks in practice?
If a project’s stand-alone, corporate, and market risk are highly correlated, would this make the task of measuring risk easier or harder? Explain.

INCORPORATING PROJECT RISK INTO CAPITAL BUDGETING

As we described in Chapter 10, many firms calculate a cost of capital for each division, based on the division’s market risk and capital structure. This is the first step toward incorporating risk analysis into capital budgeting decisions, but it is limited because it encompasses only market risk. Rather than directly estimating the corporate risk of a project, the risk management departments at many firms regularly assess the entire firm’s likelihood of financial distress, based on current and proposed projects. In other words, they assess a firm’s corporate risk, given its portfolio of projects. This screening process will identify those projects that significantly increase corporate risk.

10These processes also measure the magnitude of the losses, which is often called value at risk.
Suppose a proposed project doesn’t significantly affect a firm’s likelihood of financial distress, but it does have greater stand-alone risk than the typical project in a division. Two methods are used to incorporate this project risk into capital budgeting. One is called the certainty equivalent approach. Here every cash inflow that is not known with certainty is scaled down, and the riskier the flow, the lower its certainty equivalent value. The other method, and the one we focus on here, is the risk-adjusted discount rate approach, under which differential project risk is dealt with by changing the discount rate. Average-risk projects are discounted at the firm’s average cost of capital, higher-risk projects are discounted at a higher cost of capital, and lower-risk projects are discounted at a rate below the firm’s average cost of capital. Unfortunately, there is no good way of specifying exactly how much higher or lower these discount rates should be. Given the present state of the art, risk adjustments are necessarily judgmental and somewhat arbitrary.

Self-Test Question

How are risk-adjusted discount rates used to incorporate project risk into the capital budget decision process?

MANAGING RISK THROUGH PHASED DECISIONS: DECISION TREES

Up to this point we have focused primarily on techniques for estimating a project’s stand-alone risk. Although this is an integral part of capital budgeting, managers are generally more interested in reducing risk than in measuring it. For example, sometimes projects can be structured so that expenditures do not have to be made all at one time, but, rather, can be made in stages over a period of years. This reduces risk by giving managers the opportunity to reevaluate decisions using new information and then either investing additional funds or terminating the project. Such projects can be evaluated using decision trees.

The Basic Decision Tree

Suppose United Robotics is considering the production of an industrial robot for the television manufacturing industry. The net investment for this project can be broken down into stages, as set forth in Figure 13-4:

STAGE 1.  At $t = 0$, which in this case is sometime in the near future, conduct a $500,000 study of the market potential for robots in television assembly lines.

STAGE 2.  If it appears that a sizable market does exist, then at $t = 1$ spend $1,000,000 to design and build a prototype robot. This robot would then be evaluated by television engineers, and their reactions would determine whether the firm should proceed with the project.

STAGE 3.  If reaction to the prototype robot is good, then at $t = 2$ build a production plant at a net cost of $10,000,000. If this stage were reached, the project would generate either high, medium, or low net cash flows over the following four years.

STAGE 4.  At $t = 3$ market acceptance will be known. If demand is low, the firm will terminate the project and avoid the negative cash flows in Years 4 and 5.
A decision tree such as the one in Figure 13-4 can be used to analyze such multi-stage, or sequential, decisions. Here we assume that one year goes by between decisions. Each circle represents a decision point, and it is called a decision node. The dollar value to the left of each decision node represents the net investment required at that decision point, and the cash flows shown under $t = 3$ to $t = 5$ represent the cash inflows if the project is pushed on to completion. Each diagonal line represents a branch of the decision tree, and each branch has an estimated probability. For example, if the firm decides to “go” with the project at Decision Point 1, it will spend $500,000 on a marketing study. Management estimates that there is a 0.8 probability that the study will produce favorable results, leading to the decision to move on to Stage 2, and a 0.2 probability that the marketing study will produce negative results, indicating that the project should be canceled after Stage 1. If the project is canceled, the cost to the company will be the $500,000 for the initial marketing study, and it will be a loss.

If the marketing study yields positive results, then United Robotics will spend $1,000,000 on the prototype robot at Decision Point 2. Management estimates (before even making the initial $500,000 investment) that there is a 60 percent probability that the television engineers will find the robot useful and a 40 percent probability that they will not like it.

If the engineers like the robot, the firm will spend the final $10,000,000 to build the plant and go into production. If the engineers do not like the prototype, the project will be dropped. If the firm does go into production, the operating cash flows over the project’s four-year life will depend on how well the market accepts the final product. There is a 30 percent chance that acceptance will be quite good and net cash flows will be $18 million per year, a 40 percent probability of $8 million each year, and a 30 percent chance of losing $2 million. These cash flows are shown under Years 3 through 5.

In summary, the decision tree in Figure 13-4 defines the decision nodes and the branches that leave the nodes. There are two types of nodes, decision nodes and outcome nodes. Decision nodes are the points at which management can respond to new information. The first decision node is at $t = 1$, after the company has completed the marketing study (Decision Point 1 in Figure 13-4). The second decision node is at $t = 2$, after the company has completed the prototype study (Decision Point 2 in Figure 13-4). The outcome nodes show the possible results if
a particular decision is taken. There is one relevant outcome node (Decision Point 3 in Figure 13-4), the one occurring at \( t = 3 \), and its branches show the possible cash flows if the company goes ahead with the industrial robot project. There is one more decision node, Decision Point 4, at which United Robotics terminates the project if acceptance is low. Note that the decision tree also shows the probabilities of moving into each branch that leaves a node.

The column of joint probabilities in Figure 13-4 gives the probability of occurrence of each branch, hence of each NPV. Each joint probability is obtained by multiplying together all probabilities on a particular branch. For example, the probability that the company will, if Stage 1 is undertaken, move through Stages 2 and 3, and that a strong demand will produce $18,000,000 per year of inflows, is \((0.8)(0.6)(0.3) = 0.144 = 14.4\%\).

The company has a cost of capital of 11.5 percent, and management assumes initially that the project is of average risk. The NPV of the top (most favorable) branch as shown in the next-to-last column is $25,635 (in thousands of dollars):

\[
NPV = -$500 - \frac{$1,000}{(1.115)^1} - \frac{$10,000}{(1.115)^2} + \frac{$18,000}{(1.115)^3} + \frac{$18,000}{(1.115)^4} + \frac{$18,000}{(1.115)^5} = $25,635
\]

The NPVs for other branches were calculated similarly.

The last column in Figure 13-4 gives the product of the NPV for each branch times the joint probability of that branch, and the sum of these products is the project’s expected NPV. Based on the expectations set forth in Figure 13-4 and a cost of capital of 11.5 percent, the project’s expected NPV is $2.758 million.

As this example shows, decision tree analysis requires managers to explicitly articulate the types of risk a project faces and to develop responses to potential scenarios. Note also that our example could be extended to cover many other types of decisions, and could even be incorporated into a simulation analysis. All in all, decision tree analysis is a valuable tool for analyzing project risk.\(^{11}\)

**Self-Test Question**

What is a decision tree? A branch? A node?

**INTRODUCTION TO REAL OPTIONS**

According to traditional capital budgeting theory, a project’s NPV is the present value of its expected future cash flows, discounted at a rate that reflects the riskiness of the expected future cash flows. Note, however, that this says nothing about actions that can be taken after the project has been accepted and placed in operation that might cause the cash flows to increase. In other words, traditional capital budgeting theory assumes that a project is like a roulette wheel. A gambler can choose whether or not to spin the wheel, but once the wheel has been spun, there is nothing he or she can do to influence the outcome. Once the game begins, the outcome depends purely on chance, with no skill involved.

\(^{11}\)In the United Robotics example we glossed over an important issue, namely, the appropriate cost of capital for the project. Adding decision nodes to a project clearly changes its risk, so we would expect the cost of capital for a project with few decision nodes to have a different risk than one with many nodes. If this were so, we would expect the projects to have different costs of capital. In fact, we might expect the cost of capital to change over time as the project moves to different stages, since the stages themselves differ in risk.
Contrast roulette with other games, such as draw poker. Chance plays a role in poker, and it continues to play a role after the initial deal because players receive additional cards throughout the game. However, poker players are able to respond to their opponents' actions, so skillful players usually win.

Capital budgeting decisions have more in common with poker than roulette because (1) chance plays a continuing role throughout the life of the project but (2) managers can respond to changing market conditions and to competitors' actions. Opportunities to respond to changing circumstances are called managerial options because they give managers a chance to influence the outcome of a project. They are also called strategic options because they are often associated with large, strategic projects rather than routine maintenance projects. Finally, they are called real options, which are differentiated from financial options because they involve real, rather than financial, assets. The following sections describe several types of projects with embedded options.

**Investment Timing Options**

Conventional NPV analysis implicitly assumes that projects will either be accepted or rejected, which implies that they will be undertaken now or never. In practice, however, companies sometimes have a third choice—delay the decision until later, when more information is available. Such investment timing options can dramatically affect a project's estimated profitability and risk.

For example, suppose Sony plans to introduce an interactive DVD-TV system, and your software company has two alternatives: (1) immediately begin full-scale production of game software on DVDs for the new system or (2) delay investment in the project until you get a better idea of the size of the market for interactive DVDs. You might prefer delaying implementation. Keep in mind, though, that the option to delay is valuable only if it more than offsets any harm that might come from delaying. For example, if you delay, some other company might establish a loyal customer base that makes it difficult for your company to enter the market later. The option to delay is usually most valuable to firms with proprietary technology, patents, licenses, or other barriers to entry, because these factors lessen the threat of competition. The option to delay is valuable when market demand is uncertain, but it is also valuable during periods of volatile interest rates, since the ability to wait can allow firms to delay raising capital for projects until interest rates are lower.

**Growth Options**

A growth option allows a company to increase its capacity if market conditions are better than expected. There are several types of growth options. One lets a company increase the capacity of an existing product line. A “peaking unit” power plant illustrates this type of growth option. Such units have high variable costs and are used to produce additional power only if demand and therefore prices are high.

The second type of growth option allows a company to expand into new geographic markets. Many companies are investing in Eastern Europe, Russia, and China even though standard NPV analysis produces negative NPVs. However, if these developing markets really take off, the option to open more facilities could be quite valuable.

The third type of growth option is the opportunity to add new products, including complementary products and successive “generations” of the original
product. Toshiba probably lost money on its first laptop computers, but the manufacturing skills and consumer recognition it gained helped turn subsequent generations of laptops into money makers. In addition, Toshiba used its experience and name recognition in laptops as a springboard into the desktop computer market.

Abandonment Options

Many projects contain an abandonment option. When evaluating a potential project, standard DCF analysis assumes that the assets will be used over a specified economic life. While some projects must be operated over their full economic life, even though market conditions might deteriorate and cause lower than expected cash flows, others can be abandoned. For example, some contracts between automobile manufacturers and their suppliers specify the quantity and price of the parts that must be delivered. If the supplier’s labor costs increase, then the supplier might well lose money on each part it ships. Including the option to abandon in such a contract might be quite valuable.

Note too that some projects can be structured so that they provide the option to reduce capacity or temporarily suspend operations. Such options are common in the natural resource industry, including mining, oil, and timber, and they should be reflected in the analysis when NPVs are being estimated.

Flexibility Options

Many projects offer flexibility options that permit the firm to alter operations depending on how conditions change during the life of the project. Typically, either inputs or outputs (or both) can be changed. BMW’s Spartanburg, South Carolina, auto assembly plant provides a good example of output flexibility. BMW needed the plant to produce sports coupes. If it built the plant configured to produce only these vehicles, the construction cost would be minimized. However, the company thought that later on it might want to switch production to some other vehicle type, and that would be difficult if the plant were designed just for coupes. Therefore, BMW decided to spend additional funds to construct a more flexible plant—one that could produce different types of vehicles should demand patterns shift. Sure enough, things did change. Demand for coupes dropped a bit and that for sports utility vehicles soared. But BMW was ready, and the Spartanburg plant began spewing out hot-selling SUVs. The plant’s cash flows are much higher than they would have been without the flexibility option that BMW “bought” by paying more to build a more flexible plant.

Electric power plants provide an example of input flexibility. Utilities can build plants that generate electricity by burning coal, oil, or natural gas. The prices of those fuels change over time, depending on events in the Middle East, changing environmental policies, and weather conditions. Some years ago, virtually all power plants were designed to burn just one type of fuel, because this resulted in the lowest construction cost. However, as fuel cost volatility increased, power companies began to build higher-cost but more flexible plants, especially ones that could switch from oil to gas and back again, depending on relative fuel prices.

Valuing Real Options

A full treatment of real option valuation is beyond the scope of this chapter, but there are some things we can say. First, if your project has an embedded real
Self-Test Question

Name some different types of real options.


SUMMARY

Throughout the book, we have indicated that the value of any asset depends on the amount, timing, and riskiness of the cash flows it produces. In this chapter, we developed a framework for analyzing a project’s cash flows and risk. The key concepts covered are listed below.

- The most important (and most difficult) step in analyzing a capital budgeting project is estimating the incremental after-tax cash flows the project will produce.
- Project cash flow is different from accounting income. Project cash flow reflects (1) cash outlays for fixed assets, (2) the tax shield provided by depreciation, and (3) cash flows due to changes in net operating working capital. Project cash flow does not include interest payments.
- In determining incremental cash flows, opportunity costs (the cash flows foregone by using an asset) must be included, but sunk costs (cash outlays that have been made and that cannot be recouped) are not included. Any externalities (effects of a project on other parts of the firm) should also be reflected in the analysis.
- Cannibalization occurs when a new project leads to a reduction in sales of an existing product.
- Tax laws affect cash flow analysis in two ways: (1) They reduce operating cash flows, and (2) they determine the depreciation expense that can be taken in each year.
- Capital projects often require additional investments in net operating working capital (NOWC).
- The incremental cash flows from a typical project can be classified into three categories: (1) initial investment outlay, (2) operating cash flows over the project’s life, and (3) terminal year cash flows.
- Inflation effects must be considered in project analysis. The best procedure is to build expected inflation into the cash flow estimates.
- Since stockholders are generally diversified, market risk is theoretically the most relevant measure of risk. Market, or beta, risk is important because beta affects the cost of capital, which, in turn, affects stock prices.
• **Corporate risk** is important because it influences the firm’s ability to use low-cost debt, to maintain smooth operations over time, and to avoid crises that might consume management’s energy and disrupt its employees, customers, suppliers, and community.

• **Sensitivity analysis** is a technique that shows how much a project’s NPV will change in response to a given change in an input variable such as sales, other things held constant.

• **Scenario analysis** is a risk analysis technique in which the best- and worst-case NPVs are compared with the project’s expected NPV.

• **Monte Carlo simulation** is a risk analysis technique that uses a computer to simulate future events and thus to estimate the profitability and riskiness of a project.

• The **risk-adjusted discount rate**, or **project cost of capital**, is the rate used to evaluate a particular project. It is based on the corporate WACC, which is increased for projects that are riskier than the firm’s average project but decreased for less risky projects.

• **Decision tree analysis** shows how different decisions in a project’s life affect its value.

• Opportunities to respond to changing circumstances are called **managerial options** because they give managers the option to influence the outcome of a project. They are also called **strategic options** because they are often associated with large, strategic projects rather than routine maintenance projects. Finally, they are also called **real options** because they involve “real,” rather than “financial,” assets. Many projects include a variety of **embedded options** that can dramatically affect the true NPV.

• An **investment timing option** involves not only the decision of whether to proceed with a project but also the decision of when to proceed with it. This opportunity to affect a project’s timing can dramatically change its estimated value.

• A **growth option** occurs if an investment creates the opportunity to make other potentially profitable investments that would not otherwise be possible. These include (1) options to expand output, (2) options to enter a new geographical market, and (3) options to introduce complementary products or successive generations of products.

• The **abandonment option** is the ability to abandon a project if the operating cash flows and/or abandonment value turn out to be lower than expected. It reduces the risk of a project and increases its value. Instead of total abandonment, some options allow a company to reduce capacity or temporarily suspend operations.

• A **flexibility option** is the option to modify operations depending on how conditions develop during a project’s life, especially the type of output produced or the inputs used.

**QUESTIONS**

13-1 Define each of the following terms:

- a. Cash flow; accounting income
- b. Incremental cash flow; sunk cost; opportunity cost
- c. Net operating working capital changes; salvage value
- d. Real rate of return, \( r_r \), versus nominal rate of return, \( r_n \)
e. Sensitivity analysis; scenario analysis; Monte Carlo simulation analysis
f. Risk-adjusted discount rate; project cost of capital
g. Real options; managerial options; strategic options; embedded options
h. Investment timing option; growth option; abandonment option; flexibility option

13-2 Operating cash flows, rather than accounting profits, are listed in Table 13-4. What is the basis for this emphasis on cash flows as opposed to net income?

13-3 Why is it true, in general, that a failure to adjust expected cash flows for expected inflation biases the calculated NPV downward?

13-4 Explain why sunk costs should not be included in a capital budgeting analysis, but opportunity costs and externalities should be included.

13-5 Explain how net operating working capital is recovered at the end of a project’s life, and why it is included in a capital budgeting analysis.

13-6 Define (a) simulation analysis, (b) scenario analysis, and (c) sensitivity analysis.

PROBLEMS

13-1 Johnson Industries is considering an expansion project. The necessary equipment could be purchased for $9 million, and the project would also require an initial $3 million investment in net operating working capital. The company’s tax rate is 40 percent. What is the project’s initial investment outlay?

13-2 Nixon Communications is trying to estimate the first-year operating cash flow (at $t = 1$) for a proposed project. The financial staff has collected the following information:

- Projected sales: $10 million
- Operating costs (not including depreciation): $7 million
- Depreciation: $2 million
- Interest expense: $2 million

The company faces a 40 percent tax rate. What is the project’s operating cash flow for the first year ($t = 1$)?

13-3 Carter Air Lines is now in the terminal year of a project. The equipment originally cost $20 million, of which 80 percent has been depreciated. Carter can sell the used equipment today to another airline for $5 million, and its tax rate is 40 percent. What is the equipment’s after-tax net salvage value?

13-4 The Campbell Company is evaluating the proposed acquisition of a new milling machine. The machine’s base price is $108,000, and it would cost another $12,500 to modify it for special use. The machine falls into the MACRS 3-year class, and it would be sold after 3 years for $65,000. The machine would require an increase in net working capital (inventory) of $5,500. The milling machine would have no effect on revenues, but it is expected to save the firm $44,000 per year in before-tax operating costs, mainly labor. Campbell’s marginal tax rate is 35 percent.

a. What is the net cost of the machine for capital budgeting purposes? (That is, what is the Year 0 net cash flow?)
b. What are the net operating cash flows in Years 1, 2, and 3?
c. What is the additional Year 3 cash flow (that is, the after-tax salvage and the
   return of working capital)?

d. If the project’s cost of capital is 12 percent, should the machine be purchased?

You have been asked by the president of your company to evaluate the proposed
acquisition of a new spectrometer for the firm’s R&D department. The equip-
ment’s basic price is $70,000, and it would cost another $15,000 to modify it for
special use by your firm. The spectrometer, which falls into the MACRS 3-year
class, would be sold after 3 years for $30,000. Use of the equipment would
require an increase in net working capital (spare parts inventory) of $4,000. The
spectrometer would have no effect on revenues, but it is expected to save the firm
$25,000 per year in before-tax operating costs, mainly labor. The firm’s marginal
federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent.

a. What is the net cost of the spectrometer? (That is, what is the Year 0 net cash
   flow?)

b. What are the net operating cash flows in Years 1, 2, and 3?

c. What is the additional (nonoperating) cash flow in Year 3?

d. If the project’s cost of capital is 10 percent, should the spectrometer be
   purchased?

The Rodriguez Company is considering an average-risk investment in a mineral
water spring project that has a cost of $150,000. The project will produce 1,000
cases of mineral water per year indefinitely. The current sales price is $138 per
case, and the current cost per case (all variable) is $105. The firm is taxed at a
rate of 34 percent. Both prices and costs are expected to rise at a rate of 6 percent
per year. The firm uses only equity, and it has a cost of capital of 15 percent.
Assume that cash flows consist only of after-tax profits, since the spring has an
indefinite life and will not be depreciated.

a. Should the firm accept the project? (Hint: The project is a perpetuity, so you
   must use the formula for a perpetuity to find its NPV.)

b. If total costs consisted of a fixed cost of $10,000 per year and variable costs
   of $95 per unit, and if only the variable costs were expected to increase with
   inflation, would this make the project better or worse? Continue with the
   assumption that the sales price will rise with inflation.

Shao Industries is considering a proposed project for its capital budget. The com-
pany estimates that the project’s NPV is $12 million. This estimate assumes that
the economy and market conditions will be average over the next few years. The
company’s CFO, however, forecasts that there is only a 50 percent chance that
the economy will be average. Recognizing this uncertainty, she has also performed
the following scenario analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Scenario</th>
<th>Probability of Outcome</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recession</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>($70 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(25 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>12 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>30 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the project’s expected NPV, its standard deviation, and its coefficient of
variation?
The Bartram-Pulley Company (BPC) must decide between two mutually exclusive investment projects. Each project costs $6,750 and has an expected life of 3 years. Annual net cash flows from each project begin 1 year after the initial investment is made and have the following probability distributions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT A</th>
<th>PROJECT B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>Net Cash Flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPC has decided to evaluate the riskier project at a 12 percent rate and the less risky project at a 10 percent rate.

a. What is the expected value of the annual net cash flows from each project?
   What is the coefficient of variation (CV)? (Hint: \( \sigma_B = $5,798 \) and \( CV_B = 0.76 \)).

b. What is the risk-adjusted NPV of each project?

c. If it were known that Project B was negatively correlated with other cash flows of the firm, whereas Project A was positively correlated, how would this knowledge affect the decision? If Project B’s cash flows were negatively correlated with gross domestic product (GDP), would that influence your assessment of its risk?

Singleton Supplies Corporation (SSC) manufactures medical products for hospitals, clinics, and nursing homes. SSC may introduce a new type of X-ray scanner designed to identify certain types of cancers in their early stages. There are a number of uncertainties about the proposed project, but the following data are believed to be reasonably accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Random Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developmental costs</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project life</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales in units</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales price</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per unit (excluding developmental costs)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSC uses a cost of capital of 15 percent to analyze average-risk projects, 12 percent for low-risk projects, and 18 percent for high-risk projects. These risk
adjustments reflect primarily the uncertainty about each project’s NPV and IRR as measured by the coefficients of variation of NPV and IRR. SSC is in the 40 percent federal-plus-state income tax bracket.

a. What is the expected IRR for the X-ray scanner project? Base your answer on the expected values of the variables. Also, assume the after-tax “profits” figure you develop is equal to annual cash flows. All facilities are leased, so depreciation may be disregarded. Can you determine the value of \( \sigma_{\text{IRR}} \) short of actual simulation or a fairly complex statistical analysis?

b. Assume that SSC uses a 15 percent cost of capital for this project. What is the project’s NPV? Could you estimate \( \sigma_{\text{NPV}} \) without either simulation or a complex statistical analysis?

c. Show the process by which a computer would perform a simulation analysis for this project. Use the random numbers 44, 17, 16, 58, 1; 79, 83, 86; and 19, 62, 6 to illustrate the process with the first computer run. Actually calculate the first-run NPV and IRR. Assume that the cash flows for each year are independent of cash flows for other years. Also, assume that the computer operates as follows: (1) A developmental cost and a project life are estimated for the first run using the first two random numbers. (2) Next, sales volume, sales price, and cost per unit are estimated using the next three random numbers and used to derive a cash flow for the first year. (3) Then, the next three random numbers are used to estimate sales volume, sales price, and cost per unit for the second year, hence the cash flow for the second year. (4) Cash flows for other years are developed similarly, on out to the first run’s estimated life. (5) With the developmental cost and the cash flow stream established, NPV and IRR for the first run are derived and stored in the computer’s memory. (6) The process is repeated to generate perhaps 500 other NPVs and IRRs. (7) Frequency distributions for NPV and IRR are plotted by the computer, and the distributions’ means and standard deviations are calculated.

The Yoran Yacht Company (YYC), a prominent sailboat builder in Newport, may design a new 30-foot sailboat based on the “winged” keels first introduced on the 12-meter yachts that raced for the America’s Cup.

First, YYC would have to invest $10,000 at \( t = 0 \) for the design and model tank testing of the new boat. YYC’s managers believe that there is a 60 percent probability that this phase will be successful and the project will continue. If Stage 1 is not successful, the project will be abandoned with zero salvage value.

The next stage, if undertaken, would consist of making the molds and producing two prototype boats. This would cost $500,000 at \( t = 1 \). If the boats test well, YYC would go into production. If they do not, the molds and prototypes could be sold for $100,000. The managers estimate that the probability is 80 percent that the boats will pass testing, and that Stage 3 will be undertaken.

Stage 3 consists of converting an unused production line to produce the new design. This would cost $1,000,000 at \( t = 2 \). If the economy is strong at this point, the net value of sales would be $3,000,000, while if the economy is weak, the net value would be $1,500,000. Both net values occur at \( t = 3 \), and each state of the economy has a probability of 0.5. YYC’s corporate cost of capital is 12 percent.

a. Assume that this project has average risk. Construct a decision tree and determine the project’s expected NPV.

b. Find the project’s standard deviation of NPV and coefficient of variation (CV) of NPV. If YYC’s average project had a CV of between 1.0 and 2.0, would this project be of high, low, or average stand-alone risk?
SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch13 P11 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Webmasters.com has developed a powerful new server that would be used for corporations’ Internet activities. It would cost $10 million to buy the equipment necessary to manufacture the server, and it would require net operating working capital equal to 10 percent of sales. The servers would sell for $24,000 per unit, and Webmasters believes that variable costs would amount to $17,500 per unit. After the first year the sales price and variable costs will increase at the inflation rate of 3 percent. The company’s fixed costs would be $1 million per year and would increase with inflation. It would take 1 year to buy the required equipment and set up operations, and the server project would have a life of 4 years. If the project is undertaken, it must be continued for the entire 4 years. Also, the project’s returns are expected to be highly correlated with returns on the firm’s other assets. The firm believes it could sell 1,000 units per year.

The equipment would be depreciated over a 5-year period, using MACRS rates. The estimated market value of the equipment at the end of the project’s 4-year life is $500,000. Webmasters’ federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. Its cost of capital is 10 percent for average-risk projects, defined as projects with a coefficient of variation of NPV between 0.8 and 1.2. Low-risk projects are evaluated with a WACC of 8 percent, and high-risk projects at 13 percent.

a. Develop a spreadsheet model and use it to find the project’s NPV, IRR, and payback.

b. Now conduct a sensitivity analysis to determine the sensitivity of NPV to changes in the sales price, variable costs per unit, and number of units sold. Set these variables’ values at 10 percent and 20 percent above and below their base-case values. Include a graph in your analysis.

c. Now conduct a scenario analysis. Assume that there is a 25 percent probability that “best-case” conditions, with each of the variables discussed in part b being 20 percent better than its base-case value, will occur. There is a 25 percent probability of “worst-case” conditions, with the variables 20 percent worse than base, and a 50 percent probability of base-case conditions.

d. If the project appears to be more or less risky than an average project, find its risk-adjusted NPV, IRR, and payback.

e. On the basis of information in the problem, would you recommend that the project be accepted?

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE | Business School Edition | PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Shrieves Casting Company is considering adding a new line to its product mix, and the capital budgeting analysis is being conducted by Sidney Johnson, a recently graduated MBA. The production line would be set up in unused space in Shrieves’s main plant. The machinery’s invoice price would be approximately $200,000, another $10,000 in shipping charges would be required, and it would cost an additional $30,000 to install the equipment. The machinery has an economic life of 4 years, and Shrieves has obtained a special tax ruling that places the equipment in the MACRS 3-year class. The machinery is expected to have a salvage value of $25,000 after 4 years of use.

The new line would generate incremental sales of 1,250 units per year for 4 years at an incremental cost of $100 per unit in the first year, excluding depreciation. Each unit can be sold for $200 in the first year. The sales price and cost are both expected to increase by 3 percent per year due to inflation. Further, to handle the new line, the firm’s net operating working capital would have to increase by an amount equal to 12 percent of sales revenues. The firm’s tax rate is 40 percent, and its overall weighted average cost of capital is 10 percent.

a. Define “incremental cash flow.”

(1) Should you subtract interest expense or dividends when calculating project cash flow?

(2) Suppose the firm had spent $100,000 last year to rehabilitate the production line site. Should this be included in the analysis? Explain.

(3) Now assume that the plant space could be leased out to another firm at $25,000 per year. Should this be included in the analysis? If so, how?

(4) Finally, assume that the new product line is expected to decrease sales of the firm’s other lines by $50,000 per year. Should this be considered in the analysis? If so, how?

b. Disregard the assumptions in part a. What is Shrieves’s depreciable basis? What are the annual depreciation expenses?

c. Calculate the annual sales revenues and costs (other than depreciation). Why is it important to include inflation when estimating cash flows?

d. Construct annual incremental operating cash flow statements.

e. Estimate the required net operating working capital for each year and the cash flow due to investments in net operating working capital.

f. Calculate the after-tax salvage cash flow.

g. Calculate the net cash flows for each year. Based on these cash flows, what are the project’s NPV, IRR, MIRR, and payback? Do these indicators suggest that the project should be undertaken?

h. What does the term “risk” mean in the context of capital budgeting; to what extent can risk be quantified; and when risk is quantified, is the quantification based primarily on statistical analysis of historical data or on subjective, judgmental estimates?

i. (1) What are the three types of risk that are relevant in capital budgeting?

(2) How is each of these risk types measured, and how do they relate to one another?

(3) How is each type of risk used in the capital budgeting process?

j. (1) What is sensitivity analysis?

(2) Perform a sensitivity analysis on the unit sales, salvage value, and cost of capital for the project. Assume that each of these variables can vary from its base-case, or expected, value by ±10, 20, and 30 percent. Include a sensitivity diagram, and discuss the results.

(3) What is the primary weakness of sensitivity analysis? What is its primary usefulness?

k. Assume that Sidney Johnson is confident of her estimates of all the variables that affect the project’s cash flows except unit sales and sales price. If product acceptance is poor, unit sales would be only 900 units a year and the unit price would only be $160; a strong consumer response would produce sales of 1,600 units and a unit price of $240. Johnson believes that there is a 25 percent chance of poor acceptance, a 25 percent chance of excellent acceptance, and a 50 percent chance of average acceptance (the base case).

(1) What is scenario analysis?

(2) What is the worst-case NPV? The best-case NPV?
Use the worst-, most likely, and best-case NPVs and probabilities of occurrence to find the project’s expected NPV, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation.

1. Are there problems with scenario analysis?
   Define simulation analysis, and discuss its principal advantages and disadvantages.

m. (1) Assume that Shrieves’s average project has a coefficient of variation in the range of 0.2 to 0.4. Would the new line be classified as high risk, average risk, or low risk? What type of risk is being measured here?
   (2) Shrieves typically adds or subtracts 3 percentage points to the overall cost of capital to adjust for risk. Should the new line be accepted?
   (3) Are there any subjective risk factors that should be considered before the final decision is made?

n. What is a real option? What are some types of real options?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

A paper on the effect of inflation on capital budgeting is

The following articles pertain to other topics in this chapter:


The literature on risk analysis in capital budgeting is vast; here is a small but useful selection of additional references that bear directly on the topics covered in this chapter:


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:
Case 12, “Indian River Citrus Company (A),”

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 7, “Powerline Network Corporation (Risk and Real Options in Capital Budgeting).”
Traditional discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis—where an asset’s cash flows are estimated and then discounted to obtain the asset’s NPV—has been the cornerstone for valuing all types of assets since the 1950s. Accordingly, most of our discussion of capital budgeting has focused on DCF valuation techniques. However, in recent years a growing number of academics and practitioners have demonstrated that DCF valuation techniques do not always tell the complete story about a project’s value, and that rote use of DCF can, at times, lead to incorrect capital budgeting decisions.¹

DCF techniques were originally developed to value securities such as stocks and bonds. These securities are passive investments—once they have been purchased, most investors have no influence over the cash flows the assets produce. However, real assets are not passive investments—managerial actions can influence their results. Furthermore, investing in a new project often brings with it the potential for increasing the firm’s future opportunities. Opportunities are, in effect, options—the right but not the obligation to take some action in the future. As we demonstrate in the next section, options are valuable, so any project that expands the firm’s set of opportunities has positive option value. Similarly, any project that reduces the set of future opportunities destroys option value. A project’s impact on the firm’s opportunities, or its option value, may not be captured by conventional NPV analysis, so this option value should be considered separately.

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What’s the difference between a financial option and a real option? What are some specific types of real options? Do real options just occur, or can they be “created”?

2. Real options can be analyzed using a scenario approach with decision trees or using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. What are the pros and cons of the two approaches? Is one procedure “better” than the other?

3. Option values are extinguished when they are exercised. How does this influence capital budgeting decisions? What considerations, or types of analysis, might lead management to “take the plunge” and proceed with a project rather than keep delaying it?

4. Suppose a company uses the NPV method, along with risk-adjusted WACCs, to calculate project NPVs. However, it has not been considering real options in its capital budgeting decisions. Now suppose the company changes its capital budgeting process to take account of four types of real options—timing, flexibility, growth, and abandonment. Would this decision be likely to affect some of the calculated NPVs? Explain your answer.

5. Good managers not only identify and evaluate real options in projects—they also structure projects so as to create real options. Suppose a company is considering a project to build an electric generating plant. Name some real options that might be built into the project, explain how they could be evaluated, and discuss their effects on the project’s NPV.

**VALUING REAL OPTIONS**

Recall from Chapter 13 that real options are opportunities for management to change the timing, scale, or other aspects of an investment in response to changes in market conditions. These opportunities are options in the sense that management can, if it is in the company’s best interest, undertake some action; management is not required to undertake the action. These opportunities are real, as opposed to financial, because they involve decisions regarding real assets, such as plants, equipment, and land, rather than financial assets like stocks or bonds. Four examples of real options are investment timing options, growth options, abandonment options, and flexibility options. We give an example of how to value an investment timing option and a growth option. The Web Extension to this chapter shows how to value an abandonment option.

Valuing a real option requires judgment, both to formulate the model and to estimate the inputs. Does this mean the answer won’t be useful? Definitely not. For example, the models used by NASA only approximate the centers of gravity for the moon, the earth, and other heavenly bodies, yet even with these “errors” in their models, NASA was able to put a man on the moon. As one professor said,
Real options change a project’s expected cash flows and risk. The discounted cash flow technique, when used in decision tree analysis, can provide an approximation of the project’s value. An additional approach to finding the value of a real option is to apply an option pricing model, such as the Black-Scholes model that was discussed in Chapter 6.

“All models are wrong, but some are still quite useful.” This is especially true for real options. We might not be able to find the exact value of a real option, but the value we find can be helpful in deciding whether or not to accept the project. Equally important, the process of looking for and then valuing real options often identifies critical issues that might otherwise go unnoticed.

Five possible procedures can be used to deal with real options. Starting with the simplest, they are as follows:

1. Use discounted cash flow (DCF) valuation and ignore any real options by assuming their values are zero.
2. Use DCF valuation and include a qualitative recognition of any real option’s value.
3. Use decision tree analysis.
4. Use a standard model for a financial option.
5. Develop a unique, project-specific model using financial engineering techniques.

The following sections illustrate these procedures.

**Self-Test Question**

List the five possible procedures for dealing with real options.
THE INVESTMENT TIMING OPTION: AN ILLUSTRATION

When we discussed capital budgeting in Chapters 12 and 13, we implicitly assumed that the projects we analyzed were “take it or leave it” endeavors. In reality, there is frequently an alternative to investing immediately—the decision to invest or not can be postponed until more information becomes available. By waiting, a better-informed decision can be made, and this investment timing option adds value to the project and reduces its risk.

Murphy Systems is considering a project for a new type of handheld device that provides wireless Internet connections. The cost of the project is $50 million, but the future cash flows depend on the demand for wireless Internet connections, which is uncertain. Murphy believes there is a 25 percent chance that demand for the new device will be very high, in which case the project will generate cash flows of $33 million each year for three years. There is a 50 percent chance of average demand, with cash flows of $25 million per year, and a 25 percent chance that demand will be low and annual cash flows will be only $5 million. A preliminary analysis indicates that the project is somewhat riskier than average, so it has been assigned a cost of capital of 14 percent versus 12 percent for an average project at Murphy Systems. Here is a summary of the project’s data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Annual Cash Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$33 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>25 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected annual cash flow</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project’s cost of capital</td>
<td></td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life of project</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required investment, or cost of project</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Murphy can accept the project and implement it immediately, but since the company has a patent on the device’s core modules, it can also choose to delay the decision until next year, when more information about demand will be available. The cost will still be $50 million if Murphy waits, and the project will still be expected to generate the indicated cash flows, but each flow will be pushed back one year. However, if Murphy waits, it will know which of the demand conditions, hence which set of cash flows, will exist. If it waits, Murphy will of course make the investment only if demand is sufficient to provide a positive NPV.

Note that this real timing option resembles a call option on a stock. A call gives its owner the right to purchase a stock at a fixed exercise price, but only if the stock’s price is higher than the exercise price will the owner exercise the option and buy the stock. Similarly, if Murphy defers implementation, then it will have the right to “purchase” the project by making the $50 million investment if the NPV as calculated next year, when new information is available, is positive.

Approach 1. DCF Analysis Ignoring the Timing Option

Based on probabilities for the different levels of demand, the expected annual cash flows are $22 million per year:
Expected cash flow per year = 0.25($33) + 0.50($25) + 0.25($5)
= $22 million

Ignoring the investment timing option, the traditional NPV is $1.08 million, found as follows:

\[
\text{NPV} = -\frac{50}{1 + 0.14} + \frac{22}{(1 + 0.14)^2} + \frac{22}{(1 + 0.14)^3} = 1.08
\]

The present value of the cash inflows is $51.08 million while the cost is $50 million, leaving an NPV of $1.08 million.

Based just on this DCF analysis, Murphy should accept the project. Note, though, that if the expected cash flows had been slightly lower, say, $21.5 million per year, the NPV would have been negative and the project would have been rejected. Also, note that the project is risky—there is a 25 percent probability that demand will be weak, in which case the NPV will turn out to be a negative $38.4 million.

**Approach 2. DCF Analysis with a Qualitative Consideration of the Timing Option**

The discounted cash flow analysis suggests that the project should be accepted, but just barely, and it ignores the existence of a possibly valuable real option. If Murphy implements the project now, it gains an expected (but risky) NPV of $1.08 million. However, accepting now means that it is also giving up the option to wait and learn more about market demand before making the commitment. Thus, the decision is this: Is the option Murphy would be giving up worth more or less than $1.08 million? If the option is worth more than $1.08 million, then Murphy should not give up the option, which means deferring the decision, and vice versa if the option is worth less than $1.08 million.

Based on the discussion of financial options in Chapter 6, what qualitative assessment can we make regarding the option’s value? Put another way, without doing any additional calculations, does it appear that Murphy should go forward now or wait? In thinking about this decision, first note that the value of an option is higher if the current value of the underlying asset is high relative to its exercise price, other things held constant. For example, a call option with an exercise cost of $50 on a stock with a current price of $50 is worth more than if the current price were $20. The exercise price of the project is $50 million, while our first guess at the value of its cash flows is $51.08 million. We will calculate the exact value of Murphy’s underlying asset later, but the DCF analysis does suggest that the underlying asset’s value will be close to the exercise price, so the option should be valuable. We also know that an option’s value is higher the longer its time to expiration. Here the option has a one-year life, which is fairly long for an option, and this too suggests that the option is probably valuable. Finally, we know that the value of an option increases with the risk of the underlying asset. The data used in the DCF analysis indicate that the project is quite risky, which again suggests that the option is valuable.

Thus, our qualitative assessment indicates that the option to delay might well be more valuable than the expected NPV of $1.08 if we undertake the project immediately. This is quite subjective, but the qualitative assessment should make Murphy’s management pause, and then go on to make a quantitative assessment of the situation.
Approach 3. Scenario Analysis and Decision Trees

Part 1 of Figure 14-1 presents a scenario analysis similar to the ones in Chapter 13, except now the cash flows are shown as a decision tree diagram. Each possible outcome is shown as a “branch” on the tree. Each branch shows the cash flows and probability of a scenario, laid out as a time line. Thus, the top line, which gives the payoffs of the high-demand scenario, has positive cash flows of $33 million for the next three years, and its NPV is $26.61 million. The average-demand branch in the middle has an NPV of $8.04 million, while the NPV of the low-demand branch is a negative $38.39 million. Since Murphy will suffer a $38.39 million loss if demand is weak, and since there is a 25 percent probability of weak demand, the project is clearly risky.

The expected NPV is the weighted average of the three possible outcomes, with the weight for each outcome being its probability. The sum in the last column in Part 1 shows that the expected NPV is $1.08 million, the same as in the original DCF analysis. Part 1 also shows a standard deviation of $24.02 million for the NPV, and a coefficient of variation, defined as the ratio of standard deviation to the expected NPV, of 22.32, which is quite large. Clearly, the project is quite risky under the analysis thus far.

Part 2 is set up similarly to Part 1 except that it shows what happens if Murphy delays the decision and then implements the project only if demand turns out to be high or average. No cost is incurred now at Year 0—here the only action is to wait. Then, if demand is average or high, Murphy will spend $50 million at Year 1 and receive either $33 million or $25 million per year for the following three years. If demand is low, as shown on the bottom branch, Murphy will spend nothing at Year 1 and will receive no cash flows in subsequent years. The NPV of the high-demand branch is $23.35 million and that of the average-demand branch is $7.05 million. Because all cash flows under the low-demand scenario are zero, the NPV in this case will also be zero. The expected NPV if Murphy delays the decision is $9.36 million.

This analysis shows that the project’s expected NPV will be much higher if Murphy delays than if it invests immediately. Also, since there is no possibility of losing money under the delay option, this decision also lowers the project’s risk. This clearly indicates that the option to wait is valuable, hence that Murphy should wait until Year 1 before deciding whether to proceed with the investment.

Before we conclude the discussion of decision trees, note that we used the same cost of capital, 14 percent, to discount cash flows in the “proceed immediately” scenario analysis in Part 1 and under the “delay one year” scenario in Part 2. However, for three reasons this is not appropriate. First, since there is no possibility of losing money under the delay option, this decision also lowers the project’s risk. This clearly indicates that the option to wait is valuable, hence that Murphy should wait until Year 1 before deciding whether to proceed with the investment.

Second, the 14 percent cost of capital might be appropriate for risky cash flows, yet the investment in the project at Year 1 in Part 2 is known with certainty. Perhaps, then, we should discount it at the risk-free rate. Third, the project’s cash inflows (excluding the initial investment) are different in Part 2 than in Part 1 because the low-demand cash flows are eliminated. This suggests that if 14 percent is the appropriate cost of capital in the “proceed immediately” case, some lower rate would be appropriate in the “delay decision” case.

---

**Part 1. Scenario Analysis: Proceed with Project Today**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE CASH FLOWS</th>
<th>NPV of This Scenario</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability × NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now: Year 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>$4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>–$9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expected value of NPVs = $1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard deviation = $24.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient of variation = 22.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part 2. Decision Tree Analysis: Implement Next Year Only If Optimal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE CASH FLOWS</th>
<th>NPV of This Scenario</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability × NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now: Year 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>–$50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>–$50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>$3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expected value of NPVs = $9.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard deviation = $8.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient of variation = 0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- The WACC is 14 percent.
- The standard deviation is calculated as explained in Chapter 2.
- The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.
- The NPV in Part 2 is as of Year 0. Therefore, each of the project cash flows is discounted back one more year than in Part 1.
In Figure 14-2, Part 1, we repeat the “delay decision” analysis, with one exception. We continue to discount the operating cash flows in Year 2 through Year 4 at the 14 percent WACC, but now we discount the project’s cost back at Year 1 with the risk-free rate, 6 percent. This increases the PV of the cost, and that lowers the NPV from $9.36 million to $6.88 million. Note, though, that we really don’t know precisely the appropriate WACC for the project—the 14 percent we used might be too high or too low for the operating cash flows in Year 2 through Year 4.\(^3\) Therefore, in Part 2 of Figure 14-2 we show a sensitivity analysis of the NPV where the discount rates used for both the operating cash flows and for the project’s cost vary. This sensitivity analysis shows that under all reasonable WACCs, the NPV of delaying is greater than $1.08 million, the NPV of immediate implementation. This means that the option to wait is more valuable than the $1.08 million resulting from immediate implementation. Therefore, Murphy should wait rather than implement the project immediately.

**Approach 4. Valuing the Timing Option with the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model**

The decision tree approach, coupled with a sensitivity analysis, may provide enough information for a good decision. However, it is often useful to obtain additional insights into the real option’s value, which means using the fourth procedure, an option pricing model. To do this, the analyst must find a standard financial option that resembles the project’s real option.\(^4\) As noted earlier, Murphy’s option to delay the project is similar to a call option on a stock; hence the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model can be used. This model requires five inputs: (1) the risk-free rate, (2) the time until the option expires, (3) the exercise price, (4) the current price of the stock, and (5) the variance of the stock’s rate of return. Therefore, we need to estimate values for those five inputs.

First, assuming that the rate on a 52-week Treasury bill is 6 percent, this rate can be used as the risk-free rate. Second, Murphy must decide within a year whether or not to implement the project, so there is one year until the option expires. Third, it will cost $50 million to implement the project, so $50 million can be used for the exercise price. Fourth, we need a proxy for the value of the underlying asset, which in Black-Scholes is the current price of the stock. Note that a stock’s current price is the present value of its expected future cash flows. For Murphy’s real option, the underlying asset is the project itself, and its current “price” is the present value of its expected future cash flows. Therefore, as a proxy for the stock price we can use the present value of the project’s future cash flows. And fifth, the variance of the project’s expected return can be used to represent the variance of the stock’s return in the Black-Scholes model.

Figure 14-3 shows how one can estimate the present value of the project’s cash inflows. We need to find the current value of the underlying asset, that is, the project. For a stock, the current price is the present value of all expected future

\(^3\)The cash inflows if we delay might be considered more risky if there is a chance that the delay might cause those flows to decline due to the loss of Murphy’s “first mover advantage.” Put another way, we might gain information by waiting, and that could lower risk, but if a delay would enable others to enter and perhaps preempt the market, this could increase risk. In our example, we assumed that Murphy has a patent on critical components of the device, hence that no one could come in and preempt its position in the market.

\(^4\)In theory, financial option pricing models apply only to assets that are continuously traded in a market. Even though real options usually don’t meet this criterion, financial option models often provide a reasonably accurate approximation of the real option’s value.
Notes:

aThe operating cash flows in Year 2 through Year 4 are discounted at the WACC of 14 percent. The cost in Year 1 is discounted at the risk-free rate of 6 percent.

bThe standard deviation is calculated as explained in Chapter 2.

cThe coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.
cash flows, including those that are expected even if we do not exercise the call option. Note also that the exercise price for a call option has no effect on the stock’s current price. For our real option, the underlying asset is the delayed project, and its current “price” is the present value of all its future expected cash flows. Just as the price of a stock includes all of its future cash flows, the present value of the project should include all of its possible future cash flows. Moreover, since the price of a stock is not affected by the exercise price of a call option, we ignore the project’s “exercise price,” or cost, when we find its present value. Figure 14-3 shows the expected cash flows if the project is delayed. The PV of these cash flows as of now (Year 0) is $44.80 million, and this is the input we should use for the current price in the Black-Scholes model.

The last required input is the variance of the project’s return. Three different approaches could be used to estimate this input. First, we could use judgment—an educated guess. Here we would begin by recalling that a company is a portfolio of projects (or assets), with each project having its own risk. Since returns on the company’s stock reflect the diversification gained by combining many projects, we might expect the variance of the stock’s returns to be lower than the variance of one of its average projects. The variance of an average company’s stock return is about 12 percent, so we might expect the variance for a typical project to be

---

### Notes:
- The WACC is 14 percent. All cash flows in this scenario are discounted back to Year 0.
- Here we find the PV, not the NPV, as the project’s cost is ignored.
- The standard deviation is calculated as explained in Chapter 2.
- The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.

---

### Figure 14-3 Estimating the Input for Stock Price in the Option Analysis of the Investment Timing Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE CASH FLOWS</th>
<th>PV of This Scenario</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability × PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now: Year 0</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Expected value of PVs = $44.80
- Standard deviation = $21.07
- Coefficient of variation = 0.47
somewhat higher, say, 15 to 25 percent. Companies in the Internet infrastructure industry are riskier than average, so we might subjectively estimate the variance of Murphy’s project to be in the range of 18 percent to 30 percent.

The second approach, called the direct method, is to estimate the rate of return for each possible outcome and then calculate the variance of those returns. First, Part 1 in Figure 14-4 shows the PV for each possible outcome as of Year 1, the time when the option expires. Here we simply find the present value of all future operating cash flows discounted back to Year 1, using the WACC of 14 percent. The Year 1 present value is $76.61 million for high demand, $58.04 million for average demand, and $11.61 million for low demand. Then, in Part 2, we show the percentage return from the current time until the option expires for each scenario, based on the $44.80 million starting “price” of the project at Year 0 as calculated in Figure 14-3. If demand is high, we will obtain a return of 71.0 percent: ($76.61 − $44.80)/$44.80 = 0.710 = 71.0 percent. Similar calculations show returns of 29.5 percent for average demand and −74.1 percent for low demand. The expected percentage return is 14 percent, the standard deviation is 53.6 percent, and the variance is 28.7 percent.6

The third approach for estimating the variance is also based on the scenario data, but the data are used in a different manner. First, we know that demand is not really limited to three scenarios—rather, a wide range of outcomes is possible. Similarly, the stock price at the time a call option expires could take on one of many values. It is reasonable to assume that the value of the project at the time when we must decide on undertaking it behaves similarly to the price of a stock at the time a call option expires. Under this assumption, we can use the expected value and standard deviation of the project’s value to calculate the variance of its rate of return, \( \sigma^2 \), with this formula:7

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{\ln(CV^2 + 1)}{t}
\]

Here CV is the coefficient of variation of the underlying asset’s price at the time the option expires and t is the time until the option expires. Thus, while the three scenarios are simplifications of the true condition, where there are an infinite number of possible outcomes, we can still use the scenario data to estimate the variance of the project’s rate of return if it has an infinite number of possible outcomes.

For Murphy’s project, this indirect method produces the following estimate of the variance of the project’s return:

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{\ln(0.47^2 + 1)}{1} = 0.20 = 20\%
\]

---

6 Two points should be made about the percentage return. First, for use in the Black-Scholes model, we need a percentage return calculated as shown, not an IRR return. The IRR is not used in the option pricing approach. Second, the expected return turns out to be 14 percent, the same as the WACC. This is because the Year 0 price and the Year 1 PVs were all calculated using the 14 percent WACC, and because we are measuring return over only one year. If we measure the compound return over more than one year, then the average return generally will not equal 14 percent.

7 See David C. Shimko, Finance in Continuous Time (Miami, FL: Kolb Publishing Company, 1992), for a more detailed explanation.
### Part 1. Find the Value and Risk of Future Cash Flows at the Time the Option Expires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE CASH FLOWS</th>
<th>PV in Year 1 for This Scenario</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability × PV&lt;sub&gt;Year 1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now: Year 0</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$33</td>
<td>$76.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$58.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$11.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Expected value of PV<sub>Year 1</sub> = $51.08
- Standard deviation of PV<sub>Year 1</sub> = $24.02
- Coefficient of variation of PV<sub>Year 1</sub> = 0.47

### Part 2. Direct Method: Use the Scenarios to Directly Estimate the Variance of the Project's Return

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price&lt;sub&gt;Year 0&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>PV&lt;sub&gt;Year 1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Return&lt;sub&gt;Year 1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability × Return&lt;sub&gt;Year 1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$76.61</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$44.80</td>
<td>$58.04</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$11.61</td>
<td>-74.1%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Expected return = 14.0%
- Standard deviation of return = 53.6%
- Variance of return = 28.7%

---

**Notes:**

- The WACC is 14 percent. The Year 2 through Year 4 cash flows are discounted back to Year 1.
- The standard deviation is calculated as explained in Chapter 2.
- The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.
- The Year 0 price is the expected PV from Figure 14-3.
- The Year 1 PVs are from Part 1.
- The returns for each scenario are calculated as (PV<sub>Year 1</sub> - Price<sub>Year 0</sub>) / Price<sub>Year 0</sub>.
- The variance of return is the standard deviation squared.
Which of the three approaches is best? Obviously, they all involve judgment, so an analyst might want to consider all three. In our example, all three methods produce similar estimates, but for illustrative purposes we will simply use 20 percent as our initial estimate for the variance of the project’s rate of return.

Part 1 of Figure 14-5 calculates the value of the option to defer investment in the project based on the Black-Scholes model, and the result is $7.04 million. Since this is significantly higher than the $1.08 million NPV under immediate implementation, and since the option would be forfeited if Murphy goes ahead right now, we conclude that the company should defer the final decision until more information is available.

Note, though, that judgmental estimates were made at many points in the analysis, and it is useful to see how sensitive the final outcome is to certain of the key inputs. Thus, in Part 2 of Figure 14-5 we show the sensitivity of the option’s value to different estimates of the variance. It is comforting to see that for all reasonable estimates of variance, the option to delay remains more valuable than immediate implementation.

### Approach 5. Financial Engineering

Sometimes an analyst might not be satisfied with the results of a decision tree analysis and cannot find a standard financial option that corresponds to the real option. In such a situation the only alternative is to develop a unique model for the specific real option being analyzed, a process called financial engineering. When financial engineering is applied on Wall Street, where it was developed, the result is a newly designed financial product. When it is applied to real options, the result is the value of a project that contains embedded options.

Although financial engineering was originally developed on Wall Street, many financial engineering techniques have been applied to real options during the last 10 years. We expect this trend to continue, especially in light of the rapid improvements in computer processing speed and spreadsheet software capabilities. One financial engineering technique is called risk-neutral valuation. This technique uses simulation, and we discuss it in the Chapter 14 Web Extension located on the

---

**Notes:**

1. The expected “price” at the time the option expires is taken from Part 1.
2. The standard deviation of expected “price” at the time the option expires is taken from Part 1.

---

| Expected “price” at the time the option expires | $51.08 |
| Standard deviation of expected “price” at the time the option expires | $24.02 |
| Coefficient of variation (CV) | 0.47 |
| Time (in years) until the option expires | 1 |

Variance of the project’s expected return = \( \ln(CV^2 + 1)/t = 20.0\% \)
Most other financial engineering techniques are too complicated for a course in financial management, and so we leave a detailed discussion of them to a specialized course.

For an illustrative valuation of an abandonment option, see the Chapter 14 Web Extension. The calculations are also shown in IFM9 Ch14 Tool Kit.xls, found on the ThomsonNOW Web site.

What is a decision tree?

In a qualitative analysis, what factors affect the value of a real option?

**Notes:**

aThe current value of the project is taken from Figure 14-3.

bThe variance of the project’s rate of return is taken from Part 3 of Figure 14-4.

---

**Figure 14-5** Estimating the Value of the Investment Timing Option Using a Standard Financial Option (Millions of Dollars)

PART 1. FIND THE VALUE OF A CALL OPTION USING THE BLACK-SCHOLES MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_{RF}$ = Risk-free interest rate = 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$ = Time in years until the option expires = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X$ = Cost to implement the project = $50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ = Current value of the project = $44.80^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2$ = Variance of the project’s rate of return = 20.0%$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_1$ = $(\ln(P/X) + [r_{RF} + (\sigma^2/2)t]/(\sigma t^{1/2}))$ = 0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_2$ = $d_1 - \sigma t^{1/2}$ = $-0.33$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N(d_1)$ = $0.54$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N(d_2)$ = $0.37$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ = $P[N(d_1)] - Xe^{-r_{RF}t}[N(d_2)]$ = $7.04$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF OPTION VALUE TO CHANGES IN VARIANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Option Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>$5.24$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>9.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

ThomsonNOW Web site. Most other financial engineering techniques are too complicated for a course in financial management, and so we leave a detailed discussion of them to a specialized course.

For an illustrative valuation of an abandonment option, see the Chapter 14 Web Extension. The calculations are also shown in IFM9 Ch14 Tool Kit.xls, found on the ThomsonNOW Web site.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is a decision tree?

In a qualitative analysis, what factors affect the value of a real option?
THE GROWTH OPTION: AN ILLUSTRATION

As we saw with the investment timing option, there is frequently an alternative to merely accepting or rejecting a static project. Many investment opportunities, if successful, lead to other investment opportunities. The production capacity of a successful product line can later be expanded to satisfy increased demand, or distribution can be extended to new geographic markets. A company with a successful name brand can capitalize on its success by adding complimentary or new products under the same brand. These growth options add value to a project and explain, for example, why companies are flocking to make inroads into the very difficult business environment in China.

Kidco Corporation designs and produces products aimed at the pre-teen market. Most of its products have a very short life, given the rapidly changing tastes of pre-teens. Kidco is now considering a project that will cost $30 million. Management believes there is a 25 percent chance that the project will “take off” and generate operating cash flows of $34 million in each of the next two years, after which pre-teen tastes will change and the project will be terminated. There is a 50 percent chance of average demand, in which case cash flows will be $20 million annually for two years. Finally, there is a 25 percent chance that the pre-teens won’t like the product at all, and it will generate cash flows of only $2 million per year. The estimated cost of capital for the project is 14 percent.

Based on its experience with other projects, Kidco believes it will be able to launch a second-generation product if demand for the original product is average or above. This second-generation product will cost the same as the first product, $30 million, and the cost will be incurred at Year 2. However, given the success of the first-generation product, Kidco believes the second-generation product will be just as successful as the first-generation product.

This growth option resembles a call option on a stock, since it gives Kidco the opportunity to “purchase” a successful follow-on project at a fixed cost if the value of the project is greater than the cost. Otherwise, Kidco will let the option expire by not implementing the second-generation product.

The following sections apply the first four valuation approaches: (1) DCF, (2) DCF and qualitative assessment, (3) decision tree analysis, and (4) analysis with a standard financial option.

Approach 1. DCF Analysis Ignoring the Growth Option

Based on probabilities for the different levels of demand, the expected annual operating cash flows for the project are $19 million per year:

$$0.25(34) + 0.50(20) + 0.25(2) = 19.00$$

Ignoring the investment timing option, the traditional NPV is $1.29 million:

$$NPV = -30 + \frac{19}{1.14} + \frac{19}{1.14^2} = 1.29$$

Based on this DCF analysis, Kidco should accept the project.

Approach 2. DCF Analysis with a Qualitative Consideration of the Growth Option

Although the DCF analysis indicates that the project should be accepted, it ignores a potentially valuable real option. The option’s time to maturity and the volatility
of the underlying project provide qualitative insights into the option’s value. Kidco’s growth option has two years until maturity, which is a relatively long time, and the cash flows of the project are quite volatile. Taken together, this qualitative assessment indicates that the growth option should be quite valuable.

**Approach 3. Decision Tree Analysis of the Growth Option**

Part 1 of Figure 14-6 shows a scenario analysis for Kidco’s project. The top line, which describes the payoffs for the high-demand scenario, has operating cash flows of $34 million for the next two years. The NPV of this branch is $25.99 million. The NPV of the average-demand branch in the middle is $2.93 million, and it is $-26.71 million for the low-demand scenario. The sum in the last column of Part 1 shows the expected NPV of $1.29 million. The coefficient of variation is 14.54, indicating that the project is very risky.

Part 2 of Figure 14-6 shows a decision tree analysis in which Kidco undertakes the second-generation product only if demand is average or high. In these scenarios, shown on the top two branches of the decision tree, Kidco will incur a cost of $30 million at Year 2 and receive operating cash flows of either $34 million or $20 million for the next two years, depending on the level of demand. If the demand is low, shown on the bottom branch, Kidco has no cost at Year 2 and receives no additional cash flows in subsequent years. All operating cash flows, which do not include the cost of implementing the second-generation project at Year 2, are discounted at the WACC of 14 percent. Because the $30 million implementation cost is known, it is discounted at the risk-free rate of 6 percent. As shown in Part 2 of Figure 14-6, the expected NPV is $4.70 million, indicating that the growth option is quite valuable.

The option itself alters the risk of the project, which means that 14 percent is probably not the appropriate cost of capital. Table 14-1 presents the results of a sensitivity analysis in which the cost of capital for the operating cash flows varies from 8 percent to 18 percent. The sensitivity analysis also allows the rate used to discount the implementation cost at Year 2 to vary from 3 percent to 9 percent. The NPV is positive for all reasonable combinations of discount rates.

**Approach 4. Valuing the Growth Option with the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model**

The fourth approach is to use a standard model for a corresponding financial option. As we noted earlier, Kidco’s growth option is similar to a call option on a stock, and so we will use the Black-Scholes model to find the value of the growth option. The time until the growth option expires is two years. The rate on a two-year Treasury security is 6 percent, and this provides a good estimate of the risk-free rate. It will cost $30 million to implement the project, which is the exercise price.

The input for stock price in the Black-Scholes model is the current value of the underlying asset. For the growth option, the underlying asset is the second-generation project, and its current value is the present value of its cash flows. The calculations in Figure 14-7 show that this is $24.07 million. Because the exercise cost of $30 million is greater than the current “price” of $24.07 million, the growth option is presently out of the money.

Figure 14-8 shows the estimates for the variance of the project’s rate of return using the two methods described earlier in the chapter for the analysis of the investment timing option. The direct method, shown in Part 2, produces an estimate of 17.9 percent for the variance of return. The indirect method, in Part 3, estimates the variance as 15.3 percent. Both estimates are somewhat higher than the 12 percent variance of a typical company’s stock return, which is consistent
### Notes:

*The operating cash flows are discounted by the WACC of 14 percent.

*The standard deviation is calculated as in Chapter 2.

*The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.

*The total cash flows at Year 2 are equal to the operating cash flows for the first-generation product minus the $30 million cost to implement the second-generation product, if it is optimal to do so. For example, the Year 2 cash flow in the high-demand scenario is $34 − $30 = $4 million.

Based on Part 1, it is optional to implement the second-generation product only if demand is high or average.

*The operating cash flows in Year 1 through Year 2, which do not include the $30 million cost of implementing the second-generation project at Year 2 for the high-demand and average-demand scenarios, are discounted at the WACC of 14 percent. The $30 million implementation cost at Year 2 for the high-demand and average-demand scenarios is discounted at the risk-free rate of 6 percent.
Table 14-1  
**Sensitivity Analysis of the Kidco Decision Tree Analysis in Figure 14-6 (Millions of Dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of Capital Used to Discount the $30 Million Implementation Cost at Year 2 of the Second-Generation Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14-7  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE CASH FLOWS</th>
<th>PV of This Scenario $</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability $PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>$43.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$25.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected value of PVs = $24.07

Standard deviation $b = $14.39

Coefficient of variation $c = 0.60

Notes:

$\text{a}$The WACC is 14 percent. All cash flows in this scenario are discounted back to Year 0.

$\text{b}$The standard deviation is calculated as in Chapter 2.

$\text{c}$The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.
Chapter 14 Real Options

Notes:

aWACC of 14 percent. The Year 3 through Year 4 cash flows are discounted back to Year 2.
bThe standard deviation is calculated as in Chapter 2.
cThe coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the expected value.
dThe Year 2 price is the expected PV from Figure 14-7.
eThe Year 2 PVs are from Part 1.
fThe returns for each scenario are calculated as \((\frac{PV_{Year\,2}}{Price_{Year\,0}})^{0.5} - 1\).
gThe expected one-year return is not equal to the cost of capital, 14 percent. However, if you do the calculations, you’ll see the expected two-year return is 14 percent compounded twice, or \((1.14)^2 - 1 = 29.26\%\).
hThe variance of return is the standard deviation squared.
In September 2000, several dot-com companies had recently failed, including DEN (Digital Entertainment Network) and Boo.com, an e-tailer of clothing. Other dot-coms had incredible market valuations, such as Yahoo! ($58.2 billion), Amazon.com ($15.5 billion), and America Online ($126.9 billion).

What explains these wide variations in values? It’s certainly not the physical assets the companies own, since Yahoo! has enormous value but virtually no physical assets. Based on the corporate valuation model, we might be tempted to say the differences are explained by free cash flows. Perhaps dot-coms such as Amazon and Yahoo! have large expected future free cash flows, and their high values reflect this, but we certainly can’t base that conclusion on their past results.

This is where real options come into play. Given its name recognition, infrastructure, and customer base, Amazon is in a position to grow into a variety of businesses, some of which might be very profitable. The same is true for Yahoo!. In other words, it has many growth options with very low exercise prices. We know from our discussion of real options that an option is more valuable if the underlying source of risk is very volatile, and it’s hard to imagine anything more volatile than the prospects of profitability in e-commerce. The field of e-commerce may end up being so competitive that there is little profit for the participating companies, or it may replace most existing forms of commerce, with the first-movers having an enormous advantage. This uncertainty means that a growth option in e-commerce is very valuable. Therefore, companies with many growth options should have high valuations.

Note that just being a dot-com company is not enough to create value. DEN and Boo.com had substantial obligations but very few options, which led to their demise.

For dot-com companies, the key to high valuations is to create as many growth options as possible.

Source: Geoffrey Colvin, “You’re Only as Good as Your Choices,” *Fortune*, June 12, 2000, p. 75.

---

**Figure 14-8** Estimating the Input for Stock Return Variance in the Growth Option Analysis (Millions of Dollars) (continued)

**PART 3. INDIRECT METHOD: USE THE SCENARIOS TO INDIRECTLY ESTIMATE THE VARIANCE OF THE PROJECT’S RETURN**

\[
\text{Expected “price” at the time the option expires} = \$31.29
\]

\[
\text{Standard deviation of expected “price” at the time the option expires} = \$18.70
\]

\[
\text{Coefficient of variation (CV)} = 0.60
\]

\[
\text{Time (in years) until the option expires} = 2
\]

\[
\text{Variance of the project’s expected return} = \frac{\ln(\text{CV}^2 + 1)}{t} = 15.3\%
\]

**Notes:**

1 The expected “price” at the time the option expires is taken from Part 1.

2 The standard deviation of the expected “price” at the time the option expires is taken from Part 1.
with the idea that a stock’s variance is lower than a project’s due to diversification effects. Thus, an estimated variance of 15 percent to 20 percent seems reasonable. We use an initial estimate of 15.3 percent in our initial application of the Black-Scholes model, shown in Part 1 of Figure 14-9.

Using the Black-Scholes model for a call option, Figure 14-9 shows a $4.34 million value for the growth option. The total NPV is the sum of the first-generation project’s NPV and the value of the growth option: Total NPV = $1.29 + $4.34 = $5.63 million, which is much higher than the NPV of only the first-generation project. As this analysis shows, the growth option adds considerable value to the original project. In addition, sensitivity analysis in Part 2 of Figure 14-9 shows that the growth option’s value is large for all reasonable values of variance. Thus, Kidco should accept the project.
CONCLUDING THOUGHTS ON REAL OPTIONS

We don’t deny that real options can be pretty complicated. Keep in mind, however, that 50 years ago very few companies used NPV because it seemed too complicated. Now NPV is a basic tool used by virtually all companies and taught in all business schools. A similar, but more rapid, pattern of adoption is occurring with real options. Ten years ago very few companies used real options, but a recent survey of CFOs reported that more than 26 percent of companies now use real option techniques when evaluating projects. Just as with NPV, it’s only a matter of time before virtually all companies use real option techniques.

We have provided you with some basic tools necessary for evaluating real options, starting with the ability to identify real options and make qualitative assessments regarding a real option’s value. Decision trees are another important tool, since they facilitate an explicit identification of the embedded options, which is very important in the decision-making process. However, keep in mind that the decision tree should not use the original project’s cost of capital. Although finance theory has not yet provided a way to estimate the appropriate cost of capital for a decision tree, sensitivity analysis can identify the effect that different costs of capital have on the project’s value.

Many real options can be analyzed using a standard model for an existing financial option, such as the Black-Scholes model for calls and puts. There are also other financial models for a variety of options. These include the option to exchange one asset for another, the option to purchase the minimum or the maximum of two or more assets, the option on an average of several assets, and even an option on an option. In fact, there are entire textbooks that describe even more options. Given the large number of standard models for existing financial options, it is often possible to find a financial option that resembles the real option being analyzed.

Sometimes there are some real options that don’t resemble any financial options. But the good news is that many of these options can be valued using techniques from financial engineering. This is frequently the case if there is a traded financial asset that matches the risk of the real option. For example, many oil companies use oil futures contracts to price the real options that are embedded in various exploration and leasing strategies. With the explosion in the markets for derivatives, there are now financial contracts that span an incredible variety of risks. This means that an ever-increasing number of real options can be valued using these financial instruments. Most financial engineering techniques are beyond the scope of this book, but we list some useful sources in the references at the end of the chapter. In addition, the Chapter 14 Web Extension describes one particularly useful financial engineering technique called risk-neutral valuation.

How widely used is real option analysis?
What techniques can be used to analyze real options?

---

SUMMARY

In this chapter we discussed some topics that go beyond the simple capital budgeting framework, including the following:

- Investing in a new project often brings with it a potential increase in the firm's future opportunities. Opportunities are, in effect, options—the right but not the obligation to take some future action.
- A project may have an option value that is not accounted for in a conventional NPV analysis. Any project that expands the firm's set of opportunities has positive option value.
- Opportunities to respond to changing circumstances are called managerial options because they give managers the option to influence the outcome of a project. They are also called strategic options because they are often associated with large, strategic projects rather than routine maintenance projects. Finally, they are also called real options because they involve “real,” rather than “financial,” assets.
- Many projects include a variety of embedded options that can dramatically affect the true NPV. Examples of embedded options include (1) “investment timing options” that allow a firm to delay a project, (2) “growth options” that enable a firm to manage its capacity in response to changing market conditions, (3) “abandonment options,” and (4) “flexibility” options that allow a firm to modify its operations over time.
- An investment timing option involves not only the decision of whether to proceed with a project but also the decision of when to proceed with it. This opportunity to affect a project's timing can dramatically change its estimated value.
- A growth option occurs if an investment creates the opportunity to make other potentially profitable investments that would not otherwise be possible. These include: (1) options to expand output, (2) options to enter a new geographical market, and (3) options to introduce complementary products or successive generations of products.
- The abandonment option is the ability to abandon a project if the operating cash flows and/or abandonment value turn out to be lower than expected. It reduces the riskiness of a project and increases its value. Instead of total abandonment, some options allow a company to reduce capacity or temporarily suspend operations.
- A flexibility option is the option to modify operations depending on how conditions develop during a project’s life, especially the type of output produced or the inputs used.
- There are five possible procedures for valuing real options: (1) DCF analysis only, and ignore the real option, (2) DCF analysis and a qualitative assessment of the real option’s value, (3) decision tree analysis, (4) analysis with a standard model for an existing financial option, and (5) financial engineering techniques.

QUESTIONS

14-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Real options; managerial options; strategic options; embedded option
   b. Investment timing option; growth option; abandonment option; flexibility option
   c. Decision trees

14-2 What factors should a company consider when it decides whether to invest in a project today or to wait until more information becomes available?
In general, do timing options make it more or less likely that a project will be accepted today?

If a company has an option to abandon a project, would this tend to make the company more or less likely to accept the project today?

**PROBLEMS**

**14-1**

Investment Timing Option: Decision Tree Analysis

Kim Hotels is interested in developing a new hotel in Seoul. The company estimates that the hotel would require an initial investment of $20 million. Kim expects that the hotel will produce positive cash flows of $3 million a year at the end of each of the next 20 years. The project’s cost of capital is 13 percent.

a. What is the project’s net present value?

b. While Kim expects the cash flows to be $3 million a year, it recognizes that the cash flows could, in fact, be much higher or lower, depending on whether the Korean government imposes a large hotel tax. One year from now, Kim will know whether the tax will be imposed. There is a 50 percent chance that the tax will be imposed, in which case the yearly cash flows will be only $2.2 million. At the same time, there is a 50 percent chance that the tax will not be imposed, in which case the yearly cash flows will be $3.8 million. Kim is deciding whether to proceed with the hotel today or to wait 1 year to find out whether the tax will be imposed. If Kim waits a year, the initial investment will remain at $20 million. Assume that all cash flows are discounted at 13 percent. Using decision tree analysis, should Kim proceed with the project today or should it wait a year before deciding?

**14-2**

Investment Timing Option: Decision Tree Analysis

The Karns Oil Company is deciding whether to drill for oil on a tract of land that the company owns. The company estimates that the project would cost $8 million today. Karns estimates that once drilled, the oil will generate positive net cash flows of $4 million a year at the end of each of the next 4 years. While the company is fairly confident about its cash flow forecast, it recognizes that if it waits 2 years, it would have more information about the local geology as well as the price of oil. Karns estimates that if it waits 2 years, the project would cost $9 million. Moreover, if it waits 2 years, there is a 90 percent chance that the net cash flows would be $4.2 million a year for 4 years, and there is a 10 percent chance that the cash flows will be $2.2 million a year for 4 years. Assume that all cash flows are discounted at 10 percent.

a. If the company chooses to drill today, what is the project’s net present value?

b. Using decision tree analysis, does it make sense to wait 2 years before deciding whether to drill?

**14-3**

Investment Timing Option: Decision Tree Analysis

Hart Lumber is considering the purchase of a paper company. Purchasing the company would require an initial investment of $300 million. Hart estimates that the paper company would provide net cash flows of $40 million at the end of each of the next 20 years. The cost of capital for the paper company is 13 percent.

a. Should Hart purchase the paper company?

b. While Hart’s best guess is that cash flows will be $40 million a year, it recognizes that there is a 50 percent chance the cash flows will be $50 million a year, and a 50 percent chance that the cash flows will be $30 million a year. One year from now, it will find out whether the cash flows will be $30 million or $50 million. In addition, Hart also recognizes that if it wanted, it could sell the company at Year 3 for $280 million. Given this additional information, does using decision tree analysis indicate that it makes sense to purchase the paper company? Again, assume that all cash flows are discounted at 13 percent.
Utah Enterprises is considering buying a vacant lot that sells for $1.2 million. If the property is purchased, the company’s plan is to spend another $5 million today \((t = 0)\) to build a hotel on the property. The after-tax cash flows from the hotel will depend critically on whether the state imposes a tourism tax in this year’s legislative session. If the tax is imposed, the hotel is expected to produce after-tax cash inflows of $600,000 at the end of each of the next 15 years. If the tax is not imposed, the hotel is expected to produce after-tax cash inflows of $1,200,000 at the end of each of the next 15 years. The project has a 12 percent cost of capital. Assume at the outset that the company does not have the option to delay the project. Use decision tree analysis to answer the following questions.

a. What is the project’s expected NPV if the tax is imposed?

b. What is the project’s expected NPV if the tax is not imposed?

c. Given that there is a 50 percent chance that the tax will be imposed, what is the project’s expected NPV if they proceed with it today?

d. While the company does not have an option to delay construction, it does have the option to abandon the project 1 year from now if the tax is imposed. If it abandons the project, it would sell the complete property 1 year from now at an expected price of $6 million. Once the project is abandoned the company would no longer receive any cash inflows from it. Assuming that all cash flows are discounted at 12 percent, would the existence of this abandonment option affect the company’s decision to proceed with the project today?

e. Finally, assume that there is no option to abandon or delay the project, but that the company has an option to purchase an adjacent property in 1 year at a price of $1.5 million. If the tourism tax is imposed, the net present value of developing this property \((as \ of \ t = 1)\) is only $300,000 (so it wouldn’t make sense to purchase the property for $1.5 million). However, if the tax is not imposed, the net present value of the future opportunities from developing the property would be $4 million \((as \ of \ t = 1)\). Thus, under this scenario it would make sense to purchase the property for $1.5 million. Assume that these cash flows are discounted at 12 percent, and the probability that the tax will be imposed is still 50 percent. How much would the company pay today for the option to purchase this property 1 year from now for $1.5 million?

Rework Problem 14-1 using the Black-Scholes model to estimate the value of the option. (Hint: Assume the variance of the project’s rate of return is 6.87 percent and the risk-free rate is 8 percent.)

Rework Problem 14-2 using the Black-Scholes model to estimate the value of the option: The risk-free rate is 6 percent. (Hint: Assume the variance of the project’s rate of return is 1.11 percent.)

Fethe’s Funny Hats is considering selling trademarked curly orange-haired wigs for University of Tennessee football games. The purchase cost for a 2-year franchise to sell the wigs is $20,000. If demand is good (40 percent probability), then the net cash flows will be $25,000 per year for 2 years. If demand is bad (60 percent probability), then the net cash flows will be $5,000 per year for 2 years. Fethe’s cost of capital is 10 percent.

a. What is the expected NPV of the project?

b. If Fethe makes the investment today, then it will have the option to renew the franchise fee for 2 more years at the end of Year 2 for an additional payment of $20,000. In this case, the cash flows that occurred in Years 1 and 2 will be repeated (so if demand was good in Years 1 and 2, then it will continue to be good in Years 3 and 4). Write out the decision tree and use decision tree analysis to calculate the expected NPV of this project including the option to continue on for an additional 2 years. Note: The franchise fee payment at the
end of Year 2 is known, so it should be discounted at the risk-free rate, which is 6 percent.

**14-8 Growth Option: Option Analysis**

Rework Problem 14-7 using the Black-Scholes model to estimate the value of the option. The risk-free rate is 6 percent. (Hint: Assume the variance of the project’s rate of return is 0.2025.)

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

**14-9 Build a Model: Real Options**

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch14 P9 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Bradford Services Inc. (BSI) is considering a project that has a cost of $10 million and an expected life of 3 years. There is a 30 percent probability of good conditions, in which case the project will provide a cash flow of $9 million at the end of each year for 3 years. There is a 40 percent probability of medium conditions, in which case the annual cash flows will be $4 million, and there is a 30 percent probability of bad conditions and a cash flow of $-1 million per year. BSI uses a 12 percent cost of capital to evaluate projects like this.

a. Find the project’s expected present value, NPV, and the coefficient of variation of the present value.

b. Now suppose that BSI can abandon the project at the end of the first year by selling it for $6 million. BSI will still receive the Year 1 cash flows, but will receive no cash flows in subsequent years.

c. Now assume that the project cannot be shut down. However, expertise gained by taking it on would lead to an opportunity at the end of Year 3 to undertake a venture that would have the same cost as the original project, and the new project’s cash flows would follow whichever branch resulted for the original project. In other words, there would be a second $10 million cost at the end of Year 3, and then cash flows of either $9 million, $4 million, or $-1 million for the following 3 years. Use decision tree analysis to estimate the value of the project, including the opportunity to implement the new project at Year 3. Assume the $10 million cost at Year 3 is known with certainty and should be discounted at the risk-free rate of 6 percent.

d. Now suppose the original (no abandonment and no additional growth) project could be delayed a year. All the cash flows would remain unchanged, but information obtained during that year would tell the company exactly which set of demand conditions existed. Use decision tree analysis to estimate the value of the project if it is delayed by 1 year. (Hint: Discount the $10 million cost at the risk-free rate of 6 percent since it is known with certainty.)

e. Go back to part c. Instead of using decision tree analysis, use the Black-Scholes model to estimate the value of the growth option. The risk-free rate is 6 percent, and the variance of the project’s rate of return is 22 percent.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
minicase

Assume that you have just been hired as a financial analyst by Tropical Sweets Inc., a mid-sized California company that specializes in creating exotic candies from tropical fruits such as mangoes, papayas, and dates. The firm’s CEO, George Yamaguchi, recently returned from an industry corporate executive conference in San Francisco, and one of the sessions he attended was on real options. Because no one at Tropical Sweets is familiar with the basics of real options, Yamaguchi has asked you to prepare a brief report that the firm’s executives can use to gain at least a cursory understanding of the topics.

To begin, you gathered some outside materials on the subject and used these materials to draft a list of pertinent questions that need to be answered. In fact, one possible approach to the paper is to use a question-and-answer format. Now that the questions have been drafted, you have to develop the answers.

a. What are some types of real options?

b. What are five possible procedures for analyzing a real option?

c. Tropical Sweets is considering a project that will cost $70 million and will generate expected cash flows of $30 million per year for 3 years. The cost of capital for this type of project is 10 percent and the risk-free rate is 6 percent. After discussions with the marketing department, you learn that there is a 30 percent chance of high demand, with future cash flows of $45 million per year. There is a 40 percent chance of average demand, with cash flows of $30 million per year. If demand is low (a 30 percent chance), cash flows will be only $15 million per year. What is the expected NPV?

d. Now suppose this project has an investment timing option, since it can be delayed for a year. The cost will still be $70 million at the end of the year, and the cash flows for the scenarios will still last 3 years. However, Tropical Sweets will know the level of demand, and will implement the project only if it adds value to the company. Perform a qualitative assessment of the investment timing option’s value.

e. Use decision tree analysis to calculate the NPV of the project with the investment timing option.

f. Use a financial option pricing model to estimate the value of the investment timing option.

g. Now suppose the cost of the project is $75 million and the project cannot be delayed. But if Tropical Sweets implements the project, then Tropical Sweets will have a growth option. It will have the opportunity to replicate the original project at the end of its life. What is the total expected NPV of the two projects if both are implemented?

h. Tropical Sweets will replicate the original project only if demand is high. Using decision tree analysis, estimate the value of the project with the growth option.

i. Use a financial option model to estimate the value of the project with the growth option.

j. What happens to the value of the growth option if the variance of the project’s return is 14.2 percent? What if it is 50 percent? How might this explain the high valuations of many dot-com companies?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Here are some references on real options:


Strategic Financing Decisions
CHAPTER 15
Capital Structure
Decisions: Part I, 508

CHAPTER 16
Capital Structure
Decisions: Part II, 548

CHAPTER 17
Distributions to Shareholders: Dividends and Repurchases, 582
As we saw in Chapters 9 and 11, all firms need operating capital to support their sales. To acquire that operating capital, funds must be raised, usually as a combination of equity and debt. The firm’s mixture of debt and equity is called its capital structure. Although actual levels of debt and equity may vary somewhat over time, most firms try to keep their financing mix close to a target capital structure. The capital structure decisions include a firm’s choice of a target capital structure, the average maturity of its debt, and the specific sources of financing it chooses at any particular time. As with operating decisions, managers should make capital structure decisions designed to maximize the firm’s value.
As you read this chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What is business risk? List and then discuss some factors that affect business risk.
2. What is financial risk? How is it related to business risk?
3. Who are Modigliani and Miller (MM), and what were their conclusions regarding the effect of capital structure on a firm’s value and cost of capital under the assumption of no corporate taxes? How do their conclusions change when they introduce corporate taxes? If a firm’s managers thought that MM were exactly right, and they wanted to maximize the firm’s value, what capital structure would they choose?
4. Does the MM theory appear to be correct as based on either empirical research or observations of firms’ actual behavior? How do assumptions affect all this?
5. What is the trade-off theory of capital structure? How does it differ from MM’s theory?
6. In general, does the market view the announcement of a new stock issue to be a good signal? Does the signaling theory lead to the same conclusions regarding the optimal capital structure as the trade-off theory and/or the MM theories?
7. What does it mean to be at the optimal capital structure? What is optimized? What is maximized and what is minimized?
8. Should firms focus on book value or market value capital structures? How would the calculated WACC be affected by the use of book weights rather than market weights?
9. What would you expect to happen to an all-equity firm’s stock price if its management announced a recapitalization under which debt would be issued and used to repurchase common stock?

A PREVIEW OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ISSUES

Recall from Chapter 11 that the value of a firm is the present value of its expected future free cash flows (FCFs), discounted at its weighted average cost of capital (WACC):¹

\[ V = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{FCF_t}{(1 + WACC)^t} \]

¹For simplicity, we assume that the firm has no nonoperating assets.
A firm’s financing choices obviously have a direct effect on its weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Financing choices also have an indirect effect because they change the risk and required return of debt and equity. This chapter focuses on the debt–equity choice and its effect on value.

The WACC depends on the percentages of debt and equity ($w_d$ and $w_e$), the cost of debt ($r_d$), the cost of stock ($r_s$), and the corporate tax rate ($T$):

$$WACC = w_d(1 - T)r_d + w_e r_s$$

As these equations show, the only way any decision can change a firm’s value is by affecting either free cash flows or the cost of capital. We discuss below some of the ways that a higher proportion of debt can affect WACC and/or FCF.

**Debt Increases the Cost of Stock, $r_s$**

Debtholders have a prior claim on the company’s cash flows relative to shareholders, who are entitled only to any residual cash flow after debtholders have been paid. As we show later in a numerical example, the “fixed” claim of the debtholders causes the “residual” claim of the stockholders to become less certain, and this increases the cost of stock, $r_s$. 

Debt Reduces the Taxes a Company Pays

Imagine that a company’s cash flows are a pie, and three different groups get pieces of the pie. The first piece goes to the government in the form of taxes, the second goes to debtholders, and the third to shareholders. Companies can deduct interest expenses when calculating taxable income, which reduces the government’s piece of the pie and leaves more pie available to debtholders and investors. This reduction in taxes reduces the after-tax cost of debt, as shown in Equation 15-2.

The Risk of Bankruptcy Increases the Cost of Debt, \( r_d \)

As debt increases, the probability of financial distress, or even bankruptcy, goes up. With higher bankruptcy risk, debtholders will insist on a higher promised return, which increases the pre-tax cost of debt, \( r_d \).

The Net Effect on the Weighted Average Cost of Capital

As Equation 15-2 shows, the WACC is a weighted average of relatively low-cost debt and high-cost equity. If we increase the proportion of debt, then the weight of low-cost debt (\( w_d \)) increases and the weight of high-cost equity (\( w_e \)) decreases. If all else remained the same, then the WACC would fall and the value of the firm in Equation 15-1 would increase. But the previous paragraphs show that all else doesn’t remain the same: both \( r_d \) and \( r_s \) increase. While it should be clear that changing the capital structure affects all the variables in the WACC equation, it’s not easy to say whether those changes increase the WACC, decrease it, or balance out exactly and leave the WACC unchanged. We’ll return to this issue later, when we discuss capital structure theory.

Bankruptcy Risk Reduces Free Cash Flow

As the risk of bankruptcy increases, some customers may choose to buy from another company, which hurts sales. This, in turn, decreases net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT), thus reducing FCF. Financial distress also hurts the productivity of workers and managers, as they spend more time worrying about their next job rather than their current job. Again, this reduces NOPAT and FCF. Finally, suppliers tighten their credit standards, which reduces accounts payable and causes net operating working capital to increase, thus reducing FCF. Therefore, the risk of bankruptcy can decrease FCF and reduce the value of the firm.

Bankruptcy Risk Affects Agency Costs

Higher levels of debt may affect the behavior of managers in two opposing ways. First, when times are good, managers may waste cash flow on perquisites and nonnecessary expenditures. This is an agency cost, as described in Chapter 11. The good news is that the threat of bankruptcy reduces such wasteful spending, which increases FCF.

But the bad news is that a manager may become gun-shy and reject positive NPV projects if they are risky. From the stockholder’s point of view it would be unfortunate if a risky project caused the company to go into bankruptcy, but note that other companies in the stockholder’s portfolio may be taking on risky projects.
that turn out successfully. Since most stockholders are well diversified, they can afford for a manager to take on risky but positive NPV projects. But a manager’s reputation and wealth are generally tied to a single company, so the project may be unacceptably risky from the manager’s point of view. Thus, high debt can cause managers to forgo positive NPV projects unless they are extremely safe. This is called the **underinvestment problem**, and it is another type of agency cost. Notice that debt can reduce one aspect of agency costs (wasteful spending) but may increase another (underinvestment), so the net effect on value isn’t clear.

**Issuing Equity Conveys a Signal to the Marketplace**

Managers are in a better position to forecast a company’s free cash flow than are investors, and academics call this **informational asymmetry**. Suppose a company’s stock price is $50 per share. If managers are willing to issue new stock at $50 per share, investors reason that no one would sell anything for less than its true value. Therefore, the true value of the shares as seen by the managers with their superior information must be less than or equal to $50. Thus, investors perceive an equity issue as a negative signal, and this usually causes the stock price to fall.\(^2\)

**Self-Test Question**

Briefly describe some ways in which the capital structure decision can affect the WACC and FCF.

**BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL RISK**

In Chapter 2, when we examined risk from the viewpoint of a stock investor, we distinguished between **market risk**, which is measured by the firm’s beta coefficient, and **stand-alone risk**, which includes both market risk and an element of risk that can be eliminated by diversification. Now we introduce two new dimensions of risk: (1) **business risk**, or the riskiness of the firm’s stock if it uses no debt, and (2) **financial risk**, which is the additional risk placed on the common stockholders as a result of the firm’s decision to use debt.\(^3\)

Conceptually, each firm has a certain amount of risk inherent in its operations—this is its business risk. If it uses any debt, then in effect it is partitioning its investors into two groups and concentrating its business risk on one class—the common stockholders. The additional risk the stockholders of a levered firm face, over the risk they would face if the firm used no debt, is the firm’s financial risk. For example, if half of a firm’s capital is raised as debt and half as common equity, then each common stockholder would bear about twice as much risk as if only equity were used. Naturally, a levered firm’s stockholders will demand more compensation for bearing the additional (financial) risk, so the required rate of return on common equity will increase with the use of debt. **In other words, the greater the use of debt, the greater the concentration of risk on the stockholders, and the higher the cost of common equity.** In the balance of this section, we examine business and financial risk within a stand-alone risk framework, which ignores the effects of diversification. Later, we analyze the effects of diversification.

\(^2\)An exception to this rule is any situation with little informational asymmetry, such as a regulated utility. Also, some companies, such as startups or high-tech ventures, are unable to issue debt and so simply must issue equity; we discuss this later in the chapter.

\(^3\)Preferred stock also adds to financial risk. To simplify matters, we concentrate on debt and common equity in this chapter.
Business Risk

As noted above, **business risk** is the risk a firm’s common stockholders would face if the firm had no debt. Business risk arises from uncertainty in projections of the firm’s cash flows, which in turn means uncertainty about its operating profit and its capital (investment) requirements. In other words, we do not know for sure how large operating profits will be, nor do we know how much we will have to invest to develop new products, build new plants, and so forth. The return on invested capital (ROIC) combines these two sources of uncertainty, and its variability can be used to measure business risk on a stand-alone basis:

\[
\text{ROIC} = \frac{\text{NOPAT}}{\text{Capital}} = \frac{\text{EBIT} (1 - T)}{\text{Capital}}
\]

Here NOPAT is net operating profit after taxes, and capital is the required amount of operating capital, which is numerically equivalent to the sum of the firm’s debt and common equity. Business risk can then be measured by the standard deviation of ROIC, \(\sigma_{\text{ROIC}}\). If the firm’s capital requirements are stable, then we can use the variability in EBIT, \(\sigma_{\text{EBIT}}\), as an alternative measure of stand-alone business risk.

Business risk depends on a number of factors, as described below:

1. **Demand variability.** The more stable the demand for a firm’s products, other things held constant, the lower its business risk.
2. **Sales price variability.** Firms whose products are sold in highly volatile markets are exposed to more business risk than similar firms whose output prices are more stable.
3. **Input cost variability.** Firms whose input costs are highly uncertain are exposed to a high degree of business risk.
4. **Ability to adjust output prices for changes in input costs.** Some firms are better able than others to raise their own output prices when input costs rise. The greater the ability to adjust output prices to reflect cost conditions, the lower the business risk.
5. **Ability to develop new products in a timely, cost-effective manner.** Firms in such high-tech industries as drugs and computers depend on a constant stream of new products. The faster their products become obsolete, the greater their business risk.
6. **Foreign risk exposure.** Firms that generate a high percentage of their earnings overseas are subject to earnings declines due to exchange rate fluctuations. Also, if a firm operates in a politically unstable area, it may be subject to political risks. See Chapter 27 for a further discussion.
7. **The extent to which costs are fixed: operating leverage.** If a high percentage of its costs are fixed, hence do not decline when demand falls, then the firm is exposed to a relatively high degree of business risk. This factor is called operating leverage, and it is discussed at length in the next section.

Each of these factors is determined partly by the firm’s industry characteristics, but each of them is also controllable to some extent by management. For example, most firms can, through their marketing policies, take actions to stabilize both unit sales and sales prices. However, this stabilization may require spending a
great deal on advertising and/or price concessions to get commitments from customers to purchase fixed quantities at fixed prices in the future. Similarly, firms can reduce the volatility of future input costs by negotiating long-term labor and materials supply contracts, but they may have to pay prices above the current spot price to obtain these contracts. Many firms are also using hedging techniques to reduce business risk.

### Operating Leverage

In physics, leverage implies the use of a lever to raise a heavy object with a small force. In politics, if people have leverage, their smallest word or action can accomplish a lot. *In business terminology, a high degree of operating leverage, other factors held constant, implies that a relatively small change in sales results in a large change in EBIT.*

Other things held constant, the higher a firm’s fixed costs, the greater its operating leverage. Higher fixed costs are generally associated with more highly automated, capital intensive firms and industries. However, businesses that employ highly skilled workers who must be retained and paid even during recessions also have relatively high fixed costs, as do firms with high product development costs, because the amortization of development costs is an element of fixed costs.

Consider Strasburg Electronics Company, a debt-free (unlevered) firm. Figure 15-1 illustrates the concept of operating leverage by comparing the results that Strasburg could expect if it used different degrees of operating leverage. Plan A calls for a relatively small amount of fixed costs, $20,000. Here the firm would not have much automated equipment, so its depreciation, maintenance, property taxes, and so on would be low. However, the total operating costs line has a relatively steep slope, indicating that variable costs per unit are higher than they would be if the firm used more operating leverage. Plan B calls for a higher level of fixed costs, $60,000. Here the firm uses automated equipment (with which one operator can turn out a few or many units at the same labor cost) to a much larger extent. The breakeven point is higher under Plan B—breakeven occurs at 60,000 units under Plan B versus only 40,000 units under Plan A.

We can calculate the breakeven quantity by recognizing that operating breakeven occurs when earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) = 0:

$$EBIT = PQ - VQ - F = 0$$  \[15-3\]

Here \(P\) is average sales price per unit of output, \(Q\) is units of output, \(V\) is variable cost per unit, and \(F\) is fixed operating costs. If we solve for the breakeven quantity, \(Q_{BE}\), we get this expression:

$$Q_{BE} = \frac{F}{P - V}$$  \[15-3a\]

---

---

\(^4\)This definition of breakeven does not include any fixed financial costs because Strasburg is an unlevered firm. If there were fixed financial costs, the firm would suffer an accounting loss at the operating breakeven point. We introduce financial costs shortly.
Thus for Plan A,

\[ Q_{BE} = \frac{\$20,000}{2.00 - 1.50} = 40,000 \text{ units}, \]

and for Plan B,

\[ Q_{BE} = \frac{\$60,000}{2.00 - 1.00} = 60,000 \text{ units} \]

How does operating leverage affect business risk? Other things held constant, the higher a firm’s operating leverage, the higher its business risk. The data in Figure 15-1 confirm this. Plan A’s lower operating leverage gives rise to a much lower range of possible EBITs, from $−$20,000 if demand is terrible to $80,000 if demand is wonderful, with a standard deviation of $24,698. Plan B’s EBIT range is much larger, from $−$60,000 to $140,000, and it has a standard deviation of $49,396. Plan A’s ROIC range is lower as well, from $−6.0$ percent to $24.0$ percent, with a standard deviation of $7.4$ percent, versus Plan B’s ROIC range of from $−18$ percent to $42$ percent, with a standard deviation of $14.8$ percent, which is twice as high as A’s.

Even though Plan B is riskier, note also that it has a higher expected EBIT and ROIC: $40,000 and $12$ percent versus A’s $30,000 and $9$ percent. Therefore, Strasburg must make a choice between a project with a higher expected return but more risk and one with less risk but a lower return. For the rest of this analysis, we assume that Strasburg has decided to go ahead with Plan B because management believes that the higher expected return is sufficient to compensate for the higher risk.

To a large extent, operating leverage is determined by technology. Electric utilities, telephone companies, airlines, steel mills, and chemical companies simply must have large investments in fixed assets; this results in high fixed costs and operating leverage. Similarly, drug, auto, computer, and other companies must spend heavily to develop new products, and product-development costs increase operating leverage. Grocery stores, on the other hand, generally have significantly lower fixed costs, hence lower operating leverage. Although industry factors do exert a major influence, all firms have some control over their operating leverage. For example, an electric utility can expand its generating capacity by building either a gas-fired or a coal-fired plant. The coal plant would require a larger investment and would have higher fixed costs, but its variable operating costs would be relatively low. The gas-fired plant, on the other hand, would require a smaller investment and would have lower fixed costs, but the variable costs (for gas) would be high. Thus, by its capital budgeting decisions, a utility (or any other company) can influence its operating leverage, hence its business risk.5

Financial Risk

Financial risk is the additional risk placed on the common stockholders as a result of the decision to finance with debt. Conceptually, stockholders face a certain amount of risk that is inherent in a firm’s operations—this is its business risk,
Figure 15-1 Illustration of Operating Leverage

Plan A: Low Operating Leverage
Plan B: High Operating Leverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Units Sold</th>
<th>Dollar Sales</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Pre-Tax Operating Profit (EBIT)</th>
<th>Net Operating Profit after Taxes (NOPAT)</th>
<th>ROIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrible</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>($20,000)</td>
<td>($12,000)</td>
<td>−6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>170,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderful</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected value:</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,698</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Units Sold</th>
<th>Dollar Sales</th>
<th>Operating Costs</th>
<th>Pre-Tax Operating Profit (EBIT)</th>
<th>Net Operating Profit after Taxes (NOPAT)</th>
<th>ROIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrible</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>($60,000)</td>
<td>($36,000)</td>
<td>−18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>(12,000)</td>
<td>−6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderful</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected value:</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$160,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,396</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

a. Operating costs = Variable costs + Fixed costs.
b. The federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent, so NOPAT = EBIT(1 − Tax rate) = EBIT(0.6).
c. ROIC = NOPAT/Capital.
d. The breakeven sales level for Plan B is not shown in the table, but it is 60,000 units or $120,000.
e. The expected value, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation were found using the procedures discussed in Chapter 2.
which is defined as the uncertainty inherent in projections of future ROIC. If a firm uses debt (financial leverage), this concentrates its business risk on its common stockholders. To illustrate, suppose ten people decide to form a corporation to manufacture disk drives. There is a certain amount of business risk in the operation. If the firm is capitalized only with common equity, and if each person buys 10 percent of the stock, then each investor shares equally in the business risk. However, suppose the firm is capitalized with 50 percent debt and 50 percent equity, with five of the investors putting up their capital as debt and the other five putting up their money as equity. In this case, the five investors who put up the equity will have to bear virtually all of the business risk, so the common stock will be much riskier than it would have been had the firm been financed only with equity. Thus, the use of debt, or financial leverage, concentrates the firm’s business risk on its stockholders. This concentration of business risk occurs because debtholders, who receive fixed interest payments, bear none of the business risk.6

To illustrate the concentration of business risk, we can extend the Strasburg Electronics example. To date, the company has never used debt, but the treasurer is now considering a possible change in the capital structure. For now, assume that only two financing choices are being considered—remaining at zero debt, or shifting to $100,000 debt and $100,000 book equity.

First, focus on Section I of Table 15-1, which assumes that Strasburg uses no debt. Since debt is zero, interest is also zero; hence pre-tax income is equal to EBIT. Taxes at 40 percent are deducted to obtain net income, which is then divided by the $200,000 of book equity to calculate ROE. Note that Strasburg receives a tax credit if the demand is either terrible or poor (which are the two scenarios where net income is negative). Here we assume that Strasburg’s losses can be carried back to offset income earned in the prior year. The ROE at each sales level is then multiplied by the probability of that sales level to calculate the 12 percent expected ROE. Note that this 12 percent is equal to the ROIC we found in Figure 15-1 for Plan B, since ROE is equal to ROIC if a firm has no debt.

Now let’s look at the situation if Strasburg decides to use $100,000 of debt financing, shown in Section II of Table 15-1, with the debt costing 10 percent. Demand will not be affected, nor will operating costs; hence the EBIT columns are the same for the zero debt and $100,000 debt cases. However, the company will now have $100,000 of debt with a cost of 10 percent; hence its interest expense will be $10,000. This interest must be paid regardless of the state of the economy—if it is not paid, the company will be forced into bankruptcy, and stockholders will probably be wiped out. Therefore, we show a $10,000 cost in Column 4 as a fixed number for all demand conditions. Column 5 shows pre-tax income, Column 6 the applicable taxes, and Column 7 the resulting net income. When the net income figures are divided by the book equity—which will now be only $100,000 because $100,000 of the $200,000 total requirement was obtained as debt—we find the ROEs under each demand state. If demand is terrible and sales are zero, then a very large loss will be incurred, and the ROE will be −42.0 percent. However, if demand is wonderful, then ROE will be 78.0 percent. The probability-weighted average is the expected ROE, which is 18.0 percent if the company uses $100,000 of debt.

6Holders of corporate debt generally do bear some business risk, because they may lose some of their investment if the firm goes bankrupt. We discuss this in more depth later in the chapter.
**Table 15-1 Effects of Financial Leverage: Strasburg Electronics Financed with Zero Debt or with $100,000 of Debt**

### Section I. Zero Debt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand for Product</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>EBIT</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Pre-Tax Income</th>
<th>Taxes (40%)</th>
<th>Net Income</th>
<th>ROE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrible</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>($60,000)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($60,000)</td>
<td>($24,000)</td>
<td>($36,000)</td>
<td>−18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>(8,000)</td>
<td>(12,000)</td>
<td>−6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderful</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected value:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$24,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard deviation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coefficient of variation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section II. $100,000 of Debt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand for Product</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>EBIT</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Pre-Tax Income</th>
<th>Taxes (40%)</th>
<th>Net Income</th>
<th>ROE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrible</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>($60,000)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>($70,000)</td>
<td>($28,000)</td>
<td>($42,000)</td>
<td>−42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td>(12,000)</td>
<td>(18,000)</td>
<td>−18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wonderful</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected value:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$40,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard deviation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coefficient of variation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions:**
1. In terms of its operating leverage, Strasburg has chosen Plan B. The probability distribution and EBITs are obtained from Figure 15-1.
2. Sales and operating costs, hence EBIT, are not affected by the financing decision. Therefore, EBIT under both financing plans is identical, and it is taken from the EBIT column for Plan B in Figure 15-1.
3. All losses can be carried back to offset income in the prior year.

Typically, financing with debt increases the common stockholders’ expected rate of return for an investment, but debt also increases the common stockholders’ risk. This situation holds with our example—financial leverage raises the expected ROE from 12 percent to 18 percent, but it also increases the risk of the
investment as seen by the increase in the standard deviation from 14.8 percent to 29.6 percent and the increase in the coefficient of variation from 1.23 to 1.65.\textsuperscript{7}

We see, then, that using leverage has both good and bad effects: higher leverage increases expected ROE, but it also increases risk. The next section discusses how this trade-off between risk and return affects the value of the firm.

\textbf{Self-Test Questions}

What is business risk, and how can it be measured?
What are some determinants of business risk?
How does operating leverage affect business risk?
What is financial risk, and how does it arise?
Explain this statement: “Using leverage has both good and bad effects.”

\section*{CAPITAL STRUCTURE THEORY}

In the previous section, we showed how capital structure choices affect a firm’s ROE and its risk. For a number of reasons, we would expect capital structures to vary considerably across industries. For example, pharmaceutical companies generally have very different capital structures than airline companies. Moreover, capital structures vary among firms within a given industry. What factors explain these differences? In an attempt to answer this question, academics and practitioners have developed a number of theories, and the theories have been subjected to many empirical tests. The following sections examine several of these theories.

\subsection*{Modigliani and Miller: No Taxes}

Modern capital structure theory began in 1958, when Professors Franco Modigliani and Merton Miller (hereafter MM) published what has been called the most influential finance article ever written.\textsuperscript{8} MM’s study was based on some strong assumptions, including the following:

1. There are no brokerage costs.
2. There are no taxes.
3. There are no bankruptcy costs.
4. Investors can borrow at the same rate as corporations.
5. All investors have the same information as management about the firm’s future investment opportunities.
6. EBIT is not affected by the use of debt.

If these assumptions hold true, MM proved that a firm’s value is unaffected by its capital structure; hence the following situation must exist:

\[
V_L = V_U = S_L + D
\]

\textsuperscript{7}See Chapter 2 for a review of procedures for calculating the standard deviation and coefficient of variation. Recall that the advantage of the coefficient of variation is that it permits better comparisons when the expected values of ROEs vary, as they do here for the two capital structures.

Here $V_L$ is the value of a levered firm, which is equal to $V_U$, the value of an identical but unlevered firm. $S_L$ is the value of the levered firm’s stock, and $D$ is the value of its debt.

Recall that the WACC is a combination of the cost of debt and the relatively higher cost of equity, $r_s$. As leverage increases, more weight is given to low-cost debt, but equity gets riskier, driving up $r_s$. Under MM’s assumptions, $r_s$ increases by exactly enough to keep the WACC constant. Put another way, if MM’s assumptions are correct, it does not matter how a firm finances its operations, so capital structure decisions would be irrelevant.

Despite the fact that some of these assumptions are obviously unrealistic, MM’s irrelevance result is extremely important. By indicating the conditions under which capital structure is irrelevant, MM also provided us with clues about what is required for capital structure to be relevant and hence to affect a firm’s value. MM’s work marked the beginning of modern capital structure research, and subsequent research has focused on relaxing the MM assumptions in order to develop a more realistic theory of capital structure.

Another extremely important aspect of MM’s work was their thought process. To make a long story short, they imagined two portfolios. The first contained all the equity of the unlevered firm, and it generated cash flows in the form of dividends. The second portfolio contained all the levered firm’s stock and debt, so its cash flows were the levered firm’s dividends and interest payments. Under MM’s assumptions, the cash flows of the two portfolios would be identical. They then concluded that if two portfolios produce the same cash flows, they must have the same value. As we showed in Chapter 6, this simple idea changed the entire financial world because it led to the development of options and derivatives. Thus, their paper’s approach was just as important as its conclusions.

**Modigliani and Miller: The Effect of Corporate Taxes**

MM published a follow-up paper in 1963 in which they relaxed the assumption that there are no corporate taxes. The Tax Code allows corporations to deduct interest payments as an expense, but dividend payments to stockholders are not deductible. This differential treatment encourages corporations to use debt in their capital structures. This means that interest payments reduce the taxes paid by a corporation, and if a corporation pays less to the government, more of its cash flow is available for its investors. In other words, the tax deductibility of the interest payments shields the firm’s pre-tax income.

As in their earlier paper, MM introduced a second important way of looking at the effect of capital structure: The value of a levered firm is the value of an otherwise identical unlevered firm plus the value of any “side effects.” While

---

9They actually showed that if the values of the two portfolios differed, then an investor could engage in riskless arbitrage: The investor could create a trading strategy (buying one portfolio and selling the other) that had no risk, required none of the investor’s own cash, and resulted in a positive cash flow for the investor. This would be such a desirable strategy that everyone would try to implement it. But if everyone tries to buy the same portfolio, its price will be driven up by market demand, and if everyone tries to sell a portfolio, its price will be driven down. The net result of the trading activity would be to change the portfolio’s values until they were equal and no more arbitrage was possible.

When a waitress asked Yogi Berra (Baseball Hall of Fame catcher for the New York Yankees) whether he wanted his pizza cut into four pieces or eight, Yogi replied: “Better make it four. I don’t think I can eat eight.”\textsuperscript{a}

Yogi’s quip helps convey the basic insight of Modigliani and Miller. The firm’s choice of leverage “slices” the distribution of future cash flows in a way that is like slicing a pizza. MM recognized that if you fix a company’s investment activities, it’s like fixing the size of the pizza; no information costs means that everyone sees the same pizza; no taxes means the IRS gets none of the pie; and no “contracting costs” means nothing sticks to the knife.

So, just as the substance of Yogi’s meal is unaffected by whether the pizza is sliced into four pieces or eight, the economic substance of the firm is unaffected by whether the liability side of the balance sheet is sliced to include more or less debt, at least under the MM assumptions.


others expanded on this idea, the only side effect MM considered was the tax shield:

\[ V_L = V_U + \text{Value of side effects} = V_U + \text{PV of tax shield} \]

Under their assumptions, they showed that the present value of the tax shield is equal to the corporate tax rate, T, multiplied by the amount of debt, D:

\[ V_L = V_U + TD \]

With a tax rate of about 40 percent, this implies that every dollar of debt adds about 40 cents of value to the firm, and this leads to the conclusion that the optimal capital structure is virtually 100 percent debt. MM also showed that the cost of equity, \( r_s \), increases as leverage increases, but that it doesn’t increase quite as fast as it would if there were no taxes. As a result, under MM with corporate taxes the WACC falls as debt is added.

**Miller: The Effect of Corporate and Personal Taxes**

Merton Miller (this time without Modigliani) later brought in the effects of personal taxes.\textsuperscript{11} He noted that all of the income from bonds is generally interest,

which is taxed as personal income at rates ($T_d$) going up to 38.6 percent, while income from stocks generally comes partly from dividends and partly from capital gains. Further, long-term capital gains are taxed at a rate of 20 percent, and this tax is deferred until the stock is sold and the gain realized. If stock is held until the owner dies, no capital gains tax whatsoever must be paid. So, on average, returns on stocks are taxed at lower effective rates ($T_s$) than returns on debt.

Because of the tax situation, Miller argued that investors are willing to accept relatively low before-tax returns on stock relative to the before-tax returns on bonds. (The situation here is similar to that with tax-exempt municipal bonds as discussed in Chapter 4 and preferred stocks held by corporate investors as discussed in Chapter 5.) For example, an investor might require a return of 10 percent on Strasburg’s bonds, and if stock income were taxed at the same rate as bond income, the required rate of return on Strasburg’s stock might be 16 percent because of the stock’s greater risk. However, in view of the favorable treatment of income on the stock, investors might be willing to accept a before-tax return of only 14 percent on the stock.

Thus, as Miller pointed out, (1) the deductibility of interest favors the use of debt financing, but (2) the more favorable tax treatment of income from stock lowers the required rate of return on stock and thus favors the use of equity financing.

Miller showed that the net impact of corporate and personal taxes is given by this equation:

$$V_L = V_U + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right] D$$  \hspace{1cm} (15-7)

Here $T_c$ is the corporate tax rate, $T_s$ is the personal tax rate on income from stocks, and $T_d$ is the tax rate on income from debt. Miller argued that the marginal tax rates on stock and debt balance out in such a way that the bracketed term in Equation 15-7 is zero, so $V_L = V_U$, but most observers believe that there is still a tax advantage to debt. For example, with a 40 percent marginal corporate tax rate, a 30 percent marginal rate on debt, and a 12 percent marginal rate on stock, the advantage of debt financing is

$$V_L = V_U + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - 0.40)(1 - 0.12)}{(1 - 0.30)} \right] D$$  \hspace{1cm} (15-7a)

$$= V_U + 0.25D$$

Thus it appears as though the presence of personal taxes reduces but does not completely eliminate the advantage of debt financing.

**Trade-Off Theory**

MM’s results also depend on the assumption that there are no bankruptcy costs. However, in practice bankruptcy can be quite costly. Firms in bankruptcy have very high legal and accounting expenses, and they also have a hard time retaining
customers, suppliers, and employees. Moreover, bankruptcy often forces a firm to liquidate or sell assets for less than they would be worth if the firm were to continue operating. For example, if a steel manufacturer goes out of business, it might be hard to find buyers for the company’s blast furnaces, even though they were quite expensive. Assets such as plant and equipment are often illiquid because they are configured to a company’s individual needs and also because they are difficult to disassemble and move.

Note, too, that the threat of bankruptcy, not just bankruptcy per se, produces these problems. Key employees jump ship, suppliers refuse to grant credit, customers seek more stable suppliers, and lenders demand higher interest rates and impose more restrictive loan covenants if potential bankruptcy looms.

Bankruptcy-related problems are most likely to arise when a firm includes a great deal of debt in its capital structure. Therefore, bankruptcy costs discourage firms from pushing their use of debt to excessive levels.

Bankruptcy-related costs have two components: (1) the probability of financial distress and (2) the costs that would be incurred given that financial distress occurs. Firms whose earnings are more volatile, all else equal, face a greater chance of bankruptcy and, therefore, should use less debt than more stable firms. This is consistent with our earlier point that firms with high operating leverage, and thus greater business risk, should limit their use of financial leverage. Likewise, firms that would face high costs in the event of financial distress should rely less heavily on debt. For example, firms whose assets are illiquid and thus would have to be sold at “fire sale” prices should limit their use of debt financing.

The preceding arguments led to the development of what is called “the trade-off theory of leverage,” in which firms trade off the benefits of debt financing (favorable corporate tax treatment) against the higher interest rates and bankruptcy costs. In essence, the trade-off theory says that the value of a levered firm is equal to the value of an unlevered firm plus the value of any side effects, which include the tax shield and the expected costs due to financial distress. A summary of the trade-off theory is expressed graphically in Figure 15-2. Here are some observations about the figure:

1. Under the assumptions of the Modigliani-Miller with-corporate-taxes paper, a firm’s value will be maximized if it uses virtually 100 percent debt, and the line labeled “MM Result Incorporating the Effects of Corporate Taxation” in Figure 15-2 expresses the relationship between value and debt under their assumptions.
2. There is some threshold level of debt, labeled \( D_1 \) in Figure 15-2, below which the probability of bankruptcy is so low as to be immaterial. Beyond \( D_1 \), however, expected bankruptcy-related costs become increasingly important, and they reduce the tax benefits of debt at an increasing rate. In the range from \( D_1 \) to \( D_2 \), expected bankruptcy-related costs reduce but do not completely offset the tax benefits of debt, so the stock price rises (but at a decreasing rate) as the debt ratio increases. However, beyond \( D_2 \), expected bankruptcy-related costs exceed the tax benefits, so from this point on increasing the debt ratio lowers the value of the stock. Therefore, \( D_3 \) is the optimal capital structure. Of course, \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) vary from firm to firm, depending on their business risks and bankruptcy costs.
3. While theoretical and empirical work support the general shape of the curve in Figure 15-2, this graph must be taken as an approximation, not as a precisely defined function.
Signaling Theory

MM assumed that investors have the same information about a firm’s prospects as its managers—this is called symmetric information. However, in fact managers often have better information than outside investors. This is called asymmetric information, and it has an important effect on the optimal capital structure. To see why, consider two situations, one in which the company’s managers know that its prospects are extremely positive (Firm P) and one in which the managers know that the future looks negative (Firm N).

Suppose, for example, that Firm P’s R&D labs have just discovered a non-patentable cure for the common cold. They want to keep the new product a secret as long as possible to delay competitors’ entry into the market. New plants must be built to make the new product, so capital must be raised. How should Firm P’s management raise the needed capital? If it sells stock, then, when profits from the new product start flowing in, the price of the stock would rise sharply, and the purchasers of the new stock would make a bonanza. The current stockholders (including the managers) would also do well, but not as well as they would have done if the company had not sold stock before the price increased, because then they would not have had to share the benefits of the new product with the new stockholders. Therefore, one would expect a firm with very positive prospects to try to avoid selling stock and, rather, to raise any required new capital by other means, including using debt beyond the normal target capital structure.12

---

12It would be illegal for Firm P’s managers to personally purchase more shares on the basis of their inside knowledge of the new product.
Now let’s consider Firm N. Suppose its managers have information that new orders are off sharply because a competitor has installed new technology that has improved its products’ quality. Firm N must upgrade its own facilities, at a high cost, just to maintain its current sales. As a result, its return on investment will fall (but not by as much as if it took no action, which would lead to a 100 percent loss through bankruptcy). How should Firm N raise the needed capital? Here the situation is just the reverse of that facing Firm P, which did not want to sell stock so as to avoid having to share the benefits of future developments. A firm with negative prospects would want to sell stock, which would mean bringing in new investors to share the losses.\(^\text{13}\) The conclusion from all this is that firms with extremely bright prospects prefer not to finance through new stock offerings, whereas firms with poor prospects like to finance with outside equity. How should you, as an investor, react to this conclusion? You ought to say, “If I see that a company plans to issue new stock, this should worry me because I know that management would not want to issue stock if future prospects looked good. However, management would want to issue stock if things looked bad. Therefore, I should lower my estimate of the firm’s value, other things held constant, if it plans to issue new stock.”

If you gave the above answer, your views would be consistent with those of sophisticated portfolio managers. In a nutshell, the announcement of a stock offering is generally taken as a signal that the firm’s prospects as seen by its management are not bright. Conversely, a debt offering is taken as a positive signal. Notice that Firm N’s managers cannot make a false signal to investors by mimicking Firm P and issuing debt. With its unfavorable future prospects, issuing debt could soon force Firm N into bankruptcy. Given the resulting damage to the personal wealth and reputations of N’s managers, they cannot afford to mimic Firm P. All of this suggests that when a firm announces a new stock offering, more often than not the price of its stock will decline. Empirical studies have shown that this situation does indeed exist.\(^\text{14}\)

**Reserve Borrowing Capacity**

Because issuing stock emits a negative signal and thus tends to depress the stock price, even if the company’s prospects are bright, it should, in normal times, maintain a **reserve borrowing capacity** that can be used in the event that some especially good investment opportunity comes along. This means that firms should, in normal times, use more equity and less debt than is suggested by the tax benefit/bankruptcy cost trade-off model expressed in Figure 15-2.

**The Pecking Order Hypothesis**

The presence of flotation costs and asymmetric information may cause a firm to raise capital according to a **pecking order**. In this situation a firm first raises capital internally by reinvesting its net income and selling off its short-term marketable securities. When that supply of funds has been exhausted, the firm will issue debt and perhaps preferred stock. Only as a last resort will the firm issue common stock.  

\(^{13}\)Of course, Firm N would have to make certain disclosures when it offered new shares to the public, but it might be able to meet the legal requirements without fully disclosing management’s worst fears.

Using Debt Financing to Constrain Managers

Agency problems may arise if managers and shareholders have different objectives. Such conflicts are particularly likely when the firm’s managers have too much cash at their disposal. Managers often use excess cash to finance pet projects or for perquisites such as nicer offices, corporate jets, and sky boxes at sports arenas, all of which may do little to maximize stock prices. Even worse, managers might be tempted to pay too much for an acquisition, something that could cost shareholders hundreds of millions. By contrast, managers with limited “excess cash flow” are less able to make wasteful expenditures.

Firms can reduce excess cash flow in a variety of ways. One way is to funnel some of it back to shareholders through higher dividends or stock repurchases. Another alternative is to shift the capital structure toward more debt in the hope that higher debt service requirements will force managers to be more disciplined. If debt is not serviced as required, the firm will be forced into bankruptcy, in which case its managers would likely lose their jobs. Therefore, a manager is less likely to buy an expensive new corporate jet if the firm has large debt service requirements that could cost the manager his or her job. In short, high levels of debt bond the cash flow, since much of it is precommitted to servicing the debt.

A leveraged buyout (LBO) is one way to bond cash flow. In an LBO debt is used to finance the purchase of a company’s shares, after which the firm “goes private.” Many leveraged buyouts, which were especially common during the late 1980s, were designed specifically to reduce corporate waste. As noted, high debt payments force managers to conserve cash by eliminating unnecessary expenditures.

Of course, increasing debt and reducing the available cash flow has its downside. It increases the risk of bankruptcy. One professor has argued that adding debt to a firm’s capital structure is like putting a dagger into the steering wheel of a car. The dagger—which points toward your stomach—motivates you to drive more carefully, but you may get stabbed if someone runs into you, even if you are being careful. The analogy applies to corporations in the following sense: Higher debt forces managers to be more careful with shareholders’ money, but even well-run firms could face bankruptcy (get stabbed) if some event beyond their control such as a war, an earthquake, a strike, or a recession occurs. To complete the analogy, the capital structure decision comes down to deciding how big a dagger stockholders should use to keep managers in line.

Finally, too much debt may overconstrain managers. A large portion of a manager’s personal wealth and reputation is tied to a single company, so managers are not well diversified. When faced with a positive NPV project that is risky, a manager may decide that it’s not worth taking on the risk, even when well-diversified stockholders would find the risk acceptable. This is called the underinvestment problem. The more debt the firm has, the greater the likelihood of financial distress, and thus the greater the likelihood that managers will forego risky projects even if they have positive NPVs.

The Investment Opportunity Set and Reserve Borrowing Capacity

Bankruptcy and financial distress are costly, and, as noted above, this can discourage highly levered firms from undertaking risky new investments. If potential new

---

investments, although risky, have positive net present values, then high levels of
debt can be doubly costly—the expected financial distress and bankruptcy costs
are high, and the firm loses potential value by not making some potentially prof-
table investments. On the other hand, if a firm has very few profitable investment
opportunities, then high levels of debt can keep managers from wasting money by
investing in poor projects. For such companies, increases in the debt ratio can
increase the value of the firm.

Thus, in addition to the tax, signaling, bankruptcy, and managerial constraint
effects discussed earlier, the firm’s optimal capital structure is related to its set of
investment opportunities. Firms with many profitable opportunities should main-
tain their ability to invest by using low levels of debt, which is also consistent with
maintaining reserve borrowing capacity. Firms with few profitable investment
opportunities should use high levels of debt and thus have substantial interest pay-
ments, which means imposing managerial constraint through debt.16

Windows of Opportunity

If markets are efficient, then security prices should reflect all available informa-
tion, so they are neither underpriced nor overpriced (except during the time it
takes prices to move to a new equilibrium caused by the release of new informa-
tion). The windows of opportunity theory states that managers don’t believe this,
but instead think that stock prices and interest rates are sometimes either too low
or high relative to their true fundamental values. In particular, the theory suggests
that managers issue equity when they believe stock market prices are abnormally
high and issue debt when they believe interest rates are abnormally low. In other
words, they try to time the market.17 Notice that this differs from signaling theory
because no asymmetric information is involved: These managers aren’t basing
their beliefs on insider information, just on a difference of opinion with the mar-
ket consensus.

Self-Test Questions

Why does the MM theory with corporate taxes lead to 100 percent debt?
Explain how asymmetric information and signals affect capital structure decisions.
What is meant by reserve borrowing capacity, and why is it important to firms?
How can the use of debt serve to discipline managers?

CAPITAL STRUCTURE EVIDENCE AND IMPLICATIONS

There have been hundreds, perhaps even thousands, of papers testing the capital
structure theories described in the previous section. We can only cover the high-
lights here; see the references at the end of the chapter if you would like more
details.18

16Michael J. Barclay and Clifford W. Smith, Jr., “The Capital Structure Puzzle: Another Look at the Evidence,” Journal of
ary 2002), pp. 1–32.
18This section also draws heavily from: Barclay and Smith, “The Capital Structure Puzzle;” Jay Ritter, ed., Recent Develop-
ments in Corporate Finance (Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing Inc., 2005); and a presentation by Jay Ritter
Empirical Evidence

Studies show that firms do benefit from the tax deductibility of interest payments, with a typical firm increasing in value by about $0.10 for every dollar of debt. This is much less than the corporate tax rate, so this supports the Miller model (with personal taxes) more than the MM model (with only corporate taxes). Recent evidence shows that the cost of bankruptcies can be as much as 10 to 20 percent of the firm’s value.\textsuperscript{19} Thus, the evidence shows the existence of tax benefits and financial distress costs, which provides support for the trade-off theory.

A particularly interesting study by Professors Mehotra, Mikkelson, and Partch examined the capital structure of firms that were spun off from their parents.\textsuperscript{20} The financing choices of existing firms might be influenced by their past financing choices and by the costs of moving from one capital structure to another, but because spin-offs are newly created companies, managers can choose a capital structure without regard to these issues. The study finds that more profitable firms (which have a lower expected probability of bankruptcy) and more asset-intensive firms (which have better collateral and thus a lower cost of bankruptcy should one occur) have higher levels of debt. These findings support the trade-off theory.

However, there is also evidence that is inconsistent with a static optimal target capital structure as implied by the trade-off theory. For example, stock prices are very volatile, which causes a firm’s actual market-based debt ratio to deviate frequently from its target. However, such deviations don’t cause firms to immediately issue or repurchase securities in an effort to return to the target. This inertia indicates that if there is an optimal capital structure as implied by the trade-off theory, firms don’t try to maintain it very closely.

In fact, if stock prices have a big runup, which reduces the debt ratio, the trade-off theory suggests that firms should issue debt to return to their target. However, firms tend to do the opposite, and issue stock after big runups. This is much more consistent with the windows of opportunity theory, with managers trying to time the market by issuing stock when they perceive the market to be overvalued. Furthermore, firms tend to issue debt when stock prices and interest rates are low. The maturity of the issued debt seems to reflect an attempt to time interest rates: Firms tend to issue short-term debt if the term structure is upward sloping but long-term debt if the term structure is flat. Again, these facts suggest that managers try to time the market, which is consistent with the windows of opportunity theory.

Firms issue equity much less frequently than debt. On the surface, this seems to support both the pecking order hypothesis and the signaling hypothesis. The pecking order hypothesis predicts that firms with a high level of informational asymmetry, which causes equity issuances to be costly, should issue debt before issuing equity. However, we often see the opposite, with high-growth firms (which usually have greater informational asymmetry) issuing more equity than debt. Also, many highly profitable firms could afford to issue debt (which comes before equity in the pecking order) but instead choose to issue equity. With respect to the signaling hypothesis, consider the case of firms that have large increases in

\textsuperscript{19}The expected cost of financial distress is the product of bankruptcy costs and the probability of bankruptcy. At moderate levels of debt with low probabilities of bankruptcy, the expected cost of financial distress would be much less than actual bankruptcy costs if the firm failed.

earnings that were unanticipated by the market. If managers have superior information, they would anticipate these upcoming performance improvements and issue debt before the increase. Such firms do, in fact, tend to issue debt slightly more frequently than other firms, but the difference isn’t economically meaningful.

Many firms have less debt than might be expected, and many have large amounts of short-term investments. This is especially true for firms with high market/book ratios (which indicate many growth options and informational asymmetry problems). This behavior is consistent with the hypothesis that investment opportunities influence attempts to maintain reserve borrowing capacity. It is also consistent with tax considerations, since low-growth firms, which have more debt, are more likely to be able to use the tax shield. This behavior is not consistent with the pecking order hypothesis, where low-growth firms, which often have high free cash flow, would be able to avoid issuing debt by raising funds internally.

Summarizing these results, it appears that firms try to capture debt’s tax benefits while avoiding financial distress costs. However, they also allow their debt ratios to deviate from the static optimal target ratio implied by the trade-off theory. There is a little evidence indicating that firms follow a pecking order and use security issuances as signals, but there is much more evidence in support of the windows of opportunity theory. Finally, it appears that firms often maintain reserve borrowing capacity, especially those with many growth opportunities or problems with informational asymmetry.

**Implications for Managers**

Managers should explicitly consider tax benefits when making capital structure decisions. Tax benefits obviously are more valuable for firms with high tax rates. Firms can utilize tax loss carryforwards and carrybacks, but the time value of money means that tax benefits are more valuable for firms with stable, positive pre-tax income. Therefore, a firm whose sales are relatively stable can safely take on more debt and incur higher fixed charges than a company with volatile sales. Other things being equal, a firm with less operating leverage is better able to employ financial leverage because it will have less business risk and less volatile earnings.

Managers should also consider the expected cost of financial distress, which depends on the probability and cost of distress. Notice that stable sales and lower operating leverage provide tax benefits but also reduce the probability of financial distress. One cost of financial distress comes from lost investment opportunities. Firms with profitable investment opportunities need to be able to fund them, either by holding higher levels of marketable securities or by maintaining excess borrowing capacity. An astute corporate treasurer made this statement to the authors:

> Our company can earn a lot more money from good capital budgeting and operating decisions than from good financing decisions. Indeed, we are not sure exactly how financing decisions affect our stock price, but we know for sure that having to turn down a promising venture because funds are not available will reduce our long-run profitability.

Another cost of financial distress is the possibility of being forced to sell assets to meet liquidity needs. General-purpose assets that can be used by many businesses are relatively liquid and make good collateral in contrast to special-purpose assets. Thus, real estate companies are usually highly levered, whereas companies involved in technological research are not.
Asymmetric information also has a bearing on capital structure decisions. For example, suppose a firm has just successfully completed an R&D program, and it forecasts higher earnings in the immediate future. However, the new earnings are not yet anticipated by investors, hence are not reflected in the stock price. This company should not issue stock—it should finance with debt until the higher earnings materialize and are reflected in the stock price. Then it could issue common stock, retire the debt, and return to its target capital structure.

Managers should consider conditions in the stock and bond markets. For example, during a recent credit crunch, the junk bond market dried up, and there was simply no market at a “reasonable” interest rate for any new long-term bonds rated below BBB. Therefore, low-rated companies in need of capital were forced to go to the stock market or to the short-term debt market, regardless of their target capital structures. When conditions eased, however, these companies sold bonds to get their capital structures back on target.

Finally, managers should always consider lenders’ and rating agencies’ attitudes. For example, one large utility was recently told by Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s that its bonds would be downgraded if it issued more debt. This influenced its decision to finance its expansion with common equity. This doesn’t mean that managers should never increase debt if it will cause their bond rating to fall, but managers should always factor this into their decision making.

**Self-Test Questions**
Which capital structure theories does the empirical evidence seem to support?
What issues should managers consider when making capital structure decisions?

**ESTIMATING THE OPTIMAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

Managers should choose the capital structure that maximizes shareholders’ wealth. The basic approach is to consider a trial capital structure, based on the market values of the debt and equity, and then estimate the wealth of the shareholders under this capital structure. This approach is repeated until an optimal capital structure is identified. There are five steps for the analysis of each potential capital structure: (1) Estimate the interest rate the firm will pay. (2) Estimate the cost of equity. (3) Estimate the weighted average cost of capital. (4) Estimate the free cash flows and their present value, which is the value of the firm. (5) Deduct the value of the debt to find the shareholders’ wealth, which we want to maximize. The following sections explain each of these steps, using the company we considered earlier, Strasburg Electronics.

1. **Estimating the Cost of Debt**

The CFO asked Strasburg’s investment bankers to estimate the cost of debt at different capital structures. The investment bankers began by analyzing industry conditions and prospects. They appraised Strasburg’s business risk, based on its past financial statements and its current technology and customer base. The bankers also projected pro forma statements under various capital structures and analyzed such key ratios as the current ratio and the times-interest-earned ratio. Finally, they factored in current conditions in the financial markets, including interest rates paid by firms in Strasburg’s industry. Based on their analysis and judgment, they estimated interest rates at various capital structures as shown in Table 15-2, starting with an 8 percent cost of debt if 10 percent or less of its capital is obtained as debt. Notice that the cost of debt goes up as leverage and the threat of bankruptcy increase.
To what extent does capital structure vary across different countries? The accompanying table, which is taken from a study by Raghuram Rajan and Luigi Zingales, both of the University of Chicago, shows the median debt ratios of firms in the largest industrial countries.

Rajan and Zingales also show that there is considerable variation in capital structure among firms within each of the seven countries. However, they also show that capital structures for the firms in each country are generally determined by a similar set of factors: firm size, profitability, market-to-book ratio, and the ratio of fixed assets to total assets. All in all, the Rajan–Zingales study suggests that the points developed in the chapter apply to firms all around the world.


### Median Percentage of Debt to Total Assets in Different Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Book Value Debt Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 15-2 The Cost of Debt for Strasburg Electronics with Different Capital Structures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Financed with Debt ((w_d))</th>
<th>Cost of Debt ((r_d))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The capital structure weights are based on market values.*

### 2. Estimating the Cost of Equity, \(r_s\)

An increase in the debt ratio also increases the risk faced by shareholders, and this has an effect on the cost of equity, \(r_s\). Recall from Chapter 2 that a stock’s beta is the relevant measure of risk for diversified investors. Moreover, it has been
demonstrated, both theoretically and empirically, that beta increases with financial leverage. Indeed, Robert Hamada developed the following equation to specify the effect of financial leverage on beta:21

\[ b = b_U[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)] \]

Here D is the market value of the debt and S is the market value of the equity. The Hamada equation shows how increases in the market value debt/equity ratio increase beta. Here \( b_U \) is the firm’s unlevered beta coefficient, that is, the beta it would have if it has no debt. In that case, beta would depend entirely upon business risk and thus be a measure of the firm’s “basic business risk.”

Note that beta is the only variable that can be influenced by management in the CAPM cost of equity equation, \( r_s = r_{RF} + (RPM)b \). The risk-free rate and market risk premium are determined by market forces that are beyond the firm’s control. However, \( b \) is affected (1) by the firm’s operating decisions as discussed earlier in the chapter, which affect \( b_U \), and (2) by its capital structure decisions as reflected in its D/S ratio.

As a starting point, a firm can take its current beta, tax rate, and debt/equity ratio and calculate its **unlevered beta, \( b_U \)**, by simply transforming Equation 15-8 as follows:

\[ b_U = b/[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)] \]

Then, once \( b_U \) is determined, the Hamada equation can be used to estimate how changes in the debt/equity ratio would affect the levered beta, \( b \), and thus the cost of equity, \( r_s \).

We can apply the procedure to Strasburg Electronics. First, the risk-free rate of return, \( r_{RF} \), is 6 percent, and the market risk premium, \( RPM \), is 6 percent. Next, we need the unlevered beta, \( b_U \). Because Strasburg has no debt, \( D/S = 0 \). Therefore, its current beta of 1.0 is also its unlevered beta; hence \( b_U = 1.0 \). Therefore, Strasburg’s current cost of equity is 12 percent:

\[
\begin{align*}
r_s &= r_{RF} + RPM(b) \\
&= 6\% + (6\%)(1.0) \\
&= 6\% + 6\% = 12\%
\end{align*}
\]

The first 6 percent is the risk-free rate, the second the risk premium. Because Strasburg currently uses no debt, it has no financial risk. Therefore, its 6 percent risk premium reflects only its business risk.

---

If Strasburg changes its capital structure by adding debt, this would increase the risk stockholders bear. That, in turn, would result in an additional risk premium. Conceptually, this situation would exist:

\[ r_s = r_{RF} + \text{Premium for business risk} + \text{Premium for financial risk} \]

Column 4 of Table 15-3 shows Strasburg’s estimated beta for the capital structures under consideration. Figure 15-3 (using data calculated in Column 5 of Table 15-3) graphs Strasburg’s required return on equity at different debt ratios. As the figure shows, \( r_s \) consists of the 6 percent risk-free rate, a constant 6 percent premium for business risk, and a premium for financial risk that starts at zero but rises at an increasing rate as the debt ratio increases.

3. Estimating the Weighted Average Cost of Capital, WACC

Column 6 of Table 15-3 shows Strasburg’s weighted average cost of capital, WACC, at different capital structures. Currently, it has no debt, so its capital structure is 100 percent equity, and at this point WACC = \( r_s = 12\% \). As Strasburg begins to use lower-cost debt, the WACC declines. However, as the debt ratio increases, the costs of both debt and equity rise, at first slowly but then at a faster and faster rate. Eventually, the increasing costs of the two components offset the

\[ \text{Table 15-3} \quad \text{Strasburg’s Optimal Capital Structure} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Financed with Debt, ( w_d )</th>
<th>Market Debt/Equity, D/S</th>
<th>After-Tax Cost of Debt, ((1 - T)r_d)</th>
<th>Estimated Beta, ( b )</th>
<th>Cost of Equity, ( r_s )</th>
<th>WACC</th>
<th>Value of Firm, ( V )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>206,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>212,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>217,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>222,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>216,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

\(^a\)The D/S ratio is calculated as: D/S = \( w_d(1 - w_d) \).
\(^b\)The interest rates are shown in Table 15-2, and the tax rate is 40 percent.
\(^c\)The beta is estimated using Hamada’s formula in Equation 15-8.
\(^d\)The cost of equity is estimated using the CAPM formula: \( r_s = r_{RF} + (RPM)b \), where the risk-free rate is 6 percent and the market risk premium is 6 percent.
\(^e\)The weighted average cost of capital is calculated using Equation 15-2: WACC = \( w_d r_d + w_e r_e (1 - T) \), where \( w_e = (1 - w_d) \).
\(^f\)The value of the firm is calculated using the free cash flow valuation formula in Equation 15-1, modified to reflect the fact that since Strasburg has zero growth, \( V = \frac{\text{FCF}}{\text{WACC}} \). Strasburg has zero growth, so it requires no investment in capital and its FCF is equal to its NOPAT. Using the EBIT shown in Table 15-1:

\[ \text{FCF} = \text{NOPAT} - \text{Investment in capital} = \text{EBIT}(1 - T) - 0 = $40,000(1 - 0.4) = $24,000 \]
fact that more low-cost debt is being used. At 40 percent debt, the WACC hits a minimum of 10.8 percent, and after that it rises with further increases in the debt ratio.

Note too that even though the component cost of equity is always higher than that of debt, using only lower-cost debt would not maximize value because of the feedback effects on the costs of debt and equity. If Strasburg were to issue more than 40 percent debt, it would then be relying more on the cheaper source of capital, but this lower cost would be more than offset by the fact that using more debt would raise the costs of both debt and equity.

4. Estimating the Firm’s Value

We can estimate Strasburg’s value using Equation 15-1. Because Strasburg has zero growth, we can use the constant growth version of Equation 15-1:

\[
V = \frac{FCF}{WACC}
\]
Recall that FCF is net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) minus the required net investment in capital. Table 15-1 shows that Strasburg has an expected EBIT of $40,000. With a tax rate of 40 percent, its expected NOPAT is $24,000 = $40,000 \times (1 - 0.40). Since Strasburg has zero growth, its future net investments in operating assets will be zero, so its expected FCF is equal to NOPAT.

With zero debt, Strasburg has a WACC of 12 percent (shown in Column 6 of Table 15-3) and a value of

\[ V = \frac{FCF}{WACC} = \frac{24,000}{0.12} = 200,000 \]

Column 7 of Table 15-3 shows Strasburg’s value at different capital structures. Notice that the maximum value of $222,222 occurs at a capital structure with 40 percent debt, which is also the capital structure that minimizes the WACC.

5. Estimating Shareholder Wealth and Stock Price

Strasburg should now recapitalize, meaning that it should issue debt and use the proceeds to repurchase stock. The shareholders’ wealth after the recap, as it is commonly called, would be equal to the payment they receive from the share repurchase plus the remaining value of their equity. To find the remaining value of equity, we need to specify how much debt is issued in the new capital structure. Since we know the percent of debt in the capital structure and the resulting value of the firm, we can find the dollar value of debt as follows:

\[ D = w_d V \]

For example, at the optimal capital structure of 40 percent debt, the dollar value of debt is about $88,889 = 0.40(222,222).

The market value of the remaining equity, S, is equal to the total value minus the value of the debt. At the optimal capital structure, the market value of equity is $133,333 = 222,222 − 88,889. Column 4 in Table 15-4 shows the market value of equity under the various capital structures. Notice that the value of equity declines as the percent financed with debt increases. At first glance, it looks like increasing leverage hurts shareholders. But keep in mind that the shareholders also receive cash equal to the amount of new debt when the company repurchases the stock:

\[ \text{Cash raised by issuing debt} = D - D_0 \]

Here \( D_0 \) is the amount of debt the company had before the recap, which for Strasburg was zero.

For example, at the optimal capital structure, Strasburg will issue $88,889 in debt and use the proceeds to repurchase stock. Thus, the total wealth of the shareholders after the repurchase will be the cash they receive in the repurchase ($88,889) plus the value of their remaining equity ($133,333), for a total wealth of $222,222.

---

22In this analysis we assume that Strasburg’s expected EBIT and FCF are constant for the various capital structures. In a more refined analysis we might try to estimate any possible declines in FCF at high levels of debt as the threat of bankruptcy becomes imminent.
Table 15-4  Strasburg’s Stock Price and Earnings per Share

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Financed with Debt, ( W_d )</th>
<th>Value of Firm, ( V )</th>
<th>Market Value of Debt, ( D )</th>
<th>Market Value of Equity, ( S )</th>
<th>Stock Price, ( P )</th>
<th>Number of Shares after Repurchase, ( n )</th>
<th>Net Income, ( NI )</th>
<th>Earnings per Share, ( EPS )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>206,186</td>
<td>20,619</td>
<td>185,567</td>
<td>20.62</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>23,010</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>212,540</td>
<td>42,508</td>
<td>170,032</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>21,934</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>217,984</td>
<td>65,395</td>
<td>152,589</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>20,665</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>222,222</td>
<td>88,889</td>
<td>133,333</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>13,920</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

- The value of the firm is taken from Table 15-3.
- The value of debt is found by multiplying the percent of the firm financed with debt in Column 1 by the value of the firm in Column 2.
- The value of equity is found by subtracting the value of debt in Column 3 from the total value of the firm in Column 2.
- The number of outstanding shares prior to the recap is \( n_0 = 10,000 \). The stock price is \( P = \frac{S + (D - D_0)/n_0}{S + D}/10,000 \).
- The number of shares after the recapitalizations is \( n = S/P \).
- Net income is \( NI = (EBIT - r_dD)(1 - T) \), where \( EBIT = $40,000 \) (taken from Table 15-1), \( r_d \) comes from Table 15-2, and \( T = 40\% \).
- \( EPS = NI/n \).

of $222,222. Notice that their total wealth increases from its original level of $200,000 to the new level of $222,222, a gain of $22,222. This is exactly equal to the increase in total value experienced by Strasburg, so the shareholders reap the full rewards of the recapitalization.

Prior to the announced recap, Strasburg had a $200,000 market value of equity and 10,000 shares of stock outstanding \( (n_0) \). Therefore, its stock price prior to the recap was $20 per share \( ($200,000/10,000 = $20) \).

To find the price per share after the recap, consider the sequence of events. (1) The company announces the recap and issues new debt. (2) The company uses the proceeds from the debt issue to repurchase shares of stock. These events don’t occur exactly simultaneously, so let’s examine each event separately.

**Strasburg Issues New Debt**  Strasburg announces its plans to recapitalize, and borrows $88,889. It has not yet repurchased the stock, and so the $88,889 of debt proceeds are temporarily used to purchase short-term investments such as T-bills or other marketable securities. Using the corporate valuation model from Chapter 11, the total corporate value is now equal to the value of operations, calculated by discounting the expected free cash flows by the new WACC, plus the value of any nonoperating assets such as short-term investments. Therefore, Strasburg’s total value after issuing debt but before repurchasing stock is

\[
\text{Total corporate value} = \text{Value of operations} + \text{Value of short-term investments} = $222,222 + $88,889 = $311,111
\]
Recall from Chapter 11 that the value of equity is the total corporate value minus the value of all debt. Therefore, the value of equity after the debt issue but prior to the repurchase, $S_p$, is

\[
S_p = \text{Value of equity after the debt issue but prior to the repurchase} \\
= \text{Total corporate value} - \text{Value of all debt} \\
= \$311,111 - \$88,889 = \$222,222
\]

Although the corporate valuation model will always provide the correct value, there is a quicker and more intuitive way to determine $S_p$ in a recapitalization. $S_p$ reflects the wealth of the shareholders under the new capital structure, and, as we noted earlier, this is equal to the value of their equity after completion of the recapitalization plus the cash they receive in the repurchase. If all newly issued debt is used to repurchase stock, then that amount is $D - D_0$. Therefore, $S_p$ is

\[
S_p = S + (D - D_0) \\
= \$133,333 + (\$88,889 - \$0) = \$222,222
\]

This is exactly the same value as calculated above, but it can be computed with fewer steps and is perhaps a little more intuitive.

The price per share after issuing debt but prior to repurchasing stock, $P_p$, is

\[
P_p = \frac{S_p}{n_0} \\
= \frac{\$222,222}{10,000} = \$22.22
\]

**Strasburg Repurchases Stock** What happens to the stock price during the repurchase? The short answer is “nothing.” It is true that the additional debt will change the WACC and the stock price prior to the repurchase, but the subsequent repurchase itself will not affect the stock price.\(^{23}\) To see why this is true, suppose the stock price was lower right before the repurchase than after the repurchase. If this were true, it would be possible for an investor to buy the stock the day before the repurchase and then reap a reward the very next day. Current stockholders would realize this and would refuse to sell the stock unless they were paid the price that is expected immediately after the repurchase.

---

\(^{23}\)As we discuss in Chapter 17, a stock repurchase may be a signal of a company’s future prospects, or it may be the way a company “announces” a change in capital structure, and either of those situations could have an impact on estimated free cash flows or WACC. However, neither situation applies to Strasburg.
Therefore, the post-repurchase price, P, is equal to the stock price after the debt issue but prior to the repurchase. Using the relationships in the previous section, we can write this as:

\[
P = \frac{S}{n_0} = \frac{[S + (D - D_0)]}{n_0}
\]

Column 5 in Table 15-4 shows the price per share for the various capital structures. Notice that it, too, is maximized at the same capital structure that minimizes the WACC and maximizes the value of the firm.

Strasburg used the entire debt proceeds to repurchase stock, which means the number of repurchased shares is equal to the debt, D, divided by the repurchase price, P. Given 10,000 shares outstanding prior to the repurchase, the number of remaining shares after the repurchase, n, is

\[
n = \text{Number of outstanding shares remaining after the repurchase} = n_0 - \left(\frac{D}{P}\right)
\]

At the optimal capital structure, Strasburg will repurchase $88,889 / $22.22 = 4,000 shares of stock, leaving 6,000 shares outstanding (see Column 6 of Table 15-4).

The expected EBIT is $40,000, from Table 15-1. Using the appropriate interest rate, amount of debt, and tax rate we can calculate the net income (Column 7 in Table 15-4) and the earnings per share (Column 8).

---

24There are other ways to get to Equation 15-9. By definition, \( P = \frac{S}{n} \). Since \( P \) is also the stock price immediately prior to the repurchase and all debt proceeds are used to repurchase stock, the dollar value of repurchased shares is \( P(n_0 - n) = D - D_0 \). We have two equations (one defining the price per share after the repurchase) and one defining the dollar value of repurchased stock. We have two unknowns, \( n \) and \( P \). We can solve for the repurchase price:

\[
P = \frac{(S + D - D_0)}{n_0}
\]
Figure 15-4  Effects of Capital Structure on Value, Cost of Capital, Stock Price, and EPS
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debt, and there is a fairly wide region (from about 20 percent debt to 55 percent) over which value changes very little.

In Chapter 11 we looked at value-based management and saw how companies can increase their value by improving their operations. There is good news and bad news regarding this. The good news is that small improvements in operations can lead to huge increases in value. But the bad news is that it’s often very hard to improve operations, especially if the company is already well managed.

If instead you seek to increase a firm’s value by changing its capital structure, we again have good news and bad news. The good news is that changing capital structure is very easy—just call an investment banker and issue debt (or the reverse if the firm has too much debt). The bad news is that this will add only a relatively small amount of value. Of course, any additional value is better than none, so it’s hard to understand why there are some mature firms with zero debt.

Finally, Figure 15-4 shows that Strasburg’s EPS steadily increases with leverage, while its stock price reaches a maximum and then begins to decline. For some companies there is a capital structure that maximizes EPS, but this is generally not the same capital structure that maximizes stock price. This is one additional reason we focus on cash flows and value rather than earnings.

Self-Test Questions

What happens to the costs of debt and equity when the leverage increases? Explain.

Using the Hamada equation, show the effect of financial leverage on beta.

Using a graph and illustrative data, identify the premiums for financial risk and business risk at different debt levels. Do these premiums vary depending on the debt level? Explain.

Is expected EPS maximized at the optimal capital structure?

SUMMARY

This chapter examined the effects of financial leverage on stock prices, earnings per share, and the cost of capital. The key concepts covered are listed below:

• A firm’s optimal capital structure is that mix of debt and equity that maximizes the stock price. At any point in time, management has a specific target capital structure in mind, presumably the optimal one, although this target may change over time.

• Several factors influence a firm’s capital structure. These include its (1) business risk, (2) tax position, (3) need for financial flexibility, (4) managerial conservatism or aggressiveness, and (5) growth opportunities.

• Business risk is the riskiness inherent in the firm’s operations if it uses no debt. A firm will have little business risk if the demand for its products is stable, if the prices of its inputs and products remain relatively constant, if it can adjust its prices freely if costs increase, and if a high percentage of its costs are variable and hence will decrease if sales decrease. Other things the same, the lower a firm’s business risk, the higher its optimal debt ratio.

• Financial leverage is the extent to which fixed-income securities (debt and preferred stock) are used in a firm’s capital structure. Financial risk is the added risk borne by stockholders as a result of financial leverage.

• Operating leverage is the extent to which fixed costs are used in a firm’s operations. In business terminology, a high degree of operating leverage, other
factors held constant, implies that a relatively small change in sales results in a large change in ROIC.

- Robert Hamada used the underlying assumptions of the CAPM, along with the Modigliani and Miller model, to develop the Hamada equation, which shows the effect of financial leverage on beta as follows:

\[ b = b_u[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)] \]

Firms can take their current beta, tax rate, and debt/equity ratio to arrive at their unlevered beta, \( b_u \), as follows:

\[ b_u = b/[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)] \]

- Modigliani and Miller and their followers developed a trade-off theory of capital structure. They showed that debt is useful because interest is tax deductible, but also that debt brings with it costs associated with actual or potential bankruptcy. The optimal capital structure strikes a balance between the tax benefits of debt and the costs associated with bankruptcy.

- An alternative (or, really, complementary) theory of capital structure relates to the signals given to investors by a firm’s decision to use debt versus stock to raise new capital. A stock issue sets off a negative signal, while using debt is a positive, or at least a neutral, signal. As a result, companies try to avoid having to issue stock by maintaining a reserve borrowing capacity, and this means using less debt in “normal” times than the MM trade-off theory would suggest.

- A firm’s owners may decide to use a relatively large amount of debt to constrain the managers. A high debt ratio raises the threat of bankruptcy, which not only carries a cost but also forces managers to be more careful and less wasteful with shareholders’ money. Many of the corporate takeovers and leveraged buyouts in recent years were designed to improve efficiency by reducing the cash flow available to managers.

Although each firm has a theoretically optimal capital structure, as a practical matter we cannot estimate it with precision. Accordingly, financial executives generally treat the optimal capital structure as a range—for example, 40 to 50 percent debt—rather than as a precise point, such as 45 percent. The concepts discussed in this chapter help managers understand the factors they should consider when they set the target capital structure ranges for their firms.

**QUESTIONS**

15-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Capital structure; business risk; financial risk
   b. Operating leverage; financial leverage, breakeven point
   c. Reserve borrowing capacity

15-2 What term refers to the uncertainty inherent in projections of future ROIC?

15-3 Firms with relatively high nonfinancial fixed costs are said to have a high degree of what?

15-4 “One type of leverage affects both EBIT and EPS. The other type affects only EPS.” Explain this statement.

15-5 Why is the following statement true? “Other things being the same, firms with relatively stable sales are able to carry relatively high debt ratios.”
15-6 Why do public utility companies usually have capital structures that are different from those of retail firms?

15-7 Why is EBIT generally considered to be independent of financial leverage? Why might EBIT actually be influenced by financial leverage at high debt levels?

15-8 If a firm went from zero debt to successively higher levels of debt, why would you expect its stock price to first rise, then hit a peak, and then begin to decline?

PROBLEMS

15-1 Schweser Satellites Inc. produces satellite earth stations that sell for $100,000 each. The firm’s fixed costs, $F$, are $2 million; 50 earth stations are produced and sold each year; profits total $500,000; and the firm’s assets (all equity financed) are $5 million. The firm estimates that it can change its production process, adding $4 million to investment and $500,000 to fixed operating costs. This change will (1) reduce variable costs per unit by $10,000 and (2) increase output by 20 units, but (3) the sales price on all units will have to be lowered to $95,000 to permit sales of the additional output. The firm has tax loss carryforwards that cause its tax rate to be zero, its cost of equity is 15 percent, and it uses no debt.

a. Should the firm make the change?

b. Would the firm’s breakeven point increase or decrease if it made the change?

c. Would the new situation expose the firm to more or less business risk than the old one?

15-2 Here are the estimated ROE distributions for Firms A, B, and C:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROBABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm A: ( \text{ROE}_A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm B: ( \text{ROE}_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm C: ( \text{ROE}_C )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Calculate the expected value and standard deviation for Firm C’s ROE. \( \text{ROE}_A = 10.0\% \), \( \sigma_A = 5.5\% \); \( \text{ROE}_B = 12.0\% \), \( \sigma_B = 7.7\% \).

b. Discuss the relative riskiness of the three firms’ returns. (Assume that these distributions are expected to remain constant over time.)

c. Now suppose all three firms have the same standard deviation of basic earning power (EBIT/Total assets), \( \sigma_A = \sigma_B = \sigma_C = 5.5\% \). What can we tell about the financial risk of each firm?

15-3 The Rivoli Company has no debt outstanding, and its financial position is given by the following data:

- Assets (book = market): $3,000,000
- EBIT: $500,000
- Cost of equity, \( r_s \): 10%
- Stock price, \( P_0 \): $15
- Shares outstanding, \( n_0 \): 200,000
- Tax rate, \( T \) (federal-plus-state): 40%
The firm is considering selling bonds and simultaneously repurchasing some of its stock. If it moves to a capital structure with 30 percent debt based on market values, its cost of equity, \( r_s \), will increase to 11 percent to reflect the increased risk. Bonds can be sold at a cost, \( r_d \), of 7 percent. Rivoli is a no-growth firm. Hence, all its earnings are paid out as dividends, and earnings are expectationally constant over time.

a. What effect would this use of leverage have on the value of the firm?
b. What would be the price of Rivoli’s stock?
c. What happens to the firm’s earnings per share after the recapitalization?
d. The $500,000 EBIT given previously is actually the expected value from the following probability distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>EBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>($100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine the times-interest-earned ratio for each probability. What is the probability of not covering the interest payment at the 30 percent debt level?

Pettit Printing Company has a total market value of $100 million, consisting of 1 million shares selling for $50 per share and $50 million of 10 percent perpetual bonds now selling at par. The company’s EBIT is $13.24 million, and its tax rate is 15 percent. Pettit can change its capital structure by either increasing its debt to 70 percent (based on market values) or decreasing it to 30 percent. If it decides to increase its use of leverage, it must call its old bonds and issue new ones with a 12 percent coupon. If it decides to decrease its leverage, it will call in its old bonds and replace them with new 8 percent coupon bonds. The company will sell or repurchase stock at the new equilibrium price to complete the capital structure change.

The firm pays out all earnings as dividends; hence, its stock is a zero growth stock. Its current cost of equity, \( r_s \), is 14 percent. If it increases leverage, \( r_s \) will be 16 percent. If it decreases leverage, \( r_s \) will be 13 percent. What is the firm’s WACC and total corporate value under each capital structure?

Beckman Engineering and Associates (BEA) is considering a change in its capital structure. BEA currently has $20 million in debt carrying a rate of 8 percent, and its stock price is $40 per share with 2 million shares outstanding. BEA is a zero growth firm and pays out all of its earnings as dividends. EBIT is $14.933 million, and BEA faces a 40 percent federal-plus-state tax rate. The market risk premium is 4 percent, and the risk-free rate is 6 percent. BEA is considering increasing its debt level to a capital structure with 40 percent debt, based on market values, and repurchasing shares with the extra money that it borrows. BEA will have to retire the old debt in order to issue new debt, and the rate on the new debt will be 9 percent. BEA has a beta of 1.0.

a. What is BEA’s unlevered beta? Use market value D/S when unlevering.
b. What are BEA’s new beta and cost of equity if it has 40 percent debt?
c. What are BEA’s WACC and total value of the firm with 40 percent debt?
Elliott Athletics is trying to determine its optimal capital structure, which now consists of only debt and common equity. The firm does not currently use preferred stock in its capital structure, and it does not plan to do so in the future. To estimate how much its debt would cost at different debt levels, the company’s treasury staff has consulted with investment bankers and, on the basis of those discussions, has created the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Debt-to-Value Ratio ($w_d$)</th>
<th>Market Equity-to-Value Ratio ($w_e$)</th>
<th>Market Debt-to-Equity Ratio ($D/S$)</th>
<th>Bond Rating</th>
<th>Before-Tax Cost of Debt ($r_d$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elliott uses the CAPM to estimate its cost of common equity, $r_e$. The company estimates that the risk-free rate is 5 percent, the market risk premium is 6 percent, and its tax rate is 40 percent. Elliott estimates that if it had no debt, its “unlevered” beta, $b_U$, would be 1.2. Based on this information, what is the firm’s optimal capital structure, and what would the weighted average cost of capital be at the optimal capital structure?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch15 P07 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 15-6 using a spreadsheet model. After completing the problem as it appears, answer the following related questions.

a. Plot a graph of the after-tax cost of debt, the cost of equity, and the WACC versus the debt/value ratio.

b. Would the optimal capital structure change if the unlevered beta changed? To answer this question, do a sensitivity analysis of WACC on $b_U$ for different levels of $b_U$.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Assume you have just been hired as business manager of PizzaPalace, a pizza restaurant located adjacent to campus. The company's EBIT was $500,000 last year, and since the university's enrollment is capped, EBIT is expected to remain constant (in real terms) over time. Since no expansion capital will be required, PizzaPalace plans to pay out all earnings as dividends. The management group owns about 50 percent of the stock, and the stock is traded in the over-the-counter market.

The firm is currently financed with all equity; it has 100,000 shares outstanding; and \( P_0 = $25 \) per share. When you took your corporate finance course, your instructor stated that most firms’ owners would be financially better off if the firms used some debt. When you suggested this to your new boss, he encouraged you to pursue the idea. As a first step, assume that you obtained from the firm’s investment banker the following estimated costs of debt for the firm at different capital structures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Financed with Debt, ( w_d )</th>
<th>( r_d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the company were to recapitalize, debt would be issued, and the funds received would be used to repurchase stock. PizzaPalace is in the 40 percent state-plus-federal corporate tax bracket, its beta is 1.0, the risk-free rate is 6 percent, and the market risk premium is 6 percent.

a. Provide a brief overview of capital structure effects. Be sure to identify the ways in which capital structure can affect the weighted average cost of capital and free cash flows.

b. (1) What is business risk? What factors influence a firm’s business risk?

(2) What is operating leverage, and how does it affect a firm’s business risk? Show the operating breakeven point if a company has fixed costs of $200, a sales price of $15, and variable costs of $10.

c. Now, to develop an example that can be presented to PizzaPalace’s management to illustrate the effects of financial leverage, consider two hypothetical firms: Firm U, which uses no debt financing, and Firm L, which uses $10,000 of 12 percent debt. Both firms have $20,000 in assets, a 40 percent tax rate, and an expected EBIT of $3,000.

(1) Construct partial income statements, which start with EBIT, for the two firms.

(2) Now calculate ROE for both firms.

(3) What does this example illustrate about the impact of financial leverage on ROE?

d. Explain the difference between financial risk and business risk.

e. Now consider the fact that EBIT is not known with certainty, but rather has the following probability distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic State</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>EBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Redo the part a analysis for Firms U and L, but add basic earnings power (BEP), return on invested capital (ROIC, defined as NOPAT/Capital = EBIT[1 - T]/TA for this company), and the times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio to the outcome measures. Find the values for each firm in each state of the economy, and then calculate the expected values. Finally, calculate the standard deviations. What does this example illustrate about the impact of debt financing on risk and return?

f. What does capital structure theory attempt to do? What lessons can be learned from capital structure theory? Be sure to address the MM models.

g. What does the empirical evidence say about capital structure theory? What are the implications for managers?
h. With the above points in mind, now consider the optimal capital structure for PizzaPalace.

(1) For each capital structure under consideration, calculate the levered beta, the cost of equity, and the WACC.

(2) Now calculate the corporate value, the value of the debt that will be issued, and the resulting market value of equity.

(3) Calculate the resulting price per share, the number of shares repurchased, and the remaining shares.

i. Considering only the capital structures under analysis, what is PizzaPalace’s optimal capital structure?
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Klein-Brigham Series:

Brigham-Buzzard Series:
Case 8, “Powerline Network Corporation (Operating Leverage, Financial Leverage, and the Optimal Capital Structure).”
Chapter 15 presented some basic material on capital structure, including a brief introduction to capital structure theory. We saw that debt concentrates a firm’s business risk on its stockholders, thus raising stockholders’ risk, but it also increases the expected return on equity. We also saw that there is some optimal level of debt that maximizes a company’s stock price, and we illustrated this concept with a simple model. Now we go into more detail on capital structure theory. This will give you a deeper understanding of the benefits and costs associated with debt financing.
BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What is arbitrage, and how did Modigliani and Miller use the arbitrage concept in developing their theory that (with no corporate taxes) capital structure has no effect on value or the cost of capital? What real-world impediments exist to creating one’s own “homemade” leverage?

2. What is the essence of Miller’s contribution to the theory of capital structure, and how does it relate to the earlier MM with-taxes position?

3. MM and Miller assumed that firms do not grow. If they grow, how would this affect the value of the debt tax shield? What does growth do to the required rate of return on equity and the WACC as a firm increases its use of debt?

4. MM and Miller also assumed that debt is riskless. How does the possibility of default on debt cause equity to take on the characteristics of an option? What types of incentives for shareholders does this lead to?

CAPITAL STRUCTURE THEORY: ARBITRAGE PROOFS OF THE MODigliANI-MILLER MODELS

Until 1958, capital structure theory consisted of loose assertions about investor behavior rather than carefully constructed models that could be tested by formal statistical analysis. In what has been called the most influential set of financial papers ever published, Franco Modigliani and Merton Miller (MM) addressed capital structure in a rigorous, scientific fashion, and they set off a chain of research that continues to this day.¹

Assumptions

As we explain in this chapter, MM employed the concept of arbitrage to develop their theory. Arbitrage occurs if two similar assets—in this case, levered and

unlevered stocks—sell at different prices. Arbitrageurs will buy the undervalued stock and simultaneously sell the overvalued stock, earning a profit in the process, and this will continue until market forces of supply and demand cause the prices of the two assets to be equal. For arbitrage to work, the assets must be equivalent, or nearly so. MM show that, under their assumptions, levered and unlevered stocks are sufficiently similar for the arbitrage process to operate.

No one, not even MM, believes that their assumptions are sufficiently correct to cause their models to hold exactly in the real world. However, their models do show how money can be made through arbitrage if one can find ways around problems with the assumptions. Here are the initial MM assumptions. Note that some of them were later relaxed:

1. There are no taxes, either personal or corporate.
2. Business risk can be measured by \( \sigma_{\text{EBIT}} \), and firms with the same degree of business risk are said to be in a homogeneous risk class.
3. All present and prospective investors have identical estimates of each firm’s future EBIT; that is, investors have homogeneous expectations about expected future corporate earnings and the riskiness of those earnings.
4. Stocks and bonds are traded in perfect capital markets. This assumption implies, among other things, (a) that there are no brokerage costs and (b) that
investors (both individuals and institutions) can borrow at the same rate as corporations.

5. *Debt is riskless.* This applies to both firms and investors, so the interest rate on all debt is the risk-free rate. Further, this situation holds regardless of how much debt a firm (or individual) uses.

6. All cash flows are *perpetuities*; that is, all firms expect zero growth, hence have an “expectationally constant” EBIT, and all bonds are perpetuities. “Expectationally constant” means that the best guess is that EBIT will be constant, but after the fact the realized level could be different from the expected level.

**MM without Taxes**

MM first analyzed leverage under the assumption that there are no corporate or personal income taxes. On the basis of their assumptions, they stated and algebraically proved two propositions:

**Proposition I** The value of any firm is established by capitalizing its expected net operating income (EBIT) at a constant rate \( r_{SU} \) that is based on the firm’s risk class:

\[
V_L = V_U = \frac{EBIT}{WACC} = \frac{EBIT}{r_{SU}}
\]

Here the subscript \( L \) designates a levered firm and \( U \) designates an unlevered firm. Both firms are assumed to be in the same business risk class, and \( r_{SU} \) is the required rate of return for an unlevered, or all-equity, firm of this risk class when there are no taxes. For our purposes, it is easiest to think in terms of a single firm that has the option of financing either with all equity or with some combination of debt and equity. Hence, \( L \) designates the firm if it uses some amount of debt, and \( U \) designates the firm if it uses no debt.

Because \( V \) as established by Equation 16-1 is a constant, then under the MM model, *when there are no taxes, the value of the firm is independent of its leverage*. As we shall see, this also implies the following:

1. The weighted average cost of capital to the firm, WACC, is completely independent of its capital structure.
2. Regardless of the amount of debt the firm uses, its WACC is equal to the cost of equity that it would have if it used no debt.

**Proposition II** When there are no taxes, the cost of equity to a levered firm, \( r_{SL} \), is equal to (1) the cost of equity to an unlevered firm in the same risk class, \( r_{SU} \), plus (2) a risk premium whose size depends on both the difference between an unlevered firm’s costs of debt and equity and the amount of debt used:

\[
r_{SL} = r_{SU} + \text{Risk premium} = r_{SU} + (r_{SU} - r_d)(D/S)
\]

---

2MM actually stated and proved three propositions, but the third one is not material to our discussion here.
Here $D = \text{market value of the firm's debt}$, $S = \text{market value of its equity}$, and $r_d = \text{the constant cost of debt}$. Equation 16-2 states that as debt increases, the cost of equity also rises, and in a mathematically precise manner (even though the cost of debt does not rise).

Taken together, the two MM propositions imply that using more debt in the capital structure will not increase the value of the firm, because the benefits of cheaper debt will be exactly offset by an increase in the riskiness of the equity, hence in its cost. Thus, MM argue that in a world without taxes, both the value of a firm and its WACC would be unaffected by its capital structure.

**MM’s Arbitrage Proof**

MM used an *arbitrage proof* to support their propositions.\(^3\) They showed that, under their assumptions, if two companies differed only (1) in the way they were financed and (2) in their total market values, then investors would sell shares of the higher-valued firm, buy those of the lower-valued firm, and continue this process until the companies had exactly the same market value. To illustrate, assume that two firms, L and U, are identical in all important respects except that Firm L has $4,000,000 of 7.5 percent debt while Firm U uses only equity. Both firms have $\text{EBIT} = \$900,000$, and $\sigma_{\text{EBIT}}$ is the same for both firms, so they are in the same business risk class.

MM assumed that all firms are in a zero-growth situation; that is, EBIT is expected to remain constant, which will occur if ROE is constant, all earnings are paid out as dividends, and there are no taxes. Under the constant EBIT assumption, the total market value of the common stock, $S$, is the present value of a perpetuity, which is found as follows:

$$S = \frac{\text{Dividends}}{r_s} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{r_s} = \frac{(\text{EBIT} - r_dD)}{r_s}$$

Equation 16-3 is merely the value of a perpetuity whose numerator is the net income available to common stockholders, all of which is paid out as dividends, and whose denominator is the cost of common equity. Since there are no taxes, the numerator is not multiplied by $(1 - T)$ as it would be if we calculated NOPAT as in Chapters 7 and 11.

Assume that initially, before any arbitrage occurs, both firms have the same equity capitalization rate: $r_{sU} = r_{sL} = 10\%$. Under this condition, according to Equation 16-3, the following situation would exist:

**Firm U:**

$$\text{Value of Firm U’s stock} = S_U = \frac{\text{EBIT} - r_dD}{r_{sU}}$$

$$= \frac{\$900,000 - \$0}{0.10} = \$9,000,000$$

\(^3\)By *arbitrage* we mean the simultaneous buying and selling of essentially identical assets that sell at different prices. The buying increases the price of the undervalued asset, and the selling decreases the price of the overvalued asset. Arbitrage operations will continue until prices have adjusted to the point where the arbitrageur can no longer earn a profit, at which point the market is in equilibrium. In the absence of transaction costs, equilibrium requires that the prices of the two assets be equal.
Thus before arbitrage, and assuming that $r_{sU} = r_{sL}$ (which implies that capital structure has no effect on the cost of equity), the value of the levered Firm L exceeds that of the unlevered Firm U.

MM argued that this is a disequilibrium situation that cannot persist. To see why, suppose you owned 10 percent of L’s stock, so the market value of your investment was $0.10(6,000,000) = 600,000$. According to MM, you could increase your income without increasing your exposure to risk. For example, suppose you (1) sold your stock in L for $600,000, (2) borrowed an amount equal to 10 percent of L’s debt ($400,000), and then (3) bought 10 percent of U’s stock for $900,000. Note that you would receive $1,000,000 from the sale of your 10 percent of L’s stock plus your borrowing, and you would be spending only $900,000 on U’s stock, so you would have an extra $100,000, which you could invest in riskless debt to yield 7.5 percent, or $7,500 annually.

Now consider your income positions:

**Old Income:**
- 10% of L’s $600,000 equity income $ 60,000

**New Income:**
- 10% of U’s $900,000 equity income $ 90,000
- Less 7.5% interest on $400,000 loan (30,000) $60,000
- Plus 7.5% interest on extra $100,000 7,500
- Total new income $67,500

Thus, your net income from common stock would be exactly the same as before, $60,000, but you would have $100,000 left over for investment in riskless debt, which would increase your income by $7,500. Therefore, the total return on your $600,000 net worth would rise to $67,500. Further, your risk, according to MM, would be the same as before, because you would have simply substituted $400,000 of “homemade” leverage for your 10 percent share of Firm L’s $4 million of corporate leverage. Thus, neither your “effective” debt nor your risk would have changed. Therefore, you would have increased your income without raising your risk, which is obviously a desirable thing to do.

MM argued that this arbitrage process would actually occur, with sales of L’s stock driving its price down, and purchases of U’s stock driving its price up, until the market values of the two firms were equal. Until this equality was established, gains could be obtained by switching from one stock to the other; hence the profit motive would force equality to be reached. When equilibrium is established, the values of Firms L and U, and their weighted average costs of capital, would be
equal. Thus, according to Modigliani and Miller, both a firm’s value and its WACC must be independent of capital structure.

Note that each of the assumptions listed at the beginning of this section is necessary for the arbitrage proof to work exactly. For example, if the companies did not have identical business risk, or if transactions costs were significant, then the arbitrage process could not be invoked. We discuss other implications of the assumptions later in the chapter.

**Arbitrage with Short Sales**

Even if you did not own any stock in L, you still could reap benefits if U and L did not have the same total market value. Your first step would be to sell short $600,000 of stock in L. To do this, your broker would let you borrow stock in L from another client. Your broker would then sell the stock for you and give you the proceeds, or $600,000 in cash. You would supplement this $600,000 by borrowing $400,000. With the $1 million total, you would buy 10 percent of the stock in U for $900,000, and have $100,000 remaining.

Your position would then consist of $100,000 in cash and two portfolios. The first portfolio would contain $900,000 of stock in U, and it would generate $90,000 of income. Because you own the stock, we’ll call it the “long” portfolio. The other portfolio would consist of $600,000 of stock in L and $400,000 of debt. The value of this portfolio is $1 million, and it would generate $60,000 of dividends and $30,000 of interest. However, you would not own this second portfolio—you would “owe” it. Since you borrowed the $400,000, you would owe the $30,000 in interest. And since you borrowed the stock in L, you would “owe the stock” to the client from whom it was borrowed. Therefore, you would have to pay your broker the $60,000 of dividends paid by L, which the broker would then pass on to the client from whom the stock was borrowed. So, your net cash flow from the second portfolio would be a negative $90,000. Because you “owe” this portfolio, we’ll call it the “short” portfolio.

Where would you get the $90,000 that you must pay on the short portfolio? The good news is that this is exactly the amount of cash flow generated by your long portfolio. Because the cash flows generated by each portfolio are the same, the short portfolio “replicates” the long portfolio.

Here is the bottom line. You started out with no money of your own. By selling L short, borrowing $400,000, and purchasing stock in U, you ended up with $100,000 in cash plus the two portfolios. The portfolios mirror one another, so their net cash flow is zero. This is perfect arbitrage: You invest none of your own money, you have no risk, you have no future negative cash flows, but you end up with cash in your pocket.

Not surprisingly, many traders would want to do this. The selling pressure on L would cause its price to fall, and the buying pressure on U would cause its price to rise, until the two companies’ values were equal. To put it another way, if the long and short replicating portfolios have the same cash flows, then arbitrage will force them to have the same value.

This is one of the most important ideas in modern finance. Not only does it give us insights into capital structure, but it is the fundamental building block underlying the valuation of real and financial options and derivatives as discussed in Chapters 6 and 14. Without the concept of arbitrage, the options and derivatives markets we have today simply would not exist.
MM with Corporate Taxes

MM’s original work, published in 1958, assumed zero taxes. In 1963, they published a second article that incorporated corporate taxes. With corporate income taxes, they concluded that leverage will increase a firm’s value. This occurs because interest is a tax-deductible expense; hence more of a levered firm’s operating income flows through to investors.

Later in this chapter we present a proof of the MM propositions when personal taxes as well as corporate taxes are allowed. The situation when corporations are subject to income taxes, but there are no personal taxes, is a special case of the situation with both personal and corporate taxes, so we only present results here.

**Proposition I** The value of a levered firm is equal to the value of an unlevered firm in the same risk class ($V_U$) plus the gain from leverage. The gain from leverage is the value of the tax savings, found as the product of the corporate tax rate ($T$) times the amount of debt the firm uses ($D$):

$$V_L = V_U + TD$$  \[16-4\]

The important point here is that when corporate taxes are introduced, the value of the levered firm exceeds that of the unlevered firm by the amount $TD$. Since the gain from leverage increases as debt increases, this implies that a firm’s value is maximized at 100 percent debt financing.

Because all cash flows are assumed to be perpetuities, the value of the unlevered firm can be found by using Equation 16-3 and incorporating taxes. With zero debt ($D = 0$), the value of the firm is its equity value:

$$S = V_U = \frac{EBIT(1 - T)}{r_{sU}}$$  \[16-5\]

Note that the discount rate, $r_{sU}$, is not necessarily equal to the discount rate in Equation 16-1. The $r_{sU}$ from Equation 16-1 is the required discount rate in a world with no taxes. The $r_{sU}$ in Equation 16-5 is the required discount rate in a world with taxes.

**Proposition II** The cost of equity to a levered firm is equal to (1) the cost of equity to an unlevered firm in the same risk class plus (2) a risk premium whose size depends on the difference between the costs of equity and debt to an unlevered firm, the amount of financial leverage used, and the corporate tax rate:

$$r_{sL} = r_{sU} + (r_{sU} - r_d)(1 - T)\frac{D}{S}$$  \[16-6\]

Note that Equation 16-6 is identical to the corresponding without-tax equation, 16-2, except for the term $(1 - T)$ in 16-6. Because $(1 - T)$ is less than 1, corporate taxes cause the cost of equity to rise less rapidly with leverage than it would
in the absence of taxes. Proposition II, coupled with the fact that taxes reduce the
effective cost of debt, is what produces the Proposition I result, namely, that the
firm’s value increases as its leverage increases.

As shown in Chapter 15, Professor Robert Hamada extended the MM analy-
isis to define the relationship between a firm’s beta, \( b \), and the amount of leverage
it has. The beta of an unlevered firm is denoted by \( b_{U} \), and Hamada’s equation is

\[
b = b_{U}[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)]
\]

Note that beta, like the cost of stock shown in Equation 16-6, increases with
leverage.

**Illustration of the MM Models**

To illustrate the MM models, assume that the following data and conditions hold
for Fredrickson Water Company, an old, established firm that supplies water to
residential customers in several no-growth upstate New York communities:

1. Fredrickson currently has no debt; it is an all-equity company.
2. Expected EBIT = $2,400,000. EBIT is not expected to increase over time, so
Fredrickson is in a no-growth situation.
3. Needing no new capital, Fredrickson pays out all of its income as dividends.
4. If Fredrickson begins to use debt, it can borrow at a rate \( r_{d} = 8\% \). This bor-
row ing rate is constant—it does not increase regardless of the amount of debt
used. Any money raised by selling debt would be used to repurchase common
stock, so Fredrickson’s assets would remain constant.
5. The business risk inherent in Fredrickson’s assets, and thus in its EBIT, is such
that its beta is 0.80; this is called the unlevered beta, \( b_{U} \), because Fredrickson
has no debt. The risk-free rate is 8 percent, and the market risk premium
\((RPM)\) is 5 percent. Using the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Fredrick-
son’s required rate of return on stock, \( r_{sU} \), is 12 percent if no debt is used:

\[
r_{sU} = r_{RF} + b_{U}(RPM) = 8\% + 0.80(5\%) = 12\%
\]

**With Zero Taxes** To begin, assume that there are no taxes, so \( T = 0\% \). At any
level of debt, Proposition I (Equation 16-1) can be used to find Fredrickson’s value
in an MM world, $20 million:

\[
V_{L} = V_{U} = \frac{\text{EBIT}}{r_{sU}} = \frac{\$2.4 \text{ million}}{0.12} = \$20.0 \text{ million}
\]

If Fredrickson uses $10 million of debt, its stock’s value must be $10 million:

\[
S = V - D = $20 \text{ million} - $10 \text{ million} = $10 \text{ million}
\]

We can also find Fredrickson’s cost of equity, \( r_{sL} \), and its WACC at a debt
level of $10 million. First, we use Proposition II (Equation 16-2) to find \( r_{sL} \),
Fredrickson’s levered cost of equity:

\[
r_{sL} = r_{sU} + (r_{sU} - r_{d})(D/S)
\]

\[
= 12\% + (12\% - 8\%)(10 \text{ million}/10 \text{ million})
\]

\[
= 12\% + 4.0\% = 16.0\%
\]
Now we can find the company’s weighted average cost of capital:

\[
WACC = \frac{(D/V)(r_d)(1 - T) + (S/V)r_{sL}}{(D/V)(1 - T) + (S/V)}
\]

\[
= \frac{($10/$20)(8\%)(1.0) + ($10/$20)(16.0\%)}{12.0\%}
\]

Fredrickson’s value and cost of capital based on the MM model without taxes at various debt levels are shown in Panel a on the left side of Figure 16-1. Here we see that in an MM world without taxes, financial leverage simply does not matter: *The value of the firm, and its overall cost of capital, are both independent of the amount of debt.*

**With Corporate Taxes** To illustrate the MM model with corporate taxes, assume that all of the previous conditions hold except these two:

1. Expected EBIT = $4,000,000.4
2. Fredrickson has a 40 percent federal-plus-state tax rate, so \( T = 40\% \).

Other things held constant, the introduction of corporate taxes would lower Fredrickson’s net income, hence its value, so we increased EBIT from $2.4 million to $4 million to make the comparison between the two models easier.

When Fredrickson has zero debt but pays taxes, Equation 16-5 can be used to find its value, $20 million:

\[
V_U = \frac{\text{EBIT} (1 - T)}{r_{sU}} = \frac{$4 \text{ million} (0.6)}{0.12} = $20.0 \text{ million}
\]

If Fredrickson now uses $10 million of debt in a world with taxes, we see by Proposition I (Equation 16-4) that its total market value rises to $24 million:

\[
V_L = V_U + TD = $20 \text{ million} + 0.4($10 \text{ million}) = $24 \text{ million}
\]

Therefore, the implied value of Fredrickson’s equity is $14 million:

\[
S = V - D = $24 \text{ million} - $10 \text{ million} = $14 \text{ million}
\]

We can also find Fredrickson’s cost of equity, \( r_{sL} \), and its WACC at a debt level of $10 million. First, we use Proposition II (Equation 16-6) to find \( r_{sL} \), the levered cost of equity:

\[
r_{sL} = r_{sU} + (r_{sU} - r_d)(1 - T)(D/S)
\]

\[
= 12\% + (12\% - 8\%)(0.6)($10 \text{ million} / $14 \text{ million})
\]

\[
= 12\% + 1.71\% = 13.71\%
\]

---

4If we had left Fredrickson’s EBIT at $2.4 million, the introduction of corporate taxes would have reduced the firm’s value from $20 million to $12 million:

\[
V_U = \frac{\text{EBIT} (1 - T)}{r_{sU}} = \frac{$2.4 \text{ million} (0.6)}{0.12} = $12.0 \text{ million}
\]

Corporate taxes reduce the amount of operating income available to investors in an unlevered firm by the factor \((1 - T)\), so the value of the firm would be reduced by the same amount, holding \( r_{sU} \) constant.
The company’s weighted average cost of capital is 10 percent:

\[
WACC = \frac{D}{V}(r_d)(1 - T) + \frac{S}{V}r_s
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{10}{24}(8\%)(0.6) + \frac{14}{24}(13.71\%)\right) = 10.0\%
\]

Note that we could also find the levered beta and then the levered cost of equity. First, we apply Hamada’s equation to find the levered beta:
\[
b = b_u[1 + (1 - T)(D/S)] \\
= 0.80[1 + (1 - 0.4)(\$10 million/\$14 million)] \\
= 1.1429
\]

Applying the CAPM, the levered cost of equity is

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{sl} &= r_{RF} + b(RP_M) = 8\% + 1.1429(5\%) = 0.1371 = 13.71\%
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that this is the same levered cost of equity that we obtained directly using Equation 16-6.

Fredrickson’s value and cost of capital at various debt levels with corporate taxes are shown in Panel b on the right side of Figure 16-1. In an MM world with corporate taxes, financial leverage does matter: The value of the firm is maximized, and its overall cost of capital is minimized, if it uses almost 100 percent debt financing. The increase in value is due solely to the tax deductibility of interest payments, which lowers both the cost of debt and the equity risk premium by \((1 - T)\).\(^5\)

To conclude this section, compare the “Without Taxes” and “With Corporate Taxes” sections of Figure 16-1. Without taxes, both WACC and the firm’s value \((V)\) are constant. With corporate taxes, WACC declines and \(V\) rises as more and more debt is used, so the optimal capital structure, under MM with corporate taxes, is 100 percent debt.

**Self-Test Questions**

Is there an optimal capital structure under the MM zero-tax model?
What is the optimal capital structure under the MM model with corporate taxes?
How does the Proposition I equation differ between the two models?
How does the Proposition II equation differ between the two models?
Why do taxes result in a “gain from leverage” in the MM model with corporate taxes?

\(^5\)In the limiting case, where the firm used 100 percent debt financing, the bondholders would own the entire company; thus, they would have to bear all the business risk. (Up until this point, MM assume that the stockholders bear all the risk.) If the bondholders bear all the risk, then the capitalization rate on the debt should be equal to the equity capitalization rate at zero debt, \(r_d = r_{uJ} = 12\%\).

The income stream to the stockholders in the all-equity case was \(\$4,000,000(1 - T) = \$2,400,000\), and the value of the firm was

\[
V_u = \frac{\$2,400,000}{0.12} = \$20,000,000
\]

With all debt, the entire \(\$4,000,000\) of EBIT would be used to pay interest charges—\(r_d\) would be 12 percent, so \(I = 0.12(\text{Debt}) = \$4,000,000\). Taxes would be zero, and investors (bondholders) would get the entire \(\$4,000,000\) of operating income; they would not have to share it with the government. Thus, at 100 percent debt, the value of the firm would be

\[
V_L = \frac{\$4,000,000}{0.12} = \$33,333,333 = D
\]

There is, of course, a transition problem in all this—MM assume that \(r_d = 8\%\) regardless of how much debt the firm has until debt reaches 100 percent, at which point \(r_d\) jumps to 12 percent, the cost of equity. As we shall see later in the chapter, \(r_d\) realistically rises as the use of financial leverage increases.
INTRODUCING PERSONAL TAXES: THE MILLER MODEL

Although MM included corporate taxes in the second version of their model, they did not extend the model to include personal taxes. However, in his presidential address to the American Finance Association, Merton Miller presented a model to show how leverage affects firms’ values when both personal and corporate taxes are taken into account. To explain Miller’s model, we begin by defining $T_c$ as the corporate tax rate, $T_s$ as the personal tax rate on income from stocks, and $T_d$ as the personal tax rate on income from debt. Note that stock returns are expected to come partly as dividends and partly as capital gains, so $T_s$ is a weighted average of the effective tax rates on dividends and capital gains. However, essentially all debt income comes from interest, which is effectively taxed at investors’ top rates, so $T_d$ is higher than $T_s$.

With personal taxes included, and under the same set of assumptions used in the earlier MM models, the value of an unlevered firm is found as follows:

$$V_U = \frac{\text{EBIT} (1 - T_s)}{r_{sU}} = \frac{\text{EBIT} (1 - T_s) (1 - T_s)}{r_{sU} (1 - T_s)} \tag{16-8}$$

The $(1 - T_s)$ term takes account of personal taxes. Note that to find the value of the unlevered firm we can either discount pre-personal-tax cash flows at the pre-personal-tax rate of $r_{sU}$ or the after-personal-tax cash flows at the after-personal-tax rate of $r_{sU} (1 - T_s)$. Therefore, the numerator of the second form of Equation 16-8 shows how much of the firm’s operating income is left after the unlevered firm pays corporate income taxes and its stockholders subsequently pay personal taxes on their equity income. Note also that the discount rate, $r_{sU}$, in Equation 16-8 is not necessarily equal to the discount rate in Equation 16-5. The $r_{sU}$ from Equation 16-5 is the required discount rate in a world with corporate taxes but no personal taxes. The $r_{sU}$ in Equation 16-8 is the required discount rate in a world with both corporate and personal taxes.

Miller’s formula can be proved by an arbitrage proof similar to the one we presented earlier. However, the alternative proof shown below is easier to follow. To begin, we partition the levered firm’s annual cash flows, $\text{CF}_L$, into those going to stockholders and those going to bondholders, after both corporate and personal taxes:

$$\text{CF}_L = \text{Net CF to stockholders} + \text{Net CF to bondholders} = (\text{EBIT} - I)(1 - T_c)(1 - T_d) + I(1 - T_d) \tag{16-9}$$

Here $I$ is the annual interest payment. Equation 16-9 can be rearranged as follows:

$$\text{CF}_L = [\text{EBIT}(1 - T_c)(1 - T_d)] - [I(1 - T_c)(1 - T_d)] + [I(1 - T_d)] \tag{16-9a}$$

---

The first term in Equation 16-9a is identical to the after-personal-tax cash flow of an unlevered firm as shown in the numerator of Equation 16-8, and its present value is found by discounting the perpetual cash flow by \( r_{SU}(1 - T_s) \). The second and third terms, which reflect leverage, result from the cash flows associated with debt financing, which under the MM assumptions are riskless. We can write the value of perpetual riskless debt as

\[
D = \frac{1}{r_d} = \frac{I(1 - T_d)}{r_d(1 - T_d)} \tag{16-10}
\]

We can either discount pre-personal-tax interest payments at the pre-personal-tax rate of \( r_d \) or we can discount after-personal-tax interest payments at the after-personal-tax rate \( r_d(1 - T_d) \). Since they are after-personal-tax cash flows to debtholders, the present value of the two right-hand terms in Equation 16-9a can be obtained by discounting at the after-personal-tax cost of debt, \( r_d(1 - T_d) \). Combining the present values of the three terms, we obtain this value for the levered firm:

\[
V_L = \frac{EBIT(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{r_{SU}(1 - T_s)} - \frac{I(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{r_d(1 - T_d)} + \frac{I(1 - T_d)}{r_d(1 - T_d)} \tag{16-11}
\]

The first term in Equation 16-11 is identical to \( V_U \) in Equation 16-8. Recognizing this, and when we consolidate the second two terms, we obtain this equation:

\[
V_L = V_U + \frac{I(1 - T_d)}{r_d(1 - T_d)} \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right] \tag{16-11a}
\]

Now recognize that the after-tax perpetual interest payment divided by the after-tax required rate of return on debt, \( I(1 - T_d)/r_d(1 - T_d) \), equals the market value of the debt, \( D \). Substituting \( D \) into the preceding equation and rearranging, we obtain this expression, called the **Miller model**:

\[
\text{Miller model: } V_L = V_U + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right] D \tag{16-12}
\]

The Miller model provides an estimate of the value of a levered firm in a world with both corporate and personal taxes.

The Miller model has several important implications:

1. The term in brackets,

\[
\left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right]
\]
when multiplied by $D$, represents the gain from leverage. The bracketed term thus replaces the corporate tax rate, $T_c$, in the earlier MM model with corporate taxes, $V_L = V_U + TD$.

2. If we ignore all taxes, that is, if $T_c = T_s = T_d = 0$, then the bracketed term is zero, so in that case Equation 16-12 is the same as the original MM model without taxes.

3. If we ignore personal taxes, that is, if $T_s = T_d = 0$, then the bracketed term reduces to $[1 - (1 - T_c)] = T_c$, so Equation 16-12 is the same as the MM model with corporate taxes.

4. If the effective personal tax rates on stock and bond incomes were equal, that is, if $T_s = T_d$, then $(1 - T_s)$ and $(1 - T_d)$ would cancel, and the bracketed term would again reduce to $T_c$.

5. If $(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s) = (1 - T_d)$, then the bracketed term would be zero, and the value of using leverage would also be zero. This implies that the tax advantage of debt to the firm would be exactly offset by the personal tax advantage of equity. Under this condition, capital structure would have no effect on a firm’s value or its cost of capital, so we would be back to MM’s original zero-tax theory.

6. Because taxes on capital gains are lower than on ordinary income and can be deferred, the effective tax rate on stock income is normally less than that on bond income. This being the case, what would the Miller model predict as the gain from leverage? To answer this question, assume that the tax rate on corporate income is $T_c = 34\%$, the effective rate on bond income is $T_d = 28\%$, and the effective rate on stock income is $T_s = 15\%$. Using these values in the Miller model, we find that a levered firm’s value exceeds that of an unlevered firm by 22 percent of the market value of corporate debt:

$$\text{Gain from leverage} = \left[1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)}\right]D$$

$$= \left[1 - \frac{(1 - 0.34)(1 - 0.15)}{(1 - 0.28)}\right]D$$

$$= [1 - 0.78]D$$

$$= 0.22D$$

Note that the MM model with corporate taxes would indicate a gain from leverage of $T_cD = 0.34D$, or 34 percent of the amount of corporate debt. Thus, with these assumed tax rates, adding personal taxes to the model lowers but does not eliminate the benefit from corporate debt. In general, whenever the effective tax rate on income from stock is less than the effective rate on income from bonds, the Miller model produces a lower gain from leverage than is produced by the MM with-tax model.

---

7In a 1978 article, Miller and Scholes described how investors could, theoretically, shelter or delay income from stock to the point where the effective personal tax rate on such income is essentially zero. See Merton H. Miller and Myron S. Scholes, “Dividends and Taxes,” *Journal of Financial Economics*, December 1978, pp. 333–364. However, the 1986 changes in the tax law eliminated most of the shelters Miller and Scholes discussed.
In his paper, Miller argued that firms in the aggregate would issue a mix of debt and equity securities such that the before-tax yields on corporate securities and the personal tax rates of the investors who bought these securities would adjust until an equilibrium was reached. At equilibrium, \((1 - T_d)\) would equal \((1 - T_c) \times (1 - T_s)\), so, as we noted earlier in point 5, the tax advantage of debt to the firm would be exactly offset by personal taxation, and capital structure would have no effect on a firm’s value or its cost of capital. Thus, according to Miller, the conclusions derived from the original Modigliani-Miller zero-tax model are correct!

Others have extended and tested Miller’s analysis. Generally, these extensions question Miller’s conclusion that there is no advantage to the use of corporate debt. In fact, Equation 16-12 shows that both \(T_c\) and \(T_s\) must be less than \(T_d\) if there is to be zero gain from leverage. In the United States, for most corporations and investors, the effective tax rate on income from stock is less than on income from bonds; that is, \(T_s < T_d\). However, many corporate bonds are held by tax-exempt institutions, and in those cases \(T_c\) is generally greater than \(T_d\). Also, for those high tax bracket individuals with \(T_d > T_c\), \(T_s\) may be large enough so that \((1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)\) is less than \((1 - T_d)\); hence there is an advantage to the use of corporate debt. Still, Miller’s work does show that personal taxes offset some of the benefits of corporate debt, so the tax advantages of corporate debt are less than were implied by the earlier MM model, where only corporate taxes were considered.

As we note in the next section, both the MM and the Miller models are based on strong and unrealistic assumptions, so we should regard our examples as indicating the general effects of leverage on a firm’s value, not a precise relationship.

**Self-Test Questions**

How does the Miller model differ from the MM model with corporate taxes?

What are the implications of the Miller model if \(T_c = T_s = T_d = 0\)?

What are the implications if \(T_s = T_d = 0\)?

Considering the current tax structure in the United States, what is the primary implication of the Miller model?

### CRITICISMS OF THE MM AND MILLER MODELS

The conclusions of the MM and Miller models follow logically from their initial assumptions. However, both academicians and executives have voiced concerns over the validity of the MM and Miller models, and virtually no one believes they hold precisely. The MM zero-tax model leads to the conclusion that capital structure doesn’t matter, yet we observe systematic capital structure patterns within industries. Further, when used with “reasonable” tax rates, both the MM model with corporate taxes and the Miller model lead to the conclusion that firms should use 100 percent debt financing, but firms do not (deliberately) go to that extreme.

People who disagree with the MM and Miller theories generally attack them on the grounds that their assumptions are not correct. Here are the main objections:

1. Both MM and Miller assume that personal and corporate leverage are perfect substitutes. However, an individual investing in a levered firm has less loss exposure as a result of corporate limited liability than if he or she used “home-made” leverage. For example, in our earlier illustration of the MM arbitrage
argument, it should be noted that only the $600,000 our investor had in Firm L would be lost if that firm went bankrupt. However, if the investor engaged in arbitrage transactions and employed “homemade” leverage to invest in Firm U, then he or she could lose $900,000—the original $600,000 investment plus the $400,000 loan less the $100,000 investment in riskless bonds. This increased personal risk exposure would tend to restrain investors from engaging in arbitrage, and that could cause the equilibrium values of $V_L$, $V_U$, $r_{dL}$, and $r_{dU}$ to be different from those specified by MM. Restrictions on institutional investors, who dominate capital markets today, may also retard the arbitrage process, because many institutional investors cannot legally borrow to buy stocks, hence are prohibited from engaging in homemade leverage.

Note, though, that while limited liability may present a problem to individuals, it does not present a problem to corporations set up to undertake leveraged buyouts (LBOs). Thus, after MM’s work became widely known, literally hundreds of LBO firms were established, and their founders made billions recapitalizing underleveraged firms. “Junk bonds” were created to aid in the process, and the managers of underleveraged firms who did not want their firms to be taken over increased debt usage on their own. Thus, MM’s work raised the level of debt in corporate America, and that probably raised the level of economic efficiency.

2. If a levered firm’s operating income declined, it would sell assets and take other measures to raise the cash necessary to meet its interest obligations and thus avoid bankruptcy. If our illustrative unlevered firm experienced the same decline in operating income, it would probably take the less drastic measure of cutting dividends rather than selling assets. If dividends were cut, investors who employed homemade leverage would not receive cash to pay the interest on their debt. Thus, homemade leverage puts stockholders in greater danger of bankruptcy than does corporate leverage.

3. Brokerage costs were assumed away by MM and Miller, making the switch from L to U costless. However, brokerage and other transaction costs do exist, and they too impede the arbitrage process.

4. MM initially assumed that corporations and investors can borrow at the risk-free rate. Although risky debt has been introduced into the analysis by others, to reach the MM and Miller conclusions it is still necessary to assume that both corporations and investors can borrow at the same rate. While major institutional investors probably can borrow at the corporate rate, many institutions are not allowed to borrow to buy securities. Further, most individual investors must borrow at higher rates than those paid by large corporations.

5. In his article, Miller concluded that an equilibrium would be reached, but to reach his equilibrium the tax benefit from corporate debt must be the same for all firms, and it must be constant for an individual firm regardless of the amount of leverage used. However, we know that tax benefits vary from firm to firm: Highly profitable companies gain the maximum tax benefit from leverage, while the benefits to firms that are struggling are much smaller. Further, some firms have other tax shields such as high depreciation, pension plan contributions, and operating loss carryforwards, and these shields reduce the tax savings from interest payments. It also appears simplistic to assume that the expected...

---

tax shield is unaffected by the amount of debt used. Higher leverage increases the probability that the firm will not be able to use the full tax shield in the future, because higher leverage increases the probability of future unprofitability and consequently lower tax rates. Note also that large, diversified corporations can use losses in one division to offset profits in another. Thus, the tax shelter benefit is more certain in large, diversified firms than in smaller, single-product companies. All things considered, it appears likely that the interest tax shield from corporate debt is more valuable to some firms than to others.

6. MM and Miller assume that there are no costs associated with financial distress, and they ignore agency costs. Further, they assume that all market participants have identical information about firms' prospects, which is also incorrect.

These six points all suggest that the MM and Miller models lead to questionable conclusions, and that the models would be better if certain of their assumptions could be relaxed. We discuss an extension of the models in the next section.

Self-Test Questions

Should we accept that one of the models presented thus far (MM with zero taxes, MM with corporate taxes, or Miller) is correct? Why or why not?
Are any of the assumptions used in the models worrisome to you, and what does “worrisome” mean in this context?

AN EXTENSION TO THE MM MODEL

In this section we discuss an extension to the MM model that incorporates growth and different discount rates for the debt tax shield.9

MM assumed that firms pay out all of their earnings as dividends and therefore do not grow. However, most firms do grow, and growth affects the MM results (as found in the first part of this chapter) and the Hamada results (as discussed in Chapter 15). Recall that for an unlevered firm, the WACC is just the unlevered cost of equity, \( WACC_{\text{U}} = \frac{\text{FCF}}{r_{\text{U}} - g} \). If \( g \) is the constant growth rate and FCF is the expected free cash flow, then the corporate value model from Chapter 11 shows that

\[
V_{\text{U}} = \frac{\text{FCF}}{r_{\text{U}} - g}
\]

As shown by Equation 16-4, the value of the levered firm is equal to the value of the unlevered firm plus the value of the tax shield:

\[
V_{\text{L}} = V_{\text{U}} + V_{\text{tax shield}}
\]

However, when there is growth, the value of the tax shield is not equal to \( TD \) as it was in the MM model. If the firm uses debt and \( g \) is positive, then, as the firm grows, the amount of debt will increase over time; hence the size of the annual tax shield will also increase at the rate \( g \), provided the debt ratio remains constant.

---

Moreover, the value of this growing tax shield is greater than the value of the constant tax shield in the MM analysis.

MM assumed that corporate debt was riskless and that the firm would always be able to use its tax savings. Therefore, they discounted the tax savings at the cost of debt, \( r_d \), which is the risk-free rate. However, corporate debt is not risk free—firms do occasionally default on their loans. Also, a firm may not be able to use tax savings from debt in the current year if it already has a pre-tax loss from operations. Therefore, the flow of tax savings to the firm is not risk free; hence it should be discounted at a rate greater than the risk-free rate. In addition, since debt is safer than equity to an investor because it has a higher priority claim on the firm’s cash flows, its discount rate should be no greater than the unlevered cost of equity. For now, assume that the appropriate discount rate for the tax savings is \( r_{TS} \), which is greater than or equal to the cost of debt, \( r_d \), and less than or equal to the unlevered cost of equity, \( r_{EU} \).

If \( r_{TS} \) is the appropriate discount rate for the tax shield, \( r_d \) is the interest rate on the debt, \( T \) is the corporate tax rate, and \( D \) is the current amount of debt, then the present value of this growing tax shield is

\[
V_{\text{Tax shield}} = \frac{r_dTD}{r_{TS} - g}
\]

This formula is the same as the dividend growth formula from Chapter 5, with \( r_dTD \) as the growing cash flow generated by the tax savings.

This now gives us a valuation equation that incorporates constant growth:

\[
V_L = V_U + \left( \frac{r_d}{r_{TS} - g} \right)TD
\]

The difference between Equation 16-14 for the value of the levered firm and the expression given in Equation 16-4 is the \( r_d(r_{TS} - g) \) term in parentheses, which reflects the added value of the tax shield due to growth. In the MM model, \( r_{TS} = r_d = r_{RF} \) and \( g = 0 \) so the term in parentheses is equal to 1.0.

Given this framework for the value of a levered firm, Ehrhardt and Daves show that \( r_{TS} \) should equal \( r_{EU} \). They show that if \( r_{TS} < r_{EU} \), sufficiently rapid growth can actually cause the levered cost of equity to be less than the unlevered cost of equity. This happens because the combination of rapid growth and a low discount rate for the tax shield causes the value of the tax shield to dominate the unlevered value of the firm. If this were true, then high-growth firms would tend to have larger amounts of debt than low-growth firms. However, this isn’t consistent with either intuition or what we observe in the market: High-growth firms actually tend to have lower levels of debt. Regardless of the growth rate, firms with more debt should have a higher cost of equity than firms with no debt. These inconsistencies can be prevented if \( r_{TS} = r_{EU} \). With this result, the value of the levered firm becomes

Of course Equations 16-14, 16-15, and 16-16 also apply to firms that don’t happen to be growing. In this special case, the difference between the Ehrhardt and Daves extension and the MM with taxes treatment is that MM assume that the tax shield should be discounted at the risk-free rate, while this extension to their model shows that it is more reasonable for the tax shield to be discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, \( r_{U} \). Because \( r_{U} \) is greater than the risk-free rate, the value of a nongrowing tax shield will be lower when discounted at this higher rate, giving a lower value of the levered firm than what MM would predict.

Based on this valuation equation, Ehrhardt and Daves derive modified expressions for the levered cost of equity and the levered beta that correspond to Equations 16-6 and 16-7:

\[
V_L = V_U + \left( \frac{r_gT_D}{r_{U} - g} \right)
\]

| 16-14a |

\[
\begin{align*}
 r_{UL} &= r_{U} + (r_{U} - r_D) \frac{D}{S} \\
 b &= b_U + (b_U - b_D) \frac{D}{S}
\end{align*}
\]

| 16-15 | 16-16 |

As in Chapter 15, \( b_U \) is the beta of an unlevered firm; \( b \) is the beta of a levered firm. Because debt is not riskless, it has a beta, \( b_D \). Although the Ehrhardt and Daves analysis was done including corporate taxes and growth, neither of these expressions has the corporate tax rate or the growth rate in it. This means the expression for the levered required rate of return, Equation 16-15, is exactly the same as MM’s expression for the levered required rate of return without taxes, Equation 16-2. And the expression for the levered beta, Equation 16-16, is exactly the same as Hamada’s equation (with risky debt), but without taxes. The reason the tax rate and the growth rate drop out of these two expressions is that the growing tax shield is discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, \( r_{U} \), not at the cost of debt as in the MM model. The tax rate drops out because no matter how high the level of \( T \), the total risk of the firm will not be changed since the unlevered cash flows and the tax shield are discounted at the same rate. The growth rate drops out for the same reason: An increasing debt level will not change the riskiness of the entire firm no matter what rate of growth prevails.\(^{11}\)

Note that Equation 16-16 has the expression \( b_D \). Since MM and Hamada assumed that corporate debt is riskless, its beta should be zero. However, if corporate debt is not riskless, then its beta, \( b_D \), may not be zero. Assuming bonds lie on the Security Market Line, a bond’s required return, \( r_d \), can be expressed as \( r_d = r_{RF} + b_D R_{PM} \). Solving for \( b_D \) gives \( b_D = (r_d - r_{RF})/R_{PM} \).

\(^{11}\)Of course Equations 16-14, 16-15, and 16-16 also apply to firms that don’t happen to be growing. In this special case, the difference between the Ehrhardt and Daves extension and the MM with taxes treatment is that MM assume that the tax shield should be discounted at the risk-free rate, while this extension to their model shows that it is more reasonable for the tax shield to be discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, \( r_{U} \). Because \( r_{U} \) is greater than the risk-free rate, the value of a nongrowing tax shield will be lower when discounted at this higher rate, giving a lower value of the levered firm than what MM would predict.
Illustration of the MM Extension with Growth

Earlier in this chapter we examined Fredrickson Water Company, a zero-growth firm with unlevered value of $20 million. To see how growth affects the levered value of the firm and the levered cost of equity, let’s look at Peterson Power Inc., which is similar to Fredrickson, except that it is growing. Peterson’s expected free cash flow is $1 million, and the growth rate in free cash flow is 7 percent. Just like Fredrickson, its unlevered cost of equity is 12 percent and it faces a 40 percent tax rate. Peterson’s unlevered value, \( V_{UPeterson} = \frac{1 \text{ million}}{0.12 - 0.07} = 20 \text{ million} \), just like Fredrickson.

Suppose now that Peterson, like Fredrickson, uses $10 million of debt at a cost of 8 percent. We see from Equation 16-14a that

\[
V_L = 20 \text{ million} + \left( \frac{0.08 \times 0.40 \times 10 \text{ million}}{0.12 - 0.07} \right) = 26.4 \text{ million}
\]

and that the implied value of equity is

\[ S = V_L - D = 26.4 \text{ million} - 10 \text{ million} = 16.4 \text{ million} \]

The increase in value due to leverage when there is 7 percent growth is $6.4 million, versus the increase in value of only $4 million for Fredrickson. The reason for this difference is that even though the debt tax shield is currently \( (0.08)(0.40)(10 \text{ million}) = 0.32 \text{ million} \) for each company, this tax shield will grow at a rate of 7 percent for Peterson, but it will remain fixed over time for Fredrickson. And even though Peterson and Fredrickson have the same initial dollar value of debt, their debt weights, \( w_D \), are not the same. Peterson’s \( w_D = \frac{10}{26.4} = 37.88\% \) while Fredrickson’s \( w_D \) is \( \frac{10}{24} = 41.67\% \).

With $10 million in debt, Peterson’s new cost of equity is given by Equation 16-15:

\[
r_{el} = 12\% + (12\% - 8\%) \frac{0.3788}{0.6212} = 14.44\%
\]

This is higher than Fredrickson’s levered cost of equity of 13.71 percent. Finally, Peterson’s new WACC is \((1.0 - 0.3788)14.44\% + 0.3788(1 - 0.40)8\% = 10.78\% \) versus Fredrickson’s WACC of 10.0 percent.

So, using the MM and Hamada models to calculate the value of a levered firm and its cost of capital when there is growth will (1) underestimate the value of the levered firm because they underestimate the value of the growing tax shield and (2) underestimate the levered WACC and levered cost of capital because, for a given initial amount of debt, they overestimate the firm’s \( w_D \).

Self-Test Questions

Why is the value of the tax shield different when a firm grows?
Why would it be inappropriate to discount tax shield cash flows at the risk-free rate as MM do?
How will your estimates of the levered cost of equity be biased if you use the MM or Hamada models when growth is present? Why does this matter?

RISKY DEBT AND EQUITY AS AN OPTION

In the previous sections we evaluated equity and debt using the standard discounted cash flow techniques. However, we learned in Chapter 14 that if there is an opportunity for management to make a change as a result of new information
after a project or investment has been started, there might be an option component to the project or investment being evaluated. This is the case with equity. To see why, consider Kunkel Inc., a small manufacturer of electronic wiring harnesses and instrumentation located in Minot, North Dakota. Kunkel’s current value (debt plus equity) is $20 million, and its debt consists of $10 million face value of five-year zero-coupon debt. What decision does management make when the debt comes due? In most cases it would pay the $10 million that is due. But what if the company has done poorly and the firm is worth only $9 million? In that case, the firm is technically bankrupt, since its value is less than the amount of debt that is due. Management will choose to default on the loan—the firm will be liquidated or sold for $9 million, the debtholders will get all $9 million, and the stockholders will get nothing. Of course, if the firm is worth $10 million or more, management will choose to repay the loan. The ability to make this decision—to pay or not to pay—looks very much like an option, and the techniques we developed in Chapter 6 can be used to value it.

Using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model to Value Equity

To put this decision into an option context, suppose P is Kunkel’s total value when the debt matures. Then if the debt is paid off, Kunkel’s stockholders will receive the equivalent of \( P - \$10 \text{ million} \) if \( P > \$10 \text{ million} \).\(^\text{12}\) They will receive nothing if \( P \leq \$10 \text{ million} \) since management will default on the bond. This can be rewritten as

\[
\text{Payoff to stockholders} = \max(P - \$10 \text{ million}, 0)
\]

This is exactly the same payoff as a European call option on the total value of the firm, P, with a strike, or exercise, price equal to the face value of the debt, $10 million. We can use the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model from Chapter 6 to determine the value of this asset.

Recall from Chapter 6 that the value of a call option depends on five things: the price of the underlying asset, the exercise price, the risk-free rate, the time to expiration, and the volatility of the market value of the underlying asset. Here the underlying asset is the total value of the firm. Assuming that volatility is 40 percent and the risk-free rate is 6 percent, here are the assumptions for the Black-Scholes model:

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= \$20 \text{ million} \\
X &= \$10 \text{ million} \\
t &= 5 \text{ years} \\
r_{RF} &= 6\% \\
\sigma &= 40\%
\end{align*}
\]

The value of a European call option is given by Equations 6-1 to 6-3, which are repeated here:

\[
V = P[N(d_2)] - Xe^{-r_{RF}t}[N(d_2)]
\]  
\ | 6-1 |
\[ d_1 = \frac{\ln(P/X) + [r_{bf} + \sigma^2/2]t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \]

\[ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{t} \]

For Kunkel Inc.,

\[ d_1 = \frac{\ln(20/10) + [0.06 + 0.40^2/2]5}{0.40 \sqrt{5}} = 1.5576 \]

\[ d_2 = 1.5576 - 0.40 \sqrt{5} = 0.6632 \]

Using either the normal distribution table in Appendix A, or better yet, the Excel NORMSDIST function, \( N(d_1) = N(1.5576) = 0.9403 \), \( N(d_2) = N(0.6632) = 0.7464 \), and \( V = \$20(0.9403) - \$10e^{0.06(5)(0.7464)} = \$13.28 \) million. So, Kunkel’s equity is worth $13.28 million, and its debt must be worth what is left over, $20 million − $13.28 million = $6.72 million. Since this is five-year zero coupon debt, its yield must be

\[
\text{Yield on debt} = \left( \frac{10}{6.72} \right)^{1/5} - 1 = 0.0827 = 8.27\% \]

Thus, when Kunkel issued the debt, it received $6.72 million and the yield on the debt was 8.27 percent. Notice that the yield on the debt, 8.27 percent, is greater than the 6 percent risk-free rate. This is because the firm might default if its value falls enough, so the bonds are risky. Note also that the yield on the debt depends on the value of the option, and hence the riskiness of the firm. The debt will have a lower value, and a higher yield, the more the option is worth.

### Managerial Incentives

The only decision an investor in a stock option can make, once the option is purchased, is whether and when to exercise it. However, this restriction does not apply to equity when it is viewed as an option on the total value of the firm. Management has some leeway to affect the riskiness of the firm through its capital budgeting and investment decisions, and it can affect the amount of capital invested in the firm through its dividend policy.

### Capital Budgeting Decisions

When Kunkel issued the $10 million face value debt discussed above, the yield was determined in part by Kunkel’s riskiness, which in turn was determined in part by what management intended to do with the $6.72 million it raised. We know from our analysis in Chapter 6 that options are worth more when volatility is higher. This means that if Kunkel’s management can find a way to increase its riskiness without decreasing the total value of the firm, this will increase the value of the equity while decreasing the value of the debt. Management can do this by selecting risky rather than safe investment projects. Table 16-1 shows the value of
the equity, debt, and the yield on the debt for a range of possible volatilities. The Tool Kit for this chapter shows the calculations.

Kunkel’s current volatility is 40 percent so its equity is worth $13.28 million, and its debt is worth $6.72 million. However, if, after incurring the debt, management undertakes projects that increase its riskiness from a volatility of 40 percent to a volatility of 80 percent, the value of Kunkel’s equity will increase by $2.53 million to $15.81 million, and the value of its debt will decrease by the same amount. This 19 percent increase in the value of the equity represents a transfer of wealth from the bondholders to the stockholders. A corresponding transfer of wealth from stockholders to bondholders would occur if Kunkel undertook projects that were safer than originally planned. Table 16-1 shows that if management undertakes safe projects and drives the volatility down to 30 percent, stockholders will lose (and bondholders will gain) $0.45 million.

Such a strategy of investing borrowed funds in risky assets is called “bait and switch,” because the firm obtains the money, promising one investment policy, and then switches to another policy. The bait and switch problem is more severe when a firm’s value is low relative to its level of debt. When Kunkel’s total value was $20 million, doubling its volatility from 40 percent to 80 percent increased its equity value by 19 percent. But if Kunkel had done poorly in recent years and its total value were only $10 million, then the impact of increasing volatility would be much greater. Table 16-2 shows that if Kunkel’s total value were only $10 million and if it issued $10 million face value of five-year zero coupon debt, its equity would be worth $4.46 million at a volatility of 40 percent. Doubling the volatility to 80 percent would increase the value of the equity to $6.83 million, or by 53 percent. The incentive for management to “roll the dice” with borrowed funds can be enormous, and if management owns lots of stock options, their payoff from rolling the dice is even greater than the payoff to the stockholders!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Debt</th>
<th>Debt Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>12.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>18.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>22.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>26.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>31.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>36.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bondholders are aware of these incentives and write covenants into debt issues that restrict management’s ability to invest in riskier projects than originally promised. However, their attempts to protect themselves are not always successful, as the recent failures of Enron and Global Crossing demonstrate. The combination of a risky industry, high levels of debt, and option-based compensation has proven to be very dangerous!

**Equity with Risky Coupon Debt**

We have analyzed the simple case when a firm has zero-coupon debt outstanding. The analysis becomes much more complicated when a firm has debt that requires periodic interest payments, because then management can decide whether or not to default on each interest payment date. For example, suppose Kunkel’s $10 million of debt is a one-year, 8 percent loan with semiannual payments. The scheduled payments are $400,000 in six months, and then $10.4 million at the end of the year. If management makes the scheduled $400,000 interest payment, then the stockholders will acquire the right to make the next payment of $10.4 million. If it does not make the $400,000 payment, the stockholders lose the right to make the next payment by defaulting, and hence they lose the firm.\(^{13}\) In other words, at the beginning of the year the stockholders have an option to purchase an option. The option they own has an exercise price of $400,000 and it expires in six months, and if they exercise it, they will acquire an option to purchase the entire firm for $10.4 million in another six months.

---

13Actually, bankruptcy is far more complicated than our example suggests. When a firm approaches default, it can take a number of actions, and even after filing for bankruptcy, stockholders can delay a takeover by bondholders for a long time, during which the value of the firm can deteriorate further. So, stockholders can often extract concessions from bondholders in situations where it looks like the bondholders should get all of the firm’s value. Bankruptcy is discussed in more detail in Chapter 25.
Chapter 16

Capital Structure Decisions: Part II

Self-Test Questions

Discuss how equity can be viewed as an option. Who has the option and what decision can they make?

Why would management want to increase the riskiness of the firm? Why would this make bondholders unhappy?

What can bondholders do to limit management’s ability to “bait and switch”?  

CAPITAL STRUCTURE THEORY: OUR VIEW

The great contribution of the capital structure models developed by MM, Miller, and their followers is that these models identified the specific benefits and costs of using debt—the tax benefits, financial distress costs, and so on. Prior to MM, no capital structure theory existed, so we had no systematic way of analyzing the effects of debt financing.

The trade-off model we discussed in Chapter 15 is summarized graphically in Figure 16-2. The top graph shows the relationships between the debt ratio and the cost of debt, the cost of equity, and the WACC. Both \( r_e \) and \( r_d(1 - T_c) \) rise steadily with increases in leverage, but the rate of increase accelerates at higher debt levels, reflecting agency costs and the increased probability of financial distress. The WACC first declines, then hits a minimum at \( D/V^* \), and then begins to rise. Note that the value of \( D \) in \( D/V^* \) in the upper graph is \( D^* \), the level of debt in the lower graph that maximizes the firm’s value. Thus, a firm’s WACC is minimized and its value is maximized at the same capital structure. Note also that the general shapes of the curves apply regardless of whether we are using the modified MM with corporate taxes model, the Miller model, or a variant of these models.

Unfortunately, it is impossible to quantify accurately the costs and benefits of debt financing, so it is impossible to pinpoint \( D/V^* \), the capital structure that maximizes a firm’s value. Most experts believe such a structure exists for every firm, but that it changes over time as firms’ operations and investors’ preferences change. Most experts also believe that, as shown in Figure 16-2, the relationship between value and leverage is relatively flat over a fairly broad range, so large deviations from the optimal capital structure can occur without materially affecting the stock price.

Now consider signaling theory, which we discussed in Chapter 15. Because of asymmetric information, investors know less about a firm’s prospects than its managers know. Further, managers try to maximize value for current stockholders, not new ones. Therefore, if the firm has excellent prospects, management will not want to issue new shares, but if things look bleak, then a new stock offering would benefit current stockholders. Consequently, investors take a stock offering

to be a signal of bad news, so stock prices tend to decline when new issues are announced. As a result, new equity financings are relatively expensive. The net effect of signaling is to motivate firms to maintain a reserve borrowing capacity designed to permit future investment opportunities to be financed by debt if internal funds are not available.

By combining the trade-off and asymmetric information theories, we obtain this explanation for firms' behavior:

1. Debt financing provides benefits because of the tax deductibility of interest, so firms should have some debt in their capital structures.
2. However, financial distress and agency costs place limits on debt usage—beyond some point, these costs offset the tax advantage of debt. The costs of
financial distress are especially harmful to firms whose values consist primarily of intangible growth options, such as research and development. Such firms should have lower levels of debt than firms whose asset bases consist mostly of tangible assets.

3. Because of problems resulting from asymmetric information and flotation costs, low-growth firms should follow a pecking order, by raising capital first from internal sources, then by borrowing, and finally by issuing new stock. In fact, such low-growth firms rarely need to issue external equity. High-growth firms whose growth occurs primarily through increases in tangible assets should follow the same pecking order, but usually they will need to issue new stock as well as debt. High-growth firms whose values consist primarily of intangible growth options may run out of internally generated cash, but they should emphasize stock rather than debt due to the severe problems that financial distress imposes on such firms.

4. Finally, because of asymmetric information, firms should maintain a reserve of borrowing capacity in order to be able to take advantage of good investment opportunities without having to issue stock at low prices, and this reserve will cause the actual debt ratio to be lower than that suggested by the trade-off models.

There is some evidence that managers do attempt to behave in ways that are consistent with this view of capital structure. In a survey of CFOs, about two-thirds of the CFOs said that they follow a “hierarchy in which the most advantageous sources of funds are exhausted before other sources are used.” The hierarchy usually followed the pecking order of first internally generated cash flow, then debt, and finally external equity, which is consistent with the predicted behavior of most low-growth firms. But there were occasions in which external equity was the first source of financing, which would be consistent with the theory for either high-growth firms or firms whose agency and financial distress costs have exceeded the benefit of the tax savings.15

**Self-Test Questions**

Summarize the trade-off and signaling theories of capital structure.

Are the trade-off and signaling theories mutually exclusive; that is, might both be correct?

Does capital structure theory provide managers with a model that can be used to set a precise optimal capital structure?

---


**SUMMARY**

In this chapter, we discussed a variety of topics related to capital structure decisions. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- In 1958, Franco Modigliani and Merton Miller (MM) proved, under a restrictive set of assumptions including zero taxes, that capital structure is irrelevant;
that is, according to the original MM article, a firm’s value is not affected by its financing mix.

- MM later added **corporate taxes** to their model and reached the conclusion that capital structure does matter. Indeed, their model led to the conclusion that firms should use 100 percent debt financing.
- MM’s model with corporate taxes demonstrated that the primary benefit of debt stems from the **tax deductibility of interest payments**.
- Later, Miller extended the theory to include **personal taxes**. The introduction of personal taxes reduces, but does not eliminate, the benefits of debt financing. Thus, the **Miller model** also leads to 100 percent debt financing.
- The introduction of growth changes the MM and Hamada results for the levered cost of equity and the levered beta.
- If the firm is growing at a constant rate, the debt tax shield is discounted at \( r_{U} \), and debt remains a constant proportion of the capital structure, then

\[
    r_{UL} = r_{U} + (r_{U} - r_{g}) \frac{D}{S}
\]

and

\[
    b = b_{U} + (b_{U} - b_{D}) \frac{D}{S}
\]

- When debt is risky, management may choose to default on it. If the debt is zero-coupon debt, then this makes equity like an option on the value of the firm with a strike price equal to the face value of the debt. If the debt has periodic interest payments, then the equity is like an option on an option, or a **compound option**.
- When a firm has risky debt and equity is like an option, management has an incentive to increase the firm’s risk in order to increase the equity value at the expense of the debt value. This is called **bait and switch**.

**QUESTIONS**

16-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. MM Proposition I without taxes; with corporate taxes
   b. MM Proposition II without taxes; with corporate taxes
   c. Miller model
   d. Financial distress costs
   e. Agency costs
   f. Trade-off model
   g. Value of debt tax shield
   h. Equity as an option

16-2 Explain, verbally, how MM use the arbitrage process to prove the validity of Proposition I. Also, list the major MM assumptions and explain why each of these assumptions is necessary in the arbitrage proof.

16-3 A utility company is supposed to be allowed to charge prices high enough to cover all costs, including its cost of capital. Public service commissions are supposed to take actions to stimulate companies to operate as efficiently as possible in order to keep costs, hence prices, as low as possible. Some time ago, AT&T’s debt ratio
was about 33 percent. Some people (Myron J. Gordon in particular) argued that a higher debt ratio would lower AT&T’s cost of capital and permit it to charge lower rates for telephone service. Gordon thought an optimal debt ratio for AT&T was about 50 percent. Do the theories presented in the chapter support or refute Gordon’s position?

16-4 MM assumed that firms do not grow. How does positive growth change their conclusions about the value of the levered firm and its cost of capital?

16-5 Your firm’s CEO has just learned about options and how your firm’s equity can be viewed as an option. Why might he want to increase the riskiness of the firm and why might the bondholders not be very happy about this?

PROBLEMS

16-1 Air Tampa has just been incorporated, and its board of directors is currently grappling with the question of optimal capital structure. The company plans to offer commuter air services between Tampa and smaller surrounding cities. Jaxair has been around for a few years, and it has about the same basic business risk as Air Tampa would have. Jaxair’s market-determined beta is 1.8, and it has a current market value debt ratio (total debt/total assets) of 50 percent and a federal-plus-state tax rate of 40 percent. Air Tampa expects only to be marginally profitable at startup; hence its tax rate would only be 25 percent. Air Tampa’s owners expect that the total book and market value of the firm’s stock, if it uses zero debt, would be $10 million. Air Tampa’s CFO believes that the MM and Hamada formulas for the value of a levered firm and the levered firm’s cost of capital should be used. These are given in Equations 16-4, 16-6, and 16-7.
   a. Estimate the beta of an unlevered firm in the commuter airline business based on Jaxair’s market-determined beta. (Hint: Jaxair’s market-determined beta is a levered beta. Use Equation 16-7 and solve for $b_U$.)
   b. Now assume that $r_d = r_{RF} = 10\%$ and the market risk premium, $R_{MP}$, is 5 percent. Find the required rate of return on equity for an unlevered commuter airline.
   c. Air Tampa is considering three capital structures: (1) $2$ million debt, (2) $4$ million debt, and (3) $6$ million debt. Estimate Air Tampa’s $r_s$ for these debt levels.
   d. Calculate Air Tampa’s $r_s$ at $6$ million debt assuming its federal-plus-state tax rate is now 40 percent. Compare this with your corresponding answer to part c. (Hint: The increase in the tax rate causes $V_U$ to drop to $8$ million.)

16-2 Companies U and L are identical in every respect except that U is unlevered while L has $10$ million of 5 percent bonds outstanding. Assume (1) that all of the MM assumptions are met, (2) that there are no corporate or personal taxes, (3) that EBIT is $2$ million, and (4) that the cost of equity to Company U is 10 percent.
   a. What value would MM estimate for each firm?
   b. What is $r_s$ for Firm U? For Firm L?
   c. Find $S_L$, and then show that $S_L + D = V_L = $20 million.
   d. What is the WACC for Firm U? For Firm L?
   e. Suppose $V_U = $20 million and $V_L = $22 million. According to MM, do these values represent an equilibrium? If not, explain the process by which equilibrium would be restored.
Refer to Problem 16-2. Assume that all the facts hold, except that both firms are subject to a 40 percent federal-plus-state corporate tax rate and that \( r_{SU} \) calculated from Problem 16-2 is also the appropriate required return for \( U \) when there are taxes.

a. What value would MM now estimate for each firm? (Use Proposition I.)

b. What is \( r_s \) for Firm \( U \)? Firm \( L \)?

c. Find \( S_L \), and then show that \( S_L + D = V_L \) results in the same value as obtained in part a.

d. What is the WACC for Firm \( U \)? For Firm \( L \)?

Refer to Problems 16-2 and 16-3. Assume that all facts hold, except that both corporate and personal taxes apply. Assume that both firms must pay a federal-plus-state corporate tax rate of \( T_c = 40\% \), and that investors in both firms face a tax rate of \( T_d = 28\% \) on debt income and \( T_s = 20\% \), on average, on stock income. Assume also that the \( r_{SU} \) calculated in Problem 16-3 is the appropriate required pre-personal-tax rate for \( U \).

a. What is the value of the unlevered firm, \( V_U \)? (Note that \( V_U \) is now reduced by the personal tax on stock income; hence \( V_U = $12 \) million as in Problem 16-3.)

b. What is the value of \( V_L \)?

c. What is the gain from leverage in this situation? Compare this with the gain from leverage in Problem 16-3.

d. Set \( T_c = T_s = T_d = 0 \). What is the value of the levered firm? The gain from leverage?

e. Now suppose \( T_s = T_d = 0, T_c = 40\% \). What are the value of the levered firm and the gain from leverage?

f. Assume that \( T_d = 28\% \), \( T_s = 28\% \), and \( T_c = 40\% \). Now what are the value of the levered firm and the gain from leverage?

Schwarzentraub Industries’ expected free cash flow for the year is $500,000; in the future free cash flow is expected to grow at a rate of 9 percent. The company currently has no debt, and its cost of equity is 13 percent. Its tax rate is 40 percent. (Hint: Use Equations 16-14 and 16-15.)

a. Find \( V_U \).

b. Find \( V_L \) and \( r_{SL} \) if Schwarzentraub uses $5 million in debt with a cost of 7 percent. Use the extension to the MM model that allows for growth.

c. Based on \( V_U \) from part a, find \( V_L \) and \( r_{SL} \) using the MM model (with taxes) if Schwarzentraub uses $5 million in 7 percent debt.

d. Explain the difference between the answers to parts b and c.

International Associates (IA) is just about to commence operations as an international trading company. The firm will have book assets of $10 million, and it expects to earn a 16 percent return on these assets before taxes. However, because of certain tax arrangements with foreign governments, IA will not pay any taxes; that is, its tax rate will be zero. Management is trying to decide how to raise the required $10 million. It is known that the capitalization rate for an all-equity firm in this business is 11 percent; that is, \( r_U = 11\% \). Further, IA can borrow at a rate \( r_d = 6\% \). Assume that the MM assumptions apply.

a. According to MM, what will be the value of IA if it uses no debt? If it uses $6 million of 6 percent debt?

b. What are the values of the WACC and \( r_s \) at debt levels of \( D = 0, D = $6 \) million, and \( D = $10 \) million? What effect does leverage have on firm value? Why?
c. Assume the initial facts of the problem \((r_d = 6\%, \text{EBIT} = $1.6 \text{ million}, r_{su} = 11\%)\), but now assume that a 40 percent federal-plus-state corporate tax rate exists. Find the new market values for IA with zero debt and with $6 million of debt, using the MM formulas.

d. What are the values of the WACC and \(r_s\) at debt levels of \(D = 0\), \(D = $6 \text{ million}\), and \(D = $10 \text{ million}\), assuming a 40 percent corporate tax rate? Plot the relationships between the value of the firm and the debt ratio, and between capital costs and the debt ratio.

e. What is the maximum dollar amount of debt financing that can be used? What is the value of the firm at this debt level? What is the cost of this debt?

f. How would each of the following factors tend to change the values you plotted in your graph?

(1) The interest rate on debt increases as the debt ratio rises.
(2) At higher levels of debt, the probability of financial distress rises.

---

16-7

**Equity Viewed as an Option**

A. Fethe Inc. is a custom manufacturer of guitars, mandolins, and other stringed instruments located near Knoxville, Tennessee. Fethe’s current value of operations, which is also its value of debt plus equity, is estimated to be $5 million. Fethe has $2 million face-value zero-coupon debt that is due in 2 years. The risk-free rate is 6 percent, and the volatility of companies similar to Fethe is 50 percent. Fethe’s owners view their equity investment as an option and would like to know the value of their investment.

a. Using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, how much is Fethe’s equity worth?

b. How much is the debt worth today? What is its yield?

c. How would the equity value and the yield on the debt change if Fethe’s managers were able to use risk management techniques to reduce its volatility to 30 percent? Can you explain this?

---

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

16-8

**Build a Model: Equity Viewed as an Option**

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch16 P8 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 16-7 using a spreadsheet model. After completing the problem as it appears, answer the following related questions.

a. Graph the cost of debt versus the face value of debt for values of the face value from $0.5 to $8 million.

b. Graph the values of debt and equity for volatilities from 0.10 to 0.90 when the face value of the debt is $2 million.

c. Repeat part b, but instead using a face value of debt of $5 million. What can you say about the difference between the graphs in part b and part c?

---

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

---

**THOMSON ONE | Business School Edition | PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
David Lyons, CEO of Lyons Solar Technologies, is concerned about his firm’s level of debt financing. The company uses short-term debt to finance its temporary working capital needs, but it does not use any permanent (long-term) debt. Other solar technology companies average about 30 percent debt, and Mr. Lyons wonders why they use so much more debt and how it affects stock prices. To gain some insights into the matter, he poses the following questions to you, his recently hired assistant:

a. BusinessWeek recently ran an article on companies’ debt policies, and the names Modigliani and Miller (MM) were mentioned several times as leading researchers on the theory of capital structure. Briefly, who are MM, and what assumptions are embedded in the MM and Miller models?

b. Assume that Firms U and L are in the same risk class, and that both have EBIT = $500,000. Firm U uses no debt financing, and its cost of equity is rsU = 14%. Firm L has $1 million of debt outstanding at a cost of rd = 8%. There are no taxes. Assume that the MM assumptions hold, and then:
   (1) Find V, S, rs, and WACC for Firms U and L.
   (2) Graph (a) the relationships between capital costs and leverage as measured by D/V, and (b) the relationship between value and D.

c. Using the data given in part b, but now assuming that firms L and U are both subject to a 40 percent corporate tax rate, repeat the analysis called for in b(1) and b(2) under the MM with-tax model.

d. Now suppose investors are subject to the following tax rates: Td = 30% and Ts = 12%.
   (1) What is the gain from leverage according to the Miller model?
   (2) How does this gain compare with the gain in the MM model with corporate taxes?
   (3) What does the Miller model imply about the effect of corporate debt on the value of the firm; that is, how do personal taxes affect the situation?

e. What capital structure policy recommendations do the three theories (MM without taxes, MM with corporate taxes, and Miller) suggest to financial managers? Empirically, do firms appear to follow any one of these guidelines?

f. How is the analysis in part c different if firms U and L are growing? Assume that both firms are growing at a rate of 7 percent and that the investment in net operating assets required to support this growth is 10 percent of EBIT.

g. What if L’s debt is risky? For the purpose of this example, assume that the value of L’s operations is $4 million—which is the value of its debt plus equity. Assume also that its debt consists of 1-year zero-coupon bonds with a face value of $2 million. Finally, assume that L’s volatility is 0.60 (σ = 0.60) and that the risk-free rate is 6 percent.

h. What is the value of L’s stock for volatilities between 0.20 and 0.95? What incentives might the manager of L have if she understands this relationship? What might debtholders do in response?
SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES


Some other references of relevance include the following:


There has been considerable discussion in the literature concerning a financial leverage clientele effect. Many theorists postulate that firms with low leverage are favored by high-tax-bracket investors and vice versa. Two articles on this subject are


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:


Brigham-Buzzard Series:

Case 8, “Powerline Network Corporation,” covers operating leverage, financial leverage, and the optimal capital structure.
Successful companies generate net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT). A company’s growth opportunities and replacement requirements, identified through capital budgeting and financial planning, determine the amount that should be invested in operating capital. Subtracting the investment in operating capital from NOPAT results in free cash flow (FCF), which is the amount of cash flow available for distribution to investors after paying expenses and taxes and making the necessary investments in operating capital. There are only five good uses of free cash flow: (1) pay interest expenses, (2) pay debt principal, (3) pay dividends, (4) repurchase stock, or (5) buy nonoperating assets such as Treasury bills or other marketable securities. The capital structure choice determines the payments for interest expenses and debt principal, and a company’s working capital policies (discussed in Chapter 21) determine its level of marketable securities. The remaining FCF should be distributed to shareholders, with the only choice being how much to distribute in the form of dividends versus stock repurchases.

Obviously, this is a simplification since companies (1) sometimes scale back their operating plans for sales and asset growth if such reductions are needed to maintain an existing dividend, (2) temporarily adjust their current financing mix in response to

1Recall from Chapter 7 that the interest expense is after taxes and that a company doesn’t need to spend FCF on operating assets since those expenditures were already deducted when calculating FCF. Also, most growing companies actually issue new debt each year rather than repay debt. This “negative use” of FCF provides more FCF for the other uses.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. In your judgment, what are some characteristics of the type of investor who would likely prefer a high dividend payout, and what are some characteristics of one who would prefer a low payout? Would you personally prefer to own a stock with a high or a low payout? If you had a low-payout stock that you wanted to keep but you needed more cash income, what could you do to increase your cash flow? Would those actions cause you to incur costs? What could you do, and what costs would you incur, if you owned a high-payout stock but did not need cash income?

2. Describe the three theories that have been advanced regarding whether investors in the aggregate tend to favor high or low dividend payout ratios. What results were reached from empirical tests of these theories?

3. How should (a) signaling and (b) the clientele effect be taken into account by a firm as it considers its dividend decision? Do signaling and clientele effects make it easier or harder to determine if investors prefer high or low payout ratios? Do these factors influence the desirability of a stable dividend policy versus one that is flexible and thus varies with the company’s cash flows and investment opportunities?

4. Describe the residual dividend model. Explain how it operates and how firms use it in practice. In your answer, discuss any influences signaling and the clientele effect might have on a firm’s decision to use, not use, or modify this model.

5. If a company is thinking about distributing excess cash through a stock repurchase program in lieu of continuing to pay regular cash dividends, what are some factors it should consider before making the change?

6. What is a stock split? As an investor, would you like to see shares you own be split?

7. In 2003 President Bush proposed a change in the tax law that would eliminate the tax on dividends received by stockholders. The same proposal would also increase the basis of stocks by the amount of new retained earnings per share—in effect reducing the capital gains tax to almost zero. In total, the proposal would also increase the basis of stocks by the amount of new retained earnings per share—in effect reducing the capital gains tax to almost zero. In total, the proposal would, to a large extent, put debt and equity financing on equal footing from a tax standpoint. The proposal did not pass, and instead, the tax rate on dividends was reduced to the rate on capital gains. However, if the proposal at some point becomes law, how would it tend to affect (a) corporate capital structures, (b) corporate share repurchases, (c) dividend payout ratios, and (d) any conclusions one might reach regarding the three dividend preference theories?
Shareholder distributions for a wealth-maximizing firm affect the value of operations only to the extent that they change the cost of capital or investors’ perceptions regarding expected free cash flow.² Here are the central questions addressed in this chapter: Can a company increase its value through its choice of distribution policy, defined as the level of distributions, the form of distributions (cash dividends versus stock repurchases), and the stability of distributions?

²Shareholder distributions also affect the level of marketable securities, a nonoperating asset, which in turn affects the stock price. This can also affect the stability of dividends, but this is normally a secondary effect.
Chapter 17  Distributions to Shareholders: Dividends and Repurchases

The answer depends in part on investors’ preferences for returns as dividend yields versus capital gains. The mix of yield return versus gains return is determined by the target distribution ratio, which is the percentage of net income distributed to shareholders through cash dividends or stock repurchases, and the target payout ratio, which is the percentage of net income paid as a cash dividend. Notice that the payout ratio must be less than the distribution ratio since the distribution ratio includes stock repurchases as well as cash dividends.

A high distribution ratio and a high payout ratio mean that a company pays large dividends and has small, or zero, stock repurchases. In this situation, the dividend yield is relatively high and the expected capital gain is low. If a company has a large distribution ratio but a small payout ratio, then it pays low dividends but regularly repurchases stock, resulting in a low dividend yield but a relatively high expected capital gain yield. If a company has a low distribution ratio, then it must also have a relatively low payout ratio, again resulting in a low dividend yield and hopefully a relatively high capital gain. Therefore, a firm’s optimal distribution policy must strike a balance between cash dividends and capital gains so as to maximize the stock price.

In this section we examine three theories of investor preferences for dividend yield versus capital gains: (1) the dividend irrelevance theory, (2) the “bird-in-the-hand” theory, and (3) the tax preference theory.

**Dividend Irrelevance Theory**

It has been argued that dividend policy has no effect on either the price of a firm’s stock or its cost of capital. If dividend policy has no significant effects, then it would be irrelevant. The principal proponents of the dividend irrelevance theory are Merton Miller and Franco Modigliani (MM). They argued that the firm’s value is determined only by its basic earning power and its business risk. In other words, MM argued that the value of the firm depends only on the income produced by its assets, not on how this income is split between dividends and retained earnings.

To understand MM’s argument, recognize that any shareholder can in theory construct his or her own dividend policy. For example, if a firm does not pay dividends, a shareholder who wants a 5 percent dividend can “create” it by selling 5 percent of his or her stock. Conversely, if a company pays a higher dividend than an investor desires, the investor can use the unwanted dividends to buy additional shares of the company’s stock. If investors could buy and sell shares and thus create their own dividend policy without incurring costs, then the firm’s dividend policy would truly be irrelevant. Note, though, that investors who want additional dividends must incur brokerage costs to sell shares and pay taxes on any capital gains. Investors who do not want dividends incur brokerage costs to purchase shares with their dividends. Because taxes and brokerage costs certainly exist, dividend policy may well be relevant.

In developing their dividend theory, MM made a number of assumptions, especially the absence of taxes and brokerage costs. Obviously, taxes and brokerage costs do exist, so the MM irrelevance theory may not be true. However, MM argued (correctly) that all economic theories are based on simplifying assumptions, and that the validity of a theory must be judged by empirical tests, not by the realism of its assumptions. We will discuss empirical tests of MM’s dividend irrelevance theory shortly.

---

Bird-in-the-Hand Theory: Dividends Are Preferred

The principal conclusion of MM’s dividend irrelevance theory is that dividend policy does not affect the required rate of return on equity, $r_s$. This conclusion has been hotly debated in academic circles. In particular, Myron Gordon and John Lintner argued that $r_s$ decreases as the dividend payout is increased because investors are less certain of receiving the capital gains that are supposed to result from retaining earnings than they are of receiving dividend payments.\(^4\) Gordon and Lintner said, in effect, that investors value a dollar of expected dividends more highly than a dollar of expected capital gains because the dividend yield component is less risky than the expected capital gain.

MM disagreed. They argued that $r_s$ is independent of dividend policy, which implies that investors are indifferent between dividends and capital gains. MM called the Gordon-Lintner argument the \textit{bird-in-the-hand} fallacy because, in MM’s view, most investors plan to reinvest their dividends in the stock of the same or similar firms, and, in any event, the riskiness of the firm’s cash flows to investors in the long run is determined by the riskiness of operating cash flows, not by dividend payout policy.

Tax Preference Theory: Capital Gains Are Preferred

Before 2003, individual investors paid ordinary income taxes on dividends but lower rates on long-term capital gains. The Jobs and Growth Act of 2003 changed this and reduced the tax rate on dividend income to the same as on long-term capital gains.\(^5\) However, there are two reasons stock price appreciation is taxed more favorably than dividend income. First, due to time value effects, a dollar of taxes paid in the future has a lower effective cost than a dollar paid today. So even if dividends and gains are taxed equally, capital gains are never taxed sooner than dividends. Second, if a stock is held by someone until he or she dies, no capital gains tax is due at all—the beneficiaries who receive the stock can use the stock’s value on the death day as their cost basis and thus completely escape the capital gains tax.

Because of these tax advantages, investors may prefer to have companies minimize dividends. If so, investors would be willing to pay more for low-payout companies than for otherwise similar high-payout companies.

Empirical Evidence and the Level of Shareholder Distributions

As Figure 17-1 shows, these three theories offer contradictory advice to corporate managers, so which, if any, should we believe? The most logical way to proceed is


\(^5\)Of course, nothing involving taxes is quite this simple. First, the dividend must be from a domestic company, and the investor must own the stock for more than 60 days during the 120-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date. There are other restrictions for dividends other than regular cash dividends. Under the new law, long-term capital gains are taxed at 5 percent for low-income investors (that is, those whose marginal tax rate is 15 percent or less) and at 15 percent for those with more income. Dividend income is taxed at those same rates through 2007. In 2008, the 5 percent capital gains (and dividend rate) drops to zero for low-income investors; there is no scheduled change for high-income investors in 2008. After 2008, the capital gains rates will revert to 10 percent and 20 percent, which were the capital gains rates in effect prior to the 2003 tax act.
to test the theories empirically. Many such tests have been conducted, but their results have been unclear. There are two reasons for this: (1) For a valid statistical test, things other than distribution level must be held constant; that is, the sample companies must differ only in their distribution levels, and (2) we must be able to measure with a high degree of accuracy each firm’s cost of equity. Neither of these two conditions holds: We cannot find a set of publicly owned firms that differ only in their distribution levels, nor can we obtain precise estimates of the cost of equity. Therefore, no one has yet identified a clear relationship between distribution level and the cost of equity or firm value.

Here is what the empirical evidence does tell us. First, the level of dividend payouts has been decreasing in the last 30 years. In 1978, about 66.5 percent of NYSE, AMEX, and Nasdaq firms paid a dividend. In 1999, only 20.8 percent

---

**Figure 17-1** Dividend Irrelevance, Bird-in-the-Hand, and Tax Preference

Dividend Theories

---

Dividend yields vary considerably in different stock markets throughout the world. In 1999 in the United States, dividend yields averaged 1.6 percent for the large blue chip stocks in the Dow Jones Industrials, 1.2 percent for a broader sample of stocks in the S&P 500, and 0.3 percent for stocks in the high-tech-dominated Nasdaq. Outside the United States, average dividend yields ranged from 5.7 percent in New Zealand to 0.7 percent in Taiwan. The accompanying table summarizes the dividend picture in 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World Stock Market (Index)</th>
<th>Dividend Yield</th>
<th>World Stock Market (Index)</th>
<th>Dividend Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>(Dow Jones Industrials)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britain FTSE 100</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Canada (TSE 300)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>United States (S&amp;P 500)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Japan Nikkei</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>United States (Nasdaq)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Although investors in the aggregate cannot be shown to prefer either higher or lower distribution levels, the evidence does show that individual investors have strong preferences. Evidence also shows that investors prefer stable, predictable dividend payouts (regardless of the payout level), and that they interpret dividend changes as signals about the firm’s future prospects. We discuss these issues in the next several sections.

**Self-Test Questions**

What did Modigliani and Miller assume about taxes and brokerage costs when they developed their dividend irrelevance theory?

How did the bird-in-the-hand theory get its name?

What have been the results of empirical tests of the dividend theories?
As we indicated earlier, different groups, or clienteles, of stockholders prefer different dividend payout policies. For example, retired individuals, pension funds, and university endowment funds generally prefer cash income, so they may want the firm to pay out a high percentage of its earnings. Such investors are often in low or even zero tax brackets, so taxes are of no concern. On the other hand, stockholders in their peak earning years might prefer reinvestment, because they have less need for current investment income and would simply reinvest dividends received, after first paying income taxes on those dividends.

If a firm retains and reinvests income rather than paying dividends, those stockholders who need current income would be disadvantaged. The value of their stock might increase, but they would be forced to go to the trouble and expense of selling off some of their shares to obtain cash. Also, some institutional investors (or trustees for individuals) would be legally precluded from selling stock and then “spending capital.” On the other hand, stockholders who are saving rather than spending dividends might favor the low dividend policy, for the less the firm pays out in dividends, the less these stockholders will have to pay in current taxes, and the less trouble and expense they will have to go through to reinvest their after-tax dividends. Therefore, investors who want current investment income should own shares in high dividend payout firms, while investors with no need for current investment income should own shares in low dividend payout firms. For example, investors seeking high cash income might invest in electric utilities, which averaged a 55 percent payout in 2005, while those favoring growth could invest in the software industry, which paid out only 7 percent during the same time period.

To the extent that stockholders can switch firms, a firm can change from one dividend payout policy to another and then let stockholders who do not like the new policy sell to other investors who do. However, frequent switching would be inefficient because of (1) brokerage costs, (2) the likelihood that stockholders who are selling will have to pay capital gains taxes, and (3) a possible shortage of investors who like the firm’s newly adopted dividend policy. Thus, management should be hesitant to change its dividend policy, because a change might cause current shareholders to sell their stock, forcing the stock price down. Such a price decline might be temporary, but it might also be permanent—if few new investors are attracted by the new dividend policy, then the stock price would remain depressed. Of course, the new policy might attract an even larger clientele than the firm had before, in which case the stock price would rise.

Evidence from several studies suggests that there is in fact a clientele effect. MM and others have argued that one clientele is as good as another, so the existence of a clientele effect does not necessarily imply that one dividend policy is better than any other. MM may be wrong, though, and neither they nor anyone else can prove that the aggregate makeup of investors permits firms to disregard clientele effects. This issue, like most others in the dividend arena, is still up in the air.

Self-Test Question

Define the clientele effect and explain how it affects dividend policy.

---

INFORMATION CONTENT, OR SIGNALING, HYPOTHESIS

When MM set forth their dividend irrelevance theory, they assumed that everyone—investors and managers alike—has identical information regarding the firm’s future earnings and dividends. In reality, however, different investors have different views on both the level of future dividend payments and the uncertainty inherent in those payments, and managers have better information about future prospects than public stockholders.

It has been observed that an increase in the dividend is often accompanied by an increase in the price of a stock, while a dividend cut generally leads to a stock price decline. Some have argued that this indicates that investors prefer dividends to capital gains. However, MM argued differently. They noted the well-established fact that corporations are reluctant to cut dividends, hence do not raise dividends unless they anticipate higher earnings in the future. Thus, MM argued that a higher-than-expected dividend increase is a “signal” to investors that the firm’s management forecasts good future earnings. Conversely, a dividend reduction, or a smaller-than-expected increase, is a signal that management is forecasting poor earnings in the future. Thus, MM argued that investors’ reactions to changes in dividend policy do not necessarily show that investors prefer dividends to retained earnings. Rather, they argue that price changes following dividend actions simply indicate that there is an important information, or signaling, content in dividend announcements.

The initiation of a dividend by a firm that formerly paid no dividend is certainly a significant change in distribution policy. It appears that initiating firms’ future earnings and cash flows are less risky than before the initiation. However, the evidence is mixed regarding the future profitability of initiating firms: Some studies find slightly higher earnings after the initiation, while others find no significant change in earnings. What happens when firms with existing dividends unexpectedly increase or decrease the dividend? Early studies, using small data samples, concluded that unexpected dividend changes did not provide a signal about future earnings. However, more recent data with larger samples provide mixed evidence. On average, firms that cut dividends have had poor earnings in recent years but have actually improved earnings in subsequent years. Firms that increase dividends have had earnings increases in recent years but don’t appear to have had subsequent earnings increases. However, they don’t have subsequent declines in earnings either, so it appears that the increase in dividends signals that past earnings increases were not temporary. Also, a relatively large number of firms that expect a large permanent increase in cash flow (as opposed to earnings) do in fact increase their dividend payouts in the year prior to the cash flow increase.

---

All in all, there is clearly some information content in dividend announcements: Stock prices tend to fall when dividends are cut, even if they don’t always rise when dividends are increased. However, this doesn’t necessarily validate the signaling hypothesis since it is difficult to tell whether any stock price changes that follow changes in dividends reflect only signaling effects or both signaling and dividend preferences.

**Self-Test Question** Define information content, and explain how it affects dividend policy.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR DIVIDEND STABILITY**

The clientele effect and the information content in dividend announcements definitely have implications regarding the desirability of stable versus volatile dividends. For example, many stockholders rely on dividends to meet expenses, and they would be seriously inconvenienced if the dividend stream were unstable. Further, reducing dividends to make funds available for capital investment could send incorrect signals to investors, who might push down the stock price because they interpreted the dividend cut to mean that the company’s future earnings prospects have been diminished. Thus, maximizing its stock price probably requires a firm to maintain a steady dividend policy. Because sales and earnings are expected to grow for most firms, a stable dividend policy means that a company’s regular cash dividends should also grow at a steady, predictable rate.

**Self-Test Question** Why do the clientele effect and the information content hypotheses imply that investors prefer stable dividends?

**SETTING THE TARGET DISTRIBUTION LEVEL: THE RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION MODEL**

When deciding how much cash to distribute to stockholders, two points should be kept in mind: (1) The overriding objective is to maximize shareholder value, and (2) the firm’s cash flows really belong to its shareholders, so management should refrain from retaining income unless they can reinvest it to produce returns higher than shareholders could themselves earn by investing the cash in investments of equal risk. On the other hand, recall from Chapter 10 that internal equity (reinvested earnings) is cheaper than external equity (new common stock issues) because it avoids flotation costs. This encourages firms to retain earnings so as to avoid having to issue new stock.

When establishing a distribution policy, one size does not fit all. Some firms produce a lot of cash but have limited investment opportunities—this is true for firms in profitable but mature industries where few opportunities for growth exist. Such firms typically distribute a large percentage of their cash to shareholders, thereby attracting investment clienteles that prefer high dividends. Other firms generate little or no excess cash since they have many good investment opportunities. Such firms generally distribute little or no cash but enjoy rising earnings and stock prices, thereby attracting investors who prefer capital gains.

As Table 17-1 suggests, dividend payouts and dividend yields for large corporations vary considerably. Generally, firms in stable, cash-producing industries such as utilities, financial services, and tobacco pay relatively high dividends,
Table 17-1  Dividend Payouts (April 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Dividend Payout</th>
<th>Dividend Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WD-40 Company (WDFC)</td>
<td>Household products</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empire District Electric (EDE)</td>
<td>Electric utility</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayonier Inc. (RYN)</td>
<td>Forest products</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds American Inc. (RAI)</td>
<td>Tobacco products</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regions Financial Corp. (RF)</td>
<td>Regional banks</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingles Markets Inc. (IMKTA)</td>
<td>Retail (grocery)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Corp (MSFT)</td>
<td>Software and programming</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Companies That Pay Little or No Dividends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Dividend Payout</th>
<th>Dividend Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany and Company (TIF)</td>
<td>Specialty retail</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harley-Davidson Inc. (HDI)</td>
<td>Recreational products</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Rents Inc. (RNT)</td>
<td>Rental and leasing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Air Lines Inc. (DAL)</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>NM*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papa John’s Intl. Inc. (PZZA)</td>
<td>Restaurants</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reported a loss, so its dividend payout ratio is not meaningful.


whereas companies in rapidly growing industries such as computer software tend to pay lower dividends.

For a given firm, the optimal distribution ratio is a function of four factors: (1) investors’ preferences for dividends versus capital gains, (2) the firm’s investment opportunities, (3) its target capital structure, and (4) the availability and cost of external capital. The last three elements are combined in what we call the residual distribution model. Under this model a firm follows these four steps when establishing its target distribution ratio: (1) It determines the optimal capital budget; (2) it determines the amount of equity needed to finance that budget, given its target capital structure; (3) it uses reinvested earnings to meet equity requirements to the extent possible; and (4) it pays dividends or repurchases stock only if more earnings are available than are needed to support the optimal capital budget. The word *residual* implies “leftover,” and the residual policy implies that distributions are paid out of “leftover” earnings.

If a firm rigidly follows the residual distribution policy, then distributions paid in any given year can be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Distributions} = \text{Net income} - \text{Retained earnings needed to finance new investments} = \text{Net income} - \left[ \left( \frac{\text{Target equity ratio}}{\text{Total capital budget}} \right) \times \text{(Total capital budget)} \right]
\]

For example, suppose the target equity ratio is 60 percent and the firm plans to spend $50 million on capital projects. In that case, it would need $50(0.6) = $30 million
of common equity. Then, if its net income were $100 million, its distributions would be $100 – $30 = $70 million. So, if the company had $100 million of earnings and a capital budget of $50 million, it would use $30 million of the retained earnings plus $50 – $30 = $20 million of new debt to finance the capital budget, and this would keep its capital structure on target. Note that the amount of equity needed to finance new investments might exceed the net income; in our example, this would happen if the capital budget were $200 million. In that case, no distributions would be paid, and the company would have to issue new common stock in order to maintain its target capital structure.

Most firms have a target capital structure that calls for at least some debt, so new financing is done partly with debt and partly with equity. As long as the firm finances with the optimal mix of debt and equity, and provided it uses only internally generated equity (retained earnings), then the marginal cost of each new dollar of capital will be minimized. Internally generated equity is available for financing a certain amount of new investment, but beyond that amount, the firm must turn to more expensive new common stock. At the point where new stock must be sold, the cost of equity, and consequently the marginal cost of capital, rises.

To illustrate these points, consider the case of Texas and Western (T&W) Transport Company. T&W’s overall composite cost of capital is 10 percent. However, this cost assumes that all new equity comes from retained earnings. If the company must issue new stock, its cost of capital will be higher. T&W has $60 million in net income and a target capital structure of 60 percent equity and 40 percent debt. Provided that it does not make any cash distributions, T&W could make net investments (investments in addition to asset replacements from depreciation) of $100 million, consisting of $60 million from reinvested earnings plus $40 million of new debt supported by the retained earnings, at a 10 percent marginal cost of capital. If the capital budget exceeded $100 million, the required equity component would exceed net income, which is of course the maximum amount of reinvested earnings. In this case, T&W would have to issue new common stock, thereby pushing its cost of capital above 10 percent.

At the beginning of its planning period, T&W’s financial staff considers all proposed projects for the upcoming period. Independent projects are accepted if their estimated returns exceed the risk-adjusted cost of capital. In choosing among mutually exclusive projects, T&W chooses the project with the highest positive NPV. The capital budget represents the amount of capital that is required to finance all accepted projects. If T&W follows a strict residual distribution policy, we can see from Table 17-2 that there may be changes in the distribution ratio.

If T&W forecasts poor investment opportunities, its estimated capital budget will be only $40 million. To maintain the target capital structure, 40 percent of this capital ($16 million) must be raised as debt, and 60 percent ($24 million) must be equity. If it followed a strict residual policy, T&W would retain $24 million of its $60 million earnings to help finance new investments, then distribute the remaining $36 million to shareholders. Under this scenario, the company’s distribution ratio would be $36 million/$60 million = 0.6 = 60%.

By contrast, if the company’s investment opportunities are average, its optimal capital budget would rise to $70 million. Here it would require $42 million of retained earnings, so distributions would be $60 – $42 = $18 million, for a ratio of 18% of 60 = 30%. Finally, if investment opportunities are good, the capital budget would be $150 million, which would require 0.6($150) = $90 million of equity. T&W would retain all of its net income ($60 million), thus make no distributions. Moreover, since the required equity exceeds the retained earnings, the
company would have to issue some new common stock to maintain the target capital structure.

Since both investment opportunities and earnings will surely vary from year to year, strict adherence to the residual distribution policy would result in unstable distributions. One year the firm might make no distributions because it needed the money to finance good investment opportunities, but the next year it might make a large distribution because investment opportunities were poor and it therefore did not need to retain much. Similarly, fluctuating earnings could also lead to variable distributions, even if investment opportunities were stable. To this point, we have not said whether distributions should be in the form of dividends, stock repurchases, or some combination. The next sections discuss some specific issues associated with dividend payments and stock repurchases, followed by a comparison of their relative advantages and disadvantages.

**Self-Test** Question

Explain the logic of the residual dividend model and the steps a firm would take to implement it.

### DISTRIBUTIONS IN THE FORM OF DIVIDENDS

This section explains how the volatile distributions implied by the residual model affect the use of dividends as a form of distribution. It also describes some of the institutional features associated with dividend payments.

#### Dividends and the Residual Model

If distributions were in the form of dividends, then rigidly following the residual policy would lead to fluctuating, unstable dividends. Since investors dislike volatile dividends, \( r_s \) would be high, and the stock price low. Therefore, firms should

1. Estimate earnings and investment opportunities, on average, over the next five or so years.

---

**Table 17-2**  
*T&W’s Distribution Ratio with $60 Million of Net Income When Faced with Different Investment Opportunities (Millions of Dollars)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital budget</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required equity (0.6 × Capital budget)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions paid (NI – Required equity)</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution ratio (Dividend/NI)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With a $150 million capital budget, T&W would retain all of its earnings and also issue $30 million of new stock.*
2. Use this forecasted information and the target capital structure to find the average residual model distributions and dollars of dividends during the planning period.

3. Then set a target payout ratio based on the average projected data.

Thus, firms should use the residual policy to help set their long-run target distribution ratios, but not as a guide to the distribution in any one year.

Companies use the residual distribution model as discussed above to help understand the determinants of an optimal dividend policy, along with computerized financial forecasting models. Most larger corporations forecast their financial statements over the next five to ten years. Information on projected capital expenditures and working capital requirements is entered into the model, along with sales forecasts, profit margins, depreciation, and the other elements required to forecast cash flows. The target capital structure is also specified, and the model shows the amount of debt and equity that will be required to meet the capital budgeting requirements while maintaining the target capital structure. Then, dividend payments are introduced. Naturally, the higher the payout ratio, the greater the required external equity. Most companies use the model to find a dividend pattern over the forecast period (generally five years) that will provide sufficient equity to support the capital budget without forcing it to sell new common stock or move the capital structure ratios outside the optimal range.

Some companies set a very low “regular” dividend and then supplement it with an “extra” dividend when times are good. General Motors, Ford, and other auto companies have followed the low-regular-dividend-plus-extras policy in the past. Each company announced a low regular dividend that it was sure could be maintained “through hell or high water,” and stockholders could count on receiving that dividend under all conditions. Then, when times were good and profits and cash flows were high, the companies either paid a specially designated extra dividend or repurchased shares of stock. Investors recognized that the extras might not be maintained in the future, so they did not interpret them as a signal that the companies’ earnings were going up permanently, nor did they take the elimination of the extra as a negative signal.

At times, however, companies must make substantial cuts in dividends in order to conserve cash. In October 2000, facing increasing competition, technology changes, a decline in its bond rating, and a cutoff from the commercial paper market, Xerox Corporation rolled back its quarterly dividend from $0.20 per share to $0.05 per share. This was a dividend rate not seen by Xerox shareholders since 1966. In the week prior to the dividend cut, the share price had declined significantly in response to an announcement that there would be a loss for the quarter rather than a modest profit, and a warning that a dividend cut was being considered. Xerox took a substantial stock price hit when it conceded that cash flows would not be sufficient to cover the old dividend—the price declined from about $15 to about $8. However, some analysts viewed the cut as a positive action that would preserve cash and maintain Xerox’s ability to service its debt.

**Dividend Payment Procedures**

Dividends are normally paid quarterly, and, if conditions permit, the dividend is increased once each year. For example, Katz Corporation paid $0.50 per quarter in 2006, or at an annual rate of $2.00. In common financial parlance, we say that in 2006 Katz’s regular quarterly dividend was $0.50, and its annual dividend was $2.00. In late 2006, Katz’s board of directors met, reviewed projections for 2007,
and decided to keep the 2007 dividend at $2.00. The directors announced the $2 rate, so stockholders could count on receiving it unless the company experienced unanticipated operating problems.

The actual payment procedure is as follows:

1. **Declaration date.** On the declaration date—say, on November 8—the directors meet and declare the regular dividend, issuing a statement similar to the following: “On November 8, 2006, the directors of Katz Corporation met and declared the regular quarterly dividend of 50 cents per share, payable to holders of record on December 8, payment to be made on January 3, 2007.” For accounting purposes, the declared dividend becomes an actual liability on the declaration date. If a balance sheet were constructed, the amount \((0.50) \times \text{(Number of shares outstanding)}\) would appear as a current liability, and retained earnings would be reduced by a like amount.

2. **Holder-of-record date.** At the close of business on the holder-of-record date, December 8, the company closes its stock transfer books and makes up a list of shareholders as of that date. If Katz Corporation is notified of the sale before 5 P.M. on December 8, then the new owner receives the dividend. However, if notification is received on or after December 9, the previous owner gets the dividend check.

3. **Ex-dividend date.** Suppose Jean Buyer buys 100 shares of stock from John Seller on December 5. Will the company be notified of the transfer in time to list Buyer as the new owner and thus pay the dividend to her? To avoid conflict, the securities industry has set up a convention under which the right to the dividend remains with the stock until two business days prior to the holder-of-record date; on the second day before that date, the right to the dividend no longer goes with the shares. The date when the right to the dividend leaves the stock is called the ex-dividend date. In this case, the ex-dividend date is two days prior to December 8, or December 6:

   - Dividend goes with stock: December 5
   - Ex-dividend date: December 6
   - December 7
   - Holder-of-record date: December 8

Therefore, if Buyer is to receive the dividend, she must buy the stock on or before December 5. If she buys it on December 6 or later, Seller will receive the dividend because he will be the official holder of record.

Katz’s dividend amounts to $0.50, so the ex-dividend date is important. Barring fluctuations in the stock market, we would normally expect the price of a stock to drop by approximately the amount of the dividend on the ex-dividend date. Thus, if Katz closed at $30.50 on December 5, it would probably open at about $30 on December 6.

4. **Payment date.** The company actually mails the checks to the holders of record on January 3, the payment date.

**Self-Test Questions**

- Why is the residual model more likely to be used to establish a long-run payout target than to set the actual year-by-year dividend payout ratio?
- How do firms use planning models to help set dividend policy?
- Explain the procedures used to actually pay the dividend.
- Why is the ex-dividend date important to investors?
DISTRIBUTIONS THROUGH STOCK REPURCHASES

Stock repurchases, which occur when a company buys back some of its own outstanding stock, have become an important part of the financial landscape. In fact, large companies have repurchased more shares than they have issued since 1985. Repurchases have also become the preferred method of initiating cash distributions to shareholders. In 1998, 81 percent of those firms initiating a distribution did so with a stock repurchase instead of a cash dividend, substantially higher than the 27 percent doing so in 1973. Stock repurchases have also steadily replaced dividends as a form of distribution, with more cash returned to shareholders in repurchases than as dividend payments since 1998. This section discusses stock repurchases and their effect on value.

Three principal situations lead to stock repurchases. First, a company may decide to increase its leverage by issuing debt and using the proceeds to repurchase stock, as we described in Chapter 15. Second, many firms have given their employees stock options, and they repurchase stock for use when employees exercise the options. In this case, the number of outstanding shares reverts to its pre-repurchase level after the options are exercised. Third, a company may have excess cash. This may be due to a one-time cash inflow, such as the sale of a division, or it may simply be that the company is generating more free cash flow than it needs to service its debt.

Stock repurchases are usually made in one of three ways: (1) A publicly owned firm can buy back its own stock through a broker on the open market. (2) The firm can make a tender offer, under which it permits stockholders to send in (that is, “tender”) shares in exchange for a specified price per share. In this case, the firm generally indicates that it will buy up to a specified number of shares within a stated time period (usually about two weeks). If more shares are tendered than the company wants to buy, purchases are made on a pro rata basis. (3) The firm can purchase a block of shares from one large holder on a negotiated basis. This is a targeted stock repurchase as discussed in Chapter 11.

The Effects of Stock Repurchases

Suppose a company has some extra cash, perhaps due to the sale of a division, and it plans to use that cash to repurchase stock. To keep the example simple,
we assume the company has no debt. The current stock price, $P_0$, is $20 and the company has 2 million outstanding shares, $n_0$, for a total market capitalization of $40 million. The company has $5 million in marketable securities (that is, extra cash) from the recent sale of a division.

As described in the corporate valuation model of Chapter 11, the company’s value of operations, $V_{op}$, is the present value of its expected future free cash flows, discounted at the WACC. Notice that the repurchase will not affect the FCFs or the WACC, so the repurchase doesn’t affect the value of operations. The total value of the company is the value of operations plus the value of the extra cash. We can find the price per share, $P_0$, by dividing the total value by the number of shares outstanding, $n_0$:

\[
P_0 = \frac{V_{op} + \text{Extra cash}}{n_0}
\]

We can easily solve this for the value of operations: $V_{op} = P_0(n_0) - \text{Extra cash} = 40 - 5 = 35$ million.

Now consider the repurchase. $P$ is the repurchase price and $n$ is the number of shares that will be outstanding after the repurchase. We can multiply the unknown repurchase price by the number of shares that are repurchased, and this must equal the extra cash that is being used in the repurchase:

\[
P(n_0 - n) = \text{Extra cash}
\]

Since the company will have no extra cash after the repurchase, the stock price will be the value of operations divided by the remaining shares of stock:

\[
P = \frac{V_{op}}{n}
\]

We know the current price ($P_0$), the current number of shares ($n_0$), and the amount of extra cash. This leaves three remaining unknown variables ($P$, $n$, and $V_{op}$) and three equations, so we can solve for the unknown variables. The solution shows that $P = P_0 = 20$. In other words, the repurchase itself does not change the stock price. However, the repurchase does change the number of outstanding shares. Rewriting Equation 17-4,

\[
n = \frac{V_{op}}{P} = \frac{35\text{ million}}{20} = 1.75\text{ million}
\]

---

16The WACC is based on the company’s capital used in operations and does not include any effects due to the extra cash.

17We can rewrite Equation 17-3 as Extra cash = $P_0(n_0) - Pn$ and Equation 17-4 as $V_{op} = Pn$. We then substitute these expressions for extra cash and $V_{op}$ into Equation 17-2 and solve for $P$, which results in $P = P_0$. 

---
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As a check, we can see that the total market capitalization before the repurchase was $40 million, $5 million was used to repurchase shares, and the total market capitalization after the repurchase is $35 million = P(n) = $20(1.75 million). This should make sense, since the repurchase itself transferred $5 million of corporate assets to the individual shareholders. Notice that the aggregate wealth of the shareholders didn’t change. It was $40 million prior to the repurchase, and it is $40 million afterward ($35 million in stock and $5 million in cash). Notice also that a repurchase of 250,000 shares of stock at a price of $20 equals the $5 million in cash used to repurchase the shares.

To summarize, the events leading up to a repurchase (the sale of a division, a recapitalization, or the generation of higher than normal free cash flows) can certainly change the stock price, but the repurchase itself doesn’t change the stock price.

### A Tale of Two Cash Distributions: Dividends versus Stock Repurchases

Suppose a company’s current earnings are $400 million, it has 40 million shares of stock, and it pays out 50 percent of its earnings as dividends. Earnings are expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent, and the cost of equity is 10 percent. Its current dividend per share is $0.50($400/40) = $5. Using the dividend growth model, the current stock price is

$$
P_0 = \frac{D_1}{s_i - g} = \frac{D_0(1 + g)}{s_i - g} = \frac{5(1 + 0.05)}{0.10 - 0.05} = \frac{5.25}{0.05} = 105
$$

As the year progresses, the stock should climb in price by 10 percent to $115.5, but then fall by the amount of the dividend ($5.25) to $110.25 when the dividend is paid at Year 1. This process will be repeated each year, as shown in Figure 17-2. Notice that the shareholders experience a 10 percent total return each year, with 5 percent as a dividend yield and 5 percent as a capital gain. Also, the total expected market value of equity after paying the dividend at the end of Year 1 is the price per share multiplied by the number of shares:

$$
S_1 = 110.25(40) = 4,410 \text{ million}
$$

Suppose the company decides to use 50 percent of its earnings to repurchase stock each year instead of paying dividends. To find the current price per share, we discount the total payments to shareholders and divide that by the current number of shares. These payments are exactly equal to the total dividend payments in the original scenario, so the current price is the same for both dividend policies, ignoring any taxes or signaling effects. But what happens when the end of the year arrives? The stock price has grown to $115.50, just as for the cash dividend policy. But unlike the case of cash dividends in which the stock price falls by the amount of the dividend, the price per share doesn't change when a company repurchases stock, as shown earlier in this section (see Figure 17-2). This means that the total rate of return for a shareholder under the repurchase policy is 10 percent, with a zero dividend yield and a 10 percent capital gain.

Year 1 earnings will be $400(1.05) = $420 million, and the total amount of cash used to repurchase stock is 0.50($420 million) = $210 million. Using

---

18This assumes no tax effects.
Equation 17-3, we can solve for the number of shares remaining, \( n \), after the repurchase at Year 1:

\[
P(n_0 - n) = \text{Cash purchase} \\
$115.5(40 - n) = $210 \text{ million} \\
n = \frac{[$115.5(40) - $210]}{115.5} = 38.182 \text{ million}
\]

The total market value of equity at Year 1, \( S_1 \), is the price per share multiplied by the number of shares,

\[
S_1 = 115.5(38.182 \text{ million}) = 4,410 \text{ million}
\]

which is identical to the market value of equity if the firm pays dividends instead of repurchasing stock.

This example illustrates three key results: (1) Ignoring possible tax effects and signals, the total market value of equity will be the same whether a firm pays dividends or repurchases stock. (2) The repurchase itself does not change the stock price (compared with using the cash to buy marketable securities), although it does reduce the number of outstanding shares. (3) The stock price for a company that repurchases its stock will climb faster than if it pays a dividend, but the total return to the shareholders will be the same.

**Self-Test Question**

Explain how a repurchase changes the number of shares but not the stock price.

**COMPARISON OF DIVIDENDS AND REPURCHASES**

The advantages of repurchases are as follows:

1. Repurchase announcements are viewed as positive signals by investors because the repurchase is often motivated by management’s belief that the firm’s shares are undervalued.
2. The stockholders have a choice when the firm distributes cash by repurchasing stock—they can sell or not sell. Thus, those stockholders who need cash can sell back some of their shares, while those who do not want additional cash can simply retain their stock. With a cash dividend, on the other hand, stockholders must accept a dividend payment.

3. Dividends are “sticky” in the short run because managements are reluctant to raise the dividend if the increase cannot be maintained in the future—managements dislike cutting cash dividends because of the negative signal a cut gives. Hence, if the excess cash flow is thought to be only temporary, management may prefer to make the distribution in the form of a share repurchase rather than to declare an increased cash dividend that cannot be maintained.

4. Companies can use the residual model to set a target cash distribution level, then divide the distribution into a dividend component and a repurchase component. The dividend payout ratio will be relatively low, but the dividend itself will be relatively secure, and it will grow as a result of the declining number of shares outstanding. The company has more flexibility in adjusting the total distribution than it would if the entire distribution were in the form of cash dividends, because repurchases can be varied from year to year without giving off adverse signals. This procedure, which is what Florida Power & Light employed, has much to recommend it, and it is one reason for the dramatic increase in the volume of share repurchases.

5. Repurchases can be used to produce large-scale changes in capital structures. For example, several years ago Consolidated Edison decided to borrow $400 million and use the funds to repurchase some of its common stock. Thus, Con Ed was able to quickly change its capital structure.

6. Companies that use stock options as an important component of employee compensation can repurchase shares and then use those shares when employees exercise their options. This avoids having to issue new shares and thus diluting earnings. Microsoft and other high-tech companies have used this procedure in recent years.

Disadvantages of repurchases include the following:

1. Stockholders may not be indifferent between dividends and capital gains, and the price of the stock might benefit more from cash dividends than from repurchases. Cash dividends are generally dependable, but repurchases are not.

2. The selling stockholders may not be fully aware of all the implications of a repurchase, or they may not have all the pertinent information about the corporation’s present and future activities. However, firms generally announce repurchase programs before embarking on them to avoid potential stockholder suits.

3. The corporation may pay too much for the repurchased stock, to the disadvantage of remaining stockholders. If the firm seeks to acquire a relatively large amount of its stock, then the price may be bid above its equilibrium level and then fall after the firm ceases its repurchase operations.

When all the pros and cons on stock repurchases versus dividends have been totaled, where do we stand? Our conclusions may be summarized as follows:

1. Because of the deferred tax on capital gains, repurchases have a tax advantage over dividends as a way to distribute income to stockholders. This advantage is reinforced by the fact that repurchases provide cash to stockholders who want cash while allowing those who do not need current cash to delay its
receipt. On the other hand, dividends are more dependable and are thus better suited for those who need a steady source of income.

2. Because of signaling effects, companies should not vary their dividends—that would lower investors’ confidence in the company and adversely affect its cost of equity and its stock price. However, cash flows vary over time, as do investment opportunities, so the “proper” dividend in the residual model sense varies. To get around this problem, a company can set its dividend low enough to keep dividend payments from constraining operations and then use repurchases on a more or less regular basis to distribute excess cash. Such a procedure would provide regular, dependable dividends plus additional cash flow to those stockholders who want it.

3. Repurchases are also useful when a firm wants to make a large shift in its capital structure, wants to distribute cash from a one-time event such as the sale of a division, or wants to obtain shares for use in an employee stock option plan.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are some advantages and disadvantages of stock repurchases?

How can stock repurchases help a company operate in accordance with the residual distribution model?

**OTHER FACTORS INFLUENCING DISTRIBUTIONS**

In this section, we discuss several other factors that affect the dividend decision. These factors may be grouped into two broad categories: (1) constraints on dividend payments and (2) availability and cost of alternative sources of capital.

**Constraints**

1. Bond indentures. Debt contracts often limit dividend payments to earnings generated after the loan was granted. Also, debt contracts often stipulate that no dividends can be paid unless the current ratio, times-interest-earned ratio, and other safety ratios exceed stated minimums.

2. Preferred stock restrictions. Typically, common dividends cannot be paid if the company has omitted its preferred dividend. The preferred arrearages must be satisfied before common dividends can be resumed.

3. Impairment of capital rule. Dividend payments cannot exceed the balance sheet item “retained earnings.” This legal restriction, known as the “impairment of capital rule,” is designed to protect creditors. Without the rule, a company that is in trouble might distribute most of its assets to stockholders and leave its debtholders out in the cold. (Liquidating dividends can be paid out of capital, but they must be indicated as such, and they must not reduce capital below the limits stated in debt contracts.)

4. Availability of cash. Cash dividends can be paid only with cash, so a shortage of cash in the bank can restrict dividend payments. However, the ability to borrow can offset this factor.

5. Penalty tax on improperly accumulated earnings. To prevent wealthy individuals from using corporations to avoid personal taxes, the Tax Code provides for a special surtax on improperly accumulated income. Thus, if the IRS can demonstrate that a firm’s dividend payout ratio is being deliberately held
down to help its stockholders avoid personal taxes, the firm is subject to heavy penalties. This factor is generally relevant only to privately owned firms.

**Alternative Sources of Capital**

1. *Cost of selling new stock.* If a firm needs to finance a given level of investment, it can obtain equity by retaining earnings or by issuing new common stock. If flotation costs (including any negative signaling effects of a stock offering) are high, \( r_e \) will be well above \( r_s \), making it better to set a low payout ratio and to finance through retention rather than through the sale of new common stock. On the other hand, a high dividend payout ratio is more feasible for a firm whose flotation costs are low. Flotation costs differ among firms—for example, the flotation percentage is generally higher for small firms, so they tend to set low payout ratios.

2. *Ability to substitute debt for equity.* A firm can finance a given level of investment with either debt or equity. As noted above, low stock flotation costs permit a more flexible dividend policy because equity can be raised either by retaining earnings or by selling new stock. A similar situation holds for debt policy: If the firm can adjust its debt ratio without raising costs sharply, it can pay the expected dividend, even if earnings fluctuate, by increasing its debt ratio.

3. *Control.* If management is concerned about maintaining control, it may be reluctant to sell new stock; hence the company may retain more earnings than it otherwise would. However, if stockholders want higher dividends and a proxy fight looms, then the dividend will be increased.

**Self-Test Questions**

What constraints affect dividend policy?

How does the availability and cost of outside capital affect dividend policy?

**OVERVIEW OF THE DISTRIBUTION POLICY DECISION**

In many ways, our discussion of distribution policy parallels our discussion of capital structure: We presented the relevant theories and issues, and we listed some additional factors that influence distribution policy, but we did not come up with any hard-and-fast guidelines that managers can follow. You should recognize that distribution decisions are exercises in informed judgment, not decisions that can be based on a precise mathematical model.

In practice, the distribution decision is made jointly with capital structure and capital budgeting decisions. The underlying reason for joining these decisions is asymmetric information, which influences managerial actions in two ways:

1. In general, managers do not want to issue new common stock. First, new common stock involves issuance costs—commissions, fees, and so on—and those costs can be avoided by using retained earnings to finance equity needs. Second, as we discussed in Chapter 15, asymmetric information causes investors to view new common stock issues as negative signals and thus lowers expectations regarding the firm’s future prospects. The end result is that the announcement of a new stock issue usually leads to a decrease in the stock price. Considering the total costs involved, including both issuance and
asymmetric information costs, managers prefer to use retained earnings as the primary source of new equity.

2. Dividend changes provide signals about managers’ beliefs as to their firms’ future prospects. Thus, dividend reductions generally have a significant negative effect on a firm’s stock price. Since managers recognize this, they try to set dollar dividends low enough so that there is only a remote chance that the dividend will have to be reduced in the future.

The effects of asymmetric information suggest that, to the extent possible, managers should avoid both new common stock sales and dividend cuts, because both actions tend to lower stock prices. Thus, in setting distribution policy, managers should begin by considering the firm’s future investment opportunities relative to its projected internal sources of funds. The target capital structure also plays a part, but because the optimal capital structure is a range, firms can vary their actual capital structures somewhat from year to year. Since it is best to avoid issuing new common stock, the target long-term payout ratio should be designed to permit the firm to meet all of its equity capital requirements with retained earnings. In effect, managers should use the residual model to set dividends, but in a long-term framework. Finally, the current dollar dividend should be set so that there is an extremely low probability that the dividend, once set, will ever have to be lowered or omitted.

Of course, the dividend decision is made during the planning process, so there is uncertainty about future investment opportunities and operating cash flows. Thus, the actual payout ratio in any year will probably be above or below the firm’s long-range target. However, the dollar dividend should be maintained, or increased as planned, unless the firm’s financial condition deteriorates to the point where the planned policy simply cannot be maintained. A steady or increasing stream of dividends over the long run signals that the firm’s financial condition is under control. Further, investor uncertainty is decreased by stable dividends, so a steady dividend stream reduces the negative effect of a new stock issue, should one become absolutely necessary.

In general, firms with superior investment opportunities should set lower payouts, hence retain more earnings, than firms with poor investment opportunities. The degree of uncertainty also influences the decision. If there is a great deal of uncertainty regarding the forecasts of free cash flows, which are defined here as the firm’s operating cash flows minus mandatory equity investments, then it is best to be conservative and to set a lower current dollar dividend. Also, firms with postponable investment opportunities can afford to set a higher dollar dividend, because in times of stress investments can be postponed for a year or two, thus increasing the cash available for dividends. Finally, firms whose cost of capital is largely unaffected by changes in the debt ratio can also afford to set a higher payout ratio, because they can, in times of stress, more easily issue additional debt to maintain the capital budgeting program without having to cut dividends or issue stock.

Firms have only one opportunity to set the dividend payment from scratch. Therefore, today’s dividend decisions are constrained by policies that were set in the past; hence setting a policy for the next five years necessarily begins with a review of the current situation.

Although we have outlined a rational process for managers to use when considering their firm’s distribution policies, distribution policy still remains one of the most judgmental decisions managers must make. For this reason, distribution policy is always set by the board of directors—the financial staff analyzes the situation and makes a recommendation, but the board makes the final decision.
Describe the decision process for distribution policy and dividend payout. Be sure to discuss all the factors that influence the decision.

STOCK SPLITS AND STOCK DIVidENDS

Stock splits and stock dividends are related to the firm’s cash dividend policy. The rationale for stock splits and dividends can best be explained through an example. We will use Porter Electronic Controls Inc., a $700 million electronic components manufacturer, for this purpose. Since its inception, Porter’s markets have been expanding, and the company has enjoyed growth in sales and earnings. Some of its earnings have been paid out in dividends, but some are also retained each year, causing its earnings per share and stock price to grow. The company began its life with only a few thousand shares outstanding, and, after some years of growth, the stock price was so high that few people could afford to buy a “round lot” of 100 shares. Porter’s CFO thought this limited the demand for the stock and thus kept the total market value of the firm below what it would have been if more shares, at a lower price, had been outstanding. To correct this situation, Porter “split its stock,” as described in the next section.

Stock Splits

Although there is little empirical evidence to support the contention, there is nevertheless a widespread belief in financial circles that an optimal price range exists for stocks. “Optimal” means that if the price is within this range, the firm’s value will be maximized. Many observers, including Porter’s management, believe that the best range for most stocks is from $20 to $80 per share. Accordingly, if the price of Porter’s stock rose to $80, management would probably declare a two-for-one stock split, thus doubling the number of shares outstanding, halving the earnings and dividends per share, and thereby lowering the stock price. Each stockholder would have more shares, but each share would be worth less. If the post-split price were $40, Porter’s stockholders would be exactly as well off as before the split. However, if the stock price were to stabilize above $40, stockholders would be better off. Stock splits can be of any size—for example, the stock could be split two-for-one, three-for-one, one-and-a-half-for-one, or in any other way.

Sometimes a company will have a reverse split. For example, International Pictures Corp. (IPIX) developed the iPIX computer imaging technology, which allows a user to “walk through” a 360-degree view. Its stock price was in the $30 range prior to the dot-com crash of April 2000, but by August 2001 its price had fallen to $0.20 per share. One of Nasdaq’s listing requirements is that the stock price must be above $1 per share, and Nasdaq was threatening to delist IPIX. To drive its price up, IPIX had a 1:10 reverse stock split before trading began on August 23, 2001, with its shareholders exchanging 10 shares of stock for a single new share. In theory, the stock price should have increased by a factor of 10, to around $2, but IPIX closed that day at a price of $1.46. Evidently, investors saw the reverse split as a negative signal.

Stock Dividends

Stock dividends are similar to stock splits in that they “divide the pie into smaller slices” without affecting the fundamental position of the current stockholders.
On a 5 percent stock dividend, the holder of 100 shares would receive an additional 5 shares (without cost); on a 20 percent stock dividend, the same holder would receive 20 new shares; and so on. Again, the total number of shares is increased, so earnings, dividends, and price per share all decline.

If a firm wants to reduce the price of its stock, should it use a stock split or a stock dividend? Stock splits are generally used after a sharp price run-up to produce a large price reduction. Stock dividends used on a regular annual basis will keep the stock price more or less constrained. For example, if a firm’s earnings and dividends were growing at about 10 percent per year, its stock price would tend to go up at about that same rate, and it would soon be outside the desired trading range. A 10 percent annual stock dividend would maintain the stock price within the optimal trading range. Note, though, that small stock dividends create bookkeeping problems and unnecessary expenses, so firms today use stock splits far more often than stock dividends.19

**Effect on Stock Prices**

If a company splits its stock or declares a stock dividend, will this increase the market value of its stock? Many empirical studies have sought to answer this question. Here is a summary of their findings.

1. On average, the price of a company’s stock rises shortly after it announces a stock split or dividend.

2. However, these price increases are more the result of the fact that investors take stock splits/dividends as signals of higher future earnings and dividends than of a desire for stock dividends/splits per se. Because only companies whose managements think things look good tend to split their stocks, the announcement of a stock split is taken as a signal that earnings and cash dividends are likely to rise. Thus, the price increases associated with stock splits/dividends are probably the result of signals of favorable prospects for earnings and dividends, not a desire for stock splits/dividends per se.

3. If a company announces a stock split or stock dividend, its price will tend to rise. However, if during the next few months it does not announce an increase in earnings and dividends, then its stock price will drop back to the earlier level.

4. As we noted earlier, brokerage commissions are generally higher in percentage terms on lower-priced stocks. This means that it is more expensive to trade low-priced than high-priced stocks, and this, in turn, means that stock splits may reduce the liquidity of a company’s shares. This particular piece of evidence suggests that stock splits/dividends might actually be harmful, although a lower price does mean that more investors can afford to trade in round lots (100 shares), which carry lower commissions than do odd lots (less than 100 shares).

What do we conclude from all this? From a purely economic standpoint, stock dividends and splits are just additional pieces of paper. However, they provide management with a relatively low-cost way of signaling that the firm’s

---

19Accountants treat stock splits and stock dividends somewhat differently. For example, in a two-for-one stock split, the number of shares outstanding is doubled and the par value is halved, and that is about all there is to it. With a stock dividend, a bookkeeping entry is made transferring “retained earnings” to “common stock.”
prospects look good. Further, we should note that since few large, publicly owned stocks sell at prices above several hundred dollars, we simply do not know what the effect would be if Microsoft, Wal-Mart, Hewlett-Packard, and other highly successful firms had never split their stocks, and consequently sold at prices in the thousands or even tens of thousands of dollars. All in all, it probably makes sense to employ stock dividends/splits when a firm’s prospects are favorable, especially if the price of its stock has gone beyond the normal trading range.\textsuperscript{20}

**Self-Test Questions**

What are stock splits and stock dividends?

How do stock splits and dividends affect stock prices?

In what situations should managers consider the use of stock splits?

In what situations should they consider the use of stock dividends?

## DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLANS

During the 1970s, most large companies instituted dividend reinvestment plans (DRIPs), under which stockholders can choose to automatically reinvest their dividends in the stock of the paying corporation.\textsuperscript{21} Today most larger companies offer DRIPs, and although participation rates vary considerably, about 25 percent of the average firm’s shareholders are enrolled. There are two types of DRIPs: (1) plans that involve only “old stock” that is already outstanding and (2) plans that involve newly issued stock. In either case, the stockholder must pay taxes on the amount of the dividends, even though stock rather than cash is received.

Under both types of DRIPs, stockholders choose between continuing to receive dividend checks or having the company use the dividends to buy more stock in the corporation. Under the “old stock” type of plan, if a stockholder elects reinvestment, a bank, acting as trustee, takes the total funds available for reinvestment, purchases the corporation’s stock on the open market, and allocates the shares purchased to the participating stockholders’ accounts on a pro rata basis. The transaction costs of buying shares (brokerage costs) are low because of volume purchases, so these plans benefit small stockholders who do not need cash dividends for current consumption.

The “new stock” type of DRIP uses the reinvested funds to buy newly issued stock; hence these plans raise new capital for the firm. AT&T, Union Carbide, and many other companies have had new stock plans in effect in recent years, using them to raise substantial amounts of new equity capital. No fees are charged to stockholders, and many companies offer stock at a discount of 3 percent to 5 percent below the actual market price. The companies offer discounts as a trade-off against flotation costs that would have been incurred if new stock had been issued through investment bankers rather than through the dividend reinvestment plans.

One interesting aspect of DRIPs is that they are forcing corporations to reexamine their basic dividend policies. A high participation rate in a DRIP suggests that stockholders might be better off if the firm simply reduced cash dividends,

\textsuperscript{20}It is interesting to note that Berkshire Hathaway, which is controlled by billionaire Warren Buffett, one of the most successful financiers of the 20th century, has never had a stock split, and its stock (BRKa) sold on the NYSE for $82,800 per share in April 2005. But, in response to investment trusts that were being formed to sell fractional units of the stock, and thus, in effect, split it, Buffett himself created a new class of Berkshire Hathaway stock (Class B) worth about 1/30 of a Class A (regular) share.

which would save stockholders some personal income taxes. Quite a few firms are surveying their stockholders to learn more about their preferences and to find out how they would react to a change in dividend policy. A more rational approach to basic dividend policy decisions may emerge from this research.

Note that companies start or stop using new stock DRIPs depending on their need for equity capital. Thus, both Union Carbide and AT&T recently stopped offering new stock DRIPs with a 5 percent discount because their needs for equity capital declined.

Some companies have expanded their DRIPs by moving to “open enrollment,” whereby anyone can purchase the firm’s stock directly and thus bypass brokers’ commissions. ExxonMobil not only allows investors to buy their initial shares at no fee but also lets them pick up additional shares through automatic bank account withdrawals. Several plans, including ExxonMobil’s, offer dividend reinvestment for individual retirement accounts, and some, such as U.S. West’s, allow participants to invest weekly or monthly rather than on the quarterly dividend schedule. In all of these plans, and many others, stockholders can invest more than the dividends they are forgoing—they simply send a check to the company and buy shares without a brokerage commission. According to First Chicago Trust, which handles the paperwork for 13 million shareholder DRIP accounts, at least half of all DRIPs will offer open enrollment, extra purchases, and other expanded services within the next few years.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are dividend reinvestment plans?

What are their advantages and disadvantages from both the stockholders’ and the firm’s perspectives?

**SUMMARY**

The key concepts covered in this chapter are listed below:

- **Distribution policy** involves three issues: (1) What fraction of earnings should be distributed? (2) Should the distribution be in the form of cash dividends or stock repurchases? (3) Should the firm maintain a steady, stable dividend growth rate?
- The **optimal distribution policy** strikes a balance between current dividends and future growth so as to maximize the firm’s stock price.
- Miller and Modigliani developed the **dividend irrelevance theory**, which holds that a firm’s dividend policy has no effect on either the value of its stock or its cost of capital.
- The **bird-in-the-hand theory** holds that the firm’s value will be maximized by a high dividend payout ratio, because investors regard cash dividends as being less risky than potential capital gains.
- The **tax preference theory** states that because long-term capital gains are subject to somewhat less onerous taxes than dividends, investors prefer to have companies retain earnings rather than pay them out as dividends.
- **Empirical tests** of the three theories have been inconclusive. Therefore, academicians cannot tell corporate managers how a given change in dividend policy will affect stock prices and capital costs.
• Dividend policy should take account of the information content of dividends (signaling) and the clientele effect. The information content, or signaling, effect relates to the fact that investors regard an unexpected dividend change as a signal of management’s forecast of future earnings. The clientele effect suggests that a firm will attract investors who like the firm’s dividend payout policy. Both factors should be considered by firms that are considering a change in dividend policy.
• In practice, dividend paying firms follow a policy of paying a steadily increasing dividend. This policy provides investors with stable, dependable income, and departures from it give investors signals about management’s expectations for future earnings.
• Most firms use the residual distribution model to set the long-run target distribution ratio at a level that will permit the firm to meet its equity requirements with retained earnings.
• Under a stock repurchase plan, a firm buys back some of its outstanding stock, thereby decreasing the number of shares, but leaving the stock price unchanged.
• Legal constraints, investment opportunities, availability and cost of funds from other sources, and taxes are also considered when firms establish dividend policies.
• A stock split increases the number of shares outstanding. Normally, splits reduce the price per share in proportion to the increase in shares because splits merely “divide the pie into smaller slices.” However, firms generally split their stocks only if (1) the price is quite high and (2) management thinks the future is bright. Therefore, stock splits are often taken as positive signals and thus boost stock prices.
• A stock dividend is a dividend paid in additional shares rather than in cash. Both stock dividends and splits are used to keep stock prices within an “optimal” trading range.
• A dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP) allows stockholders to have the company automatically use dividends to purchase additional shares. DRIPs are popular because they allow stockholders to acquire additional shares without brokerage fees.

QUESTIONS

17-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Optimal distribution policy
   b. Dividend irrelevance theory; bird-in-the-hand theory; tax preference theory
   c. Information content, or signaling, hypothesis; clientele effect
   d. Residual distribution model; extra dividend
   e. Declaration date; holder-of-record date; ex-dividend date; payment date
   f. Dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP)
   g. Stock split; stock dividend; stock repurchase

17-2 How would each of the following changes tend to affect aggregate (that is, the average for all corporations) payout ratios, other things held constant? Explain your answers.
   a. An increase in the personal income tax rate.
   b. A liberalization of depreciation for federal income tax purposes—that is, faster tax write-offs.
c. A rise in interest rates.
d. An increase in corporate profits.
e. A decline in investment opportunities.
f. Permission for corporations to deduct dividends for tax purposes as they now do interest charges.
g. A change in the Tax Code so that both realized and unrealized capital gains in any year were taxed at the same rate as dividends.

17-3 What is the difference between a stock dividend and a stock split? As a stockholder, would you prefer to see your company declare a 100 percent stock dividend or a 2-for-1 split? Assume that either action is feasible.

17-4 One position expressed in the financial literature is that firms set their dividends as a residual after using income to support new investment.

a. Explain what a residual policy implies (assuming all distributions as dividends), illustrating your answer with a table showing how different investment opportunities could lead to different dividend payout ratios.

b. Think back to Chapter 15, in which we considered the relationship between capital structure and the cost of capital. If the WACC-versus-debt-ratio plot was shaped like a sharp V, would this have a different implication for the importance of setting dividends according to the residual policy than if the plot was shaped like a shallow bowl (or a flattened U)?

17-5 Indicate whether the following statements are true or false. If the statement is false, explain why.

a. If a firm repurchases its stock in the open market, the shareholders who tender the stock are subject to capital gains taxes.
b. If you own 100 shares in a company’s stock and the company’s stock splits 2-for-1, you will own 200 shares in the company following the split.
c. Some dividend reinvestment plans increase the amount of equity capital available to the firm.
d. The Tax Code encourages companies to pay a large percentage of their net income in the form of dividends.
e. If your company has established a clientele of investors who prefer large dividends, the company is unlikely to adopt a residual dividend policy.
f. If a firm follows a residual dividend policy, holding all else constant, its dividend payout will tend to rise whenever the firm’s investment opportunities improve.

PROBLEMS

17-1 Axel Telecommunications has a target capital structure that consists of 70 percent debt and 30 percent equity. The company anticipates that its capital budget for the upcoming year will be $3,000,000. If Axel reports net income of $2,000,000 and it follows a residual distribution model with all distributions as dividends, what will be its dividend payout ratio?

17-2 Gamma Medical’s stock trades at $90 a share. The company is contemplating a 3-for-2 stock split. Assuming that the stock split will have no effect on the total market value of its equity, what will be the company’s stock price following the stock split?
Northern Pacific Heating and Cooling Inc. has a 6-month backlog of orders for its patented solar heating system. To meet this demand, management plans to expand production capacity by 40 percent with a $10 million investment in plant and machinery. The firm wants to maintain a 40 percent debt-to-total-assets ratio in its capital structure; it also wants to maintain its past dividend policy of distributing 45 percent of last year’s net income. In 2006, net income was $5 million. How much external equity must Northern Pacific seek at the beginning of 2007 to expand capacity as desired?

Petersen Company has a capital budget of $1.2 million. The company wants to maintain a target capital structure which is 60 percent debt and 40 percent equity. The company forecasts that its net income this year will be $600,000. If the company follows a residual distribution model and pays all distributions as dividends, what will be its payout ratio?

The Wei Corporation expects next year’s net income to be $15 million. The firm’s debt ratio is currently 40 percent. Wei has $12 million of profitable investment opportunities, and it wishes to maintain its existing debt ratio. According to the residual distribution model (assuming all payments are in the form of dividends), how large should Wei’s dividend payout ratio be next year?

After a 5-for-1 stock split, the Strasburg Company paid a dividend of $0.75 per new share, which represents a 9 percent increase over last year’s pre-split dividend. What was last year’s dividend per share?

The Welch Company is considering three independent projects, each of which requires a $5 million investment. The estimated internal rate of return (IRR) and cost of capital for these projects are presented below:

- Project H (high risk): Cost of capital = 16%; IRR = 20%
- Project M (medium risk): Cost of capital = 12%; IRR = 10%
- Project L (low risk): Cost of capital = 8%; IRR = 9%

Note that the projects’ cost of capital varies because the projects have different levels of risk. The company’s optimal capital structure calls for 50 percent debt and 50 percent common equity. Welch expects to have net income of $7,287,500. If Welch bases its dividends on the residual model (all distributions are in the form of dividends), what will its payout ratio be?

In 2006 the Keenan Company paid dividends totaling $3,600,000 on net income of $10.8 million. 2006 was a normal year, and for the past 10 years, earnings have grown at a constant rate of 10 percent. However, in 2007, earnings are expected to jump to $14.4 million, and the firm expects to have profitable investment opportunities of $8.4 million. It is predicted that Keenan will not be able to maintain the 2007 level of earnings growth—the high 2007 earnings level is attributable to an exceptionally profitable new product line introduced that year—and the company will return to its previous 10 percent growth rate. Keenan’s target debt ratio is 40 percent.

a. Calculate Keenan’s total dividends for 2007 if it follows each of the following policies:
   (1) Its 2007 dividend payment is set to force dividends to grow at the long-run growth rate in earnings.
   (2) It continues the 2006 dividend payout ratio.
   (3) It uses a pure residual policy with all distributions in the form of dividends (40 percent of the $8.4 million investment is financed with debt).
(4) It employs a regular-dividend-plus-extras policy, with the regular dividend being based on the long-run growth rate and the extra dividend being set according to the residual policy.

b. Which of the preceding policies would you recommend? Restrict your choices to the ones listed, but justify your answer.

c. Does a 2007 dividend of $9,000,000 seem reasonable in view of your answers to parts a and b? If not, should the dividend be higher or lower?

Buena Terra Corporation is reviewing its capital budget for the upcoming year. It has paid a $3.00 dividend per share (DPS) for the past several years, and its shareholders expect the dividend to remain constant for the next several years. The company’s target capital structure is 60 percent equity and 40 percent debt; it has 1,000,000 shares of common equity outstanding; and its net income is $8 million. The company forecasts that it would require $10 million to fund all of its profitable (that is, positive NPV) projects for the upcoming year.

a. If Buena Terra follows the residual model and makes all distributions as dividends, how much retained earnings will it need to fund its capital budget?

b. If Buena Terra follows the residual model with all distributions in the form of dividends, what will be the company’s dividend per share and payout ratio for the upcoming year?

c. If Buena Terra maintains its current $3.00 DPS for next year, how much retained earnings will be available for the firm’s capital budget?

d. Can the company maintain its current capital structure, maintain the $3.00 DPS, and maintain a $10 million capital budget without having to raise new common stock?

e. Suppose that Buena Terra’s management is firmly opposed to cutting the dividend; that is, it wishes to maintain the $3.00 dividend for the next year. Also assume that the company was committed to funding all profitable projects, and was willing to issue more debt (along with the available retained earnings) to help finance the company’s capital budget. Assume that the resulting change in capital structure has a minimal impact on the company’s composite cost of capital, so that the capital budget remains at $10 million. What portion of this year’s capital budget would have to be financed with debt?

f. Suppose once again that Buena Terra’s management wants to maintain the $3.00 DPS. In addition, the company wants to maintain its target capital structure (60 percent equity, 40 percent debt), and maintain its $10 million capital budget. What is the minimum dollar amount of new common stock that the company would have to issue in order to meet each of its objectives?

g. Now consider the case where Buena Terra’s management wants to maintain the $3.00 DPS and its target capital structure, but it wants to avoid issuing new common stock. The company is willing to cut its capital budget in order to meet its other objectives. Assuming that the company’s projects are divisible, what will be the company’s capital budget for the next year?

h. What actions can a firm that follows the residual distribution policy take when its forecasted retained earnings are less than the retained earnings required to fund its capital budget?

---

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

**17-10**  
Build a Model: Residual Distribution Model  
Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch17 P10 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 17-9, parts a through g, using a spreadsheet model.
Southeastern Steel Company (SSC) was formed 5 years ago to exploit a new continuous-casting process. SSC’s founders, Donald Brown and Margo Valencia, had been employed in the research department of a major integrated-steel company, but when that company decided against using the new process (which Brown and Valencia had developed), they decided to strike out on their own. One advantage of the new process was that it required relatively little capital in comparison with the typical steel company, so Brown and Valencia have been able to avoid issuing new stock, and thus they own all of the shares. However, SSC has now reached the stage where outside equity capital is necessary if the firm is to achieve its growth targets yet still maintain its target capital structure of 60 percent equity and 40 percent debt. Therefore, Brown and Valencia have decided to take the company public. Until now, Brown and Valencia have paid themselves reasonable salaries but routinely reinvested all after-tax earnings in the firm, so dividend policy has not been an issue. However, before talking with potential outside investors, they must decide on a dividend policy.

Assume that you were recently hired by Pierce Westerfield Carney (PWC), a national consulting firm, which has been asked to help SSC prepare for its public offering. Martha Millon, the senior PWC consultant in your group, has asked you to make a presentation to Brown and Valencia in which you review the theory of dividend policy and discuss the following questions.

a. (1) What is meant by the term “distribution policy”? 
(2) The terms “irrelevance,” “bird-in-the-hand,” and “tax preference” have been used to describe three major theories regarding the way dividend payouts affect a firm’s value. Explain what these terms mean, and briefly describe each theory. 
(3) What do the three theories indicate regarding the actions management should take with respect to dividend payouts? 
(4) What results have empirical studies of the dividend theories produced? How does all this affect what we can tell managers about dividend payouts?

b. Discuss (1) the information content, or signaling, hypothesis, (2) the clientele effect, and (3) their effects on distribution policy.

c. (1) Assume that SSC has an $800,000 capital budget planned for the coming year. You have determined that its present capital structure (60 percent equity and 40 percent debt) is optimal, and its net income is forecasted at $600,000. Use the residual distribution model approach to determine SSC’s total dollar distribution. Assume for now that the distribution is in the form of a dividend. Then, explain what would happen if net income were forecasted at $400,000, or at $800,000.
(2) In general terms, how would a change in investment opportunities affect the payout ratio under the residual distribution policy?
d. What are stock repurchases? Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of a firm’s repurchasing its own shares.

e. Describe the series of steps that most firms take in setting dividend policy in practice.

f. What are stock splits and stock dividends? What are the advantages and disadvantages of stock splits and stock dividends?

g. What is a dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP), and how does it work?


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com):

Klein-Brigham Series:


Brigham-Buzzard Series:

- Case 9, “Powerline Network Corporation (Dividend Policy).”
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Initial Public Offerings, Investment Banking, and Financial Restructuring

The previous three chapters described how a company makes capital structure and dividend policy decisions. Those decisions affect the firm’s need for new capital, and the forms in which capital is raised. This chapter explains the actual process of raising capital. Throughout the chapter we discuss the roles played by investment banks and regulatory agencies.
THE FINANCIAL LIFE CYCLE OF A STARTUP COMPANY

Most businesses begin life as proprietorships or partnerships, and then, as the more successful ones grow, at some point they find it desirable to become corporations. Initially, most corporate stock is owned by the firms’ founding managers and key employees. Even startup firms that are ultimately successful usually begin with negative free cash flows due to their high growth rates and product development costs; hence, they must raise capital during these high-growth years. If the founding owners-managers have invested all of their own financial resources in the company, they must turn to outside sources of capital. Startup firms generally have high growth opportunities relative to assets-in-place, and they suffer from especially large problems with asymmetric information. Therefore, as we discussed in Chapter 15, they must raise external capital primarily as equity rather than debt.

To protect investors from fraudulent stock issues, in 1933 Congress enacted the Securities Act, which created the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
Companies must make investments in operating capital before they can generate sales, net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT), and free cash flow. Some of these investments can be made from currently generated NOPAT, but rapid growth generally requires raising additional funds from the marketplace. This chapter explains how companies raise external funds to support their operations.

1In addition to federal statutes, which affect transactions that cross state borders, states have "Blue Sky" laws that regulate securities sold just within the state. These laws were designed to prevent unscrupulous dealers from selling something of little worth, such as blue sky to naïve investors.
For most startups, the first round of external financing comes through a private placement of equity to one or two individual investors, called angels. In return for a typical investment in the range of $50,000 to $400,000, the angels receive stock and perhaps also a seat on the board of directors. Because angels can influence the strategic direction of the company, it is best that they bring experience and industry contacts to the table, not just cash.

As the company grows, its financing requirements may exceed the resources of individual investors, in which case it is likely to turn to a venture capital fund. A venture capital fund is a private limited partnership, which typically raises $30 million to $80 million from a relatively small group of primarily institutional investors, including pension funds, college endowments, and corporations. The managers of a venture capital fund, called venture capitalists, or VCs, are usually very knowledgeable and experienced in a particular industry, such as health care. They screen hundreds of companies, and ultimately fund around a dozen, called portfolio companies. The venture fund buys shares of the portfolio companies, and the VCs sit on the companies’ boards of directors. The venture capital fund usually has a prespecified life of seven to ten years, after which it is dissolved, either by selling the portfolio companies’ stock and distributing the proceeds to the funds’ investors or by directly distributing the stock to the investors.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is a private placement?
What is an angel?
What is a venture capital fund? A VC?

### THE DECISION TO GO PUBLIC: INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS

Going public means selling some of a company’s stock to outside investors and then letting the stock trade in public markets. For example, Google, World Poker Tour Enterprises, PetMed Express, and many other companies took this step in 2004. The advantages and disadvantages of public stock ownership are discussed next.

### Advantages of Going Public

1. *Increases liquidity and allows founders to harvest their wealth.* The stock of a private, or closely held, corporation is illiquid. It may be hard for one of the owners who wants to sell some shares to find a ready buyer, and even if a buyer is located, there is no established price on which to base the transaction.

2. *Permits founders to diversify.* As a company grows and becomes more valuable, its founders often have most of their wealth tied up in the company. By selling some of their stock in a public offering, they can diversify their holdings, thereby reducing the riskiness of their personal portfolios.

---

2The typical venture capital fund is a private limited partnership, with limited partners and a general partner. The limited partners contribute cash but are prohibited from being involved in the partnership’s decision making. Because of their limited participation, they are not held liable for any of the partnership’s liabilities, except to the extent of their original investment. The general partner usually contributes a relatively modest amount of cash, but acts as the partnership’s manager. In return, the general partner normally receives annual compensation equal to 1 to 2 percent of the fund’s assets plus a 20 percent share of the fund’s eventual profits.
3. *Facilitates raising new corporate cash.* If a privately held company wants to raise cash by selling new stock, it must either go to its existing owners, who may not have any money or may not want to put more eggs in this particular basket, or else shop around for wealthy investors. However, it is usually quite difficult to get outsiders to put money into a closely held company, because if the outsiders do not have voting control (more than 50 percent of the stock), the inside stockholders/managers can take advantage of them. Going public, which brings with it both public disclosure of information and regulation by the SEC, greatly reduces this problem, and thus makes people more willing to invest in the company, which makes it easier for the firm to raise capital.

4. *Establishes a value for the firm.* If a company wants to give incentive stock options to key employees, it is useful to know the exact value of those options, and employees much prefer to own stock, or options on stock, that is publicly traded and therefore liquid. Also, when the owner of a privately owned business dies, state and federal tax appraisers must set a value on the company for estate tax purposes. Often, these appraisers set a higher value than that of a similar publicly traded company.

5. *Facilitates merger negotiations.* Having an established market price helps when a company is either being acquired or seeking to acquire another company where it will pay for the acquisition with stock.

6. *Increases potential markets.* Many companies report that it is easier to sell their products and services to potential customers after they become a publicly traded company.

**Disadvantages of Going Public**

1. *Cost of reporting.* A publicly owned company must file quarterly and annual reports with the SEC and/or various state agencies. These reports can be a costly burden, especially for small firms.

2. *Disclosure.* Management may not like the idea of reporting operating data, because these data will then be available to competitors. Similarly, the owners of the company may not want people to know their net worth, and since a publicly owned company must disclose the number of shares owned by its officers, directors, and major stockholders, it is easy enough for anyone to multiply shares held by price per share to estimate the net worth of the insiders.

3. *Self-dealings.* The owner-managers of closely held companies have many opportunities for various types of questionable but legal self-dealings, including the payment of high salaries, nepotism, personal transactions with the business (such as a leasing arrangement), and not-truly-necessary fringe benefits. Such self-dealings, which are often designed to minimize their personal tax liabilities, are much harder to arrange if a company is publicly owned.

4. *Inactive market/low price.* If the firm is very small, and if its shares are not traded frequently, its stock will not really be liquid, and the market price may not represent the stock’s true value. Security analysts and stockbrokers simply will not follow the stock, because there will not be sufficient trading activity to generate enough brokerage commissions to cover the costs of following the stock.

5. *Control.* Because of possible tender offers and proxy fights, the managers of publicly owned firms who do not have voting control must be concerned about maintaining control. Further, there is pressure on such managers to produce annual earnings gains, even when it might be in the shareholders’
best long-term interests to adopt a strategy that reduces short-term earnings but raises them in future years. These factors have led a number of public companies to “go private” in “leveraged buyout” deals where the managers borrow the money to buy out the nonmanagement stockholders. We discuss the decision to go private in a later section.

6. **Investor relations.** Public companies must keep investors abreast of current developments. Many CFOs of newly public firms report that they spend two full days a week talking with investors and analysts.

### Conclusions on Going Public

There are no hard-and-fast rules regarding if or when a company should go public. This is an individual decision that should be made on the basis of the company’s and stockholders’ own unique circumstances. If a company does decide to go public, either by selling newly issued stock to raise new capital or by the sale of stock by the current owners, the key issue is setting the price at which shares will be offered to the public. The company and its current owners should want to set the price as high as possible—the higher the offering price, the smaller the fraction of the company the current owners will have to give up to obtain any specified amount of money. On the other hand, potential buyers want the price set as low as possible. We return to the establishment of the offering price later in the chapter, after we describe some other aspects of common stock financing.

### Self-Test Questions

What are the major advantages of going public?
What are the major disadvantages?

### THE PROCESS OF GOING PUBLIC

As the following sections show, the process of going public is a lot more complicated, expensive, and time consuming than simply making the decision to go public.

### Selecting an Investment Bank

After a company decides to go public, it faces the problem of how to sell its stock to a large number of investors. While most companies know how to sell their products, few have experience in selling securities. To help in this process, the company will interview a number of different investment banks, also called underwriters, and then select one to be the lead underwriter. To understand the factors that affect this choice, it helps to understand exactly what investment banks do.

First, the investment bank helps the firm determine the preliminary offering price, or price range, for the stock and the number of shares to be sold. The investment bank’s reputation and experience in the company’s industry are very important in convincing potential investors to purchase the stock at the offering price. In effect, the investment bank certifies that the stock is not overpriced, which obviously comforts investors. Second, the investment bank actually sells the shares to its existing clients, which include a mix of institutional investors and retail (that is, individual) customers. Third, the investment bank, through its associated brokerage house, will have an analyst “cover” the stock after it is issued. This analyst will regularly distribute reports to investors describing the stock’s
prospects, which will help to maintain an interest in the stock. Well-respected analysts increase the likelihood that there will be a liquid secondary market for the stock and that its price will reflect the company’s true value.

The Underwriting Syndicate

The firm and its investment bank must next decide whether the bank will work on a best efforts basis or will underwrite the issue. In a best efforts sale, the bank does not guarantee that the securities will be sold or that the company will get the cash it needs, only that it will put forth its “best efforts” to sell the issue. On an underwritten issue, the company does get a guarantee, because the bank agrees to buy the entire issue and then resell the stock to its customers. Therefore, the bank bears significant risks in underwritten offerings. For example, on one IBM bond issue, interest rates rose sharply, and bond prices fell, after the deal had been set but before the investment banks could sell the bonds to the ultimate purchasers. The banks lost somewhere between $10 million and $20 million. Had the offering been on a best efforts basis, IBM would have been the loser.

Except for extremely small issues, virtually all IPOs are underwritten. Investors are required to pay for securities within ten days, and the investment bank must pay the issuing firm within four days of the official commencement of the offering. Typically, the bank sells the stock within a day or two after the offering begins, but on occasion, the bank miscalculates, sets the offering price too high, and thus is unable to move the issue. At other times, the market declines during the offering period, forcing the bank to reduce the price of the stock or bonds. In either instance, on an underwritten offering the firm receives the price that was agreed upon, so the bank must absorb any losses that are incurred.

Because they are exposed to large potential losses, investment banks typically do not handle the purchase and distribution of issues single-handedly unless the issue is a very small one. If the sum of money involved is large, investment banks form underwriting syndicates in an effort to minimize the risk each bank faces. The banking house that sets up the deal is called the lead, or managing, underwriter. Syndicated offerings are usually covered by more analysts, which contributes to greater liquidity in the post-IPO secondary market. Thus, syndication provides benefits to both underwriters and issuers.

In addition to the underwriting syndicate, on larger offerings still more investment banks are included in a selling group, which handles the distribution of securities to individual investors. The selling group includes all members of the underwriting syndicate plus additional dealers who take relatively small percentages of the total issue from the members of the underwriting syndicate. Thus, the underwriters act as wholesalers, while members of the selling group act as retailers. The number of houses in a selling group depends partly on the size of the issue, but is normally in the range of 10 to 15.

A new selling procedure has recently emerged that takes advantage of the trend toward institutional ownership of stock. In this type of sale, called an unsyndicated stock offering, the managing underwriter, acting alone, sells the issue entirely to a group of institutional investors, thus bypassing both retail stockbrokers and individual investors. In recent years, about 50 percent of all stock sold has been by unsyndicated offerings. Behind this phenomenon is a simple motivating force: money. The fees that issuers pay on a syndicated offering, which include commissions paid to retail brokers, can run a full percentage point higher than those on unsyndicated offerings. Further, although total fees are lower in unsyndicated offerings, managing underwriters usually come out ahead because they do
not have to share the fees with an underwriting syndicate. Recent issuers of unsyndicated stock include Transamerica Corporation and Public Service Company of New Mexico. However, some types of stock do not appeal to institutional investors, so not all firms can use unsyndicated offers.

Regulation of Securities Sales

Sales of new securities, and also sales in the secondary markets, are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and, to a lesser extent, by each of the 50 states. Here are the primary elements of SEC regulation:

1. The SEC has jurisdiction over all interstate public offerings in amounts of $1.5 million or more.
2. Newly issued securities (stocks and bonds) must be registered with the SEC at least 20 days before they are publicly offered. The registration statement, called Form S-1, provides financial, legal, and technical information about the company to the SEC. A prospectus, which is embedded in the S-1, summarizes this information for investors. The SEC's lawyers and accountants analyze both the registration statement and the prospectus; if the information is inadequate or misleading, the SEC will delay or stop the public offering.3
3. After the SEC declares the registration to be effective, new securities may be advertised, but all sales solicitations must be accompanied by the prospectus. Preliminary, or “red herring,” prospectuses may be distributed to potential buyers during the 20-day waiting period after the registration is effective, but no sales may be finalized during this time. The “red herring” prospectus contains all the key information that will appear in the final prospectus except the final price, which is generally set after the market closes the day before the new securities are actually offered to the public.
4. If the registration statement or prospectus contains misrepresentations or omissions of material facts, any purchaser who suffers a loss may sue for damages. Severe penalties may be imposed on the issuer or its officers, directors, accountants, engineers, appraisers, underwriters, and all others who participated in the preparation of the registration statement or prospectus.

The Roadshow and Book-Building

After the registration statement has been filed, the senior management team, the investment banks, and the company’s lawyers go on a roadshow. The management team will make three to seven presentations each day to potential institutional investors, who are typically existing clients of the underwriters. The institutional investors ask questions during the presentation, but the management team may not give any information that is not in the registration statement due to the SEC-mandated quiet period. This quiet period begins when the registration statement is made effective and lasts for 25 days after the stock begins trading. Its purpose is to

---

3With the Internet, it is extremely easy to obtain the S-1 form, which typically has 50 to 100 pages of financial statements, a detailed discussion of the firm’s business, the risks and opportunities the firm faces, details on its principal stockholders and managers, what will be done with the funds raised, and the like. This statement is filed with the SEC and is immediately available, through the Internet, to investors. The SEC staff reviews the filed S-1, and amendments may be issued, labeled S-1A, S-1B, etc. Most importantly, the likely range for the offering price will be reported, for example, $13 to $15 per share. If the market strengthens or weakens while the stock is undergoing SEC review, the price may be increased or decreased, right up to the last day. The SEC Web site is http://www.sec.gov.
create a level playing field for all investors, by ensuring that they all have access to the same information. It is not uncommon for the SEC to delay an IPO if managers violate the quiet period rules. The typical roadshow may last 10 to 14 days, with stops in 10 to 20 different cities. In many ways it resembles a coming-out party for the company, but it is much more grueling and has much higher stakes.

After a presentation, the investment banks ask the investor for an indication of interest, based on the offering price range shown in the registration statement. The investment bank records the number of shares that each investor is willing to buy, which is called book-building. As the roadshow progresses, the investment bank’s “book” shows how demand for the offering is building. Many IPOs are oversubscribed, with investors wishing to purchase more shares than are available. In such a case the investment bank will allocate shares to the investors.\(^4\) If demand is high enough, then sometimes they will increase the offering price. If demand is low, then they will either reduce the offering price or withdraw the IPO. Sometimes low demand is specifically due to concern over the company’s future prospects, but sometimes low demand is caused by a fall in the general stock market. Thus, the timing of the roadshow and offering date are very important. As the old saying goes, sometimes it is better to be lucky than good.

If all goes well with the roadshow, the investment bank will finalize the offering price on the evening before the actual offering date.

**The First Day of Trading**

The first day of trading for many IPOs is wild and exciting. Table 18-1 shows the largest first-day returns for IPOs in 2004. Some stocks end the day with large gains, such as the 103 percent price increase of JED Oil Inc., as shown in Table 18-1. Others have a sharp run-up and then fall back by the end of the day. A few IPOs actually end their first day with a loss.

According to a study by Professors Tim Loughran and Jay Ritter, about 27.3 percent of IPOs have an offer price that is lower than the low range in their initial registration filing, and these stocks have an average first-day return of 4.0 percent.\(^5\) Even though the average return is positive, 47 percent of these stocks actually end the day with a loss or no gain. About 48.4 percent of IPOs have an offering price that is within the range of their initial filing. For such companies, the average first-day return was 10.8 percent. Due to indications of high demand during the roadshow, 24.3 percent of IPOs had a final offer price that was higher than their original range. These stocks had an average first-day return of 31.9 percent. Overall, the average first-day return was 14.1 percent during 1990–1998, with 75 percent of all IPOs having a positive return. During 1999, the average first-day return was an astronomical 70 percent!

You’re probably asking yourself two questions: (1) How can you get in on these deals, and (2) why is the offering price so low? First, you probably can’t get the chance to buy an IPO at its offering price, especially not a “hot” one. Virtually all sales go to institutional investors and preferred retail customers. There are a few Web-based investment banks who are trying to change this, such as the

---

\(^4\) Most underwriting agreements contain an “overallotment option” that permits the underwriter to purchase additional shares up to 15 percent of the issue size to cover promises made to potential buyers. This is called a “green shoe” agreement because it was first used in the 1963 underwriting of a company named Green Shoe.

OpenIPO of W. R. Hambrecht & Co., but right now it is difficult for small investors to get in on the better first-day IPOs.

Various theories have been put forth to explain IPO underpricing. As long as issuing companies don’t complain, investment banks have strong incentives to underprice the issue. First, underpricing increases the likelihood of oversubscription, which reduces the risk to the underwriter. Second, most investors who get to purchase the IPO at its offering price are preferred customers of the investment bank, and they became preferred customers because they generated lots of commissions in the investment bank’s sister brokerage company. Therefore, the IPO is an easy way for the underwriter to reward customers for past and future commissions. Third, the underwriter needs an honest indication of interest when building the book prior to the offering, and underpricing is a possible way to secure this information from the institutional investors.

But why don’t issuing companies object to underpricing? Some do, and are seeking alternative ways to issue securities, such as OpenIPO. However, most seem content to leave some money on the table. The best explanation seems to be that (1) the company wants to create excitement, and a price run-up on the first day does that; (2) only a small percentage of the company’s stock is generally offered to the public, so current stockholders give away less due to underpricing than appears at first glance; and (3) IPO companies generally plan to have further offerings in the future, and the best way to ensure future success is to have a successful IPO, which underpricing guarantees.

Although IPOs on average provide large first-day returns, their long-term returns over the following three years are below average. For example, if you could not get in at the IPO price but purchased a portfolio of IPO stocks on their second day of trading, your three-year return would have been lower than the return on a portfolio of similar but seasoned stocks. In summary, the offering price appears to be too low, but the first-day run-up is generally too high.

Table 18-1  Highest First-Day IPO Returns in 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company (Symbol)</th>
<th>Offering Price</th>
<th>First-Day Closing Price</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JED Oil Inc. (JDO)</td>
<td>$ 5.50</td>
<td>$11.20</td>
<td>103.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arbinet-thexchange Inc. (ARBX)</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Las Vegas Sands Corp. (LV)</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>46.56</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Shopping.com Ltd. (SHOP)</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MarketAxess Holdings Inc. (MKTX)</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Salesforce.com, Inc. (CRM)</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EyeTech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (EYET)</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>51job, Inc. (JOBS)</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PortalPlayer Inc. (PLAY)</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>FoxHollow Technologies Inc. (FOXH)</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A similar table for 2000, at the height of the Nasdaq stock market bubble, showed gains of from 277 to 507 percent for the “top 10.” The 2004 data are much closer to the averages over the past 50 years, and they are more like what we expect to see going forward.

The Costs of Going Public

During recent years, virtually all investment banks have charged a 7 percent spread between the price they pay the issuing company and the price at which they sell shares to the public. Thus, they keep 7 percent of the offering price as their compensation. For example, in 2003 Molina Healthcare sold 6.6 million shares at an offer price of $17.50 per share. In this IPO the underwriters’ direct compensation was $1.225 per share. For 6.6 million shares issued, these direct underwriting costs totaled about $8.085 million.

But there are other direct costs, such as lawyer’s fees, accountant’s costs, printing, engraving, and so on. These fees can easily amount to several hundred thousand dollars, which can be a large percentage of a small IPO.

Last, but not least, are the indirect costs. The money left on the table, which is equal to the number of shares multiplied by the difference in the closing price and the offering price, can be quite large. Molina experienced a relatively modest first-day run-up to $20.00 from an offering price of $17.50, and so the indirect costs would total 6.6($20.00 − $17.50) = $16.5 million. In addition, senior managers spend an enormous amount of time working on the IPO rather than managing the business, which certainly carries a high cost, even if it cannot be easily measured.

Thus, Molina received proceeds of $107.415 million, the underwriters and their sales forces received $8.085 million, with $16.5 million left on the table. There were undoubtedly other direct costs of several hundred thousand dollars, and indirect costs due to the diversion of the management team. As you can see, an IPO is quite expensive.

The Importance of the Secondary Market

An active secondary market after the IPO provides the pre-IPO shareholders with a chance to convert some of their wealth into cash, makes it easier for the company to raise additional capital later, makes employee stock options more attractive, and makes it easier for the company to use its stock to acquire other companies. Without an active secondary market, there would be little reason to have an IPO. Thus, companies should try to ensure that their stock will trade in an active secondary market before they incur the high costs of an IPO.

There are several types of secondary markets: physical stock exchanges, dealer markets, and bulletin boards. We discuss each of these below.

The physical exchanges, such as the NYSE and AMEX, conduct their trading in an actual location. In general, the NYSE and AMEX provide excellent liquidity. In order to have its stock listed, a company must apply to an exchange, pay a relatively small fee, and meet the exchange’s minimum requirements. These requirements relate to the size of the company’s net income, its market value, and its “float,” which is the number of shares outstanding and in the hands of outsiders (as opposed to the number held by insiders, who generally do not actively trade their stock). Also, the company must agree to disclose certain information to the exchange and to help the exchange track trading patterns and thus ensure that no one is attempting to manipulate the stock’s price. The size qualifications increase as a company moves from the AMEX to the NYSE.

Assuming a company qualifies, many believe that listing is beneficial to the company and to its stockholders. Listed companies receive a certain amount of free advertising and publicity, and their status as a listed company may enhance their prestige and reputation, which often leads to higher sales. Investors respond favorably to increased information, increased liquidity, and the confidence that the
The advantages of physical exchanges have been eroded—some would say eliminated—by computers and the Internet, which have benefited the dealer markets. The primary dealer markets are administered by Nasdaq, and they include the Nasdaq National Market and the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Almost 85 percent of new IPO stocks trade in these markets. Unlike the physical exchanges, these consist of a network of dealers, with each dealer making a market in one or more stocks. A dealer makes a market in a company’s stock by holding an inventory of the shares and then making offers to buy or sell the stock. Many stocks have excellent liquidity in these markets, and remain there even though they easily meet the requirements for listing on the NYSE. Examples include Microsoft, Intel, Apple, and Cisco Systems.

Investment banks generally agree to make a market in a company’s stock as part of their IPO duties. The diligence with which they carry out this task can have a huge effect on the stock’s liquidity in the secondary market, and, thus, the success of the IPO.

Although the requirements for listing on the Nasdaq National Market or SmallCap Market are not as stringent as for the NYSE, some companies fail to maintain them and hence are “delisted.” For these companies, offers to buy or sell the stock may be posted on the OTC Bulletin Board, an electronic bulletin board administered by Nasdaq. However, there is very little liquidity in these stocks, and an IPO would be considered a failure if the company’s stock ended up on the OTC Bulletin Board.

Regulating the Secondary Market

As we stated earlier, a liquid and crime-free secondary market is critical to the success of an IPO or any other publicly traded security. So, in addition to regulating the process for issuing securities, the Securities Exchange Commission also has responsibilities in the secondary markets. The primary elements of SEC regulation are set forth below.

1. The SEC regulates all national stock exchanges, and companies whose securities are listed on an exchange must file annual reports similar to the registration statement with both the SEC and the exchange.
2. The SEC has control over trading by corporate insiders. Officers, directors, and major stockholders must file monthly reports of changes in their holdings of the stock of the corporation. Any short-term profits from such transactions must be turned over to the corporation.
3. The SEC has the power to prohibit manipulation by such devices as pools (large amounts of money used to buy or sell stocks to artificially affect prices) or wash sales (sales between members of the same group to record artificial transaction prices).
4. The SEC has control over the proxy statement and the way the company uses it to solicit votes.

Control over credit used to buy securities is exercised by the Federal Reserve Board through margin requirements, which specify the maximum percentage of the purchase price someone can borrow. If a great deal of margin borrowing has been going on, then a decline in stock prices can result in inadequate coverages. This could force stockbrokers to issue margin calls, which require investors either
It is illegal for anyone to attempt to manipulate the price of a stock. During the 1920s and earlier, syndicates would buy and sell stocks back and forth at rigged prices so the public would believe that a particular stock was worth more or less than its true value. The exchanges, with the encouragement and support of the SEC, utilize sophisticated computer programs to help spot any irregularities that suggest manipulation, and they require disclosures to help identify manipulators. This same system helps to identify illegal insider trading. It is now illegal to manipulate a stock’s price by spreading false news on the Internet.

The securities industry itself realizes the importance of stable markets, sound brokerage firms, and the absence of stock manipulation. Therefore, the various exchanges work closely with the SEC to police transactions and to maintain the integrity and credibility of the system. Similarly, the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD) cooperates with the SEC to police trading in its dealer and OTC markets. These industry groups also cooperate with regulatory authorities to set net worth and other standards for securities firms, to develop insurance programs to protect the customers of failed brokerage houses, and the like.

In general, government regulation of securities trading, as well as industry self-regulation, is designed to ensure (1) that investors receive information that is as accurate as possible, (2) that no one artificially manipulates the market price of a given stock, and (3) that corporate insiders do not take advantage of their position to profit in their companies’ stocks at the expense of other stockholders. Neither the SEC, the state regulators, nor the industry itself can prevent investors from making foolish decisions or from having “bad luck,” but they can and do help investors obtain the best data possible for making sound investment decisions.

**Questionable IPO Practices**

Among the many revelations to come out during 2002 regarding investment banking was the practice by some investment banking houses of letting CEOs and other high-ranking corporate executives in on “hot” IPOs. In these deals the demand for the new stock was far greater than supply at the offering price, so the investment banks were virtually certain that the stock would soar far above the offering price.

Some investment banks systematically allocated shares of hot IPOs to executives of companies that were issuing stocks and bonds—and thus generating fees to the banks who underwrote the deals. Bernie Ebbers, the chairman and CEO of WorldCom, one of the biggest providers of underwriting fees, was given huge allocations in hot IPOs, and he made millions on these deals. Ebbers is just one example—a lot of this was going on in the late 1990s, at the height of the tech/dot-com bubble.

Government regulators have been investigating this practice, called “spinning,” and quite a few corporate executives and investment bankers may be charged with something that amounts to a kickback scheme under which those executives who favored particular investment banks were rewarded with allocations in hot IPOs. Indeed, in 2004 Credit Suisse First Boston’s Frank Quattrone was sentenced to 18 months in prison for obstructing a spinning investigation. Although the practice
may or may not be illegal—this has yet to be determined—it is certainly unethical. The corporate executives were paid to work for their stockholders, so they should have turned over any IPO profits to their companies, not kept them for themselves. A suit filed by the New York Attorney General is seeking to force such profits to be “disgorged” by the executives and returned to the companies involved.

This kind of unethical and perhaps illegal behavior may help to explain IPO underpricing and “money left on the table.” An executive might be more interested in getting a future hot IPO allocation than in whether or not the company gets the best terms from its investment bank. This situation would be exacerbated if the investment banks’ analysts overstated prospects for the company and thereby pumped up its price just prior to the time when executives were to receive and exercise stock options.

In summary, we have a hard time justifying IPO underpricing during the late 1990s on rational economic grounds. People have come up with explanations for why companies let their investment banks price their stocks too low in IPOs, but those reasons seem rather weak. However, when coupled with what may have been a kickback scheme, the underpricing may make somewhat more sense. Before closing, though, we should make it clear that relatively few corporate executives were corrupt. However, just as one rotten apple can spoil a barrel of apples, a few bad executives, combined with lax regulation, can help a bad practice become “the industry standard” and thus become widespread.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the difference between “best efforts” and “underwriting”?  
What are some SEC regulations regarding sales of new securities?  
What is a roadshow? What is book-building?  
What is underpricing? Leaving money on the table?  
What are some of the costs of going public?

**EQUITY CARVE-OUTS: A SPECIAL TYPE OF IPO**

A few years ago, Condec Corporation sold to the public about 20 percent of the equity in its wholly owned subsidiary, Unimation Inc. In this transaction, the subsidiary, like the parent, became publicly owned, but the parent retained full control of the subsidiary by retaining about 80 percent of the subsidiary’s common stock. (Parent companies typically retain at least 80 percent of the subsidiary’s common stock to preserve their ability to file a consolidated tax return.) This type of transaction is called an **equity carve-out** (or **partial public offering**, or **spin-out**). The market’s response to Condec’s carve-out announcement was very positive—the stock price rose 19 percent after correcting for the overall movement in the market.7 Equity carve-outs raise an interesting question: Why do carve-out announcements typically result in stock price increases while the announcements of new stock issues by parent corporations generally decrease stock prices?

---

One possible answer is that carve-outs facilitate the evaluation of corporate growth opportunities on a line-of-business basis. Thus, Condec, a conglomerate operating mostly in the defense industry, enabled investors to separately value its Unimation subsidiary, which manufactures industrial robots, by offering its stock to the public. Also, by creating a separate public market for Unimation’s common stock, Condec offered investors a “pure play” in robotics, a relatively scarce commodity.

Another advantage to carve-outs is that they improve the ability of the parent to offer incentives to a subsidiary’s managers. For example, McKesson Corporation, a $52 billion firm in the drug and health care industry, recently sold 17 percent of its Armor All subsidiary to the public. At the time, Neil Harlan, McKesson’s chairman, said that Armor All is “different than most of our operations. It is heavily marketing-driven and entrepreneurial in nature.” Creation of a public market for the shares of Armor All provided the opportunity for McKesson to offer incentive shares in the subsidiary to Armor All’s top managers. Such shares, which hinge directly on the market value of Armor All, are clearly a better inducement to superior performance than a compensation plan tied to the parent corporation’s stock price, since at the time Armor All accounted for only 2 percent of McKesson’s total sales.

Another potential advantage of carve-outs is that they can increase the effectiveness of capital allocation. Internally, the competition for capital is often waged on political rather than economic grounds, and thus the use, and hence value, of new capital is very uncertain. After a carve-out, it is easier to measure the cost of capital for the different business units, and this can improve the capital budgeting process. Also, by selling an ownership interest in a narrowly focused line of business rather than offering a stake in the conglomerate parent, management can reduce the uncertainty faced by investors. This can lower the cost of capital for the various units, and thus increase the aggregate value of the consolidated enterprise.

Equity carve-outs do have some associated costs. First, the underwriting commission involved in a carve-out is larger than for an equity offering by the parent. Second, because an equity carve-out is a type of initial public offering, there is a potential for underpricing the new offering. Third, key managers of the subsidiary must spend a significant amount of time marketing the new stock. Fourth, there are costs associated with the minority interest that is created in the carve-out. For example, the subsidiary’s new board of directors must monitor all transactions between the subsidiary and the parent to ensure that the minority investors are not being exploited. Finally, there are additional costs including annual reports, SEC filings, analyst presentations, and so on, that must now be borne by both the parent and the subsidiary.

In summary, there are costs to equity carve-outs, but there are also benefits, and the benefits may make the carve-out an attractive option in many situations. In essence, a carve-out is a form of corporate securitization, which is the issuance of public securities backed by assets that have been segregated from the remaining assets of the company. By creating such securities, and a liquid market for trading them, a corporation can potentially reduce investor risk and increase the value of the firm as a whole. We cover securitization in more depth later in the chapter.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain what is meant by an equity carve-out.

On average, equity carve-outs have increased shareholder wealth. What are some potential explanations for this observed phenomenon?
NON-IPO INVESTMENT BANKING ACTIVITIES

In addition to helping with IPOs, investment banks also help public companies raise additional debt and equity capital. As shown in Table 18-2, investment banks helped firms raise just over $5.69 trillion during 2004. In this section we describe some of the ways that investment banks and public companies work together to raise capital.

Preliminary Decisions

Before raising capital, the firm makes some initial, preliminary decisions, including the following:

1. **Dollars to be raised.** How much new capital is needed?
2. **Type of securities used.** Should common, preferred, bonds, hybrid securities, or a combination, be used? Further, if common stock is to be issued, should it be done as a rights offering or by a direct sale to the general public?
3. **Competitive bid versus a negotiated deal.** Should the company simply offer a block of its securities for sale to the highest bidder, or should it negotiate a deal with an investment bank? These two procedures are called competitive bids and negotiated deals, respectively. Only about 100 of the largest firms listed on the NYSE, whose securities are already well-known to the investment banking community, are in a position to use the competitive bidding process. The investment banks must do a great deal of investigative work (“due diligence”) to bid on an issue unless they are already quite familiar with the firm, and such costs would be too high to make it worthwhile unless the bank was sure of getting the deal. Therefore, except for the largest firms, offerings of stock and bonds are generally on a negotiated basis.
4. **Selection of an investment bank.** Most deals are negotiated, so the firm must select an investment bank. This can be an important decision for a firm that is going public. On the other hand, an older firm that has already “been to market” will have an established relationship with an investment bank. However, it is easy to change banks if the firm is dissatisfied. Different investment banking houses are better suited for different companies. For example, Goldman Sachs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manager</th>
<th>Proceeds (in billions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citigroup</td>
<td>$534.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>413.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Morgan</td>
<td>385.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch</td>
<td>374.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehman Brothers</td>
<td>369.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industry total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,693.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and Morgan Stanley Dean Witter are the leading tech-IPO underwriters. Investment banking houses sell new issues largely to their own regular brokerage customers, so the nature of these customers has a major effect on the ability of the house to do a good job for corporate issuers. Finally, a major factor in choosing an underwriter is the reputation of the analyst who will cover the stock in the secondary market, since a strong buy recommendation from a well-respected analyst can trigger a sharp price run-up.

**Private Placements**

In a **private placement**, securities are sold to one or a few investors, generally institutional investors. Private placements are most common with bonds, but they also occur with stocks. The primary advantages of private placements are (1) lower flotation costs and (2) greater speed, since the shares do not have to go through the SEC registration process.

The most common type of private placement occurs when a company places securities directly with a financial institution, often an insurance company or a pension fund. In fact, Prudential Insurance Company has begun sending salespeople to call on businesses—not to sell them policies, but to sell them on raising funds privately from Prudential. To illustrate a private placement, AT&T sold 6.3 million shares of common stock worth about $650 million to Capital Group Inc., a Los Angeles institutional investor that manages both mutual and pension funds. The transaction was a blow to three Wall Street firms, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter, Dillon Reed, and Goldman Sachs, which wanted to sell the stock in a conventional public offering. AT&T’s treasurer said selling the stock in a private placement saved about 2.5 percent, or $16.3 million, in underwriting expenses.

One type of private placement that is occurring with increasing frequency is when a large company makes an equity investment in a smaller supplier. For example, Compaq Computer, prior to its acquisition by Hewlett-Packard, and AMP Corporation each invested several million dollars in Intellon Corporation, a telecommunications equipment manufacturer. Intellon needed capital for expansion, and Compaq and AMP were both engaged in joint development ventures with Intellon and wanted it to be financially strong. Similar arrangements are quite common, and some of them go back many years. For example, Sears, Roebuck has for many years supplied equity capital to some of its major suppliers, including Johnson Controls, which furnished Sears with “Die-Hard” batteries, and DeSoto Chemical, which supplied most of the paints that Sears sells.

A potential disadvantage of a private placement is that if the securities are not registered with the SEC, they cannot be sold except to another large, “sophisticated” purchaser in the event the original buyer wants to sell them. However, many institutions meet this qualification so there is a large potential market for the securities. In addition, companies are increasingly choosing to register the securities they privately place to improve their marketability after placement. With improved marketability, private placements are becoming increasingly popular, and today they constitute almost 40 percent of all nonbank debt financing.

**Shelf Registrations**

The selling procedures described previously, including the 20-day waiting period after registration with the SEC, apply to most security sales. However, under the SEC’s Rule 415, large, well-known public companies that issue securities frequently may file a master registration statement with the SEC and then update it with a
short-form statement just prior to each individual offering. Under this procedure, a company can decide at 10 A.M. to sell securities and have the sale completed before noon. This procedure is known as shelf registration because, in effect, the company puts its new securities “on the shelf” and then sells them to investors when it feels the market is “right.” Firms with less than $150 million in stock held by outside investors cannot use shelf registrations. The rationale for this distinction is to protect investors who may not be able to get adequate financial data about a little-known company in the short time between announcement of a shelf issue and its sale. Shelf registrations have two advantages over standard registrations: (1) lower flotation costs and (2) more control over the timing of the issue.

### Seasoned Equity Offerings

When a company with publicly traded stock issues additional shares, this is called a seasoned equity offering, also known as a follow-on offering. Because the stock is already publicly traded, the offering price will be based upon the existing market price of the stock. Typically, the investment bank buys the securities at a prescribed number of points below the closing price on the last day of registration. For example, suppose that in August 2006, the stock of Microwave Telecommunications Inc. (MTI) had a price of $28.60 per share, and the stock had traded between $25 and $30 per share during the previous three months. Suppose further that MTI and its underwriter agreed that the investment bank would buy 10 million new shares at $1 per share below the closing price on the last day of registration. If the stock closed at $25 on the day the SEC released the issue, MTI would receive $24 per share. Typically, such agreements have an escape clause that provides for the contract to be voided if the price of the securities drops below some predetermined figure. In the illustrative case, this “upset” price might be set at $24 per share. Thus, if the closing price of the shares on the last day of registration had been $23.50, MTI would have had the option of withdrawing from the agreement.

The investment bank will have an easier job if the issue is priced relatively low. However, the issuer naturally wants as high a price as possible. A conflict of interest on price therefore arises between the investment bank and the issuer. If the issuer is financially sophisticated and makes comparisons with similar security issues, the investment bank will be forced to price close to the market.

As we discussed in Chapters 15 and 16, the announcement of a new stock offering by a mature firm is often taken as a negative signal—if the firm’s prospects were good, management would not want to issue new stock and thus share the rosy future with new stockholders. Therefore, the announcement of a new offering is taken as bad news. Consequently, the price will probably fall when the announcement is made, so the offering price will probably have to be set at a price below the preannouncement market price.

One final point is that if negative signaling effects drive down the price of the stock, all shares outstanding, not just the new shares, are affected. Thus, if MTI’s stock should fall from $28.60 to $25 per share as a result of the financing, and if the price remains at the new level, then the company would incur a loss of $3.60 on each of the 50 million shares previously outstanding, or a total market value loss of $180 million. This loss, like underwriting expenses, is a flotation cost, and it should be considered as a cost associated with the stock issue. However, if the company’s prospects really were poorer than investors thought, then the price decline would have occurred sooner or later anyway. On the other hand, if the company’s prospects are really not all that bad (the signal was incorrect), then over time MTI’s price should move back to its previous level. However, if the price
does revert to its former level, there will have been a transfer of wealth from the original shareholders to the new shareholders. To prevent this, companies often sell additional shares of stock through a rights offering, which we explain in the chapter’s Web Extension.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the difference between a competitive bid and a negotiated deal?

What is a private placement?

What is shelf registration?

**THE DECISION TO GO PRIVATE**

In a **going private** transaction, the entire equity of a publicly held firm is purchased by a small group of investors that usually includes the firm’s current senior management. In some of these transactions, the current management group acquires all of the equity of the company. In others, current management participates in the ownership with a small group of outside investors who typically place directors on the now-private firm’s board and arrange for the financing needed to purchase the publicly held stock. Such deals almost always involve substantial borrowing, often up to 90 percent, and thus are commonly known as **leveraged buyouts** (LBOs).

Regardless of the structure of the deal, going private initially affects the right-hand side of the balance sheet, the liabilities and capital, and not the assets—going private simply rearranges the ownership structure. Thus, going private involves no obvious operating economies, yet the new owners are generally willing to pay a large premium over the stock’s current price in order to take the firm private. For example, prior to its acquisition by Columbia, the managers of Hospital Corporation of America (HCA) paid $51 a share to outside (public) shareholders although the stock was selling for only about $31 before the LBO offer was made. It is hard to believe that the managers of a company, who have the best information about the firm’s potential profitability, would knowingly pay too much for the firm. Thus, HCA’s managers must have regarded the firm as being grossly undervalued or else thought that they could significantly boost the firm’s value under private ownership. This suggests that going private can increase the value of some firms sufficiently to enrich both managers and public stockholders. The primary advantages to going private are (1) administrative cost savings, (2) increased managerial incentives, (3) increased managerial flexibility, (4) increased shareholder participation, and (5) increased use of financial leverage, which of course reduces taxes. We discuss each of these advantages in more detail in the following paragraphs.

1. **Administrative cost savings.** Because going private takes the stock of a firm out of public hands, it saves on costs associated with securities registration, annual reports, SEC and exchange reporting, responding to stockholder inquiries, and so on. More important, the top managers of private firms are free from meetings with security analysts, government bodies, and other outside parties. Byron C. Radaker, CEO of Congoleum Corporation, a company that went private in the early 1980s, estimated the cost savings to his company from going private at between $6 million and $8 million per year.

---

8See Harry DeAngelo, Linda DeAngelo, and Edward M. Rice, “Going Private: The Effects of a Change in Corporate Ownership,” *Midland Corporate Finance Journal*, Summer 1984, pp. 35–43, for a more complete discussion of going private. The discussion in this section draws heavily from their work.
2. **Increased managerial incentives.** An even larger potential gain comes from the improvement in incentives for high-level managerial performance. Their increased ownership means that the firm’s managers benefit more directly from their own efforts; hence managerial efficiency tends to increase after going private. If the firm is highly successful, its managers can easily see their personal net worth increase ten- to twenty-fold, while if the firm fails, its managers end up with nothing. Further, a highly leveraged position tends to drive the firm toward the extremes—large losses or large profits. The managers of companies that have gone through an LBO tell us that heavy interest payments, combined with a knowledge that success will bring great wealth, does a lot to cut fat and improve decisions.

3. **Increased managerial flexibility.** Another source of value stems from the increased flexibility available to managers of private firms. These managers do not have to worry about what a drop in next quarter’s earnings will do to the firm’s stock price; hence they can focus on long-term, strategic actions that ultimately will have the greatest positive impact on the firm’s value. Managerial flexibility concerning asset sales is also greater in a private firm, since such sales do not have to be justified to a large number of shareholders with potentially diverse interests.

4. **Increased shareholder participation.** Going private typically results in replacing a dispersed, largely passive group of public shareholders with a small group of investors who take a much more active role in managing the firm. These new equity investors have a substantial position in the private firm; hence they have a greater motivation to monitor management and to provide incentives to management than do the typical stockholders of a public corporation. Further, the new nonmanagement equity investors, such as Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Company (KKR), are typically represented on the board, and they bring both sophisticated financial expertise and hard-nosed attitudes to the new firm. These outsiders don’t have good friends running money-losing divisions, so they are more willing to force major operating changes than are entrenched managers. For example, within a few weeks after KKR won the battle for RJR Nabisco, the much touted but unprofitable Premier “smokeless” cigarette project was abandoned.

5. **Increased financial leverage.** Going private usually entails a drastic increase in the firm’s use of debt financing, which has two effects. First, the firm’s taxes are reduced because of the increase in deductible interest payments, so more of the operating income flows through to investors. Second, the increased debt servicing requirements force managers to hold costs down to ensure that the firm has sufficient cash flow to meet its obligations—a highly leveraged firm simply cannot afford any fat.

One might ask why all firms are not privately held. The answer is that while there are real benefits to private ownership, there are also benefits to being publicly owned. Most notably, public corporations have access to large amounts of equity capital on favorable terms, and for most companies, the advantage of access to public capital markets dominates the advantages of going private. Also, note that most companies that go private end up going public again after several years of operation as private firms. For example, HCA, which went private in 1987, again went public in 1992. During the private phase, management sheds inefficient businesses, cuts costs throughout the corporation, and, generally, rationalizes operations. These actions increase the value of the firm to investors. Once the company has been “straightened up,” going public allows the private equity holders to recover their investment, take their profit, and move on to new ventures.
Note too that the examples set by LBO companies are not lost on companies that maintain their publicly owned status. Thus, companies such as Phillips Petroleum and Union Carbide have changed their operations to the point where they resemble LBO companies. This has increased their value and thus made them less attractive to KKR and other LBO specialists, and this has benefited both managers and shareholders.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is meant by the term “going private”?
What are the main benefits of going private?
Why don’t all firms go private to capture these benefits?

MANAGING THE MATURITY STRUCTURE OF DEBT

Chapters 15 and 16 described the capital structure decision. But after a firm chooses the total amount of debt in its capital structure, it must still choose the maturities of the various securities that make up its debt. The following sections explain the factors associated with the choice of maturity structure.

**Maturity Matching**

Assume that Consolidated Tools, a Cincinnati machine tool manufacturer, made the decision to float a $25 million nonconvertible bond issue to help finance its 2007 capital budget. It must choose a maturity for the issue, taking into consideration the shape of the yield curve, management’s own expectations about future interest rates, and the maturity of the assets being financed. To illustrate how asset maturities affect the choice of debt maturities, suppose Consolidated’s capital projects consist primarily of new milling machinery. This machinery has an expected economic life of 10 years (even though it falls into the MACRS 5-year class life). Should Consolidated use debt with a 5-year, 10-year, 20-year, 30-year, or some other maturity?

Note that some of the new capital will come from common equity, which is permanent capital. On the other hand, debt maturities can be specified at the time of issue. If Consolidated financed its capital budget with 10-year sinking fund bonds, it would be matching asset and liability maturities. The cash flows resulting from the new machinery could be used to make the interest and sinking fund payments on the issue, so the bonds would be retired as the machinery wore out. If Consolidated used 1-year debt, it would have to pay off this debt with cash flows derived from assets other than the machinery in question. Conversely, if it used 20-year or 30-year debt, it would have to service the debt long after the assets that were purchased with the funds had been scrapped and had ceased providing cash flows. This would worry lenders.

Of course, the 1-year debt could probably be rolled over year after year, out to the 10-year asset maturity. However, if interest rates rose, Consolidated would have to pay a higher rate when it rolled over its debt, and if the company experienced difficulties, it might not be able to refund the debt at any reasonable rate.

For all these reasons, the best all-around financing strategy is to match debt maturities with asset maturities. In recognition of this fact, firms generally place great emphasis on maturity matching, and this factor often dominates the debt maturity decision.

Some firms use zero coupon bonds as a tool in matching maturities. We explain these bonds in the chapter’s Web Extension.
Effects of Interest Rate Levels and Forecasts

Financial managers also consider interest rate levels and forecasts, both absolute and relative, when making financing decisions. For example, if long-term interest rates are high by historical standards and are expected to fall, managers will be reluctant to issue long-term debt, locking in those costs for long periods. We already know that one solution to this problem is to use a call provision—callability permits refunding should interest rates drop. However, there is a cost, because of the call premium and also because the firm must set a higher coupon on callable debt. Floating rate debt could be used, but another alternative would be to finance with short-term debt whenever long-term rates are historically high, and then, assuming that interest rates subsequently fall, sell a long-term issue to replace the short-term debt. Of course, this strategy has its risks: If interest rates move even higher, the firm will be forced to renew its short-term debt at higher and higher rates, or to replace the short-term debt with a long-term bond that costs even more than it would have when the original decision was made.

We could argue that capital markets are efficient, hence that it is impossible to predict what future interest rates will be because these rates will be determined by information that is not now known. Thus, under the efficient markets hypothesis, it would be unproductive for firms to try to “beat the market” by forecasting future capital costs and then acting on these forecasts. According to this view, financial managers ought to arrange their capital structures in such a manner that they can ride out almost any economic storm, and this generally calls for (1) using some “reasonable” mix of debt and equity and (2) using debt with maturities that more or less match the maturities of the assets being financed.

Information Asymmetries

In Chapter 4, we discussed bond ratings and the effects of changes in ratings on the cost and availability of capital. If a firm’s current financial condition is poor, its managers may be reluctant to issue new long-term debt because (1) a new debt issue would probably trigger a review by the rating agencies, and (2) debt issued when a firm is in poor financial shape would probably cost more and be subject to more severe restrictive covenants than debt issued from strength. Further, in Chapters 15 and 16 we pointed out that firms are reluctant to use new common stock financing, especially when this might be taken as a negative signal. Thus, a firm that is in a weakened condition, but whose internal forecasts indicate greater financial strength in the future, would be inclined to delay long-term financing of any type until things improved. Such a firm would be motivated to use short-term debt even to finance long-term assets, with the expectation of replacing the short-term debt in the future with cheaper, higher-rated long-term debt.

Conversely, a firm that is strong now but that forecasts a potentially bad time in the period just ahead would be motivated to finance long term now rather than to wait. Each of these scenarios implies either that the capital markets are inefficient or that investors do not have the same information regarding the firm’s future as does its financial manager. The second situation is undoubtedly true at times, and the first one possibly is true at times.

The firm’s earnings outlook, and the extent to which forecasted higher earnings per share are reflected in stock prices, also has an effect on the choice of securities. If a successful R&D program has just been concluded, and as a result management forecasts higher earnings than do most investors, then the firm would not want to issue common stock. It would use debt and then, once earnings rise and push up the stock price, sell common to restore the capital structure to its target level.
**Amount of Financing Required**

Obviously, the amount of financing required will influence the financing decision. This is mainly due to flotation costs. A $5 million debt financing, which is small in Wall Street terms, would most likely be done with a term loan or a privately placed bond issue, while a firm seeking $2 billion of new debt would most likely use a public offering of long-term bonds.

**Availability of Collateral**

Generally, secured debt is less costly than unsecured debt. Thus, firms with large amounts of marketable fixed assets are likely to use a relatively large amount of long-term debt, especially mortgage bonds. Additionally, each year’s financing decision would be influenced by the amount of qualified assets available as security for new bonds.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are some factors that financial managers consider when choosing the maturity structure of their debt?

How do information asymmetries affect financing decisions?

**REFUNDING OPERATIONS**

A great deal of corporate debt was sold during the late 1980s at interest rates in the 9 to 12 percent range. Because the call protection on much of this debt has ended, and because interest rates have fallen since the debt was issued, many companies are analyzing the pros and cons of bond refundings. Refunding decisions actually involve two separate questions: (1) Is it profitable to call an outstanding issue in the current period and replace it with a new issue; and (2) even if refunding is currently profitable, would the firm’s expected value be increased even more if the refunding were postponed to a later date? We consider both questions in this section.

Note that the decision to refund a security is analyzed in much the same way as a capital budgeting expenditure. The costs of refunding (the investment outlays) include (1) the call premium paid for the privilege of calling the old issue, (2) the costs of selling the new issue, (3) the tax savings from writing off the unexpensed flotation costs on the old issue, and (4) the net interest that must be paid while both issues are outstanding (the new issue is often sold prior to the refunding to ensure that the funds will be available). The annual cash flows, in a capital budgeting sense, are the interest payments that are saved each year plus the net tax savings that the firm receives for amortizing the flotation expenses. For example, if the interest expense on the old issue is $1,000,000, whereas that on the new issue is $700,000, the $300,000 reduction in interest savings constitutes an annual benefit.9

The net present value method is used to analyze the advantages of refunding: the future cash flows are discounted back to the present, and then this discounted

---

9During the early 1980s, there was a flurry of work on the pros and cons of refunding bond issues that had fallen to deep discounts as a result of rising interest rates. At such times, the company could go into the market, buy its debt at a low price, and retire it. The difference between the bonds’ par value and the price the company paid would be reported as income, and taxes would have to be paid on it. The results of the research on the refunding of discount issues suggest that bonds should not, in general, be refunded after a rise in rates. See Andrew J. Kalotay, "On the Structure and Valuation of Debt Refundings," *Financial Management*, Spring 1982, pp. 41–42; and Robert S. Harris, "The Refunding of Discounted Debt: An Adjusted Present Value Analysis," *Financial Management*, Winter 1980, pp. 7–12.
value is compared with the cash outlays associated with the refunding. The firm should refund the bond only if the present value of the savings exceeds the cost—that is, if the NPV of the refunding operation is positive.

In the discounting process, the after-tax cost of the new debt, \( r_d \), should be used as the discount rate. The reason is that there is relatively little risk to the savings—cash flows in a refunding decision are known with relative certainty, which is quite unlike the situation with cash flows in most capital budgeting decisions.

The easiest way to examine the refunding decision is through an example. Microchip Computer Company has a $60 million bond issue outstanding that has a 12 percent annual coupon interest rate and 20 years remaining to maturity. This issue, which was sold five years ago, had flotation costs of $3 million that the firm has been amortizing on a straight-line basis over the 25-year original life of the issue. The bond has a call provision that makes it possible for the company to retire the issue at this time by calling the bonds in at a 10 percent call premium. Investment banks have assured the company that it could sell an additional $60 million to $70 million worth of new 20-year bonds at an interest rate of 9 percent. To ensure that the funds required to pay off the old debt will be available, the new bonds will be sold one month before the old issue is called, so for one month, interest will have to be paid on two issues. Current short-term interest rates are 6 percent. Predictions are that long-term interest rates are unlikely to fall below 9 percent. Flotation costs on a new refunding issue will amount to $2,650,000, and the firm’s marginal federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. Should the company refund the $60 million of 12 percent bonds?

The following steps outline the decision process; they are summarized in the spreadsheet in Table 18-3. This spreadsheet is part of the spreadsheet model, IFM9 Ch18 Tool Kit.xls, developed for this chapter. Click on the “Bond Refunding” tab at the bottom of the chapter model to view the bond refunding model. The range of cells from A15 through H21 shows input data needed for the analysis, which were just discussed.

**Step 1: Determine the Investment Outlay Required to Refund the Issue**

**Row 26. Call premium on old issue:**

\[
\text{Before tax: } 0.10(60,000,000) = 6,000,000 \\
\text{After tax: } 6,000,000(1 - T) = 6,000,000(0.6) \\
\text{= } 3,600,000
\]

Although Microchip must spend $6 million on the call premium, this is a deductible expense in the year the call is made. Because the company is in the 40 percent tax bracket, it saves $2.4 million in taxes; therefore, the after-tax cost of the call is only $3.6 million. This amount is shown in Row 26 of Table 18-3.

**Row 27. Flotation costs on new issue:** Flotation costs on the new issue will be $2,650,000. This amount cannot be expensed for tax purposes, so it provides no immediate tax benefit.

---

10The firm’s management has estimated that interest rates will probably remain at their present level of 9 percent or else rise; there is only a 25 percent probability that they will fall further.
Tactical Financing Decisions

Row 28. Flotation costs on old issue: The old issue has an unamortized flotation cost of \( \frac{20}{25}(\$3,000,000) = \$2,400,000 \) at this time. If the issue is retired, the unamortized flotation cost may be recognized immediately as an expense, thus creating an after-tax savings of \( \$2,400,000(T) = \$960,000 \). Because this is a cash inflow, it is shown as a positive number in Row 28.

Rows 29 and 30. Additional interest: One month’s “extra” interest on the old issue, after taxes, costs \( \$360,000 \):
However, the proceeds from the new issue can be invested in short-term securities for one month. Thus, $60 million invested at a rate of 6 percent will return $180,000 in after-tax interest:

\[
\text{(Dollar amount)(1/12 of 6\%)(1 - T) = Interest earned}
\]
\[
($60,000,000)(0.005)(0.6) = $180,000
\]

The net after-tax additional interest cost is thus $180,000:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid on old issue</td>
<td>($360,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest earned on short-term securities</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net additional interest</td>
<td>($180,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures are reflected in Rows 29 and 30 of Table 18-3.

**Row 31. Total after-tax investment:** The total investment outlay required to refund the bond issue, which will be financed by debt, is thus $5,470,000.\(^{11}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call premium</td>
<td>($3,600,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation costs, new</td>
<td>(2,650,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation costs, old, tax savings</td>
<td>960,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net additional interest</td>
<td>(180,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investment</td>
<td>($5,470,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This total is shown in Row 31 of Table 18-3.

**Step 2: Calculate the Annual Flotation Cost Tax Effects**

**Row 34. Tax savings on flotation costs on the new issue:** For tax purposes, flotation costs must be amortized over the life of the new bond, or for 20 years. Therefore, the annual tax deduction is

\[
\frac{$2,650,000}{20} = $132,500
\]

Since our spreadsheet shows dollars in thousands, this number appears as 133 on the spreadsheet. Because the firm is in the 40 percent tax bracket, it has a tax savings of $132,500(0.4) = $53,000 a year for 20 years. This is an annuity of $53,000 for 20 years, and it is shown in Row 34.

**Row 35. Tax benefits lost on flotation costs on the old issue:** The firm, however, will no longer receive a tax deduction of $120,000 a year for 20 years, so it loses an after-tax benefit of $48,000 a year. This is shown in Row 35.

---

\(^{11}\)The investment outlay (in this case, $5,470,000) is usually obtained by increasing the amount of the new bond issue. In the example given, the new issue would be $65,470,000. However, the interest on the additional debt should not be deducted at Step 3 because the $5,470,000 itself will be deducted at Step 4. If additional interest on the $5,470,000 were deducted at Step 3, interest would, in effect, be deducted twice. The situation here is exactly like that in regular capital budgeting decisions. Even though some debt may be used to finance a project, interest on that debt is not subtracted when developing the annual cash flows. Rather, the annual cash flows are discounted at the project’s cost of capital.
**Row 36.** *Net amortization tax effect:* The after-tax difference between the amortization tax effects of flotation on the new and old issues is $5,000 a year for 20 years. This is shown in Row 36.

**Step 3: Calculate the Annual Interest Savings**

**Row 39.** *Interest on old bond, after tax:* The annual after-tax interest on the old issue is $4.32 million:

\[
(\$60,000,000)(0.12)(0.6) = 4,320,000
\]

This is shown in Row 39 of Table 18-3.

**Row 40.** *Interest on new bond, after tax:* The new issue has an annual after-tax cost of $3,240,000:

\[
(\$60,000,000)(0.09)(0.6) = 3,240,000
\]

This is shown in Row 40.

**Row 41.** *Net annual interest savings:* Thus, the net annual interest savings is $1,080,000:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Interest on old bonds, after tax} & = 4,320,000 \\
\text{Interest on new bonds, after tax} & = (3,240,000) \\
\text{Annual interest savings, after tax} & = 1,080,000 \\
\end{align*}
\]

This is shown in Row 41.

**Step 4: Determine the NPV of the Refunding**

**Row 54.** *PV of the benefits:* The PV of the annual after-tax flotation cost benefit of $5,000 a year for 20 years is $60,251, and the PV of the $1,080,000 annual after-tax interest savings for 20 years is $13,014,216.\(^{12}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PV} & = 5,000(PVIFA_{5.4\%,20}) \\
& = 5,000(12.0502) \\
& = 60,251 \\
\text{PV} & = 1,080,000(PVIFA_{5.4\%,20}) \\
& = 1,080,000(12.0502) \\
& = 13,014,216
\end{align*}
\]

These values are used in Row 60 when finding the NPV of the refunding operation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amortization tax effects</th>
<th>$60,251</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest savings</td>
<td>13,014,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment outlay</td>
<td>(5,470,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV from refunding</td>
<td>$7,604,467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{12}\)The PVIFA for 5.4 percent over 20 years is 12.0502, found with a financial calculator. Note that the spreadsheet uses Excel’s PV function to solve for the present values of the annual flotation cost and interest savings.
Because the net present value of the refunding is positive, it would be profitable to refund the old bond issue.

We can summarize the data shown in Table 18-3 using a time line (amounts in thousands) as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5.4%</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After-tax investment</td>
<td>-5,470</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flotation cost tax effects</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest savings</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flows</td>
<td>-5,470</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV_{5.4%} = $7,604

Several other points should be made. First, because the cash flows are based on differences between contractual obligations, their risk is the same as that of the underlying obligations. Therefore, the present values of the cash flows should be found by discounting at the firm’s least risky rate—its after-tax cost of marginal
debt. Second, since the refunding operation is advantageous to the firm, it must be disadvantageous to bondholders; they must give up their 12 percent bonds and reinvest in new ones yielding 9 percent. This points out the danger of the call provision to bondholders, and it also explains why noncallable bonds command higher prices than callable bonds. Third, although it is not emphasized in the example, we assumed that the firm raises the investment required to undertake the refunding operation (the $5,470,000 shown in Row 31 of Table 18-3) as debt. This should be feasible because the refunding operation will improve the interest coverage ratio, even though a larger amount of debt is outstanding. Fourth, we set up our example in such a way that the new issue had the same maturity as the remaining life of the old one. Often, the old bonds have a relatively short time to maturity (say, 5 to 10 years), whereas the new bonds have a much longer maturity (say, 25 to 30 years). In such a situation, the analysis should be set up similarly to a replacement chain analysis in capital budgeting, which was discussed in Chapter 12. Fifth, refunding decisions are well suited for analysis with a computer spreadsheet program. Spreadsheets such as the one shown in Table 18-3 are easy to set up, and once the model has been constructed, it is easy to vary the assumptions (especially the assumption about the interest rate on the refunding issue), and to see how such changes affect the NPV.

One final point should be addressed: Although our analysis shows that the refunding would increase the firm’s value, would refunding at this time truly maximize the firm’s expected value? If interest rates continue to fall, the company might be better off waiting, for this would increase the NPV of the refunding operation even more. The mechanics of calculating the NPV in a refunding are easy, but the decision of when to refund is not simple at all because it requires a forecast of future interest rates. Thus, the final decision on refunding now versus waiting for a possibly more favorable time is a judgmental decision.

To illustrate the timing decision, assume that Microchip’s managers forecast that long-term interest rates have a 50 percent probability of remaining at their present level of 9 percent over the next year. However, there is a 25 percent probability that rates could fall to 7 percent, and a 25 percent probability that they could rise to 11 percent. Further, assume that short-term rates are expected to remain three percentage points below long-term rates, and that the call premium would be reduced by one-twentieth if the call were delayed for one year.

The refunding analysis could then be repeated, as previously, but assuming it would take place one year from now. Thus, the old bonds would have only 19 years remaining to maturity. We performed the analysis and found the NPV distribution of refunding one year from now:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Long-Term Interest Rate</th>
<th>NPV of Refunding One Year from Now</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$17,947,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7,390,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(1,359,939)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13See Aharon R. Ofer and Robert A. Taggart, Jr., “Bond Refunding: A Clarifying Analysis,” *Journal of Finance*, March 1977, pp. 21–30, for a discussion of how the method of financing the refunding affects the analysis. Ofer and Taggart prove that if the refunding investment outlay is to be raised as common equity, the before-tax cost of debt is the proper discount rate, whereas if these funds are to be raised as debt, the after-tax cost of debt is the proper discount rate. Since a profitable refunding will virtually always raise the firm’s debt-carrying capacity (because total interest charges after the refunding will be lower than before it), it is more logical to use debt than either equity or a combination of debt and equity to finance the operation. Therefore, firms generally do use additional debt to finance refunding operations.
At first blush, it would seem reasonable to calculate the expected NPV of refunding next year in terms of the probability distribution. However, that would not be correct. If interest rates did rise to 11 percent, Microchip would not refund the issue; therefore, the actual NPV if rates rise to 11 percent would be zero. The expected NPV from refunding one year hence is, therefore, 0.25($17,947,071) + 0.50($7,390,083) + 0.25($0) = $8,181,809 versus $7,604,425 if refunding occurred today.

Even though the expected NPV of refunding in one year is higher, Microchip’s managers would probably decide to refund today. The $7,604,425 represents a sure increase in firm value, whereas the $8,181,809 is only an expected increase. Also, proper comparison requires that the $8,181,809 be discounted back one year to today. Microchip’s managers should opt to delay refunding only if the expected NPV from later refunding is sufficiently above today’s sure NPV to compensate for the risk and time value involved.

Clearly, the decision to refund now versus refund later is complicated by the fact that there would be numerous opportunities to refund in the future rather than just a single opportunity one year from now. Furthermore, the decision must be based on a large set of interest rate forecasts, a daunting task in itself. Fortunately, financial managers making bond refunding decisions can now use the values of derivative securities to estimate the value of the bond issue’s embedded call option. If the call option is worth more than the NPV of refunding today, the issue should not be immediately refunded. Rather, the issuer should either delay the refunding to take advantage of the information obtained from the derivative market or actually create a derivative transaction to lock in the value of the call option.14

**Self-Test Question**

How is bond refunding like a capital budgeting project?

### MANAGING THE RISK STRUCTURE OF DEBT

There are several techniques that firms use to manage the risk of their debt, including project financing and securitization.

#### Project Financing

Historically, many large projects such as the Alaska pipeline have been financed by what is called project financing.15 We can only present an overview of the concept, for in practice it involves very complicated provisions and can take many forms.

Project financing has been used to finance energy explorations, oil tankers, refineries, and electric generating plants. Generally, one or more firms will sponsor the project, putting up the required equity capital, while the remainder of the financing is furnished by lenders or lessors.16 Most often, a separate legal entity is

---

14For more information on derivatives in general, see Chapter 24. For more information on the use of derivatives to help make call decisions, see Andrew J. Kalotay and George O. Williams, “How to Succeed in Derivatives without Really Buying,” *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, Fall 1993, pp. 100–103.


16A lessor is an individual or firm that owns buildings and equipment and then leases them to another firm. Leasing is discussed in Chapter 19.
formed to operate the project. Normally, the project’s creditors do not have full
recourse against the sponsors. In other words, the lenders and lessors must be paid
from the project’s cash flows, plus the sponsors’ equity in the project, because the
creditors have no claims against the sponsors’ other assets or cash flows. Often the
sponsors write “comfort” letters, giving general assurances that they will strive di-

gently to make the project successful. However, these letters are not legally binding,
so in project financing the lenders and lessors must focus their analysis on the
inherent merits of the project plus the equity cushion provided by the sponsors.17

Project financing is not a new development. Indeed, back in 1299, the English
Crown negotiated a loan with Florentine merchant banks that was to be repaid
with one year’s output from the Devon silver mines. Essentially, the Italians were
allowed to operate the mines for one year, paying all the operating costs and min-
ing as much ore as they could. The Crown made no guarantees as to how much
ore could be mined, or the value of the refined silver. A more current example
involved GE Capital, the credit arm of General Electric, which recently financed a
$72 million project to build an aluminum can plant. The plant is owned by several
beverage makers, but it is operated independently, and GE Capital must depend
on the cash flows from the plant to repay the loan. About half of all project
financings in recent years have been for electric generating plants, including both
plants owned by electric utilities and cogeneration plants operated by industrial
companies. Project financings are generally characterized by large size and a high
degree of complexity. However, because project financing is tied to a specific proj-
et, it can be tailored to meet the specific needs of both the creditors and the spon-
sors. In particular, the financing can be structured so that both the funds provided
during the construction phase and the subsequent repayments match the timing of
the project’s projected cash outflows and inflows.

Project financing offers several potential benefits over conventional debt
financing. For one, project financing usually restricts the usage of the project’s
cash flows, which means that the lenders, rather than the managers, can decide
whether to reinvest excess cash flows or to use them to reduce the loan balance by
more than the minimum required. Conferring this power on the lenders reduces
their risks. Project financings also have advantages for borrowers. First, because
risks to the lenders are reduced, the interest rate built into a project financing deal
may be relatively low. Second, since suppliers of project financing capital have no
recourse against the sponsoring firms’ other assets and cash flows, project financ-
ings insulate the firms’ other assets from risks associated with the project being
financed. Managers may be more willing to take on a very large, risky project if
they know that the company’s existence would not be threatened if it fails.

Project financings increase the number and type of investment opportunities;
hence they make capital markets “more complete.” At the same time, project
financings reduce the costs to investors of obtaining information and monitoring
the borrower’s operations. To illustrate, consider an oil and gas exploration
project that is funded using project financing. If the project were financed as an
integral part of the firm’s normal operations, investors in all the firm’s outstanding

17In another type of project financing, each sponsor guarantees its share of the project’s debt obligations. Here the cred-
itors would also consider the creditworthiness of the sponsors in addition to the project’s own prospects. It should be
noted that project financing with multiple sponsors in the electric utility industry has led to problems when one or more
of the sponsors has gotten into financial trouble. For example, Long Island Lighting, one of the sponsors in the Nine Mile
Point nuclear project, became unable to meet its commitments to the project, which forced other sponsors to shoulder an
additional burden or else see the project cancelled and lose all their investment up to that point. Utility executives have
stated that this default, and others, will make companies reluctant to enter into similar projects in the future.
securities would need information on the project. By isolating the project, the need for information is confined to the investors in the project financing, and they need to monitor only the project’s operations, and not those of the entire firm.

Project financings also permit firms whose earnings are below the minimum requirements specified in their existing bond indentures to obtain additional debt financing. In such situations, lenders look only at the merits of the new project, and its cash flows may support additional debt even though the firm’s overall situation does not. Project financings also permit managers to reveal proprietary information to a smaller group of investors; hence project financings increase the ability of a firm to maintain confidentiality. Finally, project financings can improve incentives for key managers by enabling them to take direct ownership stakes in the operations under their control. By establishing separate projects, companies can provide incentives that are much more directly based upon individual performance than is typically possible within a large corporation.

Securitization

As the term is generally used, a security refers to a publicly traded financial instrument, as opposed to a privately placed instrument. Thus, securities have greater liquidity than otherwise similar instruments that are not traded in an open market. In recent years, procedures have been developed to securitize various types of debt instruments, thus increasing their liquidity, lowering the cost of capital to borrowers, and generally increasing the efficiency of the financial markets.

Securitization has occurred in two major ways. First, some debt instruments that were formerly rarely traded are now actively traded, with the change being due to decisions by certain financial institutions to “make a market,” which means to stand willing to buy or sell the security, and to hold an inventory of the security in order to balance buy and sell orders. This occurred many years ago in the case of common stocks and investment-grade bonds. More recently, it occurred in the commercial paper market, in which large, financially strong firms issue short-term, unsecured debt in lieu of obtaining bank loans. The commercial paper

Asset securitization was already booming, with bonds being backed by mortgages, car loans, credit cards, and student loans. But David Bowie juiced it up even more by issuing Bowie Bonds, which are backed by future royalties on more than 250 of his older songs. These bonds have a 10-year maturity and pay an interest rate of 7.9 percent. With a total issue size of $55 million, that amounts to a whopping $4.345 million in interest payments each year. At the time of issue, Moody's Investor Service was bullish on Bowie, since they gave his issue a rating of A3, high enough that the bonds were issued with an interest rate only 1.53 percentage points higher than 10-year Treasury bonds. However, in response to declining industry sales, Moody's downgraded the bonds to Baa3 in 2004.

market has grown from about $50 billion outstanding in the mid-1970s to over $1.6 trillion today, and this market permits large, strong firms to finance their working capital needs at lower cost than with bank loans.

Another example of securitization is the junk bond market. Before this market developed, firms with poor credit were forced to obtain debt financing on a private placement basis, typically from the firm’s bank. It was difficult for firms to shop around for the best rate, because lenders who were not familiar with them were unwilling to spend the time and money necessary to determine the feasibility of the loan. Moreover, lenders were concerned about (and hence charged a higher rate for) the illiquidity of privately placed debt. Then, Michael Milken developed procedures for analyzing the repayment feasibility of junk bonds, and Drexel Burnham Lambert put its reputation and credibility behind these issues and made a market for them in case a purchaser needed to cash out. Subsequently, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter, Merrill Lynch, Salomon Smith Barney, and the other major investment banks entered the junk bond market, and today they have “securitized” much of the old private placement market for below-investment-grade debt.

The second major development in securitization involves the pledging of specific assets, asset securitization, or the creation of asset-backed securities. The oldest type of asset securitization is the mortgage-backed bond. Here, individual home mortgages are combined into pools, and then bonds are created that use the pool of mortgages as collateral. The financial institution that originated the mortgage generally continues to act as the servicing agent, but the mortgage itself is sold to other investors. The securitization of mortgages has created a national mortgage market with many players, and this has benefited borrowers. The development has also benefited lenders, for the original lending institution no longer owns the relatively long-term mortgage; hence it is better able to match the maturity of its assets (loans) with its liabilities (deposit accounts). Today, many different types of assets are being used as collateral, including auto loans, credit card balances, and even the royalties from David Bowie’s music!

The asset securitization process involves the pooling and repackaging of loans secured by relatively homogeneous, small-dollar assets into liquid securities. In the past, such financing was provided by a single lending institution, which would write the loan, structure the terms, absorb the credit and interest rate risk, provide the capital, and service the collections. Under securitization, several different institutions are involved, with each playing a different functional role. A savings and loan might originate the loan, an investment bank might pool the loans and structure the security, a federal agency might insure against credit risk, a second investment bank might sell the securities, and a pension fund might supply the final capital.

The process of securitization has, in general, lowered costs and increased the availability of funds to borrowers, decreased risks to lenders, and created new investment opportunities for many investors. With these potential benefits, we predict that securitization will continue to expand in the future.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is project financing? What are its advantages and disadvantages?
What is securitization? What are its advantages to borrowers? What are its advantages to lenders?
SUMMARY

• The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulates securities markets.
• Private placements are securities offerings to a limited number of investors and are exempt from registration with the SEC.
• Accredited investors include the officers and directors of a company, high-wealth individuals, and institutional investors. These investors are eligible to buy securities in private placements.
• An angel is a wealthy individual who makes an equity investment in a startup company.
• The managers of a venture capital fund are called venture capitalists, or VCs. They raise money from investors and make equity investments in startup companies, called portfolio companies.
• Going public in an initial public offering (IPO) facilitates stockholder diversification, increases liquidity of the firm’s stock, makes it easier for the firm to raise capital, establishes a value for the firm, and makes it easier for a firm to sell its products. However, reporting costs are high, operating data must be disclosed, management self-dealings are harder to arrange, the price may sink to a low level if the stock is not traded actively, and public ownership may make it harder for management to maintain control.
• Investment banks assist in issuing securities by helping the firm determine the size of the issue and the type of securities to be used, by establishing the selling price, by selling the issue, and, in some cases, by maintaining an aftermarket for the stock.
• An investment bank may sell a security issue on a best efforts basis, or may guarantee the sale by underwriting the issue.
• Before an IPO, the investment bank and management team go on a roadshow and make presentations to potential institutional investors.
• An IPO is oversubscribed if investors are willing to purchase more shares than are being offered at the IPO price.
• The spread is the difference between the price at which an underwriter sells a security and the proceeds that the underwriter gives to the issuing company. In recent years the spread for almost all IPOs has been 7 percent.
• An equity carve-out (also called a partial public offering or spin-out) is a special IPO in which a publicly traded company converts a subsidiary into a separately traded public company by selling shares of stock in the subsidiary. The parent typically retains a controlling interest.
• SEC Rule 415, also known as shelf registration, allows a company to register an issue and then sell the issue in pieces over time rather than all at once.
• A seasoned equity offering occurs when a public company issues additional shares of stock.
• A company goes private when a small group of investors, including the firm’s senior management, purchases all of the equity in the company. Such deals usually involve high levels of debt, and are commonly called leveraged buyouts (LBOs).
• If a bond has a call provision, the issuer may refund (call) the bond prior to maturity and pay for it with a new debt issue at a lower interest rate.
• In project financing, the payments on debt are secured by the cash flows of a particular project.
• Asset securitization occurs when assets such as mortgages or credit card receivables are bundled together into a pool. Then bonds are created that use the payments into the pool to make interest and principal payments on the bonds.
QUESTIONS

18-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Going public; new issue market; initial public offering (IPO)
   b. Public offering; private placement
   c. Venture capitalists; roadshow; spread
   d. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); registration statement; shelf registration; margin requirement; insiders
   e. Prospectus; “red herring” prospectus
   f. National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD)
   g. Best efforts arrangement; underwritten arrangement
   h. Refunding; project financing; securitization; maturity matching

18-2 Is it true that the “flatter,” or more nearly horizontal, the demand curve for a particular firm’s stock, and the less important investors regard the signaling effect of the offering, the more important the role of investment banks when the company sells a new issue of stock?

18-3 The SEC attempts to protect investors who are purchasing newly issued securities by making sure that the information put out by a company and its investment banks is correct and not misleading. However, the SEC does not provide an opinion about the real value of the securities; hence, an investor might pay too much for some new stock and consequently lose heavily. Do you think the SEC should, as a part of every new stock or bond offering, render an opinion to investors on the proper value of the securities being offered? Explain.

18-4 How do you think each of the following items would affect a company’s ability to attract new capital and the flotation costs involved in doing so?
   a. A decision of a privately held company to go public.
   b. The increasing institutionalization of the “buy side” of the stock and bond markets.
   c. The trend toward “financial conglomerates” as opposed to stand-alone investment banking houses.
   d. Elimination of the preemptive right.
   e. The introduction of “shelf registrations” in 1981.

18-5 Before entering a formal agreement, investment banks carefully investigate the companies whose securities they underwrite; this is especially true of the issues of firms going public for the first time. Since the banks do not themselves plan to hold the securities but intend to sell them to others as soon as possible, why are they so concerned about making careful investigations?

PROBLEMS

18-1 Profit or Loss on New Stock Issue

Security Brokers Inc. specializes in underwriting new issues by small firms. On a recent offering of Beedles Inc., the terms were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price to public</th>
<th>$ 5 per share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of shares</td>
<td>3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds to Beedles</td>
<td>$14,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Security Brokers in the design and distribution of the issue were $300,000. What profit or loss would Security Brokers incur if the issue were sold to the public at an average price of
a. $5 per share?
b. $6 per share?
c. $4 per share?

The Beranek Company, whose stock price is now $25, needs to raise $20 million in common stock. Underwriters have informed the firm’s management that they must price the new issue to the public at $22 per share because of signaling effects. The underwriters’ compensation will be 5 percent of the issue price, so Beranek will net $20.90 per share. The firm will also incur expenses in the amount of $150,000.

How many shares must the firm sell to net $20 million after underwriting and flotation expenses?

The Edelman Gem Company, a small jewelry manufacturer, has been successful and has enjoyed a good growth trend. Now Edelman is planning to go public with an issue of common stock, and it faces the problem of setting an appropriate price on the stock. The company and its investment banks believe that the proper procedure is to select several similar firms with publicly traded common stock and to make relevant comparisons.

Several jewelry manufacturers are reasonably similar to Edelman with respect to product mix, asset composition, and debt/equity proportions. Of these companies, Kennedy Jewelers and Strasburg Fashions are most similar. When analyzing the following data, assume that 2001 and 2006 were reasonably “normal” years for all three companies—that is, these years were neither especially good nor especially bad in terms of sales, earnings, and dividends. At the time of the analysis, r_{RF} was 8 percent and R_{PM} was 4 percent. Kennedy is listed on the AMEX and Strasburg on the NYSE, while Edelman will be traded in the Nasdaq market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earnings per share (totals for Edelman)</th>
<th>Kennedy</th>
<th>Strasburg</th>
<th>Edelman (Totals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>816,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price per share (totals for Edelman)</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>420,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends per share (totals for Edelman)</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$9 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market/book ratio, 2006</td>
<td>120%</td>
<td>118%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets, 2006</td>
<td>$28 million</td>
<td>$82 million</td>
<td>$20 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt, 2006</td>
<td>$12 million</td>
<td>$30 million</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales, 2006</td>
<td>$41 million</td>
<td>$140 million</td>
<td>$37 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Assume that Edelman has 100 shares of stock outstanding. Use this information to calculate earnings per share (EPS), dividends per share (DPS), and book value per share for Edelman. (Hint: Edelman’s 2006 EPS = $12,000.)
b. Calculate earnings and dividend growth rates for the three companies. (Hint: Edelman’s EPS growth rate is 8 percent.)
c. On the basis of your answer to part a, do you think Edelman’s stock would sell at a price in the same “ballpark” as that of Kennedy and Strasburg, that is, in the range of $25 to $100 per share?

d. Assuming that Edelman’s management can split the stock so that the 100 shares could be changed to 1,000 shares, 100,000 shares, or any other number, would such an action make sense in this case? Why?

e. Now assume that Edelman did split its stock and has 400,000 shares. Calculate new values for EPS, DPS, and book value per share. (Hint: Edelman’s new 2006 EPS is $3.00.)

f. Return on equity (ROE) can be measured as EPS/book value per share or as total earnings/total equity. Calculate ROEs for the three companies for 2006. (Hint: Edelman’s 2006 ROE is 13.3 percent.)

g. Calculate dividend payout ratios for the three companies for both years. (Hint: Edelman’s 2006 payout ratio is 50 percent.)

h. Calculate debt/total assets ratios for the three companies for 2006. (Hint: Edelman’s 2006 debt ratio is 55 percent.)

i. Calculate the P/E ratios for Kennedy and Strasburg for 2006. Are these P/E ratios reasonable in view of relative growth, payout, and ROE data? If not, what other factors might explain them? (Hint: Kennedy’s P/E = 8×.)

j. Now determine a range of values for Edelman’s stock price, with 400,000 shares outstanding, by applying Kennedy’s and Strasburg’s P/E ratios, price/dividends ratios, and price/book value ratios to your data for Edelman. For example, one possible price for Edelman’s stock is (P/E Kennedy)(EPS Edelman) = 8($3) = $24 per share. Similar calculations would produce a range of prices based on both Kennedy’s and Strasburg’s data. (Hint: Our range was $24 to $27.)

k. Using the equation \( r_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g \), find approximate \( r_s \) values for Kennedy and Strasburg. Then use these values in the constant growth stock price model to find a price for Edelman’s stock. (Hint: We averaged the EPS and DPS g’s for Edelman.)

l. At what price do you think Edelman’s shares should be offered to the public? You will want to select a price that will be low enough to induce investors to buy the stock but not so low that it will rise sharply immediately after it is issued. Think about relative growth rates, ROEs, dividend yields, and total returns (\( r_s = \frac{D_1}{P_0} + g \)).

---

Jan Volk, financial manager of Green Sea Transport (GST), has been asked by her boss to review GST’s outstanding debt issues for possible bond refunding. Five years ago, GST issued $40,000,000 of 11 percent, 25-year debt. The issue, with semiannual coupons, is currently callable at a premium of 11 percent, or $110 for each $1,000 par value bond. Flotation costs on this issue were 6 percent, or $2,400,000.

Volk believes that GST could issue 20-year debt today with a coupon rate of 8 percent. The firm has placed many issues in the capital markets during the last 10 years, and its debt flotation costs are currently estimated to be 4 percent of the issue’s value. GST’s federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent.

Help Volk conduct the refunding analysis by answering the following questions:

a. What is the total dollar call premium required to call the old issue? Is it tax deductible? What is the net after-tax cost of the call?

b. What is the dollar flotation cost on the new issue? Is it immediately tax deductible? What is the after-tax flotation cost?

c. What amount of old issue flotation costs have not been expensed? Can these deferred costs be expensed immediately if the old issue is refunded? What is the value of the tax savings?
d. What is the net after-tax cash outlay required to refund the old issue?

e. What is the semiannual tax savings that arises from amortizing the flotation costs on the new issue? What is the forgone semiannual tax savings on the old issue flotation costs?

f. What is the semiannual after-tax interest savings that would result from the refunding?

g. Thus far, Volk has identified two future cash flows: (1) the net of new issue flotation cost tax savings and old issue flotation cost tax savings that are lost if refunding occurs and (2) after-tax interest savings. What is the sum of these two semiannual cash flows? What is the appropriate discount rate to apply to these future cash flows? (Hint: The PVIFA_{2.4\%,40} = 25.5309.)

h. What is the NPV of refunding? Should GST refund now or wait until later?

18-5

Refunding Analysis

Mullet Technologies is considering whether or not to refund a $75 million, 12 percent coupon, 30-year bond issue that was sold 5 years ago. It is amortizing $5 million of flotation costs on the 12 percent bonds over the issue’s 30-year life. Mullet’s investment banks have indicated that the company could sell a new 25-year issue at an interest rate of 10 percent in today’s market. Neither they nor Mullet's management anticipate that interest rates will fall below 10 percent any time soon, but there is a chance that rates will increase.

A call premium of 12 percent would be required to retire the old bonds, and flotation costs on the new issue would amount to $5 million. Mullet's marginal federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. The new bonds would be issued 1 month before the old bonds are called, with the proceeds being invested in short-term government securities returning 6 percent annually during the interim period.

a. Perform a complete bond refunding analysis. What is the bond refunding’s NPV?

b. What factors would influence Mullet’s decision to refund now rather than later?

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

18-6

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch18 P6 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 18-5, part a, using a spreadsheet model, and answer the following question:

c. At what interest rate on the new debt is the NPV of the refunding no longer positive?

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Randy’s, a family-owned restaurant chain operating in Alabama, has grown to the point where expansion throughout the entire Southeast is feasible. The proposed expansion would require the firm to raise about $15 million in new capital. Because Randy’s currently has a debt ratio of 50 percent, and also because the family members already have all their personal wealth invested in the company, the family would like to sell common stock to the public to raise the $15 million. However, the family does want to retain voting control. You have been asked to brief the family members on the issues involved by answering the following questions:

a. What agencies regulate securities markets?
b. How are startup firms usually financed?
c. Differentiate between a private placement and a public offering.
d. Why would a company consider going public? What are some advantages and disadvantages?
e. What are the steps of an initial public offering?
f. What criteria are important in choosing an investment bank?
g. Would companies going public use a negotiated deal or a competitive bid?
h. Would the sale be on an underwritten or best efforts basis?
i. Without actually doing any calculations, describe how the preliminary offering range for the price of an IPO would be determined.
j. What is a roadshow? What is book-building?
k. Describe the typical first-day return of an IPO and the long-term returns to IPO investors.
l. What are the direct and indirect costs of an IPO?
m. What are equity carve-outs?
n. In what other ways are investment banks involved in issuing securities?
o. What is meant by “going private”? What are some advantages and disadvantages?
p. How do companies manage the maturity structure of their debt?
q. Under what conditions would a firm exercise a bond call provision?
r. Explain how firms manage the risk structure of their debt with
   (1) Project financing.
   (2) Securitization.

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For a discussion of investment banking, see

For additional insights on the benefits of listing, see

Other good references on specific aspects of equity financing include the following:


For excellent discussions of the various procedures used to raise capital, see


*The Spring 1993 issue of Financial Management contains several articles on IPOs and LBOs. The Winter 1993 issue of the Journal of Applied Corporate Finance is devoted to the SEC and securities regulation.*

References on bond refunding include the following:


*The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.*

**Klein-Brigham Series:**

Firms generally own fixed assets and report them on their balance sheets, but it is the use of assets that is important, not their ownership per se. One way to obtain the use of facilities and equipment is to buy them, but an alternative is to lease them. Prior to the 1950s, leasing was generally associated with real estate—land and buildings. Today, however, it is possible to lease virtually any kind of fixed asset, and currently over 30 percent of all new capital equipment is financed through lease arrangements.¹

As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Differentiate between an operating lease, a capital (or financial) lease, and a sale and leaseback arrangement. How might investors be misled by firms that use lease financing extensively, and what did accountants do back in the 1970s in an effort to mitigate this problem?

2. What is a synthetic lease? How are such leases structured, and what is their primary purpose? Is it likely that the use of synthetic leases will increase or decrease?

3. How do IRS regulations affect leasing decisions?

4. Assuming that FASB Statement 13 is working as it is supposed to work, should traditional leasing arrangements enable a firm to use more financial leverage than it otherwise could? How did synthetic leases alter the situation? How do FASB Statement 13 and synthetic leases affect the rate at which cash flows are discounted in a lease analysis?

5. Define the term NAL as it is used in lease analysis, and then explain how the NAL is calculated.

6. Is leasing a zero sum game in the sense that any gain to the lessee is a cost to the lessor? If not, how might both parties gain from a lease transaction? In your answer, explain how the lessee and the lessor analyze the situation, why they might use different inputs in their analyses, and how those input differences could affect the outcome. The BOC model uses a “negotiation graph” to help tie things together.

THE TWO PARTIES TO LEASING

Lease transactions involve two parties: the lessor, who owns the property, and the lessee, who obtains use of the property in exchange for one or more lease, or rental, payments. (Note that the term lessee is pronounced “less-ee,” not “lease-ee,” and lessor is pronounced “less-or.”) Because both parties must agree before a lease transaction can be completed, this chapter discusses leasing from the perspectives of both the lessor and the lessee.

Self-Test Question

Who are the two parties to a lease transaction?

TYPES OF LEASES

Leasing takes several different forms, the five most important being (1) operating leases, (2) financial, or capital, leases, (3) sale-and-leaseback arrangements, (4) combination leases, and (5) synthetic leases.
Operating Leases

Operating leases generally provide for both financing and maintenance. IBM was one of the pioneers of the operating lease contract, and computers and office copying machines, together with automobiles, trucks, and aircraft, are the primary types of equipment involved in operating leases. Ordinarily, operating leases require the lessor to maintain and service the leased equipment, and the cost of the maintenance is built into the lease payments.

Another important characteristic of operating leases is the fact that they are not fully amortized. In other words, the rental payments required under the lease contract are not sufficient for the lessor to recover the full cost of the asset. However, the lease contract is written for a period considerably shorter than the expected economic life of the asset, so the lessor can expect to recover all costs either by subsequent renewal payments, by releasing the asset to another lessee, or by selling the asset.

A final feature of operating leases is that they often contain a cancellation clause that gives the lessee the right to cancel the lease and return the asset before the expiration of the basic lease agreement. This is an important consideration to the lessee, for it means that the asset can be returned if it is rendered obsolete by
technological developments or is no longer needed because of a change in the lessee’s business.

**Financial, or Capital, Leases**

Financial leases, sometimes called capital leases, are differentiated from operating leases in that they (1) do not provide for maintenance service, (2) are not cancellable, and (3) are fully amortized (that is, the lessor receives rental payments equal to the full price of the leased equipment plus a return on invested capital). In a typical arrangement, the firm that will use the equipment (the lessee) selects the specific items it requires and negotiates the price with the manufacturer. The user firm then arranges to have a leasing company (the lessor) buy the equipment from the manufacturer and simultaneously executes a lease contract. The terms of the lease generally call for full amortization of the lessor’s investment, plus a rate of return on the unamortized balance that is close to the percentage rate the lessee would have paid on a secured loan. For example, if the lessee would have to pay 10 percent for a loan, then a rate of about 10 percent would be built into the lease contract.

The lessee is generally given an option to renew the lease at a reduced rate upon expiration of the basic lease. However, the basic lease usually cannot be cancelled unless the lessor is paid in full. Also, the lessee generally pays the property taxes and insurance on the leased property. Since the lessor receives a return after, or net of, these payments, this type of lease is often called a “net, net” lease.

**Sale-and-Leaseback Arrangements**

Under a sale-and-leaseback arrangement, a firm that owns land, buildings, or equipment sells the property to another firm and simultaneously executes an agreement to lease the property back for a stated period under specific terms. The capital supplier could be an insurance company, a commercial bank, a specialized leasing company, the finance arm of an industrial firm, a limited partnership, or an individual investor. The sale-and-leaseback plan is an alternative to a mortgage.

Note that the seller immediately receives the purchase price put up by the buyer. At the same time, the seller-lessee retains the use of the property. The parallel to borrowing is carried over to the lease payment schedule. Under a mortgage loan arrangement, the lender would normally receive a series of equal payments just sufficient to amortize the loan and to provide a specified rate of return on the outstanding loan balance. Under a sale-and-leaseback arrangement, the lease payments are set up exactly the same way—the payments are just sufficient to return the full purchase price to the investor, plus a stated return on the lessor’s investment.

Sale-and-leaseback arrangements are almost the same as financial leases, the major difference being that the leased equipment is used, not new, and the lessor buys it from the user-lessee instead of a manufacturer or a distributor. A sale-and-leaseback is thus a special type of financial lease.

**Combination Leases**

Many lessors now offer leases under a wide variety of terms. Therefore, in practice leases often do not fit exactly into the operating lease or financial lease category but combine some features of each. Such leases are called combination leases. To illustrate, cancellation clauses are normally associated with operating leases,
but many of today’s financial leases also contain cancellation clauses. However, in financial leases these clauses generally include prepayment provisions whereby the lessee must make penalty payments sufficient to enable the lessor to recover the unamortized cost of the leased property.

“Synthetic” Leases

A fifth type of lease, the synthetic lease, should also be mentioned. These leases were first used in the early 1990s, and they became very popular in the mid- to late-1990s, when companies such as Enron and Tyco, as well as “normal” companies, discovered that synthetic leases could be used to keep debt off their balance sheets. In a typical synthetic lease, a corporation that wanted to acquire an asset—generally real estate, with a very long life—with debt would first establish a special-purpose entity, or SPE. The SPE would then obtain financing, typically 97 percent debt provided by a financial institution and 3 percent equity provided by a party other than the corporation itself. The SPE would then use the funds to acquire the property, and the corporation would lease the asset from the SPE, generally for a term of three to five years, but with an option to extend the lease, which the firm generally expected to exercise. Because of the relatively short term of the lease, it was deemed to be an operating lease and hence did not have to be capitalized and shown on the balance sheet.

A corporation that set up SPEs was required to do one of three things when the lease expired: (1) pay off the SPE’s 97 percent loan, (2) refinance the loan at the currently going interest rate, if the lender was willing to refinance at all, or (3) sell the asset and make up any shortfall between the sale price and the amount of the loan. Thus, the corporate user was guaranteeing the loan, yet it did not have to show an obligation on its balance sheet.

Synthetic leases stayed under the radar until 2001. As we discuss in the next section, long-term leases must be capitalized and shown on the balance sheet. Synthetic leases were designed to get around this requirement, and neither corporations such as Enron and Tyco that used them nor accounting firms such as Arthur Andersen that approved them wanted to have anyone look closely at them. However, after the scandals of the early 2000s, security analysts, the SEC, banking regulators, the FASB, and even corporate boards of directors began to seriously discuss SPEs and synthetic leases. Investors and bankers subjectively downgraded companies that made heavy use of them, and boards of directors began to tell their CFOs to stop using them and to close down the ones that existed. Moreover, the accounting regulatory bodies are in the process of revising the terms under which synthetic leases can be structured. It is not clear exactly how things will end up, but at this point the most likely outcomes are (1) that SPEs and synthetic leases will be much less important in the future than they were in the past; (2) that a lot more than 3 percent equity will be required to set up an SPE, meaning that the corporation will have less exposure and the lending institution more exposure; and (3) that

---

2Enron’s CFO, Andy Fastow, and other insiders provided the equity for many of Enron’s SPEs. Also, a number of Merrill Lynch’s executives provided SPE equity, allegedly to enable Merrill Lynch to obtain profitable investment banking deals. The very fact that SPEs are so well suited to conceal what’s going on helped those who used them engage in shady deals that would have at least raised eyebrows had they been disclosed. In fact, Fastow pled guilty to two counts of conspiracy in connection to Enron’s accounting fraud and ultimate bankruptcy. For more on this subject, see W. R. Pollert and E. J. Glickman, “Synthetic Leases Under Fire,” at http://www.strategicfinancemag.com, October 2002.
some corporations with several synthetic leases outstanding are going to have difficulties in the near future, when those leases expire and the firms must either restructure the leases under more stringent terms or else pay off the SPE loans.

Self-Test Questions
What is the difference between an operating lease and a financial, or capital, lease?
What is a sale-and-leaseback transaction?
What is a combination lease?
What is a synthetic lease?

TAX EFFECTS

The full amount of the lease payments is a tax-deductible expense for the lessee provided the Internal Revenue Service agrees that a particular contract is a genuine lease and not simply a loan called a lease. This makes it important that a lease contract be written in a form acceptable to the IRS. A lease that complies with all IRS requirements is called a guideline, or tax-oriented, lease, and the tax benefits of ownership (depreciation and any investment tax credits) belong to the lessor. The main provisions of the tax guidelines are as follows:

1. The lease term (including any extensions or renewals at a fixed rental rate) must not exceed 80 percent of the estimated useful life of the equipment at the commencement of the lease transaction. Thus, an asset with a 10-year life can be leased for no more than eight years. Further, the remaining useful life must not be less than one year. Note that an asset's expected useful life is normally much longer than its MACRS depreciation class life.

2. The equipment's estimated residual value (in constant dollars without adjustment for inflation) at the expiration of the lease must be at least 20 percent of its value at the start of the lease. This requirement can have the effect of limiting the maximum lease term.

3. Neither the lessee nor any related party can have the right to purchase the property at a predetermined fixed price. However, the lessee can be given an option to buy the asset at its fair market value.

4. Neither the lessee nor any related party can pay or guarantee payment of any part of the price of the leased equipment. Simply put, the lessee cannot make any investment in the equipment, other than through the lease payments.

5. The leased equipment must not be “limited use” property, defined as equipment that can be used only by the lessee or a related party at the end of the lease.

The reason for the IRS's concern about lease terms is that, without restrictions, a company could set up a “lease” transaction calling for very rapid payments, which would be tax deductible. The effect would be to depreciate the equipment over a much shorter period than its MACRS class life. For example, suppose a firm planned to acquire a $2 million computer that had a three-year MACRS class life. The annual depreciation allowances would be $660,000 in Year 1, $900,000 in Year 2, $300,000 in Year 3, and $140,000 in Year 4. If the firm were in the 40 percent federal-plus-state tax bracket, the depreciation would provide a tax savings of $264,000 in Year 1, $360,000 in Year 2, $120,000 in Year 3, and $56,000 in Year 4, for a total savings of $800,000. At a 6 percent discount rate, the present value of these tax savings would be $714,567.

Now suppose the firm could acquire the computer through a one-year lease arrangement with a leasing company for a payment of $2 million, with a $1 purchase option. If the $2 million payment were treated as a lease payment, it would
be fully deductible, so it would provide a tax savings of 0.4(\$2,000,000) = \$800,000 versus a present value of only \$714,567 for the depreciation shelters. Thus, the lease payment and the depreciation would both provide the same total amount of tax savings (40 percent of \$2,000,000, or \$800,000), but the savings would come in faster, hence have a higher present value, with the one-year lease. Therefore, if just any type of contract could be called a lease and given tax treatment as a lease, then the timing of the tax shelters could be speeded up as compared with ownership depreciation tax shelters. This speedup would benefit companies, but it would be costly to the government. For this reason, the IRS has established the rules described above for defining a lease for tax purposes.

Even though leasing can be used only within limits to speed up the effective depreciation schedule, there are still times when very substantial tax benefits can be derived from a leasing arrangement. For example, if a firm has incurred losses and hence has no current tax liabilities, then its depreciation shelters are not very useful. In this case, a leasing company set up by profitable companies such as GE or Philip Morris can buy the equipment, receive the depreciation shelters, and then share these benefits with the lessee by charging lower lease payments. This point will be discussed in detail later in the chapter, but the point now is that if firms are to obtain tax benefits from leasing, the lease contract must be written in a manner that will qualify it as a true lease under IRS guidelines. If there is any question about the legal status of the contract, the financial manager must be sure to have the firm’s lawyers and accountants check the latest IRS regulations.3

Note that a lease that does not meet the tax guidelines is called a non-tax-oriented lease. For this type of lease, the lessee (1) is the effective owner of the leased property, (2) can depreciate it for tax purposes, and (3) can deduct only the interest portion of each lease payment.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the difference between a tax-oriented lease and a non-tax-oriented lease?
What are some lease provisions that would cause a lease to be classified as a non-tax-oriented lease?
Why does the IRS place limits on lease provisions?

**FINANCIAL STATEMENT EFFECTS**4

Under certain conditions, neither the leased assets nor the liabilities under the lease contract appear directly on the firm’s balance sheet. For this reason, leasing is often called off-balance-sheet financing. This point is illustrated in Table 19-1 by the balance sheets of two hypothetical firms, B (for “borrow”) and L (for “lease”). Initially, the balance sheets of both firms are identical, and they both

---

3In 1981, Congress relaxed the normal IRS rules to permit safe harbor leases, which had virtually no IRS restrictions and which were explicitly designed to permit the transfer of tax benefits from unprofitable companies that could not use them to high-profit companies that could. The point of safe harbor leases was to provide incentives for capital investment to companies that had little or no tax liability—under safe harbor leasing, companies with no tax liability could sell the benefit to companies in a high marginal tax bracket. In 1981 and 1982, literally billions of dollars were paid by such profitable firms as IBM and Philip Morris for the tax shelters of such unprofitable ones as Ford and Eastern Airlines. However, in 1983, Congress curtailed the use of safe harbor leases.

4FASB Statement 13, “Accounting for Leases,” spells out in detail both the conditions under which the lease must be capitalized and the procedures for capitalizing it. Also, see Schallheim, op. cit., Chapter 4, for more on the accounting treatment of leases. However, note that lease accounting is currently under review, and FASB 13 will probably be replaced in the near future.
have debt ratios of 50 percent. Next, each firm decides to acquire a fixed asset costing $100. Firm B borrows $100 and buys the asset, so both an asset and a liability go on its balance sheet, and its debt ratio rises from 50 to 75 percent. Firm L leases the equipment. The lease may call for fixed charges as high as or even higher than the loan, and the obligations assumed under the lease may be equally or more dangerous from the standpoint of potential bankruptcy, but the firm’s debt ratio remains at only 50 percent.

To correct this problem, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Statement 13, which requires that, for an unqualified audit report, firms that enter into financial (or capital) leases must restate their balance sheets and report the leased asset as a fixed asset and the present value of the future lease payments as a liability. This process is called capitalizing the lease, and its net effect is to cause Firms B and L to have similar balance sheets, both of which will, in essence, resemble the one shown for Firm B.

The logic behind Statement 13 is as follows. If a firm signs a financial lease contract, its obligation to make lease payments is just as binding as if it had signed a loan agreement—the failure to make lease payments can bankrupt a firm just as fast as the failure to make principal and interest payments on a loan. Therefore, for all intents and purposes, a financial lease is identical to a loan. This being the case, if a firm signs a financial lease agreement, this has the effect of raising its true debt ratio, and thus its true capital structure is changed. Therefore, if the firm had previously established a target capital structure, and if there is no reason to think that the optimal capital structure has changed, then lease financing requires additional equity support exactly like debt financing.

If disclosure of the lease in our Table 19-1 example were not made, then Firm L’s investors could be deceived into thinking that its financial position is stronger.

---

**Table 19-1** Balance Sheet Effects of Leasing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BEFORE ASSET INCREASE</th>
<th>AFTER ASSET INCREASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Firms B and L</strong></td>
<td><strong>Firm B, Which Borrows and Buys</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets $ 50</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt $ 50</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets $100</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity $100</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt/assets ratio: 50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

5There are, however, certain legal differences between loans and leases. In the event of liquidation in bankruptcy, a lessor is entitled to take possession of the leased asset, and if the value of the asset is less than the required payments under the lease, the lessor can enter a claim (as a general creditor) for one year’s lease payments. Also, after bankruptcy has been declared but before the case has been resolved, lease payments may be continued, whereas all payments on debts are generally stopped. In a reorganization, the lessor receives the asset plus three years’ lease payments if needed to cover the value of the lease. The lender under a secured loan arrangement has a security interest in the asset, meaning that if it is sold, the lender will be given the proceeds, and the full unsatisfied portion of the lender’s claim will be treated as a general creditor obligation. It is not possible to state, as a general rule, whether a supplier of capital is in a stronger position as a secured creditor or as a lessor. However, in certain situations, lessors may bear less risk than secured lenders if financial distress occurs.
than it really is. Thus, even before FASB Statement 13 was issued, firms were required to disclose the existence of long-term leases in footnotes to their financial statements. At that time, it was debated as to whether or not investors recognized fully the impact of leases and, in effect, would see that Firms B and L were in essentially the same financial position. Some people argued that leases were not fully recognized, even by sophisticated investors. If this were the case, then leasing could alter the capital structure decision in a significant manner—a firm could increase its true leverage through a lease arrangement, and this procedure would have a smaller effect on its cost of conventional debt, $r_d$, and on its cost of equity, $r_e$, than if it had borrowed directly and reflected this fact on its balance sheet. These benefits of leasing would accrue to existing investors at the expense of new investors who would, in effect, be deceived by the fact that the firm’s balance sheet did not reflect its true financial leverage.

The question of whether investors were truly deceived was debated but never resolved. Those who believed strongly in efficient markets thought that investors were not deceived and that footnotes were sufficient, while those who questioned market efficiency thought that all leases should be capitalized. Statement 13 represents a compromise between these two positions, though one that is tilted heavily toward those who favor capitalization.

A lease is classified as a capital lease, hence must be capitalized and shown directly on the balance sheet, if one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Under the terms of the lease, ownership of the property is effectively transferred from the lessor to the lessee.
2. The lessee can purchase the property at less than its true market value when the lease expires.
3. The lease runs for a period equal to or greater than 75 percent of the asset’s life. Thus, if an asset has a ten-year life and the lease is written for eight years, the lease must be capitalized.
4. The present value of the lease payments is equal to or greater than 90 percent of the initial value of the asset.\(^6\)

These rules, together with strong footnote disclosure rules for operating leases, were supposed to be sufficient to ensure that no one would be fooled by lease financing. Thus, leases should be regarded as debt for capital structure purposes, and they should have the same effects as debt on $r_d$ and $r_e$. Therefore, leasing is not likely to permit a firm to use more financial leverage than could be obtained with conventional debt.\(^7\)

**Self-Test Questions**

Why is lease financing sometimes referred to as off-balance-sheet financing?

What is the intent of FASB Statement 13?

What is the difference in the balance sheet treatment of a lease that is capitalized versus one that is not?

---

\(^6\)The discount rate used to calculate the present value of the lease payments must be the lower of (1) the rate used by the lessor to establish the lease payments (this rate is discussed later in the chapter) or (2) the rate of interest that the lessee would have to pay for new debt with a maturity equal to that of the lease. Also, note that any maintenance payments embedded in the lease payment must be stripped out prior to checking this condition.

\(^7\)Note that Statement 13 was written many years before synthetic leases were developed. Synthetic leases can undercut FASB 13, but we anticipate new rules on lease accounting that will return the situation to that envisioned under FASB 13 at the time it was written.
Chapter 19
Lease Financing

EVALUATION BY THE LESSEE

Leases are evaluated by both the lessee and the lessor. The lessee must determine whether leasing an asset is less costly than buying it, and the lessor must decide whether the lease payments provide a satisfactory return on the capital invested in the leased asset. This section focuses on the lessee’s analysis.

In the typical case, the events leading to a lease arrangement follow the sequence described below. We should note that a degree of uncertainty exists regarding the theoretically correct way to evaluate lease-versus-purchase decisions, and some very complex decision models have been developed to aid in the analysis. However, the simple analysis given here leads to the correct decision in all the cases we have ever encountered.

1. The firm decides to acquire a particular building or piece of equipment; this decision is based on regular capital budgeting procedures. Whether or not to acquire the asset is not part of the typical lease analysis—in a lease analysis, we are concerned simply with whether to obtain the use of the machine by lease or by purchase. Thus, for the lessee, the lease decision is typically just a financing decision. However, if the effective cost of capital obtained by leasing is substantially lower than the cost of debt, then the cost of capital used in the capital budgeting decision would have to be recalculated, and perhaps projects formerly deemed unacceptable might become acceptable. See the Web Extension for this chapter for more information on feedback effects.

2. Once the firm has decided to acquire the asset, the next question is how to finance it. Well-run businesses do not have excess cash lying around, so capital to finance new assets must be obtained from some source.

3. Funds to purchase the asset could be obtained from internally generated cash flows, by borrowing, or by selling new equity. Alternatively, the asset could be leased. Because of the capitalization/disclosure provision for leases, leasing normally has the same capital structure effect as borrowing.

4. As indicated earlier, a lease is comparable to a loan in the sense that the firm is required to make a specified series of payments, and a failure to meet these payments could result in bankruptcy. If a company has a target capital structure, then $1 of lease financing displaces $1 of debt financing. Thus, the most appropriate comparison is lease financing versus debt financing. Note that the analysis should compare the cost of leasing with the cost of debt financing regardless of how the asset purchase is actually financed. The asset may be purchased with available cash or cash raised by issuing stock, but since leasing is a substitute for debt financing, and has the same capital structure effect, the appropriate comparison would still be with debt financing.

To illustrate the basic elements of lease analysis, consider this simplified example (the file IFM9 Ch19 Tool Kit.xls shows this analysis). The Thompson-Grammatikos Company (TGC) needs a two-year asset that costs $100, and the company must choose between leasing and buying the asset. TGC’s tax rate is 40 percent. If the asset is purchased, the bank would lend TGC the $100 at a rate of 10 percent on a two-year, simple interest loan. Thus, the firm would have to pay the bank $10 in interest at the end of each year, plus return the $100 of principal at the end of Year 2. For simplicity, assume (1) that TGC could depreciate the asset over two years for tax purposes by the straight-line method if it is purchased, resulting in tax depreciation of
$50 and tax savings of $\text{T(Depreciation)} = 0.4(50) = 20$ in each year, and (2) that the asset’s value at the end of two years will be $0.

Alternatively, TGC could lease the asset under a guideline lease (by a special IRS ruling) for two years for a payment of $55 at the end of each year. The analysis for the lease-versus-borrow decision consists of (1) estimating the cash flows associated with borrowing and buying the asset, that is, the flows associated with debt financing, (2) estimating the cash flows associated with leasing the asset, and (3) comparing the two financing methods to determine which has the lower present value costs. Here are the borrow-and-buy flows, set up to produce a cash flow time line:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flows If TGC Buys</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment cost</td>
<td>($100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow from loan</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td></td>
<td>($10)</td>
<td>($10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax savings from interest</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal repayment</td>
<td></td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax savings from depreciation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flow (time line)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>($86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The net cash flow is zero in Year 0, positive in Year 1, and negative in Year 2. The operating cash flows are not shown, but they must, of course, have a PV greater than the PV of the financing costs or else TGC would not want to acquire the asset. Because the operating cash flows will be the same regardless of whether the asset is leased or purchased, they can be ignored.

Here are the cash flows associated with the lease:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Flows If TGC Leases</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lease payment</td>
<td>($55)</td>
<td>($55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax savings from payment</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flow (time line)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($33)</td>
<td>($33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the two sets of cash flows reflect the tax deductibility of interest and depreciation if the asset is purchased, and the deductibility of lease payments if it is leased. Thus, the net cash flows include the tax savings from these items.

To compare the cost streams of buying versus leasing, we must put them on a present value basis. As we explain later, the correct discount rate is the after-tax cost of debt, which for TGC is $10\% \times (1 - 0.4) = 6.0\%$. Applying this rate, we find the present value cost of buying to be $63.33$ versus a present value cost of leasing of $60.50$. Since leasing has the lower present value of costs, the company should lease this particular asset.

---

8If the lease had not met IRS guidelines, then ownership would effectively reside with the lessee, and TGC would depreciate the asset for tax purposes whether it was leased or purchased. However, only the implied interest portion of the lease payment would be tax deductible. Thus, the analysis for a nonguideline lease would consist of simply comparing the after-tax financing flows on the loan with the after-tax lease payment stream.
Now we examine a more realistic example, one from the Anderson Company, which is conducting a lease analysis on some assembly line equipment that it will procure during the coming year (the file IFM9 Ch19 Tool Kit.xls shows this analysis). The following data have been collected:

1. Anderson plans to acquire automated assembly line equipment with a 10-year life at a cost of $10 million, delivered and installed. However, Anderson plans to use the equipment for only 5 years, and then discontinue the product line.
2. Anderson can borrow the required $10 million at a before-tax cost of 10 percent.
3. The equipment's estimated scrap value is $50,000 after 10 years of use, but its estimated salvage value after only 5 years of use is $2,000,000. Thus, if Anderson buys the equipment, it would expect to receive $2,000,000 before taxes when the equipment is sold in 5 years. Note that in leasing, the asset's value at the end of the lease is called its residual value.
4. Anderson can lease the equipment for 5 years for an annual rental charge of $2,600,000, payable at the beginning of each year, but the lessor will own the equipment upon the expiration of the lease. (The lease payment schedule is established by the potential lessor, as described in the next major section, and Anderson can accept it, reject it, or negotiate.)
5. The lease contract stipulates that the lessor will maintain the equipment at no additional charge to Anderson. However, if Anderson borrows and buys, it will have to bear the cost of maintenance, which will be done by the equipment manufacturer at a fixed contract rate of $500,000 per year, payable at the beginning of each year.
6. The equipment falls in the MACRS 5-year class life, Anderson’s marginal tax rate is 35 percent, and the lease qualifies as a guideline lease.

Table 19-2 shows the steps involved in the analysis. Part I of the table is devoted to the costs of borrowing and buying. The company borrows $10 million and uses it to pay for the equipment, so these two items net out to zero and thus are not shown in Table 19-2. Then, the company makes the after-tax payments shown in Line 1. In Year 1, the after-tax interest charge is $650,000, and other payments are calculated similarly. The $10 million loan is repaid at the end of Year 5. Line 2 shows the maintenance cost. Line 3 gives the maintenance tax savings. Line 4 contains the depreciation tax savings, which is the depreciation expense times the tax rate. The notes to Table 19-2 explain the depreciation calculation. Lines 5 and 6 contain the residual (or salvage) value cash flows. The tax is on the excess of the residual value over the asset’s book value, not on the full residual value. Line 7 contains the net cash flows, and Line 8 shows the net present value of these flows, discounted at 6.5 percent.

Part II of Table 19-2 analyzes the lease. The lease payments, shown in Line 9, are $2,600,000 per year; this rate, which includes maintenance, was established by the prospective lessor and offered to Anderson Equipment. If Anderson accepts the lease, the full amount will be a deductible expense, so the tax savings, shown in Line 10, is $910,000. Thus, the after-tax cost of the lease payment is $2,600,000 − $910,000 = $1,690,000. This amount is shown in Line 11, Years 0 through 4.

The next step is to compare the net cost of owning with the net cost of leasing. However, we must first put the annual cash flows of leasing and borrowing on a common basis. This requires converting them to present values, which brings up the question of the proper rate at which to discount the costs. Because leasing
is a substitute for debt, most analysts recommend that the company’s cost of debt be used, and this rate seems reasonable in our example. Further, since the cash flows are after taxes, the after-tax cost of debt, which is $10\% \times (1 - T) = 10\% \times 0.65 = 6.5\%$, should be used. Accordingly, we discount the net cash flows in Lines 7 and 11 using a rate of 6.5 percent. The resulting present values are $7,534,000$ for the cost of owning and $7,480,000$ for the cost of leasing, as shown in Lines 8 and 12. The financing method that produces the smaller present value of costs is the one that should be selected. We define the net advantage to leasing (NAL) as follows (see Note f to Table 19-2):

$$\text{NAL} = \text{PV cost of owning} - \text{PV cost of leasing}$$

$$\quad = 7,534,000 - 7,480,000$$

$$\quad = 54,000$$
The PV cost of owning exceeds the PV cost of leasing, so the NAL is positive. Therefore, Anderson should lease the equipment.\(^9\)

In this section, we focused on the dollar cost of leasing versus borrowing and buying, which is analogous to the NPV method used in capital budgeting. A second method that lessees can use to evaluate leases focuses on the percentage cost of leasing and is analogous to the IRR method used in capital budgeting. The percentage approach is discussed in the Web Extension to this chapter.

Self-Test Questions

Explain how the cash flows are structured in order to estimate the net advantage to leasing.

What discount rate should be used to evaluate a lease? Why?

Define the term “net advantage to leasing, NAL.”

EVALUATION BY THE LESSOR

Thus far we have considered leasing only from the lessee’s viewpoint. It is also useful to analyze the transaction as the lessor sees it: Is the lease a good investment for the party who must put up the money? The lessor will generally be a specialized leasing company, a bank or bank affiliate, an individual or group of individuals organized as a limited partnership or limited liability corporation, or a manufacturer such as IBM or GM that uses leasing as a sales tool. The specialized leasing companies are often owned by profitable companies such as General Electric, which owns General Electric Capital, the largest leasing company in the world. Investment banking houses such as Merrill Lynch also set up and/or work with specialized leasing companies, where brokerage clients’ money is made available to leasing customers in deals that permit the investors to share in tax shelters provided by leases.

Any potential lessor needs to know the rate of return on the capital invested in the lease, and this information is also useful to the prospective lessee: Lease terms on large leases are generally negotiated, so the lessee should know what return the lessor is earning. The lessor’s analysis involves (1) determining the net cash outlay, which is usually the invoice price of the leased equipment less any lease payments made in advance; (2) determining the periodic cash inflows, which consist of the lease payments minus both income taxes and any maintenance expense the lessor must bear; (3) estimating the after-tax residual value of the property when the lease expires; and (4) determining whether the rate of return on the lease exceeds the lessor’s opportunity cost of capital or, equivalently, whether the NPV of the lease exceeds zero.

\(^9\)The more complicated methods that exist for analyzing leasing generally focus on the issue of the discount rate that should be used to discount the cash flows. Conceptually, we could assign a separate discount rate to each individual cash flow component, then find the present values of each of the cash flow components, and finally sum these present values to determine the net advantage or disadvantage to leasing. This approach has been taken by Stewart C. Myers, David A. Dill, and Alberto J. Bautista (MDB) in “Valuation of Financial Lease Contracts,” *Journal of Finance*, June 1976, pp. 799–819, among others. MDB correctly note that the use of a single discount rate is valid only if (1) leases and loans are viewed by investors as being equivalent and (2) all cash flows are equally risky, hence appropriately discounted at the same rate. The first assumption is probably valid for most financial leases, and even where it is not, no one knows how to adjust properly for any capital structure effects that leases might have. Regarding the second assumption, advocates of multiple discount rates often point out that the residual value is less certain than are the other cash flows and thus recommend discounting it at a higher rate. However, there is no way of knowing precisely how much to increase the after-tax cost of debt to account for the increased riskiness of the residual value cash flow. Further, in a market risk sense, all cash flows could be equally risky even though individual items such as the residual value might have more or less total variability than others. To complicate matters even more, the market risk of the residual value will usually be different than the firm’s market risk. For more on residual value risk, see Schallheim, *op. cit.*, Chapter 8.
Analysis by the Lessor

To illustrate the lessor’s analysis, we assume the same facts as for the Anderson Company lease, plus the following: (1) The potential lessor is a wealthy individual whose current income is in the form of interest, and whose marginal federal-plus-state income tax rate, T, is 40 percent. (2) The investor can buy 5-year bonds that have a 9 percent yield to maturity, providing an after-tax yield of \( (0.9)(1 - 0.4) = 0.54 \). This is the after-tax return that the investor can obtain on alternative investments of similar risk. (3) The before-tax residual value is $2,000,000. Because the asset will be depreciated to a book value of $600,000 at the end of the 5-year lease, $1,400,000 of this $2 million will be taxable at the 40 percent rate because of the recapture of depreciation rule, so the lessor can expect to receive \( \frac{2,000,000}{0.4} \times 0.4 = 1,440,000 \) after taxes from the sale of the equipment after the lease expires.

The lessor’s cash flows are developed in Table 19-3. Here we see that the lease as an investment has a net present value of $81,000. On a present value basis, the investor who invests in the lease rather than in the 9 percent bonds (5.4 percent after taxes) is better off by $81,000, indicating that he or she should be willing to write the lease. As we saw earlier, the lease is also advantageous to Anderson Company, so the transaction should be completed.

The investor can also calculate the lease investment’s IRR based on the net cash flows shown in Line 9 of Table 19-3. The IRR of the lease, which is that discount rate that forces the NPV of the lease to zero, is 5.8 percent. Thus, the lease provides a 5.8 percent after-tax return to this 40 percent tax rate investor. This exceeds the 5.4 percent after-tax return on 9 percent bonds. So, using either the IRR or the NPV method, the lease would appear to be a satisfactory investment.\(^{10}\)

Setting the Lease Payment

In the preceding sections we evaluated the lease assuming that the lease payments had already been specified. However, in large leases the parties generally sit down and work out an agreement on the size of the lease payments, with these payments being set so as to provide the lessor with some specific rate of return. In situations in which the lease terms are not negotiated, which is often the case for small leases, the lessor must still go through the same type of analysis, setting terms that provide a target rate of return, and then offering these terms to the potential lessee on a take-it-or-leave-it basis.

To illustrate all this, suppose the potential lessor described earlier, after examining other alternative investment opportunities, decides that the 5.4 percent after-tax bond return is too low to use to evaluate the lease, and that the required after-tax return on the lease is 6.0 percent. What lease payment schedule would provide this return?

To answer this question, note again that Table 19-3 contains the lessor’s cash flow analysis. If the basic analysis is computerized, it is easy to first change the discount rate to 6 percent, and then change the lease payment—either by trial and error or by using the goal-seeking function—until the lease’s NPV = $0 or, equivalently, its IRR = 6.0 percent. When we did this using IFM9 Ch19 Tool Kit.xls, we found that the lessor must set the lease payment at $2,621,232 to obtain

\(^{10}\)Note that the lease investment is actually slightly more risky than the alternative bond investment because the residual value cash flow is less certain than a principal repayment. Thus, the lessor might require an expected return somewhat above the 5.4 percent promised on a bond investment.
an after-tax rate of return of 6.0 percent. If this lease payment is not acceptable to the lessee, Anderson Company, then it may not be possible to strike a deal. Naturally, competition among leasing companies forces lessors to build market-related returns into their lease payment schedules.

If the inputs to the lessee and the lessor are identical, then a positive NAL to the lessee implies an equal but negative NPV to the lessor. However, conditions are often such that leasing can provide net benefits to both parties. This situation arises because of differentials in taxes, in borrowing rates, in estimated residual values, or in the ability to bear the residual value risk. We will explore these issues in detail in a later section.

Note that the lessor can, under certain conditions, increase the return on the lease by borrowing some of the funds used to purchase the leased asset. Such a lease is called a leveraged lease. Whether or not a lease is leveraged has no effect on the lessee’s analysis, but it can have a significant effect on the cash flows to the lessor, hence on the lessor’s expected rate of return. We discuss leveraged leases in more detail in the Web Extension to this chapter.

### Self-Test Questions

What discount rate is used in a lessor’s NPV analysis?

What is the relationship between the lessor’s IRR and the size of the lease payments?

### OTHER ISSUES IN LEASE ANALYSIS

The basic methods of analysis used by lessees and lessors were presented in the previous sections. However, some other issues warrant discussion.

---

### Table 19-3: Lease Analysis from the Lessor’s Viewpoint (Thousands of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Net purchase price</td>
<td>($10,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Maintenance cost</td>
<td>(500)</td>
<td>($ 500)</td>
<td>($ 500)</td>
<td>($ 500)</td>
<td>($ 500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Maintenance tax savings</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Depreciation tax savings(a)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>$ 440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lease payment</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Tax on lease payment</td>
<td>(1,040)</td>
<td>(1,040)</td>
<td>(1,040)</td>
<td>(1,040)</td>
<td>(1,040)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Residual value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Tax on residual value(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(560)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Net cash flow</td>
<td>($ 8,740)</td>
<td>$2,060</td>
<td>$2,540</td>
<td>$2,020</td>
<td>$1,740</td>
<td>$1,880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{5} \frac{NCF_t}{(1 + r)^t} = $81 \text{ when } r = 5.4\% \]

\[ IRR: \text{ NPV} = 0 = \sum_{t=0}^{5} \frac{NCF_t}{(1 + IRR)^t}; \text{ IRR} = 5.8\% \]

---

### Notes:

\(a\)Depreciation times the lessor’s tax rate.

\(b\)(Residual value \(\frac{\text{Book value}}{\text{T}}\).

See the file **IFM9 Ch19 Tool Kit.xls** on the ThomsonNOW Web site for details.
Estimated Residual Value

It is important to note that the lessor owns the property upon expiration of a lease; hence the lessor has claim to the asset's residual value. Superficially, it would appear that if residual values are expected to be large, owning would have an advantage over leasing. However, this apparent advantage does not hold up. If expected residual values are large—as they may be under inflation for certain types of equipment and also if real estate is involved—competition between leasing companies and other financing sources, as well as competition among leasing companies themselves, will force leasing rates down to the point where potential residual values are fully recognized in the lease contract. Thus, the existence of large residual values is not likely to result in materially higher costs for leasing.

Increased Credit Availability

As noted earlier, leasing is sometimes said to be advantageous for firms that are seeking the maximum degree of financial leverage. First, it is sometimes argued that firms can obtain more money, and for longer terms, under a lease arrangement than under a loan secured by a specific piece of equipment. Second, since some leases do not appear on the balance sheet, lease financing has been said to give the firm a stronger appearance in a superficial credit analysis and thus to permit the firm to use more leverage than would be possible if it did not lease.

There may be some truth to these claims for smaller firms, but since firms are required to capitalize financial leases and to report them on their balance sheets, this point is of questionable validity for any firm large enough to have audited financial statements. However, leasing can be a way to circumvent existing loan covenants. If restrictive covenants prohibit a firm from issuing more debt but fail to restrict lease payments, then the firm could effectively increase its leverage by leasing additional assets. Also, firms that are in very poor financial condition and facing possible bankruptcy may be able to obtain lease financing at a lower cost than comparable debt financing because (1) lessors often have a more favorable position than lenders should the lessee actually go bankrupt and (2) lessors that specialize in certain types of equipment may be in a better position to dispose of repossessed equipment than banks or other lenders.

Real Estate Leases

Most of our examples have focused on equipment leasing. However, leasing originated with real estate, and such leases still constitute a huge segment of total lease financing. (We distinguish between housing rentals and long-term business leases; our concern is with business leases.) Retailers lease many of their stores. In some situations, retailers have no choice but to lease—this is true of locations in malls and certain office buildings. In other situations, they have a choice of building and owning versus leasing. Law firms and accounting firms, for example, can choose between buying their own facilities or leasing on a long-term basis (up to 20 or more years).

The type of lease-versus-purchase analysis we discussed in this chapter is just as applicable for real estate as for equipment—conceptually, there is no difference. Of course, such things as maintenance, who the other tenants will be, what alterations can be made, who will pay for alterations, and the like, become especially important with real property, but the analytical procedures upon which the lease-versus-buy decision is based are no different from any other lease analysis.
Vehicle Leases

Vehicle leasing is very popular today, both for large corporations and for individuals, especially professionals such as MBAs, doctors, lawyers, and accountants. For corporations, the key factor is often maintenance and disposal of used vehicles—the leasing companies are specialists here, and many businesses prefer to “outsource” services related to autos and trucks. For individuals, leasing is often more convenient, and it may be easier to justify tax deductions on leased than on owned vehicles. Also, most auto leasing to individuals is through dealers. These dealers (and manufacturers) use leasing as a sales tool, and they often make the terms quite attractive, especially when it comes to the down payment, which may be nonexistent in the case of a lease.

Vehicle leasing also permits many individuals to drive more expensive cars than would otherwise be possible. For example, the monthly payment on a new BMW might be $1,000 when financed with a three-year loan, but the same car, if leased for three years, might cost only $499 a month. At first glance, it appears that leasing is less expensive than owning because the monthly payment is so much lower. However, such a simplistic analysis ignores the fact that payments end after the loan is paid off but continue indefinitely under leasing. By using the techniques described in this chapter, individuals can assess the true costs associated with auto leases and then rationally judge the merits of each type of auto financing.

Leasing and Tax Laws

The ability to structure leases that are advantageous to both lessor and lessee depends in large part on tax laws. The four major tax factors that influence leasing are (1) investment tax credits, (2) depreciation rules, (3) tax rates, and (4) the alternative minimum tax. In this section, we briefly discuss each of these factors and how they influence leasing decisions.

The investment tax credit (ITC), when it is allowed, is a direct reduction of taxes that occurs when a firm purchases new capital equipment. Prior to 1987, firms could immediately deduct up to 10 percent of the cost of new capital investments from their corporate tax bills. Thus, a company that bought a $1,000,000 mainframe computer system would get a $100,000 reduction in current-year taxes. Because the ITC goes to the owner of the capital asset, low-tax-bracket companies that could not otherwise use the ITC could use leasing as a vehicle to pass immediate tax savings to high-tax-bracket lessors. The ITC is not currently in effect, but it could be reinstated in the future. If the ITC is put back into law, leasing would become especially attractive to low-tax-bracket firms.

Owners recover their investments in capital assets through depreciation, which is a tax-deductible expense. Because of the time value of money, the faster an asset can be depreciated, the greater the tax advantages of ownership. Recent tax law changes have tended to slow depreciation write-offs, thus reducing the value of ownership. This has also reduced the advantage to leasing by low-tax-bracket lessees from high-tax-bracket lessors. Any move to liberalize depreciation rules would tend to make leasing more desirable in many situations. The value of depreciation also depends on the firm’s tax rate, because the depreciation tax saving equals the amount of depreciation multiplied by the tax rate. Thus, higher
Comparison with many markets, the leasing market is fragmented and inefficient. There are millions of potential lessees, including all equipment users. Some are in high tax brackets, some in low brackets. Some are financially sophisticated, some are not. Some have excellent credit ratings, some have poor credit. On the other side of the market are millions of potential lessors, including equipment manufacturers, banks, and individual investors, with different tax brackets and risk tolerances. If each lessee had to negotiate a separate deal for each lease, information and search costs would be so high that few leases would be written.

Tax laws complicate the picture. For example, the alternative minimum tax often has the effect of limiting the amount of depreciation a firm can utilize. In addition, a firm can’t take a full half-year’s depreciation on purchases in the fourth quarter if those purchases comprise more than 40 percent of total annual purchases. Instead, it can take only a half-quarter’s depreciation, which is the equivalent of one-eighth of a year’s depreciation.

Lease brokers have for many years served as facilitators in this complicated and inefficient market. Working with many different equipment manufacturers and lenders, brokers are in a position to match lessees with appropriate lessors in such a way that the full benefit of tax laws can be utilized.

Lease securitization, a new procedure, is the ultimate method of matching lessees with appropriate lessors. The first step is to create a portfolio consisting of numerous leases. The second step is to divide the leasing cash flows into different streams of income, called tranches. For example, one tranche might contain only lease payments, which would appeal to an investor in a low tax bracket. A second tranche might consist of depreciation, which a high-tax-bracket investor could use to shelter income from other sources. A third might contain the residual cash flows, which will occur in the future when the leases end. This tranche would appeal to a high-tax-bracket investor who can take some risk. Tranches can also be allocated according to the credit rating of the lessees, allowing investors with different risk tolerances to take on their desired level of risk.

In addition, a company might obtain a lease in its fourth quarter, but if this is the third quarter of the lessor’s fiscal year, the lessor can take a full half-year’s depreciation.

Sound complicated? It is, but it’s an efficient answer to an inefficient market.

Source: SMG Fairfax, Knoxville, Tennessee.
Companies with large AMT liabilities look for ways to reduce their tax bills by lowering reported income. Leasing can be beneficial here—a relatively short-term lease with high annual payments will increase reported expenses and thus lower reported profits. Note that the lease does not have to qualify as a guideline lease and be deducted for regular tax purposes—all that is needed is to lower reported income as shown on the income statement.

We see that tax laws and differential tax rates between lessors and lessees can be a motivating force for leasing. However, as we discuss in the next section, there are some sound nontax economic reasons why firms lease plant and equipment.

**Self-Test Questions**

Does leasing lead to increased credit availability?

How do tax laws affect leasing?

### OTHER REASONS FOR LEASING

Up to this point, we have noted that tax rate or other differentials are generally necessary to make leasing attractive to both the lessee and lessor. If the lessee and lessor are facing different tax situations, including the alternative minimum tax, then it is often possible to structure a lease that is beneficial to both parties. However, there are other reasons firms might want to lease an asset rather than buy it.

More than half of all commercial aircraft are leased, and smaller airlines, especially in developing nations, lease an especially high percentage of their planes. One of the reasons for this lease usage is that airlines can reduce their risks by leasing. If an airline purchased all its aircraft, it would be hampered in its ability to respond to changing market conditions. Because they have become specialists at matching airlines with available aircraft, the aircraft lessors (which are multibillion-dollar concerns) are quite good at managing the changing demand for different types of aircraft. This permits them to offer attractive lease terms. In this situation, leasing provides operating flexibility. Leasing is not necessarily less expensive than buying, but the operating flexibility is quite valuable.

Leasing is also an attractive alternative for many high-technology items that are subject to rapid and unpredictable technological obsolescence. Say a small rural hospital wants to buy a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) device. If it buys the MRI equipment, it is exposed to the risk of technological obsolescence. In a short time some new technology might lower the value of the current system and thus render the project unprofitable. Since it does not use much equipment of this nature, the hospital would bear a great deal of risk if it bought the MRI device. However, a lessor that specializes in state-of-the-art medical equipment would be exposed to significantly less risk. By purchasing and then leasing many different items, the lessor benefits from diversification. Of course, over time some items will probably lose more value than the lessor expected, but this will be offset by other items that retained more value than was expected. Also, because such a leasing company will be especially familiar with the market for used medical equipment, it can refurbish the equipment and then get a better price in the resale market than could a remote rural hospital. For these reasons, leasing can reduce the risk of technological obsolescence.

Leasing can also be attractive when a firm is uncertain about the demand for its products or services, and thus about how long the equipment will be needed. Again, consider the hospital industry. Hospitals often offer services that are dependent on a single staff member—for example, a physician who does liver transplants. To support the physician’s practice, the hospital might have to invest
millions in equipment that can be used only for this particular procedure. The hospital will charge for the use of the equipment, and if things go as expected, the investment will be profitable. However, if the physician leaves the hospital, and if no replacement can be recruited, then the project is dead, and the equipment becomes useless to the hospital. In this case, a lease with a cancellation clause would permit the hospital to simply return the equipment. The lessor would charge something for the cancellation clause, and this would lower the expected profitability of the project, but it would provide the hospital with an option to abandon the equipment, and the value of the option could easily exceed the incremental cost of the cancellation clause. The leasing company would be willing to write this option because it is in a better position to remarket the equipment, either by writing another lease or by selling it outright.

The leasing industry recently introduced a type of lease that even transfers some of a project’s operating risk from the lessee to the lessor, and also motivates the lessee to maintain the leased equipment in good working order. Instead of making a fixed rental payment, the lessee pays a fee each time the leased equipment is used. This type of lease originated with copy machines, where the lessee pays so much per month plus an additional amount per copy made. If the machine breaks down, no copies are made, and the lessor’s rental income declines. This motivates the lessor to repair the machine quickly.

This type of lease is also used in the health care industry, where it is called a “per-procedure lease.” For example, a hospital might lease an X-ray machine for a fixed fee per X-ray, say, $5. If demand for the machine’s X-rays is less than expected by the hospital, revenues will be lower than expected, but so will the machine’s capital costs. Conversely, high demand would lead to higher-than-expected lease costs, but these would be offset by higher-than-expected revenues. By using a per-procedure lease, the hospital is converting a fixed cost for the equipment into a variable cost, hence reducing the machine’s operating leverage and breakeven point. The net effect is to reduce the project’s risk. Of course, the expected cost of a per-procedure lease might be more than the cost of a conventional lease, but the risk reduction benefit could be worth the cost. Note too that if the lessor writes a large number of per-procedure leases, much of the riskiness inherent in such leases could be eliminated by diversification, so the risk premiums that lessors build into per-procedure lease payments could be low enough to attract potential lessees.

Some companies also find leasing attractive because the lessor is able to provide servicing on favorable terms. For example, Virco Manufacturing, a company that makes school desks and other furniture, recently leased 25 truck tractors and 140 trailers that it uses to ship furniture from its plant. The lease agreement, with a large leasing company that specializes in purchasing, maintaining, and then reselling trucks, permitted the replacement of an aging fleet that Virco had built up over the years. “We are pretty good at manufacturing furniture, but we aren’t very good at maintaining a truck fleet,” said Virco’s CFO.

There are other reasons that might cause a firm to lease an asset rather than buy it. Often, these reasons are difficult to quantify; hence they cannot be easily incorporated into an NPV or IRR analysis. Nevertheless, a sound lease decision must begin with a quantitative analysis, and then qualitative factors can be considered before making the final lease-or-buy decision.

**Self-Test Question**

Describe some economic factors that might provide an advantage to leasing.
SUMMARY

In the United States, more than 30 percent of all equipment is leased, as is a great deal of real estate. Consequently, leasing is an important financing vehicle. In this chapter, we discussed the leasing decision from the standpoints of both the lessee and lessor. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- The five most important types of lease agreement are (1) operating lease, (2) financial, or capital, lease, (3) sale and leaseback, (4) combination lease, and (5) synthetic lease.
- The IRS has specific guidelines that apply to lease arrangements. A lease that meets these guidelines is called a guideline, or tax-oriented, lease, because the IRS permits the lessor to deduct the asset’s depreciation and allows the lessee to deduct the lease payments. A lease that does not meet the IRS guidelines is called a non-tax-oriented lease, in which case ownership for tax purposes resides with the lessee rather than the lessor.
- **FASB Statement 13** spells out the conditions under which a lease must be capitalized (shown directly on the balance sheet) as opposed to shown only in the notes to the financial statements. Generally, leases that run for a period equal to or greater than 75 percent of the asset’s life must be capitalized.
- The lessee’s analysis consists basically of a comparison of the PV of costs associated with leasing versus the PV of costs associated with owning. The difference in these costs is called the net advantage to leasing (NAL).
- One of the key issues in the lessee’s analysis is the appropriate discount rate. Because a lease is a substitute for debt, because the cash flows in a lease analysis are stated on an after-tax basis, and because they are known with relative certainty, the appropriate discount rate is the lessee’s after-tax cost of debt. A higher discount rate may be used on the residual value if it is substantially riskier than the other flows.
- The lessor evaluates the lease as an investment. If the lease’s NPV is greater than zero, or if its IRR is greater than the lessor’s opportunity cost, then the lease should be written.
- Leasing is motivated by various differences between lessees and lessors. Three of the most important reasons for leasing are (1) tax rate differentials, (2) leases in which the lessor is better able to bear the residual value risk than the lessee, and (3) situations where the lessor can maintain the leased equipment more efficiently than the lessee.

The Web Extension to this chapter provides some additional information on leasing.

QUESTIONS

19-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Lessee; lessor
   b. Operating lease; financial lease; sale and leaseback; combination lease; synthetic lease; SPE
   c. “Off-balance-sheet” financing; capitalizing
   d. FASB Statement 13
   e. Guideline lease
   f. Residual value
   g. Lessee’s analysis; lessor’s analysis
Part 5

Tactical Financing Decisions

h. Net advantage to leasing (NAL)

i. Alternative minimum tax (AMT)

19-2 Distinguish between operating leases and financial leases. Would you be more likely to find an operating lease employed for a fleet of trucks or for a manufacturing plant?

19-3 Would you be more likely to find that lessees are in high or low income tax brackets as compared with lessors?

19-4 Commercial banks moved heavily into equipment leasing during the early 1970s, acting as lessors. One major reason for this invasion of the leasing industry was to gain the benefits of accelerated depreciation and the investment tax credit on leased equipment. During this same period, commercial banks were investing heavily in municipal securities, and they were also making loans to real estate investment trusts (REITs). In the mid-1970s, these REITs got into such serious difficulty that many banks suffered large losses on their REIT loans. Explain how its investments in municipal bonds and REITs could reduce a bank’s willingness to act as a lessor.

19-5 One alleged advantage of leasing voiced in the past is that it kept liabilities off the balance sheet, thus making it possible for a firm to obtain more leverage than it otherwise could have. This raised the question of whether or not both the lease obligation and the asset involved should be capitalized and shown on the balance sheet. Discuss the pros and cons of capitalizing leases and related assets.

19-6 Suppose there were no IRS restrictions on what constituted a valid lease. Explain, in a manner that a legislator might understand, why some restrictions should be imposed. Illustrate your answer with numbers.

19-7 Suppose Congress enacted new tax law changes that would (1) permit equipment to be depreciated over a shorter period, (2) lower corporate tax rates, and (3) reinstate the investment tax credit. Discuss how each of these potential changes would affect the relative volume of leasing versus conventional debt in the U.S. economy.

19-8 In our Anderson Company example, we assumed that the lease could not be cancelled. What effect would a cancellation clause have on the lessee’s analysis? On the lessor’s analysis?

PROBLEMS

19-1 Balance Sheet Effects

Reynolds Construction needs a piece of equipment that costs $200. Reynolds either can lease the equipment or borrow $200 from a local bank and buy the equipment. If the equipment is leased, the lease would not have to be capitalized. Reynolds’s balance sheet prior to the acquisition of the equipment is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current assets</th>
<th>Debt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. (1) What is Reynolds’s current debt ratio?
   (2) What would be the company’s debt ratio if it purchased the equipment?
   (3) What would be the debt ratio if the equipment were leased?

b. Would the company’s financial risk be different under the leasing and purchasing alternatives?
19-2  Lease versus Buy

Assume that Reynolds’s tax rate is 40 percent and the equipment’s depreciation would be $100 per year. If the company leased the asset on a 2-year lease, the payment would be $110 at the beginning of each year. If Reynolds borrowed and bought, the bank would charge 10 percent interest on the loan. In either case, the equipment is worth nothing after 2 years and will be discarded. Should Reynolds lease or buy the equipment?

19-3  Lease versus Buy

Big Sky Mining Company must install $1.5 million of new machinery in its Nevada mine. It can obtain a bank loan for 100 percent of the purchase price, or it can lease the machinery. Assume that the following facts apply:
1. The machinery falls into the MACRS 3-year class.
2. Estimated maintenance expenses are $75,000 per year, payable at the beginning of each year.
3. The firm’s tax rate is 40 percent.
4. The loan would have an interest rate of 15 percent.
5. The lease terms call for $400,000 payments at the end of each of the next 4 years.
6. Under either the lease or the purchase, Big Sky must pay for insurance, property taxes, and maintenance.
7. Assume that Big Sky Mining will continue to use the machine beyond the expiration of the lease and must purchase it at an estimated residual value of $250,000 at the end of the 4th year. This $250,000 will then be depreciated under the MACRS 3-year class schedule, starting with the year in which the equipment is purchased, which is Year 4.

What is the NAL of the lease?

19-4  Balance Sheet Effects

Two companies, Energen and Hastings Corporation, began operations with identical balance sheets. A year later, both required additional manufacturing capacity at a cost of $50,000. Energen obtained a 5-year, $50,000 loan at an 8 percent interest rate from its bank. Hastings, on the other hand, decided to lease the required $50,000 capacity for 5 years, and an 8 percent return was built into the lease. The balance sheet for each company, before the asset increases, follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Debt</th>
<th>$50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total claims</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Show the balance sheets for both firms after the asset increases and calculate each firm’s new debt ratio. (Assume that the lease is not capitalized.)
b. Show how Hastings’s balance sheet would look immediately after the financing if it capitalized the lease.
c. Would the rate of return (1) on assets and (2) on equity be affected by the choice of financing? How?

19-5  Lease versus Buy

A. Sadik Industries must install $1 million of new machinery in its Texas plant. It can obtain a bank loan for 100 percent of the required amount. Alternatively, a Texas investment banking firm that represents a group of investors believes that it can arrange for a lease financing plan. Assume that these facts apply:
1. The equipment falls in the MACRS 3-year class.
2. Estimated maintenance expenses are $50,000 per year.
3. The firm’s tax rate is 34 percent.
4. If the money is borrowed, the bank loan will be at a rate of 14 percent, amortized in 3 equal installments at the end of each year.
(5) The tentative lease terms call for payments of $320,000 at the end of each year for 3 years. The lease is a guideline lease.

(6) Under the proposed lease terms, the lessee must pay for insurance, property taxes, and maintenance.

(7) Sadik must use the equipment if it is to continue in business, so it will almost certainly want to acquire the property at the end of the lease. If it does, then under the lease terms it can purchase the machinery at its fair market value at that time. The best estimate of this market value is $200,000, but it could be much higher or lower under certain circumstances.

To assist management in making the proper lease-versus-buy decision, you are asked to answer the following questions:

a. Assuming that the lease can be arranged, should the firm lease or borrow and buy the equipment? Explain. (Hint: In this situation, the firm plans to use the asset beyond the term of the lease. Thus, the residual value becomes a cost to leasing in Year 3. The firm will depreciate the equipment it purchases under the purchase option starting in Year 3, using the MACRS 3-year class schedule. Depreciation will begin in the year in which the equipment is purchased, which is Year 3.)

b. Consider the $200,000 estimated residual value. Is it appropriate to discount it at the same rate as the other cash flows? What about the other cash flows—are they all equally risky? (Hint: Riskier cash flows are normally discounted at higher rates, but when the cash flows are costs rather than inflows, the normal procedure must be reversed.)

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

Start with the partial model in the file *[IFM9 Ch19 P06 Build a Model.xls]* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. As part of its overall plant modernization and cost reduction program, Western Fabrics’ management has decided to install a new automated weaving loom. In the capital budgeting analysis of this equipment, the IRR of the project was found to be 20 percent versus a project required return of 12 percent.

The loom has an invoice price of $250,000, including delivery and installation charges. The funds needed could be borrowed from the bank through a 4-year amortized loan at a 10 percent interest rate, with payments to be made at the end of each year. In the event the loom is purchased, the manufacturer will contract to maintain and service it for a fee of $20,000 per year paid at the end of each year. The loom falls in the MACRS 5-year class, and Western’s marginal federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent.

Aubey Automation Inc., maker of the loom, has offered to lease the loom to Western for $70,000 upon delivery and installation (at \( t = 0 \)) plus 4 additional annual lease payments of $70,000 to be made at the end of Years 1 to 4. (Note that there are 5 lease payments in total.) The lease agreement includes maintenance and servicing. Actually, the loom has an expected life of 8 years, at which time its expected salvage value is zero; however, after 4 years, its market value is expected to equal its book value of $42,500. Western plans to build an entirely new plant in 4 years, so it has no interest in either leasing or owning the proposed loom for more than that period.

a. Should the loom be leased or purchased?

b. The salvage value is clearly the most uncertain cash flow in the analysis. What effect would a salvage value risk adjustment have on the analysis? (Assume that the appropriate salvage value pre-tax discount rate is 15 percent.)
c. Assuming that the after-tax cost of debt should be used to discount all anticipated cash flows, at what lease payment would the firm be indifferent to either leasing or buying?

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

**minicase**

Lewis Securities Inc. has decided to acquire a new market data and quotation system for its Richmond home office. The system receives current market prices and other information from several online data services and then either displays the information on a screen or stores it for later retrieval by the firm’s brokers. The system also permits customers to call up current quotes on terminals in the lobby.

The equipment costs $1,000,000, and, if it were purchased, Lewis could obtain a term loan for the full purchase price at a 10 percent interest rate. Although the equipment has a 6-year useful life, it is classified as a special-purpose computer, so it falls into the MACRS 3-year class. If the system were purchased, a 4-year maintenance contract could be obtained at a cost of $20,000 per year, payable at the beginning of each year. The equipment would be sold after 4 years, and the best estimate of its residual value at that time is $200,000. However, since real-time display system technology is changing rapidly, the actual residual value is uncertain.

As an alternative to the borrow-and-buy plan, the equipment manufacturer informed Lewis that Consolidated Leasing would be willing to write a 4-year guideline lease on the equipment, including maintenance, for payments of $260,000 at the beginning of each year. Lewis’s marginal federal-plus-state tax rate is 40 percent. You have been asked to analyze the lease-versus-purchase decision, and in the process to answer the following questions:

a. (1) Who are the two parties to a lease transaction?
   
   (2) What are the five primary types of leases, and what are their characteristics?
   
   (3) How are leases classified for tax purposes?
   
   (4) What effect does leasing have on a firm’s balance sheet?
   
   (5) What effect does leasing have on a firm’s capital structure?

b. (1) What is the present value cost of owning the equipment? (Hint: Set up a time line that shows the net cash flows over the period $t = 0$ to $t = 4$, and then find the PV of these net cash flows, or the PV cost of owning.)
   
   (2) Explain the rationale for the discount rate you used to find the PV.

c. What is Lewis’s present value cost of leasing the equipment? (Hint: Again, construct a time line.)

d. What is the net advantage to leasing (NAL)? Does your analysis indicate that Lewis should buy or lease the equipment? Explain.

e. Now assume that the equipment’s residual value could be as low as $0$ or as high as $400,000,
but that $200,000 is the expected value. Since the residual value is riskier than the other cash flows in the analysis, this differential risk should be incorporated into the analysis. Describe how this could be accomplished. (No calculations are necessary, but explain how you would modify the analysis if calculations were required.) What effect would increased uncertainty about the residual value have on Lewis’s lease-versus-purchase decision?

f. The lessee compares the cost of owning the equipment with the cost of leasing it. Now put yourself in the lessor’s shoes. In a few sentences, how should you analyze the decision to write or not write the lease?

g. (1) Assume that the lease payments were actually $280,000 per year, that Consolidated Leasing is also in the 40 percent tax bracket, and that it also forecasts a $200,000 residual value. Also, to furnish the maintenance support, Consolidated would have to purchase a maintenance contract from the manufacturer at the same $20,000 annual cost, again paid in advance. Consolidated Leasing can obtain an expected 10 percent pre-tax return on investments of similar risk. What would Consolidated’s NPV and IRR of leasing be under these conditions?

(2) What do you think the lessor’s NPV would be if the lease payment were set at $260,000 per year? (Hint: The lessor’s cash flows would be a “mirror image” of the lessee’s cash flows.)

h. Lewis’s management has been considering moving to a new downtown location, and they are concerned that these plans may come to fruition prior to the expiration of the lease. If the move occurs, Lewis would buy or lease an entirely new set of equipment, and hence management would like to include a cancellation clause in the lease contract. What effect would such a clause have on the riskiness of the lease from Lewis’s standpoint? From the lessor’s standpoint? If you were the lessor, would you insist on changing any of the lease terms if a cancellation clause were added? Should the cancellation clause contain any restrictive covenants and/or penalties of the type contained in bond indentures or provisions similar to call premiums?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For information on the percentage cost of leasing, leasing’s effects on capital budgeting, and leveraged leases, see the Web Extension to this chapter.
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Case 12, “Powerline Network Corporation (Leasing).”
In previous chapters we examined common stocks and the various types of long-term debt. In this chapter, we examine three other types of long-term capital: (1) preferred stock, which is a hybrid security that represents a cross between debt and common equity; (2) warrants, which are derivative securities issued by firms to facilitate the issuance of some other type of security; and (3) convertibles, which combine the features of debt (or preferred stock) and warrants.
As you read this chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Why do companies use so many different types of instruments to raise capital? Why not just use debt and common stock?
2. If a company is thinking about issuing preferred stock to raise capital, what are some factors that it should consider? What factors should an investor consider before buying preferred stock?
3. What is a warrant? If a company decides to raise capital by issuing bonds with warrants, how would the terms on both the bond and the warrant be set? Consider in particular how the coupon rate and maturity of the bond would be related to the exercise price and life of the warrant, together with any other factors that might affect the decision.
4. What is a convertible? If a company decides to raise capital by issuing convertible bonds, how would the terms on the bond be set? Consider specifically the maturity, coupon rate, and call features of the bond, as well as the conversion price (or conversion ratio), together with any other parameters required for the analysis.
5. Suppose you just bought a convertible bond at its par value. Your broker gives you information on the bond’s conversion ratio, coupon rate, maturity, years of call protection, and the yield on nonconvertible bonds of similar risk and maturity. The company has a well-established payout ratio, and you also know the stock’s price, beta, and expected ROE. You also know the risk-free rate and the market risk premium.
   a. How could you use this information to determine how much you are paying for the option to convert?
   b. How would you determine the expected rate of return on the convertible, along with the expected return on the common stock and the straight bonds?
   c. Now suppose the company unexpectedly announced (1) an increase in its target dividend payout ratio from, say, 25 percent to 75 percent and (2) an increase in the dividend from $1 to $3 to conform to the new policy. Would the new dividend policy help or hurt you and other holders of the convertible bond? Explain.

### Preferred Stock

Preferred stock is a hybrid—it is similar to bonds in some respects and to common stock in other ways. Accountants classify preferred stock as equity, hence show it on the balance sheet as an equity account. However, from a finance perspective preferred stock lies somewhere between debt and common equity—it imposes a fixed charge and thus increases the firm’s financial leverage, yet omitting the preferred dividend does not force a company into bankruptcy. Also, unlike interest on debt, preferred dividends are not deductible by the issuing corporation, so preferred stock has a higher cost of capital than debt. We first describe the basic features of
Preferred stock, warrants, and convertibles have aspects of both debt and equity; hence they are called hybrid financing. As such, they affect a firm’s cost of capital.

**Basic Features**

Preferred stock has a par (or liquidating) value, often either $25 or $100. The dividend is stated as either a percentage of par, as so many dollars per share, or both ways. For example, several years ago Klondike Paper Company sold 150,000 shares of $100 par value perpetual preferred stock for a total of $15 million. This preferred had a stated annual dividend of $12 per share, so the preferred dividend yield was $12/$100 = 0.12, or 12 percent, at the time of issue. The dividend was set when the stock was issued; it will not be changed in the future. Therefore, if the required rate of return on preferred, r_p, changes from 12 percent after the issue date—as it did—then the market price of the preferred stock will go up or down. Currently, r_p for Klondike Paper’s preferred is 9 percent, and the price of the preferred has risen from $100 to $120/0.09 = $133.33.

If the preferred dividend is not earned, the company does not have to pay it. However, most preferred issues are cumulative, meaning that the cumulative total
of unpaid preferred dividends must be paid before dividends can be paid on the common stock. Unpaid preferred dividends are called **arrearages**. Dividends in arrears do not earn interest; thus, arrearages do not grow in a compound interest sense—they only grow from additional nonpayments of the preferred dividend. Also, many preferred stocks accrue arrearages for only a limited number of years, say, three years, meaning that the cumulative feature ceases after three years. However, the dividends in arrears continue in force until they are paid.

Preferred stock normally has no voting rights. However, most preferred issues stipulate that the preferred stockholders can elect a minority of the directors—say, three out of ten—if the preferred dividend is passed (omitted). Some preferreds even entitle their holders to elect a majority of the board.

Although nonpayment of preferred dividends will not bankrupt a company, corporations issue preferred with every intention of paying the dividend. Even if passing the dividend does not give the preferred stockholders control of the company, failure to pay a preferred dividend precludes payment of common dividends. In addition, passing the dividend makes it difficult to raise capital by selling bonds, and virtually impossible to sell more preferred or common stock except at rock bottom prices. However, having preferred stock outstanding does give a firm the chance to overcome its difficulties—if bonds had been used instead of preferred stock, a company could be forced into bankruptcy before it could straighten out its problems. Thus, from the viewpoint of the issuing corporation, preferred stock is less risky than bonds.

However, for an investor preferred stock is riskier than bonds: (1) Preferred stockholders’ claims are subordinated to those of bondholders in the event of liquidation, and (2) bondholders are more likely to continue receiving income during hard times than are preferred stockholders. Accordingly, investors require a higher after-tax rate of return on a given firm’s preferred stock than on its bonds. However, since 70 percent of preferred dividends is exempt from corporate taxes, preferred stock is attractive to corporate investors. Indeed, high-grade preferred stock, on average, sells on a lower pre-tax yield basis than high-grade bonds. As an example, Du Pont’s preferred stock recently had a market yield of about 7.0 percent, whereas its bonds provided a yield of 8.3 percent, or 1.3 percentage points more than its preferred. The tax treatment accounted for this differential; the after-tax yield to corporate investors was greater on the preferred stock than on the bonds.1

About half of all preferred stock issued in recent years has been convertible into common stock. For example, Lucent Technologies issued $1.75 billion in $1,000 par value convertible preferred stock that stipulated that one share of preferred could be exchanged for 133.7 shares of common stock, at the option of the preferred stockholder. Convertibles are discussed at length in a later section.

Some preferred stocks are similar to perpetual bonds in that they have no maturity date, but most new issues now have specified maturities. For example, many preferred shares have a sinking fund provision that calls for the retirement of 2 percent of the issue each year, meaning that the issue will “mature” in a

---

1The after-tax yield on an 8.3 percent bond to a corporate investor in the 34 percent marginal tax rate bracket is 
8.3%(1 − T) = 8.3%(0.66) = 5.48%. The after-tax yield on a 7.0 percent preferred stock is 7.0%(1 − Effective T) = 
7.0%(1 − (0.30)(0.34)) = 7.0%(0.898) = 6.29%. Also, note that tax law prohibits firms from issuing debt and then 
using the proceeds to purchase another firm’s preferred stock. If debt is used for stock purchases, then the 70 percent 
dividend exclusion is voided. This provision is designed to prevent a firm from engaging in “tax arbitrage,” using 
tax-deductible debt to purchase largely tax-exempt preferred stock.
Suppose your company needs cash to finance a sure-winner expansion. However, its bond covenants forbid any additional borrowing, and these covenants also prohibit the payment of cash dividends, which rules out conventional preferred stock. To make matters worse, the company’s stock price is trading near its 52-week low, so you don’t want to issue new common stock. Is there any way you can raise the needed funds?

Two companies recently came up with innovative answers. Intermedia Communications Corp. issued $300 million of exchangeable preferred stock with a payment-in-kind (PIK) dividend. The 13.5 percent dividend is payable in additional shares of the preferred stock rather than in cash. Therefore, this instrument provided Intermedia with the cash it needed yet still complied with the bond covenants. In addition, the exchange feature allows Intermedia to convert the preferred stock into debt when its financial situation improves to the point where the debt covenants are no longer binding. Similarly, Nextel Communications issued $150 million of another first-time-ever security, zero coupon convertible preferred stock. The issue price was $26 per share, and the maturity value was $98 per share in 15 years, which resulted in a yield of 9.25 percent. Because it isn’t debt and it doesn’t pay coupons, the security avoided the restrictions in Nextel’s debt covenants. The preferred stock can also be converted into common stock, and the preferred stockholders will exercise this option if Nextel’s stock enjoys a sharp increase.

Wall Street’s “financial engineers” are constantly trying to develop new securities that appeal to issuers and investors. In the mid-1990s Goldman Sachs created a special type of preferred stock whose dividends are deductible for the issuing company, just like interest is deductible. These securities trade under a variety of colorful names, including MIPS (Modified Income Preferred Securities), QUIPS (Quarterly Income Preferred Securities), TOPrS (Trust Originated Preferred Stock), and QUIDS (Quarterly Income Debt Securities). The corporation that wants to raise capital (the “parent”) establishes a trust, which issues fixed-dividend preferred stock. The parent then issues bonds (or debt of some type) to the trust, and the trust pays for the bonds with the cash raised from the sale of preferred. At that point, the parent has the cash it needs, the trust holds debt issued by the parent, and the investing public holds preferred stock issued by the trust. The parent then makes interest payments to the trust, and the trust uses that income to make the preferred dividend payments. Because the parent company has issued debt, its interest payments are tax deductible.

If the dividends could be excluded from taxable income by corporate investors, this preferred would really be a great deal—the issuer could deduct the interest, corporate investors could exclude most of the dividends, and the IRS would be the loser. The corporate parent does get to deduct the interest paid to the trust, but IRS regulations do not allow the dividends on these securities to be excluded.

Because there is only one deduction, why are these new securities attractive? The answer is as follows: (1) Because the parent company gets to take the deduction, its cost of funds from the preferred is $r_p(1 - T)$, just as it would be if it used debt. (2) The parent generates tax savings, and it can thus afford to pay a relatively high rate on trust-related preferred; that is, it can pass on some of its tax savings to investors to induce them to buy the new securities. (3) The primary purchasers of the preferred are low-tax-bracket individuals and tax-exempt institutions such as pension funds. For such purchasers, not being able to exclude the dividend from taxable income is not important. (4) Due to the differential tax rates, the arrangement results in net tax savings. Competition in capital markets results in a sharing of the savings between investors and corporations.

In the mid-1990s the Treasury attempted unsuccessfully to do away with the deductibility on these instruments, arguing that they were more like equity than debt. For example, in 1993 Enron issued MIPS through a subsidiary, deducted the interest, but described them as preferred stock when reporting to shareholders. Enron’s stated intention was to use these securities to increase its debt/equity ratio and improve its credit rating, apparently by issuing what amounted to equity, but still deducting the payments. But how does issuing these securities really affect the company’s risk? The debt is still an obligation of the parent company and so may increase the parent’s risk, not decrease it. In fact, the credit rating agencies determined that Enron’s MIPS increased its risk, like debt would, and warned that these shenanigans would not improve its credit rating.

tax rates may have an incentive to issue preferred stock that can be bought by corporate investors with high tax rates, who can take advantage of the 70 percent dividend exclusion. If a firm has a lower tax rate than potential corporate buyers, the firm might be better off issuing preferred stock than debt. The key here is that the tax advantage to a high-tax-rate corporation is greater than the tax disadvantage to a low-tax-rate issuer. To illustrate, assume that risk differentials between debt and preferred would require an issuer to set the interest rate on new debt at 10 percent and the dividend yield on new preferred at 2 percent higher, or 12 percent in a no-tax world. However, when taxes are considered, a corporate buyer with a high tax rate, say, 40 percent, might be willing to buy the preferred stock if it has an 8 percent before-tax yield. This would produce an 8% \( (1 - \frac{8}{10}) = 8\% \times (1 - 0.30(0.40)) = 7.04\% \) after-tax return on the preferred versus 10% \( (1 - 0.40) = 6.0\% \) on the debt. If the issuer has a low tax rate, say, 10 percent, its after-tax costs would be 10% \( (1 - T) = 10\% \times (0.90) = 9\% \) on the bonds and 8 percent on the preferred. Thus, the security with lower risk to the issuer, preferred stock, also has a lower cost. Such situations can make preferred stock a logical financing choice.\(^4\)

**Other Types of Preferred Stock**

In addition to the “plain vanilla” variety of preferred stocks, several variations are also used. Two of these, floating rate and market auction preferred, are discussed in the following sections.

**Adjustable Rate Preferred Stock**

Instead of paying fixed dividends, **adjustable rate preferred stocks** (ARPs) have their dividends tied to the rate on Treasury securities. The ARPs, which are issued mainly by utilities and large commercial banks, were touted as nearly perfect short-term corporate investments since (1) only 30 percent of the dividends are taxable to corporations, and (2) the floating rate feature was supposed to keep the issue trading at near par. The new security proved to be so popular as a short-term investment for firms with idle cash that mutual funds designed just to invest in them sprouted like weeds (shares of the funds, in turn, were purchased by corporations). However, the ARPs still had some price volatility due (1) to changes in the riskiness of the issues (some big banks that had issued ARPs, such as Continental Illinois, ran into serious loan default problems) and (2) to the fact that Treasury yields fluctuated between dividend rate adjustments dates. Thus, the ARPs had too much price instability to be held in the liquid asset portfolios of many corporate investors.

**Market Auction Preferred Stock**

In 1984, investment bankers introduced **money market, or market auction, preferred.** Here the underwriter conducts an auction on the issue every seven weeks (to get the 70 percent exclusion from taxable income, buyers must hold the stock at least 46 days). Holders who want to sell their shares can put them up for auction at par value. Buyers then submit bids in the form of the yields they are willing to accept over the next seven-week

---

period. The yield set on the issue for the coming period is the lowest yield sufficient to sell all the shares being offered at that auction. The buyers pay the sellers the par value; hence, holders are virtually assured that their shares can be sold at par. The issuer then must pay a dividend rate over the next seven-week period as determined by the auction. From the holder’s standpoint, market auction preferred is a low-risk, largely tax-exempt, seven-week maturity security that can be sold between auction dates at close to par. However, if there are not enough buyers to match the sellers (in spite of the high yield), then the auction can fail, which has occurred on occasion.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Preferred Stock

There are both advantages and disadvantages to financing with preferred stock. Here are the major advantages from the issuers’ standpoint:

1. In contrast to bonds, the obligation to pay preferred dividends is not firm, and passing a preferred dividend cannot force a firm into bankruptcy.
2. By issuing preferred stock, the firm avoids the dilution of common equity that occurs when common stock is sold.
3. Since preferred stock sometimes has no maturity, and since preferred sinking fund payments, if present, are typically spread over a long period, preferred issues reduce the cash flow drain from repayment of principal that occurs with debt issues.

There are two major disadvantages:

1. Preferred stock dividends are not normally deductible to the issuer; hence the after-tax cost of preferred is typically higher than the after-tax cost of debt. However, the tax advantage of preferreds to corporate purchasers lowers its pre-tax cost and thus its effective cost.
2. Although preferred dividends can be passed, investors expect them to be paid, and firms intend to pay the dividends if conditions permit. Thus, preferred dividends are considered to be a fixed cost. Therefore, their use, like that of debt, increases financial risk and thus the cost of common equity.

Self-Test Questions

Should preferred stock be considered as equity or debt? Explain.
Who are the major purchasers of nonconvertible preferred stock? Why?
Briefly explain the mechanics of adjustable rate and market auction preferred stock.
What are the advantages and disadvantages of preferred stock to the issuer?

WARRANTS

A warrant is a certificate issued by a company that gives the holder the right to buy a stated number of shares of the company’s stock at a specified price for some specified length of time. Generally, warrants are issued along with debt, and they are used to induce investors to buy long-term debt with a lower coupon rate than would otherwise be required. For example, when Infomatics Corporation, a rapidly growing high-tech company, wanted to sell $50 million of 20-year bonds in 2006, the company’s investment bankers informed the financial vice president that the bonds would be difficult to sell, and that a coupon rate of 10 percent would be required. However, as an alternative the bankers suggested that investors might be willing to buy the bonds with a coupon rate of only 8 percent if the company
would offer 20 warrants with each $1,000 bond, each warrant entitling the holder to buy one share of common stock at an exercise price of $22 per share. The stock was selling for $20 per share at the time, and the warrants would expire in the year 2016 if they had not been exercised previously.

Why would investors be willing to buy Infomatics’ bonds at a yield of only 8 percent in a 10 percent market just because warrants were also offered as part of the package? It is because the warrants are long-term call options that have value since holders can buy the firm’s common stock at the exercise price regardless of how high the market price climbs. This option offsets the low interest rate on the bonds and makes the package of low-yield bonds plus warrants attractive to investors. (See Chapter 6 for a discussion of options.)

Initial Market Price of a Bond with Warrants

The Infomatics bonds, if they had been issued as straight debt, would have carried a 10 percent interest rate. However, with warrants attached, the bonds were sold to yield 8 percent. Someone buying the bonds at their $1,000 initial offering price would thus be receiving a package consisting of an 8 percent, 20-year bond plus 20 warrants. Because the going interest rate on bonds as risky as those of Infomatics was 10 percent, we can find the straight-debt value of the bonds, assuming an annual coupon for ease of illustration, as follows:

Using a financial calculator, input N = 20, I = 10, PMT = 80, and FV = 1000. Then, press the PV key to obtain the bond’s value, $829.73, or approximately $830. Thus, a person buying the bonds in the initial underwriting would pay $1,000 and receive in exchange a straight bond worth about $830 plus 20 warrants presumably worth about $1,000 − $830 = $170:

Because investors receive 20 warrants with each bond, each warrant has an implied value of $170/20 = $8.50.

The key issue in setting the terms of a bond-with-warrants deal is valuing the warrants. The straight-debt value can be estimated quite accurately, as was done above. However, it is more difficult to estimate the value of the warrants. The Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model (OPM), which we discussed in Chapter 6, can be used to find the value of a call option. There is a temptation to use this model to find the value of a warrant, since call options are similar to warrants in many respects: Both give the investor the right to buy a share of stock at a fixed exercise price on or before the expiration date. However, there are major differences between call options and warrants. When call options are exercised, the stock provided to the optionholder comes from the secondary market, but when
warrants are exercised, the stock provided to the warrant holders is either newly issued shares or treasury stock the company has previously purchased. This means that the exercise of warrants dilutes the value of the original equity, which could cause the value of the original warrant to differ from the value of a similar call option. Also, call options typically have a life of just a few months, while warrants often have lives of ten years or more. Finally, the Black-Scholes model assumes that the underlying stock pays no dividend, which is not unreasonable over a short period but is unreasonable for five or ten years. Therefore, investment bankers cannot use the Black-Scholes model to determine the value of warrants.

Even though the Black-Scholes model cannot be used to determine a precise value for a warrant, there are more sophisticated models that work reasonably well. In addition, investment bankers can simply contact portfolio managers of mutual funds, pension funds, and other organizations that would be interested in buying the securities and get an indication of how many they would buy at different prices. In effect, the bankers hold a presale auction and determine the set of terms that will just clear the market. If they do this job properly, they will, in effect, be letting the market determine the value of the warrants.

Use of Warrants in Financing

Warrants generally are used by small, rapidly growing firms as sweeteners when they sell debt or preferred stock. Such firms frequently are regarded by investors as being highly risky, so their bonds can be sold only at extremely high coupon rates and with very restrictive indenture provisions. To avoid this, firms such as Infomatics often offer warrants along with the bonds. However, some years ago, AT&T raised $1.57 billion by selling bonds with warrants. At the time, this was the largest financing of any type ever undertaken by a business firm, and it marked the first use ever of warrants by a large, strong corporation.

Getting warrants along with bonds enables investors to share in the company’s growth, assuming it does in fact grow and prosper. Therefore, investors are willing to accept a lower interest rate and less restrictive indenture provisions. A bond with warrants has some characteristics of debt and some characteristics of equity. It is a hybrid security that provides the financial manager with an opportunity to expand the firm’s mix of securities and thus to appeal to a broader group of investors.

Virtually all warrants issued today are detachable. Thus, after a bond with attached warrants is sold, the warrants can be detached and traded separately from the bond. Further, even after the warrants have been exercised, the bond (with its low coupon rate) remains outstanding.

5It is interesting to note that before the AT&T issue, the New York Stock Exchange’s stated policy was that warrants could not be listed because they were “speculative” instruments rather than “investment” securities. When AT&T issued warrants, however, the Exchange changed its policy, agreeing to list warrants that met certain requirements. Many other warrants have since been listed.

It is also interesting to note that, prior to the sale, AT&T’s treasury staff, working with Morgan Stanley analysts, estimated the value of the warrants as a part of the underwriting decision. The package was supposed to sell for a total price in the neighborhood of $1,000. The bond value could be determined accurately, so the trick was to estimate the equilibrium value of the warrant under different possible exercise prices and years to expiration, and then to use an exercise price and life that would cause Bond value + Warrant value = $1,000. Using a warrant pricing model, the AT&T/Morgan Stanley analysts set terms that caused the warrant to sell on the open market at a price that was only 35¢ off from the estimated price.
The exercise price on warrants is generally set some 20 to 30 percent above the market price of the stock on the date the bond is issued. If the firm grows and prospers, causing its stock price to rise above the exercise price at which shares may be purchased, warrant holders could exercise their warrants and buy stock at the stated price. However, without some incentive, warrants would never be exercised prior to maturity—their value in the open market would be greater than their value if exercised, so holders would sell warrants rather than exercise them. There are three conditions that cause holders to exercise their warrants: (1) Warrant holders will surely exercise and buy stock if the warrants are about to expire and the market price of the stock is above the exercise price. (2) Warrant holders will exercise voluntarily if the company raises the dividend on the common stock by a sufficient amount. No dividend is earned on the warrant, so it provides no current income. However, if the common stock pays a high dividend, it provides an attractive dividend yield but limits stock price growth. This induces warrant holders to exercise their option to buy the stock. (3) Warrants sometimes have stepped-up exercise prices, which prod owners into exercising them. For example, Williamson Scientific Company has warrants outstanding with an exercise price of $25 until December 31, 2010, at which time the exercise price rises to $30. If the price of the common stock is over $25 just before December 31, 2010, many warrant holders will exercise their options before the stepped-up price takes effect and the value of the warrants falls.

Another desirable feature of warrants is that they generally bring in funds only if funds are needed. If the company grows, it will probably need new equity capital. At the same time, growth will cause the price of the stock to rise and the warrants to be exercised; hence the firm will obtain the cash it needs. If the company is not successful, and it cannot profitably employ additional money, the price of its stock will probably not rise enough to induce exercise of the warrants.

**Wealth Effects and Dilution Due to Warrants**

Assume that the value of Infomatics’ operations and investments, which is $250 million immediately after issuing the bonds with warrants, is expected to grow, and does grow, at 9 percent per year. When the warrants are due to expire in 10 years, the total value of Infomatics is expected to be $250(1.09)^{10} = $591.841 million. How is this value allocated among the original stockholders, the bondholders, and the warrant holders?

The bonds will have ten years remaining until maturity, with a fixed coupon payment of $80. If the expected market interest rate is still 10 percent, then the time line of cash flows will be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using a financial calculator, input N = 10, I = 10, PMT = 80, and FV = 1000. Press the PV key to obtain the bond’s value, $877.11. The total value of all of the bonds is 50,000($877.11) = $43.856 million.

The value remaining for the original stockholders and the warrant holders is equal to the remaining value of the firm, after deducting the amount owed to the bondholders. This remaining value is $591.841 − $43.856 = $547.985 million. If there had been no warrants, then the original stockholders would have been
entitled to all of this remaining value. Recall that there are 10 million shares of stock, so the price per share would be $547.985/10 = $54.80. Suppose the company has a basic earning power of 13.5 percent (recall that BEP = EBIT/Total Assets) and total assets of $591.841 million.\(^6\) This means that EBIT is \(0.135(591.841) = 79.899\) million; interest payments are $4 million ($80 coupon payment per bond \(\times\) 50,000 bonds); and earnings before taxes are $79.899 – $4 = $75.899 million. With a tax rate of 40 percent, after-tax earnings are equal to $75.899(1 – 0.4) = $45.539 million, and earnings per share are $45.539/10 = $4.55. To summarize, if Infomatics had no warrants, the stock price would be $54.80 per share, and the earnings per share would be $4.55.

But Infomatics does have warrants, and with the stock price over the exercise price of $22, the warrant holders will exercise their warrants. Table 20-1 shows how the exercise will affect the stock price. Infomatics will receive $22 million when the 1 million warrants are exercised at a price of $22 per warrant. This will make the total value of Infomatics equal to $613.841 million (the $591.841 million existing value plus the $22 million raised by the exercise of the warrants). The total value remaining for stockholders is now $569.985 million ($613.841 million less the $43.856 million allocated to bondholders). There are now 11 million shares of stock (the original 10 million plus the new 1 million due to the exercise of the warrants), so the stock price will be $569.985/11 = $51.82 per share. Note that this is lower than the $54.80 per share that Infomatics would have had if there had been no warrants. Thus, the warrants dilute the value of the stock.

A similar dilution occurs with earnings per share. After exercise, the asset base would increase from $591.841 million to $613.841 million, with the additional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 20-1 Dilution Effects of Warrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If There Are No Warrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If There Are Warrants and They Are Exercised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected value of operations and investments(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New capital from exercise of warrants(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value of firm (and total capital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less value of bonds at expiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value remaining for shareholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares outstanding(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price per share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The value of operations and investments is expected to grow from its current $250 million at a rate of 9 percent; $250(1.09)^{10} = 591.841.

\(^b\)The warrants will be exercised only if the stock price at expiration is above $22. If the stock price is less than $22, the warrants will expire worthless and there will be no new capital. Our calculations show the expected stock price is greater than $22, so the warrants are expected to be exercised.

\(^c\)If the warrants are exercised (see note b), then there will be 10 + 1 = 11 million shares outstanding. If the warrants are not exercised, there will only be 10 million shares outstanding.

\(^6\)In this case, the total market value equals the book value of assets, but the same calculations would follow even if market and book values were not equal.
$22 million coming from the sale of 1 million shares of stock at $22 per share. If the new funds have the same basic earning power as the existing funds, then the new EBIT would be $82.869 million. Interest payments would still be $4 million, so earnings before taxes would be $82.869 - $4 = $78.869 million, and after-tax earnings would be $78.869(1 - 0.4) = $47.321 million. With 10 + 1 = 11 million shares now outstanding, EPS would be $47.321/11 = $4.30, down from $4.55. Therefore, exercising the warrants would dilute EPS.

Has this wealth transfer harmed the original shareholders? The answer is yes and no. Yes, because the original shareholders clearly are worse off than they would have been if there had been no warrants. However, if there had been no warrants attached to the bonds, then the bonds would have had a 10 percent coupon rate instead of the 8 percent coupon rate. Also, if the value of the company had not increased as expected, then it might not have been profitable for the warrant holders to exercise their warrants. In other words, the original shareholders were willing to trade off the potential dilution for the lower coupon rate. In this example, the original stockholders and the investors in the bonds with warrants would be getting what they expected. Therefore, the answer is no: The wealth transfer at the time of exercise did not harm the original shareholders, because they expected an eventual transfer and were fairly compensated by the lower interest payments.

Note too that investors would recognize the situation, so the actual wealth transfer would occur gradually over time, not in one fell swoop when the warrants were exercised. First, EPS would have been reported on a diluted basis over the years, and on that basis, there would be no decline in reported EPS. (We discuss this in a later section of this chapter.) Also, investors would know what was happening, so the stock price, over time, would reflect the likely future dilution. Therefore, it too would be stable when the warrants were exercised. Thus, the effects of the warrants would be reflected in EPS and the stock price on a gradual basis over time.

The Component Cost of Bonds with Warrants

When Infomatics issued its bonds with warrants, the firm received $1,000 for each bond. Simultaneously, the company assumed an obligation to pay $80 interest for 20 years plus $1,000 at the end of 20 years. The pre-tax cost of the money would have been 10 percent if no warrants had been attached, but each Infomatics bond had 20 warrants, each of which entitles its holder to buy one share of stock for $22. What is the percentage cost of the debt? As we shall see, the cost is well above the 8 percent coupon rate on the bonds.

As we demonstrated earlier, when the warrants expire ten years from now, the expected stock price is $51.82. The company would then have to issue one share of stock worth $51.82 for each warrant exercised and, in return, Infomatics would receive the exercise price, $22. Thus, a purchaser of the bonds, if he or she holds the complete package, would expect to realize a profit in Year 10 of $51.82 - $22 = $29.82 for each common share issued. Since each bond has

---

7 It is not accurate to say that the expected profit from the warrant position is the expected stock price less the exercise price: $29.82 = $51.82 - $22. This is because if the stock price drops below the exercise price, in this case $22, then the warrant profit is $0, regardless of how low the stock price goes. Thus the expected payoff will be somewhat more than $29.82. Although this expectation can be calculated using options techniques similar to those in Chapter 6, it is beyond the scope of this chapter. However, if the probability that the stock price will drop below the exercise price is sufficiently small, then this difference is also small.
20 warrants attached, and each warrant entitles the holder to buy one share of common stock, investors would have a gain of \(20 \times (29.82) = 596.40\) per bond at the end of Year 10. Here is a time line of the expected cash flow stream to an investor:

![Time line of expected cash flow stream](image)

The IRR of this stream is 10.7 percent, which is the investor’s overall expected pre-tax rate of return on the issue. This return is 70 basis points higher than the 10 percent return on straight debt. The higher return reflects the fact that the issue is riskier to investors than a straight-debt issue because much of the return is expected to come in the form of stock price appreciation, and that part of the return is more risky than interest income.

The expected rate of return to investors is the same as the before-tax cost to the company—this was true of common stocks, straight bonds, and preferred stocks, and it is also true of bonds sold with warrants.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is a warrant?
Describe how a new bond issue with warrants is valued.
How are warrants used in corporate financing?
The use of warrants lowers the coupon rate on the corresponding debt issue. Does this mean that the component cost of a debt-plus-warrants package is less than the cost of straight debt? Explain.

**CONVERTIBLES**

Convertible securities are bonds or preferred stocks that, under specified terms and conditions, can be exchanged for (that is, converted into) common stock at the option of the holder. Unlike the exercise of warrants, which brings in additional funds to the firm, conversion does not provide new capital; debt (or preferred stock) is simply replaced on the balance sheet by common stock. Of course, reducing the debt or preferred stock will improve the firm’s financial strength and make it easier to raise additional capital, but that requires a separate action.

**Conversion Ratio and Conversion Price**

One of the most important provisions of a convertible security is the conversion ratio, CR, defined as the number of shares of stock a bondholder will receive upon conversion. Related to the conversion ratio is the conversion price, \(P_c\), which is the effective price investors pay for the common stock when conversion occurs. The relationship between the conversion ratio and the conversion price can be illustrated by Silicon Valley Software Company’s convertible debentures issued at their \$1,000\ par value in July of 2006. At any time prior to maturity on July 15, 2026, a debenture holder can exchange a bond for 20 shares of common stock. Therefore, the conversion ratio, CR, is 20. The bond cost a purchaser \$1,000, the
par value, when it was issued. Dividing the $1,000 par value by the 20 shares received gives a conversion price of $50 a share:

\[
\text{Conversion price} = P_c = \frac{\text{Par value of bond given up}}{\text{Shares received}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1,000}{CR} = \frac{1,000}{20} = 50
\]

Conversely, by solving for CR, we obtain the conversion ratio:

\[
\text{Conversion ratio} = CR = \frac{1,000}{P_c}
\]

\[
= \frac{1,000}{50} = 20 \text{ shares}
\]

Once CR is set, the value of \( P_c \) is established, and vice versa.

Like a warrant’s exercise price, the conversion price is typically set some 20 to 30 percent above the prevailing market price of the common stock on the issue date. Generally, the conversion price and conversion ratio are fixed for the life of the bond, although sometimes a stepped-up conversion price is used. For example, the 2006 convertible debentures for Breedon Industries are convertible into 12.5 shares until 2016; into 11.76 shares from 2016 until 2026; and into 11.11 shares from 2026 until maturity in 2036. The conversion price thus starts at $80, rises to $85, and then goes to $90. Breedon’s convertibles, like most, have a ten-year call-protection period.

Another factor that may cause a change in the conversion price and ratio is a standard feature of almost all convertibles—the clause protecting the convertible against dilution from stock splits, stock dividends, and the sale of common stock at prices below the conversion price. The typical provision states that if common stock is sold at a price below the conversion price, then the conversion price must be lowered (and the conversion ratio raised) to the price at which the new stock was issued. Also, if the stock is split, or if a stock dividend is declared, the conversion price must be lowered by the percentage amount of the stock dividend or split. For example, if Breedon Industries were to have a two-for-one stock split during the first ten years of its convertible’s life, the conversion ratio would automatically be adjusted from 12.5 to 25, and the conversion price lowered from $80 to $40. If this protection were not contained in the contract, a company could completely thwart conversion by the use of stock splits and stock dividends. Warrants are similarly protected against dilution.

The standard protection against dilution from selling new stock at prices below the conversion price can, however, get a company into trouble. For example, assume that Breedon’s stock was selling for $65 per share at the time the convertible was issued. Further, suppose the market went sour, and Breedon’s stock price dropped to $30 per share. If Breedon needed new equity to support operations, a new common stock sale would require the company to lower the conversion price on the convertible debentures from $80 to $30. That would raise the
value of the convertibles and, in effect, transfer wealth from current shareholders to the convertible holders. This transfer would, de facto, amount to an additional flotation cost on the new common stock. Potential problems such as this must be kept in mind by firms considering the use of convertibles or bonds with warrants.

**The Component Cost of Convertibles**

In the spring of 2006, Silicon Valley Software was evaluating the use of the convertible bond issue described earlier. The issue would consist of 20-year convertible bonds that would sell at a price of $1,000 per bond; this $1,000 would also be the bond’s par (and maturity) value. The bonds would pay a 10 percent annual coupon interest rate, or $100 per year. Each bond would be convertible into 20 shares of stock, so the conversion price would be $1,000/20 = $50. The stock was expected to pay a dividend of $2.80 during the coming year, and it sold at $35 per share. Further, the stock price was expected to grow at a constant rate of 8 percent per year. Therefore, \( r_s = \hat{r}_s = \frac{D_t}{P_t} + g = \frac{2.80}{35} + 8\% = 8\% + 8\% = 16\% \). If the bonds were not made convertible, they would have to provide a yield of 13 percent, given their riskiness and the general level of interest rates. The convertible bonds would not be callable for 10 years, after which they could be called at a price of $1,050, with this price declining by $5 per year thereafter. If, after 10 years, the conversion value exceeds the call price by at least 20 percent, management would probably call the bonds.

Figure 20-1 shows the expectations of both an average investor and the company.\(^8\)

1. The horizontal line at \( M = $1,000 \) represents the par (and maturity) value. Also, $1,000 is the price at which the bond is initially offered to the public.
2. The bond is protected against a call for 10 years. It is initially callable at a price of $1,050, and the call price declines thereafter by $5 per year. Thus, the call price is represented by the solid section of the line \( V_0M'' \).
3. Since the convertible has a 10 percent coupon rate, and since the yield on a nonconvertible bond of similar risk is 13 percent, the expected “straight-bond” value of the convertible, \( B_t \), must be less than par. At the time of issue, assuming an annual coupon, \( B_0 \) is $789:

\[
B_0 = \sum_{t=1}^{20} \frac{\text{Coupon interest}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{\text{Maturity value}}{(1 + r_d)^N}
\]

\[
= \sum_{t=1}^{20} \frac{100}{(1.13)^t} + \frac{1,000}{(1.13)^{20}} = 789
\]

Note, however, that the bond’s straight-debt value must be $1,000 at maturity, so the straight-debt value rises over time. \( B_t \) follows the line \( B_0M'' \) in the graph.
4. The bond’s initial conversion value, \( C_t \), or the value of the stock an investor would receive if the bonds were converted at \( t = 0 \), is \( P_0(CR) = 35(20 \text{ shares}) = 700 \). Since the stock price is expected to grow at an 8 percent rate,
the conversion value should rise over time. For example, in Year 5 it should be $P_5(CR) = \$35(1.08)^5(20) = \$1,029$. The conversion value given the expected stock price over time is given by the line $C_t$ in Figure 20-1.

**Figure 20-1** Silicon Valley Software: Convertible Bond Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pure-Bond Value, $B_t$</th>
<th>Conversion Value, $C_t$</th>
<th>Maturity Value, $M$</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
<th>Floor Value</th>
<th>Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$789</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$789</td>
<td>$211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,344</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>1,296</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,398</td>
<td>1,296</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3,263</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3,263</td>
<td>3,263</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. The actual market price of the bond can never fall below the higher of its straight-debt value or its conversion value. If the market price dropped below the straight-bond value, those who wanted bonds would recognize the bargain and buy the convertible as a bond. Similarly, if the market price dropped below the conversion value, people would buy the convertibles, exercise them to get stock, and then sell the stock at a profit. Therefore, the higher of the bond value and conversion value curves in the graph represents a \textit{floor price} for the bond. In Figure 20-1, the floor price is represented by the thicker shaded line $B_0XC_t$.

6. The bond's market value will typically exceed its floor value. It will exceed the straight-bond value because the option to convert is worth something—a 10 percent bond with conversion possibilities is worth more than a 10 percent bond without this option. The convertible's price will also exceed its conversion value because holding the convertible is equivalent to holding a call option, and, prior to expiration, the option’s true value is higher than its exercise (or conversion) value. We cannot say exactly where the market value line will lie, but as a rule it will be at or above the floor set by the straight-bond and conversion value lines.

7. At some point, the market value line will converge with the conversion value line. This convergence will occur for two reasons. First, the stock should pay higher and higher dividends as the years go by, but the interest payments on the convertible are fixed. For example, Silicon’s convertibles would pay $100 in interest annually, while the dividends on the 20 shares received upon conversion would initially be $20($2.80) = $56. However, at an 8 percent growth rate, the dividends after ten years would be up to $120.90, but the interest would still be $100. Thus, rising dividends will push against the fixed interest payments, causing the premium to disappear and investors to convert voluntarily. Second, once the bond becomes callable, its market value cannot exceed the higher of the conversion value and the call price without exposing investors to the danger of a call. For example, suppose that ten years after issue (when the bonds become callable), the market value of the bond is $1,600, the conversion value is $1,500, and the call price is $1,050. If the company called the bonds the day after you bought ten bonds for $16,000, you would be forced to convert into stock worth only $15,000, so you would suffer a loss of $100 per bond, or $1,000, in one day. Recognizing this danger, you and other investors would simply not pay a premium over the higher of the call price or the conversion value once the bond becomes callable. Therefore, in Figure 20-1, we assume that the market value line hits the conversion value line in Year 10, when the bond becomes callable.

8. Let $n$ represent the year when investors expect conversion to occur, either voluntarily because of rising dividends or because the company calls the convertibles to strengthen its balance sheet by substituting equity for debt. In our example, we assume that $n = 10$, the first call date.

9. Since $n = 10$, the expected market value at Year 10 is $35(1.08)^{10} \times (20) = $1,511. An investor can find the expected rate of return on the convertible bond, $r_c$, by finding the IRR of the following cash flow stream:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \quad -$1,000 \\
1 & \quad +$100 \\
9 & \quad +$100 \\
10 & \quad +$100 \\
& \quad + $1,511 \\
& \quad + $1,611
\end{align*}
\]
The solution is $r_c = \text{IRR} = 12.8$ percent.\footnote{As in the case with warrants (see footnote 7 in this chapter), the expected conversion value is not exactly equal to the expected stock price multiplied by the conversion ratio. This is because if after 10 years the stock price happens to be low so that the conversion value is less than the call price, then the bondholders won’t want to convert—they’ll surrender their bonds if called. In this example, if the stock price after 10 years is less than $1,050/20 = $52.50, the bondholders won’t convert if the bonds are called—and realizing this, the company won’t call the bonds. So with a low stock price, the bondholders will just keep the bonds, whose value depends on interest rates at that time. Finding the expected value of this is a very difficult problem (and is beyond the scope of this text)! However, if the expected stock price is sufficiently greater than the conversion price if called (in this case, $35\times[1.08]^{10} = $75.56 is much bigger than $52.50), the difference between the expected conversion value and the conversion value at the expected stock price will be small and we can base the calculations as we did on the conversion value at the expected stock price.}

10. The return on a convertible is expected to come partly from interest income and partly from capital gains; in this case, the total expected return is 12.8 percent, with 10 percent representing interest income and 2.8 percent representing the expected capital gain. The interest component is relatively assured, while the capital gain component is more risky. Therefore, a convertible's expected return is more risky than that of a straight bond. This leads us to conclude that $r_c$ should be larger than the cost of straight debt, $r_d$. Thus, it would seem that the expected rate of return on Silicon’s convertibles, $r_c$, should lie between its cost of straight debt, $r_d = 13\%$, and its cost of common stock, $r_s = 16\%$.

11. Investment bankers use the type of model described here, plus a knowledge of the market, to set the terms on convertibles (the conversion ratio, coupon interest rate, and years of call protection) such that the security will just “clear the market” at its $1,000 offering price. In our example, the required conditions do not hold—the calculated rate of return on the convertible is only 12.8 percent, which is less than the 13 percent cost of straight debt. Therefore, the terms on the bond would have to be made more attractive to investors. Silicon Valley Software would have to increase the coupon interest rate on the convertible above 10 percent, raise the conversion ratio above 20 (and thereby lower the conversion price from $50 to a level closer to the current $35 market price of the stock), lengthen the call-protected period, or use a combination of these changes such that the expected rate of return on the convertible ends up between 13 and 16 percent.\footnote{In this discussion, we ignore the tax advantages to investors associated with capital gains. In some situations, tax effects could result in $r_c$ being less than $r_d$.}

Use of Convertibles in Financing

Convertibles have two important advantages from the issuer’s standpoint: (1) Convertibles, like bonds with warrants, offer a company the chance to sell debt with a low interest rate in exchange for giving bondholders a chance to participate in the company’s success if it does well. (2) In a sense, convertibles provide a way to sell common stock at prices higher than those currently prevailing. Some companies actually want to sell common stock, not debt, but feel that the price of their stock is temporarily depressed. Management may know, for example, that earnings are depressed because of startup costs associated with a new project, but they expect earnings to rise sharply during the next year or so, pulling the price of the stock up with them. Thus, if the company sold stock now, it would be giving up more shares than necessary to raise a given amount of capital. However, if it set the conversion price 20 to 30 percent above the present market price of the stock, then 20 to 30 percent fewer shares would be given up when the bonds were
converted than if stock were sold directly at the current time. Note, however, that management is counting on the stock’s price to rise above the conversion price to make the bonds attractive in conversion. If earnings do not rise and pull the stock price up, hence conversion does not occur, then the company will be saddled with debt in the face of low earnings, which could be disastrous.

How can the company be sure that conversion will occur if the price of the stock rises above the conversion price? Typically, convertibles contain a call provision that enables the issuing firm to force holders to convert. Suppose the conversion price is $50, the conversion ratio is 20, the market price of the common stock has risen to $60, and the call price on a convertible bond is $1,050. If the company calls the bond, bondholders can either convert into common stock with a market value of 20($60) or allow the company to redeem the bond for $1,050. Naturally, bondholders prefer $1,200 to $1,050, so conversion would occur. The call provision thus gives the company a way to force conversion, provided the market price of the stock is greater than the conversion price. Note, however, that most convertibles have a fairly long period of call protection—ten years is typical. Therefore, if the company wants to be able to force conversion fairly early, then it will have to set a short call-protection period. This will, in turn, require that it set a higher coupon rate or a lower conversion price.

From the standpoint of the issuer, convertibles have three important disadvantages: (1) Although the use of a convertible bond may give the company the opportunity to sell stock at a price higher than the price at which it could be sold currently, if the stock greatly increases in price, the firm would have been better off if it had used straight debt in spite of its higher cost and then later sold common stock and refunded the debt. (2) Convertibles typically have a low coupon interest rate, and the advantage of this low-cost debt will be lost when conversion occurs. (3) If the company truly wants to raise equity capital, and if the price of the stock does not rise sufficiently after the bond is issued, then the company will be stuck with debt.

### Convertibles and Agency Costs

A potential agency conflict between bondholders and stockholders is asset substitution, also known as “bait and switch.” Suppose a company has been investing in low-risk projects, and because its risk is low, bondholders charge a low interest rate. What happens if the company is considering a very risky but highly profitable venture, but potential lenders don’t know about it? The company might decide to raise low-interest-rate debt without spelling out that the funds will be invested in the risky project. After the funds have been raised and the investment is made, the value of the debt should fall because its interest rate will be too low to compensate debtholders for the high risk they bear. This is a “heads I win, tails you lose” situation, and it results in a wealth transfer from bondholders to stockholders.

Let’s use some numbers to illustrate this. The value of a company, based on the present value of its future free cash flows, is $800 million. It has $300 million of debt, based on market value. Therefore, its equity is worth $800 − $300 = $500 million. The company now undertakes some very risky projects, with high but risky expected returns, and its expected NPV remains unchanged. In other words, the actual NPV will probably end up much higher or much lower than under the old situation, but the firm still has the same expected value. Even though its total value is still $800 million, the value of the debt falls because its risk has increased. Note that the debtholders don’t benefit if the venture’s value is higher than expected, because the most they can receive is the contracted coupon
and the principal repayment. However, they will suffer if the value of the projects turns out to be lower than expected, since they might not receive the full value of their contracted payments. In other words, risk doesn’t give them any upside potential, but it does expose them to downside losses, so the bondholders’ expected value must decline.

With a constant total firm value, if the value of the debt falls from $300 to $200 million, then the value of equity must increase from $500 to $800 − $200 = $600 million. Thus, the bait-and-switch tactic causes a wealth transfer of $100 million from debtholders to stockholders.

If debtholders think that a company might employ the bait-and-switch tactic, they will charge a higher interest rate, and this higher interest rate is an agency cost. Debtholders will charge this higher rate even if the company has no intention of engaging in bait-and-switch behavior, since they don’t know the company’s true intentions. Therefore, they assume the worst and charge a higher interest rate.

Convertible securities are one way to mitigate this type of agency cost. Suppose the debt is convertible and the company does take on the high-risk project. If the value of the company turns out to be higher than expected, then bondholders can convert their debt to equity and benefit from the successful investment. Therefore, bondholders are willing to charge a lower interest rate on convertibles, which serves to minimize the agency costs.

Note that if a company does not engage in bait-and-switch behavior by swapping low-risk projects for high-risk projects, the chance of hitting a home run is reduced. Because there is less chance of a home run, the convertible bond is less likely to be converted. In this situation the convertible bonds are actually similar to nonconvertible debt, except that they carry a lower interest rate.

Now consider a different agency cost, one due to asymmetric information between the managers and potential new stockholders. Suppose its managers know that a company’s future prospects are not as good as the market believes, which means that the current stock price is too high. Acting in the interests of existing stockholders, managers can issue stock at the current high price. When the poor future prospects are eventually revealed, the stock price will fall, causing a transfer of wealth from the new shareholders to old shareholders.

To illustrate this, suppose the market estimates an $800 million present value of future free cash flows. For simplicity, assume that the firm has no nonoperating assets and no debt, so the total value of both the firm and the equity is $800 million. However, its managers know that the market has overestimated the future free cash flows, and the true value is only $700 million. When investors eventually discover this, the value of the company will drop to $700 million. But before this happens, suppose the company raises $200 million of new equity. The company uses this new cash to invest in projects with a present value of $200 million, which shouldn’t be too hard, since these are projects with a zero NPV. Right after the new stock is sold, the company will have a market value of $800 + $200 = $1,000 million, based on the market’s overly optimistic estimate of the company’s future prospects. Note that the new shareholders own 20 percent of the company ($200/$1,000 = 0.20), and the original shareholders own 80 percent.

As time passes, the market will realize that the previously estimated value of $800 million for the company’s original set of projects was too high, and that these projects are worth only $700 million. The new projects are still worth $200 million, so the total value of the company will fall to $700 + $200 = $900 million. The original shareholders’ value is now 80 percent of $900 million, which is $720 million. Note that this is $20 million higher than it would have been if the company had issued no new stock. The new shareholders’ value is now 0.20($900) =
$180 million, which is $20 million less than their original investment. The net effect is a $20 million wealth transfer from the new shareholders to the original shareholders.

Because potential shareholders know this might occur, they interpret an issue of new stock as a signal of poor future prospects, which causes the stock price to fall. Note also that this will occur even for companies whose future prospects are actually quite good, because the market has no way of distinguishing between companies with good versus poor prospects.

A company with good future prospects might want to issue equity, but it knows the market will interpret this as a negative signal. One way to obtain equity and yet avoid this signaling effect is to issue convertible bonds. Because the company knows its true future prospects are better than the market anticipates, it knows that the bonds will likely end up being converted to equity. Thus, a company in this situation is issuing equity through the back door when it issues convertible debt.

In summary, convertibles are logical securities to use in at least two situations. First, if a company would like to finance with straight debt, but lenders are afraid the funds will be invested in a manner that increases the firm’s risk profile, then convertibles are a good choice. Second, if a company wants to issue stock but thinks such a move would cause investors to interpret a stock offering as a signal of tough times ahead, then again convertibles would be a good choice.11

**Self-Test Questions**

What is a conversion ratio? A conversion price? A straight-bond value?
What is meant by a convertible’s floor value?
What are the advantages and disadvantages of convertibles to issuers? To investors?
How do convertibles reduce agency costs?

**A FINAL COMPARISON OF WARRANTS AND CONVERTIBLES**

Convertible debt can be thought of as straight debt with nondetachable warrants. Thus, at first blush, it might appear that debt with warrants and convertible debt are more or less interchangeable. However, a closer look reveals one major and several minor differences between these two securities.12 First, as we discussed previously, the exercise of warrants brings in new equity capital, while the conversion of convertibles results only in an accounting transfer.

A second difference involves flexibility. Most convertibles contain a call provision that allows the issuer either to refund the debt or to force conversion, depending on the relationship between the conversion value and call price. However, most warrants are not callable, so firms must wait until maturity for the warrants to generate new equity capital. Generally, maturities also differ between warrants and convertibles. Warrants typically have much shorter maturities than convertibles, and warrants typically expire before their accompanying debt matures. Further, warrants provide for fewer future common shares than do convertibles, because with convertibles all of the debt is converted to stock whereas debt remains outstanding when warrants are exercised. Together, these

---

facts suggest that debt-plus-warrant issuers are actually more interested in selling debt than in selling equity.

In general, firms that issue debt with warrants are smaller and riskier than those that issue convertibles. One possible rationale for the use of option securities, especially the use of debt with warrants by small firms, is the difficulty investors have in assessing the risk of small companies. If a startup with a new, untested product seeks debt financing, it is very difficult for potential lenders to judge the riskiness of the venture; hence it is difficult to set a fair interest rate. Under these circumstances, many potential investors will be reluctant to invest, making it necessary to set a very high interest rate to attract debt capital. By issuing debt with warrants, investors obtain a package that offers upside potential to offset the risks of loss.

Finally, there is a significant difference in issuance costs between debt with warrants and convertible debt. Bonds with warrants typically require issuance costs that are about 1.2 percentage points more than the flotation costs for convertibles. In general, bond-with-warrant financings have underwriting fees that approximate the weighted average of the fees associated with debt and equity issues, while underwriting costs for convertibles are more like those associated with straight debt.

Self-Test Questions

What are some differences between debt-with-warrant financing and convertible debt? Explain how bonds with warrants might help small, risky firms sell debt securities.

REPORTING EARNINGS WHEN WARRANTS OR CONVERTIBLES ARE OUTSTANDING

If warrants or convertibles are outstanding, a firm could theoretically report earnings per share in one of three ways:

1. **Basic EPS**, calculated as earnings available to common stockholders divided by the average number of shares actually outstanding during the period.

2. **Primary EPS**, calculated as earnings available divided by the average number of shares that would have been outstanding if warrants and convertibles “likely to be converted in the near future” had actually been exercised or converted. In calculating primary EPS, earnings are first adjusted by “backing out” the interest on the convertibles, after which the adjusted earnings are divided by the adjusted number of shares. Accountants have a formula that basically compares the conversion or exercise price with the actual market value of the stock to determine the likelihood of conversion when deciding on the need to use this adjustment procedure.

3. **Diluted EPS**, which is similar to primary EPS except that all warrants and convertibles are assumed to be exercised or converted, regardless of the likelihood of exercise or conversion.

Under SEC rules, firms are required to report both basic and diluted EPS. For firms with large amounts of option securities outstanding, there can be a substantial difference between the basic and diluted EPS figures. For financial statement purposes, firms reported diluted EPS until 1997, when the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) changed to basic EPS. According to FASB, the change was made to give investors a simpler picture of a company’s underlying performance. Also, the change makes it easier for investors to compare the performance of U.S. firms with their foreign counterparts, which tend to use basic EPS.
Self-Test Questions

What are the three possible methods for reporting EPS when warrants and convertibles are outstanding?
Which methods are most used in practice?
Why should investors be concerned about a firm’s outstanding warrants and convertibles?

SUMMARY

While common stock and long-term debt provide most of the capital used by corporations, companies also use several forms of “hybrid securities.” The hybrids include preferred stock, convertibles, and warrants, and they generally have some characteristics of debt and some of equity. We discussed the pros and cons of the hybrids from the standpoints of both issuers and investors, how to determine when to use them, and the factors that affect their values. The basic rationale for these securities, and the procedures used to evaluate them, are based on concepts developed in earlier chapters. The key concepts covered are listed below:

• Preferred stock is a hybrid—it is similar to bonds in some respects and to common stock in other ways.

• Adjustable rate preferred stocks (ARPs) are those whose dividends are tied to the rate on Treasury securities. Market auction (money market) preferred is a low-risk, largely tax-exempt, seven-week-maturity security that can be sold between auction dates at close to par.

• A warrant is a long-term call option issued along with a bond. Warrants are generally detachable from the bond, and they trade separately in the market. When warrants are exercised, the firm receives additional equity capital, and the original bonds remain outstanding.

• A convertible security is a bond or preferred stock that can be exchanged for common stock at the option of the holder. When a security is converted, debt or preferred stock is replaced with common stock, and no money changes hands.

• Warrant and convertible issues are generally structured so that the exercise or conversion price is 20 to 30 percent above the stock’s price at time of issue.

• Although both warrants and convertibles are option securities, there are several differences between the two, including separability, impact when exercised, callability, maturity, and flotation costs.

• Warrants and convertibles are “sweeteners” used to make the underlying debt or preferred stock issue more attractive to investors. Although the coupon rate or dividend yield is lower when options are part of the issue, the overall cost of the issue is higher than the cost of straight debt or preferred, because option-related securities are riskier.

QUESTIONS

20-1 Define each of the following terms.
   a. Preferred stock
   b. Cumulative dividends; arrearages
   c. Warrant; detachable warrant
   d. Stepped-up price
   e. Convertible security
   f. Conversion ratio; conversion price; conversion value
   g. “Sweetener”
Is preferred stock more like bonds or common stock? Explain.

What effect does the trend in stock prices (subsequent to issue) have on a firm’s ability to raise funds through (a) convertibles and (b) warrants?

If a firm expects to have additional financial requirements in the future, would you recommend that it use convertibles or bonds with warrants? What factors would influence your decision?

How does a firm’s dividend policy affect each of the following?

a. The value of its long-term warrants.

b. The likelihood that its convertible bonds will be converted.

c. The likelihood that its warrants will be exercised.

Evaluate the following statement: “Issuing convertible securities represents a means by which a firm can sell common stock at a price above the existing market.”

Why do corporations often sell convertibles on a rights basis?

Suppose a company simultaneously issues $50 million of convertible bonds with a coupon rate of 10 percent and $50 million of straight bonds with a coupon rate of 14 percent. Both bonds have the same maturity. Does the fact that the convertible issue has the lower coupon rate suggest that it is less risky than the straight bond? Is the cost of capital lower on the convertible than on the straight bond? Explain.

PROBLEMS

Gregg Company recently issued two types of bonds. The first issue consisted of 20-year straight debt with an 8 percent annual coupon. The second issue consisted of 20-year bonds with a 6 percent annual coupon and attached warrants. Both issues sold at their $1,000 par values. What is the implied value of the warrants attached to each bond?

Peterson Securities recently issued convertible bonds with a $1,000 par value. The bonds have a conversion price of $40 a share. What is the convertible issue’s conversion ratio?

Maese Industries Inc. has warrants outstanding that permit the holders to purchase 1 share of stock per warrant at a price of $25.

a. Calculate the exercise value of the firm’s warrants if the common sells at each of the following prices: (1) $20, (2) $25, (3) $30, (4) $100. (Hint: A warrant’s exercise value is the difference between the stock price and the purchase price specified by the warrant if the warrant were to be exercised.)

b. At what approximate price do you think the warrants would actually sell under each condition indicated above? What time value (price minus exercise value) is implied in your price? Your answer is a guess, but your prices and time values should bear reasonable relationships to one another.

c. How would each of the following factors affect your estimates of the warrants’ prices and time values in part b?

(1) The life of the warrant.

(2) Expected variability ($\sigma_p$) in the stock’s price.

(3) The expected growth rate in the stock’s EPS.

(4) The company announces a change in dividend policy: whereas it formerly paid no dividends, henceforth it will pay out all earnings as dividends.

d. Assume the firm’s stock now sells for $20 per share. The company wants to sell some 20-year, annual interest, $1,000 par value bonds. Each bond will have
attached 50 warrants, each exercisable into 1 share of stock at an exercise price of $25. The firm’s straight bonds yield 12 percent. Regardless of your answer to part b, assume that each warrant will have a market value of $3 when the stock sells at $20. What coupon interest rate, and dollar coupon, must the company set on the bonds with warrants if they are to clear the market?

The Tsetsekos Company was planning to finance an expansion in the summer of 2006. The principal executives of the company all agreed that an industrial company such as theirs should finance growth by means of common stock rather than by debt. However, they felt that the price of the company’s common stock did not reflect its true worth, so they decided to sell a convertible security. They considered a convertible debenture but feared the burden of fixed interest charges if the common stock did not rise in price to make conversion attractive. They decided on an issue of convertible preferred stock, which would pay a dividend of $2.10 per share.

The common stock was selling for $42 a share at the time. Management projected earnings for 2006 at $3 a share and expected a future growth rate of 10 percent a year in 2007 and beyond. It was agreed by the investment bankers and the management that the common stock would sell at 14 times earnings, the current price/earnings ratio.

a. What conversion price should be set by the issuer? The conversion ratio will be 1.0; that is, each share of convertible preferred can be converted into 1 share of common. Therefore, the convertible’s par value (and also the issue price) will be equal to the conversion price, which, in turn, will be determined as a percentage over the current market price of the common. Your answer will be a guess, but make it a reasonable one.

b. Should the preferred stock include a call provision? Why?

Fifteen years ago, Roop Industries sold $400 million of convertible bonds. The bonds had a 40-year maturity, a 5 3/4 percent coupon rate, and were sold at their $1,000 par value. The conversion price was set at $62.75; the common stock price was $55 per share. The bonds were subordinated debentures, and they were given an A rating; straight nonconvertible debentures of the same quality yielded about 8 3/4 percent at the time Roop’s bonds were issued.

a. Calculate the premium on the bonds, that is, the percentage excess of the conversion price over the stock price at the time of issue.

b. What is Roop’s annual interest savings on the convertible issue versus a straight-debt issue?

c. Suppose the price of Roop’s common stock fell from $55 on the day the bonds were issued to $32.75 now, 15 years after the issue date. Assume interest rates remained constant. Do you think it is likely that the bonds would have been converted? (Calculate the value of the stock you would receive by converting the bond.)

d. The bonds originally sold for $1,000. If interest rates on A-rated bonds had remained constant at 8 3/4 percent and the stock price had fallen to $32.75, what do you think would have happened to the price of the convertible bonds?

e. Now suppose the price of Roop’s common stock had fallen from $55 on the day the bonds were issued to $32.75 at present, 15 years after the issue. Suppose also that the rate of interest had fallen from 8 3/4 to 5 3/4 percent. Under these conditions, what do you think would have happened to the price of the bonds?

The Howland Carpet Company has grown rapidly during the past 5 years. Recently, its commercial bank urged the company to consider increasing its permanent financing. Its bank loan under a line of credit has risen to $250,000, carrying an 8 percent interest rate. Howland has been 30 to 60 days late in paying trade creditors.
Discussions with an investment banker have resulted in the decision to raise $500,000 at this time. Investment bankers have assured the firm that the following alternatives are feasible (flotation costs will be ignored):

- **Alternative 1:** Sell common stock at $8.
- **Alternative 2:** Sell convertible bonds at an 8 percent coupon, convertible into 100 shares of common stock for each $1,000 bond (that is, the conversion price is $10 per share).
- **Alternative 3:** Sell debentures at an 8 percent coupon, each $1,000 bond carrying 100 warrants to buy common stock at $10.

John L. Howland, the president, owns 80 percent of the common stock and wishes to maintain control of the company. One hundred thousand shares are outstanding. The following are extracts of Howland’s latest financial statements:

**Balance Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current liabilities</th>
<th>$400,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, par $1</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>Total claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income Statement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sales $1,100,000</th>
<th>All costs except interest 990,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>$ 110,000</td>
<td>Interest 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>$ 90,000</td>
<td>Taxes (40%) 36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 54,000</td>
<td>Shares outstanding 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 0.54</td>
<td>Price/earnings ratio 15.83×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market price of stock</td>
<td>$ 8.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Show the new balance sheet under each alternative. For Alternatives 2 and 3, show the balance sheet after conversion of the bonds or exercise of the warrants. Assume that half of the funds raised will be used to pay off the bank loan and half to increase total assets.

b. Show Mr. Howland’s control position under each alternative, assuming that he does not purchase additional shares.

c. What is the effect on earnings per share of each alternative, if it is assumed that profits before interest and taxes will be 20 percent of total assets?

d. What will be the debt ratio under each alternative?

e. Which of the three alternatives would you recommend to Howland, and why?

Niendorf Incorporated needs to raise $25 million to construct production facilities for a new type of diskette drive. The firm’s straight nonconvertible debentures currently yield 9 percent. Its stock sells for $23 per share; the last dividend was $2; and the expected growth rate is a constant 6 percent. Investment bankers have tentatively proposed that the firm raise the $25 million by issuing convertible debentures. These convertibles would have a $1,000 par value, carry a coupon rate of 8 percent, have a 20-year maturity, and be convertible into 35 shares of stock. The bonds would be noncallable for 5 years, after which they would be callable at a price of $1,075; this call price would decline by $5 per year in Year 6 and each year thereafter. Management has called convertibles in the past (and presumably it will call them again in the future), once they were eligible for call,
when the bonds’ conversion value was at least 20 percent above the bonds’ par value (not their call price).

a. Draw an accurate graph similar to Figure 20-1 representing the expectations set forth above. (Assume an annual coupon.)

b. What is the expected rate of return on the proposed convertible issue?

c. Do you think that these bonds could be successfully offered to the public at par? That is, does $1,000 seem to be an equilibrium price in view of the stated terms? If not, suggest the type of change that would have to be made to cause the bonds to trade at $1,000 in the secondary market, assuming no change in capital market conditions.

d. Suppose the projects outlined here work out on schedule for 2 years, but then the firm begins to experience extremely strong competition from Japanese firms. As a result, Niendorf’s expected growth rate drops from 6 percent to zero. Assume that the dividend at the time of the drop is $2.38. The company’s credit strength is not impaired, and its value of r_s is also unchanged. What would happen (1) to the stock price, and (2) to the convertible bond’s price? Be as precise as you can.

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

20-8
Build a Model: Convertible Bond Analysis

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch20 P08 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework Problem 20-7, parts b, c, and d, and answer the following additional question:

e. Assume that the convertible bondholders require a 12 percent rate of return. If the coupon rate is set at 8 percent, then what conversion ratio will give a bond price of $1,000? Given a conversion ratio of 35 percent, what coupon rate will give a bond price of $1,000?

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

minicase

Paul Duncan, financial manager of EduSoft Inc., is facing a dilemma. The firm was founded 5 years ago to provide educational software for the rapidly expanding primary and secondary school markets. Although EduSoft has done well, the firm’s founder believes that an industry shakeout is imminent. To survive, EduSoft must grab market share now, and this will require a large infusion of new capital.
Because he expects earnings to continue rising sharply and looks for the stock price to follow suit, Mr. Duncan does not think it would be wise to issue new common stock at this time. On the other hand, interest rates are currently high by historical standards, and with the firm’s B rating, the interest payments on a new debt issue would be prohibitive. Thus, he has narrowed his choice of financing alternatives to two securities: (1) bonds with warrants or (2) convertible bonds. As Duncan’s assistant, you have been asked to help in the decision process by answering the following questions:

a. How does preferred stock differ from both common equity and debt? Is preferred stock more risky than common stock? What is floating rate preferred stock?

b. How can a knowledge of call options help a financial manager to better understand warrants and convertibles?

c. One of the firm’s alternatives is to issue a bond with warrants attached. EduSoft’s current stock price is $20, and its investment banker estimates that the cost of a 20-year, annual coupon bond without warrants would be 10 percent. The bankers suggest attaching 45 warrants, each with an exercise price of $25, to each $1,000 bond. It is estimated that each warrant, when detached and traded separately, would have a value of $3.

(1) What coupon rate should be set on the bond with warrants if the total package is to sell for $1,000?

(2) Suppose the bonds were issued and the warrants immediately traded on the open market for $5 each. What would this imply about the terms of the issue? Did the company “win” or “lose”?

(3) When would you expect the warrants to be exercised? Assume they have a 10-year life; that is, they expire 10 years after issue.

(4) Will the warrants bring in additional capital when exercised? If so, how much, and what type of capital?

(5) Because warrants lower the cost of the accompanying debt issue, shouldn’t all debt be issued with warrants? What is the expected return to the holders of the bond with warrants (or the expected cost to the company) if the warrants are expected to be exercised in 10 years? EduSoft’s stock price is currently $20 per share and is expected to grow at 8 percent per year. How would you expect the cost of the bond with warrants to compare with the cost of straight debt? With the cost of common stock?

d. As an alternative to the bond with warrants, Mr. Duncan is considering convertible bonds. The firm’s investment bankers estimate that EduSoft could sell a 20-year, 8.5 percent annual coupon, callable convertible bond for its $1,000 par value, whereas a straight-debt issue would require a 10 percent coupon. The convertibles would be call protected for 5 years, the call price would be $1,100, and the company would probably call the bonds as soon as possible after their conversion value exceeds $1,200. Note, though, that the call must occur on an issue date anniversary. EduSoft’s current stock price is $20, its last dividend was $1.00; and the dividend is expected to grow at a constant 8 percent rate. The convertible could be converted into 40 shares of EduSoft stock at the owner’s option.

(1) What conversion price is built into the bond?

(2) What is the convertible’s straight-debt value? What is the implied value of the convertibility feature?

(3) What is the formula for the bond’s expected conversion value in any year? What is its conversion value at Year 0? At Year 10?

(4) What is meant by the “floor value” of a convertible? What is the convertible’s expected floor value at Year 0? At Year 10?

(5) Assume that EduSoft intends to force conversion by calling the bond as soon as possible after its conversion value exceeds 20 percent above its par value, or $1,200. When is the issue expected to be called? (Hint: Recall that the call must be made on an anniversary date of the issue.)

(6) What is the expected cost of capital for the convertible to EduSoft? Does this cost appear to be consistent with the riskiness of the issue?

e. EduSoft’s market value capital structure is as follows (in millions of dollars):

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the company raises $20 million in additional capital by selling (1) convertibles or (2) bonds with warrants, what would its WACC be, and how would those figures compare with its current WACC? EduSoft’s tax rate is 40 percent.
f. Mr. Duncan believes that the costs of both the bond with warrants and the convertible bond are close enough to one another to call them even, and also consistent with the risks involved. Thus, he will make his decision based on other factors. What are some of the factors that he should consider?

g. How do convertible bonds help reduce agency costs?

SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For additional discussions on preferred stock, see


*Quite a bit of work has been done on warrant pricing. Some of the articles include*


For more insights into convertible pricing and use, see the Web Extension for this chapter and the following:


*The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at http://www.textchoice2.com.*

Klein-Brigham Series:

Working capital management involves two basic questions: (1) What is the appropriate amount of working capital, both in total and for each specific account, and (2) how should working capital be financed? Note that sound working capital management goes beyond finance. Indeed, the ideas for improving working capital management often stem from other disciplines. For example, experts in logistics, operations management, and information technology often work with the marketing group to develop a better way to deliver the firm’s products. Where finance comes into play is in evaluating the profitability of alternative proposals. In addition, financial managers determine how much cash a company must keep on hand, and how much short-term financing it should use.

We begin our discussion of working capital policy by reviewing some basic definitions and concepts:

1. **Working capital**, sometimes called **gross working capital**, simply refers to current assets used in operations.
2. **Net working capital** is defined as current assets minus current liabilities.
3. **Net operating working capital (NOWC)** is defined as operating current assets minus operating current liabilities. Generally, NOWC is equal to cash, accounts receivable, and inventories, less accounts payable and accruals.

The next section shows how working capital affects cash flows.
THE CASH CONVERSION CYCLE

Firms typically follow a cycle in which they purchase inventory, sell goods on credit, and then collect accounts receivable. This cycle is referred to as the cash conversion cycle.

An Illustration

Consider Real Time Computer Corporation (RTC), which in early 2006 introduced a new minicomputer that can perform 100 billion instructions per second and that will sell for $250,000. RTC expects to sell 40 computers in its first year.
Superior working capital management can dramatically reduce required investments in operations, which, in turn, can lead to larger free cash flows and greater firm value.

The effects of this new product on RTC’s working capital position were analyzed in terms of the following five steps:

1. RTC will order and then receive the materials it needs to produce the 40 computers it expects to sell. Because RTC and most other firms purchase materials on credit, this transaction will create an account payable. However, the purchase will have no immediate cash flow effect.

2. Labor will be used to convert the materials into finished computers. However, wages will not be fully paid at the time the work is done, so, like accounts payable, accrued wages will also build up.

3. The finished computers will be sold, but on credit. Therefore, sales will create receivables, not immediate cash inflows.

4. At some point before receivables are collected, RTC must pay off its accounts payable and accrued wages. This outflow must be financed.

5. The cycle will be completed when RTC’s receivables have been collected. At that time, the company can pay off the credit that was used to finance production, and it can then repeat the cycle.
The **cash conversion cycle model**, which focuses on the length of time between when the company makes payments and when it receives cash inflows, formalizes the steps outlined above.¹ The following terms are used in the model:

1. **Inventory conversion period**, which is the average time required to convert materials into finished goods and then to sell those goods. Note that the inventory conversion period is calculated by dividing inventory by sales per day. For example, if average inventories are $2 million and sales are $10 million, then the inventory conversion period is 73 days:

   \[
   \text{Inventory conversion period} = \frac{\text{Inventory}}{\text{Sales per day}}
   \]

   \[
   = \frac{2,000,000}{10,000,000/365} = 73 \text{ days}
   \]

   Thus, it takes an average of 73 days to convert materials into finished goods and then to sell those goods.²

2. **Receivables collection period**, which is the average length of time required to convert the firm’s receivables into cash, that is, to collect cash following a sale. The receivables collection period is also called the *days sales outstanding (DSO)*, and it is calculated by dividing accounts receivable by the average credit sales per day. If receivables are $657,534 and sales are $10 million, the receivables collection period is

   \[
   \text{Receivables collection period} = \text{DSO} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Sales/365}}
   \]

   \[
   = \frac{657,534}{10,000,000/365} = 24 \text{ days}
   \]

   Thus, it takes 24 days after a sale to convert the receivables into cash.

3. **Payables deferral period**, which is the average length of time between the purchase of materials and labor and the payment of cash for them. For example, if the firm on average has 30 days to pay for labor and materials, if its cost of

²Some analysts define the inventory conversion period as inventory divided by daily cost of goods sold. However, most published sources use the formula we show in Equation 21-1. In addition, some analysts use a 360-day year; however, unless stated otherwise, we will base all our calculations on a 365-day year.
goods sold is $8 million per year, and if its accounts payable average $657,534, then its payables deferral period can be calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Payables deferral period} = \frac{\text{Payables}}{\text{Purchases per day}} \quad \text{| 21-3 |}
\]

\[
= \frac{\text{Payables}}{\text{Cost of goods sold}/365}
\]

\[
= \frac{657,534}{8,000,000/365}
\]

\[
= 30 \text{ days}
\]

The calculated figure is consistent with the stated 30-day payment period.\(^3\)

4. **Cash conversion cycle**, which nets out the three periods just defined and therefore equals the length of time between the firm’s actual cash expenditures to pay for productive resources (materials and labor) and its own cash receipts from the sale of products (that is, the length of time between paying for labor and materials and collecting on receivables). The cash conversion cycle thus equals the average length of time a dollar is tied up in current assets.

We can now use these definitions to analyze the cash conversion cycle. First, the concept is diagrammed in Figure 21-1. Each component is given a number, and the cash conversion cycle can be expressed by this equation:

\[
(1) + (2) - (3) = (4)
\]

\[
\text{Inventory conversion period} + \text{Receivables collection period} - \text{Payables deferral period} = \text{Cash conversion cycle}
\]

---

\(^3\)Some sources define the payables deferral period as payables divided by daily sales.
To illustrate, suppose it takes Real Time an average of 73 days to convert raw materials to computers and then to sell them, and another 24 days to collect on receivables. However, 30 days normally elapse between receipt of raw materials and payment for them. Therefore, the cash conversion cycle would be 67 days:

\[
\text{Days in cash conversion cycle} = 73 \text{ days} + 24 \text{ days} - 30 \text{ days} = 67 \text{ days}
\]

To look at it another way,

\[
\text{Cash inflow delay} - \text{Payment delay} = \text{Net delay}
\]

\[
(73 \text{ days} + 24 \text{ days}) - 30 \text{ days} = 67 \text{ days}
\]

**Shortening the Cash Conversion Cycle**

Given these data, RTC knows when it starts producing a computer that it will have to finance the manufacturing costs for a 67-day period. The firm's goal should be to shorten its cash conversion cycle as much as possible without hurting operations. This would increase RTC's value, because the shorter the cash conversion cycle, the lower the required net operating working capital, and the higher the resulting free cash flow.

The cash conversion cycle can be shortened (1) by reducing the inventory conversion period by processing and selling goods more quickly, (2) by reducing the receivables collection period by speeding up collections, or (3) by lengthening the payables deferral period by slowing down the firm's own payments. To the extent that these actions can be taken *without increasing costs or depressing sales*, they should be carried out.

**Benefits**

We can illustrate the benefits of shortening the cash conversion cycle by looking again at Real Time Computer Corporation. As shown in Table 21-1, RTC currently has $2 million tied up in net operating working capital. Suppose RTC can improve its logistics and production processes so that its inventory conversion period drops to 65 days. The firm can also cut its receivable collection period to 23 days by billing customers daily rather than batching bills every other day as it now does. Finally, it can increase its payables deferral period by using remote disbursements, as discussed later in this chapter. Table 21-1 shows that the net effects of these improvements are a 10-day reduction in the cash conversion cycle and a $268,493 reduction in net operating capital.

Recall that free cash flow (FCF) is equal to NOPAT minus the net investments in operating capital. Therefore, if working capital decreases, FCF increases by that same amount. RTC's reduction in its cash conversion cycle would lead to a $268,493 increase in FCF. If sales stay at the same level, then the reduction in working capital would simply be a one-time cash inflow. However, suppose sales grow. When a company improves its working capital processes, they usually remain at their improved level. If the NOWC/Sales ratio remains at its new level, proportionately less working capital will be required to support the additional future sales, leading to an increase in projected FCF for each future year.

For example, if RTC's sales and costs increase next year by 10 percent, then its NOWC would also increase by 10 percent. Under the original working capital situation, the projected NOWC would be 1.10($2,000,000) = $2,200,000, which
means RTC would have to make an investment of $2,200,000 - $2,000,000 = $200,000 in new working capital. Under the improved scenario, the projected NOWC would be $2,000,000 - $1,731,507 = $268,493. Its new projected investment is only $1,904,658 - $1,731,507 = $173,151, which is $26,849 less than was required under the original scenario ($2,000,000 - $1,731,507 = $268,493). As this example shows, not only does the improvement in working capital processes produce a one-time free cash flow of $268,493 at the time of the improvement, but it also leads to an improved FCF of $26,849 in the next year, with additional improvements in future years. Therefore, an improvement in working capital management is a gift that keeps on giving.

The combination of the one-time cash inflow and the long-term improvement in free cash flow can add substantial value to a company. Two professors, Hyun-Han Shin and Luc Soenen, studied more than 2,900 companies during a recent 20-year period and found a strong relationship between a company’s cash conversion cycle and its performance. In particular, their results show that for the average company a 10-day improvement in the cash conversion cycle was associated with an increase in pre-tax operating profit margin from 12.76 to 13.02 percent. They also demonstrated that companies with a cash conversion cycle 10 days shorter than average also had an annual stock return that was 1.7 percentage points higher than that of an average company, even after adjusting for differences in risk. Given results like these, it’s no wonder firms now place so much emphasis on working capital management!

### Self-Test Questions

Define the following terms: inventory conversion period, receivables collection period, and payables deferral period. Give the equation for each term.

---

### Table 21-1 Benefits from Improving the Cash Conversion Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual sales</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold (COGS)</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory conversion period (days)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables collection period (days)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payable deferral period (days)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash conversion cycle (days)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventory</strong>(^a)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$1,780,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receivables</strong>(^b)</td>
<td>657,534</td>
<td>630,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payables</strong>(^c)</td>
<td>(657,534)</td>
<td>(679,452)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating working capital (NOWC)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$1,731,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in free cash flow = Original NOWC – Improved NOWC</td>
<td>$268,493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the cash conversion cycle? What is its equation?
What should the firm’s goal be regarding the cash conversion cycle? Explain your answer.
What are some actions the firm can take to shorten its cash conversion cycle?

ALTERNATIVE NET OPERATING WORKING CAPITAL POLICIES

A relaxed working capital policy is one in which relatively large amounts of cash and inventories are carried, where sales are stimulated by the use of a credit policy that provides liberal financing to customers and a corresponding high level of receivables, and where a company doesn’t take advantage of credit provided by accruals and accounts payable. Conversely, with a restricted working capital policy, the holdings of cash, inventories, and receivables are minimized, and accruals and payables are maximized. Under the restricted policy, NOWC is turned over more frequently, so each dollar of NOWC is forced to “work harder.” A moderate working capital policy is between the two extremes.

Under conditions of certainty—when sales, costs, lead times, payment periods, and so on, are known for sure—all firms would hold only minimal levels of working capital. Any larger amounts would increase the need for external funding without a corresponding increase in profits, while any smaller holdings would involve late payments to suppliers along with lost sales due to inventory shortages and an overly restrictive credit policy.

However, the picture changes when uncertainty is introduced. Here the firm requires some minimum amount of cash and inventories based on expected payments, expected sales, expected order lead times, and so on, plus additional holdings, or safety stocks, which enable it to deal with departures from the expected values. Similarly, accounts receivable levels are determined by credit terms, and the tougher the credit terms, the lower the receivables for any given level of sales. With a restricted policy, the firm would hold minimal safety stocks of cash and inventories, and it would have a tight credit policy even though this meant running the risk of losing sales. A restricted, lean-and-mean working capital policy generally provides the highest expected return on this investment, but it entails the greatest risk, while the reverse is true under a relaxed policy. The moderate policy falls in between the two extremes in terms of expected risk and return.

Recall that NOWC consists of cash, inventory, and accounts receivable, less accruals and accounts payable. Firms face a fundamental trade-off: Working capital is necessary to conduct business, and the greater the working capital, the smaller the danger of running short, hence the lower the firm’s operating risk. However, holding working capital is costly—it reduces a firm’s return on invested capital (ROIC), free cash flow, and value. The following sections discuss the individual components of NOWC.

Self-Test Questions
Identify and explain three alternative working capital policies.
What are the principal components of net operating working capital?
What are the reasons for not wanting to hold too little working capital? For not wanting to hold too much?

CASH MANAGEMENT

Approximately 1.5 percent of the average industrial firm’s assets are held in the form of cash, which is defined as demand deposits plus currency. Cash is often
What do L3 communications, America West, Anheuser Busch, Costco Wholesale, The Washington Post, and Burlington Northern Santa Fe have in common? Each of these companies leads its industry in CFO magazine’s annual survey of working capital management, which covers 1,000 firms with sales greater than $500 million. Each company is rated on the number of days tied up in working capital (DWC), defined as (Receivables + Inventory − Payables)/Daily sales.

The typical DWC is about 56. In 2002 Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) had an outstanding DWC of –39 days versus an industry average of 43! BNSF achieved this by reengineering its accounts receivable process, starting with the number of days it takes to submit a bill to customers. In 1997 it had about 50,000 bills on hand each day that had not yet been priced and rendered to customers. By working on its information systems, BNSF was able to automate much of the process, and it reduced unprocessed bills to about 15,000. BNSF then turned its attention to the number of days it takes a customer to pay. They found that their large customers would receive a batch of bills, but not pay any of them if the customer disputed any single bill in the batch. Working closely with marketing and sales, BNSF was able to greatly reduce the number of disputed bills. The net result of these efforts was a decrease in the days sales outstanding from 50 to 7. When coupled with very little inventory and its own ability to delay payments to its suppliers, BNSF’s cash conversion cycle became negative. This increased its free cash flow to such an extent that BNSF was able to implement a large stock repurchase program.

Reasons for Holding Cash

Firms hold cash for two primary reasons:

1. **Transactions.** Cash balances are necessary in business operations. Payments must be made in cash, and receipts are deposited in the cash account. Cash balances associated with routine payments and collections are known as transactions balances. Cash inflows and outflows are unpredictable, with the degree of predictability varying among firms and industries. Therefore, firms need to hold some cash in reserve for random, unforeseen fluctuations in inflows and outflows. These “safety stocks” are called precautionary balances, and the less predictable the firm’s cash flows, the larger such balances should be.
2. Compensation to banks for providing loans and services. A bank makes money by lending out funds that have been deposited with it, so the larger its deposits, the better the bank’s profit position. If a bank is providing services to a customer, it may require the customer to leave a minimum balance on deposit to help offset the costs of providing the services. Also, banks may require borrowers to hold deposits at the bank. Both types of deposits are called compensating balances. In a 1979 survey, 84.7 percent of responding companies reported that they were required to maintain compensating balances to help pay for bank services. Only 13.3 percent reported paying direct fees for banking services. By 1996 those findings were reversed: only 28 percent paid for bank services with compensating balances, while 83 percent paid direct fees. So, while the use of compensating balances to pay for services has declined, it is still a reason some companies hold so much cash.

In addition to holding cash for transactions, precautionary, and compensating balances, it is essential that the firm have sufficient cash to take trade discounts. Suppliers frequently offer customers discounts for early payment of bills. As we will see later in this chapter, the cost of not taking discounts is very high, so firms should have enough cash to permit payment of bills in time to take discounts.

Finally, firms often hold short-term investments in excess of the cash needed to support operations. We discuss short-term investments later in the chapter.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why is cash management important?

What are the two primary motives for holding cash?

**THE CASH BUDGET**

The cash budget shows the firm’s projected cash inflows and outflows over some specified period. Generally, firms use a monthly cash budget forecasted over the next year, plus a more detailed daily or weekly cash budget for the coming month. The monthly cash budgets are used for planning purposes, and the daily or weekly budgets for actual cash control.

In Chapter 9, we saw that MicroDrive’s projected sales were $3,300 million, resulting in a net cash flow from operations of $163 million. When all expenditures and financing flows are considered, its cash account was projected to increase by $1 million. Does this mean that it will not have to worry about cash shortages during the year? To answer this question, we must construct the cash budget.

To simplify the example, we will only consider the cash budget for the last half of the year. Further, we will not list every cash flow but rather focus on the operating cash flows. Sales peak in September, and all sales are made on terms of 2/10, net 40, meaning that a 2 percent discount is allowed if payment is made within 10 days, and, if the discount is not taken, the full amount is due in 40 days. However, like most companies, MicroDrive finds that some of its customers delay payment up to 90 days. Experience has shown that payment on 20 percent of dollar sales is made during the month in which the sale is made—these are the discount sales.

---


On 70 percent of sales, payment is made during the month immediately following the month of sale, and on 10 percent of sales, payment is made in the second month following the month of sale.

Costs average 70 percent of the sales prices of the finished products. These purchases are generally made one month before the firm expects to sell the finished products, but MicroDrive’s terms with its suppliers allow it to delay payments for 30 days. Accordingly, if July sales are forecasted at $300 million, then purchases during June will amount to $210 million, and this amount will actually be paid in July.

Such other cash expenditures as wages and lease payments are also built into the cash budget, and MicroDrive must make estimated tax payments of $30 million on September 15 and $20 million on December 15. Also, a $100 million payment for a new plant must be made in October. Assuming that the target cash balance is $10 million, and that it projects $15 million to be on hand on July 1, 2007, what will its monthly cash surpluses or shortfalls be for the period from July to December?

The monthly cash flows are shown in Table 21-2. Section I of the table provides a worksheet for calculating both collections on sales and payments on purchases. Line 1 gives the sales forecast for the period from May through December. (May and June sales are necessary to determine collections for July and August.) Next, Lines 2 through 5 show cash collections. Line 2 shows that 20 percent of the sales during any given month are collected during that month. Customers who pay in the first month, however, take the discount, so the cash collected in the month of sale is reduced by 2 percent; for example, collections during July for the $300 million of sales in that month will be 20 percent times sales times 1.0 minus the 2 percent discount = (0.20)($300)(0.98) = $59 million. Line 3 shows the collections on the previous month’s sales, or 70 percent of sales in the preceding month; for example, in July, 70 percent of the $250 million June sales, or $175 million, will be collected. Line 4 gives collections from sales two months earlier, or 10 percent of sales in that month; for example, the July collections for May sales are (0.10)($200) = $20 million. The collections during each month are summed and shown on Line 5; thus, the July collections represent 20 percent of July sales (minus the discount) plus 70 percent of June sales plus 10 percent of May sales, or $254 million in total.

Next, payments for purchases of raw materials are shown. July sales are forecasted at $300 million, so MicroDrive will purchase $210 million of materials in June (Line 6) and pay for these purchases in July (Line 7). Similarly, MicroDrive will purchase $280 million of materials in July to meet August’s forecasted sales of $400 million.

With Section I completed, Section II can be constructed. Cash from collections is shown on Line 8. Lines 9 through 14 list payments made during each month, and these payments are summed on Line 15. The difference between cash receipts and cash payments (Line 8 minus Line 15) is the net cash gain or loss during the month. For July there is a net cash loss of $11 million, as shown on Line 16.

In Section III, we first determine the cash balance MicroDrive would have at the start of each month, assuming no borrowing is done. This is shown on Line 17. MicroDrive will have $15 million on hand on July 1. The beginning cash balance (Line 17) is then added to the net cash gain or loss during the month (Line 16) to obtain the cumulative cash that would be on hand if no financing were done (Line 18). At the end of July, MicroDrive forecasts a cumulative cash balance of $4 million in the absence of borrowing.
### Table 21-2  MicroDrive Inc.: Cash Budget (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. COLLECTIONS AND PURCHASES WORKSHEET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Sales (gross)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) During month of sale:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2)(month’s sales)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) During first month after sale:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7(previous month’s sales)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) During second month after sale:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1(sales 2 months ago)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Total collections (2 + 3 + 4)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purchases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) 0.7(next month’s sales)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Payments (prior month’s purchases)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. CASH GAIN OR LOSS FOR MONTH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Collections (from Section I)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Payments for purchases (from Section I)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Wages and salaries</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Lease payments</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12) Other expenses</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14) Payment for plant construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15) Total payments</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) Net cash gain (loss) during month (Line 8 — Line 15)</td>
<td>($ 11)</td>
<td>($ 37)</td>
<td>($ 57)</td>
<td>$ 44</td>
<td>$ 114</td>
<td>$ 34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III. LOAN REQUIREMENT OR CASH SURPLUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17) Cash at start of month if no borrowing is doneb</td>
<td>$ 15</td>
<td>$ 4</td>
<td>($ 33)</td>
<td>($ 90)</td>
<td>($ 46)</td>
<td>$ 68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18) Cumulative cash: cash at start if no borrowing + gain or — loss (Line 16 + Line 17)</td>
<td>$ 4</td>
<td>($ 33)</td>
<td>($ 90)</td>
<td>($ 46)</td>
<td>$ 68</td>
<td>$ 102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19) Target cash balance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20) Cumulative surplus cash or loans outstanding to maintain $10 target cash balance (Line 18 — Line 19)c</td>
<td>($ 6)</td>
<td>($ 43)</td>
<td>($100)</td>
<td>($ 56)</td>
<td>$ 58</td>
<td>$ 92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

a Although the budget period is July through December, sales and purchase data for May and June are needed to determine collections and payments during July and August.

b The amount shown on Line 17 for July, the $15 balance (in millions), is on hand initially. The values shown for each of the following months on Line 17 are equal to the cumulative cash as shown on Line 18 for the preceding month; for example, the $4 shown on Line 17 for August is taken from Line 18 in the July column.

c When the target cash balance of $10 (Line 19) is deducted from the cumulative cash balance (Line 18), a resulting negative figure on Line 20 (shown in parentheses) represents a required loan, whereas a positive figure represents surplus cash. Loans are required from July through October, and surpluses are expected during November and December. Note also that firms can borrow or pay off loans on a daily basis, so the $6 borrowed during July would be done on a daily basis, as needed, and during October the $100 loan that existed at the beginning of the month would be reduced daily to the $56 ending balance, which, in turn, would be completely paid off during November.
The target cash balance, $10 million, is then subtracted from the cumulative cash balance to determine the firm’s borrowing requirements (shown in parentheses) or its surplus cash. Because MicroDrive expects to have cumulative cash, as shown on Line 18, of only $4 million in July, it will have to borrow $6 million to bring the cash account up to the target balance of $10 million. Assuming that this amount is indeed borrowed, loans outstanding will total $6 million at the end of July. (MicroDrive did not have any loans outstanding on July 1.) The cash surplus or required loan balance is given on Line 20; a positive value indicates a cash surplus, whereas a negative value indicates a loan requirement. Note that the surplus cash or loan requirement shown on Line 20 is a cumulative amount. MicroDrive must borrow $6 million in July. Then, it has an additional cash shortfall during August of $37 million as reported on Line 16, so its total loan requirement at the end of August is $6 + $37 = $43 million, as reported on Line 20. MicroDrive’s arrangement with the bank permits it to increase its outstanding loans on a daily basis, up to a prearranged maximum, just as you could increase the amount you owe on a credit card. MicroDrive will use any surplus funds it generates to pay off its loans, and because the loan can be paid down at any time, on a daily basis, the firm will never have both a cash surplus and an outstanding loan balance.

This same procedure is used in the following months. Sales will peak in September, accompanied by increased payments for purchases, wages, and other items. Receipts from sales will also go up, but the firm will still be left with a
$57 million net cash outflow during the month. The total loan requirement at the end of September will hit a peak of $100 million, the cumulative cash plus the target cash balance. The $100 million can also be found as the $43 million needed at the end of August plus the $57 million cash deficit for September.

Sales, purchases, and payments for past purchases will fall sharply in October, but collections will be the highest of any month because they will reflect the high September sales. As a result, MicroDrive will enjoy a healthy $44 million net cash gain during October. This net gain can be used to pay off borrowings, so loans outstanding will decline by $44 million, to $56 million.

MicroDrive will have an even larger cash surplus in November, which will permit it to pay off all of its loans. In fact, the company is expected to have $58 million in surplus cash by the month’s end, and another cash surplus in December will swell the excess cash to $92 million. With such a large amount of unneeded funds, MicroDrive’s treasurer will certainly want to invest in interest-bearing securities or to put the funds to use in some other way.

We intentionally kept this cash budget simple for illustrative purposes, but here are some potential refinements that you could easily incorporate: (1) Add dividend payments, stock issues, bond sales, interest income, and interest expenses. (2) Create a cash budget to determine weekly, or even daily, cash requirements. (3) Use simulation to estimate the probability distribution for the cash requirements. (4) Allow the target cash balance to vary over time, reflecting the seasonal nature of sales and operating activity.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the purpose of the cash budget?

What are the three major sections of a cash budget?

**CASH MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES**

Most business is conducted by large firms, many of which operate regionally, nationally, or even globally. They collect cash from many sources and make payments from a number of different cities or even countries. For example, companies such as IBM, General Motors, and Hewlett-Packard have manufacturing plants all around the world, even more sales offices, and bank accounts in virtually every city where they do business. Their collection points follow sales patterns. Some disbursements are made from local offices, but most are made in the cities where manufacturing occurs, or else from the home office. Thus, a major corporation might have hundreds or even thousands of bank accounts, and since there is no reason to think that inflows and outflows will balance in each account, a system must be in place to transfer funds from where they come in to where they are needed, to arrange loans to cover net corporate shortfalls, and to invest net corporate surpluses without delay. We discuss the most commonly used techniques for accomplishing these tasks in the following sections.

**Synchronizing Cash Flow**

If you as an individual were to receive income once a year, you would probably put it in the bank, draw down your account periodically, and have an average balance for the year equal to about half your annual income. If instead you could arrange to receive income weekly and to pay rent, tuition, and other charges on a weekly basis, and if you were confident of your forecasted inflows and outflows, then you could hold a tiny average cash balance.
Exactly the same situation holds for businesses—by improving their forecasts and by timing cash receipts to coincide with cash requirements, firms can hold their transactions balances to a minimum. Recognizing this, utility companies, oil companies, credit card companies, and so on, arrange to bill customers, and to pay their own bills, on regular “billing cycles” throughout the month. This synchronization of cash flows provides cash when it is needed and thus enables firms to reduce the cash balances needed to support operations.

**Speeding Up the Check-Clearing Process**

When a customer writes and mails a check, the funds are not available to the receiving firm until the check-clearing process has been completed. The bank must first make sure that the deposited check is good and the funds are available before it will give cash to the company.

In practice, it may take a long time for a firm to process incoming checks and obtain the use of the money. A check must first be delivered through the mail and then be cleared through the banking system before the money can be put to use. Checks received from the customers in distant cities are especially subject to delays because of mail delays and also because more banks are involved. For example, assume that we receive a check and deposit it in our bank. Our bank must send the check to the bank on which it was drawn. Only when this latter bank transfers funds to our bank are the funds available for us to use. Checks are generally cleared through the Federal Reserve System or through a clearinghouse set up by the banks in a particular city. Of course, if the check is deposited in the same bank on which it was drawn, that bank merely transfers funds by bookkeeping entries from one depositor to another. The length of time required for checks to clear is thus a function of the distance between the payer’s and the payee’s bank. In the case of private clearinghouses, clearing can range from one to three days. Checks are generally cleared through the Federal Reserve System in about two days, but mail delays can slow down things on each end of the Fed’s involvement in the process.

**Using Float**

*Float* is defined as the difference between the balance shown in a firm’s (or individual’s) checkbook and the balance on the bank’s records. Suppose a firm writes, on average, checks in the amount of $5,000 each day, and it takes six days for these checks to clear and be deducted from the firm’s bank account. This will cause the firm’s own checkbook to show a balance $30,000 smaller than the balance on the bank’s records; this difference is called **disbursement float**. Now suppose the firm also receives checks in the amount of $5,000 daily, but it loses four days while they are being deposited and cleared. This will result in $20,000 of **collections float**. In total, the firm’s **net float**—the difference between the $30,000 positive disbursement float and the $20,000 negative collections float—will be $10,000.

Delays that cause float arise because it takes time for checks (1) to travel through the mail (mail float), (2) to be processed by the receiving firm (processing float), and (3) to clear through the banking system (clearing, or availability, float). Basically, the size of a firm’s net float is a function of its ability to speed up collections on checks it receives and to slow down collections on checks it writes. Efficient firms go to great lengths to speed up the processing of incoming checks, thus putting the funds to work faster, and they try to stretch their own payments out as long as possible, sometimes by disbursing checks from banks in remote locations.
Speeding Up Receipts

Two major techniques are now used both to speed collections and to get funds where they are needed: (1) lockbox plans and (2) payment by wire or automatic debit.

Lockboxes A lockbox plan is one of the oldest cash management tools. In a lockbox system, incoming checks are sent to post office boxes rather than to corporate headquarters. For example, a firm headquartered in New York City might have its West Coast customers send their payments to a box in San Francisco, its customers in the Southwest send their checks to Dallas, and so on, rather than having all checks sent to New York City. Several times a day a local bank will collect the contents of the lockbox and deposit the checks into the company’s local account. In fact, some banks even have their lockbox operation located in the same facility as the post office. The bank then provides the firm with a daily record of the receipts collected, usually via an electronic data transmission system in a format that permits online updating of the firm’s accounts receivable records.

A lockbox system reduces the time required for a firm to receive incoming checks, to deposit them, and to get them cleared through the banking system so the funds are available for use. Lockbox services can accelerate the availability of funds by two to five days over the “regular” system.

Payment by Wire or Automatic Debit Firms are increasingly demanding payments of larger bills by wire, or even by automatic electronic debits. Under an electronic debit system, funds are automatically deducted from one account and added to another. This is, of course, the ultimate in a speeded-up collection process, and computer technology is making such a process increasingly feasible and efficient, even for retail transactions.

Self-Test Questions What is float? How do firms use float to increase cash management efficiency? What are some methods firms can use to accelerate receipts?

INVENTORY

Inventory management techniques are covered in depth in production management courses. Still, since financial managers have a responsibility both for raising the capital needed to carry inventory and for the firm’s overall profitability, we need to cover the financial aspects of inventory management here.

The twin goals of inventory management are (1) to ensure that the inventories needed to sustain operations are available, but (2) to hold the costs of ordering and carrying inventories to the lowest possible level. While analyzing improvements in the cash conversion cycle, we identified some of the cash flows associated with a reduction in inventory. In addition to the points made earlier, lower inventory levels reduce costs due to storage and handling, insurance, property taxes, and spoilage or obsolescence.

Consider Trane Corporation, which makes air conditioners, and recently adopted just-in-time inventory procedures. In the past, Trane produced parts on a steady basis, stored them as inventory, and had them ready whenever the company received an order for a batch of air conditioners. However, the company reached the point where its inventory covered an area equal to three football fields, and it still sometimes took as long as 15 days to fill an order. To make matters worse,
occasionally some of the necessary components simply could not be located, while in other instances the components were located but found to have been damaged from long storage.

Then Trane adopted a new inventory policy—it began producing components only after an order is received, and then sending the parts directly from the machines that make them to the final assembly line. The net effect: Inventories fell nearly 40 percent even as sales increased by 30 percent.

However, there are costs associated with holding too little inventory, and these costs can be severe. Generally, if a business carries small inventories, it must reorder frequently. This increases ordering costs. Even more important, firms can miss out on profitable sales, and also suffer a loss of goodwill that can lead to lower future sales if they experience stockouts. So, it is important to have enough inventory on hand to meet customer demands.

**Self-Test Question**

What are some costs associated with high inventories? With low inventories?

---

### RECEIVABLES MANAGEMENT

Firms would, in general, rather sell for cash than on credit, but competitive pressures force most firms to offer credit. Thus, goods are shipped, inventories are reduced, and an account receivable is created.\(^7\) Eventually, the customer will pay the account, at which time (1) the firm will receive cash and (2) its receivables will decline. Carrying receivables has both direct and indirect costs, but it also has an important benefit—increased sales.

Receivables management begins with the credit policy, but a monitoring system is also important. Corrective action is often needed, and the only way to know whether the situation is getting out of hand is with a good receivables control system.

### Credit Policy

The success or failure of a business depends primarily on the demand for its products—as a rule, the higher its sales, the larger its profits and the higher its stock price. Sales, in turn, depend on a number of factors, some exogenous but others under the firm’s control. The major controllable determinants of demand are sales prices, product quality, advertising, and the firm’s credit policy. Credit policy, in turn, consists of these four variables:

1. **Credit period**, which is the length of time buyers are given to pay for their purchases. For example, credit terms of “2/10, net 30” indicate that buyers may take up to 30 days to pay.
2. **Discounts** given for early payment, including the discount percentage and how rapidly payment must be made to qualify for the discount. The credit terms “2/10, net 30” allow buyers to take a 2 percent discount if they pay within 10 days. Otherwise, they must pay the full amount within 30 days.

---

\(^7\)Whenever goods are sold on credit, two accounts are created—an asset item entitled accounts receivable appears on the books of the selling firm, and a liability item called accounts payable appears on the books of the purchaser. At this point, we are analyzing the transaction from the viewpoint of the seller, so we are concentrating on the variables under its control, in this case, the receivables. We examine the transaction from the viewpoint of the purchaser later in this chapter, where we discuss accounts payable as a source of funds and consider their cost.
Herman Miller Inc. manufactures a wide variety of office furniture, and a typical order from a single customer might require work at five different plants. Each plant uses components from different suppliers, and each plant works on orders for many customers. Imagine all the coordination that is required. The sales force generates the order, the purchasing department orders components from suppliers, and the suppliers must order materials from their own suppliers. Then, the suppliers ship the components to Herman Miller, the factory builds the product, the different products are gathered together to complete the order, and then the order is shipped to the customer. If one part of that process malfunctions, then the order will be delayed, inventory will pile up, extra costs to expedite the order will be incurred, and the customer's goodwill will be damaged, which will hurt future sales growth.

To prevent such consequences, many companies are turning to a process called supply chain management (SCM). The key element in SCM is sharing information all the way from the point of sale at the product’s retailer to the suppliers, and even back to the suppliers’ suppliers. SCM requires special software, but even more important, it requires cooperation between the different companies and departments in the supply chain. This new culture of open communication is often difficult for many companies—they are reluctant to divulge operating information. For example, EMC Corp., a manufacturer of data storage systems, has become deeply involved in the design processes and financial controls of its key suppliers. Many of EMC’s suppliers were initially wary of these new relationships. However, SCM has been a win-win situation, with increases in value for EMC and its suppliers.

The same is true at many other companies. After implementing SCM, Herman Miller was able to reduce its days of inventory on hand by a week and to cut two weeks off of delivery times to customers. Herman Miller was also able to operate its plants at a 20 percent higher volume without additional capital expenditures. As another example, Heineken USA can now get beer from its breweries to its customers’ shelves in less than six weeks, compared with 10 to 12 weeks before implementing SCM. As these and other companies have found, SCM increases free cash flows, and that leads to higher stock prices.


3. *Credit standards*, which refer to the required financial strength of acceptable credit customers. Lower credit standards boost sales, but also increase bad debts.

4. *Collection policy*, which is measured by its toughness or laxity in attempting to collect on slow-paying accounts. A tough policy may speed up collections, but it might also anger customers, causing them to take their business elsewhere.

The credit manager is responsible for administering the firm’s credit policy. However, because of the pervasive importance of credit, the credit policy itself is normally established by the executive committee, which usually consists of the president plus the vice presidents of finance, marketing, and production.
The Accumulation of Receivables

The total amount of accounts receivable outstanding at any given time is determined by two factors: (1) the volume of credit sales and (2) the average length of time between sales and collections. For example, suppose Boston Lumber Company (BLC), a wholesale distributor of lumber products, opens a warehouse on January 1 and, starting the first day, makes sales of $1,000 each day. For simplicity, we assume that all sales are on credit, and customers are given 10 days to pay. At the end of the first day, accounts receivable will be $1,000; they will rise to $2,000 by the end of the second day; and by January 10, they will have risen to 10($1,000) = $10,000. On January 11, another $1,000 will be added to receivables, but payments for sales made on January 1 will reduce receivables by $1,000, so total accounts receivable will remain constant at $10,000. In general, once the firm’s operations have stabilized, this situation will exist:

\[
\text{Accounts receivable} = \text{Credit sales per day} \times \text{Length of collection period}
\]

\[
= $1,000 \times 10 \text{ days} = $10,000
\]

If either credit sales or the collection period changes, such changes will be reflected in accounts receivable.

Monitoring the Receivables Position

Investors—both stockholders and bank loan officers—should pay close attention to accounts receivable management, for, as we shall see, one can be misled by reported financial statements and later suffer serious losses on an investment.

When a credit sale is made, the following events occur: (1) Inventories are reduced by the cost of goods sold, (2) accounts receivable are increased by the sales price, and (3) the difference is profit, which is added to retained earnings. If the sale is for cash, then the cash from the sale has actually been received by the firm, but if the sale is on credit, the firm will not receive the cash from the sale unless and until the account is collected. Firms have been known to encourage “sales” to very weak customers in order to report high profits. This could boost the firm’s stock price, at least until credit losses begin to lower earnings, at which time the stock price will fall. Analyses along the lines suggested in the following sections will detect any such questionable practice, as well as any unconscious deterioration in the quality of accounts receivable. Such early detection could help both investors and bankers avoid losses.

Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) Suppose Super Sets Inc., a television manufacturer, sells 200,000 television sets a year at a price of $198 each. Further, assume that all sales are on credit with the following terms: If payment is made within 10 days, customers will receive a 2 percent discount; otherwise the full amount is due within 30 days. Finally, assume that 70 percent of the customers take discounts and pay on Day 10, while the other 30 percent pay on Day 30.

Super Sets’s days sales outstanding (DSO), sometimes called the average collection period (ACP), is 16 days:

\[
\text{DSO} = \text{ACP} = 0.7(10 \text{ days}) + 0.3(30 \text{ days}) = 16 \text{ days}
\]
Super Sets’s *average daily sales* (*ADS*) is $108,493:

\[
ADS = \frac{\text{Annual sales}}{365} = \frac{(\text{Units sold})(\text{Sales price})}{365} \quad | \text{21-6} |
\]

\[
= \frac{200,000(\$198)}{365} = \frac{\$39,600,000}{365} = \$108,493
\]

Super Sets’s accounts receivable, assuming a constant, uniform rate of sales throughout the year, will at any point in time be $1,735,888:

\[
\text{Receivables} = (\text{ADS})(\text{DSO}) \quad | \text{21-7} |
\]

\[
= (\$108,493)(16) = \$1,735,888
\]

Note also that its DSO, or average collection period, is a measure of the average length of time it takes Super Sets’s customers to pay off their credit purchases, and the DSO is often compared with an industry average DSO. For example, if all television manufacturers sell on the same credit terms, and if the industry average DSO is 25 days versus Super Sets’s 16 days, then Super Sets either has a higher percentage of discount customers or else its credit department is exceptionally good at ensuring prompt payment.

Finally, note that if you know both the annual sales and the receivables balance, you can calculate DSO as follows:

\[
\text{DSO} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Sales per day}} = \frac{\$1,735,888}{\$108,493} = 16 \text{ days}
\]

The DSO can also be compared with the firm’s own credit terms. For example, suppose Super Sets’s DSO had been averaging 35 days. With a 35-day DSO, some customers would obviously be taking more than 30 days to pay their bills. In fact, if many customers were paying within 10 days to take advantage of the discount, the others must, on average, be taking much longer than 35 days. One way to check this possibility is to use an aging schedule as described in the next section.

**Aging Schedules**  An aging schedule breaks down a firm’s receivables by age of account. Table 21-3 contains the December 31, 2006, aging schedules of two television manufacturers, Super Sets and Wonder Vision. Both firms offer the same credit terms, and both show the same total receivables. However, Super Sets’s aging schedule indicates that all of its customers pay on time—70 percent pay on Day 10 while 30 percent pay on Day 30. Wonder Vision’s schedule, which is more typical, shows that many of its customers are not abiding by its credit terms—some 27 percent of its receivables are more than 30 days old, even though Wonder Vision’s credit terms call for full payment by Day 30.

Aging schedules cannot be constructed from the type of summary data reported in financial statements; they must be developed from the firm’s accounts receivable ledger. However, well-run firms have computerized their accounts...
receivable records, so it is easy to determine the age of each invoice, to sort electronically by age categories, and thus to generate an aging schedule.

Management should constantly monitor both the DSO and the aging schedule to detect trends, to see how the firm’s collection experience compares with its credit terms, and to see how effectively the credit department is operating in comparison with other firms in the industry. If the DSO starts to lengthen, or if the aging schedule begins to show an increasing percentage of past-due accounts, then the firm’s credit policy may need to be tightened.

Although a change in the DSO or the aging schedule should signal the firm to investigate its credit policy, a deterioration in either of these measures does not necessarily indicate that the firm’s credit policy has weakened. In fact, if a firm experiences sharp seasonal variations, or if it is growing rapidly, then both the aging schedule and the DSO may be distorted. To see this point, note that the DSO is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DSO} = \frac{\text{Accounts receivable}}{\text{Sales/365}}
\]

Since receivables at a given point in time reflect sales in the last month or so, but sales as shown in the denominator of the equation are for the last 12 months, a seasonal increase in sales will increase the numerator more than the denominator, hence will raise the DSO. This will occur even if customers are still paying exactly as before. Similar problems arise with the aging schedule if sales fluctuate widely. Therefore, a change in either the DSO or the aging schedule should be taken as a signal to investigate further, but not necessarily as a sign that the firm’s credit policy has weakened.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how a new firm’s receivables balance is built up over time.

Define days sales outstanding (DSO). What can be learned from it? How is it affected by sales fluctuations?

What is an aging schedule? What can be learned from it? How is it affected by sales fluctuations?

---

**Table 21-3 Aging Schedules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Account (Days)</th>
<th>SUPER SETS</th>
<th>WONDER VISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value of Account</td>
<td>Percentage of Total Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>$1,215,122</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–30</td>
<td>520,766</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total receivables</td>
<td>$1,735,888</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACCRUALS AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE (TRADE CREDIT)

Recall that net operating working capital is equal to operating current assets minus operating current liabilities. The previous sections discussed the management of operating current assets (cash, inventory, and accounts receivable), and the following sections discuss the two major types of operating current liabilities, accruals and accounts payable.

Accruals

Firms generally pay employees on a weekly, biweekly, or monthly basis, so the balance sheet will typically show some accrued wages. Similarly, the firm’s own estimated income taxes, Social Security and income taxes withheld from employee payrolls, and sales taxes collected are generally paid on a weekly, monthly, or quarterly basis; hence the balance sheet will typically show some accrued taxes along with accrued wages.

These accruals increase automatically, or spontaneously, as a firm’s operations expand. However, a firm cannot ordinarily control its accruals: The timing of wage payments is set by economic forces and industry custom, while tax payment dates are established by law. Thus, firms use all the accruals they can, but they have little control over the levels of these accounts.

Accounts Payable (Trade Credit)

Firms generally make purchases from other firms on credit, recording the debt as an account payable. Accounts payable, or trade credit, is the largest single category of operating current liabilities, representing about 40 percent of the current liabilities of the average nonfinancial corporation. The percentage is somewhat larger for smaller firms: Because small companies often do not qualify for financing from other sources, they rely especially heavily on trade credit.

Trade credit is a “spontaneous” source of financing in the sense that it arises from ordinary business transactions. For example, suppose a firm makes average purchases of $2,000 a day on terms of net 30, meaning that it must pay for goods 30 days after the invoice date. On average, it will owe 30 times $2,000, or $60,000, to its suppliers. If its sales, and consequently its purchases, were to double, then its accounts payable would also double, to $120,000. So, simply by growing, the firm would spontaneously generate an additional $60,000 of financing. Similarly, if the terms under which it bought were extended from 30 to 40 days, its accounts payable would expand from $60,000 to $80,000. Thus, lengthening the credit period, as well as expanding sales and purchases, generates additional financing.

The Cost of Trade Credit

Firms that sell on credit have a credit policy that includes certain terms of credit. For example, Microchip Electronics sells on terms of 2/10, net 30, meaning that it gives its customers a 2 percent discount if they pay within 10 days of the invoice date, but the full invoice amount is due and payable within 30 days if the discount is not taken.

Note that the true price of Microchip’s products is the net price, or 0.98 times the list price, because any customer can purchase an item at that price as long as the customer pays within 10 days. Now consider Personal Computer Company (PCC), which buys its memory chips from Microchip. One commonly used memory chip is
listed at $100, so the “true” price to PCC is $98. Now if PCC wants an additional 20 days of credit beyond the 10-day discount period, it must incur a finance charge of $2 per chip for that credit. Thus, the $100 list price consists of two components:

\[
\text{List price} = \text{$98 true price +$2 finance charge}
\]

The question PCC must ask before it turns down the discount to obtain the additional 20 days of credit from Microchip is this: Could we obtain credit under better terms from some other lender, say, a bank? In other words, could 20 days of credit be obtained for less than $2 per chip?

PCC buys an average of $11,923,333 of memory chips from Microchip each year at the net, or true, price. This amounts to $11,923,333/365 = $32,666.67 per day. For simplicity, assume that Microchip is PCC’s only supplier. If PCC decides not to take the additional trade credit—that is, if it pays on the 10th day and takes the discount—its payables will average 10($32,666.67) = $326,667. Thus, PCC will be receiving $326,667 of credit from Microchip.

Now suppose PCC decides to take the additional 20 days credit and thus must pay the finance charge. Since PCC will now pay on the 30th day, its accounts payable will increase to 30($32,666.67) = $980,000. Microchip will now be supplying PCC with an additional $980,000 − $326,667 = $653,333 of credit, which PCC could use to build up its cash account, to pay off debt, to expand inventories, or even to extend credit to its own customers, hence increasing its own accounts receivable.

The additional trade credit offered by Microchip has a cost—PCC must pay a finance charge equal to the 2 percent discount it is forgoing. PCC buys $11,923,333 of chips at the true price, and the added finance charges increase the total cost to $11,923,333/0.98 = $12,166,666. Therefore, the annual financing cost is $12,166,666 − $11,923,333 = $243,333. Dividing the $243,333 financing cost by the $653,333 of additional credit, we find the nominal annual cost rate of the additional trade credit to be 37.2 percent:

\[
\text{Nominal annual cost} = \frac{$243,333}{$653,333} = 37.2\%
\]

If PCC can borrow from its bank (or from other sources) at an interest rate less than 37.2 percent, it should take discounts and forgo the additional trade credit.

The following equation can be used to calculate the nominal cost, on an annual basis, of not taking discounts, illustrated with terms of 2/10, net 30:

\[
\text{Nominal annual cost} = \frac{\text{Discount percent}}{100 - \text{Discount percent}} \times \frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{Days credit is outstanding}} - \frac{\text{Discount period}}{}
\]
The numerator of the first term, Discount percent, is the cost per dollar of credit, while the denominator in this term, 100 – Discount percent, represents the funds made available by not taking the discount. Thus, the first term, 2.04%, is the cost per period for the trade credit. The denominator of the second term is the number of days of extra credit obtained by not taking the discount, so the entire second term shows how many times each year the cost is incurred, 18.25 times in this example.

The nominal annual cost formula does not take account of compounding, and in effective annual interest terms, the cost of trade credit is even higher. The discount amounts to interest, and with terms of 2/10, net 30, the firm gains use of the funds for \( \frac{30}{10} = 3 \) months, so there are \( \frac{365}{20} = 18.25 \) “interest periods” per year. Remember that the first term in Equation 21-8, \( \frac{\text{Discount percent}}{100 - \text{Discount percent}} = 0.02/0.98 = 0.0204 \), is the periodic interest rate. This rate is paid 18.25 times each year, so the effective annual cost of trade credit is

\[
\text{Effective annual rate} = (1.0204)^{18.25} - 1.0 = 1.4459 - 1.0 = 44.6\%
\]

Thus, the 37.2 percent nominal cost calculated with Equation 21-8 understates the true cost.

Note, however, that the cost of trade credit can be reduced by paying late. Thus, if PCC could get away with paying in 60 days rather than in the specified 30 days, then the effective credit period would become \( \frac{60}{10} = 6 \) months, the number of times the discount would be lost would fall to \( \frac{365}{50} = 7.3 \), and the nominal cost would drop from 37.2 percent to \( 2.04\% \times 7.3 = 14.9\% \). The effective annual rate would drop from 44.6 to 15.9 percent:

\[
\text{Effective annual rate} = (1.0204)^{7.3} - 1.0 = 1.1589 - 1.0 = 15.9\%
\]

In periods of excess capacity, firms may be able to get away with deliberately paying late, or stretching accounts payable. However, they will also suffer a variety of problems associated with being branded a “slow payer.” These problems are discussed later in the chapter.

The costs of the additional trade credit from forgoing discounts under some other purchase terms are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Terms</th>
<th>Nominal Cost</th>
<th>Effective Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/10, net 20</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10, net 30</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10, net 20</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>109.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/15, net 45</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As these figures show, the cost of not taking discounts can be substantial. Incidentally, throughout the chapter, we assume that payments are made either on the last day for taking discounts or on the last day of the credit period, unless otherwise
noted. It would be foolish to pay, say, on the 5th day or on the 20th day if the credit terms were 2/10, net 30.9

On the basis of the preceding discussion, trade credit can be divided into two components: (1) free trade credit, which involves credit received during the discount period, and (2) costly trade credit, which involves credit in excess of the free trade credit and whose cost is an implicit one based on the forgone discounts. Firms should always use the free component, but they should use the costly component only after analyzing the cost of this capital to make sure that it is less than the cost of funds that could be obtained from other sources. Under the terms of trade found in most industries, the costly component is relatively expensive, so stronger firms will avoid using it.

Self-Test Questions

What are accruals? How much control do managers have over accruals?
What is trade credit?
What is the difference between free trade credit and costly trade credit?
How does the cost of costly trade credit generally compare with the cost of short-term bank loans?

ALTERNATIVE SHORT-TERM FINANCING POLICIES

Up until this point we have focused on the management of net operating working capital. We now turn our attention to decisions involving short-term investments and short-term financing.

Most businesses experience seasonal and/or cyclical fluctuations. For example, construction firms have peaks in the spring and summer, retailers peak around Christmas, and the manufacturers who supply both construction companies and retailers follow similar patterns. Similarly, virtually all businesses must build up net operating working capital (NOWC) when the economy is strong, but they then sell off inventories and reduce receivables when the economy slacks off. Still, NOWC rarely drops to zero—companies have some permanent NOWC, which is the NOWC on hand at the low point of the cycle. Then, as sales increase during the upswing, NOWC must be increased, and the additional NOWC is defined as temporary NOWC. The manner in which the permanent and temporary NOWC are financed is called the firm’s short-term financing policy.

Maturity Matching, or “Self-Liquidating,” Approach

The maturity matching, or “self-liquidating,” approach calls for matching asset and liability maturities as shown in Panel a of Figure 21-2. This strategy minimizes the

---

9A financial calculator can also be used to determine the cost of trade credit. If the terms of credit are 2/10, net 30, this implies that for every $100 of goods purchased at the full list price, the customer has the choice of paying the full amount in 30 days or else paying $98 in 10 days. If a customer decides not to take the discount, then it is in effect borrowing $98, the amount it would otherwise have to pay, from Day 11 to Day 30, or for 20 days. It will then have to pay $100, which is the $98 loan plus a $2 financing charge, at the end of the 20-day loan period. To calculate the interest rate, enter N = 1, PV = 98, PMT = 0, FV = -100, and then press I to obtain 2.04 percent. This is the rate for 20 days. To calculate the effective annual interest rate on a 365-day basis, enter N = 20/365 = 0.05479, PV = 98, PMT = 0, FV = -100, and then press I to obtain 44.6 percent. The 20/365 = 0.05479 is the fraction of a year the “loan” is outstanding, and the 44.6 percent is the annualized cost of not taking discounts.
Figure 21-2  Alternative Short-Term Financing Policies

a. Moderate Approach (Maturity Matching)

- Temporary NOWC
- Permanent Level of NOWC
- Fixed Assets
- Long-Term Debt plus Equity
- Short-Term Debt

b. Relatively Aggressive Approach

- Temporary NOWC
- Permanent Level of NOWC
- Fixed Assets
- Long-Term Debt plus Equity
- Short-Term Debt

C. Conservative Approach

- Marketable Securities
- Permanent Level of NOWC
- Fixed Assets
- Long-Term Debt plus Equity
- Short-Term Financing Requirements
risk that the firm will be unable to pay off its maturing obligations. To illustrate, suppose a company borrows on a one-year basis and uses the funds obtained to build and equip a plant. Cash flows from the plant (profits plus depreciation) would not be sufficient to pay off the loan at the end of only one year, so the loan would have to be renewed. If for some reason the lender refused to renew the loan, then the company would have problems. Had the plant been financed with long-term debt, however, the required loan payments would have been better matched with cash flows from profits and depreciation, and the problem of renewal would not have arisen.

At the limit, a firm could attempt to match exactly the maturity structure of its assets and liabilities. Inventory expected to be sold in 30 days could be financed with a 30-day bank loan; a machine expected to last for 5 years could be financed with a 5-year loan; a 20-year building could be financed with a 20-year mortgage bond; and so forth. In practice, firms don’t actually finance each specific asset with a type of capital that has a maturity equal to the asset’s life. However, academic studies do show that most firms tend to finance short-term assets from short-term sources and long-term assets from long-term sources.¹⁰

**Aggressive Approach**

Panel b of Figure 21-2 illustrates the situation for a relatively aggressive firm that finances all of its fixed assets with long-term capital and part of its permanent NOWC with short-term debt. Note that we used the term “relatively” in the title for Panel b because there can be different degrees of aggressiveness. For example, the dashed line in Panel b could have been drawn below the line designating fixed assets, indicating that all of the permanent NOWC and part of the fixed assets were financed with short-term credit; this would be a highly aggressive, extremely nonconservative position, and the firm would be very much subject to dangers from rising interest rates as well as to loan renewal problems. However, short-term debt is often cheaper than long-term debt, and some firms are willing to sacrifice safety for the chance of higher profits.

**Conservative Approach**

Panel c of Figure 21-2 has the dashed line above the line designating permanent NOWC, indicating that long-term sources are being used to finance all permanent operating asset requirements and also to meet some of the seasonal needs. In this situation, the firm uses a small amount of short-term debt to meet its peak requirements, but it also meets a part of its seasonal needs by “storing liquidity” in the form of marketable securities. The humps above the dashed line represent short-term financing, while the troughs below the dashed line represent short-term investing. Panel c represents a very safe, conservative current asset financing policy.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is meant by the term “permanent NOWC”?  
What is meant by the term “temporary NOWC”?  
What are three alternative short-term financing policies? Is one best?

Realistically, the management of cash and marketable securities cannot be separated—management of one implies management of the other. In the first part of the chapter, we focused on cash management. Now, we turn to marketable securities.

Marketable securities typically provide much lower yields than operating assets. For example, recently DaimlerChrysler held approximately a $7 billion portfolio of short-term marketable securities that provided a much lower yield than its operating assets. Why would a company such as DaimlerChrysler have such large holdings of low-yielding assets?

In many cases, companies hold marketable securities for the same reasons they hold cash. Although these securities are not the same as cash, in most cases they can be converted to cash on very short notice (often just a few minutes) with a single telephone call. Moreover, while cash and most commercial checking accounts yield nothing, marketable securities provide at least a modest return. For this reason, many firms hold at least some marketable securities in lieu of larger cash balances, liquidating part of the portfolio to increase the cash account when cash outflows exceed inflows. In such situations, the marketable securities could be used as a substitute for transactions balances or for precautionary balances. In most cases, the securities are held primarily for precautionary purposes—most firms prefer to rely on bank credit to make temporary transactions, but they may still hold some liquid assets to guard against a possible shortage of bank credit during difficult times.

There are both benefits and costs associated with holding marketable securities. The benefits are twofold: (1) the firm reduces risk and transactions costs because it won’t have to issue securities or borrow as frequently to raise cash; and (2) it will have ready cash to take advantage of bargain purchases or growth opportunities. Funds held for the second reason are called speculative balances. The primary disadvantage is that the after-tax return on short-term securities is very low. Thus, firms face a trade-off between benefits and costs.

Recent research supports this trade-off hypothesis as an explanation for firms’ cash holdings.11 Firms with high growth opportunities suffer the most if they don’t have ready cash to quickly take advantage of an opportunity, and the data show that these firms do hold relatively high levels of marketable securities. Firms with volatile cash flows are the ones most likely to run low on cash, so they tend to hold high levels of cash. In contrast, cash holdings are less important to large firms with high credit ratings, because they have quick and inexpensive access to capital markets. As expected, such firms hold relatively low levels of cash. Of course, there will always be outliers such as Ford, which is large, strong, and cash-rich, but volatile firms with good growth opportunities are still the ones with the most marketable securities, on average.

**Self-Test Question**

Why might a company hold low-yielding marketable securities when it could earn a much higher return on operating assets?

---

The three possible short-term financing policies described earlier were distinguished by the relative amounts of short-term debt used under each policy. The aggressive policy called for the greatest use of short-term debt, while the conservative policy called for the least. Maturity matching fell in between. Although short-term credit is generally riskier than long-term credit, using short-term funds does have some significant advantages. The pros and cons of short-term financing are considered in this section.

Advantages of Short-Term Financing

First, a short-term loan can be obtained much faster than long-term credit. Lenders will insist on a more thorough financial examination before extending long-term credit, and the loan agreement will have to be spelled out in considerable detail because a lot can happen during the life of a 10- to 20-year loan. Therefore, if funds are needed in a hurry, the firm should look to the short-term markets.

Second, if its needs for funds are seasonal or cyclical, a firm may not want to commit itself to long-term debt: (1) Flotation costs are higher for long-term debt than for short-term credit. (2) Although long-term debt can be repaid early, provided the loan agreement includes a prepayment provision, prepayment penalties can be expensive. Accordingly, if a firm thinks its need for funds will diminish in the near future, it should choose short-term debt. (3) Long-term loan agreements always contain provisions, or covenants, which constrain the firm’s future actions. Short-term credit agreements are generally less restrictive.

Third, the yield curve is normally upward sloping, indicating that interest rates are generally lower on short-term debt. Thus, under normal conditions, interest costs at the time the funds are obtained will be lower if the firm borrows on a short-term rather than a long-term basis.

Disadvantages of Short-Term Debt

Even though short-term rates are often lower than long-term rates, short-term credit is riskier for two reasons: (1) If a firm borrows on a long-term basis, its interest costs will be relatively stable over time, but if it uses short-term credit, its interest expense will fluctuate widely, at times going quite high. For example, the rate banks charged large corporations for short-term debt more than tripled over a two-year period in the 1980s, rising from 6.25 to 21 percent. Many firms that had borrowed heavily on a short-term basis simply could not meet their rising interest costs, and as a result, bankruptcies hit record levels during that period. (2) If a firm borrows heavily on a short-term basis, a temporary recession may render it unable to repay this debt. If the borrower is in a weak financial position, the lender may not extend the loan, which could force the firm into bankruptcy.

What are the advantages and disadvantages of short-term debt over long-term debt?
Short-term Bank Loans

Loans from commercial banks generally appear on balance sheets as notes payable. A bank’s influence is actually greater than it appears from the dollar amounts because banks provide *nonsustainable* funds. As a firm’s financing needs increase, it requests additional funds from its bank. If the request is denied, the firm may be forced to abandon attractive growth opportunities. The key features of bank loans are discussed in the following paragraphs.

**Maturity**

Although banks do make longer-term loans, *the bulk of their lending is on a short-term basis*—about two-thirds of all bank loans mature in a year or less. Bank loans to businesses are frequently written as 90-day notes, so the loan must be repaid or renewed at the end of 90 days. Of course, if a borrower’s financial position has deteriorated, the bank may refuse to renew the loan. This can mean serious trouble for the borrower.

**Promissory Note**

When a bank loan is approved, the agreement is executed by signing a *promissory note*. The note specifies (1) the amount borrowed; (2) the interest rate; (3) the repayment schedule, which can call for either a lump sum or a series of installments; (4) any collateral that might have to be put up as security for the loan; and (5) any other terms and conditions to which the bank and the borrower have agreed. When the note is signed, the bank credits the borrower’s checking account with the funds, so on the borrower’s balance sheet both cash and notes payable increase.

**Compensating Balances**

Banks sometimes require borrowers to maintain an average demand deposit (checking account) balance equal to from 10 to 20 percent of the face amount of the loan. This is called a *compensating balance*, and such balances raise the effective interest rate on the loans. For example, if a firm needs $80,000 to pay off outstanding obligations, but if it must maintain a 20 percent compensating balance, then it must borrow $100,000 to obtain a usable $80,000. If the stated annual interest rate is 8 percent, the effective cost is actually 10 percent: $8,000 interest divided by $80,000 of usable funds equals 10 percent.\(^{12}\)

As we noted earlier in the chapter, recent surveys indicate that compensating balances are much less common now than 20 years ago. In fact, compensating balances are much less common now than 20 years ago. In fact, compensating...

---

\(^{12}\)Note, however, that the compensating balance may be set as a minimum monthly average, and if the firm would maintain this average anyway, the compensating balance requirement would not raise the effective interest rate. Also, note that these *loan* compensating balances are added to any compensating balances that the firm’s bank may require for services performed, such as clearing checks.
balances are now illegal in many states. Despite this trend, some small banks in states where compensating balances are legal still require their customers to maintain compensating balances.

**Informal Line of Credit**

A line of credit is an informal agreement between a bank and a borrower indicating the maximum credit the bank will extend to the borrower. For example, on December 31, a bank loan officer might indicate to a financial manager that the bank regards the firm as being “good” for up to $80,000 during the forthcoming year, provided the borrower’s financial condition does not deteriorate. If on January 10 the financial manager signs a promissory note for $15,000 for 90 days, this would be called “taking down” $15,000 of the total line of credit. This amount would be credited to the firm’s checking account at the bank, and before repayment of the $15,000, the firm could borrow additional amounts up to a total of $80,000 outstanding at any one time.

**Revolving Credit Agreement**

A revolving credit agreement is a formal line of credit often used by large firms. To illustrate, in 2006 Texas Petroleum Company negotiated a revolving credit agreement for $100 million with a group of banks. The banks were formally committed for four years to lend the firm up to $100 million if the funds were needed. Texas Petroleum, in turn, paid an annual commitment fee of 3/4 of 1 percent on the unused balance of the commitment to compensate the banks for making the commitment. Thus, if Texas Petroleum did not take down any of the $100 million commitment during a year, it would still be required to pay a $250,000 annual fee, normally in monthly installments of $20,833.33. If it borrowed $50 million on the first day of the agreement, the unused portion of the line of credit would fall to $50 million, and the annual fee would fall to $125,000. Of course, interest would also have to be paid on the money Texas Petroleum actually borrowed. As a general rule, the interest rate on “revolvers” is pegged to the prime rate, the T-bill rate, or some other market rate, so the cost of the loan varies over time as interest rates change. Texas Petroleum’s rate was set at prime plus 0.5 percentage point.

Note that a revolving credit agreement is very similar to an informal line of credit, but with an important difference: The bank has a legal obligation to honor a revolving credit agreement, and it receives a commitment fee. Neither the legal obligation nor the fee exists under the informal line of credit.

Often a line of credit will have a cleanup clause that requires the borrower to reduce the loan balance to zero at least once a year. Keep in mind that a line of credit typically is designed to help finance negative operating cash flows that are incurred as a natural part of a company’s business cycle, not as a source of permanent capital. For example, the total annual operating cash flow of Toys “Я” Us is normally positive, even though its operating cash flow is negative during the fall as it builds up inventory for the Christmas season. However, Toys “Я” Us has large positive cash flows in December through February, as it collects on Christmas sales. Their bankers would expect Toys “Я” Us to use those positive cash flows to pay off balances that had been drawn against their credit lines. Otherwise, Toys “Я” Us would be using its credit lines as a permanent source of financing.

**Self-Test Question**

Explain how a firm that expects to need funds during the coming year might make sure the needed funds will be available.
COMMERCIAL PAPER

Commercial paper is a type of unsecured promissory note issued by large, strong firms and sold primarily to other business firms, to insurance companies, to pension funds, to money market mutual funds, and to banks. In early 2005, there was approximately $1,481 billion of commercial paper outstanding, versus about $951 billion of commercial and industrial bank loans. Much of this commercial paper outstanding is issued by financial institutions.

Maturity and Cost

Maturities of commercial paper generally vary from one day to nine months, with an average of about five months. The interest rate on commercial paper fluctuates with supply and demand conditions—it is determined in the marketplace, varying daily as conditions change. Recently, commercial paper rates have ranged from 1 1/2 to 3 1/2 percentage points below the stated prime rate, and up to 1/2 of a percentage point above the T-bill rate. For example, in April 2005, the average rate on three-month commercial paper was 3.09 percent, the stated prime rate was 5.75 percent, and the three-month T-bill rate was 2.82 percent.

Use of Commercial Paper

The use of commercial paper is restricted to a comparatively small number of very large concerns that are exceptionally good credit risks. Dealers prefer to handle the paper of firms whose net worth is $100 million or more and whose annual borrowing exceeds $10 million. One potential problem with commercial paper is that a debtor who is in temporary financial difficulty may receive little help because commercial paper dealings are generally less personal than are bank relationships. Thus, banks are generally more able and willing to help a good customer weather a temporary storm than is a commercial paper dealer. On the other hand, using commercial paper permits a corporation to tap a wide range of credit sources, including financial institutions outside its own area and industrial corporations across the country, and this can reduce interest costs.

Self-Test Questions

What is commercial paper?
What types of companies can use commercial paper to meet their short-term financing needs?
How does the cost of commercial paper compare with the cost of short-term bank loans?
With the cost of Treasury bills?

USE OF SECURITY IN SHORT-TERM FINANCING

Thus far, we have not addressed the question of whether or not short-term loans should be secured. Commercial paper is never secured, but other types of loans can be secured if this is deemed necessary or desirable. Other things held constant, it is better to borrow on an unsecured basis, since the bookkeeping costs of secured loans are often high. However, firms often find that they can borrow only

---

13The maximum maturity without SEC registration is 270 days. Also, commercial paper can only be sold to “sophisticated” investors; otherwise, SEC registration would be required even for maturities of 270 days or less.
if they put up some type of collateral to protect the lender, or that by using secu-

Several different kinds of collateral can be employed, including marketable 
stocks or bonds, land or buildings, equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. 
Marketable securities make excellent collateral, but few firms that need loans also 
hold portfolios of stocks and bonds. Similarly, real property (land and buildings) 
and equipment are good forms of collateral, but they are generally used as security 
for long-term loans rather than for working capital loans. Therefore, most secured 
short-term business borrowing involves the use of accounts receivable and invent-
ories as collateral.

To understand the use of security, consider the case of a Chicago hardware 
dealer who wanted to modernize and expand his store. He requested a $200,000 
bank loan. After examining his business’s financial statements, the bank indicated 
that it would lend him a maximum of $100,000 and that the effective interest rate 
would be 12.1 percent. The owner had a substantial personal portfolio of stocks, 
and he offered to put up $300,000 of high-quality stocks to support the $200,000 
loan. The bank then granted the full $200,000 loan, and at the prime rate of 9.5 
percent. The store owner might also have used his inventories or receivables as 
security for the loan, but processing costs would have been high.14

For a more detailed discussion of secured financing, see the Web Extension to 
this chapter on the ThomsonNOW Web site.

Self-Test Questions

What is a secured loan?

What are some types of current assets that are pledged as security for short-term loans?

14The term “asset-based financing” is often used as a synonym for “secured financing.” In recent years, accounts 
receivable have been used as security for long-term bonds, and this permits corporations to borrow from lenders such 
as pension funds rather than being restricted to banks and other traditional short-term lenders.

SUMMARY

This chapter discussed working capital management and short-term financing. The 
key concepts covered are listed below.

- Working capital refers to current assets, and net working capital is defined as 
current assets minus current liabilities. Net operating working capital is 
defined as operating current assets minus operating current liabilities.
- The cash conversion cycle model focuses on the length of time between when 
the company makes payments and when it receives cash inflows.
- The inventory conversion period is the average time required to convert mate-
rials into finished goods and then to sell those goods.

\[
\text{Inventory conversion period} = \frac{\text{Inventory}}{\text{Sales per day}}
\]

- The receivables collection period is the average length of time required to con-
vert the firm’s receivables into cash, that is, to collect cash following a sale.

\[
\text{Receivables collection period} = \text{DSO} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Sales/365}}
\]
• The **payables deferral period** is the average length of time between the purchase of materials and labor and the payment of cash for them.

\[
\text{Payables deferral period} = \frac{\text{Payables}}{\text{Purchases per day}}
\]

• The **cash conversion cycle** equals the length of time between the firm’s actual cash expenditures to pay for productive resources (materials and labor) and its own cash receipts from the sale of products (that is, the length of time between paying for labor and materials and collecting on receivables).

\[
\text{Cash conversion cycle} = \frac{\text{Inventory conversion period}}{} + \frac{\text{Receivables collection period}}{} - \frac{\text{Payables deferral period}}{}
\]

• Under a **relaxed working capital policy**, a firm would hold relatively large amounts of each type of current asset. Under a **restricted working capital policy**, the firm would hold minimal amounts of these items.

• The **primary goal of cash management** is to reduce the amount of cash to the minimum necessary to conduct business.

• The **transactions balance** is the cash necessary to conduct day-to-day business, whereas the **precautionary balance** is a cash reserve held to meet random, unforeseen needs. A **compensating balance** is a minimum checking account balance that a bank requires as compensation either for services provided or as part of a loan agreement.

• A **cash budget** is a schedule showing projected cash inflows and outflows over some period. The cash budget is used to predict cash surpluses and deficits, and it is the primary cash management planning tool.

• The twin goals of **inventory management** are (1) to ensure that the inventories needed to sustain operations are available, but (2) to hold the costs of ordering and carrying inventories to the lowest possible level.

• **Inventory costs** can be divided into three types: carrying costs, ordering costs, and stock-out costs. In general, carrying costs increase as the level of inventory rises, but ordering costs and stock-out costs decline with larger inventory holdings.

• When a firm sells goods to a customer on credit, an **account receivable** is created.

• A firm can use an **aging schedule** and the **days sales outstanding (DSO)** to help keep track of its receivables position and to help avoid an increase in bad debts.

• A firm’s **credit policy** consists of four elements: (1) credit period, (2) discounts given for early payment, (3) credit standards, and (4) collection policy.

• **Permanent net operating working capital** is the NOWC that the firm holds even during slack times, whereas **temporary NOWC** is the additional NOWC needed during seasonal or cyclical peaks. The methods used to finance permanent and temporary NOWC define the firm’s **short-term financing policy**.

• A **moderate** approach to short-term financing involves matching, to the extent possible, the maturities of assets and liabilities, so that temporary NOWC is financed with short-term debt, and permanent NOWC and fixed assets are financed with long-term debt or equity. Under an **aggressive** approach, some permanent NOWC, and perhaps even some fixed assets, are financed with short-term debt. A **conservative** approach would be to use long-term sources to finance all permanent operating capital and some of the temporary NOWC.
The advantages of short-term credit are (1) the speed with which short-term loans can be arranged, (2) increased flexibility, and (3) the fact that short-term interest rates are generally lower than long-term rates. The principal disadvantage of short-term credit is the extra risk the borrower must bear because (1) the lender can demand payment on short notice and (2) the cost of the loan will increase if interest rates rise.

Accounts payable, or trade credit, arises spontaneously as a result of credit purchases. Firms should use all the free trade credit they can obtain, but they should use costly trade credit only if it is less expensive than other forms of short-term debt. Suppliers often offer discounts to customers who pay within a stated discount period. The following equation may be used to calculate the nominal cost, on an annual basis, of not taking discounts:

\[
\text{Nominal cost} = \frac{\text{Discount percent}}{100 - \text{Discount percent}} \times \frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{Days credit is outstanding} - \text{Discount period}}
\]

Bank loans are an important source of short-term credit. When a bank loan is approved, a promissory note is signed. It specifies: (1) the amount borrowed, (2) the percentage interest rate, (3) the repayment schedule, (4) the collateral, and (5) any other conditions to which the parties have agreed.

Banks sometimes require borrowers to maintain compensating balances, which are deposit requirements set at between 10 and 20 percent of the loan amount. Compensating balances raise the effective interest rate on bank loans.

A line of credit is an informal agreement between the bank and the borrower indicating the maximum amount of credit the bank will extend to the borrower.

A revolving credit agreement is a formal line of credit often used by large firms; it involves a commitment fee.

Commercial paper is unsecured short-term debt issued by large, financially strong corporations. Although the cost of commercial paper is lower than the cost of bank loans, it can be used only by large firms with exceptionally strong credit ratings.

Sometimes a borrower will find that it is necessary to borrow on a secured basis, in which case the borrower pledges assets such as real estate, securities, equipment, inventories, or accounts receivable as collateral for the loan.

**QUESTIONS**

21-1 Define each of the following terms:

a. Working capital; net working capital; net operating working capital
b. Inventory conversion period; receivables collection period; payables deferral period; cash conversion cycle
c. Relaxed NOWC policy; restricted NOWC policy; moderate NOWC policy
d. Transactions balance; compensating balance; precautionary balance
e. Cash budget; target cash balance
f. Trade discounts
g. Account receivable; days sales outstanding; aging schedule
h. Credit policy; credit period; credit standards; collection policy; cash discounts
i. Permanent NOWC; temporary NOWC
j. Moderate short-term financing policy; aggressive short-term financing policy; conservative short-term financing policy
k. Maturity matching, or “self-liquidating,” approach
l. Accruals
m. Trade credit; stretching accounts payable; free trade credit; costly trade credit
n. Promissory note; line of credit; revolving credit agreement
o. Commercial paper; secured loan

21-2 What are the two principal reasons for holding cash? Can a firm estimate its target cash balance by summing the cash held to satisfy each of the two reasons?

21-3 Is it true that when one firm sells to another on credit, the seller records the transaction as an account receivable while the buyer records it as an account payable and that, disregarding discounts, the receivable typically exceeds the payable by the amount of profit on the sale?

21-4 What are the four elements of a firm’s credit policy? To what extent can firms set their own credit policies as opposed to having to accept policies that are dictated by “the competition”?

21-5 What are the advantages of matching the maturities of assets and liabilities? What are the disadvantages?

21-6 From the standpoint of the borrower, is long-term or short-term credit riskier? Explain. Would it ever make sense to borrow on a short-term basis if short-term rates were above long-term rates?

21-7 “Firms can control their accruals within fairly wide limits.” Discuss.

21-8 Is it true that most firms are able to obtain some free trade credit and that additional trade credit is often available, but at a cost? Explain.

21-9 What kinds of firms use commercial paper?

**PROBLEMS**

21-1 Cash Management

Williams & Sons last year reported sales of $10 million and an inventory turnover ratio of 2. The company is now adopting a new inventory system. If the new system is able to reduce the firm’s inventory level and increase the firm’s inventory turnover ratio to 5 while maintaining the same level of sales, how much cash will be freed up?

21-2 Receivables Investment

Medwig Corporation has a DSO of 17 days. The company averages $3,500 in credit sales each day. What is the company’s average accounts receivable?

21-3 Cost of Trade Credit

What is the nominal and effective cost of trade credit under the credit terms of 3/15, net 30?

21-4 Cost of Trade Credit

A large retailer obtains merchandise under the credit terms of 1/15, net 45, but routinely takes 60 days to pay its bills. Given that the retailer is an important customer, suppliers allow the firm to stretch its credit terms. What is the retailer’s effective cost of trade credit?

21-5 Accounts Payable

A chain of appliance stores, APP Corporation, purchases inventory with a net price of $500,000 each day. The company purchases the inventory under the credit terms of 2/15, net 40. APP always takes the discount, but takes the full 15 days to pay its bills. What is the average accounts payable for APP?
21-6 Receivables Investment

McDowell Industries sells on terms of 3/10, net 30. Total sales for the year are $912,500. Forty percent of the customers pay on the 10th day and take discounts; the other 60 percent pay, on average, 40 days after their purchases.

a. What is the days sales outstanding?
b. What is the average amount of receivables?
c. What would happen to average receivables if McDowell toughened up on its collection policy with the result that all nondiscount customers paid on the 30th day?

21-7 Cost of Trade Credit

Calculate the nominal annual cost of nonfree trade credit under each of the following terms. Assume payment is made either on the due date or on the discount date.

a. 1/15, net 20.
b. 2/10, net 60.
c. 3/10, net 45.
d. 2/10, net 45.
e. 2/15, net 40.

21-8 Cost of Trade Credit

a. If a firm buys under terms of 3/15, net 45, but actually pays on the 20th day and still takes the discount, what is the nominal cost of its nonfree trade credit?
b. Does it receive more or less credit than it would if it paid within 15 days?

21-9 Cost of Trade Credit

Grunewald Industries sells on terms of 2/10, net 40. Gross sales last year were $4,562,500, and accounts receivable averaged $437,500. Half of Grunewald’s customers paid on the 10th day and took discounts. What are the nominal and effective costs of trade credit to Grunewald’s nondiscount customers? (Hint: Calculate sales/day based on a 365-day year; then get average receivables of discount customers; then find the DSO for the nondiscount customers.)

21-10 Effective Cost of Trade Credit

The D.J. Masson Corporation needs to raise $500,000 for 1 year to supply working capital to a new store. Masson buys from its suppliers on terms of 3/10, net 90, and it currently pays on the 10th day and takes discounts, but it could forgo discounts, pay on the 90th day, and get the needed $500,000 in the form of costly trade credit. What is the effective annual interest rate of the costly trade credit?

21-11 Cash Conversion Cycle

The Zocco Corporation has an inventory conversion period of 75 days, a receivables collection period of 38 days, and a payables deferral period of 30 days.

a. What is the length of the firm’s cash conversion cycle?
b. If Zocco’s annual sales are $3,421,875 and all sales are on credit, what is the firm’s investment in accounts receivable?
c. How many times per year does Zocco turn over its inventory?

21-12 Working Capital Cash Flow Cycle

The Christie Corporation is trying to determine the effect of its inventory turnover ratio and days sales outstanding (DSO) on its cash flow cycle. Christie’s sales last year (all on credit) were $150,000, and it earned a net profit of 6 percent, or $9,000. It turned over its inventory 5 times during the year, and its DSO was 36.5 days. The firm had fixed assets totaling $35,000. Christie’s payables deferral period is 40 days.

a. Calculate Christie’s cash conversion cycle.
b. Assuming Christie holds negligible amounts of cash and marketable securities, calculate its total assets turnover and ROA.
c. Suppose Christie’s managers believe that the inventory turnover can be raised to 7.3 times. What would Christie’s cash conversion cycle, total assets turnover, and ROA have been if the inventory turnover had been 7.3 for the year?
21-13
Working Capital Policy

The Rentz Corporation is attempting to determine the optimal level of current assets for the coming year. Management expects sales to increase to approximately $2 million as a result of an asset expansion presently being undertaken. Fixed assets total $1 million, and the firm wishes to maintain a 60 percent debt ratio. Rentz’s interest cost is currently 8 percent on both short-term and longer-term debt (which the firm uses in its permanent structure). Three alternatives regarding the projected current asset level are available to the firm: (1) a tight policy requiring current assets of only 45 percent of projected sales, (2) a moderate policy of 50 percent of sales in current assets, and (3) a relaxed policy requiring current assets of 60 percent of sales. The firm expects to generate earnings before interest and taxes at a rate of 12 percent on total sales.

a. What is the expected return on equity under each current asset level? (Assume a 40 percent effective federal-plus-state tax rate.)
b. In this problem, we have assumed that the level of expected sales is independent of current asset policy. Is this a valid assumption?
c. How would the overall riskiness of the firm vary under each policy?

21-14
Cash Budgeting

Dorothy Koehl recently leased space in the Southside Mall and opened a new business, Koehl’s Doll Shop. Business has been good, but Koehl has frequently run out of cash. This has necessitated late payment on certain orders, which, in turn, is beginning to cause a problem with suppliers. Koehl plans to borrow from the bank to have cash ready as needed, but first she needs a forecast of just how much she must borrow. Accordingly, she has asked you to prepare a cash budget for the critical period around Christmas, when needs will be especially high.

Sales are made on a cash basis only. Koehl’s purchases must be paid for during the following month. Koehl pays herself a salary of $4,800 per month, and the rent is $2,000 per month. In addition, she must make a tax payment of $12,000 in December. The current cash on hand (on December 1) is $400, but Koehl has agreed to maintain an average bank balance of $6,000—this is her target cash balance. (Disregard till cash, which is insignificant because Koehl keeps only a small amount on hand in order to lessen the chances of robbery.)

The estimated sales and purchases for December, January, and February are shown below. Purchases during November amounted to $140,000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Purchases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Prepare a cash budget for December, January, and February.
b. Now, suppose Koehl were to start selling on a credit basis on December 1, giving customers 30 days to pay. All customers accept these terms, and all other facts in the problem are unchanged. What would the company’s loan requirements be at the end of December in this case? (Hint: The calculations required to answer this question are minimal.)

21-15
Cash Discounts

Suppose a firm makes purchases of $3.65 million per year under terms of 2/10, net 30, and takes discounts.

a. What is the average amount of accounts payable net of discounts? (Assume that the $3.65 million of purchases is net of discounts—that is, gross purchases are $3,724,490, discounts are $74,490, and net purchases are $3.65 million.)
b. Is there a cost of the trade credit the firm uses?
c. If the firm did not take discounts but it did pay on the due date, what would be its average payables and the cost of this nonfree trade credit?
d. What would its cost of not taking discounts be if it could stretch its payments to 40 days?

21-16
Trade Credit

The Thompson Corporation projects an increase in sales from $1.5 million to $2 million, but it needs an additional $300,000 of current assets to support this expansion. Thompson can finance the expansion by no longer taking discounts, thus increasing accounts payable. Thompson purchases under terms of 2/10, net 30, but it can delay payment for an additional 35 days—paying in 65 days and thus becoming 35 days past due—without a penalty because of its suppliers’ current excess capacity problems. What is the effective, or equivalent, annual cost of the trade credit?

21-17
Bank Financing

The Raattama Corporation had sales of $3.5 million last year, and it earned a 5 percent return, after taxes, on sales. Recently, the company has fallen behind in its accounts payable. Although its terms of purchase are net 30 days, its accounts payable represent 60 days’ purchases. The company’s treasurer is seeking to increase bank borrowings in order to become current in meeting its trade obligations (that is, to have 30 days’ payables outstanding). The company’s balance sheet is as follows (thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>$100</th>
<th>Accounts payable</th>
<th>$600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Bank loans</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land and buildings</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Mortgage on real estate</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Common stock, $0.10 par</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. How much bank financing is needed to eliminate the past-due accounts payable?
b. Would you as a bank loan officer make the loan? Why or why not?

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

21-18
Build a Model: Cash Budgeting

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch 21 P18 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site.

Helen Bowers, owner of Helen’s Fashion Designs, is planning to request a line of credit from her bank. She has estimated the following sales forecasts for the firm for parts of 2007 and 2008:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Labor and Raw Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2007</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2008</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Collection estimates obtained from the credit and collection department are as follows: collections within the month of sale, 10 percent; collections the month following the sale, 75 percent; collections the second month following the sale, 15 percent. Payments for labor and raw materials are typically made during the month following the one in which these costs have been incurred. Total labor and raw materials costs are estimated for each month as shown above.

General and administrative salaries will amount to approximately $27,000 a month; lease payments under long-term lease contracts will be $9,000 a month; depreciation charges will be $36,000 a month; miscellaneous expenses will be $2,700 a month; income tax payments of $63,000 will be due in both September and December; and a progress payment of $180,000 on a new design studio must be paid in October. Cash on hand on July 1 will amount to $132,000, and a minimum cash balance of $90,000 will be maintained throughout the cash budget period.

a. Prepare a monthly cash budget for the last 6 months of 2007.
b. Prepare an estimate of the required financing (or excess funds)—that is, the amount of money Bowers will need to borrow (or will have available to invest)—for each month during that period.
c. Assume that receipts from sales come in uniformly during the month (that is, cash receipts come in at the rate of 1/30 each day), but all outflows are paid on the 5th of the month. Will this have an effect on the cash budget—in other words, would the cash budget you have prepared be valid under these assumptions? If not, what can be done to make a valid estimate of peak financing requirements? No calculations are required, although calculations can be used to illustrate the effects.
d. Bowers produces on a seasonal basis, just ahead of sales. Without making any calculations, discuss how the company’s current ratio and debt ratio would vary during the year assuming all financial requirements were met by short-term bank loans. Could changes in these ratios affect the firm’s ability to obtain bank credit?
e. If its customers began to pay late, this would slow down collections and thus increase the required loan amount. Also, if sales dropped off, this would have an effect on the required loan. Do a sensitivity analysis that shows the effects of these two factors on the maximum loan requirement.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Dan Barnes, financial manager of Ski Equipment Inc. (SKI), is excited, but apprehensive. The company’s founder recently sold his 51 percent controlling block of stock to Kent Koren, who is a big fan of EVA (economic value added). EVA is found by taking the after-tax operating profit and then subtracting the dollar cost of all the capital the firm uses:

\[
EVA = NOPAT - \text{Capital costs} = \frac{EBIT(1 - T)}{WACC(\text{Capital employed})}
\]

If EVA is positive, then the firm is creating value. On the other hand, if EVA is negative, the firm is not covering its cost of capital, and stockholders’ value is being eroded. Koren rewards managers handsomely if they create value, but those whose operations produce negative EVAs are soon looking for work. Koren frequently points out that if a company could generate its current level of sales with fewer assets, it would need less capital. That would, other things held constant, lower capital costs and increase its EVA.

Shortly after he took control of SKI, Kent Koren met with SKI’s senior executives to tell them of his plans for the company. First, he presented some EVA data that convinced everyone that SKI had not been creating value in recent years. He then stated, in no uncertain terms, that this situation must change. He noted that SKI’s designs of skis, boots, and clothing are acclaimed throughout the industry, but something is seriously amiss elsewhere in the company. Costs are too high, prices are too low, or the company employs too much capital, and he wants SKI’s managers to correct the problem or else.

Barnes has long felt that SKI’s working capital situation should be studied—the company may have the optimal amounts of cash, securities, receivables, and inventories, but it may also have too much or too little of these items. In the past, the production manager resisted Barnes’s efforts to question his holdings of raw materials inventories, the marketing manager resisted questions about finished goods, the sales staff resisted questions about credit policy (which affects accounts receivable), and the treasurer did not want to talk about her cash and securities balances. Koren’s speech made it clear that such resistance would no longer be tolerated.

Barnes also knows that decisions about working capital cannot be made in a vacuum. For example, if inventories could be lowered without adversely affecting operations, then less capital would be required, the dollar cost of capital would decline, and EVA would increase. However, lower raw materials inventories might lead to production slowdowns and higher costs, while lower finished goods inventories might lead to the loss of profitable sales. So, before inventories are changed, it will be necessary to study operating as well as financial effects. The situation is the same with regard to cash and receivables. Barnes began collecting the ratios shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKI</th>
<th>Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt/assets</td>
<td>58.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover of cash and securities</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days sales outstanding (365-day basis)</td>
<td>45.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory turnover</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets turnover</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets turnover</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit margin on sales</td>
<td>2.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on equity (ROE)</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables deferral period</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Collections and Purchases Worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Sales (gross)</td>
<td>$71,218</td>
<td>$68,212</td>
<td>$65,213</td>
<td>$52,475</td>
<td>$42,909</td>
<td>$30,524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collections**

(2) During month of sale
   (0.2)(0.98)(month's sales) 12,781.75 10,285.10
(3) During first month after sale
   (0.7)(previous month's sales) 47,748.40 45,649.10
(4) During second month after sale
   (0.1)(sales 2 months ago) 7,121.80 6,821.20
(5) Total collections (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) $67,651.95 $62,755.40

**Purchases**

(6) (0.85)(forecasted sales 2 months from now) 44,603.75 36,472.65 25,945.40
(7) Payments (1-month lag) 44,603.75 36,472.65

II. Cash Gain or Loss for Month

(8) Collections (from Section I) $67,651.95 $62,755.40
(9) Payments for purchases (from Section I) 44,603.75 36,472.65
(10) Wages and salaries 6,690.56 5,470.90
(11) Rent 2,500.00 2,500.00
(12) Taxes 16,857.64 18,311.85

(13) Total payments $53,794.31 $44,443.55
(14) Net cash gain (loss) during month (Line 8 — Line 13) $13,857.64 $18,311.85

III. Cash Surplus or Loan Requirement

(15) Cash at beginning of month if no borrowing is done $3,000.00 $16,857.64
(16) Cumulative cash (cash at start + gain or — loss = Line 14 + Line 15) 16,857.64 35,169.49
(17) Target cash balance 1,500.00 1,500.00
(18) Cumulative surplus cash or loans outstanding to maintain $1,500 target cash balance (Line 16 — Line 17) $15,357.64 $33,669.49

---

a. Barnes plans to use the preceding ratios as the starting point for discussions with SKI’s operating executives. He wants everyone to think about the pros and cons of changing each type of current asset and how changes would interact to affect profits and EVA. Based on the data, does SKI seem to be following a relaxed, moderate, or restricted working capital policy?

b. How can one distinguish between a relaxed but rational working capital policy and a situation in which a firm simply has a lot of current assets because it is inefficient? Does SKI’s working capital policy seem appropriate?

c. Calculate the firm’s cash conversion cycle. Assume a 365-day year.

d. What might SKI do to reduce its cash without harming operations?

In an attempt to better understand SKI’s cash position, Barnes developed a cash budget. Data for the first 2 months of the year are shown above. (Note that Barnes’s preliminary cash budget does not account for interest income or interest expense.) He has the figures for the other months, but they are not shown.

e. Should depreciation expense be explicitly included in the cash budget? Why or why not?
f. In his preliminary cash budget, Barnes has assumed that all sales are collected and, thus, that SKI has no bad debts. Is this realistic? If not, how would bad debts be dealt with in a cash budgeting sense? (Hint: Bad debts will affect collections but not purchases.)

g. Barnes’s cash budget for the entire year, although not given here, is based heavily on his forecast for monthly sales. Sales are expected to be extremely low between May and September but then increase dramatically in the fall and winter. November is typically the firm’s best month, when SKI ships equipment to retailers for the holiday season. Interestingly, Barnes’s forecasted cash budget indicates that the company’s cash holdings will exceed the targeted cash balance every month except for October and November, when shipments will be high but collections will not be coming in until later. Based on the ratios shown earlier, does it appear that SKI’s target cash balance is appropriate? In addition to possibly lowering the target cash balance, what actions might SKI take to better improve its cash management policies, and how might that affect its EVA?

h. What reasons might SKI have for maintaining a relatively high amount of cash?

i. What are the three categories of inventory costs? If the company takes steps to reduce its inventory, what effect would this have on the various costs of holding inventory?

j. Is there any reason to think that SKI may be holding too much inventory? If so, how would that affect EVA and ROE?

k. If the company reduces its inventory without adversely affecting sales, what effect should this have on the company’s cash position (1) in the short run and (2) in the long run? Explain in terms of the cash budget and the balance sheet.

l. Barnes knows that SKI sells on the same credit terms as other firms in its industry. Use the ratios presented earlier to explain whether SKI’s customers pay more or less promptly than those of its competitors. If there are differences, does that suggest that SKI should tighten or loosen its credit policy? What four variables make up a firm’s credit policy, and in what direction should each be changed by SKI?

m. Does SKI face any risks if it tightens its credit policy?

n. If the company reduces its DSO without seriously affecting sales, what effect would this have on its cash position (1) in the short run and (2) in the long run? Answer in terms of the cash budget and the balance sheet. What effect should this have on EVA in the long run?

In addition to improving the management of its current assets, SKI is also reviewing the ways in which it finances its current assets. With this concern in mind, Dan is also trying to answer the following questions.

o. Is it likely that SKI could make significantly greater use of accruals?

p. Assume that SKI buys on terms of 1/10, net 30, but that it can get away with paying on the 40th day if it chooses not to take discounts. Also, assume that it purchases $506,985 of equipment per year, net of discounts. How much free trade credit can the company get, how much costly trade credit can it get, and what is the percentage cost of the costly credit? Should SKI take discounts?

q. SKI tries to match the maturity of its assets and liabilities. Describe how SKI could adopt either a more aggressive or more conservative financing policy.

r. What are the advantages and disadvantages of using short-term debt as a source of financing?

s. Would it be feasible for SKI to finance with commercial paper?
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Klein-Brigham Series:

Case 29, “Office Mates, Inc.,” which illustrates how changes in current asset policy affect expected profitability and risk; Case 32, “Alpine Wear, Inc.,” which illustrates the mechanics of the cash budget and the rationale behind its use; Case 32A, “Toy World, Inc.,” and Case 32B, “Sorenson Stove Company,” which deal with cash budgeting; Case 33, “Upscale Toddlers, Inc.,” which deals with credit policy changes; Case 34, “Texas Rose Company,” which focuses on receivables management; Case 62, “Western Supply Company,” which illustrates the effects of a change in credit policy on corporate profitability and cash flow.

Brigham-Buzzard Series:

“Powerline Network Corporation (Working Capital Management).”
Chapter 21 covered the basics of working capital management, including a brief discussion of trade credit from the standpoint of firms that grant credit and report it as accounts receivable and also from the standpoint of firms that use it and report it as accounts payable. In this chapter we expand the discussion of this important topic, and we also discuss the cost of the other major source of short-term financing, bank loans.
CREDIT POLICY

As we stated in Chapter 21, the success or failure of a business depends primarily on the demand for its products—as a rule, the higher its sales, the larger its profits and the higher its stock price. Sales, in turn, depend on a number of factors, some exogenous but others under the firm’s control. The major controllable determinants of demand are sales price, product quality, advertising, and the firm’s credit policy. Credit policy, in turn, consists of these four variables:

1. **Credit period**, which is the length of time buyers are given to pay for their purchases.
2. **Discounts** given for early payment, including the discount percentage and how rapidly payment must be made to qualify for the discount.
3. **Credit standards**, which refer to the required financial strength of acceptable credit customers.
4. **Collection policy**, which is measured by the firm’s toughness or laxity in attempting to collect on slow-paying accounts.
Credit policy impacts working capital and sales, both of which affect free cash flows. Bank loans are an important source of financing, and their cost impacts the weighted average cost of capital. Managing each of these well can increase free cash flows and lower the cost of capital, adding to firm value.

The credit manager is responsible for administering the firm’s credit policy. However, because of the pervasive importance of credit, the credit policy itself is normally established by the executive committee, which usually consists of the president plus the vice presidents of finance, marketing, and production.

**Self-Test Question**

What are the four credit policy variables?

**SETTING THE CREDIT PERIOD AND STANDARDS**

A firm’s regular credit terms, which include the credit period and discount, might call for sales on a 2/10, net 30 basis to all “acceptable” customers. Here customers are required to pay within 30 days, but they are given a 2 percent discount if they pay by the 10th day. Its credit standards would be applied to determine which customers qualify for the regular credit terms, and the amount of credit available to each customer.
Credit Standards

Credit standards refer to the financial strength and creditworthiness a customer must exhibit in order to qualify for credit. If a customer does not qualify for the regular credit terms, it can still purchase from the firm, but under more restrictive terms. For example, a firm’s “regular” credit terms might call for payment within 30 days, and these terms might be offered to all qualified customers. The firm’s credit standards would be applied to determine which customers qualified for the regular credit terms, and how much credit each should receive. The major factors considered when setting credit standards relate to the likelihood that a given customer will pay slowly or perhaps end up as a bad debt loss.

Setting credit standards requires a measurement of credit quality, which is defined in terms of the probability of a customer’s default. The probability estimate for a given customer is, for the most part, a subjective judgment. Nevertheless, credit evaluation is a well-established practice, and a good credit manager can make reasonably accurate judgments of the probability of default by different classes of customers.

Managing a credit department requires fast, accurate, and up-to-date information. To help get such information, the National Association of Credit Management (a group with 43,000 member firms) persuaded TRW, a large credit-reporting agency, to develop a computer-based telecommunications network for the collection, storage, retrieval, and distribution of credit information. A typical business credit report would include the following pieces of information:

1. A summary balance sheet and income statement.
2. A number of key ratios, with trend information.
3. Information obtained from the firm’s suppliers telling whether it pays promptly or slowly, and whether it has recently failed to make any payments.
4. A verbal description of the physical condition of the firm’s operations.
5. A verbal description of the backgrounds of the firm’s owners, including any previous bankruptcies, lawsuits, divorce settlement problems, and the like.
6. A summary rating, ranging from A for the best credit risks down to F for those that are deemed likely to default.

Consumer credit is appraised similarly, using income, years of employment, ownership of home, and past credit history (pays on time or has defaulted) as criteria.

Although a great deal of credit information is available, it must still be processed in a judgmental manner. Computerized information systems can assist in making better credit decisions, but, in the final analysis, most credit decisions are really exercises in informed judgment.1

Self-Test Questions

What are credit terms?
What is credit quality, and how is it assessed?

---

1Credit analysts use procedures ranging from highly sophisticated, computerized “credit-scoring” systems, which actually calculate the statistical probability that a given customer will default, to informal procedures, which involve going through a checklist of factors that should be considered when processing a credit application. The credit-scoring systems use various financial ratios such as the current ratio and the debt ratio (for businesses) and income, years with the same employer, and the like (for individuals) to determine the statistical probability of default. Credit is then granted to those with low default probabilities. The informal procedures often involve examining the “5 C’s of Credit”: character, capacity, capital, collateral, and conditions. Character is obvious; capacity is a subjective estimate of ability to repay; capital means how much net worth the borrower has; collateral means assets pledged to secure the loan; and conditions refers to business conditions, which affect ability to repay.
SETTING THE COLLECTION POLICY

**Collection policy** refers to the procedures the firm follows to collect past-due accounts. For example, a letter might be sent to customers when a bill is 10 days past due; a more severe letter, followed by a telephone call, would be sent if payment is not received within 30 days; and the account would be turned over to a collection agency after 90 days.

The collection process can be expensive in terms of both out-of-pocket expenditures and lost goodwill—customers dislike being turned over to a collection agency. However, at least some firmness is needed to prevent an undue lengthening of the collection period and to minimize outright losses. A balance must be struck between the costs and benefits of different collection policies.

Changes in collection policy influence sales, the collection period, and the bad debt loss percentage. All of this should be taken into account when setting the credit policy.

**Self-Test Question** How does collection policy influence sales, the collection period, and the bad debt loss percentage?

CASH DISCOUNTS

The last element in the credit policy decision, the use of **cash discounts** for early payment, is analyzed by balancing the costs and benefits of different cash discounts. For example, a firm might decide to change its credit terms from “net 30,” which means that customers must pay within 30 days, to “2/10, net 30,” where a 2 percent discount is given if payment is made in 10 days. This change should produce two benefits: (1) It should attract new customers who consider the discount to be a price reduction, and (2) the discount should lead to a reduction in the days sales outstanding, because some existing customers will pay more promptly in order to get the discount. Offsetting these benefits is the dollar cost of the discounts. The optimal discount percentage is established at the point where the marginal costs and benefits are exactly offsetting.

If sales are seasonal, a firm may use **seasonal dating** on discounts. For example, Slimware Inc., a swimsuit manufacturer, sells on terms of 2/10, net 30, May 1 dating. This means that the effective invoice date is May 1, even if the sale was made back in January. The discount may be taken up to May 10; otherwise, the full amount must be paid on May 30. Slimware produces throughout the year, but retail sales of bathing suits are concentrated in the spring and early summer. By offering seasonal dating, the company induces some of its customers to stock up early, saving Slimware some storage costs and also “nailing down sales.”

**Self-Test Questions** How can cash discounts be used to influence sales volume and the DSO? What is seasonal dating?

OTHER FACTORS INFLUENCING CREDIT POLICY

In addition to the factors discussed in previous sections, two other points should be made regarding credit policy.
Profit Potential

We have emphasized the costs of granting credit. However, if it is possible to sell on credit and also to impose a carrying charge on the receivables that are outstanding, then credit sales can actually be more profitable than cash sales. This is especially true for consumer durables (autos, appliances, and so on), but it is also true for certain types of industrial equipment. Thus, GM’s General Motors Acceptance Corporation (GMAC) unit, which finances automobiles, is highly profitable, as is Sears’s credit subsidiary. Some encyclopedia companies even lose money on cash sales but more than make up these losses from the carrying charges on their credit sales. Obviously, such companies would rather sell on credit than for cash!

The carrying charges on outstanding credit are generally about 18 percent on a nominal basis: 1.5 percent per month, so \( 1.5\% \times 12 = 18\% \). This is equivalent to an effective annual rate of \((1.015)^{12} - 1 = 19.6\% \). Having receivables outstanding that earn more than 18 percent is highly profitable unless there are too many bad debt losses.

Legal Considerations

It is illegal, under the Robinson-Patman Act, for a firm to charge prices that discriminate between customers unless these differential prices are cost-justified. The same holds true for credit—it is illegal to offer more favorable credit terms to one customer or class of customers than to another, unless the differences are cost-justified.

Self-Test Question

How do profit potential and legal considerations affect a firm’s credit policy?

THE PAYMENTS PATTERN APPROACH TO MONITORING RECEIVABLES

In Chapter 21, we discussed two methods for monitoring a firm’s receivables position: days sales outstanding and aging schedules. These procedures are useful, especially for monitoring an individual customer’s account, but neither is totally suitable for monitoring the aggregate payment performance of all credit customers, especially for a firm that experiences fluctuating credit sales. In this section, we present another way to monitor receivables, the payments pattern approach.

The primary point in analyzing the aggregate accounts receivable situation is to see if customers, on average, are paying more slowly. If so, accounts receivable will build up, as will the cost of carrying receivables. Further, the payment slowdown may signal a decrease in the quality of the receivables, hence an increase in bad debt losses down the road. The DSO and aging schedules are useful in monitoring credit operations, but both are affected by increases and decreases in the level of sales. Thus, changes in sales levels, including normal seasonal or cyclical changes, can change a firm’s DSO and aging schedule even though its customers’ payment behavior has not changed at all. For this reason, a procedure called the payments pattern approach has been developed to measure any changes that

---

2Companies that do a large volume of sales financing typically set up subsidiary companies called captive finance companies to do the actual financing. Thus, General Motors, DaimlerChrysler, and Ford all have captive finance companies, as do Sears, IBM, and General Electric.
might be occurring in customers’ payment behavior.\textsuperscript{3} To illustrate the payments pattern approach, consider the Hanover Company, a small manufacturer of hand tools that commenced operations in January 2006. Table 22-1 contains Hanover’s credit sales and receivables data for 2006. Column 2 shows that Hanover’s credit sales are seasonal, with the lowest sales in the fall and winter months and the highest during the summer.

Now assume that 10 percent of Hanover’s customers pay in the month the sale is made, that 30 percent pay in the first month following the sale, that 40 percent pay in the second month, and that the remaining 20 percent pay in the third month. Further, assume that Hanover’s customers have the same payment behavior throughout the year; that is, they always take the same length of time to pay. Column 3 of Table 22-1 contains Hanover’s receivables balance at the end of each month. For example, during January Hanover had $60,000 in sales. Ten percent of the customers paid during the month of sale, so the receivables balance

\textbf{Table 22-1} Hanover Company: Receivables Data for 2006 (Thousands of Dollars)

| Month   | Credit Sales for Month | Receivables at End of Month | \multicolumn{2}{c}{BASED ON QUARTERLY SALES DATA} | \multicolumn{2}{c}{BASED ON YEAR-TO-DATE SALES DATA} |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|         |                        |                            | ADS\textsuperscript{a} | DSO\textsuperscript{b} | ADS\textsuperscript{c} | DSO\textsuperscript{c} |
| January | $ 60                   | $ 54                       | $1.98            | 52 days         | $1.98            | 52 days         |
| February| 60                     | 90                         |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| March   | 60                     | 102                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| April   | 60                     | 102                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| May     | 90                     | 129                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| June    | 120                    | 174                        | 2.97             | 59              | 2.47             | 70              |
| July    | 120                    | 198                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| August  | 90                     | 177                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| September| 60                    | 132                        | 2.97             | 44              | 2.64             | 50              |
| October | 60                     | 108                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| November| 60                     | 102                        |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| December| 60                     | 102                        | 1.98             | 52              | 2.47             | 41              |

\textsuperscript{a}ADS = Average daily sales.  
\textsuperscript{b}DSO = Days sales outstanding.  
\textsuperscript{c}We assume each quarter is 91 days long.

at the end of January was $60,000 - 0.1($60,000) = (1.0 - 0.1)($60,000) = 0.9($60,000) = $54,000. By the end of February, 10% + 30% = 40% of the customers had paid for January’s sales, and 10 percent had paid for February’s sales. Thus, the receivables balance at the end of February was 0.6($60,000) + 0.9($60,000) = $90,000. By the end of March, 80 percent of January’s sales had been collected, 40 percent of February’s had been collected, and 10 percent of March’s sales had been collected, so the receivables balance was 0.2($60,000) + 0.6($60,000) + 0.9($60,000) = $102,000; and so on.

Columns 4 and 5 give Hanover’s average daily sales (ADS) and days sales outstanding (DSO), respectively, as these measures would be calculated from quarterly financial statements. For example, in the April–June quarter, ADS = ($60,000 + $90,000 + $120,000)/91 = $2,967, and the end-of-quarter (June 30) DSO = $174,000/$2,967 = 58.6 days. Columns 6 and 7 also show ADS and DSO, but here they are calculated on the basis of accumulated sales throughout the year. For example, at the end of June ADS = $450,000/182 = $2,473 and DSO = $174,000/$2,473 = 70 days. (For the entire year, sales are $900,000; ADS = $2,466, and DSO at year-end = 41 days. These last two figures are shown at the bottom of the last two columns.)

The data in Table 22-1 illustrate two major points. First, fluctuating sales lead to changes in the DSO, which suggests that customers are paying faster or slower, even though we know that customers’ payment patterns are not changing at all. The rising monthly sales trend causes the calculated DSO to rise, whereas falling sales (as in the third quarter) cause the calculated DSO to fall, even though nothing is changing with regard to when customers actually pay. Second, we see that the DSO depends on an averaging procedure, but regardless of whether quarterly, semiannual, or annual data are used, the DSO is still unstable even though payment patterns are not changing. Therefore, it is difficult to use the DSO as a monitoring device if the firm’s sales exhibit seasonal or cyclical patterns.

Seasonal or cyclical variations also make it difficult to interpret aging schedules. Table 22-2 contains Hanover’s aging schedules at the end of each quarter of 2006. At the end of June, Table 22-1 shows that Hanover’s receivables balance was $174,000. Eighty percent of April’s $60,000 of sales had been collected, 40 percent of May’s $90,000 of sales had been collected, and 10 percent of June’s $120,000 of sales had been collected. Thus, the end-of-June receivables balance consisted of 0.2($60,000) = $12,000 of April sales, 0.6($90,000) = $54,000 of May sales, and 0.9($120,000) = $108,000 of June sales. Note again that Hanover’s customers had not changed their payment patterns. However, rising sales during the second quarter created the impression of faster payments when judged by the percentage aging schedule, and falling sales after July created the opposite appearance. Thus, neither the DSO nor the aging schedule provides an accurate picture of customers’ payment patterns if sales fluctuate during the year or are trending up or down.

With this background, we can now examine another basic tool, the uncollected balances schedule, as shown in Table 22-3. At the end of each quarter, the dollar amount of receivables remaining from each of the three month’s sales is divided by that month’s sales to obtain three receivables-to-sales ratios. For example, at the end of the first quarter $12,000 of the $60,000 January sales, or 20 percent, are still outstanding; 60 percent of February sales are still out; and 90 percent of March sales are uncollected. Exactly the same situation is revealed at the end of each of the next three quarters. Thus, Table 22-3 shows that Hanover’s customers’ payment behavior has remained constant.
**Table 22-2** Hanover Company: Quarterly Aging Schedules for 2006 (Thousands of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Accounts (Days)</th>
<th>March 31</th>
<th>June 30</th>
<th>September 30</th>
<th>December 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>$ 54</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>$108</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–90</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$174</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 22-3** Hanover Company: Quarterly Uncollected Balances Schedules for 2006 (Thousands of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Monthly Sales</th>
<th>Remaining Receivables at End of Quarter</th>
<th>Remaining Receivables as Percent of Month’s Sales at End of Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
<td>$ 12</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>170%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
<td>$ 12</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$174</td>
<td>170%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$ 24</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$132</td>
<td>170%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
<td>$ 12</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>170%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recall that at the beginning of the example we assumed the existence of a constant payments pattern. In a normal situation, the firm’s customers’ payments pattern would probably vary somewhat over time. Such variations would be shown in the last column of the uncollected balances schedule. For example, suppose customers began to pay their accounts slower in the second quarter. That might cause the second quarter uncollected balances schedule to look like this (in thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter 2, 2006</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>New Remaining Receivables</th>
<th>New Receivables/Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$196</td>
<td>197%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We see that the receivables-to-sales ratios are now higher than in the corresponding months of the first quarter. This causes the total uncollected balances percentage to rise from 170 to 197 percent, which, in turn, should alert Hanover’s managers that customers are paying slower than they did earlier in the year.

The uncollected balances schedule permits a firm to monitor its receivables better, and it can also be used to forecast future receivables balances. When Hanover’s pro forma 2007 quarterly balance sheets are constructed, management can use the historical receivables-to-sales ratios, coupled with 2007 sales estimates, to project each quarter’s receivables balance. For example, with projected sales as given below, and using the same payments pattern as in 2006, Hanover’s projected end-of-June 2007 receivables balance would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter 2, 2007</th>
<th>Projected Sales</th>
<th>Receivables/Sales</th>
<th>Projected Receivables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$200</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The payments pattern approach permits us to remove the effects of seasonal and/or cyclical sales variation and to construct a more accurate measure of customers’ payments patterns. Thus, it provides financial managers with better aggregate information than the days sales outstanding or the aging schedule. Managers should use the payments pattern approach to monitor collection performance as well as to project future receivables requirements.

Except possibly in the inventory and cash management areas, nowhere in the typical firm have computers had more of an effect than in accounts receivable management. A well-run business will use a computer system to record sales, to send out bills, to keep track of when payments are made, to alert the credit manager when an account becomes past due, and to take action automatically to collect past-due accounts (for example, to prepare form letters requesting payment). Additionally, the payment history of each customer can be summarized and used to help establish credit limits for customers and classes of customers, and the data on each account can be aggregated and used for the firm’s accounts receivable monitoring system. Finally, historical data can be stored in the firm’s database and used to develop inputs for studies related to credit policy changes, as we discuss in the next section.
ANALYZING PROPOSED CHANGES IN CREDIT POLICY

In Chapter 21, we discussed credit policy, including setting the credit period, credit standards, collection policy, and discount percentage, as well as the factors that influence credit policy. A firm’s credit policy is reviewed periodically, and policy changes may be proposed. However, before a new policy is adopted, it should be analyzed to determine if it is indeed preferable to the existing policy. In this section, we discuss procedures for analyzing proposed changes in credit policy.

If a firm’s credit policy is eased by such actions as lengthening the credit period, relaxing credit standards, following a less tough collection policy, or offering cash discounts, then sales should increase: Easing the credit policy stimulates sales. Of course, if credit policy is eased and sales rise, then costs will also rise because more labor, materials, and so on, will be required to produce the additional goods. Additionally, receivables outstanding will also increase, which will increase carrying costs. Moreover, bad debts and/or discount expenses may also rise. Thus, the key question when deciding on a proposed credit policy change is this: Will sales revenues increase more than costs, including credit-related costs, causing cash flow to increase, or will the increase in sales revenues be more than offset by higher costs?

Table 22-4 illustrates the general idea behind the analysis of credit policy changes. Column 1 shows the projected 2007 income statement for Monroe Manufacturing under the assumption that the firm’s current credit policy is maintained throughout the year. Column 2 shows the expected effects of easing the credit policy by extending the credit period, offering larger discounts, relaxing credit standards, and easing collection efforts. Specifically, Monroe is analyzing the effects of changing its credit terms from 1/10, net 30, to 2/10, net 40, relaxing its credit standards, and putting less pressure on slow-paying customers. Column 3 shows the projected 2007 income statement incorporating the expected effects of an easing in credit policy. The generally looser policy is expected to increase sales and lower collection costs, but discounts and several other types of costs would rise. The overall, bottom-line effect is a $7 million increase in projected net income. In the following paragraphs, we explain how the numbers in the table were calculated.

Monroe’s annual sales are $400 million. Under its current credit policy, 50 percent of those customers who pay do so on Day 10 and take the discount, 40 percent pay on Day 30, and 10 percent pay late, on Day 40. Thus, Monroe’s days sales outstanding is $(0.5)(10) + (0.4)(30) + (0.1)(40) = 21$ days, and discounts total $(0.01)(400,000,000)(0.5) = 2,000,000$.

The cost of carrying receivables is equal to the average receivables balance times the variable cost percentage times the cost of money used to carry receivables. The firm’s variable cost ratio is 70 percent, and its pre-tax cost of capital invested in receivables is 20 percent. Thus, its annual cost of carrying receivables is $3 million:

**Self-Test Questions**

Define days sales outstanding (DSO). What can be learned from it? Does it have any deficiencies when used to monitor collections over time?

What is an aging schedule? What can be learned from it? Does it have any deficiencies when used to monitor collections over time?

What is the uncollected balances schedule? What advantages does it have over the DSO and the aging schedule for monitoring receivables? How can it be used to forecast a firm’s receivables balance?
Only variable costs enter this calculation because this is the only cost element in receivables that must be financed. We are seeking the cost of carrying receivables, and variable costs represent the firm’s investment in the cost of goods sold.

Even though Monroe spends $5 million annually to analyze accounts and to collect bad debts, 2.5 percent of sales will never be collected. Bad debt losses therefore amount to \((0.025)(400,000,000)\) \(=\) $10,000,000.

Monroe’s new credit policy would be 2/10, net 40 versus the old policy of 1/10, net 30, so it would call for a larger discount and a longer payment period, as well as a relaxed collection effort and lower credit standards. The company believes that these changes will lead to a $130 million increase in sales, to $530 million per year. Under the new terms, management believes that 60 percent of the customers who pay will take the 2 percent discount, so discounts will increase to \((0.02)(530,000,000)\) \(=\) $6,660,000 \(\approx\) $6 million. Half of the nondiscount customers will pay on Day 40, and the remainder on Day 50. The new DSO is thus estimated to be 24 days:

\[
(0.6)(10) + (0.2)(40) + (0.2)(50) = 24 \text{ days}
\]
Also, the cost of carrying receivables will increase to $5 million:

\[(24)(530,000,000/365)(0.70)(0.20) = 4,878,904 = 5 \text{ million}^{4}\]

The company plans to reduce its annual credit analysis and collection expenditures to $2 million. The reduced credit standards and the relaxed collection effort are expected to raise bad debt losses to about 6 percent of sales, or to \((0.06)(530,000,000) = 31,800,000 \approx 32,000,000\), which is an increase of $22 million from the previous level.

The combined effect of all the changes in credit policy is a projected $7 million annual increase in net income. There would, of course, be corresponding changes on the projected balance sheet—the higher sales would necessitate somewhat larger cash balances, inventories, and, depending on the capacity situation, perhaps more fixed assets. Accounts receivable would, of course, also increase. Because these asset increases would have to be financed, certain liabilities and/or equity would have to be increased.

The $7 million expected increase in net income is, of course, an estimate, and the actual effects of the change could be quite different. In the first place, there is uncertainty—perhaps quite a lot—about the projected $130 million increase in sales. Indeed, if the firm’s competitors matched its changes, sales might not rise at all. Similar uncertainties must be attached to the number of customers who would take discounts, to production costs at higher or lower sales levels, to the costs of carrying additional receivables, and to bad debt losses. In the final analysis, the decision will be based on judgment, especially concerning the risks involved, but the type of quantitative analysis set forth above is essential to the process.

**Self-Test Questions**

Describe the procedure for evaluating a change in credit policy using the income statement approach.

Do you think that credit policy decisions are made more on the basis of numerical analyses or on judgmental factors?

**ANALYZING PROPOSED CHANGES IN CREDIT POLICY: INCREMENTAL ANALYSIS**

To evaluate a proposed change in credit policy, one could compare alternative projected income statements, as we did in Table 22-4. Alternatively, one could develop the data in Column 2, which shows the incremental effect of the proposed change without first developing the pro forma statements. This second approach is often preferable—because firms usually change their credit policies in specific

---

4Since the credit policy change will result in a longer DSO, the firm will have to wait longer to receive its profit on the goods it sells. Therefore, the firm will incur an opportunity cost due to not having the cash from these profits available for investment. The dollar amount of this opportunity cost is equal to the old sales per day times the change in DSO times the contribution margin \((1 - \text{Variable cost ratio})\) times the firm’s cost of carrying receivables, or

\[
\text{Opportunity cost} = \frac{\text{Old sales}/365}{\Delta \text{DSO}}(1 - v)(r)
\]

\[= \frac{400/365}{3}(0.3)(0.20)
\]

\[= 0.197 \approx 197,000
\]

For simplicity, we have ignored this opportunity cost in our analysis. However, we consider opportunity costs in the next section, where we discuss incremental analysis.
divisions or on specific products, and not across the board, it may not be feasible to develop complete corporate income statements. Of course, the two approaches are based on exactly the same data, so they should produce identical results.

In an incremental analysis, we attempt to determine the increase or decrease in both sales and costs associated with a given easing or tightening of credit policy. The difference between incremental sales and incremental costs is defined as **incremental profit**. If the expected incremental profit is positive, and if it is sufficiently large to compensate for the risks involved, then the proposed credit policy change should be accepted.

### The Basic Equations

To ensure that all relevant factors are considered, it is useful to set up some equations to analyze changes in credit policy. We begin by defining the following terms and symbols:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>current gross sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_N$</td>
<td>new gross sales, after the change in credit policy. Note that $S_N$ can be greater or less than $S_0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_N - S_0$</td>
<td>incremental, or change in, gross sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>variable costs as a percentage of gross sales. $V$ includes production costs, inventory carrying costs, the cost of administering the credit department, and all other variable costs except bad debt losses, financing costs associated with carrying the investment in receivables, and costs of giving discounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 - V$</td>
<td>contribution margin, or the percentage of each gross sales dollar that goes toward covering overhead and increasing profits. The contribution margin is sometimes called the gross profit margin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>cost of financing the investment in receivables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DSO_0$</td>
<td>days sales outstanding prior to the change in credit policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DSO_N$</td>
<td>new days sales outstanding, after the credit policy change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>average bad debt loss at the current sales level as a percentage of current gross sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_N$</td>
<td>average bad debt loss at the new sales level as a percentage of new gross sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>percentage of total customers (by dollar amount) who take discounts under the current credit policy. That is, the percentage of gross sales that are discount sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_N$</td>
<td>percentage of total customers (by dollar amount) who will take discounts under the new credit policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_0$</td>
<td>discount percentage offered at the present time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_N$</td>
<td>discount percentage offered under the new credit policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With these definitions in mind, we can calculate values for the incremental change in the level of the firm’s investment in receivables, $\Delta I$, and the incremental change in pretax profits, $\Delta P$. The formula for calculating $\Delta I$ differs depending on whether
the change in credit policy results in an increase or decrease in sales. Here we sim-
ply present the equations; we discuss and explain them shortly, through use of
examples, once all the equations have been set forth.

If the change is expected to *increase* sales—either additional sales to old cus-
tomers or sales to newly attracted customers, or both—then we have this situation:

**Formula for $\Delta I$ if Sales Increase:**

\[
\Delta I = \left[ \text{Increased investment in receivables associated with original sales} \right] + \left[ \text{Increased investment in receivables associated with incremental sales} \right]
\]

\[
= \left[ \text{Change in days sales outstanding} \right] \left[ \text{Old sales per day} \right] + V \left( DSO_N \right) \left( \text{Incremental sales per day} \right)
\]

\[
= \left[ (DSO_N - DSO_0) \left( S_0/365 \right) \right] + V \left[ (DSO_N) \left( S_N - S_0 \right)/365 \right]
\]

However, if the change in credit policy is expected to *decrease* sales, then the change in the level of investment in receivables is calculated as follows:

**Formula for $\Delta I$ if Sales Decrease:**

\[
\Delta I = \left[ \text{Decreased investment in receivables associated with remaining original customers} \right] + \left[ \text{Decreased investment in receivables associated with customers who left} \right]
\]

\[
= \left[ \text{Change in days sales outstanding} \right] \left[ \text{Remaining sales per day} \right] + V \left( DSO_0 \right) \left( \text{Incremental sales per day} \right)
\]

\[
= \left[ (DSO_N - DSO_0) \left( S_0/365 \right) \right] + V \left[ (DSO_0) \left( S_N - S_0 \right)/365 \right]
\]

With the change in receivables investment calculated, we can now analyze the pre-
tax profitability of the proposed change:

**Formula for $\Delta P$:**

\[
\Delta P = \left[ \text{Change in gross profit} \right] - \left[ \text{Change in cost of carrying receivables} \right] - \left[ \text{Change in bad debt losses} \right] - \left[ \text{Change in cost of discounts} \right]
\]

\[
= (S_N - S_0)(1 - V) - r(\Delta I) - (B_N S_N - B_0 S_0) - (D_N S_P N - D_0 S_P 0)
\]

Thus, changes in credit policy are analyzed by using either Equation 22-1 or 22-2,
depending on whether the proposed change is expected to increase or decrease
sales, and Equation 22-3. The rationale behind these equations will become clear as we work through several illustrations. Note that all the terms in Equation 22-3 need not be used in a particular analysis. For example, a change in credit policy might not affect discount sales or bad debt losses, in which case the last two terms of Equation 22-3 would both be zero. Note also that the form of the equations depends on the way in which the variables are first defined.5

**Changing the Credit Period**

In this section, we examine the effects of changing the credit period, while in the following sections we consider changes in credit standards, collection policy, and cash discounts. Throughout, we illustrate the situation with data on Stylish Fashions Inc.

**Lengthening the Credit Period** Stylish Fashions currently sells on a cash-only basis. Since it extends no credit, the company has no funds tied up in receivables, has no bad debt losses, and has no credit expenses of any kind. On the other hand, its sales volume is lower than it would be if credit terms were offered. Stylish is now considering offering credit on 30-day terms. Current sales are $100,000 per year; variable costs are 60 percent of sales; excess production capacity exists (so no new fixed costs would be incurred as a result of expanded sales); and the cost of capital invested in receivables is 10 percent. Stylish estimates that sales would increase to $150,000 per year if credit were extended, and that bad debt losses would be 2 percent of total sales. Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
S_0 &= $100,000. \\
S_N &= $150,000. \\
V &= 60\% = 0.6. \\
1 - V &= 1 - 0.6 = 0.4. \\
r &= 10\% = 0.10. \\
DSO_0 &= 0 \text{ days.} \\
DSO_N &= 30 \text{ days. Here we assume that all customers will pay on time, so DSO = specified credit period. Generally, some customers pay late, so in most cases DSO is greater than the specified credit period.} \\
B_0 &= 0\% = 0.00. \text{ There are currently no bad debt losses.} \\
B_N &= 2\% = 0.02. \text{ These losses apply to the entire } $150,000 \text{ new level of sales.} \\
D_0 &= D_N = 0\%. \text{ No discounts are given under either the current or the proposed credit policies.}
\end{align*}
\]

---

5For example, \(P_0\) and \(P_n\) are defined as the percentage of total customers who take discounts. If \(P_0\) and \(P_n\) were defined as the percentage of paying customers (excluding bad debts) who take discounts, then Equation 22-3 would become

\[\Delta P = (S_N - S_0)(1 - V) - r(\Delta I) - (B_N - B_0) - [D_N S_N P_N (1 - B_0) - D_0 S_0 P_0 (1 - B_0)]\]

Similarly, changing the definitions of \(B_0\) and \(B_N\) would affect the third term of Equation 22-3, as we discuss later.
Since sales are expected to increase, Equation 22-1 is used to determine the change in the investment in receivables:

\[
\Delta I = [(DSO_N - DSO_O)(S_N - S_O)/365] + V[(DSO_N - S_N)/365]
\]

\[
= [(30 - 0)(100,000/365)] + 0.6(30(150,000 - 100,000)/365)
= $8,219 + $2,466 = $10,685
\]

Note that the first term, the increased investment in accounts receivable associated with old sales, is based on the full amount of the receivables, whereas the second term, the investment associated with incremental sales, consists of incremental receivables multiplied by V, the variable cost percentage. This difference reflects the facts (1) that the firm invests only its variable cost in incremental receivables, but (2) that it would have collected the full sales price on the old sales earlier had it not made the credit policy change. There is an opportunity cost on the profit and a direct financing cost associated with the $8,219 additional investment in receivables from old sales, but only a direct financing cost associated with the $2,466 investment in receivables from incremental sales.

Looking at this another way, incremental sales will generate an actual increase in receivables of \((DSO_N)(S_N - S_O)/365 = 30(50,000/365) = $4,110\). However, the only part of that increase that has to be financed (by bank borrowing or from other sources) and reported as a liability on the right side of the balance sheet is the cash outflow required to support the incremental sales, that is, the variable costs, \(V(4,110) = 0.6(4,110) = $2,466\). The remainder of the receivables increase, \$1,664\) of accrued before-tax profit, is reflected on the balance sheet not as some type of credit used to finance receivables, but as an increase in retained earnings generated by the sales. On the other hand, the old receivables level was zero, meaning that the original sales produced cash of \(100,000/365 = $273.97\) per day, which was immediately available for investing in assets or for reducing capital from other sources. The change in credit policy will cause a delay in the collection of these funds, and hence will require the firm (1) to borrow to cover the variable costs of the sales, and (2) to forgo a return on the retained earnings portion, which would have been available immediately had the credit policy change not been made.

Given \(\Delta I\), we may now determine the incremental profit, \(\Delta P\), associated with the proposed credit period change, using Equation 22-3:

\[
\Delta P = (S_N - S_O)(1 - V) - r(\Delta I) - (B_N S_N - B_O S_O) - (D_N S_N P_N - D_O S_O P_O)
\]

\[
= (50,000)(0.4) - 0.10(10,685) - [0.02(150,000) - 0.00(100,000)] - 0
= $20,000 - $1,069 - $3,000 = $15,931
\]

Since pre-tax profits are expected to increase by \$15,931, the credit policy change appears to be desirable.

Two simplifying assumptions that were made in our analysis should be noted: We assumed (1) that all customers paid on time \(DSO = \text{credit period}\), and (2) that there were no current bad debt losses. The assumption of prompt payment can be relaxed quite easily—we can simply use the actual days sales outstanding (say,
40 days), rather than the 30-day credit period, to calculate the investment in receiv-
ables, and then use this new (and higher) value of $I$ in Equation 22-3 to calculate
$P$. Thus, if $D_{SN}$ were 40 days, then the increased investment in receivables
would be

$$
\Delta I = [(40 - 0)($100,000/365$)] + 0.6[40($50,000/365$)]
= $10,959 + $3,288 = $14,247
$$

and the change in pre-tax profits would be

$$
\Delta P = $50,000(0.4) - 0.10($14,247) - 0.02($150,000)
= $20,000 - $1,425 - $3,000 = $15,575
$$

The longer collection period causes incremental profits to fall slightly, but they are
still positive, so the credit policy should probably still be relaxed.

If the company had been selling on credit initially and therefore incurring
some bad debt losses, then we would have had to include this information in
Equation 22-3. In our example, $B_0 S_0$ was equal to zero because Stylish Fashions
did not previously sell on credit; therefore, the change in bad debt losses was
equal to $B NS N$.

Note that $B_N$ is defined as the average credit loss percentage on total sales,
and not just on incremental sales. Bad debts might be higher for new customers
attracted by the credit terms than for old customers who take advantage of them,
but $B_N$ is an average of these two groups. However, if one wanted to keep the two
groups separate, it would be easy enough to define $B_N$ as the bad debt percentage
of the incremental sales only.

Other factors could be introduced into the analysis. For example, the com-
pany could consider a further easing of credit by extending the credit period to
60 days, or it could analyze the effects of a sales expansion so great that fixed
assets, and hence additional fixed costs, had to be added. Or the variable cost
ratio might change as sales increased, falling if economies of scale were present or
rising if diseconomies were present. Adding such factors complicates the analysis,
but the basic principles are the same—just keep in mind that we are seeking to
determine the incremental sales revenues, the incremental costs, and consequently
the incremental before-tax profit associated with a given change in credit policy.

**Shortening the Credit Period** Suppose that one year after Stylish Fashions
began offering 30-day credit terms, management decided to consider the possibil-
ity of shortening the credit period from 30 to 20 days. It was believed that sales
would decline by $20,000 per year from the current level, $150,000, so $S_N$
= $130,000. It was also believed that the bad debt percentage on these lost sales
would be 2 percent, the same as on other sales, and that all other values would
remain as given in the last section.

We first calculate the incremental investment in receivables. Because the
change in credit policy is expected to decrease sales, Equation 22-2 is used:

$$
\Delta I = [(DSO_N - DSO_0)(S_0/365)] + V[(DSO_0)(S_N - S_0)/365]
$$

$$
= [(20 - 30)($130,000/365$)] + 0.6[30($130,000 - $150,000)/365]
= (-10)($356.16) + 0.6[(30)(-$54.79)]
= -$3,562 - $986 = -$4,548
$$
With a shorter credit period there is a shorter collection period, so sales are collected sooner. There is also a smaller volume of business, and hence a smaller investment in receivables. The first term captures the speedup in collections, while the second reflects the reduced sales, and hence the lower receivables investment (at variable cost).

Note that V is included in the second term but not in the first one. The logic here is parallel to that with regard to Equation 22-1. V is included in the second term because, by shortening the credit period, Stylish Fashions will drive off some customers and lose sales of $20,000 per year, or $54.79 per day. The firm's investment in those sales was only 60 percent of the average receivables outstanding, or $986. However, the situation is different for the remaining customers. They would have paid their full purchase price—variable cost plus profit—after 30 days. Now, however, they will have to pay this amount 10 days sooner, so those funds will be available to meet operating costs or for investment. Thus, the first term should not be reduced by the variable cost factor. Therefore, in total, reducing the credit period would result in a $4,548 reduction in the investment in receivables, consisting of a $3,562 decline in receivables associated with continuing customers and a further $986 decline in investment as a result of the reduced sales volume.

With the change in investment calculated, we can now analyze the profitability of the proposed change using Equation 22-3:

$$
\Delta P = (S_N - S_0)(1 - V) - r(\Delta I) - (B_N S_N - B_0 S_0) - (D_N S_N P_N - D_0 S_0 P_0)
$$

$$
= (\$130,000 - \$150,000)(0.4) - 0.10(-\$4,548)
- ([0.02](\$130,000) - (0.02)(\$150,000)) - 0
= -\$8,000 + \$455 + \$400 = -\$7,145
$$

Since the expected incremental pre-tax profits are negative, the firm should not reduce its credit period from 30 to 20 days.

**Changes in Other Credit Policy Variables**

In the preceding section, we examined the effects of changes in the credit period. Changes in other credit policy variables may be analyzed similarly. In general, we would follow these steps:

**STEP 1.** Estimate the effect of the policy change on sales, on DSO, on bad debt losses, and so on.

**STEP 2.** Determine the change in the firm’s investment in receivables. If the change will increase sales, then use Equation 22-1 to calculate \(\Delta I\). Conversely, if the change will decrease sales, then use Equation 22-2.

**STEP 3.** Use Equation 22-3, or one of its variations, to calculate the effect of the change on pre-tax profits. If profits are expected to increase, the policy change should be made, unless it is judged to increase the firm’s risk by a disproportionate amount.

**Simultaneous Changes in Policy Variables**

In the preceding discussion, we considered the effects of changes in only one credit policy variable. The firm could, of course, change several or even all policy variables
simultaneously. An almost endless variety of equations could be developed, depending on which policy variables are manipulated and on the assumed effects on sales, discounts taken, the collection period, bad debt losses, the existence of excess capacity, changes in credit department costs, changes in the variable cost percentage, and so on. The analysis would get “messy,” and the incremental profit equation would be complex, but the principles we have developed could be used to handle any type of policy change.

**Self-Test Questions**

Describe the incremental analysis approach for evaluating a proposed credit policy change. How can risk be incorporated into the analysis?

### The Cost of Bank Loans

In Chapter 21 we discussed the various short-term bank loans that are typically available: promissory notes, informal lines of credit, and revolving credit agreements. The cost of bank loans varies for different types of borrowers at any given point in time and for all borrowers over time. Interest rates are higher for riskier borrowers, and rates are also higher on smaller loans because of the fixed costs involved in making and servicing loans. If a firm can qualify as a “prime credit” because of its size and financial strength, it can borrow at the **prime rate**, which at one time was the lowest rate banks charged. Rates on other loans are generally scaled up from the prime rate, but loans to very strong customers are now made at rates below prime. Thus, loans to smaller, riskier borrowers are generally stated to carry an interest rate of “prime plus some number of percentage points,” but loans to larger, less risky borrowers may have a rate stated as “prime minus some percentage points.”

Bank rates vary widely over time depending on economic conditions and Federal Reserve policy. When the economy is weak, then (1) loan demand is usually slack, (2) inflation is low, and (3) the Fed also makes plenty of money available to the system. As a result, rates on all types of loans are relatively low. Conversely, when the economy is booming, loan demand is typically strong, the Fed restricts the money supply, and the result is high interest rates. As an indication of the kinds of fluctuations that can occur, the prime rate during 1980 rose from 11 percent to 22 percent in just four months, and it rose from 6 to 9 percent during 1994. The prime rate is currently (January 2005) 5.25 percent. Interest rates on other bank loans also vary, generally moving with the prime rate.

The terms on a short-term bank loan to a business are spelled out in the promissory note. Here are the key elements contained in most promissory notes:

1. **Interest only versus amortized.** Loans are either interest-only, meaning that only interest is paid during the life of the loan, and the principal is repaid when the loan matures, or amortized, meaning that some of the principal is repaid on each payment date. Amortized loans are called **installment loans**. Note too that loans can be fully or partially amortized. For example, a loan may mature after 10 years, but payments may be based on 20 years, so an unpaid balance will still exist at the end of the 10th year. Such a loan is called a “balloon” loan.

2. **Collateral.** If a short-term loan is secured by some specific collateral, generally accounts receivable or inventories, this fact is indicated in the note. If the collateral is to be kept on the premises of the borrower, then a form called a **UCC-1** (Uniform Commercial Code-1) is filed with the secretary of the state in which the collateral resides, along with a **Security Agreement** (also part of the Uniform Commercial Code) that describes the nature of the agreement.
These filings prevent the borrower from using the same collateral to secure loans from different lenders, and they spell out conditions under which the lender can seize the collateral.

3. **Loan guarantees.** If the borrower is a small corporation, its bank will probably insist that the larger stockholders personally guarantee the loan. Banks have often seen a troubled company’s owner divert assets from the company to some other entity he or she owned, so banks protect themselves by insisting on personal guarantees. However, stockholder guarantees are virtually impossible to get in the case of larger corporations that have many stockholders. Also, guarantees are unnecessary for proprietorships or partnerships because here the owners are already personally liable for the businesses’ debts.

4. **Nominal, or stated, interest rate.** The interest rate can be either fixed or floating. If it floats, it is generally indexed to the bank’s prime rate, to the T-bill rate, or to the London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR). Most loans of any size ($25,000 and up) have floating rates if their maturities are greater than 90 days. The note will also indicate whether the bank uses a 360- or 365-day year for purposes of calculating interest; most banks use a 360-day year.

5. **Frequency of interest payments.** If the note is on an interest-only basis, it will indicate how frequently interest must be paid. Interest is typically calculated on a daily basis but paid monthly.

6. **Maturity.** Long-term loans always have specific maturity dates. A short-term loan may or may not have a specified maturity. For example, a loan may mature in 30 days, 90 days, 6 months, or 1 year, or it may call for “payment on demand,” in which case the loan can remain outstanding as long as the borrower wants to continue using the funds and the bank agrees. Banks virtually never call demand notes unless the borrower’s creditworthiness deteriorates, so some “short-term loans” remain outstanding for years, with the interest rate floating with rates in the economy.

7. **Discount interest.** Most loans call for interest to be paid after it has been earned, but discount loans require that interest be paid in advance. If the loan is on a discount basis, the borrower actually receives less than the face amount of the loan, and this increases the loan’s effective cost. We discuss discount loans in a later section.

8. **Add-on basis installment loans.** Auto loans and other types of consumer installment loans are generally set up on an “add-on basis,” which means that interest over the life of the loan is calculated and then added to the face amount of the loan. Thus, the borrower signs a note for the funds received plus the interest. The add-on feature also raises the effective cost of a loan, as we demonstrate in a later section.

9. **Other cost elements.** As noted above, some loans require compensating balances, and revolving credit agreements often require commitment fees. Both of these conditions will be spelled out in the loan agreement, and both raise the effective cost of a loan above its stated nominal rate.

10. **Key-person insurance.** Often the success of a small company is linked to its owner or to a few important managers. It’s a sad fact, but many small companies fail when one of these key individuals dies. Therefore, banks often require small companies to take out key-person insurance on their most important managers as part of the loan agreement. Usually the loan becomes due and payable should there be an untimely demise, with the insurance benefits being used to repay the loan. This makes the best of a bad situation—the bank gets its money, and the company reduces its debt burden without having to use any of its operating cash.
Regular, or Simple, Interest

In this and the following sections, we explain how to calculate the effective cost of different bank loans. For illustrative purposes, we assume a loan of $10,000 at a nominal interest rate of 12 percent, with a 365-day year.

For short-term business loans, the most common procedure is called regular, or simple, interest, based on an interest-only loan. We begin by dividing the nominal interest rate, 12 percent in this case, by 365 (or 360 in some cases) to get the rate per day:

\[
\text{Interest rate per day} = \frac{\text{Nominal rate}}{\text{Days in year}}
\]

\[= \frac{0.12}{365} = 0.00032876712\]

This rate is then multiplied by the number of days during the specific payment period, and then by the amount of the loan. For example, if the loan is interest-only, with monthly payments, then the interest payment for January would be $101.92:

\[\text{Interest charge for period} = (\text{Days in period})(\text{Rate per day})(\text{Amount of loan})\]

\[= (31 \text{ days})(0.00032876712)(\$10,000) = \$101.92\]

If interest were payable quarterly, and if there were 91 days in the particular quarter, then the interest payment would be $299.18. The annual interest would be \(365 \times 0.00032876712 \times \$10,000 = \$1,200.00\). Note that if the bank had based the interest calculation on a 360-day year, as most banks do, the interest charges would have been slightly higher, and the annual charge would have been $1,216.67. Obviously, banks use a 360-day year to boost their earnings.

The effective interest rate on a loan depends on how frequently interest must be paid—the more frequently, the higher the effective rate. We demonstrate this point with two time lines, one for interest paid once a year and one for quarterly payments:

**Interest Paid Annually:**

![Time line for interest paid annually]

The borrower gets $10,000 at \(t = 0\) and pays $11,200 at \(t = 1\). With a financial calculator, enter \(N = 1\), \(PV = 10000\), \(PMT = 0\), and \(FV = -11200\), and then press \(I\) to get the effective cost of the loan, 12 percent.
Interest Paid Quarterly:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-299.18</td>
<td>-299.18</td>
<td>-302.47</td>
<td>-299.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the third quarter has 92 days. We enter the data in the cash flow register of a financial calculator (being sure to use the +/- key to enter -299.18), and we find the periodic rate to be 2.9999 percent. The effective annual rate is 12.55 percent:

\[
\text{Effective annual rate, quarterly} = (1 + 0.029999)^4 - 1 = 12.55\%
\]

Had the loan called for interest to be paid monthly, the effective rate would have been 12.68 percent, and if interest had been paid daily, the rate would have been 12.75 percent. These rates would be higher if the bank used a 360-day year.

In these examples, we assumed that the loan matured in one year but that interest was paid at various times during the year. The rates we calculated would have been exactly the same as the ones above even if the loan had matured on each interest payment date. In other words, the effective rate on a monthly payment loan would be 12.68 percent regardless of whether it matured after one month, six months, one year, or 10 years, providing the stated rate remains at 12 percent.

Discount Interest

In a discount interest loan, the bank deducts the interest in advance (discounts the loan). Thus, the borrower receives less than the face value of the loan. On a one-year, $10,000 loan with a 12 percent (nominal) rate, discount basis, the interest is $10,000(0.12) = $1,200. Therefore, the borrower obtains the use of only $10,000 - $1,200 = $8,800. If the loan were for less than a year, the interest charge (the discount) would be lower; in our example, it would be $600 if the loan were for six months; hence the amount received would be $9,400.

The effective rate on a discount loan is always higher than the rate on otherwise similar simple interest loan. To illustrate, consider the situation for a discounted 12 percent loan for one year:

Discount Interest, Paid Annually:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With a financial calculator, enter N = 1, PV = 8800, PMT = 0, and FV = -10000, and then press I to get the effective cost of the loan, 13.64 percent.6

---

6Note that the firm actually receives less than the face amount of the loan:

\[
\text{Funds received} = \text{Face amount of loan}(1.0 - \text{Nominal interest rate})
\]

(footnote continues)
If a discount loan matures in less than a year, say, after one quarter, we have this situation:

**Discount Interest, One Quarter:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter \( N = 1 \), \( PV = 9,700 \), \( PMT = 0 \), and \( FV = -10,000 \), and then press I to find the periodic rate, 3.092784 percent per quarter, which corresponds to an effective annual rate of 12.96 percent. Thus, shortening the period of a discount loan lowers the effective rate of interest.

**Effects of Compensating Balances**

If the bank requires a compensating balance, and if the amount of the required balance exceeds the amount the firm would normally hold on deposit, then the excess must be deducted at \( t = 0 \) and then added back when the loan matures. This has the effect of raising the effective rate on the loan. To illustrate, here is the setup for a one-year discount loan, with a 20 percent compensating balance that the firm would not otherwise hold on deposit:

**Discount Interest, Paid Annually, with 20 Percent Compensating Balance:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the bank initially gives, and the borrower gets, $10,000 at time 0. However, the bank takes out the $1,200 of interest in advance, and the company must leave $2,000 in the bank as a compensating balance, hence the borrower’s effective net cash flow at \( t = 0 \) is $6,800. At \( t = 1 \), the borrower must repay the $10,000, but $2,000 is already in the bank (the compensating balance), so the company must repay a net amount of $8,000.

(footnote 6 continued)

We can solve for the face amount as follows:

\[
\text{Face amount of loan} = \frac{\text{Funds received}}{1.0 - \text{Nominal rate (decimal)}}
\]

Therefore, if the borrowing firm actually requires $10,000 of cash, it must borrow $11,363.64:

\[
\text{Face value} = \frac{\$10,000}{1.0 - 0.12} = \frac{\$10,000}{0.88} = \$11,363.64
\]

Now, the borrower will receive $11,363.64 - 0.12($11,363.64) = $10,000. Increasing the face value of the loan does not change the effective rate of 13.64 percent on the $10,000 of usable funds.
With a financial calculator, enter \( N = 1, \ PV = 6800, \ PMT = 0, \) and \( FV = -8000, \) and then press \( I \) to get the effective cost of the discount loan with a compensating balance, 17.65 percent.

### Installment Loans: Add-On Interest

Lenders typically charge **add-on interest** on automobile and other types of installment loans. The term “add-on” means that the interest is calculated and then added to the amount received to determine the loan’s face value. To illustrate, suppose you borrow $10,000 on an add-on basis at a nominal rate of 12 percent to buy a car, with the loan to be repaid in 12 monthly installments. At a 12 percent add-on rate, you will pay a total interest charge of $10,000(0.12) = $1,200. However, since the loan is paid off in monthly installments, you have the use of the full $10,000 for only the first month, and the outstanding balance declines until, during the last month, only \( \frac{1}{12} \) of the original loan will still be outstanding. Thus, you are paying $1,200 for the use of only about half the loan’s face amount, as the average usable funds are only about $5,000. Therefore, we can calculate the approximate annual rate as follows:

\[
\text{Approximate annual rate}_{\text{Add-on}} = \frac{\text{Interest paid}}{(\text{Amount received})/2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1,200}{10,000/2} = 24.0\%
\]

To determine the effective rate of an add-on loan, we proceed as follows:

1. The total amount to be repaid is $10,000 of principal, plus $1,200 of interest, or $11,200.
2. The monthly payment is $11,200/12 = $933.33.
3. You are, in effect, paying off a 12-period annuity of $933.33 in order to receive $10,000 today, so $10,000 is the present value of the annuity. Here is the time line:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c}
0 & i = 7 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 11 & 12 \text{ Months} \\
10,000 & & -933.33 & -933.33 & & -933.33 & -933.33 \\
\end{array}
\]

4. With a financial calculator, enter \( N = 12, \ PV = 10000, \ PMT = -933.33, \ FV = 0, \) and then press \( I \) to obtain 1.7880 percent. However, this is a monthly rate.
5. The effective annual rate is found as follows:

\[
\text{Effective annual rate}_{\text{Add-on}} = (1 + r_i)^n - 1.0
\]

\[
= (1.01788)^{12} - 1.0 \\
= 1.2370 - 1.0 = 23.7\%
\]

\(^2\text{Note that if an installment loan is paid off ahead of schedule, additional complications arise. For the classic discussion of this point, see Dick Bonker, “The Rule of 78,” Journal of Finance, June 1976, pp. 877–888.}\)
The annual percentage rate (APR), which by law the bank is required to state in bold print on all “consumer loan” agreements, would be 21.46 percent:

\[
\text{APR rate} = \left(\frac{\text{Periods per year}}{\text{Rate per period}}\right)
\]

\[
= 12(1.7880\%) = 21.46\%
\]

Prior to the passage of the truth in lending laws in the 1970s, most banks would have called this a 12 percent loan, period. The truth in lending laws apply primarily to consumer as opposed to business loans.

**Self-Test Questions**

Name four different ways banks can calculate interest on loans.

What is a compensating balance? What effect does a compensating balance requirement have on the effective interest rate on a loan?

**CHOOSING A BANK**

Individuals whose only contact with their bank is through the use of its checking services generally choose a bank for the convenience of its location and the competitive cost of its services. However, a business that borrows from banks must look at other criteria and recognize that important differences exist among banks. Some of these differences are considered next.

**Willingness to Assume Risks**

Banks have different basic policies toward risk. Some follow relatively conservative lending practices, while others engage in what are properly termed “creative banking practices.” These policies reflect partly the personalities of bank officers and partly the characteristics of the bank’s deposit liabilities. Thus, a bank with fluctuating deposit liabilities in a static community will tend to be a conservative lender, while a bank whose deposits are growing with little interruption may follow more liberal credit policies. Similarly, a large bank with broad diversification over geographic regions and across industries can obtain the benefit of combining and averaging risks. Thus, marginal credit risks that might be unacceptable to a small or specialized bank can be pooled by a branch banking system to reduce the overall risk of a group of marginal accounts.\(^8\)

---

\(^8\)Bank deposits are insured by a federal agency, and banks are required to pay premiums to cover the cost of this insurance. Logically, riskier banks should pay higher premiums, but to date political forces have limited the use of risk-based insurance premiums. As an alternative, banks with riskier loan portfolios are required to have more equity capital per dollar of deposits than less risky banks. The savings and loan industry, until the 1980s, had federal insurance, no differential capital requirements, and lax regulations. As a result, some S&L operators wrote very high interest rate, but very risky, loans using low-cost, insured deposits. If the loans paid off, the S&L owners would get rich. If they went into default, the taxpayers would have to pay off the deposits. Those government policies ended up costing taxpayers more than $100 billion.
Advice and Counsel

Some bank loan officers are active in providing counsel and in granting loans to firms in their early and formative years. Certain banks have specialized departments that make loans to firms expected to grow and thus to become more important customers. The personnel of these departments can provide valuable counseling to customers: The bankers’ experience with other firms in growth situations may enable them to spot, and then to warn their customers about, developing problems.

Loyalty to Customers

Banks differ in their support of borrowers in bad times. This characteristic is referred to as the degree of loyalty of the bank. Some banks may put great pressure on a business to liquidate its loans when the firm’s outlook becomes clouded, whereas others will stand by the firm and work diligently to help it get back on its feet. An especially dramatic illustration of this point was Bank of America’s bailout of Memorex Corporation. The bank could have forced Memorex into bankruptcy, but instead it loaned the company additional capital and helped it survive a bad period. Memorex’s stock price subsequently rose from $1.50 to $68, so Bank of America’s help was indeed beneficial.

Specialization

Banks differ greatly in their degrees of loan specialization. Larger banks have separate departments that specialize in different kinds of loans—for example, real estate loans, farm loans, and commercial loans. Within these broad categories, there may be a specialization by line of business, such as steel, machinery, cattle, or textiles. The strengths of banks are also likely to reflect the nature of the businesses and the economic environment in the areas in which they operate. For example, some California banks have become specialists in lending to electronics companies, while many Midwestern banks are agricultural specialists. A sound firm can obtain more creative cooperation and more active support by going to a bank that has experience and familiarity with its particular type of business. Therefore, a bank that is excellent for one firm may be unsatisfactory for another.

Maximum Loan Size

The size of a bank can be an important factor. Since the maximum loan a bank can make to any one customer is limited to 15 percent of the bank’s capital accounts (capital stock plus retained earnings), it is generally not appropriate for large firms to develop borrowing relationships with small banks.

Merchant Banking

The term “merchant bank” was originally applied to banks that not only made loans but also provided customers with equity capital and financial advice. Prior to 1933, U.S. commercial banks performed all types of merchant banking functions. However, about one-third of the U.S. banks failed during the Great Depres-
sion, in part because of these activities, so in 1933 the Glass-Steagall Act was passed in an effort to reduce banks’ exposure to risk. In recent years, commercial banks have been attempting to get back into merchant banking, in part because their foreign competitors offer such services, and U.S. banks compete with foreign banks for multinational corporations’ business. Currently, the larger banks, often through subsidiaries that engage in investment banking activities, are being permitted to get back into merchant banking, at least to a limited extent. This trend will probably continue, and if it does, corporations will need to consider a bank’s ability to provide a full range of commercial and merchant banking services when choosing a bank.

**Other Services**

Banks also provide cash management services, assist with electronic funds transfers, help firms obtain foreign exchange, and the like, and the availability of such services should be taken into account when selecting a bank. Also, if the firm is a small business whose manager owns most of its stock, the bank’s willingness and ability to provide trust and estate services should be considered.

**Self-Test Question**

What are some factors that should be considered when choosing a bank?

---

**SUMMARY**

This chapter discussed granting credit and the conventions for interest rates on bank loans. It is important to monitor the results of credit policy by monitoring accounts receivable. A firm can affect its level of accounts receivable by changing its credit and collections policy, but doing so also affects sales. Therefore, a complete analysis of the effects of changes in credit policy is necessary. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- A firm’s credit policy consists of four elements: (1) **credit period**, (2) **discounts** given for early payment, (3) **credit standards**, and (4) **collection policy**. The first two, when combined, are called the **credit terms**.
- Additional factors that influence a firm’s overall credit policy are (1) **profit potential** and (2) **legal considerations**.
- The basic objective of the credit manager is to increase profitable sales by extending credit to worthy customers and therefore adding value to the firm.
- Firms can use **days sales outstanding (DSO)** and **aging schedules** to help monitor their receivables position, but the best way to monitor aggregate receivables is the **payments pattern approach**. The primary tool in this approach is the **uncollected balances schedule**.
- If a firm **eases its credit policy** by lengthening the credit period, relaxing its credit standards and collection policy, and offering (or raising) its cash discount, its sales should increase. However, its costs will also increase. A firm
should ease its credit policy only if the costs of doing so will be offset by higher expected revenues. In general, credit policy changes should be evaluated on the basis of incremental profits.

- Changes in credit policy can be analyzed in two ways. First, **pro forma income statements** can be constructed for both the current and the proposed policies. Second, equations can be used to estimate the **incremental change** in profits resulting from a proposed new credit policy.

- With a **regular**, or **simple**, interest loan interest is not compounded; that is, interest is not earned on interest.

- In a **discount interest** loan, the bank deducts the interest in advance. Interest is calculated on the face amount of the loan but it is paid in advance.

- Installment loans are typically **add-on interest** loans. Interest is calculated and added to the funds received to determine the face amount of the loan.

- The **annual percentage rate (APR)** is a rate reported by banks and other lenders on loans when the effective periodic rate exceeds the nominal periodic rate of interest.

### QUESTIONS

22-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Cash discounts
   b. Seasonal dating
   c. Aging schedule; days sales outstanding (DSO)
   d. Payments pattern approach, uncollected balances schedule
   e. Simple interest; discount interest, add-on interest

22-2 Suppose that a firm makes a purchase and receives the shipment on February 1. The terms of trade as stated on the invoice read “2/10, net 40, May 1 dating.” What is the latest date on which payment can be made and the discount still be taken? What is the date on which payment must be made if the discount is not taken?

22-3 Is it true that if a firm calculates its days sales outstanding, it has no need for an aging schedule?

22-4 Firm A had no credit losses last year, but 1 percent of Firm B’s accounts receivable proved to be uncollectible and resulted in losses. Should Firm B fire its credit manager and hire A’s?

22-5 Indicate by a (+), (−), or (0) whether each of the following events would probably cause accounts receivable (A/R), sales, and profits to increase, decrease, or be affected in an indeterminate manner:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/R</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Profits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The firm tightens its credit standards.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The terms of trade are changed from 2/10, net 30, to 3/10, net 30.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The terms are changed from 2/10, net 30, to 3/10, net 40.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The credit manager gets tough with past-due accounts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PROBLEMS**

### 22-1 Relaxing Collection Efforts

The Boyd Corporation has annual credit sales of $1.6 million. Current expenses for the collection department are $35,000, bad debt losses are 1.5 percent, and the days sales outstanding is 30 days. The firm is considering easing its collection efforts such that collection expenses will be reduced to $22,000 per year. The change is expected to increase bad debt losses to 2.5 percent and to increase the days sales outstanding to 45 days. In addition, sales are expected to increase to $1,625,000 per year.

Should the firm relax collection efforts if the opportunity cost of funds is 16 percent, the variable cost ratio is 75 percent, and taxes are 40 percent?

### 22-2 Tightening Credit Terms

Kim Mitchell, the new credit manager of the Vinson Corporation, was alarmed to find that Vinson sells on credit terms of net 90 days while industrywide credit terms have recently been lowered to net 30 days. On annual credit sales of $2.5 million, Vinson currently averages 95 days of sales in accounts receivable. Mitchell estimates that tightening the credit terms to 30 days would reduce annual sales to $2,375,000, but accounts receivable would drop to 35 days of sales and the savings on investment in them should more than overcome any loss in profit.

Vinson’s variable cost ratio is 85 percent, and taxes are 40 percent. If the interest rate on funds invested in receivables is 18 percent, should the change in credit terms be made?

### 22-3 Monitoring of Receivables

The Russ Fogler Company, a small manufacturer of cordless telephones, began operations on January 1, 2006. Its credit sales for the first 6 months of operations were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Credit Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Throughout this entire period, the firm’s credit customers maintained a constant payments pattern: Twenty percent paid in the month of sale, 30 percent paid in the month following the sale, and 50 percent paid in the second month following the sale.

a. What was Fogler’s receivables balance at the end of March and at the end of June?

b. Assume 90 days per calendar quarter. What were the average daily sales (ADS) and days sales outstanding (DSO) for the first quarter and for the second quarter? What were the cumulative ADS and DSO for the first half-year?

c. Construct an aging schedule as of June 30. Use account ages of 0–30, 31–60, and 61–90 days.

d. Construct the uncollected balances schedule for the second quarter as of June 30.
On March 1, Minnerly Motors obtained a business loan from a local bank. The loan is a $25,000 interest-only loan with a nominal rate of 11 percent. Interest is calculated on a simple interest basis with a 365-day year. What is Minnerly’s interest charge for the first month (assuming 31 days in the month)?

Mary Jones recently obtained an equipment loan from a local bank. The loan is for $15,000 with a nominal interest rate of 11 percent. However, this is an installment loan, so the bank also charges add-on interest. Mary must make monthly payments on the loan, and the loan is to be repaid in 1 year. What is the effective annual rate on the loan (assuming a 365-day year)?

Del Hawley, owner of Hawley’s Hardware, is negotiating with First City Bank for a 1-year loan of $50,000. First City has offered Hawley the following alternatives. Calculate the effective annual interest rate for each alternative. Which alternative has the lowest effective annual interest rate?

a. A 12 percent annual rate on a simple interest loan, with no compensating balance required and interest due at the end of the year.
b. A 9 percent annual rate on a simple interest loan, with a 20 percent compensating balance required and interest due at the end of the year.
c. An 8.75 percent annual rate on a discounted loan, with a 15 percent compensating balance.
d. Interest is figured as 8 percent of the $50,000 amount, payable at the end of the year, but the $50,000 is repayable in monthly installments during the year.

The D. J. Masson Corporation needs to raise $500,000 for 1 year to supply working capital to a new store. Masson buys from its suppliers on terms of 3/10, net 90, and it currently pays on the 10th day and takes discounts, but it could forgo discounts, pay on the 90th day, and get the needed $500,000 in the form of costly trade credit. Alternatively, Masson could borrow from its bank on a 12 percent discount interest rate basis. What is the effective annual interest rate of the lower cost source?

Yonge Corporation must arrange financing for its working capital requirements for the coming year. Yonge can (a) borrow from its bank on a simple interest basis (interest payable at the end of the loan) for 1 year at a 12 percent nominal rate; (b) borrow on a 3-month, but renewable, loan basis at an 11.5 percent nominal rate; (c) borrow on an installment loan basis at a 6 percent add-on rate with 12 end-of-month payments; or (d) obtain the needed funds by no longer taking discounts and thus increasing its accounts payable. Yonge buys on terms of 1/15, net 60. What is the effective annual cost (not the nominal cost) of the least expensive type of credit, assuming 360 days per year?

Gifts Galore Inc. borrowed $1.5 million from National City Bank. The loan was made at a simple annual interest rate of 9 percent a year for 3 months. A 20 percent compensating balance requirement raised the effective interest rate.

a. The nominal annual rate on the loan was 11.25 percent. What is the true effective rate?
b. What would be the effective cost of the loan if the note required discount interest?
c. What would be the nominal annual interest rate on the loan if the bank did not require a compensating balance but required repayment in 3 equal monthly installments?
Malone Feed and Supply Company buys on terms of 1/10, net 30, but it has not been taking discounts and has actually been paying in 60 rather than 30 days. Assume that the accounts payable are recorded at full cost, not net of discounts. Malone’s balance sheet follows (thousands of dollars):

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>Notes payable</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Accruals</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Common equity</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>Total liabilities and equity</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, Malone’s suppliers are threatening to stop shipments unless the company begins making prompt payments (that is, paying in 30 days or less). The firm can borrow on a 1-year note (call this a current liability) from its bank at a rate of 15 percent, discount interest, with a 20 percent compensating balance required. (Malone’s $50,000 of cash is needed for transactions; it cannot be used as part of the compensating balance.)

a. How large would the accounts payable balance be if Malone takes discounts? If it does not take discounts and pays in 30 days?

b. How large must the bank loan be if Malone takes discounts? If Malone doesn’t take discounts?

c. What are the nominal and effective costs of nonfree trade credit? What is the effective cost of the bank loan? Based on these costs, what should Malone do?

d. Assume that Malone forgoes the discount and borrows the amount needed to become current on its payables. Construct a pro forma balance sheet based on this decision. (Hint: You will need to include an account called “prepaid interest” under current assets.)

e. Now assume that the $500,000 shown on the balance sheet is recorded net of discounts. How much would Malone have to pay its suppliers to reduce its accounts payables to $250,000? If Malone’s tax rate is 40 percent, what is the effect on its net income due to the lost discount when it reduces its accounts payable to $250,000? How much would Malone have to borrow? (Hint: Malone will receive a tax deduction due to the lost discount, which will affect the amount it must borrow.) If Malone’s tax rate is 40 percent, what is the effect on its net income due to the lost discount when it reduces its accounts payable to $250,000? Construct a pro forma balance sheet based on this scenario. (Hint: You will need to include an account called “prepaid interest” under current assets and adjust retained earnings by the after-tax amount of the lost discount.)

Suncoast Boats Inc. estimates that because of the seasonal nature of its business, it will require an additional $2 million of cash for the month of July. Suncoast Boats has the following 4 options available for raising the needed funds:

(1) Establish a 1-year line of credit for $2 million with a commercial bank. The commitment fee will be 0.5 percent per year on the unused portion, and the interest charge on the used funds will be 11 percent per annum. Assume that the funds are needed only in July and that there are 30 days in July and 360 days in the year.
(2) Forgo the trade discount of 2/10, net 40, on $2 million of purchases during July.

(3) Issue $2 million of 30-day commercial paper at a 9.5 percent per annum interest rate. The total transaction fee, including the cost of a backup credit line, on using commercial paper is 0.5 percent of the amount of the issue.

(4) Issue $2 million of 60-day commercial paper at a 9 percent per annum interest rate, plus a transaction fee of 0.5 percent. Since the funds are required for only 30 days, the excess funds ($2 million) can be invested in 9.4 percent per annum marketable securities for the month of August. The total transactions cost of purchasing and selling the marketable securities is 0.4 percent of the amount of the issue.

a. What is the dollar cost of each financing arrangement?

b. Is the source with the lowest expected cost necessarily the one to select? Why or why not?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

22-12

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch22 P12 Build a Model.xls*, from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Rework parts a through d of Problem 22-10 using a spreadsheet model. Then answer the following related question.

e. Do a sensitivity analysis that shows how the size of the bank loan would vary with changes in the interest rate and the compensating balance percentage, using interest rates in the range of 5 to 25 percent and compensating balances in the range of 0 to 30 percent.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Rich Jackson, a recent finance graduate, is planning to go into the wholesale building supply business with his brother, Jim, who majored in building construction. The firm would sell primarily to general contractors, and it would start operating next January. Sales would be slow during the cold months, rise during the spring, and then fall off again in the summer, when new construction in the area slows. Sales estimates for the first 6 months are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The terms of sale are net 30, but because of special incentives, the brothers expect 30 percent of the customers (by dollar value) to pay on the 10th day following the sale, 50 percent to pay on the 40th day, and the remaining 20 percent to pay on the 70th day. No bad debt losses are expected, because Jim, the building construction expert, knows which contractors are having financial problems.

a. Discuss, in general, what it means for the brothers to set a credit and collections policy.

b. Assume that, on average, the brothers expect annual sales of 18,000 items at an average price of $100 per item. (Use a 365-day year.)

(1) What is the firm’s expected days sales outstanding (DSO)?

(2) What is its expected average daily sales (ADS)?

(3) What is its expected average accounts receivable level?

(4) Assume that the firm’s profit margin is 25 percent. How much of the receivables balance must be financed? What would the firm’s balance sheet figures for accounts receivable, notes payable, and retained earnings be at the end of 1 year if notes payable are used to finance the investment in receivables? Assume that the cost of carrying receivables had been deducted when the 25 percent profit margin was calculated.

(5) If bank loans have a cost of 12 percent, what is the annual dollar cost of carrying the receivables?

c. What are some factors that influence (1) a firm’s receivables level and (2) the dollar cost of carrying receivables?

d. Assuming that the monthly sales forecasts given previously are accurate, and that customers pay exactly as was predicted, what would the receivables level be at the end of each month? To reduce calculations, assume that 30 percent of the firm’s customers pay in the month of sale, 50 percent pay in the month following the sale, and the remaining 20 percent pay in the second month following the sale. Note that this is a different assumption than was made earlier. Also assume there are 91 days in each quarter. Use the following format to answer parts d and e:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the firm’s forecasted average daily sales for the first 3 months? For the entire half-year? The days sales outstanding is commonly used to measure receivables performance. What DSO is expected at the end of March? At the end of June? What does the DSO indicate about customers’ payments? Is DSO a good management tool in this situation? If not, why not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Account (Days)</th>
<th>A/R</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$250</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. Construct aging schedules for the end of March and the end of June (use the format given below). Do these schedules properly measure customers’ payment patterns? If not, why not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARCH</th>
<th></th>
<th>JUNE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of Account (Days)</td>
<td>A/R</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$250</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g. Construct the uncollected balances schedules for the end of March and the end of June. Use the format given below. Do these schedules properly measure customers’ payment patterns?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARCH</th>
<th></th>
<th>JUNE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>Contribution to A/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

h. Assume that it is now July of Year 1, and the brothers are developing pro forma financial statements for the following year. Further, assume that sales and collections in the first half-year matched the predicted levels. Using the Year 2 sales forecasts as shown next, what are next year’s pro forma receivables levels for the end of March and for the end of June?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Predicted Sales</th>
<th>Predicted A/R-to-Sales Ratio</th>
<th>Predicted Contribution to Receivables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected March 31 A/R balance = $410

Projected June 30 A/R balance = 

i. Assume now that it is several years later. The brothers are concerned about the firm’s current credit terms, which are now net 30, which means that contractors buying building products...
from the firm are not offered a discount, and they are supposed to pay the full amount in 30 days. Gross sales are now running $1,000,000 a year, and 80 percent (by dollar volume) of the firm’s paying customers generally pay the full amount on Day 30, while the other 20 percent pay, on average, on Day 40. Two percent of the firm’s gross sales end up as bad debt losses.

The brothers are now considering a change in the firm’s credit policy. The change would entail (1) changing the credit terms to 2/10, net 20, (2) employing stricter credit standards before granting credit, and (3) enforcing collections with greater vigor than in the past. Thus, cash customers and those paying within 10 days would receive a 2 percent discount, but all others would have to pay the full amount after only 20 days. The brothers believe that the discount would both attract additional customers and encourage some existing customers to purchase more from the firm—after all, the discount amounts to a price reduction. Of course, these customers would take the discount and, hence, would pay in only 10 days. The net expected result is for sales to increase to $1,100,000; for 60 percent of the paying customers to take the discount and pay on the 10th day; for 30 percent to pay the full amount on Day 20; for 10 percent to pay late on Day 30; and for bad debt losses to fall from 2 percent to 1 percent of gross sales. The firm’s operating cost ratio will remain unchanged at 75 percent, and its cost of carrying receivables will remain unchanged at 12 percent.

To begin the analysis, describe the four variables that make up a firm’s credit policy, and explain how each of them affects sales and collections. Then use the information given in part h to answer parts i through q.

j. Under the current credit policy, what is the firm’s days sales outstanding (DSO)? What would the expected DSO be if the credit policy change were made?

k. What is the dollar amount of the firm’s current bad debt losses? What losses would be expected under the new policy?

l. What would be the firm’s expected dollar cost of granting discounts under the new policy?

m. What is the firm’s current dollar cost of carrying receivables? What would it be after the proposed change?

n. What is the incremental after-tax profit associated with the change in credit terms? Should the company make the change? (Assume a tax rate of 40 percent.)

o. Suppose the firm makes the change, but its competitors react by making similar changes to their own credit terms, with the net result being that gross sales remain at the current $1,000,000 level. What would the impact be on the firm’s post-tax profitability?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New</th>
<th>Old</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross sales</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less discounts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before credit costs and taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit-related costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying costs</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad debt losses</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (40%)</td>
<td>88,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p. The brothers are considering taking out a 1-year bank loan for $100,000 to finance part of their working capital needs and have been quoted a rate of 8 percent. What is the effective annual cost rate assuming (1) simple interest, (2) discount interest, (3) discount interest with a 10 percent compensating balance, and (4) add-on interest on a 12-month installment loan? For the first 3 of these assumptions, would it matter if the loan were for 90 days, but renewable, rather than for a year?

q. How large would the loan actually be in each of the cases in part p?
The following articles provide more information on short-term financial management:


Articles that address credit policy and receivables management include the following:


For a discussion of effective yields, see


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).

Klein-Brigham Series:

Case 33, “Upscale Toddlers, Inc.,” which deals with credit policy changes; Case 34, “Texas Rose Company,” and Case 34A, “Bridgewater Pool Company,” which focus on receivables management; Case 50, “Mitchell Lumber Company,” which deals with credit policy; Case 62, “Western Supply Company,” which illustrates the effects of a change in credit policy on corporate profitability and cash flow; Case 67, “Chef’s Selection,” which deals with short-term financing; Case 77, “Lifeline Health Products,” which deals with credit policy.
Chapters 21 and 22 presented the basic elements of current asset management and short-term financing. This chapter provides a more in-depth treatment of several working capital topics, including (1) the target cash balance, (2) inventory control systems, (3) accounting treatments for inventory, and (4) the EOQ model.

The ThomsonNOW Web site contains an Excel file that will guide you through the chapter’s calculations. The file for this chapter is IFM9 Ch23 Tool Kit.xls, and we encourage you to open the file and follow along as you read the chapter.

1All or parts of this chapter may be omitted without loss of continuity.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. Explain briefly what the EOQ model is and how it can be used to help establish an optimal inventory policy. Is the EOQ concept consistent with just-in-time procedures for managing inventories?

2. Explain how the EOQ inventory model can be modified and used to help determine the optimal size of a firm’s cash balances. Do you think the EOQ approach to cash management is more or less relevant today than it was in precomputer, preelectronic communications days?

3. What four methods are used to account for inventory? What are the financial implications of one method over another? How does the choice of inventory accounting method affect the order in which actual items in inventory are sold? Is it possible for the choice of accounting method for inventory to affect the free cash flow value of a firm as discussed in Chapter 11? How?

THE CONCEPT OF ZERO WORKING CAPITAL

At first glance, it might seem that working capital management is not as important as capital budgeting, dividend policy, and other decisions that determine a firm’s long-term direction. However, in today’s world of intense global competition, working capital management is receiving increasing attention from managers striving for peak efficiency. In fact, the goal of many leading companies today—including American Standard, Campbell Soup, General Electric, Quaker Oats, and Whirlpool—is zero working capital. Proponents of the zero working capital concept claim that a movement toward this goal not only generates cash but also speeds up production and helps businesses make more timely deliveries and operate more efficiently. The concept has its own definition of working capital: Inventories + Receivables – Payables. The rationale here is (1) that inventories and receivables are the keys to making sales, but (2) that inventories can be financed by suppliers through accounts payable.

On average, companies use about 20 cents of working capital for each dollar of sales. So, on average, working capital is turned over five times per year. Reducing working capital and thus increasing turnover has two major financial benefits. First, every dollar freed up by reducing inventories or receivables, or by increasing payables, results in a one-time contribution to cash flow. Second, a movement toward zero working capital permanently raises a company’s earnings. Like all capital, funds invested in working capital cost money, so reducing those funds yields permanent savings in capital costs. In addition to the financial benefits, reducing working capital forces a company to produce and deliver faster than its
competitors, which helps it gain new business and charge premium prices for providing good services. As inventories disappear, warehouses can be sold off, both labor and handling equipment needs are reduced, and obsolete and/or out-of-style goods are minimized.

To illustrate the benefits of striving for zero working capital, in just one year Campbell Soup pared its working capital by $80 million. It used the cash to develop new products and to buy companies in Britain, Australia, and other countries. Equally important, the company expects to increase annual profits by $50 million over the next few years by lowering overtime labor and storage costs.

The most important factor in moving toward zero working capital is increased speed. If the production process is fast enough, companies can produce items as they are ordered rather than having to forecast demand and build up large inventories that are managed by bureaucracies. The best companies are able to start production after an order is received yet still meet customer delivery requirements. This system is known as demand flow, or demand-based management, and it builds on the just-in-time method of inventory control that we will discuss later in this chapter. However, demand flow management is broader than just-in-time, because it requires that all elements of a production system operate quickly and efficiently.
Achieving zero working capital requires that every order and part move at maximum speed, which generally means replacing paper with electronic data. Then, orders streak from the processing department to the plant, where flexible production lines produce each product every day, and finished goods flow directly from the production line onto waiting trucks or rail cars. Instead of cluttering plants or warehouses with inventories, products move directly into the pipeline. As efficiency rises, working capital dwindles.

Clearly, it is not possible for most firms to achieve zero working capital and infinitely efficient production. Still, a focus on minimizing cash, receivables, and inventories while maximizing payables will help a firm lower its investment in working capital and achieve financial and production economies.

**Self-Test** Question

What is the basic idea of zero working capital, and how is working capital defined for this purpose?

---

**SETTING THE TARGET CASH BALANCE**

Recall from Chapter 21 that firms hold cash balances primarily for two reasons: to pay for transactions they must make in their day-to-day operations and to maintain compensating balances that banks may require in return for loans. In addition, firms maintain additional cash balances as a precaution against unforeseen fluctuations in cash flows and in order to take advantage of trade discounts. Given that cash is necessary for these purposes, but is also a nonearning asset, the primary goal of cash management is to minimize the amount of cash a firm holds, while maintaining a sufficient target cash balance to conduct business.

In Chapter 21, when we discussed MicroDrive Inc.’s cash budget, we took as a given the $10 million target cash balance. We also discussed how lockboxes, synchronizing inflows and outflows, and float can reduce the required cash balance. Now we consider how target cash balances are set in practice.

Note (1) that cash per se earns no return, (2) that it is an asset that appears on the left side of the balance sheet, (3) that cash holdings must be financed by raising either debt or equity, and (4) that both debt and equity capital have a cost. If cash holdings could be reduced without hurting sales or other aspects of a firm’s operations, this reduction would permit a reduction in either debt or equity, or both, which would increase the return on capital and thus boost the value of the firm’s stock. Therefore, the general operating goal of the cash manager is to minimize the amount of cash held subject to the constraint that enough cash be held to enable the firm to operate efficiently.

For most firms, cash as a percentage of assets and/or sales has declined sharply in recent years as a direct result of technological developments in computers and telecommunications. Years ago, it was difficult to move money from one location to another, and it was also difficult to forecast exactly how much cash would be needed in different locations at different points in time. As a result, firms had to hold relatively large “safety stocks” of cash to be sure they had enough when and where it was needed. Also, they held relatively large amounts of short-term securities as a backup, and they also had backup lines of credit that permitted them to borrow on short notice to build up the cash account if it became depleted.

Now think how computers and telecommunications affect the situation. With a good computer system, tied together with good telecommunications links, a company can get real-time information on its cash balances, whether it operates in a single location or all over the world. Further, it can use statistical procedures to
forecast cash inflows and outflows, and good forecasts reduce the need for safety stocks. Finally, improvements in telecommunications systems make it possible for a treasurer to replenish his or her cash accounts within minutes by simply calling a lender and stating that the firm wants to borrow a given amount under its line of credit. The lender then wires the funds to the desired location. Similarly, marketable securities can be sold with close to the same speed and with the same minimal transactions costs.

General Telephone (Gen Tel) can be used to illustrate this. Gen Tel knows exactly how much it must pay and when, and it can forecast quite accurately when it will receive checks. For example, the treasurer of Gen Tel’s Florida operation knows when the major employers in Tampa pay their workers and how long after that people generally pay their phone bills. Armed with this information, Gen Tel’s Florida treasurer can forecast with great accuracy any cash surpluses or deficits on a daily basis. Of course, no forecast will be exact, so light overages or underages will occur. But this presents no problem. The treasurer knows by 11 A.M. the checks that must be covered by 4 P.M. that day, how much cash has come in, and consequently how much of a cash surplus or deficit will exist. Then a simple phone call is made, and the company borrows to cover any deficit or buys securities (or pays off outstanding loans) with any surplus. Thus, Gen Tel can maintain cash balances that are very close to zero, a situation that would have been impossible a few years ago.

Today, cash management in reasonably sophisticated firms is largely a job for systems people, and, except for the very largest firms, it is generally most efficient to have a bank handle the actual operations of the cash management system. Banks do this for a living, and there are economies of scale in operating cash management systems. Also, many banks are willing and able to offer such services, so competition has driven the cost of cash management down to a reasonable level. Still, it is essential that corporate treasurers know enough about cash management procedures to be able to negotiate and then work with the banks to ensure that they get the best price (interest rate) on credit lines, the best yield on short-term investments, and a reasonable cost for other banking services. To provide perspective on these issues, we discuss next a theoretical model for cash balances plus a practical approach to setting the target cash balance.

The Baumol Model

William Baumol first noted that cash balances are, in many respects, similar to inventories, and that the EOQ inventory model, which will be developed in a later section, can be used to establish a target cash balance. Baumol’s model assumes that the firm uses cash at a steady, predictable rate—say, $1,000,000 per week—and that the firm’s cash inflows from operations also occur at a steady, predictable rate—say, $900,000 per week. Therefore, the firm’s net cash outflows, or net need for cash, also occur at a steady rate—in this case, $100,000 per week. Under

---


3Our hypothetical firm is experiencing a $100,000 weekly cash shortfall, but this does not necessarily imply that it is headed for bankruptcy. The firm could, for example, be highly profitable and be enjoying high earnings, but be expanding so rapidly that it is experiencing chronic cash shortages that must be made up by borrowing or by selling common stock. Or, the firm could be in the construction business and therefore receive major cash inflows at wide intervals, but have net cash outflows of $100,000 per week between major inflows.
these steady-state assumptions, the firm’s cash position will resemble the situation shown in Figure 23-1.

If our illustrative firm started at Time 0 with a cash balance of $C = 300,000, and if its outflows exceeded its inflows by $100,000 per week, then its cash balance would drop to zero at the end of Week 3, and its average cash balance would be $C/2 = 300,000/2 = 150,000. Therefore, at the end of Week 3 the firm would have to replenish its cash balance, either by selling marketable securities, if it had any, or by borrowing.

If $C$ were set at a higher level, say, $600,000, then the cash supply would last longer (six weeks), and the firm would have to sell securities (or borrow) less frequently. However, its average cash balance would rise from $150,000 to $300,000. Brokerage or some other type of transactions cost must be incurred to sell securities (or to borrow), so holding larger cash balances will lower the transactions costs associated with obtaining cash. On the other hand, cash provides no income, so the larger the average cash balance, the higher the opportunity cost, which is the return that could have been earned on securities or other assets held in lieu of cash. Thus, we have the situation that is graphed in Figure 23-2. The optimal cash balance is found by using the following variables and equations:

- $C = \text{amount of cash raised by selling marketable securities or by borrowing.}$
- $C/2 = \text{average cash balance.}$
- $C^* = \text{optimal amount of cash to be raised by selling marketable securities or by borrowing.}$
- $C^*/2 = \text{optimal average cash balance.}$
- $F = \text{fixed costs of selling securities or of obtaining a loan.}$

**Figure 23-1** Cash Balances under the Baumol Model’s Assumptions
Total costs can be expressed on either a before-tax or an after-tax basis. Both methods lead to the same conclusions regarding target cash balances and comparative costs. For simplicity, we present the model here on a before-tax basis.

The total costs of cash balances consist of holding (or opportunity) costs plus transactions costs:

\[ \text{Total costs} = \text{Holding costs} + \text{Transactions costs} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{\text{Average cash balance}}{2} \right) \left( \text{Opportunity cost rate} \right) + \left( \frac{\text{Number of transactions}}{\text{Cost per transaction}} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{C}{2} (r) + \frac{T}{C} (F) \]

\[ T = \text{total amount of net new cash needed for transactions during the entire period (usually a year).} \]

\[ r = \text{opportunity cost of holding cash, set equal to either the rate of return forgone on marketable securities or the cost of borrowing to hold cash.} \]
The minimum total costs are achieved when \( C \) is set equal to \( C^* \), the optimal cash transfer. \( C^* \) is found as follows:

\[
C^* = \sqrt{\frac{2F(T)}{r}}
\]

Equation 23-2 is the Baumol model for determining optimal cash balances. To illustrate its use, suppose \( F = \$150 \); \( T = 52 \) weeks \( \times \) \$100,000/week = \$5,200,000; and \( r = 15\% = 0.15 \). Then

\[
C^* = \sqrt{\frac{2(\$150)(\$5,200,000)}{0.15}} = \$101,980
\]

Therefore, the firm should sell securities (or borrow if it does not hold securities) in the amount of \$101,980 when its cash balance approaches zero, thus building its cash balance back up to \$101,980. If we divide \( T \) by \( C^* \), we have the number of transactions per year: \$5,200,000/\$101,980 = 50.99 \approx 51 \), or about once a week. The firm’s average cash balance is \$101,980/2 = \$50,990 \approx \$51,000.

Note that the optimal cash balance increases less than proportionately with increases in the amount of cash needed for transactions. For example, if the firm’s size, and consequently its net new cash needs, doubled from \$5,200,000 to \$10,400,000 per year, average cash balances would increase by only 41 percent, from \$51,000 to \$72,000. This suggests that there are economies of scale in holding cash balances, and this, in turn, gives larger firms an edge over smaller ones.

Of course, the firm would probably want to hold a safety stock of cash designed to reduce the probability of a cash shortage. However, if the firm is able to sell securities or to borrow on short notice—and most larger firms can do so in a matter of minutes simply by making a telephone call—the safety stock can be quite low.

The Baumol model is obviously simplistic. Most important, it assumes relatively stable, predictable cash inflows and outflows, and it does not take into account seasonal or cyclical trends. Other models have been developed to deal both with uncertainty and with trends, but all of them have limitations and are more useful as conceptual models than for actually setting target cash balances.

**Monte Carlo Simulation**

Although the Baumol model and other theoretical models provide insights into the optimal cash balance, they are generally not practical for actual use. Rather, firms generally set their target cash balances based on some “safety stock” of cash that holds the risk of running out of money to some acceptably low level. One

---

5Equation 23-1 is differentiated with respect to \( C \). The derivative is set equal to zero, and we then solve for \( C = C^* \) to derive Equation 23-2. This model, applied to inventories and called the EOQ model, is discussed further in a later section.

6This edge may, of course, be more than offset by other factors—after all, cash management is only one aspect of running a business.
commonly used procedure is Monte Carlo simulation.\(^7\) To illustrate, consider the cash budget for MicroDrive Inc. presented back in Table 21-2 in Chapter 21. Sales and collections are the driving forces in the cash budget and, of course, are subject to uncertainty. In the cash budget, we used expected values for sales and collections, as well as for all other cash flows. However, it would be relatively easy to use Monte Carlo simulation, first discussed in Chapter 13, to introduce uncertainty. If the cash budget were constructed using a spreadsheet program with Monte Carlo add-in software, then the key uncertain variables could be specified as continuous probability distributions rather than point values.

The end result of the simulation would be a distribution for each month’s net cash gain or loss instead of the single values shown on Line 16 of Table 21-2. Suppose September’s net cash loss distribution looked like this (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September Cash Loss</th>
<th>Probability of This Loss or More</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($83)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(68)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(62)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(57) Expected loss</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(52)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now suppose MicroDrive’s managers want to be 90 percent confident that the firm will not run out of cash during September, and they do not want to have to borrow to cover any shortfall. They would set the beginning-of-month balance at $83 million, well above the current $10 million, because there is only a 10 percent probability that September’s cash flow will be worse than an $83 million outflow. With a balance of $83 million at the beginning of the month, there would be only a 10 percent chance that MicroDrive would run out of cash during September. Of course, Monte Carlo simulation could be applied to the remaining months in the Table 21-2 cash budget, and the amounts obtained to meet some confidence level could be used to set each month’s target cash balance instead of using a fixed target across all months.

The same type of analysis could be used to determine the amount of short-term securities to hold, or the size of a requested line of credit. Of course, as in all simulations, the hard part is estimating the probability distributions for sales, collections, and the other highly uncertain variables. If these inputs are not good representations of the actual uncertainty facing the firm, then the resulting target balances will not offer the protection against cash shortages implied by the simulation. There is no substitute for experience, and cash managers will adjust the target balances obtained by Monte Carlo simulation on a judgmental basis.

### Self-Test Questions

1. How has technology changed the way target cash balances are set?
2. What is the Baumol model, and how is it used?
3. Explain how Monte Carlo simulation can be used to help set a firm’s target cash balance.

---

INVENTORY CONTROL SYSTEMS

Inventory management requires the establishment of an inventory control system. Inventory control systems run the gamut from very simple to extremely complex, depending on the size of the firm and the nature of its inventory. For example, one simple control procedure is the red-line method—inventory items are stocked in a bin, a red line is drawn around the inside of the bin at the level of the reorder point, and the inventory clerk places an order when the red line shows. The two-bin method has inventory items stocked in two bins. When the working bin is empty, an order is placed and inventory is drawn from the second bin. These procedures work well for parts such as bolts in a manufacturing process, or for many items in retail businesses.

Computerized Systems

Most companies today employ computerized inventory control systems. The computer starts with an inventory count in memory. As withdrawals are made, they are recorded by the computer, and the inventory balance is revised. When the reorder point is reached, the computer automatically places an order, and when the order is received, the recorded balance is increased. As we noted earlier, retailers such as Wal-Mart have carried this system quite far—each item has a bar code, and, as an item is checked out, the code is read, a signal is sent to the computer, and the inventory balance is adjusted at the same time the price is fed into the cash register tape. When the balance drops to the reorder point, an order is placed. In Wal-Mart’s case, the order goes directly from its computers to those of its suppliers.

A good inventory control system is dynamic, not static. A company such as Wal-Mart or General Motors stocks hundreds of thousands of different items. The sales (or use) of individual items can rise or fall quite separately from rising or falling overall corporate sales. As the usage rate for an individual item begins to rise or fall, the inventory manager must adjust its balance to avoid running short or ending up with obsolete items. If the change in the usage rate appears to be permanent, the safety stock level should be reconsidered, and the computer model used in the control process should be reprogrammed.

Just-in-Time Systems

An approach to inventory control called the just-in-time (JIT) system was developed by Japanese firms but is now used throughout the world. Toyota provides a good example of the just-in-time system. Eight of Toyota’s ten factories, along with most of Toyota’s suppliers, dot the countryside around Toyota City. Delivery of components is tied to the speed of the assembly line, and parts are generally delivered no more than a few hours before they are used. The just-in-time system reduces the need for Toyota and other manufacturers to carry large inventories, but it requires a great deal of coordination between the manufacturer and its suppliers, both in the timing of deliveries and the quality of the parts. It also requires that component parts be perfect; otherwise, a few bad parts could stop the entire production line. Therefore, JIT inventory management has been developed in conjunction with total quality management (TQM).

Not surprisingly, U.S. automobile manufacturers were among the first domestic firms to move toward just-in-time systems. Ford has restructured its production system with a goal of increasing its inventory turnover from 20 times a year to 30 or 40 times. Of course, just-in-time systems place considerable pressure on suppliers. GM formerly kept a 10-day supply of seats and other parts made by Lear Siegler; now GM sends in orders at four- to eight-hour intervals and expects immediate
shipment. A Lear Siegler spokesman stated, “We can’t afford to keep things sitting around either,” so Lear Siegler has had to be tough on its own suppliers.

Just-in-time systems are also being adopted by smaller firms. In fact, some production experts say that small companies are better positioned than large ones to use just-in-time methods, because it is easier to redefine job functions and to educate people in small firms. One small-firm example is Fireplace Manufacturers Inc., a manufacturer of prefabricated fireplaces. The company was having cash flow problems, and it was carrying $1.1 million in inventory to support annual sales of about $8 million. The company went to a just-in-time system, trimmed its raw material and work-in-process inventory to $750,000, and freed up $350,000 of cash, even as sales doubled.

The close coordination required between the parties using JIT procedures has led to an overall reduction of inventory throughout the production-distribution system, and to a general improvement in economic efficiency. This point is borne out by economic statistics, which show that inventory as a percentage of sales has been declining since the use of just-in-time procedures began. Also, with smaller inventories in the system, economic recessions have become shorter and less severe.

Outsourcing

Another important development related to inventory is outsourcing, which is the practice of purchasing components rather than making them in-house. Thus, GM has been moving toward buying radiators, axles, and other parts from suppliers rather than making them itself, so it has been increasing its use of outsourcing. Outsourcing is often combined with just-in-time systems to reduce inventory levels. However, perhaps the major reason for outsourcing has nothing to do with inventory policy—a bureaucratic, unionized company like GM can often buy parts from a smaller, nonunionized supplier at a lower cost than it can make them itself.

The Relationship between Production Scheduling and Inventory Levels

A final point relating to inventory levels is the relationship between production scheduling and inventory levels. For example, a greeting card manufacturer has highly seasonal sales. Such a firm could produce on a steady, year-round basis, or it could let production rise and fall with sales. If it established a level production schedule, its inventory would rise sharply during periods when sales were low and then decline during peak sales periods, but its average inventory would be substantially higher than if production rose and fell with sales.

Our discussions of just-in-time systems, outsourcing, and production scheduling all point out the necessity of coordinating inventory policy with manufacturing/procurement policies. Companies try to minimize total production and distribution costs, and inventory costs are just one part of total costs. Still, they are an important cost, and financial managers should be aware of the determinants of inventory costs and how they can be minimized.

Self-Test Questions

Describe some inventory control systems that are used in practice.
What are just-in-time systems? What are their advantages? Why is quality especially important if a JIT system is used?
What is outsourcing?
Describe the relationship between production scheduling and inventory levels.
When finished goods are sold, the firm must assign a cost of goods sold. The cost of goods sold appears on the income statement as an expense for the period, and the balance sheet inventory account is reduced by a like amount. Four methods can be used to value the cost of goods sold, and hence to value the remaining inventory: (1) specific identification, (2) first-in, first-out (FIFO), (3) last-in, first-out (LIFO), and (4) weighted average.

**Specific Identification**

Under specific identification, a unique cost is attached to each item in inventory. Then, when an item is sold, the inventory value is reduced by that specific amount. This method is used only when the items are high cost and move relatively slowly, such as cars for an automobile dealer.

**First-In, First-Out (FIFO)**

In the FIFO method, the units sold during a given period are assumed to be the first units that were placed in inventory. As a result, the cost of goods sold is based on the cost of the oldest inventory items, and the remaining inventory consists of the newest goods.

**Last-In, First-Out (LIFO)**

LIFO is the opposite of FIFO. The cost of goods sold is based on the last units placed in inventory, while the remaining inventory consists of the first goods placed in inventory. Note that this is purely an accounting convention—the actual physical units sold could be either the earlier or the later units placed in inventory, or some combination. For example, Del Monte has in its LIFO inventory accounts catsup bottled in the 1920s, but all the catsup in its warehouses was bottled in 2006 or 2007.

**Weighted Average**

The weighted average method involves calculating the weighted average unit cost of goods available for sale from inventory, and this average is then used to determine the cost of goods sold. This method results in a cost of goods sold and an ending inventory that fall somewhere between the FIFO and LIFO methods.

**Comparison of Inventory Accounting Methods**

To illustrate these methods and their effects on financial statements, assume that Custom Furniture Inc. manufactured five identical antique reproduction dining tables during a one-year accounting period. During the year, a new labor contract
plus dramatically increasing mahogany prices caused manufacturing costs to almost double, resulting in the following inventory costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost:</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no tables in stock at the beginning of the year, and Tables 1, 3, and 5 were sold during the year.

If Custom used the specific identification method, the cost of goods sold would be reported as $10,000 + $14,000 + $18,000 = $42,000, while the end-of-period inventory value would be $70,000 − $42,000 = $28,000. If Custom used the FIFO method, its cost of goods sold would be $10,000 + $12,000 + $14,000 = $36,000, and ending inventory would be $70,000 − $36,000 = $34,000. If Custom used the LIFO method, its cost of goods sold would be $48,000, and its ending inventory would be $22,000. Finally, if Custom used the weighted average method, its average cost per unit of inventory would be $70,000/5 = $14,000, its cost of goods sold would be 3($14,000) = $42,000, and its ending inventory would be $70,000 − $42,000 = $28,000.

If Custom’s actual sales revenues from the tables were $80,000, or an average of $26,667 per unit sold, and if its other costs were minimal, the following is a summary of the effects of the four methods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Cost of Goods Sold</th>
<th>Reported Profit</th>
<th>Ending Inventory Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific identification</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
<td>$38,000</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFO</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFO</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ignoring taxes, Custom’s cash flows would not be affected by its choice of inventory methods, yet its balance sheet and reported profits would vary with each method. In an inflationary period such as in our example, FIFO gives the lowest cost of goods sold and thus the highest net income. FIFO also shows the highest inventory value, so it produces the strongest apparent liquidity position as measured by net working capital or the current ratio. On the other hand, LIFO produces the highest cost of goods sold, the lowest reported profits, and the weakest apparent liquidity position. However, when taxes are considered, LIFO provides the greatest tax deductibility, and thus it results in the lowest tax burden. Consequently, after-tax cash flows are highest if LIFO is used.

Of course, these results apply only to periods when costs are increasing. If costs were constant, all four methods would produce the same cost of goods sold, ending inventory, taxes, and cash flows. However, inflation has been a fact of life in recent years, so most firms use LIFO to take advantage of its greater tax and cash flow benefits.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the four methods used to account for inventory?

What effect does the method used have on the firm’s reported profits? On ending inventory levels?

Which method should be used if management anticipates a period of inflation? Why?
THE ECONOMIC ORDERING QUANTITY (EOQ) MODEL

As discussed in Chapter 21, inventories are obviously necessary, but it is equally obvious that a firm’s profitability will suffer if it has too much or too little inventory. Most firms use a pragmatic approach to setting inventory levels, in which past experience plays a major role. However, as a starting point in the process, it is useful for managers to consider the insights provided by the economic ordering quantity (EOQ) model. The EOQ model first specifies the costs of ordering and carrying inventories and then combines these costs to obtain the total costs associated with inventory holdings. Finally, optimization techniques are used to find that order quantity, hence inventory level, that minimizes total costs. Note that a third category of inventory costs, the costs of running short (stock-out costs), is not considered in our initial discussion. These costs are dealt with by adding safety stocks, as we will discuss later. Similarly, we shall discuss quantity discounts in a later section. The costs that remain for consideration at this stage are carrying costs and ordering, shipping, and receiving costs.

Carrying Costs

Carrying costs generally rise in direct proportion to the average amount of inventory carried. Inventories carried, in turn, depend on the frequency with which orders are placed. To illustrate, if a firm sells $S$ units per year, and if it places equal-sized orders $N$ times per year, then $S/N$ units will be purchased with each order. If the inventory is used evenly over the year, and if no safety stocks are carried, then the average inventory, $A$, will be

$$A = \frac{\text{Units per order}}{2} = \frac{S/N}{2}$$

For example, if $S = 120,000$ units in a year, and $N = 4$, then the firm will order 30,000 units at a time, and its average inventory will be 15,000 units:

$$A = \frac{S/N}{2} = \frac{120,000/4}{2} = \frac{30,000}{2} = 15,000 \text{ units}$$

Just after a shipment arrives, the inventory will be 30,000 units; just before the next shipment arrives, it will be zero; and on average, 15,000 units will be carried.

Now assume the firm purchases its inventory at a price $P =$ $2$ per unit. The average inventory value is thus $(P)(A) = \$2(15,000) = \$30,000$. If the firm has a cost of capital of 10 percent, it will incur $\$3,000$ in financing charges to carry the inventory for one year. Further, assume that each year the firm incurs $\$2,000$ of storage costs (space, utilities, security, taxes, and so forth), that its inventory insurance costs are $\$500$, and that it must mark down inventories by $\$1,000$ because of depreciation and obsolescence. The firm’s total cost of carrying the $\$30,000$ average inventory is thus $\$3,000 + \$2,000 + \$500 + \$1,000 = \$6,500$, and the annual percentage cost of carrying the inventory is $\$6,500/\$30,000 = 0.217 = 21.7\%$.

Defining the annual percentage carrying cost as $C$, we can, in general, find the annual total carrying cost, $TCC$, as the percentage carrying cost, $C$, times the price per unit, $P$, times the average number of units, $A$:
TCC = Total carrying cost = (C)(P)(A)  | 23-4 |

In our example,

\[ TCC = (0.217)(\$2)(15,000) = \$6,500 \]

**Ordering Costs**

Although we assume that carrying costs are entirely variable and rise in direct proportion to the average size of inventories, ordering costs are often fixed. For example, the costs of placing and receiving an order—interoffice memos, long-distance telephone calls, setting up a production run, and taking delivery—are essentially fixed regardless of the size of an order, so this part of inventory cost is simply the fixed cost of placing and receiving orders times the number of orders placed per year. We define the fixed costs associated with ordering inventories as \( F \), and if we place \( N \) orders per year, the total ordering cost is given by Equation 23-5:

\[ \text{Total ordering cost} = TOC = (F)(N) \]  | 23-5 |

Here \( TOC = \) total ordering cost, \( F = \) fixed costs per order, and \( N = \) number of orders placed per year.

Equation 23-3 may be rewritten as \( N = S/2A \), and then substituted into Equation 23-5:

\[ \text{Total ordering cost} = TOC = F\left(\frac{S}{2A}\right) \]  | 23-6 |

To illustrate the use of Equation 23-6, if \( F = \$100 \), \( S = 120,000 \) units, and \( A = 15,000 \) units, then \( TOC \), the total annual ordering cost, is \( \$400 \):

\[ TOC = \$100\left(\frac{120,000}{30,000}\right) = \$100(4) = \$400 \]

---

8Note that in reality both carrying and ordering costs can have variable and fixed-cost elements, at least over certain ranges of average inventory. For example, security and utilities charges are probably fixed in the short run over a wide range of inventory levels. Similarly, labor costs in receiving inventory could be tied to the quantity received, and hence could be variable. To simplify matters, we treat all carrying costs as variable and all ordering costs as fixed. However, if these assumptions do not fit the situation at hand, the cost definitions can be changed. For example, one could add another term for shipping costs if there are economies of scale in shipping, such that the cost of shipping a unit is smaller if shipments are larger. However, in most situations shipping costs are not sensitive to order size, so total shipping costs are simply the shipping cost per unit times the units ordered (and sold) during the year. Under this condition, shipping costs are not influenced by inventory policy; hence they may be disregarded for purposes of determining the optimal inventory level and the optimal order size.
**Total Inventory Costs**

Total carrying cost, TCC, as defined in Equation 23-4, and total ordering cost, TOC, as defined in Equation 23-6, may be combined to find total inventory costs, TIC, as follows:

\[
\text{TIC} = \text{TCC} + \text{TOC}
\]

\[
= (C)(P)(A) + F\left(\frac{S}{2A}\right)
\]

Recognizing that the average inventory carried is \(A = \frac{Q}{2}\), or one-half the size of each order quantity, \(Q\), we may rewrite Equation 23-7 as follows:

\[
\text{TIC} = \text{TCC} + \text{TOC}
\]

\[
= (C)(P)\left(\frac{Q}{2}\right) + F\left(\frac{S}{Q}\right)
\]

Here we see that total carrying cost equals average inventory in units, \(Q/2\), multiplied by unit price, \(P\), times the percentage annual carrying cost, \(C\). Total ordering cost equals the number of orders placed per year, \(S/Q\), multiplied by the fixed cost of placing and receiving an order, \(F\). Finally, total inventory costs equal the sum of total carrying cost plus total ordering cost. We will use this equation in the next section to develop the optimal inventory ordering quantity.

**Derivation of the EOQ Model**

Figure 23-3 illustrates the basic premise on which the EOQ model is built, namely, that some costs rise with larger inventories while other costs decline, and there is an optimal order size (and associated average inventory) that minimizes the total costs of inventories. First, as noted earlier, the average investment in inventories depends on how frequently orders are placed and the size of each order—if we order every day, average inventories will be much smaller than if we order once a year. Further, as Figure 23-3 shows, the firm’s carrying costs rise with larger orders; larger orders mean larger average inventories, so warehousing costs, interest on funds tied up in inventory, insurance, and obsolescence costs will all increase. However, ordering costs decline with larger orders and inventories; the cost of placing orders, suppliers’ production setup costs, and order handling costs will all decline if we order infrequently and consequently hold larger quantities.

If the carrying and ordering cost curves in Figure 23-3 are added, the sum represents total inventory costs, TIC. The point where the TIC is minimized represents the economic ordering quantity (EOQ), and this, in turn, determines the optimal average inventory level.

The EOQ is found by differentiating Equation 23-8 with respect to ordering quantity, \(Q\), and setting the derivative equal to zero:

\[
\frac{dTIC}{dQ} = \frac{(C)(P)}{2} - \frac{(F)(S)}{Q^2} = 0
\]
Now, solving for $Q$, we obtain:

\[
\frac{(C)(P)}{2} = \frac{(F)(S)}{Q^2} \quad \text{or} \quad Q^2 = \frac{2(F)(S)}{(C)(P)}
\]

Here:

$EOQ$ = economic ordering quantity, or the optimal quantity to be ordered each time an order is placed.

$F$ = fixed costs of placing and receiving an order.

$S$ = annual sales in units.

$C$ = annual carrying costs expressed as a percentage of average inventory value.

$P$ = purchase price the firm must pay per unit of inventory.
Equation 23-9 is the EOQ model. The assumptions of the model, which will be relaxed shortly, include the following: (1) sales can be forecasted perfectly, (2) sales are evenly distributed throughout the year, and (3) orders are received when expected.

**EOQ Model Illustration**

To illustrate the EOQ model, consider the following data supplied by Cotton Tops Inc., a distributor of budget-priced, custom-designed T-shirts that it sells to concessionaires at various theme parks in the United States:

- **S** = annual sales = 26,000 shirts per year.
- **C** = percentage carrying cost = 25 percent of inventory value.
- **P** = purchase price per shirt = $4.92 per shirt. (The sales price is $9, but this is irrelevant for our purposes here.)
- **F** = fixed cost per order = $1,000. Cotton Tops designs and distributes the shirts, but the actual production is done by another company. The bulk of this $1,000 cost is the labor cost for setting up the equipment for the production run, which the manufacturer bills separately from the $4.92 cost per shirt.

Substituting these data into Equation 23-9, we obtain an EOQ of 6,500 units:

\[
\text{EOQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(S)}{(C)(P)}} = \sqrt{\frac{(2)(1,000)(26,000)}{(0.25)(4.92)}} = \sqrt{42,276,423} \approx 6,500 \text{ units}
\]

With an EOQ of 6,500 shirts and annual usage of 26,000 shirts, Cotton Tops will place 26,000/6,500 = 4 orders per year. Note that average inventory holdings depend directly on the EOQ. This relationship is illustrated graphically in Figure 23-4, where we see that average inventory = EOQ/2. Immediately after an order is received, 6,500 shirts are in stock. The usage rate, or sales rate, is 500 shirts per week (26,000/52 weeks), so inventories are drawn down by this amount each week. Thus, the actual number of units held in inventory will vary from 6,500 shirts just after an order is received to zero just before a new order arrives. With a 6,500 beginning balance, a zero ending balance, and a uniform sales rate, inventories will average one-half the EOQ, or 3,250 shirts, during the year. At a cost of $4.92 per shirt, the average investment in inventories will be (3,250) ($4.92) = $16,000. If inventories are financed by bank loans, the loan will vary from a high of $32,000 to a low of $0, but the average amount outstanding over the course of a year will be $16,000.

---

9The EOQ model can also be written as

\[
\text{EOQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(S)}{C^*}}
\]

where \(C^*\) is the annual carrying cost per unit expressed in dollars.
Note that the EOQ, hence average inventory holdings, rises with the square root of sales. Therefore, a given increase in sales will result in a less-than-proportionate increase in inventories, so the inventory/sales ratio will tend to decline as a firm grows. For example, Cotton Tops’s EOQ is 6,500 shirts at an annual sales level of 26,000, and the average inventory is 3,250 shirts, or $16,000. However, if sales were to increase by 100 percent, to 52,000 shirts per year, the EOQ would rise only to 9,195 units, or by 41 percent, and the average inventory would rise by this same percentage. This suggests that there are economies of scale in holding inventories.¹⁰

Finally, look at Cotton Tops’s total inventory costs for the year, assuming that the EOQ is ordered each time. Using Equation 23-8, we find total inventory costs are $8,000:

\[
\text{TIC} = \text{TCC} + \text{TOC} = (C)(P) \left( \frac{Q}{2} \right) + (F) \left( \frac{S}{Q} \right)
\]

\[
= 0.25 \times ($4.92) \left( \frac{6,500}{2} \right) + ($1,000) \left( \frac{26,000}{6,500} \right)
\]

\[
= $4,000 + $4,000 = $8,000
\]

¹⁰Note, however, that these scale economies relate to each particular item, not to the entire firm. Thus, a large distributor with $500 million of sales might have a higher inventory/sales ratio than a much smaller distributor if the small firm has only a few high-sales-volume items while the large firm distributes a great many low-volume items.
Note these two points: (1) The $8,000 total inventory cost represents the total of carrying costs and ordering costs, but this amount does not include the 26,000 ($4.92) = $127,920 annual purchasing cost of the inventory itself. (2) As we see both in Figure 23-3 and in the calculation above, at the EOQ, total carrying cost (TCC) equals total ordering cost (TOC). This property is not unique to our Cotton Tops illustration; it always holds.

**Setting the Order Point**

If a two-week lead time is required for production and shipping, what is Cotton Tops's order point level? Cotton Tops sells 26,000/52 = 500 shirts per week. Thus, if a two-week lag occurs between placing an order and receiving goods, Cotton Tops must place the order when there are 2(500) = 1,000 shirts on hand. During the two-week production and shipping period, the inventory balance will continue to decline at the rate of 500 shirts per week, and the inventory balance will hit zero just as the order of new shirts arrives.

If Cotton Tops knew for certain that both the sales rate and the order lead time would never vary, it could operate exactly as shown in Figure 23-4. However, sales do change, and production and/or shipping delays are sometimes encountered. To guard against these events, the firm must carry additional inventories, or safety stocks, as discussed in the next section.

**Self-Test Questions**

1. What are some specific inventory carrying costs? As defined here, are these costs fixed or variable?
2. What are some inventory ordering costs? As defined here, are these costs fixed or variable?
3. What are the components of total inventory costs?
4. What is the concept behind the EOQ model?
5. What is the relationship between total carrying cost and total ordering cost at the EOQ?
6. What assumptions are inherent in the EOQ model as presented here?

**EOQ MODEL EXTENSIONS**

The basic EOQ model was derived under several restrictive assumptions. In this section, we relax some of these assumptions and, in the process, extend the model to make it more useful.

**The Concept of Safety Stocks**

The concept of a safety stock is illustrated in Figure 23-5. First, note that the slope of the sales line measures the expected rate of sales. The company expects to sell 500 shirts per week, but let us assume that the maximum likely sales rate is twice this amount, or 1,000 units each week. Further, assume that Cotton Tops sets the safety stock at 1,000 shirts, so it initially orders 7,500 shirts, the EOQ of 6,500 plus the 1,000-unit safety stock. Subsequently, it reorders the EOQ whenever the inventory level falls to 2,000 shirts, the safety stock of 1,000 shirts plus the 1,000 shirts expected to be used while awaiting delivery of the order.

Note that the company could, over the two-week delivery period, sell 1,000 units a week, or double its normal expected sales. This maximum rate of sales is shown by the steeper dashed line in Figure 23-5. The condition that makes this higher sales rate possible is the safety stock of 1,000 shirts.
The safety stock is also useful to guard against delays in receiving orders. The expected delivery time is two weeks, but with a 1,000-unit safety stock, the company could maintain sales at the expected rate of 500 units per week for an additional two weeks if something should delay an order.

However, carrying a safety stock has a cost. The average inventory is now \( \text{EOQ}/2 + \text{safety stock} = 6,500/2 + 1,000 = 3,250 + 1,000 = 4,250 \) shirts, and the average inventory value is now \( (4,250)(\$4.92) = \$20,910 \). This increases in average inventory causes an increase in annual inventory carrying costs equal to \( (\text{safety stock})(P)(C) = 1,000(\$4.92)(0.25) = \$1,230 \).

The optimal safety stock varies from situation to situation, but, in general, it increases (1) with the uncertainty of demand forecasts, (2) with the costs (in terms of lost sales and lost goodwill) that result from inventory shortages, and (3) with the probability that delays will occur in receiving shipments. The optimal safety stock decreases as the cost of carrying this additional inventory increases.

**Setting the Safety Stock Level**

The critical question with regard to safety stocks is this: How large should the safety stock be? To answer this question, first examine Table 23-1, which contains the probability distribution of Cotton Tops’s unit sales for an average two-week period, the time it takes to receive an order of 6,500 T-shirts. Note that the expected sales over an average two-week period are 1,000 units. Why do we focus on a two-week period? Because shortages can occur only during the two weeks it takes an order to arrive.

Cotton Tops’s managers have estimated that the annual carrying cost is 25 percent of inventory value. Because each shirt has an inventory value of \$4.92, the annual carrying cost per unit is \( 0.25(\$4.92) = \$1.23 \), and the carrying cost for
each 13-week inventory period is $1.23(13/52) = $0.308 per unit. Even though shortages can occur only during the 2-week order period, safety stocks must be carried over the full 13-week inventory cycle. Next, Cotton Tops’s managers must estimate the cost of shortages. Assume that when shortages occur, 50 percent of Cotton Tops’s buyers are willing to accept back orders, while 50 percent of its potential customers simply cancel their orders. Remember that each shirt sells for $9.00, so each one-unit shortage produces expected lost profits of 0.5($9.00 − $4.92) = $2.04. With this information, the firm can calculate the expected costs of different safety stock levels. This is done in Table 23-2.

For each safety stock level, we determine the expected cost of a shortage based on the sales probability distribution in Table 23-1. There is an expected shortage cost of $408 if no safety stock is carried; $102 if the safety stock is set at 500 units; and no expected shortage, hence no shortage cost, with a safety stock of 1,000 units. The cost of carrying each safety level is merely the cost of carrying a unit of inventory over the 13-week inventory period, $0.308, times the safety stock; for example, the cost of carrying a safety stock of 500 units is $0.308(500) = $154. Finally, we sum the expected shortage cost in Column 6 and the safety stock carrying cost in Column 7 to obtain the total cost figures given in Column 8. Because the 500-unit safety stock has the lowest expected total cost, Cotton Tops should carry this safety level.

Of course, the optimal safety level is highly sensitive to the estimates of the sales probability distribution and shortage costs. Errors here could result in incorrect safety stock levels. Note also that in calculating the $2.04 per unit shortage cost, we implicitly assumed that a lost sale in one period would not result in lost sales in future periods. If shortages cause customer ill will, this could lead to permanent sales reductions. Then the situation would be much more serious, stock-out costs would be far higher, and the firm should consequently carry a larger safety stock.

The stock-out example is just one example of the many judgments required in inventory management—the mechanics are relatively simple, but the inputs are judgmental and difficult to obtain.

### Quantity Discounts

Now suppose the T-shirt manufacturer offered Cotton Tops a quantity discount of 2 percent on large orders. If the quantity discount applied to orders of 5,000 or more, then Cotton Tops would continue to place the EOQ order of 6,500 shirts
and take the quantity discount. However, if the quantity discount required orders of 10,000 or more, then Cotton Tops would have to compare the savings in purchase price that would result if its ordering quantity were increased to 10,000 units with the increase in total inventory costs caused by the departure from the 6,500-unit EOQ.

First, consider the total costs associated with Cotton Tops’s EOQ of 6,500 units. We found earlier that total inventory costs are $8,000:

\[
\text{TIC} = \text{TCC} + \text{TOC} \\
= (C)(P) \left( \frac{Q}{2} \right) + (F) \left( \frac{S}{Q} \right) \\
= 0.25(\$4.92) \left( \frac{6,500}{2} \right) + (\$1,000) \left( \frac{26,000}{6,500} \right) \\
\approx \$4,000 + \$4,000 = \$8,000
\]
Now, what would total inventory costs be if Cotton Tops ordered 10,000 units instead of 6,500? The answer is $8,625:

\[
TIC = 0.25(4.82) \left(\frac{10,000}{2}\right) + (1,000) \left(\frac{26,000}{10,000}\right)
\]

\[
= 6,025 + 2,600 = 8,625
\]

Note that when the discount is taken, the price, \(P\), is reduced by the amount of the discount; the new price per unit would be 0.98($4.92) = $4.82. Also note that when the ordering quantity is increased, carrying costs increase because the firm is carrying a larger average inventory, but ordering costs decrease since the number of orders per year decreases. If we were to calculate total inventory costs at an ordering quantity less than the EOQ, say, 5,000, we would find that carrying costs would be less than $4,000, and ordering costs would be more than $4,000, but the total inventory costs would be more than $8,000, since they are at a minimum when 6,500 units are ordered.\(^{11}\)

Thus, inventory costs would increase by $8,625 − $8,000 = $625 if Cotton Tops were to increase its order size to 10,000 shirts. However, this cost increase must be compared with Cotton Tops’s savings if it takes the discount. Taking the discount would save 0.02($4.92) = $0.0984 per unit. Over the year, Cotton Tops orders 26,000 shirts, so the annual savings is $0.0984(26,000) = $2,558. Here is a summary:

| Reduction in purchase price | = $2,558 | Increase in total inventory cost | = 625 | Net savings from taking discounts | = $1,933 |

Obviously, the company should order 10,000 units at a time and take advantage of the quantity discount.

**Inflation**

Moderate inflation—say, 3 percent per year—can largely be ignored for purposes of inventory management, but higher rates of inflation must be explicitly considered. If the rate of inflation in the types of goods the firm stocks tends to be relatively constant, it can be dealt with quite easily—simply deduct the expected annual rate of inflation from the carrying cost percentage, \(C\), in Equation 23-9, and use this modified version of the EOQ model to establish the ordering quantity. The reason for making this deduction is that inflation causes the value of the inventory to rise, thus offsetting somewhat the effects of depreciation and other carrying costs. \(C\) will now be smaller, assuming other factors are held constant, so the calculated EOQ and the average inventory will increase. However, higher rates of inflation usually mean higher interest rates, and this will cause \(C\) to increase, thus lowering the EOQ and average inventory.

\(^{11}\)At an ordering quantity of 5,000 units, total inventory costs are $8,275:

\[
TIC = (0.25)(4.92) \left(\frac{5,000}{2}\right) + (1,000) \left(\frac{26,000}{5,000}\right)
\]

\[
= 3,075 + 5,200 = 8,275
\]
On balance, there is no evidence that inflation either raises or lowers the optimal inventories of firms in the aggregate. Inflation should still be explicitly considered, however, for it will raise the individual firm's optimal holdings if the rate of inflation for its own inventories is above average (and is greater than the effects of inflation on interest rates), and vice versa.

**Seasonal Demand**

For most firms, it is unrealistic to assume that the demand for an inventory item is uniform throughout the year. What happens when there is seasonal demand, as would hold true for an ice cream company? Here the standard annual EOQ model is obviously not appropriate. However, it does provide a point of departure for setting inventory parameters, which are then modified to fit the particular seasonal pattern. We divide the year into the seasons in which annualized sales are relatively constant, say, summer, spring and fall, and winter. Then, the EOQ model is applied separately to each period. During the transitions between seasons, inventories would be either run down or else built up with special seasonal orders.

**EOQ Range**

Thus far, we have interpreted the EOQ and the resulting inventory values as single-point estimates. It can be easily demonstrated that small deviations from the EOQ do not appreciably affect total inventory costs, and, consequently, that the optimal ordering quantity should be viewed more as a range than as a single value.\(^{12}\)

To illustrate this point, we examine the sensitivity of total inventory costs to ordering quantity for Cotton Tops. Table 23-3 contains the results. We conclude that the ordering quantity could range from 5,000 to 8,000 units without affecting total inventory costs by more than 3.4 percent. Thus, managers can adjust the ordering quantity within a fairly wide range without significantly increasing total inventory costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordering Quantity</th>
<th>Total Inventory Costs</th>
<th>Percentage Deviation from Optimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>$10,512</td>
<td>+31.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>8,960</td>
<td>+12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8,275</td>
<td>+3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>8,023</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>8,019</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>8,170</td>
<td>+2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>8,423</td>
<td>+5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>8,750</td>
<td>+9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{12}\)This is somewhat analogous to the optimal capital structure in that small changes in capital structure around the optimum do not have much effect on the firm's weighted average cost of capital.
**Self-Test Questions**

- Why are safety stocks required?
- Conceptually, how would you evaluate a quantity discount offer from a supplier?
- What effect does inflation typically have on the EOQ?
- Can the EOQ model be used when a company faces seasonal demand fluctuations?
- What is the effect of minor deviations from the EOQ on total inventory costs?

---

**SUMMARY**

This chapter discussed the goals of cash management and how a company might determine its optimal cash balance using the Baumol model. It also discussed how an optimal inventory policy might be identified using the economic ordering quantity (EOQ) model. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- A policy that strives for zero working capital not only generates cash but also speeds up production and helps businesses operate more efficiently. This concept has its own definition of working capital: Inventories + Receivables − Payables. The rationale is that inventories and receivables are the keys to making sales, and that inventories can be financed by suppliers through accounts payable.
- The primary goal of cash management is to minimize the amount of cash a firm holds while maintaining a sufficient target cash balance to conduct business.
- The Baumol model provides insights into the optimal cash balance. The model balances the opportunity cost of holding cash against the transactions costs associated with obtaining cash either by selling marketable securities or by borrowing.

\[
\text{Optimal cash infusion} = \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(T)}{r}}
\]

- Firms generally set their target cash balances at the level that holds the risk of running out of cash to some acceptable level. Monte Carlo simulation can be helpful in setting the target cash balance.
- Firms use inventory control systems such as the red-line method and the two-bin method, as well as computerized inventory control systems, to help them keep track of actual inventory levels and to ensure that inventory levels are adjusted as sales change. Just-in-time (JIT) systems are used to hold down inventory costs and, simultaneously, to improve the production process. Outsourcing is the practice of purchasing components rather than making them in-house.
- Inventory can be accounted for in four different ways: (1) specific identification, (2) first-in, first-out (FIFO), (3) last-in, first-out (LIFO), and (4) weighted average.
- Inventory costs can be divided into three parts: carrying costs, ordering costs, and stock-out costs. In general, carrying costs increase as the level of inventory rises, but ordering costs and stock-out costs decline with larger inventory holdings.
• **Total carrying cost** (TCC) is equal to the percentage cost of carrying inventory (C) times the purchase price per unit of inventory (P) times the average number of units held (A): \( TCC = (C)(P)(A) \).

• **Total ordering cost** (TOC) is equal to the fixed cost of placing an order (F) times the number of orders placed per year (N): \( TOC = (F)(N) \).

• **Total inventory costs** (TIC) equal total carrying cost (TCC) plus total ordering cost (TOC): \( TIC = TCC + TOC \).

• The **economic ordering quantity** (EOQ) model is a formula for determining the order quantity that will minimize total inventory costs:

\[
EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(S)}{(C)(P)}}
\]

Here \( F \) is the fixed cost per order, \( S \) is annual sales in units, \( C \) is the percentage cost of carrying inventory, and \( P \) is the purchase price per unit.

• The **reorder point** is the inventory level at which new items must be ordered.

• **Safety stocks** are held to avoid shortages, which can occur (1) if sales increase more than was expected or (2) if shipping delays are encountered on inventory ordered. The cost of carrying a safety stock, which is separate from that based on the EOQ model, is equal to the percentage cost of carrying inventory times the purchase price per unit times the number of units held as the safety stock.

### QUESTIONS

**23-1** Define each of the following terms:

a. Baumol model
b. Total carrying cost; total ordering cost; total inventory costs
c. Economic ordering quantity (EOQ); EOQ model; EOQ range
d. Reorder point; safety stock
e. Red-line method; two-bin method; computerized inventory control system
f. Just-in-time system; outsourcing

**23-2** Indicate by a (+), (−), or (0) whether each of the following events would probably cause average annual inventory holdings to rise, fall, or be affected in an indeterminate manner:

a. Our suppliers change from delivering by train to air freight. __________

b. We change from producing just-in-time to meet seasonal demand to steady, year-round production. __________

c. Competition in the markets in which we sell increases. __________

d. The general rate of inflation rises. __________

e. Interest rates rise; other things are constant. __________

**23-3** Assuming the firm’s sales volume remained constant, would you expect it to have a higher cash balance during a tight-money period or during an easy-money period? Why?
Explain how each of the following factors would probably affect a firm’s target cash balance if all other factors were held constant.

a. The firm institutes a new billing procedure that better synchronizes its cash inflows and outflows.

b. The firm develops a new sales forecasting technique that improves its forecasts.

c. The firm reduces its portfolio of U.S. Treasury bills.

d. The firm arranges to use an overdraft system for its checking account.

e. The firm borrows a large amount of money from its bank and also begins to write far more checks than it did in the past.

f. Interest rates on Treasury bills rise from 5 percent to 10 percent.

**PROBLEMS**

**23-1 Economic Ordering Quantity**

The Gentry Garden Center sells 90,000 bags of lawn fertilizer annually. The optimal safety stock (which is on hand initially) is 1,000 bags. Each bag costs the firm $1.50, inventory carrying costs are 20 percent, and the cost of placing an order with its supplier is $15.

a. What is the economic ordering quantity?

b. What is the maximum inventory of fertilizer?

c. What will be the firm’s average inventory?

d. How often must the company order?

**23-2 Optimal Cash Transfer**

Barenbaum Industries projects that cash outlays of $4.5 million will occur uniformly throughout the year. Barenbaum plans to meet its cash requirements by periodically selling marketable securities from its portfolio. The firm’s marketable securities are invested to earn 12 percent, and the cost per transaction of converting securities to cash is $27.

a. Use the Baumol model to determine the optimal transaction size for transfers from marketable securities to cash.

b. What will be Barenbaum’s average cash balance?

c. How many transfers per year will be required?

d. What will be Barenbaum’s total annual cost of maintaining cash balances?

What would the total cost be if the company maintained an average cash balance of $50,000 or of $0 (it deposits funds daily to meet cash requirements)?
SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch23 P3 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. The following inventory data have been established for the Adler Corporation:

1. Orders must be placed in multiples of 100 units.
2. Annual sales are 338,000 units.
3. The purchase price per unit is $3.
4. Carrying cost is 20 percent of the purchase price of goods.
5. Cost per order placed is $24.
6. Desired safety stock is 14,000 units; this amount is on hand initially.
7. Two weeks are required for delivery.

a. What is the EOQ?
b. How many orders should the firm place each year?
c. At what inventory level should a reorder be made? [Hint: Reorder point = (Safety stock + Weeks to deliver × Weekly usage) − Goods in transit.]
d. Calculate the total costs of ordering and carrying inventories if the order quantity is (1) 4,000 units, (2) 4,800 units, or (3) 6,000 units. What are the total costs if the order quantity is the EOQ?
e. What are the EOQ and total inventory costs if
   (1) Sales increase to 500,000 units?
   (2) Fixed order costs increase to $30? Sales remain at 338,000 units.
   (3) Purchase price increases to $4? Leave sales and fixed costs at original values.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Andria Mullins, financial manager of Webster Electronics, has been asked by the firm’s CEO, Fred Weygandt, to evaluate the company’s inventory control techniques and to lead a discussion of the subject with the senior executives. Andria plans to use as an example one of Webster’s “big ticket” items, a customized computer microchip that the firm uses in its laptop computer. Each chip costs Webster $200, and in addition it must pay its supplier a $1,000 setup fee on each order. Further, the minimum order size is 250 units; Webster’s annual usage forecast is 5,000 units; and the annual carrying cost of this item is estimated to be 20 percent of the average inventory value.

Andria plans to begin her session with the senior executives by reviewing some basic inventory concepts, after which she will apply the EOQ model to Webster’s microchip inventory. As her assistant, you have been asked to help her by answering the following questions:

a. Why is inventory management vital to the financial health of most firms?

b. What assumptions underlie the EOQ model?

c. Write out the formula for the total costs of carrying and ordering inventory, and then use the formula to derive the EOQ model.

d. What is the EOQ for custom microchips? What are total inventory costs if the EOQ is ordered?

e. What is Webster’s added cost if it orders 400 units at a time rather than the EOQ quantity? What if it orders 600 units?

f. Suppose it takes 2 weeks for Webster’s supplier to set up production, make and test the chips, and deliver them to Webster’s plant. Assuming certainty in delivery times and usage, at what inventory level should Webster reorder? (Assume a 52-week year, and assume that Webster orders the EOQ amount.)

g. Of course, there is uncertainty in Webster’s usage rate as well as in delivery times, so the company must carry a safety stock to avoid running out of chips and having to halt production. If a 200-unit safety stock is carried, what effect would this have on total inventory costs? What is the new reorder point? What protection does the safety stock provide if usage increases, or if delivery is delayed?

h. Now suppose Webster’s supplier offers a discount of 1 percent on orders of 1,000 or more. Should Webster take the discount? Why or why not?

i. For many firms, inventory usage is not uniform throughout the year, but, rather, follows some seasonal pattern. Can the EOQ model be used in this situation? If so, how?

j. How would these factors affect an EOQ analysis?
   (1) The use of just-in-time procedures.
   (2) The use of air freight for deliveries.
   (3) The use of a computerized inventory control system, wherein as units were removed from stock, an electronic system automatically reduced the inventory account and, when the order point was hit, automatically sent an electronic message to the supplier placing an order. The electronic system ensures that inventory records are accurate, and that orders are placed promptly.
   (4) The manufacturing plant is redesigned and automated. Computerized process equipment and state-of-the-art robotics are installed, making the plant highly flexible in the sense that the company can switch from the production of one item to another at a minimum cost and quite quickly. This makes short production runs more feasible than under the old plant setup.

k. Webster runs a $100,000 per month cash deficit, requiring periodic transfers from its portfolio of marketable securities. Broker fees are $32 per transaction, and Webster earns 7 percent on its investment portfolio. How can Andria use the EOQ model to determine how Webster should liquidate part of its portfolio to provide cash?
Key references on cash balance models include the following:


The following cases from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).

Klein-Brigham Series:

CHAPTER 24
Derivatives and Risk Management, 832

CHAPTER 25
Bankruptcy, Reorganization, and Liquidation, 864

CHAPTER 26
Mergers, LBOs, Divestitures, and Holding Companies, 894

CHAPTER 27
Multinational Financial Management, 944
In this chapter, we discuss risk management, a topic of increasing importance to financial managers. The term *risk management* can mean many things, but in business it involves identifying events that could have adverse financial consequences and then taking actions to prevent and/or minimize the damage caused by these events. Years ago, corporate risk managers dealt primarily with insurance—they made sure the firm was adequately insured against fire, theft, and other casualties, and that it had adequate liability coverage. More recently, the scope of risk management has been broadened to include such things as controlling the costs of key inputs like petroleum by purchasing oil futures, or protecting against changes in interest rates or exchange rates through transactions in the interest rate or foreign exchange markets. In addition, risk managers try to ensure that actions intended to hedge against risk are not actually increasing risks.

Also, since the September 11, 2001 attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon, insurance against terrorist attacks has become a major issue. Unless possible terrorist targets—including large malls, office buildings, oil refineries, airlines, and ships—can be insured against attacks, lenders will refuse to provide mortgage financing, and that would crimp the economy. Private insurance companies are reluctant to insure these projects, at least without charging prohibitive premiums, so the federal government has been asked to step in and provide terrorist insurance. Normally, it is best to have private projects insured by private insurance, because then risk-reducing actions will be...
taken to hold down insurance costs. However, losses due to terrorist attacks are potentially so large that they could bankrupt even strong insurance companies. How this new risk should be dealt with is currently being debated in Washington and around the world.

**REASONS TO MANAGE RISK**

We know that investors dislike risk. We also know that most investors hold well-diversified portfolios, so at least in theory the only “relevant risk” is systematic risk. Therefore, if you asked corporate executives what type of risk they were concerned about, you might expect the answer to be “beta.” However, this is almost

---

1 Most insurance policies exclude claims that result from acts of war. Now claims based on terrorist attacks are also being excluded from new policies.
Risk management can reduce firm risk, leading to a lower cost of capital. In some instances, derivatives such as swaps can actually reduce the effective interest rate paid by a corporation, again reducing its cost of capital.

certainly not the answer you would get. The most likely answer, if you asked a CEO to define risk, is something like this: “Risk is the possibility that our future earnings and free cash flows will be significantly lower than we expect.” For example, consider Plastics Inc., which manufactures dashboards, interior door panels, and other plastic components used by auto companies. Petroleum is the key feedstock for plastic and thus makes up a large percentage of its costs. Plastics has a three-year contract with an auto company to deliver 500,000 door panels each year, at a price of $20 each. When the company signed this contract, oil sold for $50 per barrel, and oil was expected to stay at that level for the next three years. If oil prices fell, Plastics would have higher than expected profits and free cash flows, but if oil prices rose, profits would fall. Since Plastics’ value depends on its profits and free cash flows, a change in the price of oil would cause shareholders to earn either more or less than they anticipated.

Now suppose Plastics announces that it plans to lock in a three-year supply of oil at a guaranteed price of $50 per barrel, and the cost of getting the guarantee is zero. Would that cause its stock price to rise? At first glance, it seems that the answer should be yes, but maybe that’s not correct. Recall that the value of a stock depends on the present value of its expected future free cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Locking in the cost of oil
will cause an increase in Plastics’ stock price if and only if (1) it causes the expected future free cash flows to increase or (2) it causes the WACC to decline.

Consider first the free cash flows. Before the announcement of guaranteed oil costs, investors had formed an estimate of the expected future free cash flows, based on an expected oil price of $50 per barrel. Therefore, while locking in the cost of oil at $50 per barrel will lower the riskiness of the expected future free cash flows, it might not change the expected size of these cash flows, because investors already expected a price of $50 per barrel. Note, though, that declining cash flows can disrupt a firm’s operation, and that disruption can in turn adversely affect cash flows.

Now what about the WACC? It will change only if locking in the cost of oil causes a change in the cost of debt or equity, or the target capital structure. Assuming the foreseeable increases in the price of oil were not enough to raise the threat of bankruptcy, Plastics’ cost of debt should not change, and neither should its target capital structure. Regarding the cost of equity, recall from Chapter 2 that most investors hold well-diversified portfolios, which means that the cost of equity should depend only on systematic risk. Moreover, even though an increase in oil prices would have a negative effect on Plastics’ stock price, it would not have a negative effect on all stocks. Indeed, oil producers should have higher than expected returns and stock prices. Assuming that Plastics’ investors hold well-diversified portfolios, including stocks of oil-producing companies, there would not appear to be much reason to expect its cost of equity to decrease. The bottom line is this: If Plastics’ expected future cash flows and WACC will not change significantly due to an elimination of the risk of oil price increases, then neither should the value of its stock.

We discuss futures contracts and hedging in detail in the next section, but for now let’s assume that Plastics has not locked in oil prices. Therefore, if oil prices increase, its stock price will fall. However, if its stockholders know this, they can build portfolios that contain oil futures whose values will rise or fall with oil prices and thus offset changes in the price of Plastics’ stock. By choosing the correct amount of futures contracts, investors can thus “hedge” their portfolios and completely eliminate the risk due to changes in oil prices. There will be a cost to hedging, but that cost to large, sophisticated investors should be about the same as the cost to Plastics. Since stockholders can hedge away oil price risk themselves, why should they pay a higher price for Plastics’ stock just because the company itself hedged away the risk?

The points raised above notwithstanding, companies clearly believe that active risk management is important. A 1998 survey reported that 83 percent of firms with market values greater than $1.2 billion engage in risk management, and that percentage is surely much higher today.2 Here are several reasons companies manage risks:

1. **Debt capacity.** Risk management can reduce the volatility of cash flows, and this decreases the probability of bankruptcy. As we discussed in Chapter 15, firms with lower operating risks can use more debt, and this can lead to higher stock prices due to the interest tax savings.

2. **Maintaining the optimal capital budget over time.** Recall from Chapter 15 that firms are reluctant to raise external equity due to high flotation costs and market pressure. This means that the capital budget must generally be

---

financed with debt plus internally generated funds, mainly retained earnings and depreciation. In bad years, internal cash flows may be too low to support the optimal capital budget, causing firms to either slow investment below the optimal rate or else incur the high costs associated with external equity. By smoothing out the cash flows, risk management can alleviate this problem. This issue is most relevant for firms with large growth opportunities. A recent study by Professors Gerald Gay and Jouahn Nam found that such firms do in fact use derivatives more than low-growth firms. Thus, maintaining an optimal capital budget is an important determinant of firms' risk management practices.

3. **Financial distress.** Financial distress—which can range from simply worrying stockholders, to higher interest rates on debt, to customer defections, to bankruptcy—is associated with having cash flows fall below expected levels. Risk management can reduce the likelihood of low cash flows, hence of financial distress.

4. **Comparative advantages in hedging.** Most investors cannot hedge as efficiently as a company. First, firms generally have lower transactions costs due to a larger volume of hedging activities. Second, there is the problem of asymmetric information—managers know more about the firm's risk exposure than outside investors; hence managers can create more effective hedges. And third, effective risk management requires specialized skills and knowledge that firms are more likely to have.

5. **Borrowing costs.** As discussed later in the chapter, firms can sometimes reduce input costs, especially the interest rate on debt, through the use of derivative instruments called “swaps.” Any such cost reduction adds value to the firm.

6. **Tax effects.** Companies with volatile earnings pay more taxes than stable companies due to the treatment of tax credits and the rules governing corporate loss carryforwards and carrybacks. Moreover, if volatile earnings lead to bankruptcy, then tax loss carryforwards are generally lost. Therefore, our tax system encourages risk management to stabilize earnings.

7. **Compensation systems.** Many compensation systems establish “floors” and “ceilings” on bonuses, and also reward managers for meeting targets. To illustrate, suppose a firm’s compensation system calls for a manager to receive no bonus if net income is below $1 million, a bonus of $10,000 if income is between $1 million and $2 million, and one of $20,000 if income is $2 million or more. Moreover, the manager will receive an additional $10,000 if actual income is at least 90 percent of the forecasted level, which is $1 million. Now consider the following two situations. First, if income is stable at $2 million each year, the manager gets a $30,000 bonus each year, for a two-year total of $60,000. However, if income is zero the first year and $4 million the second, the manager gets no bonus the first year and $30,000 the second, for a two-year total of $30,000. So, even though the company has the same total income ($4 million) over the two years, the manager’s bonus is higher if earnings are stable. Therefore, even if hedging does not add much value for stockholders, it may still benefit managers.

Since perhaps the most important aspect of risk management involves derivative securities, the next section explains derivatives, which are securities whose
values are determined by the market price of some other asset. Derivatives include *options*, which we discussed in Chapter 6, whose values depend on the price of some underlying asset; *interest rate and exchange rate futures and swaps*, whose values depend on interest rate and exchange rate levels; and *commodity futures*, whose values depend on commodity prices.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain why finance theory, combined with well-diversified investors and “homemade hedging,” might suggest that risk management should not add much value to a company. List and explain some reasons companies might actually employ risk management techniques.

**BACKGROUND ON DERIVATIVES**

A historical perspective is useful for studying derivatives. One of the first formal markets for derivatives was the futures market for wheat. Farmers were concerned about the price they would receive for their wheat when they sold it in the fall, and millers were concerned about the price they would have to pay. The risks faced by both parties could be reduced if they could establish a price earlier in the year. Accordingly, mill agents would go out to the Wheat Belt with contracts that called for the farmers to deliver grain at a predetermined price. Both parties benefited from the transaction in the sense that their risks were reduced. The farmers could concentrate on growing their crop without worrying about the price of grain, and the millers could concentrate on their milling operations. Thus, *hedging with futures* lowered aggregate risk in the economy.

These early futures dealings were between two parties who arranged transactions between themselves. Soon, though, intermediaries came into the picture, and trading in futures was established. The Chicago Board of Trade was an early marketplace for this dealing, and *futures dealers* helped make a market in futures contracts. Thus, farmers could sell futures on the exchange, and millers could buy them there. This improved the efficiency and lowered the cost of hedging operations.

A third group—*speculators*—soon entered the scene. As we see in the next section, most derivatives, including futures, are highly leveraged, meaning that a small change in the value of the underlying asset will produce a large change in the price of the derivative. This leverage appealed to speculators. At first blush, one might think that the appearance of speculators would increase risk, but this is not true. Speculators add capital and players to the market, and this tends to stabilize the market. Of course, derivatives markets are inherently volatile due to the leverage involved; hence risk to the speculators themselves is high. Still, the speculators bear much of the risk, which makes the derivatives markets more stable for hedgers.

*Natural hedges*, defined as situations in which aggregate risk can be reduced by derivatives transactions between two parties (called *counterparties*), exist for many commodities, for foreign currencies, for interest rates on securities with different maturities, and even for common stocks where investors want to “hedge their bets.” Natural hedges occur when futures are traded between cotton farmers and cotton mills, copper mines and copper fabricators, importers and foreign manufacturers for currency exchange rates, electric utilities and coal mines, and oil producers and oil users. In all such situations, hedging reduces aggregate risk and thus benefits the economy.

Hedging can also be done in situations in which no natural hedge exists. Here one party wants to reduce some type of risk, and another party agrees to write a contract that protects the first party from that specific event or situation. Insurance
is an obvious example of this type of hedge. Note, though, that with nonsymmetric hedges, risks are generally transferred rather than eliminated. Even here, though, insurance companies can reduce certain types of risk through diversification.

The derivatives markets have grown more rapidly than any other major market in recent years, for a number of reasons. First, analytical techniques such as the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, which was discussed in Chapter 6, have been developed to help establish “fair” prices, and having a good, transparent basis for pricing hedges makes the counterparties more comfortable with deals. Second, computers and electronic communications make it much easier for counterparties to deal with one another. Third, globalization has greatly increased the importance of currency markets and the need for reducing the exchange rate risks brought on by global trade. Recent trends and developments are sure to continue if not accelerate, so the use of derivatives for risk management is bound to grow.

Self-Test Questions

What is a “natural hedge”? Give some examples of natural hedges.

List three reasons the derivatives markets have grown more rapidly than any other major market in recent years.

**DERIVATIVES IN THE NEWS**

Although derivatives are becoming more and more important in corporate risk management, they also have a potential downside. These instruments are highly leveraged, so small miscalculations can lead to huge losses. They are also complicated and not very well understood by most people. This makes mistakes more likely than with less complex instruments and, importantly, it makes it harder for a firm’s top management to understand and exercise proper control over derivatives transactions. This potential for miscalculation and misuse has led to some highly publicized losses for some large and well-respected companies in the past several years. Procter & Gamble (P&G), Gibson Greetings, Metallgesellschaft, Barings Bank, Long Term Capital Management (LTCM), Enron, and Orange County, California, all experienced losses that were either attributed to or associated with inappropriate use of derivatives. In the Barings Bank case, one relatively low-level 28-year-old employee operating in the Far East entered into transactions that led to the bankruptcy of Britain’s oldest bank, the institution that held the accounts of the Queen of England. We give a more detailed explanation of the LTCM case and Enron Corporation’s failure below.

**Long Term Capital Management (LTCM)**

The August 29, 1994, cover story of BusinessWeek described the formation of Long Term Capital Management LP (LTCM). The LP stands for limited partnership, and LTCM was a hedge fund set up as a limited partnership. A hedge fund is a money management organization that can invest in essentially any type of asset anywhere in the world, can (and does) sell securities short, can use as much leverage as banks and other lenders will permit, and is essentially unregulated. Originally, hedge funds truly hedged—they bought what they thought were undervalued securities and sold short what they thought were overvalued securities. Now, however, hedge funds also take positions in options and other complex derivatives. Because they are largely unregulated, hedge funds are open only to “sophisticated” investors, generally defined as individuals or institutions whose net worth is in the millions and whose income is in the hundreds of thousands.
LTCM’s chairman, John Meriwether, was perhaps the best-known Wall Street trader. His team, described by BusinessWeek as the “Dream Team,” included other renowned traders, the former vice chairman of the Federal Reserve Board (David Mullins), and two Nobel Prize winners (Myron Scholes, co-inventor of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, and Robert Merton of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology). LTCM quickly attracted about $3 billion of equity capital from a “Who’s Who” of financial leaders and institutions, including the chairmen of Merrill Lynch and Yale University, and it arranged to borrow more than $100 billion to leverage its positions.

The fund then made bets on securities all around the globe, and from 1994 through 1997, it earned huge annual returns—in the vicinity of 50 percent per year. Then, in 1998, the roof caved in. LTCM made a number of leveraged bets that didn’t work out. Most importantly, it bet that there would be a “convergence” of interest rates between risk-free and riskier bonds, that is, that risk premiums would shrink. So, it sold Treasury bonds short and bought risky bonds to the tune of billions of dollars. It also established positions in stocks it thought were undervalued, in Russian securities, in European currencies, and so forth. But LTCM was wrong on almost all counts. Economies around the world began collapsing, leading to a “flight to quality.” This meant that investors started selling risky securities and buying Treasury bonds, which widened bonds’ risk premiums and led away from rather than toward the convergence LTCM was betting on.

With its 33-to-1 leverage, even a small miscalculation would have eroded LTCM’s equity position, and the massive disruption in world markets led to losses of 50 percent per month during the summer of 1998. Worried bankers began to call in their loans, forcing LTCM to sell securities at a loss. Those distressed sales caused the securities’ prices to fall further, which exacerbated the problem. It soon became clear that LTCM would have to default on some of its $100 billion of loans, putting the banks that made the loans at risk. At the same time, other hedge funds began to take hits, and the possibility of a worldwide financial collapse soon loomed. At that point, the Federal Reserve stepped in, twisted some bankers’ arms, and induced the banks to provide $3.6 billion in new equity capital to LTCM and take control. As a result of the massive bailout, LTCM was able to liquidate its portfolio in an orderly fashion over the next 15 months. LTCM returned the entire $3.6 billion to the banks by the end of December 1999. However, LTCM’s original investors lost about 90 percent of their investment.

Enron and Other Energy Traders

Most segments of the electric power industry were deregulated during the 1990s. Previously, all power users were required to buy from their local utility, but after deregulation large users, which account for about 65 percent of electricity usage, could buy from other suppliers. Independent power producers then built plants and began competing with the older utilities. Power users could either buy electricity on the “spot” market at prices that fluctuated depending on supply and demand, or else contract with independent producers to buy at a fixed price for delivery in the future. Thus, the electricity market was transformed from a regulated monopoly into a competitive market that was something akin to the wheat market, where farmers worked with grain merchants to deliver wheat to milling companies.

However, there is a major difference between wheat and electricity—wheat can be stored efficiently, and stored wheat mitigates the effects of supply and demand fluctuations on prices. Electricity cannot be stored, so supply and demand
fluctuations result in wide price swings, which disrupt both users and producers. It did not take long for users and producers to realize that all parties would benefit by hedging with long-term supply contracts at fixed prices. Users would have an assured supply at a known price, and producers would have a guaranteed market for their power. Thus, hedging would help all parties, just as it helped wheat farmers and millers.

Enron Corporation was one of the first companies to get into the electricity trading business. Enron owned a few generating plants, but it operated primarily as a marketer, buying from merchant generators and reselling to large users. Enron would sign a multiyear contract to supply specific amounts of electricity at a fixed price to a customer such as General Motors. For example, it might agree to build a new plant, expecting to produce power at a cost of 3 cents per kilowatt-hour (kWh) and simultaneously contract to sell the plant’s output at 3.1¢/kWh. The 0.1¢/kWh margin would cover administrative costs and provide a “normal” profit. Under those conditions, the PV of the expected revenues would be about equal to the PV of the expected costs, so the NPV of the new plant would be slightly above zero.\(^5\)

Now suppose conditions changed so that the cost of producing power fell below 3.0¢/kWh, say to 2 cents, but the price remained at 3.1 cents. In that case, the expected cash flows from the new plant would rise, causing the contract’s net present value to increase. Enron would report the increase in the contract’s value as profit and add it to operating income. The higher profit would then boost the stock price and trigger executive bonuses.

That would be OK, but Enron cheated. Its executives wanted to report higher profits in order to trigger bonuses and more stock options, so it inflated its profits by forecasting unreasonably high sales prices, unreasonably low purchase costs, and thus unreasonably high profits. It also downplayed the risk inherent in the contracts and discounted the overstated cash flows at unreasonably low discount rates. All of this should have been caught by its auditor, Arthur Andersen, but Andersen let Enron get away with it, resulting in reported profits that were far too high.

Note too that California environmentalists had for years prevented the construction of new plants. The growing demand for power caught up with a fixed supply in 2000, and a shortage resulted. This led to huge price spikes and enormous profits for generators and traders such as Enron. California then relaxed its restrictions on construction, and Enron’s wonderful reported profits attracted other companies into considering construction of new plants and getting into the energy trading business. Some made careful forecasts and concluded that something was fishy because their forecasts did not produce results anywhere close to those reported by Enron. These companies wisely avoided the new market. However, others decided that if Enron could do it, so could they, and they charged ahead with new plant construction, financed primarily with debt.

When all the new capacity came online, it greatly exceeded demand. This led to huge price declines, and the builders of new plants were in trouble. A new plant might have cost $100 million and shown an NPV of $10 million based on output prices when construction began. However, when the new plant came online the new low prices might have lead to an actual NPV of minus $50 million. Similarly, a trading company that had contracted to buy power for a long period at say 4¢/kWh, expecting to sell it in the spot market for 5 cents, might have found that it could only sell the power for 3 cents, and that too would result in a negative NPV.

\(^5\)Similarly, Enron might sign a long-term contract to buy electricity at 3¢/kWh, expecting to sell it on the spot market at 3.1 cents. The initial NPV of the contract would be close to zero. However, if demand for power rose sharply, then the spot price would rise above 3.1 cents, the expected cash flows would rise, and the contract’s NPV would also rise.
for the contract. Those results had to be reflected in the financial statements, so there were massive reported losses and huge write-downs, which lowered stockholder equity on the balance sheet. This, in turn, raised the companies’ debt ratios, lowered their coverage ratios, and generally reduced their financial strength.

No one wants to sign a long-term contract with a party that might default if things go badly, so energy traders must have letters of credit that assure counterparties that they can make good on their contracts. They are required to maintain their financial ratios at specified levels, and if the covenants are violated, they must put up additional collateral. Many could not do so, and that led to bankruptcies.

Some old-line utilities built merchant plants and thus got into trouble. Examples include Duke Power and TECO Energy, both of which saw their stock prices decline by 50 percent or more in 2002. Southern Company, like several other utilities, put its merchant plants and trading business into a separate subsidiary (Mirant Corporation) and then spun it off to Southern’s stockholders. That spin-off insulated Southern from the debacle, so its stock price dropped by only 12 percent during 2002, about the same as the general market. However, Mirant itself experienced a huge drop after the Enron troubles hit, falling from $47.20 to $1.90. If Southern had retained Mirant, it probably would have experienced a decline similar to that of TECO and Duke.

In spite of these problems, the U.S. electric market is not likely to return to a regulated monopoly status. Competition will continue and even increase, and that will lead power users and producers to hedge with forward contracts and other derivatives. Still, the recent problems have taught all participants that while hedging can lower risks, they can also increase risk, so they must be used with care. Also, the Enron problem demonstrates once more that if something looks too good to be true, it probably is. Our conclusion is that energy derivatives are useful and are here to stay, but future participants should be more careful than those in the recent past.6

The LTCM and Enron affairs make the headlines, causing some people to argue that derivatives should be regulated out of existence to “protect the public.” However, derivatives are used far more often to hedge risks than in harmful speculation, and these beneficial transactions never make the headlines. So, while the horror stories point out the need for top managers to exercise control over the personnel who deal with derivatives, they certainly do not justify the elimination of derivatives.

In the balance of this chapter, we discuss how firms can manage risks, and how derivatives are used in risk management.

OTHER TYPES OF DERIVATIVES

We discussed put and call options in Chapter 6. They represent an important class of derivative securities, but there are many other types of derivatives, including forward contracts, futures, swaps, structured notes, inverse floaters, and a host of other “exotic” contracts.

---

6The telecommunications industry experienced even worse problems. Companies such as WorldCom, Quest, and Global Crossing reported tremendous growth and wonderful profits, and that prodded old-line companies such as AT&T to revise their business plans and move aggressively into apparently terrific new markets such as wireless and broadband communications. Most of the expansion was financed with debt. The end result was massive overcapacity—according to reports, in early 2003 only about 20 percent of fiber-optic cable lines were being used. As a result, there have been huge price cuts, lots of fraud, and some major bankruptcies.
Forward Contracts versus Futures Contracts

**Forward contracts** are agreements in which one party agrees to buy a commodity at a specific price on a specific future date and the other party agrees to sell the product. *Goods are actually delivered under forward contracts.* Unless both parties are morally and financially strong, there is a danger that one party will default on the contract, especially if the price of the commodity changes markedly after the agreement is reached.

A **futures contract** is similar to a forward contract, but with three key differences: (1) Futures contracts are “marked to market” on a daily basis, meaning that gains and losses are noted and money must be put up to cover losses. This greatly reduces the risk of default that exists with forward contracts. (2) With futures, physical delivery of the underlying asset is virtually never taken—the two parties simply settle up with cash for the difference between the contracted price and the actual price on the expiration date. (3) Futures contracts are generally standardized instruments that are traded on exchanges, whereas forward contracts are generally tailor-made, are negotiated between two parties, and are not traded after they have been signed.

Futures and forward contracts were originally used for commodities such as wheat, where farmers would sell forward contracts to millers, enabling both parties to lock in prices and thus reduce their risk exposure. Commodities contracts are still important, but today more trading is done in foreign exchange and interest rate futures. To illustrate how foreign exchange contracts are used, suppose GE arranges to buy electric motors from a European manufacturer on terms that call for GE to pay 1 million euros in 180 days. GE would not want to give up the free trade credit, but if the euro appreciated against the dollar during the next six months, the dollar cost of the million euros would rise. GE could hedge the transaction by buying a forward contract under which it agreed to buy the million euros in 180 days at a fixed dollar price. This would lock in the dollar cost of the motors. This transaction would probably be conducted through a money center bank, which would try to find a European company (a “counterparty”) that needed dollars in six months. Alternatively, GE could buy a futures contract on an exchange.

Interest rate futures represent another huge and growing market. For example, suppose Simonset Corporation decides to build a new plant at a cost of $20 million. It plans to finance the project with 20-year bonds that would carry an 8 percent interest rate if they were issued today. However, the company will not need the money for about six months. Simonset could go ahead and sell 20-year bonds now, locking in the 8 percent rate, but it would have the money before it was needed, so it would have to invest in short-term securities that would yield less than 8 percent. However, if Simonset waits six months to sell the bond issue, interest rates might be higher than they are today, in which case the value of the plant would be reduced, perhaps to the point of making it unprofitable.

One solution to Simonset’s dilemma involves interest rate futures, which are based on a hypothetical 20-year Treasury bond with a 6 percent semiannual coupon. If interest rates in the economy go up, the value of the hypothetical T-bond will go down, and vice versa. In our example, Simonset is worried about an increase in interest rates. Should rates rise, the hypothetical Treasury bond’s value would decline. Therefore, Simonset could sell T-bond futures for delivery in six months to hedge its position. If interest rates rise, Simonset will have to pay a higher interest rate when it issues its own bonds. However, it will make a profit on its futures position because it will have presold the bonds at a higher price than it will have to pay to cover (repurchase) them. Of course, if interest rates decline, Simonset will lose on its futures position, but this will be offset by the fact that it will get to pay a lower interest rate when it issues its bonds.
Our examples show that forward contracts and futures can be used to hedge, or reduce, risks. It has been estimated that more than 95 percent of all such transactions are indeed designed as hedges, with banks and futures dealers serving as middlemen between hedging counterparties. Interest rate and exchange rate futures can, of course, be used for speculative as well as hedging purposes. One can buy a T-bond contract on $100,000 of bonds with only $1,735 down, in which case a small change in interest rates will result in a very large gain or loss. Still, the primary motivation behind the vast majority of these transactions is to hedge risks, not to create them.

**Swaps**

A swap is just what the name implies—two parties agree to swap something, generally obligations to make specified payment streams. Most swaps today involve either interest payments or currencies. To illustrate an interest rate swap, suppose Company S has a 20-year, $100 million floating-rate bond outstanding, while Company F has a $100 million, 20-year, fixed-rate issue outstanding. Thus, each company has an obligation to make a stream of interest payments, but one payment stream is fixed while the other will vary as interest rates change in the future. This situation is shown in the top part of Figure 24-1.

Now suppose Company S has stable cash flows, and it wants to lock in its cost of debt. Company F has cash flows that fluctuate with the economy, rising when the economy is strong and falling when it is weak. Recognizing that interest rates also move up and down with the economy, Company F has concluded that it would be better off with variable-rate debt. If the companies swapped their payment obligations, an interest rate swap would occur. The bottom half of Figure 24-1 shows that the net cash flows for Company S are at a fixed rate, and those for Company F are based on a floating rate. Company S would now have to make fixed payments, which are consistent with its stable cash inflows, and Company F would have a floating obligation, which for it is less risky.

Our example illustrates how swaps can reduce risks by allowing each company to match the variability of its interest payments with that of its cash flows. However, there are also situations where swaps can reduce both the riskiness and the effective cost of debt. For example, Antron Corporation, which has a high credit rating, can issue either floating rate debt at LIBOR + 1 percent or fixed-rate debt at 10 percent. Bosworth Industries is less creditworthy, so its cost for floating-rate debt would be LIBOR + 1.5 percent, and its fixed-rate cost would be 10.4 percent. Due to the nature of its operations, Antron’s CFO has decided that it would be better off with fixed-rate debt, while Bosworth’s CFO would prefer floating-rate debt. Paradoxically, both firms can benefit by issuing the type of debt they do not want, and then swapping their payment obligations.

First, each company would issue an identical amount of debt, which is called the notional principal. Even though Antron wants fixed-rate debt, it issues floating-rate debt at LIBOR + 1 percent, and Bosworth issues fixed-rate debt at 10.4 percent. Next, the two companies enter into an interest rate swap. Assume that the debt maturities are five years; hence the length of this swap will also be

---

2LIBOR stands for the London Interbank Offer Rate, the rate charged on interbank dollar loans in the Eurodollar market.

8Actually, such transactions are generally arranged by large money center banks, and payments are made to the bank, which in turn pays the interest on the original loans. The bank assumes the credit risk and guarantees the payments should one of the parties default. For its services, the bank receives a percentage of the payments as its fee.
five years. By convention, the floating-rate payments of most swaps are based on LIBOR, with the fixed rate adjusted upward or downward to reflect credit risk and the term structure. The riskier the company that will receive the floating-rate payments, the higher the fixed-rate payment it must make. In our example, Antron will be receiving floating-rate payments from Bosworth, and those payments will be set at LIBOR times the notional principal. Then, payments will be adjusted every six months to reflect changes in the LIBOR rate.

The fixed payment that Antron must make to Bosworth is set (that is, “fixed”) for the duration of the swap at the time the contract is signed, and it

\[ \text{Note: In Panel a, Company S must make floating-rate payments out of its own internal cash flows, but in Panel b, it uses the floating payments from Company F to pay its bondholders. Company F has a reversed position. After the swap, S has de facto fixed payments, which are consistent with its stable internal flows, and F has floating payments, which are consistent with its fluctuating flows.} \]
depends primarily on two factors: (1) the level of fixed interest rates at the time of the agreement and (2) the relative creditworthiness of the two companies.

In our example, assume that interest rates and creditworthiness are such that 8.95 percent is the appropriate fixed swap rate for Antron, so it will make 8.95 percent fixed-rate payments to Bosworth. Bosworth, in turn, will pay the LIBOR rate to Antron. Table 24-1 shows the net rates paid by each participant, and Figure 24-2 graphs the flows. Note that Antron ends up making fixed payments, which it desires, but because of the swap, the rate paid is 9.95 percent versus the 10 percent rate it would have paid had it issued fixed-rate debt directly. At the same time, the swap leaves Bosworth with floating-rate debt, which it wanted, but at a rate of LIBOR + 1.45 percent versus the LIBOR + 1.50 percent it would have paid on directly issued floating-rate debt. As the example illustrates, swaps can sometimes lower the interest rate paid by each party.

**Currency swaps** are similar to interest rate swaps. To illustrate, suppose Company A, an American firm, had issued $100 million of dollar-denominated

---

**Table 24-1** *Anatomy of an Interest Rate Swap*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antron’s Payments: Borrows Floating, Swaps for Fixed</th>
<th>Bosworth’s Payments: Borrows Fixed, Swaps for Floating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payment to lender</td>
<td>Payment to lender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-(LIBOR + 1%)</td>
<td>-10.40% fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment from Bosworth</td>
<td>Payment from Antron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+(LIBOR)</td>
<td>+8.95% fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment to Bosworth</td>
<td>Payment to Antron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8.95% fixed</td>
<td>-(LIBOR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net payment by Antron</td>
<td>Net payment by Bosworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9.95% fixed</td>
<td>-(LIBOR + 1.45%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 24-2** *The Antron/Bosworth Swap*
bonds in the United States to fund an investment in Germany. Meanwhile, Company G, a German firm, had issued $100 million of euro-denominated bonds in Germany to make an investment in the United States. Company A would earn euros but be required to make payments in dollars, and Company G would be in a reverse situation. Thus, both companies would be exposed to exchange rate risk. However, both companies’ risks would be eliminated if they swapped payment obligations.

Originally, swaps were arranged between companies by money center banks, which would match up counterparties. Such matching still occurs, but today most swaps are between companies and banks, with the banks then taking steps to ensure that their own risks are hedged. For example, Citibank might arrange a swap with Company A, which would agree to make specified payments in euros to the bank, and the bank would make the dollar payments Company A would otherwise owe. Citibank would charge a fee for setting up the swap, and these charges would reflect the creditworthiness of Company A. To protect itself against exchange rate movements, the bank would hedge its position, either by lining up a European company that needed to make dollar payments or else by using currency futures.

Structured Notes

The term structured note often means a debt obligation that is derived from some other debt obligation. For example, in the early 1980s, investment bankers began buying large blocks of 30-year, noncallable Treasury bonds and then stripping them to create a series of zero coupon bonds. The zero with the shortest maturity was backed by the first interest payment on the T-bond issue, the second shortest zero was backed by the next interest payment, and so forth, on out to a 30-year zero backed by the last interest payment plus the maturity value of the T-bond. Zeros formed by stripping T-bonds were one of the first types of structured notes.

Another important type of structured note is backed by the interest and principal payments on mortgages. In the 1970s, Wall Street firms began to buy large packages of mortgages backed by federal agencies, and then they placed these packages, or “pools,” with a trustee. Then bonds called Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs), backed by the mortgage pool held in trust, were sold to pension funds, individuals for their IRAs, and other investors who were willing to invest in CMOs but who would not have purchased individual mortgages. This securitization of mortgages made billions of dollars of new capital available to home buyers.

CMOs are more difficult to evaluate than straight bonds for several reasons. First, the underlying mortgages can be prepaid at any time, and when this occurs the prepayment proceeds are used to retire part of the CMO debt itself. Therefore, the holder of a CMO is never sure when his or her bond will be called. This situation is further complicated by the fact that when interest rates decline, this normally causes bond prices to rise. However, declining rates also lead to mortgage prepayments, which cause the CMOs to be called especially rapidly, and it is not good to have bonds called and have to reinvest funds at a lower rate. These opposing forces make it difficult to value CMOs.

It should also be noted that a variety of structured notes can be created, ranging from notes whose cash flows can be predicted with virtual certainty to other notes whose payment streams are highly uncertain. For example, investment bankers can (and do) create notes called IOs (for Interest Only), which provide
cash flows from the interest component of the mortgage amortization payments, and POs (for Principal Only), which are paid from the principal repayment stream. In each case, the value of the note is found as the present value of an expected payment stream, but the length and size of the stream are uncertain. Suppose, for example, that you are offered an IO that you expect to provide payments of $100 for 10 years (you expect the mortgages to be refinanced after 10 years, at which time your payments will cease). Suppose further that you discount the expected payment stream at a rate of 10 percent and determine that the value is $614.46. You have $614.46 to invest, so you buy the IO, expecting to earn 10 percent on your money.

Now suppose interest rates decline. If rates fall, the discount rate would drop, and that would normally imply an increase in the IO’s value. However, if rates decline sharply, this would lead to a rash of mortgage refinancings, in which case your payments, which come from interest only, would cease (or be greatly reduced), and the value of your IO would fall sharply. On the other hand, a sharp increase in interest rates would reduce refinancing, lengthen your expected payment stream, and probably increase the value of your IO.

Investment bankers can slice and dice a pool of mortgages into a bewildering array of structured notes, ranging from “plain vanilla” ones with highly predictable cash flows to “exotic” ones (sometimes called “toxic waste”) whose risks are almost incalculable but are surely large.

Securitizing mortgages through CMOs serves a useful economic function—it provides an investment outlet for pension funds and others with money to invest, and it makes more money available to homeowners at a reasonable cost. Also, some investors want relatively safe investments, while others are willing to buy more speculative securities for the higher expected returns they provide. Structured notes permit a partitioning of risks to give investors what they want. There are dangers, though. In some cases the “toxic waste” is bought by naive officials managing money for local governments like Orange County, California, when they really ought to be holding only safe securities.

**Inverse Floaters**

A floating-rate note has an interest rate that rises and falls with some interest rate index. For example, the interest rate on a $100,000 note at prime plus 1 percent would be 9.5 percent when the prime rate is 8.5 percent, and the note’s rate would move up and down with the prime rate. Because both the cash flows associated with the note and the discount rate used to value it rise and fall together, the market value of the note would be relatively stable.

With an inverse floater, the rate paid on the note moves counter to market rates. Thus, if interest rates in the economy rise, the interest rate paid on an inverse floater will fall, lowering its cash interest payments. At the same time, the discount rate used to value the inverse floater’s cash flows will rise along with other rates. The combined effect of lower cash flows and a higher discount rate leads to a very large decline in the value of the inverse floater. Thus, inverse floaters are exceptionally vulnerable to increases in interest rates. Of course, if interest rates fall, the value of an inverse floater will soar.

Could an inverse floater be used for hedging purposes? The answer is “yes, perhaps quite effectively.” These securities have a magnified effect, so not many are required to hedge a given position. However, because they are so volatile, they could make what is supposed to be a hedged position actually quite risky.
We have discussed the most important types of derivative securities, but certainly not all types. This discussion should, though, give you a good idea of how and why derivatives are created, and how they can be used and misused.

Self-Test Question

Briefly describe the following types of derivative securities:

1. Futures and forward contracts.
2. Swaps.
3. Structured notes and CMOs.
4. Inverse floaters.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As businesses become increasingly complex, it is becoming more and more difficult for CEOs and directors to know what problems might lie in wait. Therefore, companies need to have someone systematically look for potential problems and design safeguards to minimize potential damage. With this in mind, most larger firms have a designated “risk manager” who reports to the chief financial officer, while the CFOs of smaller firms personally assume risk management responsibilities. In any event, risk management is becoming increasingly important, and it is something finance students should understand. Therefore, in the remainder of this chapter we discuss the basics of risk management, with particular emphasis on how derivatives can be used to hedge financial risks.

FUNDAMENTALS OF RISK MANAGEMENT

It is useful to begin our discussion of risk management by defining some commonly used terms that describe different risks. Some of these risks can be mitigated, or managed, and that is what risk management is all about.

1. Pure risks are risks that offer only the prospect of a loss. Examples include the risk that a plant will be destroyed by fire or that a product liability suit will result in a large judgment against the firm.
2. Speculative risks are situations that offer the chance of a gain but might result in a loss. Investments in new projects and marketable securities involve speculative risks.
3. Demand risks are associated with the demand for a firm’s products or services. Because sales are essential to all businesses, demand risk is one of the most significant risks that firms face.
4. Input risks are risks associated with input costs, including both labor and materials. Thus, a company that uses copper as a raw material in its manufacturing process faces the risk that the cost of copper will increase and that it will not be able to pass this increase on to its customers.
5. Financial risks are risks that result from financial transactions. As we have seen, if a firm plans to issue new bonds, it faces the risk that interest rates will rise before the bonds can be brought to market. Similarly, if the firm enters into contracts with foreign customers or suppliers, it faces the risk that fluctuations in exchange rates will result in unanticipated losses.
6. Property risks are associated with destruction of productive assets. Thus, the threats of fire, floods, and riots impose property risks on a firm.
7. **Personnel risks** are risks that result from employees’ actions. Examples include the risks associated with employee fraud or embezzlement, or suits based on charges of age or sex discrimination.

8. **Environmental risks** include risks associated with polluting the environment. Public awareness in recent years, coupled with the huge costs of environmental cleanup, has increased the importance of this risk.

9. **Liability risks** are associated with product, service, or employee actions. Examples include the very large judgments assessed against asbestos manufacturers and some health care providers, as well as costs incurred as a result of improper actions of employees, such as driving corporate vehicles in a reckless manner.

10. **Insurable risks** are risks that can be covered by insurance. In general, property, personnel, environmental, and liability risks can be transferred to insurance companies. Note, though, that the ability to insure a risk does not necessarily mean that the risk should be insured. Indeed, a major function of risk management involves evaluating all alternatives for managing a particular risk, including self-insurance, and then choosing the optimal alternative.

Note that the risk classifications we used are somewhat arbitrary, and different classifications are commonly used in different industries. However, the list does give an idea of the wide variety of risks to which a firm can be exposed.

**An Approach to Risk Management**

Firms often use the following process for managing risks:

1. **Identify the risks faced by the firm.** Here the risk manager identifies the potential risks faced by his or her firm. (See the box entitled, “Microsoft’s Goal: Manage Every Risk!”)

2. **Measure the potential effect of each risk.** Some risks are so small as to be immaterial, whereas others have the potential for dooming the company. It is useful to segregate risks by potential effect and then to focus on the most serious threats.

3. **Decide how each relevant risk should be handled.** In most situations, risk exposure can be reduced through one of the following techniques:
   a. **Transfer the risk to an insurance company.** Often, it is advantageous to insure against, hence transfer, a risk. However, insurability does not necessarily mean that a risk should be covered by insurance. In many instances, it might be better for the company to self-insure, which means bearing the risk directly rather than paying another party to bear it.
   b. **Transfer the function that produces the risk to a third party.** For example, suppose a furniture manufacturer is concerned about potential liabilities arising from its ownership of a fleet of trucks used to transfer products from its manufacturing plant to various points across the country. One way to eliminate this risk would be to contract with a trucking company to do the shipping, thus passing the risks to a third party.
   c. **Purchase derivative contracts to reduce risk.** As we indicated earlier, firms use derivatives to hedge risks. Commodity derivatives can be used to reduce input risks. For example, a cereal company may use corn or wheat futures to hedge against increases in grain prices. Similarly, financial derivatives can be used to reduce risks that arise from changes in interest rates and exchange rates.
Twenty years ago, risk management meant buying insurance against fire, theft, and liability losses. Today, though, due to globalization, volatile markets, and a host of lawyers looking for someone to sue, a multitude of risks can adversely affect companies. Microsoft addressed these risks by creating a virtual consulting practice, called Microsoft Risk Co., to help manage the risks faced by its sales, operations, and product groups.

In a recent article in *CFO*, Scott Lange, head of Microsoft Risk, identified these 12 major sources of risk:

1. **Business partners** (interdependency, confidentiality, cultural conflict, contractual risks).
2. **Competition** (market share, price wars, industrial espionage, antitrust allegations, etc.).
3. **Customers** (product liability, credit risk, poor market timing, inadequate customer support).
4. **Distribution systems** (transportation, service availability, cost, dependence on distributors).
5. **Financial** (foreign exchange, portfolio, cash, interest rate, stock market).
6. **Operations** (facilities, contractual risks, natural hazards, internal processes and control).
7. **People** (employees, independent contractors, training, staffing inadequacy).
8. **Political** (civil unrest, war, terrorism, enforcement of intellectual property rights, change in leadership, revised economic policies).
9. **Regulatory and legislative** (antitrust, export licensing, jurisdiction, reporting and compliance, environmental).
10. **Reputations** (corporate image, brands, reputations of key employees).
11. **Strategic** (mergers and acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances, resource allocation and planning, organizational agility).
12. **Technological** (complexity, obsolescence, the year 2000 problem, virus attacks, workforce skill-sets).

According to Lange, it is important to resist the idea that risk should be categorized by how the insurance industry views it. Insurance coverage lines are a tiny subset of the risks a modern enterprise faces in the pursuit of its business objectives. He also defined the role of finance in risk management: The role of finance is to put on paper all the risks that can be identified and to try to quantify them. When possible, use a number—one number, perhaps, or a probability distribution. For example, what is the probability of losing $1 million on a product, or $10 million? At Microsoft, the finance department works with the product groups to determine the exposure. “We try to use common sense,” Lange says.

In many ways risk management mirrors the quality movement of the 1980s and 1990s. The goal of the quality movement was to take the responsibility for quality out of a separate Quality Control Department and to make all managers and employees responsible for quality. Lange has a similar goal for Microsoft—to have risk management permeate the thinking of all Microsoft managers and employees.


---

d. **Reduce the probability of occurrence of an adverse event.** The expected loss arising from any risk is a function of both the probability of occurrence and the dollar loss if the adverse event occurs. In some instances, it is possible to reduce the probability that an adverse event will occur. For example, the probability that a fire will occur can be reduced by instituting a fire prevention program, by replac-
ing old electrical wiring, and by using fire-resistant materials in areas with
the greatest fire potential.

e. **Reduce the magnitude of the loss associated with an adverse event.** Continuing with the fire risk example, the dollar cost associated with a fire can be reduced by such actions as installing sprinkler systems, designing facilities with self-contained fire zones, and locating facilities close to a fire station.

f. **Totally avoid the activity that gives rise to the risk.** For example, a company might discontinue a product or service line because the risks outweigh the rewards, as with the decision by Dow-Corning to discontinue its manufacture of silicon breast implants.

Note that risk management decisions, like all corporate decisions, should be based on a cost/benefit analysis for each feasible alternative. For example, suppose it would cost $50,000 per year to conduct a comprehensive fire safety training program for all personnel in a high-risk plant. Presumably, this program would reduce the expected value of future fire losses. An alternative to the training program would be to place $50,000 annually in a reserve fund set aside to cover future fire losses. Both alternatives involve expected cash flows, and from an economic standpoint the choice should be made on the basis of the lowest present value of future costs. Thus, the same financial management techniques applied to other corporate decisions can also be applied to risk management decisions. Note, though, that if a fire occurs and a life is lost, the trade-off between fire prevention and expected losses may not sit well with a jury. The same thing holds true for product liability, as Firestone, Ford, GM, and others have learned.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define the following terms: (1) pure risks, (2) speculative risks, (3) demand risks, (4) input risks, (5) financial risks, (6) property risks, (7) personnel risks, (8) environmental risks, (9) liability risks, (10) insurable risks, and (11) self-insurance.

Should a firm insure itself against all of the insurable risks it faces? Explain.

**USING DERIVATIVES TO REDUCE RISKS**

Firms are subject to numerous risks related to interest rate, stock price, and exchange rate fluctuations in the financial markets. For an investor, one of the most obvious ways to reduce financial risks is to hold a broadly diversified portfolio of stocks and debt securities, including international securities and debt of varying maturities. However, derivatives can also be used to reduce the risks associated with financial and commodity markets.9

**Hedging with Futures**

One of the most useful tools for reducing interest rate, exchange rate, and commodity risk is to hedge in the futures markets. Most financial and real asset transactions occur in what is known as the *spot*, or *cash*, *market*, where the asset is delivered immediately (or within a few days). *Futures*, or *futures contracts*, on the other hand, call for the purchase or sale of an asset at some future date, but at a price that is fixed today.

---

9In Chapter 27, we discuss both the risks involved with holding foreign currencies and procedures for reducing such risks.
Today, futures contracts are available on hundreds of real and financial assets traded on dozens of U.S. and international exchanges, the largest of which are the Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT) and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME). Futures contracts are divided into two classes, commodity futures and financial futures. Commodity futures, which cover oil, various grains, oilseeds, livestock, meats, fibers, metals, and wood, were first traded in the United States in the mid-1800s. Financial futures, which were first traded in 1975, include Treasury bills, notes, bonds, certificates of deposit, Eurodollar deposits, foreign currencies, and stock indexes.

To illustrate how futures contracts work, consider the CBOT’s contract on Treasury bonds. The basic contract is for $100,000 of a hypothetical 6 percent coupon, semiannual payment Treasury bond with 20 years to maturity. Table 24-2 shows Treasury bond futures data from the Chicago Board of Trade.

The first column of the table gives the delivery month, and the next two columns give the last price of the day and the change in price from the previous day. For example, the last price for the December 2005 contract, 115-30, means 115 plus 30/32, or 115.9375 percent of par. This is often called the settlement price. The change was +0-23, which means the December 2005 contract’s last price of the day was 23/32 higher than the previous day’s last trade, which must have been at 115-07. The next three columns show the opening, high, and low prices for that contract on that day. Column 7 shows the day’s estimated trading volume. Notice that most of the trading occurs in the contract with the nearest delivery date. Finally, Column 8 shows the “open interest,” which is the number of contracts outstanding.

To illustrate, we focus on the Treasury bonds for December delivery. The settlement price was 115.9375 percent of the $100,000 contract value. Thus, the price at which one could buy $100,000 face value of 6 percent, 20-year Treasury bonds to be delivered in December was 115.9375 percent of par, or 115.9375($100,000) = $115,937.50. The contract price increased by 23/32 of 1 percent of $100,000 from the previous day, so if you had bought the contract yesterday, you would have made $718.75. There were 505 contracts outstanding, representing a total value of about $58.5 million.

Note that the contract increased by 23/32 of a percent on this particular day. Why would the value of the bond futures contract increase? Bond prices increase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Month</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Estimated Volume</th>
<th>Open Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2005</td>
<td>116-22</td>
<td>+0-23</td>
<td>115-25</td>
<td>116-29</td>
<td>115-23</td>
<td>42,460</td>
<td>654,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2005</td>
<td>116-16</td>
<td>+0-23</td>
<td>115-21</td>
<td>116-23</td>
<td>115-18</td>
<td>11,783</td>
<td>96,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2005</td>
<td>115-30</td>
<td>+0-23</td>
<td>115-00</td>
<td>116-02</td>
<td>115-00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2006</td>
<td>115-17</td>
<td>+0-23</td>
<td>115-17</td>
<td>115-17</td>
<td>115-17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

10The coupon rate on the hypothetical bond was changed to 6 percent from 8 percent in March 2000.
when interest rates fall, so interest rates must have fallen on that day. Moreover, we can calculate the implied rates inherent in the futures contracts. Recall that the contract relates to a hypothetical 20-year, semiannual payment, 6 percent coupon bond. The settlement price was 115.9375 percent of par. Using a financial calculator, we can solve for $r_d$ in the following equation:

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{40} \frac{30}{(1 + r_d/2)^t} + \frac{1,000}{(1 + r_d/2)^{40}} = 1,159.375
$$

The solution value for the six-month rate is 2.3781, which is equivalent to a nominal annual rate of 4.7562 percent, or approximately 4.76 percent. The previous day’s last (settlement) price of 115 and 7/32 implies an annual yield of 4.81 percent. Therefore, interest rates fell by about five basis points, but that was enough to increase the value of the contract by $718.75.

Thus, the futures contract for December delivery of this hypothetical bond sold for $115,937.50 for 100 bonds with a par value of $100,000, which translates to a yield to maturity of about 4.76 percent. This yield reflects investors’ beliefs about what the interest rate level will be in December. The spot yield on T-bonds was about 4.46 percent at the time, so the marginal trader in the futures market was predicting a 30-basis-point increase in yields over the next seven months. That prediction could, of course, turn out to be incorrect.

Now suppose that three months later interest rates in the futures market had fallen from the earlier levels, say, from 4.76 to 4.26 percent. Falling interest rates mean rising bond prices, and the December contract would then be worth about $123,265.58. Thus, the contract’s value would have increased by $123,265.58 – $115,937.50 = $7,328.

When futures contracts are purchased, the purchaser does not have to put up the full amount of the purchase price; rather, the purchaser is required to post an initial margin, which for CBOT Treasury bond contracts is $1,735 per $100,000 contract. However, investors are required to maintain a certain value in the margin account, called a maintenance margin. If the value of the contract declines, then the owner may be required to add additional funds to the margin account, and the more the contract value falls, the more money must be added. The value of the contract is checked at the end of every working day, and margin account adjustments are made at that time. This is called “marking to market.” If an investor purchased our illustrative contract and then sold it later for $123,265.58, he or she would have made a profit of $7,328 on a $1,735 investment, or a return of 322 percent in only three months. It is clear, therefore, that futures contracts offer a considerable amount of leverage. Of course, if interest rates had risen, then the value of the contract would have fallen, and the investor could easily have lost his or her $1,735, or more. Futures contracts are rarely settled by delivery of the securities involved. Rather, the transaction is completed by reversing the trade, which amounts to selling the contract back to the original seller. The actual gains and losses on the contract are realized when the futures contract is closed.

---

11The buyers and sellers of most financial futures contracts do not actually trade with one another—each trader’s contractual obligation is with a futures exchange. This feature helps to guarantee the fiscal integrity of the trade. Incidentally, commodities futures traded on the exchanges are settled in the same way as financial futures, but in the case of commodities much of the contracting is done off the exchange, between farmers and processors, as forward contracts, in which case actual deliveries occur.
Futures contracts and options are similar to one another—so similar that people often confuse the two. Therefore, it is useful to compare the two instruments. A *futures contract* is a definite agreement on the part of one party to buy something on a specific date and at a specific price, and the other party agrees to sell on the same terms. No matter how low or how high the price goes, the two parties must settle the contract at the agreed-upon price. An *option*, on the other hand, gives someone the right to buy (call) or sell (put) an asset, but the holder of the option does not have to complete the transaction. Note also that options exist both for individual stocks and for “bundles” of stocks such as those in the S&P and *Value Line* indexes, but generally not for commodities. Futures, on the other hand, are used for commodities, debt securities, and stock indexes. The two types of instruments can be used for the same purposes. One is not necessarily better or worse than another—they are simply different.

**Security Price Exposure**

All investors are exposed to losses due to changes in security prices when securities are held in investment portfolios, and firms are also exposed during times when securities are being issued. In addition, firms are exposed to risk if they use floating-rate debt to finance an investment that produces a fixed income stream. Risks such as these can often be mitigated by using derivatives. As we discussed earlier, derivatives are securities whose value stems, or is derived, from the values of other assets. Thus, options and futures contracts are derivatives, because their values depend on the prices of some underlying asset. Now we will explore further the use of two types of derivatives, futures and swaps, to help manage certain types of risk.

**Futures** Futures are used for both speculation and hedging. *Speculation* involves betting on future price movements, and futures are used because of the leverage inherent in the contract. *Hedging*, on the other hand, is done by a firm or individual to protect against a price change that would otherwise negatively affect profits. For example, rising interest rates and commodity (raw material) prices can hurt profits, as can adverse currency fluctuations. If two parties have mirror-image risks, then they can enter into a transaction that eliminates, as opposed to transfers, risks. This is a “natural hedge.” Of course, one party to a futures contract could be a speculator, the other a hedger. Thus, to the extent that speculators broaden the market and make hedging possible, they help decrease risk to those who seek to avoid it.

There are two basic types of hedges: (1) **long hedges**, in which futures contracts are *bought* in anticipation of (or to guard against) price increases, and (2) **short hedges**, where a firm or individual *sells* futures contracts to guard against price declines. Recall that rising interest rates lower bond prices and thus decrease the value of bond futures contracts. Therefore, if a firm or individual needs to guard against an *increase* in interest rates, a futures contract that makes money if rates rise should be used. That means selling, or going short, on a futures contract. To illustrate, assume that in May Carson Foods is considering a plan to issue $10,000,000 of 20-year bonds in December to finance a capital expenditure program. The interest rate would be 9 percent if the bonds were issued today, and at that rate the project would have a positive NPV. However, interest rates may rise,
and when the issue is actually sold, the interest rate might be substantially above 9 percent, which would make the project a bad investment. Carson can protect itself against a rise in rates by hedging in the futures market.

In this situation, Carson would be hurt by an increase in interest rates, so it would use a short hedge. It would choose a futures contract on that security most similar to the one it plans to issue, long-term bonds, and so would probably hedge with December Treasury bond futures. We can see from Table 24-2 that each December contract has a value of 115 plus \( \frac{30}{32} \) percent, so the total value of one contract is $115,937.50. Because it plans to issue $10,000,000 of bonds, and because each contract is worth $115,937.50, Carson will sell 86 contracts for delivery in December. It will have to put up 86($1,735) = $149,210 in margin money and also pay brokerage commissions. The total value of the 86 contracts is 86($115,937.50) = $9,970,625, which is very close to the value of the bonds Carson wants to issue.

Now suppose renewed fears of inflation push the interest rate on Carson’s debt up by 100 basis points, to 10 percent, over the next eight months. If Carson issued 9 percent coupon bonds, they would bring only $9,142,046, because investors now require a 10 percent return. Thus, Carson would lose $857,954 as a result of delaying the financing. However, the increase in interest rates would also bring about a change in the value of Carson’s short position in the futures market. Since interest rates have increased, the value of the futures contract would fall,
and if the interest rate on the futures contract also increased by the same full percentage point, from 4.76 to 5.76 percent, the contract value would fall to $102,874.60 per contract for a total of $8,847,216 for the 86 contracts. Carson would then close its position in the futures market by repurchasing for $8,847,216 the contracts that it earlier sold short for $9,970,625, giving it a profit of $1,123,409, less commissions.

Thus, Carson would, if we ignore commissions and the opportunity cost of the margin money, offset the loss on the bond issue. In fact, in our example Carson more than offsets the loss, pocketing an additional $265,455. Of course, if interest rates had fallen, Carson would have lost on its futures position, but this loss would have been offset by the fact that Carson could now sell its bonds with a lower coupon.

If futures contracts existed on Carson’s own debt, and interest rates moved identically in the spot and futures markets, then the firm could construct a perfect hedge, in which gains on the futures contract would exactly offset losses on the bonds. In reality, it is virtually impossible to construct perfect hedges, because in most cases the underlying asset is not identical to the futures asset, and even when they are, prices (and interest rates) may not move exactly together in the spot and futures markets.

Note too that if Carson had been planning an equity offering, and if its stock tended to move fairly closely with one of the stock indexes, the company could have hedged against falling stock prices by selling short the index future. Even better, if options on Carson’s stock were traded in the options market, then it could use options rather than futures to hedge against falling stock prices.

The futures and options markets permit flexibility in the timing of financial transactions, because the firm can be protected, at least partially, against changes that occur between the time a decision is reached and the time when the transaction will be completed. However, this protection has a cost—the firm must pay commissions. Whether or not the protection is worth the cost is a matter of judgment. The decision to hedge also depends on management’s risk aversion as well as the company’s strength and ability to assume the risk in question. In theory, the reduction in risk resulting from a hedge transaction should have a value exactly equal to the cost of the hedge. Thus, a firm should be indifferent to hedging. However, many firms believe that hedging is worthwhile. Trammell Crow, a large Texas real estate developer, recently used T-bill futures to lock in interest costs on floating-rate construction loans, while Dart & Kraft used Eurodollar futures to protect its marketable securities portfolio. Merrill Lynch, Salomon Smith Barney, and the other investment banking houses hedge in the futures and options markets to protect themselves when they are engaged in major underwritings.

**Swaps** A swap is another method for reducing financial risks. As we noted earlier, a swap is an exchange of cash payment obligations in which each party prefers the payment type or pattern of the other party.12 Generally, one party has a fixed-rate obligation and the other a floating-rate obligation, or one has an obligation denominated in one currency and the other in another currency.

---

Major changes have occurred over time in the swaps market. First, standardized contracts have been developed for the most common types of swaps, and this has had two effects: (1) Standardized contracts lower the time and effort involved in arranging swaps, and this lowers transactions costs. (2) The development of standardized contracts has led to a secondary market for swaps, which has increased the liquidity and efficiency of the swaps market. A number of international banks now make markets in swaps and offer quotes on several standard types. Also, as noted above, the banks now take counterparty positions in swaps, so it is not necessary to find another firm with mirror-image needs before a swap transaction can be completed. The bank would generally find a final counterparty for the swap at a later date, so its positioning helps make the swap market more operationally efficient.13

To further illustrate a swap transaction, consider the following situation. An electric utility recently issued a five-year floating-rate note tied to the prime rate. The prime rate could rise significantly over the period, so the note carries a high degree of interest rate risk. The utility could, however, enter into a swap with a counterparty, say, Citibank, wherein the utility would pay Citibank a fixed series of interest payments over the five-year period, and Citibank would make the company’s required floating-rate payments. As a result, the utility would have converted a floating-rate loan to a fixed-rate loan, and the risk of rising interest rates would have been passed from the utility to Citibank. Such a transaction can lower both parties’ risks—because banks’ revenues rise as interest rates rise, Citibank’s risk would actually be lower if it had floating-rate obligations.

Longer-term swaps can also be made. Recently, Citibank entered into a 17-year swap in an electricity cogeneration project financing deal. The project’s sponsors were unable to obtain fixed-rate financing on reasonable terms, and they were afraid that interest rates would increase and make the project unprofitable. The project’s sponsors were, however, able to borrow from local banks on a floating-rate basis and then arrange a simultaneous swap with Citibank for a fixed-rate obligation.

Commodity Price Exposure

As we noted earlier, futures markets were established for many commodities long before they began to be used as financial instruments. We can use Porter Electronics, which uses large quantities of copper as well as several precious metals, to illustrate inventory hedging. Suppose that in May 2006, Porter foresaw a need for 100,000 pounds of copper in March 2007 for use in fulfilling a fixed price contract to supply solar power cells to the U.S. government. Porter’s managers are concerned that a strike by Chilean copper miners will occur, which could raise the price of copper in world markets and possibly turn the expected profit into a loss.

Porter could, of course, go ahead and buy the copper that it will need to fulfill the contract, but if it does it will incur substantial carrying costs. As an alternative,

---

13The role of banks in the global swap market is worrisome to the Federal Reserve and other central banks. When banks take positions in swaps, they are themselves exposed to various risks, and if the counterparties cannot meet their obligations, a bank could suddenly become liable for making two sets of payments. Further, swaps are “off-balance-sheet” transactions, so it is currently impossible to tell just how large the swap market is or who has what obligation. The fear is that if one large multinational bank gets into trouble, the entire worldwide swap market could collapse like a house of cards. See “Swap Fever: Big Money, Big Risks,” *Fortune*, June 1, 1992.
the company could hedge against increasing copper prices in the futures market. The New York Commodity Exchange trades standard copper futures contracts of 25,000 pounds each. Thus, Porter could buy four contracts (go long) for delivery in March 2007. Assume that these contracts were trading in May for about $1.00 per pound, and that the spot price at that date was about $1.02 per pound. If copper prices do rise appreciably over the next ten months, the value of Porter’s long position in copper futures would increase, thus offsetting some of the price increase in the commodity itself. Of course, if copper prices fall, Porter would lose money on its futures contracts, but the company would be buying the copper on the spot market at a cheaper price, so it would make a higher-than-anticipated profit on its sale of solar cells. Thus, hedging in the copper futures market locks in the cost of raw materials and removes some risk to which the firm would otherwise be exposed.

Eastman Kodak uses silver futures to hedge against short-term increases in the price of silver, which is the primary ingredient in black-and-white film. Many other manufacturers, such as Alcoa with aluminum and Archer Daniels Midland with grains, routinely use the futures markets to reduce the risks associated with price volatility.

The Use and Misuse of Derivatives

Most of the news stories about derivatives are related to financial disasters. Much less is heard about the benefits of derivatives. However, because of these benefits, more than 83 percent of large U.S. companies use derivatives on a regular basis. In today’s market, sophisticated investors and analysts are demanding that firms use derivatives to hedge certain risks. For example, Compaq Computer was sued by a shareholder group for failing to properly hedge its foreign exchange exposure. The shareholders lost the suit, but Compaq got the message and now uses currency futures to hedge its international operations. In another example, Prudential Securities reduced its earnings estimate for Cone Mills, a North Carolina textile company, because Cone did not sufficiently hedge its exposure to changing cotton prices. These examples lead to one conclusion: If a company can safely and inexpensively hedge its risks, it should do so.

There can, however, be a downside to the use of derivatives. Hedging is invariably cited by authorities as a “good” use of derivatives, whereas speculating with derivatives is often cited as a “bad” use. Some people and organizations can afford to bear the risks involved in speculating with derivatives, but others are either not sufficiently knowledgeable about the risks or else should not be taking those risks in the first place. Most would agree that the typical corporation should use derivatives only to hedge risks, not to speculate in an effort to increase profits. Hedging allows a manager to concentrate on running his or her core business without having to worry about interest rate, currency, and commodity price variability. However, big problems can arise if hedges are improperly constructed or if a corporate treasurer, eager to report relatively high returns, uses derivatives for speculative purposes.

One interesting example of a derivatives debacle involved Kashima Oil, a Japanese firm that imports oil. It pays with U.S. dollars but then sells oil in the Japanese market for yen. Kashima began by using currency futures to hedge, but it later started to speculate on dollar-yen price movements, hoping to increase profits. When the currency markets moved against Kashima’s speculative position, lax accounting rules permitted it to avoid reporting the losses by simply rolling over
the contract. By the time Kashima bit the bullet and closed its position, it had lost $1.5 billion. Other companies have experienced similar problems.

Our position is that derivatives can and should be used to hedge against certain risks, but that the leverage inherent in derivatives contracts makes them potentially dangerous. Also, CFOs, CEOs, and board members should be reasonably knowledgeable about the derivatives their firms use, should establish policies regarding when they can and cannot be used, and should establish audit procedures to ensure that the policies are carried out. Moreover, a firm’s derivatives position should be reported to stockholders, because stockholders have a right to know when situations such as that involving Kashima might arise.

Self-Test Questions

What is a futures contract?
Explain how a company can use the futures market to hedge against rising interest rates.
What is a swap? Describe the mechanics of a fixed-rate to floating-rate swap.
Explain how a company can use the futures market to hedge against rising raw materials prices.
How should derivatives be used in risk management? What problems can occur?

SUMMARY

Companies every day face a variety of risks, for it is hard to operate a successful business without taking some chances. Back in Chapter 2, we discussed the trade-off between risk and return. If some action can lower risk without lowering returns too much, then the action can enhance value. With this in mind, we described in this chapter the various types of risks that companies face, and we discussed the basic principles of corporate risk management. One important tool for managing risk is the derivatives market. Consequently, this chapter has also provided an introduction to derivative securities. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- There are several reasons risk management might increase the value of a firm. Risk management allows corporations (1) to increase their use of debt, (2) to maintain their capital budget over time, (3) to avoid costs associated with financial distress, (4) to utilize their comparative advantages in hedging relative to the hedging ability of individual investors, (5) to both reduce both the risks and costs of borrowing by using swaps, and (6) to reduce the higher taxes that result from fluctuating earnings. Managers may also want to stabilize earnings in order to boost their own compensation.
- A derivative is a security whose value is determined by the market price or interest rate of some other security.
- A hedge is a transaction that lowers risk. A natural hedge is a transaction between two counterparties where the parties’ risks are mirror images.
- A futures contract is a standardized contract that is traded on an exchange and is “marked to market” daily, but where physical delivery of the underlying asset usually does not occur.
- Under a forward contract, one party agrees to buy a commodity at a specific price and a specific future date and the other party agrees to make the sale. Delivery does occur.
- A structured note is a debt obligation derived from another debt obligation.
- A swap is an exchange of cash payment obligations. Swaps occur because the parties involved prefer the other’s payment stream.
• In general, **risk management** involves the management of unpredictable events that have adverse consequences for the firm.

• The three key steps in risk management are as follows: (1) **identify** the risks faced by the company, (2) **measure** the potential impacts of these risks, and (3) **decide** how each relevant risk should be dealt with.

• In most situations, risk exposure can be dealt with by one or more of the following techniques: (1) **transfer the risk** to an insurance company, (2) **transfer the function** that produces the risk to a third party, (3) **purchase a derivative contract**, (4) **reduce the probability** of occurrence of an adverse event, (5) **reduce the magnitude** of the loss associated with an adverse event, and (6) **totally avoid** the activity that gives rise to the risk.

• **Financial futures** permit firms to create hedge positions to protect themselves against fluctuating interest rates, stock prices, and exchange rates.

• **Commodity futures** can be used to hedge against input price increases.

• **Long hedges** involve buying futures contracts to guard against price increases.

• **Short hedges** involve selling futures contracts to guard against price declines.

• A **perfect hedge** occurs when the gain or loss on the hedged transaction exactly offsets the loss or gain on the unhedged position.

### QUESTIONS

24-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Derivative
   b. Corporate risk management
   c. Financial futures; forward contract
   d. Hedging; natural hedge; long hedge; short hedge; perfect hedge
   e. Swap; structured note
   f. Commodity futures

24-2 Give two reasons stockholders might be indifferent between owning the stock of a firm with volatile cash flows and that of a firm with stable cash flows.

24-3 List six reasons risk management might increase the value of a firm.

24-4 Discuss some of the techniques available to reduce risk exposures.

24-5 Explain how the futures markets can be used to reduce interest rate and input price risk.

24-6 How can swaps be used to reduce the risks associated with debt contracts?

### PROBLEMS

24-1 **Futures**

What is the implied interest rate on a Treasury bond ($100,000) futures contract that settled at 100-16? If interest rates increased by 1 percent, what would be the contract’s new value?

24-2 **Hedging**

The Zinn Company plans to issue $10,000,000 of 10-year bonds in June to help finance a new research and development laboratory. It is now November, and the current cost of debt to the high-risk biotech company is 11 percent. However, the firm’s financial manager is concerned that interest rates will climb even higher in coming months. The following data are available:
Futures Prices: Treasury Bonds—$100,000; Pts. 32nds of 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Month</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Settle</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>94-28</td>
<td>95-13</td>
<td>94-22</td>
<td>95-05</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>591,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>96-03</td>
<td>96-03</td>
<td>95-13</td>
<td>95-25</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>120,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>95-03</td>
<td>95-17</td>
<td>95-03</td>
<td>95-17</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>13,597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Use the given data to create a hedge against rising interest rates.
b. Assume that interest rates in general increase by 200 basis points. How well did your hedge perform?
c. What is a perfect hedge? Are most real-world hedges perfect? Explain.

Swaps

Carter Enterprises can issue floating-rate debt at LIBOR +2 percent or fixed-rate debt at 10.00 percent. Brence Manufacturing can issue floating-rate debt at LIBOR +3.1 percent or fixed-rate debt at 11 percent. Suppose Carter issues floating-rate debt and Brence issues fixed-rate debt. They are considering a swap in which Carter will make a fixed-rate payment of 7.95 percent to Brence, and Brence will make a payment of LIBOR to Carter. What are the net payments of Carter and Brence if they engage in the swap? Will Carter be better off to issue fixed-rate debt or to issue floating-rate debt and engage in the swap? Will Brence be better off to issue floating-rate debt or to issue fixed-rate debt and engage in the swap?

SPREADSHEET PROBLEM

Start with the partial model in the file IFM9 Ch24 P4 Build a Model.xls from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Use the information and data from Problem 24-2.

a. Create a hedge with the futures contract for Zinn Company’s planned June debt offering of $10 million. What is the implied yield on the bond underlying the futures contract?

b. Suppose interest rates fall by 300 basis points. What are the dollar savings from issuing the debt at the new interest rate? What is the dollar change in value of the futures position? What is the total dollar value change of the hedged position?

c. Create a graph showing the effectiveness of the hedge if the change in interest rates, in basis points, is: −300, −200, −100, 0, 100, 200, or 300. Show the dollar cost (or savings) from issuing the debt at the new interest rates, the dollar change in value of the futures position, and the total dollar value change.

CYBERPROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Assume that you have just been hired as a financial analyst by Tennessee Sunshine Inc., a midsized Tennessee company that specializes in creating exotic sauces from imported fruits and vegetables. The firm’s CEO, Bill Stooksbury, recently returned from an industry corporate executive conference in San Francisco, and one of the sessions he attended was on the pressing need for smaller companies to institute corporate risk management programs. Since no one at Tennessee Sunshine is familiar with the basics of derivatives and corporate risk management, Stooksbury has asked you to prepare a brief report that the firm’s executives could use to gain at least a cursory understanding of the topics.

To begin, you gathered some outside materials on derivatives and corporate risk management and used these materials to draft a list of pertinent questions that need to be answered. In fact, one possible approach to the paper is to use a question-and-answer format. Now that the questions have been drafted, you have to develop the answers.

a. Why might stockholders be indifferent whether or not a firm reduces the volatility of its cash flows?

b. What are six reasons risk management might increase the value of a corporation?

c. What is corporate risk management? Why is it important to all firms?

d. Risks that firms face can be categorized in many ways. Define the following types of risk:
   (1) Speculative risks
   (2) Pure risks
   (3) Demand risks
   (4) Input risks
   (5) Financial risks
   (6) Property risks
   (7) Personnel risks
   (8) Environmental risks
   (9) Liability risks
   (10) Insurable risks

e. What are the three steps of corporate risk management?

f. What are some actions that companies can take to minimize or reduce risk exposures?

g. What is financial risk exposure? Describe the following concepts and techniques that can be used to reduce financial risks:
   (1) Derivatives
   (2) Futures markets
   (3) Hedging
   (4) Swaps

h. Describe how commodity futures markets can be used to reduce input price risk.

i. It is January and Tennessee Sunshine is considering issuing $5 million in bonds in June to raise capital for an expansion. Currently, TS can issue 20-year bonds at 7 percent, but interest rates are on the rise and Stooksbury is concerned that long-term interest rates might rise by as much as 1 percent before June. You looked online and found that June T-bond futures are trading at 111-25. What are the risks of not hedging and how might TS hedge this exposure? In your analysis, consider what would happen if interest rates all increased by 1 percent.


For additional insights into the use of financial futures for hedging, see the following publications:


For information on hedging, see


For more information on swaps, see


Much of the Fall 1993 issue of the *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* is devoted to risk management issues.

For more information on the derivatives markets, see


Thus far, we have dealt with issues faced by growing, successful enterprises. However, many firms encounter financial difficulties, and some, including such big names as United Airlines and Kmart, are forced into bankruptcy. When a firm encounters financial distress, its managers must try to ward off the firm’s total collapse and thereby minimize losses. The ability of a firm to hang on during rough times often means the difference between forced liquidation versus rehabilitation and eventual success. An understanding of bankruptcy is also critical to the executives of healthy firms, because they must know the best actions to take when their customers or suppliers face the threat of bankruptcy.
As you read the chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. (There is no model for these Beginning-of-Chapter questions.)

1. Is bankruptcy a fairly common occurrence among large companies, or is it restricted primarily to small firms?
2. How can a company use a bankruptcy to abrogate labor contracts? Has this occurred in certain industries in recent years?
3. In your answers to the following set of questions, assume that Ross Corporation has $200 million of assets at book value, $150 million of liabilities owed to 500 different creditors, and $50 million of common equity book value. Also, assume that Ross has failed to make timely payments on its debt. The assets are worth less than the $200 million shown on the balance sheet, although their actual market value is uncertain. The company issued mortgage bonds that are held by public bondholders and are secured by real estate, and 15 different banks hold loans secured by all of the company’s accounts receivable, inventories, and equipment. There are also some 250 general (unsecured) creditors, including accounts payable, accrued wages and taxes, and pension plan obligations. Answer the following questions.
   a. Should Ross attempt to resolve its problems using informal procedures, or should it file for bankruptcy? Why?
   b. What are the two key chapters in the bankruptcy code, and what is the primary effect of each one?
   c. Who is more likely to initiate formal bankruptcy proceedings—the company or its creditors? What exactly would be filed to start the bankruptcy process?
   d. If the company initiated the proceedings, would it be more likely to seek relief under Chapter 7 or Chapter 11? Would the same be true if a creditor initiated the proceedings? Explain.
   e. What is the common pool problem, and would it be likely to arise in Ross’s case in the absence of a bankruptcy filing?
   f. What is the automatic stay, and is it likely that it would be applied in this case?
   g. What is a pre-pack, and is it likely that one would be used in this case?
   h. What is a cramdown, and is it likely that one would be used in this case?
   i. Define the terms absolute priority doctrine and relative priority doctrine.
   j. If the assets were sold at auction and the company were liquidated, how would the proceeds be divided up?
   k. If the company were reorganized, who would get what in the reorganization?

FINANCIAL DISTRESS AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

We begin with some background on financial distress and its consequences.¹

¹Much of the current academic work in the area of financial distress and bankruptcy is based on writings by Edward I. Altman. For a summary of his work and that of others, see Edward I. Altman, Bankruptcy and Distressed Restructuring: Analytical Issues and Investment Opportunities (Frederick, MD: Beard Group, 1999).
Bankruptcy impacts virtually all aspects of a business: cash flows, financial structure, and risk. Of course, the best thing for shareholders is to avoid financial distress and bankruptcy, but if they happen, it is important to understand how the process affects the ongoing value of the firm and the positions of the firm’s various investors.

**Causes of Business Failure**

A Dun & Bradstreet study examined business failure causes, as shown in Table 25-1. Economic factors include industry weakness and poor location. Financial factors include too much debt and insufficient capital. The importance of the different factors varies over time, depending on such things as the state of the economy and the level of interest rates. Also, most business failures occur because a number of factors combine to make the business unsustainable. Further, case studies show that financial difficulties are usually the result of a series of errors, misjudgments, and interrelated weaknesses that can be attributed directly or indirectly to management. As you might guess, signs of potential financial distress are generally evident in a ratio analysis long before the firm actually fails, and researchers use ratio analysis to predict the probability that a given firm will go bankrupt.
The Business Failure Record

Although bankruptcy is more frequent among smaller firms, it is clear from Table 25-2 that large firms are not immune. However, some firms might be too big or too important to be allowed to fail, and mergers or governmental intervention are often used as an alternative to outright failure and liquidation. The decision to give federal aid to Chrysler (now a part of DaimlerChrysler AG) in the 1980s is an excellent illustration. Also, in recent years federal regulators have arranged the absorption of many “problem” financial institutions by financially sound institutions. In addition, several U.S. government agencies, principally the Defense Department, were able to bail out Lockheed when it otherwise would have failed, and the “shotgun marriage” of Douglas Aircraft and McDonnell was designed to prevent Douglas’s failure. Another example of intervention is that of Merrill Lynch taking over the brokerage firm Goodbody & Company, which would otherwise have gone bankrupt and would have frozen the accounts of its 225,000 customers while a bankruptcy settlement was being worked out. Goodbody’s failure would have panicked investors across the country, so New York Stock Exchange member firms put up $30 million as an inducement to get Merrill Lynch to keep Goodbody from folding. Similar instances in other industries could also be cited.

Why do government and industry seek to avoid failure among larger firms? In the case of banks, the main reason is to prevent an erosion of confidence and a consequent run on the banks. With Lockheed and Douglas, the Defense Department wanted not only to maintain viable suppliers but also to avoid disrupting local communities. With Chrysler, the government wanted to preserve jobs as well as a competitor in the U.S. auto industry. Even when the public interest is not at stake, the fact that bankruptcy is a very expensive process gives private industry strong incentives to avoid outright bankruptcy. The costs and complexities of a formal bankruptcy are discussed in subsequent sections of this chapter, after we examine some less formal and less expensive procedures.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the major causes of business failure?
Do business failures occur evenly over time?
Which size of firm, large or small, is most prone to business failure? Why?

### Table 25-1 Causes of Business Failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Failure</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic factors</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial factors</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect, disaster, and fraud</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other factors</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Dun & Bradstreet Inc., Business Failure Record, 1994.*
ISUES FACING A FIRM IN FINANCIAL DISTRESS

Financial distress begins when a firm is unable to meet scheduled payments or when cash flow projections indicate that it will soon be unable to do so. As the situation develops, these central issues arise:

1. Is the firm’s inability to meet scheduled debt payments a temporary cash flow problem, or is it a permanent problem caused by asset values having fallen below debt obligations?

2. If the problem is a temporary one, then an agreement with creditors that gives the firm time to recover and to satisfy everyone may be worked out. However, if basic long-run asset values have truly declined, then economic losses have occurred. In this event, who should bear the losses, and who should get whatever value remains?

3. Is the company “worth more dead than alive”? That is, would the business be more valuable if it were maintained and continued in operation or if it were liquidated and sold off in pieces?

4. Should the firm file for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Act, or should it try to use informal procedures? (Both reorganization and liquidation can be accomplished either informally or under the direction of a bankruptcy court.)

5. Who should control the firm while it is being liquidated or rehabilitated? Should the existing management be left in charge, or should a trustee be placed in charge of operations?

---

Table 25-2  The Fifteen Largest Bankruptcies since 1980 (Billions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WorldCom Inc.</td>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>$103.9</td>
<td>July 21, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enron Corp.</td>
<td>Energy trading</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>December 2, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conseco Inc.</td>
<td>Insurance, finance</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>December 18, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texaco Inc.</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>April 12, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Corp. of America</td>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>September 9, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Crossing Ltd.</td>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>January 28, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Co.</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>April 6, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAL Corp.</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>December 9, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelphia Communications</td>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>June 25, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCorp</td>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>March 31, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirant Corporation</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>July 14, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Executive Corp.</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>May 13, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibraltar Financial Corp.</td>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>February 8, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmart Corp.</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>January 22, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINOVA Group Inc.</td>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>March 7, 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the remainder of the chapter, we discuss these questions.

**Self-Test Question**

What five major issues must be addressed when a firm faces financial distress?

## SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT GOING THROUGH FORMAL BANKRUPTCY

When a firm experiences financial distress, its managers and creditors must decide whether the problem is temporary, and the firm is really financially viable, or whether a permanent problem exists that endangers the firm’s life. Then, the parties must decide whether to try to solve the problem informally or under the direction of a bankruptcy court. Because of costs associated with formal bankruptcy, including the disruptions that occur when a firm’s customers, suppliers, and employees learn that it has filed under the Bankruptcy Act, it is desirable if possible to reorganize (or liquidate) outside of formal bankruptcy. We first discuss informal settlement procedures, then procedures under a formal bankruptcy.

### Informal Reorganization

In the case of an economically sound company whose financial difficulties appear to be temporary, creditors are generally willing to work with the company to help it recover and reestablish itself on a sound financial basis. Such voluntary plans, commonly called workouts, usually require a restructuring of the firm’s debt, because current cash flows are insufficient to service the existing debt. Restructuring typically involves extension and/or composition. In an extension, creditors postpone the dates of required interest or principal payments, or both. In a composition, creditors voluntarily reduce their fixed claims on the debtor by accepting a lower principal amount, by reducing the interest rate on the debt, by taking equity in exchange for debt, or by some combination of these changes.

A debt restructuring begins with a meeting between the failing firm’s managers and creditors. The creditors appoint a committee consisting of four or five of the largest creditors, plus one or two of the smaller ones. This meeting is often arranged and conducted by an adjustment bureau associated with and run by a local credit managers’ association. The first step is for management to draw up a list of creditors, with amounts of debt owed. There are typically different classes of debt, ranging from first-mortgage holders to unsecured creditors. Next, the company develops information showing the value of the firm under different scenarios. Typically, one scenario is going out of business, selling off the assets, and then distributing the proceeds to the various creditors in accordance with the priority of their claims, with any surplus going to the common stockholders. The company may hire an appraiser to get an appraisal of the value of the firm’s property to use...
as a basis for this scenario. Other scenarios include continued operations, frequently with some improvements in capital equipment, marketing, and perhaps some management changes.

This information is then shared with the firm’s bankers and other creditors. Frequently, it can be demonstrated that the firm’s debts exceed its liquidating value, and it can also be shown that legal fees and other costs associated with a formal liquidation under federal bankruptcy procedures would materially lower the net proceeds available to creditors. Further, it generally takes at least a year, and often several years, to resolve matters in a formal proceeding, so the present value of the eventual proceeds will be lower still. This information, when presented in a credible manner, often convinces creditors that they would be better off accepting something less than the full amount of their claims rather than holding out for the full face amount. If management and the major creditors agree that the problems can probably be resolved, then a more formal plan is drafted and presented to all the creditors, along with the reasons creditors should be willing to compromise on their claims.

In developing the reorganization plan, creditors prefer an extension because it promises eventual payment in full. In some cases, creditors may agree not only to postpone the date of payment but also to subordinate existing claims to vendors who are willing to extend new credit during the workout period. Similarly, creditors may agree to accept a lower interest rate on loans during the extension, perhaps in exchange for a pledge of collateral. Because of the sacrifices involved, the creditors must have faith that the debtor firm will be able to solve its problems.

In a composition, creditors agree to reduce their claims. Typically, creditors receive cash and/or new securities that have a combined market value that is less than the amounts owed them. The cash and securities, which might have a value of only 10 percent of the original claim, are taken as full settlement of the original debt. Bargaining will take place between the debtor and the creditors over the savings that result from avoiding the costs of legal bankruptcy: administrative costs, legal fees, investigative costs, and so on. In addition to escaping such costs, the debtor gains because the stigma of bankruptcy may be avoided. As a result, the debtor may be induced to part with most of the savings from avoiding formal bankruptcy.

Often, the bargaining process will result in a restructuring that involves both extension and composition. For example, the settlement may provide for a cash payment of 25 percent of the debt immediately, plus a new note promising six future installments of 10 percent each, for a total payment of 85 percent.

Voluntary settlements are both informal and simple, and also relatively inexpensive because legal and administrative expenses are held to a minimum. Thus, voluntary procedures generally result in the largest return to creditors. Although creditors do not obtain immediate payment and may even have to accept less than is owed them, they generally recover more money, and sooner, than if the firm were to file for bankruptcy.

In recent years, one factor that has motivated some creditors, especially banks and insurance companies, to agree to voluntary restructurings is the fact that restructurings can sometimes help creditors avoid showing a loss. Thus, a bank that is “in trouble” with its regulators over weak capital ratios may agree to extend further loans that are used to pay the interest on earlier loans in order to keep the bank from having to write down the value of its earlier loans. This particular type of restructuring depends on (1) the willingness of the regulators to go along with the process, and (2) whether the bank is likely to recover more in the end by restructuring the debt than by forcing the borrower into bankruptcy immediately.
We should point out that informal voluntary settlements are not reserved for small firms. International Harvester (now Navistar International) avoided formal bankruptcy proceedings by getting its creditors to agree to restructure more than $3.5 billion of debt. Likewise, Chrysler’s creditors accepted both an extension and a composition to help it through its bad years in the late 1970s before it merged with Daimler-Benz. The biggest problem with informal reorganizations is getting all the parties to agree to the voluntary plan. This problem, called the holdout problem, is discussed in a later section.

**Informal Liquidation**

When it is obvious that a firm is more valuable dead than alive, informal procedures can also be used to liquidate the firm. Assignment is an informal procedure for liquidating a firm, and it usually yields creditors a larger amount than they would get in a formal bankruptcy liquidation. However, assignments are feasible only if the firm is small and its affairs are not too complex. An assignment calls for title to the debtor’s assets to be transferred to a third party, known as an assignee or trustee. The assignee is instructed to liquidate the assets through a private sale or public auction and then to distribute the proceeds among the creditors on a pro rata basis. The assignment does not automatically discharge the debtor’s obligations. However, the debtor may have the assignee write on the check to each creditor the requisite legal language to make endorsement of the check acknowledgment of full settlement of the claim.

Assignment has some advantages over liquidation in federal bankruptcy courts in terms of time, legal formality, and expense. The assignee has more flexibility in disposing of property than does a federal bankruptcy trustee, so action can be taken sooner, before inventory becomes obsolete or machinery rusts. Also, because the assignee is often familiar with the debtor’s business, better results may be achieved. However, an assignment does not automatically result in a full and legal discharge of all the debtor’s liabilities, nor does it protect the creditors against fraud. Both of these problems can be reduced by formal liquidation in bankruptcy, which we discuss in a later section.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define the following terms: (1) restructuring, (2) extension, (3) composition, (4) assignment, and (5) assignee (trustee).

What are the advantages of liquidation by assignment versus a formal bankruptcy liquidation?

**FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW**

U.S. bankruptcy laws were first enacted in 1898. They were modified substantially in 1938, then they were changed substantially again in 1978, and some fine-tuning was done in 1986. In 2005, Congress further modified the bankruptcy code, speeding up bankruptcy proceedings for companies and making it more difficult for consumers to take advantage of provisions that can wipe out certain debts. The primary purpose of the bankruptcy law is to avoid having firms that are worth more as ongoing concerns be put out of business by individual creditors who could force liquidation without regard to the effects on other parties.

Currently, our bankruptcy law consists of eight odd-numbered chapters, plus one even-numbered chapter. (The old even-numbered chapters were deleted when the act was revised in 1978.) Chapters 1, 3, and 5 contain general provisions
applicable to the other chapters. Chapter 11, which deals with business reorganization, is the most important section from a financial management viewpoint. Chapter 7 details the procedures to be followed when liquidating a firm; generally, Chapter 7 does not come into play unless it has been determined that reorganization under Chapter 11 is not feasible. Chapter 9 deals with financially distressed municipalities; Chapter 12 covers special procedures for family-owned farms; Chapter 13 covers the adjustment of debts for “individuals with regular income”; and Chapter 15 sets up a system of trustees who help administer proceedings under the act.

A firm is officially bankrupt when it files for bankruptcy with a federal court. When you read that a company such as Southland (the owner of the 7-Eleven convenience store chain) has “filed for court protection under Chapter 11,” this means that the company is attempting to reorganize under the supervision of a bankruptcy court. Formal bankruptcy proceedings are designed to protect both the firm and its creditors. On the one hand, if the problem is temporary insolvency, then the firm may use bankruptcy proceedings to gain time to solve its cash flow problems without asset seizure by its creditors. On the other hand, if the firm is truly bankrupt in the sense that liabilities exceed assets, the creditors can use bankruptcy procedures to stop the firm’s managers from continuing to operate, lose more money, and thus deplete assets which should go to creditors.

Bankruptcy law is flexible in that it provides scope for negotiations between a company, its creditors, its labor force, and its stockholders. A case is opened by filing a petition with one of the 291 bankruptcy courts serving 90 judicial districts. The petition may be either voluntary or involuntary; that is, it may be filed either by the firm’s management or by its creditors. After a filing, a committee of unsecured creditors is then appointed by the Office of the U.S. Trustee to negotiate with management for a reorganization, which may include the restructuring of debt. Under Chapter 11, a trustee will be appointed to take over the company if the court deems current management incompetent or if fraud is suspected. Normally, though, the existing management retains control. If no fair and feasible reorganization can be worked out, the bankruptcy judge will order that the firm be liquidated under procedures spelled out in Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Act, in which case a trustee will always be appointed.

Define the following terms: (1) bankruptcy law, (2) Chapter 11, (3) Chapter 7, (4) trustee, (5) voluntary bankruptcy, and (6) involuntary bankruptcy.

How does a firm formally declare bankruptcy?

**REORGANIZATION IN BANKRUPTCY**

It might appear that most reorganizations should be handled informally because informal reorganizations are faster and less costly than formal bankruptcy. However, two problems often arise to stymie informal reorganizations and thus force debtors into Chapter 11 bankruptcy—the common pool problem and the holdout problem.³

To illustrate these problems, consider a firm that is having financial difficulties. It is worth $9 million as a going concern (this is the present value of its expected future operating cash flows) but only $7 million if it is liquidated. The firm’s debt

³The issues discussed in this section are covered in more detail in Thomas H. Jackson, *The Logic and Limits of Bankruptcy Law* (Frederick, MD: Beard Group, 2001).
totals $10 million at face value—ten creditors with equal priority each have a $1 million claim. Now suppose the firm’s liquidity deteriorates to the point where it defaults on one of its loans. The holder of that loan has the contractual right to accelerate the claim, which means the creditor can foreclose on the loan and demand payment of the entire balance. Further, since most debt agreements have cross-default provisions, defaulting on one loan effectively places all loans in default.

The firm’s market value is less than the $10 million face value of debt, regardless of whether it remains in business or liquidates. Therefore, it would be impossible to pay off all of the creditors in full. However, the creditors in total would be better off if the firm is not shut down, because they can ultimately recover $9 million if the firm remains in business but only $7 million if it is liquidated. The problem here, which is called the common pool problem, is that, in the absence of protection under the Bankruptcy Act, individual creditors would have an incentive to foreclose on the firm even though it is worth more as an ongoing concern.

An individual creditor would have the incentive to foreclose because it could then force the firm to liquidate a portion of its assets to pay off that particular creditor’s $1 million claim in full. The payment to that creditor would probably require the liquidation of vital assets, which might cause a shutdown of the firm and thus lead to a liquidation. Therefore, the value of the remaining creditors’ claims would decline. Of course, all the creditors would recognize the gains to be had from this strategy, so they would storm the debtor with foreclosure notices. Even those creditors who understand the merits of keeping the firm alive would be forced to foreclose, because the foreclosures of the other creditors would reduce the payoff to those who do not. In our hypothetical example, if seven creditors foreclosed and forced liquidation, they would be paid in full, and the remaining three creditors would receive nothing.

With many creditors, as soon as a firm defaults on one loan, there is the potential for a disruptive flood of foreclosures that would make the creditors collectively worse off. In our example, the creditors would lose $9 - $7 = $2 million in value if a flood of foreclosures were to force the firm to liquidate. If the firm had only one creditor, say, a single bank loan, the common pool problem would not exist. If a bank had loaned the company $10 million, it would not force liquidation to get $7 million when it could keep the firm alive and eventually realize $9 million.

Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Act provides a solution to the common pool problem through its automatic stay provision. An automatic stay, which is forced on all creditors in a bankruptcy, limits the ability of creditors to foreclose to collect their individual claims. However, the creditors can collectively foreclose on the debtor and force liquidation.

While bankruptcy gives the firm a chance to work out its problems without the threat of creditor foreclosure, management does not have a completely free reign over the firm’s assets. First, bankruptcy law requires the debtor firm to request permission from the court to take many actions, and the law also gives creditors the right to petition the bankruptcy court to block almost any action the firm might take while in bankruptcy. Second, fraudulent conveyance statutes, which are part of debtor-creditor law, protect creditors from unjustified transfers of property by a firm in financial distress.

To illustrate fraudulent conveyance, suppose a holding company is contemplating bankruptcy protection for one of its subsidiaries. The holding company might be tempted to sell some or all of the subsidiary’s assets to itself (the parent company) for less than the true market value. This transaction would reduce the value of the subsidiary by the difference between the true market value of its assets and the amount paid, and the loss would be borne primarily by the
subsidiary’s creditors. Such a transaction would be voided by the courts as a fraudulent conveyance. Note also that transactions that favor one creditor at the expense of another can be voided under the same law. For example, a transaction in which an asset is sold and the proceeds are used to pay one creditor in full at the expense of other creditors could be voided. Thus, fraudulent conveyance laws also protect creditors from each other.

The second problem that the bankruptcy law mitigates is the holdout problem. To illustrate this problem, consider again our example with ten creditors owed $1 million each but with assets worth only $9 million. The goal of the firm is to avoid liquidation by remedying the default. In an informal workout, this would require a reorganization plan that is agreed to by each of the ten creditors. Suppose the firm offers each creditor new debt with a face value of $850,000 in exchange for the old $1,000,000 face value debt. If each of the creditors accepted the offer, the firm could be successfully reorganized. The reorganization would leave the equity holders with some value—the market value of the equity would be $9,000,000 – 10($850,000) = $500,000. Further, the creditors would have claims worth $8.5 million, much more than the $7 million value of their claims in liquidation.

Although such an exchange offer seems to benefit all parties, it might well not be accepted by the creditors. Here’s why: Suppose seven of the ten creditors tender their bonds; thus, seven creditors each now have claims with a face value of $850,000 each, or $5,950,000 in total, while the three creditors that did not tender their bonds each still have a claim with a face value of $1 million. The total face value of the debt at this point is $8,950,000, which is less than the $9 million value of the firm. In this situation, the three holdout creditors would receive the full face value of their debt. However, this probably would not happen, because (1) all of the creditors would be sophisticated enough to realize this could happen, and (2) each creditor would want to be one of the three holdouts that gets paid in full. Thus, it is likely that none of the creditors would accept the offer. The holdout problem makes it difficult to restructure the firm’s debts. Again, if the firm had a single creditor, there would be no holdout problem.

The holdout problem is mitigated in bankruptcy proceedings by the bankruptcy court’s ability to lump creditors into classes. Each class is considered to have accepted a reorganization plan if two-thirds of the amount of debt and one-half the number of claimants vote for the plan, and the plan will be approved by the court if it is deemed to be “fair and equitable” to the dissenting parties. This procedure, in which the court mandates a reorganization plan in spite of dissent, is called a cramdown, because the court crams the plan down the throats of the dissenters. The ability of the court to force acceptance of a reorganization plan greatly reduces the incentive for creditors to hold out. Thus, in our example, if the reorganization plan offered each creditor a new claim worth $850,000 in face value, along with information that each creditor would probably receive only $700,000 under the liquidation alternative, it would have a good chance of success.

It is easier for a firm with few creditors to informally reorganize than it is for a firm with many creditors. A 1990 study examined 169 publicly traded firms that experienced severe financial distress from 1978 to 1987. About half of the firms reorganized without filing for bankruptcy, while the other half were forced to reorganize in bankruptcy. The firms that reorganized without filing for bankruptcy

---

4The bankruptcy code requires that all transactions undertaken by the firm in the six months prior to a bankruptcy filing be reviewed by the court for fraudulent conveyance, and the review can go back as long as three years.

owed most of their debt to a few banks, and they had fewer creditors. Generally, bank debt can be reorganized outside of bankruptcy, but a publicly traded bond issue held by thousands of individual bondholders makes reorganization difficult.

Filing for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 has several other features that help the bankrupt firm:

1. Interest and principal payments, including interest on delayed payments, may be delayed without penalty until a reorganization plan is approved, and the plan itself may call for even further delays. This permits cash generated from operations to be used to sustain operations rather than be paid to creditors.
2. The firm is permitted to issue debtor-in-possession (DIP) financing. DIP financing enhances the ability of the firm to borrow funds for short-term liquidity purposes, because such loans are, under the law, senior to all previous unsecured debt.
3. The debtor firm’s managers are given the exclusive right for 120 days after filing for bankruptcy protection to submit a reorganization plan, plus another 60 days to obtain agreement on the plan from the affected parties. The court may also extend these dates up to 18 months. After management’s first right to submit a plan has expired, any party to the proceedings may propose its own reorganization plan.

Under the early bankruptcy laws, most formal reorganization plans were guided by the absolute priority doctrine. This doctrine holds that creditors should be compensated for their claims in a rigid hierarchical order, and that senior claims must be paid in full before junior claims can receive even a dime. If there were any chance that a delay would lead to losses by senior creditors, then the firm would be shut down and liquidated. However, an alternative position, the relative priority doctrine, holds that more flexibility should be allowed in a reorganization, and that a balanced consideration should be given to all claimants. The current law represents a movement away from absolute priority toward relative priority.

The primary role of the bankruptcy court in a reorganization is to determine the fairness and the feasibility of the proposed plan of reorganization. The basic doctrine of fairness states that claims must be recognized in the order of their legal and contractual priority. Feasibility means that there is a reasonable chance that the reorganized company will be viable. Carrying out the concepts of fairness and feasibility in a reorganization involves the following steps:

1. Future sales must be estimated.
2. Operating conditions must be analyzed so that future earnings and cash flows can be predicted.
3. The appropriate capitalization rate must be determined.
4. This capitalization rate must then be applied to the estimated cash flows to obtain an estimate of the company’s value.6
5. An appropriate capital structure for the company after it emerges from Chapter 11 must be determined.
6. The reorganized firm’s securities must be allocated to the various claimants in a fair and equitable manner.

---

6Several different approaches can be used to estimate a company’s value. Market-determined multiples such as the price/earnings ratio, which are obtained from an analysis of comparable firms, can be applied to some measure of the company’s earnings or cash flow. Alternatively, discounted cash flow techniques may be used. The key point here is that fairness requires that the value of a company facing reorganization be estimated so that potential offers can be evaluated rationally by the bankruptcy court.
The primary test of feasibility in a reorganization is whether the fixed charges after reorganization will be adequately covered by earnings. Adequate coverage generally requires an improvement in earnings, a reduction of fixed charges, or both. Among the actions that must generally be taken are the following:

1. Debt maturities are usually lengthened, interest rates may be lowered, and some debt is usually converted into equity.
2. When the quality of management has been substandard, a new team must be given control of the company.
3. If inventories have become obsolete or depleted, they must be replaced.
4. Sometimes the plant and equipment must be modernized before the firm can operate and compete successfully.
5. Reorganization may also require an improvement in production, marketing, advertising, and other functions.
6. It is sometimes necessary to develop new products or markets to enable the firm to move from areas where economic trends are poor into areas with more potential for growth.
7. Labor unions must agree to accept lower wages and less restrictive work rules. This was a major issue for United Airlines in 2003 as it attempted to emerge from Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. By threatening liquidation, UAL was able to squeeze a $6.6 billion reduction in payroll costs from its pilots over six years, and another $2.6 billion from its ground crew workers. This wasn’t enough, though, and three years later UAL is still operating under bankruptcy protection.

These actions usually require at least some new money, so most reorganization plans include new investors who are willing to put up new capital.

It might appear that stockholders have very little to say in a bankruptcy situation in which the firm’s assets are worth less than the face value of its debt. Under the absolute priority rule, stockholders in such a situation should get nothing of value under a reorganization plan. In fact, however, stockholders may be able to extract some of the firm’s value. This occurs because (1) stockholders generally continue to control the firm during the bankruptcy proceedings, (2) stockholders have the first right to file a reorganization plan, and (3) for the creditors, developing a plan and taking it through the courts would be expensive and time consuming. Given this situation, creditors may support a plan under which they are not paid off in full and where the old stockholders will control the reorganized company just because the creditors want to get the problem behind them and to get some money in the near future.

Illustration of a Reorganization

Reorganization procedures may be illustrated with an example involving the Columbia Software Company, a regional firm that specializes in selling, installing, and servicing accounting software for small businesses. Table 25-3 gives Columbia’s balance sheet as of March 31, 2006. The company had been suffering losses running to $2.5 million a year, and, as will be made clear in the following discussion, the asset values in the balance sheet are overstated relative to their market prices.

---

7 This example is based on an actual reorganization, although the company name has been changed and the numbers have been changed slightly to simplify the analysis.
values. The firm was insolvent, which means that the book values of its liabilities were greater than the market values of its assets, so it filed a petition with a federal court for reorganization under Chapter 11. Management filed a plan of reorganization with the court on June 13, 2006. The plan was subsequently submitted for review by the SEC.8

The plan concluded that the company could not be internally reorganized and that the only feasible solution would be to combine Columbia with a larger, nationwide software company. Accordingly, management solicited the interest of a number of software companies. Late in July 2006, Moreland Software showed an interest in Columbia. On August 3, 2006, Moreland made a formal proposal to take over Columbia’s $6 million of 71/2 percent first-mortgage bonds, to pay the $250,000 in taxes owed by Columbia, and to provide 40,000 shares of Moreland common stock to satisfy the remaining creditor claims. Since the Moreland stock had a market price of $75 per share, the value of the stock was $3 million. Thus, Moreland was offering $3 million of stock plus assuming $6 million of loans and $250,000 of taxes—a total of $9.25 million for assets that had a book value of $16.7 million.

Moreland’s plan is shown in Table 25-4. As in most Chapter 11 plans, the secured creditors’ claims are paid in full (in this case, the mortgage bonds are taken over by Moreland Software). However, the total remaining unsecured claims

---

8Reorganization plans must be submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) if (1) the securities of the debtor are publicly held and (2) total indebtedness exceeds $3 million. However, in recent years the only bankruptcy cases that the SEC has become involved in are those that are either precedent setting or that involve issues of national interest.
equal $10 million against only $3 million of Moreland stock. Thus, each unse-
cured creditor would be entitled to receive 30 percent before the adjustment for
subordination. Before this adjustment, holders of the notes payable would receive
30 percent of their $250,000 claim, or $75,000 in stock. However, the debentures
are subordinated to the notes payable, so an additional $175,000 must be allo-
cated to notes payable. (See footnote a in Table 25-4.) In Column 5, the dollar
claims of each class of debt are restated in terms of the number of shares of More-
land common stock received by each class of unsecured creditors. Finally, Column
6 shows the percentage of the original claim each group received. Of course, both
the taxes and the secured creditors were paid off in full, while the stockholders
received nothing.9

The bankruptcy court first evaluated the proposal from the standpoint of fair-
ness. The court began by considering the value of Columbia Software as estimated
by the unsecured creditors’ committee and by a subgroup of debenture holders.
After discussions with various experts, one group had arrived at estimated post-
reorganization sales of $25 million per year. It further estimated that the profit
margin on sales would equal 6 percent, thus producing estimated future annual
earnings of $1.5 million.

This subgroup analyzed price/earnings ratios for comparable companies and
arrived at 8 times future earnings for a capitalization factor. Multiplying 8 by
$1.5 million gave an indicated equity value of the company of $12 million. This

9Because the debentures are subordinated to the notes payable, $250,000 — $75,000 = $175,000 must be redistributed from the debentures to the
notes payable, leaving a claim of $2,100,000 — $175,000 = $1,925,000 for the debentures.
value was four times that of the 40,000 shares of Moreland stock offered for the remainder of the company. Thus, the subgroup concluded that the plan for reorganization did not meet the test of fairness. Note that under both Moreland’s plan and the subgroup’s plan, the holders of common stock were to receive nothing, which is one of the risks of ownership, while the holders of the first-mortgage bonds were to be assumed by Moreland, which amounts to being paid in full.

The bankruptcy judge examined management’s plan for feasibility, observing that in the reorganization Moreland Software would take over Columbia’s properties. The court judged that the direction and aid of Moreland would remedy the deficiencies that had troubled Columbia. Whereas the debt/assets ratio of Columbia Software had become unbalanced, Moreland had only a moderate amount of debt. After consolidation, Moreland would still have a relatively low 27 percent debt ratio.

Moreland’s net income before interest and taxes had been running at a level of approximately $15 million. The interest on its long-term debt after the merger would be $1.5 million and, taking short-term borrowings into account, would total a maximum of $2 million per year. The $15 million in earnings before interest and taxes would therefore provide an interest charge coverage of 7.5 times, exceeding the norm of 5 times for the industry.

Note that the question of feasibility would have been irrelevant had Moreland offered $3 million in cash rather than in stock, and had it offered to pay off the bonds rather than take them over. It is the court’s responsibility to protect the interests of Columbia’s creditors. Because the creditors are being forced to take common stock or bonds guaranteed by another firm, the law requires the court to look into the feasibility of the transaction. If Moreland had made a cash offer, however, the feasibility of its own operation after the transaction was completed would not have been a concern.

Moreland Software was told of the subgroup’s analysis and concern over the fairness of the plan. Further, Moreland was asked to increase the number of shares it offered. Moreland refused, and no other company offered to acquire Columbia. Because no better offer could be obtained, and since the only alternative to the plan was liquidation (with an even lower realized value), Moreland’s proposal was ultimately accepted by the creditors despite some disagreement with the valuation.

One interesting aspect of this case had to do with an agency conflict between Columbia’s old stockholders and its management. Columbia’s management knew, when it filed for bankruptcy, that the company was probably worth less than the amount of its debt, hence that stockholders would probably receive nothing. Indeed, that situation did materialize. If management has a primary responsibility to the stockholders, why would it file for bankruptcy knowing that the stockholders would receive nothing? First, management thought, but did not know for sure, that stockholders would receive nothing. What they were sure of was that if they did not file for bankruptcy protection, creditors would foreclose on the company’s property and shut the company down, which would surely lead to liquidation and a total loss to stockholders. Also, if the company were liquidated, both management and the work force would lose their jobs, and the managers would get a very black mark on their records. Finally, Columbia’s managers thought (correctly) that there was nothing they could do to protect the stockholders, so they might as well do what was best for the work force, the creditors, and themselves, and that meant realizing the most value possible for the company’s assets.

Some of the stockholders felt betrayed by management—they thought management should have taken more heroic steps to protect them, regardless of the cost to other parties. One stockholder suggested that management should have
sold off assets, taken the cash to Las Vegas, rolled the dice, and then, if they won, paid off the debt and had something left for stockholders, but left the debtholders holding the bag if they lost. Actually, management had done something a bit like this in the year preceding the bankruptcy. Management realized that the company was floundering and was likely to sink under its current operating plan, and that only a “big winner” project would save the company. Therefore, they took on several very risky “bet the company” projects that had negative expected NPVs but at least some chance for high profits. Unfortunately, those projects did not work out.

Prepackaged Bankruptcies

In recent years, a new type of reorganization that combines the advantages of both the informal workout and formal Chapter 11 reorganization has become popular. This new hybrid is called a prepackaged bankruptcy, or pre-pack.10

In an informal workout, a debtor negotiates a restructuring with its creditors. Even though complex workouts typically involve corporate officers, lenders, lawyers, and investment bankers, workouts are still less expensive and less damaging to reputations than are Chapter 11 reorganizations. In a prepackaged bankruptcy, the debtor firm gets all, or most, of the creditors to agree to the reorganization plan prior to filing for bankruptcy. Then, a reorganization plan is filed along with, or shortly after, the bankruptcy petition. If enough creditors have signed on before the filing, a cramdown can be used to bring reluctant creditors along.

A logical question arises: Why would a firm that can arrange an informal reorganization want to file for bankruptcy? The three primary advantages of a prepackaged bankruptcy are (1) reduction of the holdout problem, (2) preserving creditors’ claims, and (3) taxes. Perhaps the biggest benefit of a prepackaged bankruptcy is the reduction of the holdout problem, because a bankruptcy filing permits a cramdown that would otherwise be impossible. By eliminating holdouts, bankruptcy forces all creditors in each class to participate on a pro rata basis, which preserves the relative value of all claimants. Also, filing for formal bankruptcy can at times have positive tax implications. First, in an informal reorganization in which the debtholders trade debt for equity, if the original equity holders end up with less than 50 percent ownership, the company loses its accumulated tax losses. In formal bankruptcy, the firm may get to keep its loss carryforwards. Second, in a workout, when debt worth, say, $1,000, is exchanged for debt worth, say, $500, the reduction in debt of $500 is considered to be taxable income to the corporation. However, if this same situation occurs in a Chapter 11 reorganization, the difference is not treated as taxable income.11

All in all, prepackaged bankruptcies make sense in many situations. If sufficient agreement can be reached among creditors through informal negotiations, a subsequent filing can solve the holdout problem and result in favorable tax treatment. For these reasons, the number of prepackaged bankruptcies has grown dramatically in recent years.

11Note that in both tax situations—loss carryforwards and debt value reductions—favorable tax treatment can be available in workouts if the firm is deemed to be legally insolvent, that is, if the market value of its assets is demonstrated to be less than the face value of its liabilities.
Reorganization Time and Expense

The time, expense, and headaches involved in a reorganization are almost beyond comprehension. Even in $2 to $3 million bankruptcies, many people and groups are involved: lawyers representing the company, the U.S. Bankruptcy Trustee, each class of secured creditor, the general creditors as a group, tax authorities, and the stockholders if they are upset with management. There are time limits within which things are supposed to be done, but the process generally takes at least a year and probably much longer. The company must be given time to file its plan, and creditor groups must be given time to study and seek clarifications to it and then file counterplans to which the company must respond. Also, different creditor classes often disagree among themselves as to how much each class should receive, and hearings must be held to resolve such conflicts.

Management will want to remain in business, while some well-secured creditors may want the company liquidated as quickly as possible. Often, some party’s plan will involve selling the business to another concern, as was the case with Columbia Software in our earlier example. Obviously, it can take months to seek out and negotiate with potential merger candidates.

The typical bankruptcy case takes about two years from the time the company files for protection under Chapter 11 until the final reorganization plan is approved or rejected. While all of this is going on, the company’s business suffers. Sales certainly won’t be helped, key employees may leave, and the remaining employees will be worrying about their jobs rather than concentrating on their work. Further, management will be spending much of its time on the bankruptcy rather than running the business, and it won’t be able to take any significant action without court approval, which requires filing a formal petition with the court and giving all parties involved a chance to respond.

Even if its operations do not suffer, the company’s assets will surely be reduced by its own legal fees and the required court and trustee costs. Good bankruptcy lawyers charge from $200 to $400 or more per hour, depending on the location, so those costs are not trivial. The creditors will also be incurring legal costs. Indeed, the sound of all of those meters ticking at $200 or so an hour in a slow-moving hearing can be deafening.

Note that creditors also lose the time value of their money. A creditor with a $100,000 claim and a 10 percent opportunity cost who ends up getting $50,000 after two years would have been better off settling for $41,500 initially. When the creditor’s legal fees, executive time, and general aggravation are taken into account, it might make sense to settle for $20,000 or $25,000.

Both the troubled company and its creditors know the drawbacks of formal bankruptcy, or their lawyers will inform them. Armed with a knowledge of how bankruptcy works, management may be in a strong position to persuade creditors to accept a workout that on the surface appears to be unfair and unreasonable. Or, if a Chapter 11 case has already begun, creditors may at some point agree to settle just to stop the bleeding.

One final point should be made before closing this section. In most reorganization plans, creditors with claims of less than $1,000 are paid off in full. Paying off these “nuisance claims” does not cost much money, and it saves time and gets votes to support the plan.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define the following terms: (1) common pool problem, (2) holdout problem, (3) automatic stay, (4) cramdown, (5) fraudulent conveyance, (6) absolute priority doctrine, (7) relative priority doctrine, (8) fairness, (9) feasibility, (10) debtor-in-possession financing, and (11) prepackaged bankruptcy.
What are the advantages of a formal reorganization under Chapter 11?
What are some recent trends regarding absolute versus relative priority doctrines?
How do courts assess the fairness and feasibility of reorganization plans?
Why have prepackaged bankruptcies become so popular in recent years?

LIQUIDATION IN BANKRUPTCY

If a company is “too far gone” to be reorganized, then it must be liquidated. Liquidation should occur when the business is worth more dead than alive, or when the possibility of restoring it to financial health is remote and the creditors are exposed to a high risk of greater loss if operations are continued. Earlier we discussed assignment, which is an informal liquidation procedure. Now we consider liquidation in bankruptcy, which is carried out under the jurisdiction of a federal bankruptcy court.

Chapter 7 of the Federal Bankruptcy Reform Act deals with liquidation. It (1) provides safeguards against fraud by the debtor, (2) provides for an equitable distribution of the debtor’s assets among the creditors, and (3) allows insolvent debtors to discharge all their obligations and thus be able to start new businesses unhampered by the burdens of prior debt. However, formal liquidation is time consuming and costly, and it extinguishes the business.

The distribution of assets in a liquidation under Chapter 7 is governed by the following priority of claims:

1. Past-due property taxes.
2. Secured creditors, who are entitled to the proceeds of the sale of specific property pledged for a lien or a mortgage. If the proceeds from the sale of the pledged property do not fully satisfy a secured creditor’s claim, the remaining balance is treated as a general creditor claim (see Item 10 below).¹²
3. Legal fees and other expenses to administer and operate the bankrupt firm. These costs include legal fees incurred in trying to reorganize.
4. Expenses incurred after an involuntary case has begun but before a trustee is appointed.
5. Wages due workers if earned within three months prior to the filing of the petition in bankruptcy. The amount of wages is limited to $2,000 per employee.
6. Claims for unpaid contributions to employee pension plans that should have been paid within six months prior to filing. These claims, plus wages in Item 5, may not exceed the $2,000-per-wage-earner limit.
7. Unsecured claims for customer deposits. These claims are limited to a maximum of $900 per individual.
8. Taxes due to federal, state, county, and other government agencies.
9. Unfunded pension plan liabilities. These liabilities have a claim above that of the general creditors for an amount up to 30 percent of the common and

¹²When a firm or individual who goes bankrupt has a bank loan, the bank will attach any deposit balances. The loan agreement may stipulate that the bank has a first-priority claim on any deposits. If this is the case, the deposits are used to offset all or part of the bank loan; this is called, in legal terms, “the right of offset.” In this case, the bank will not have to share the deposits with other creditors. Loan contracts often designate compensating balances as security against a loan. Even if the bank has no explicit claim against deposits, the bank will attach the deposits and hold them for the general body of creditors, including the bank itself. Without an explicit statement in the loan agreement, the bank does not receive preferential treatment with regard to attached deposits.
preferred equity, and any remaining unfunded pension claims rank with the general creditors.13

10. **General, or unsecured, creditors.** Holders of trade credit, unsecured loans, the unsatisfied portion of secured loans, and debenture bonds are classified as general creditors. Holders of subordinated debt also fall into this category, but they must turn over required amounts to the senior debt.

11. **Preferred stockholders.** These stockholders can receive an amount up to the par value of their stock.

12. **Common stockholders.** These stockholders receive any remaining funds.14

To illustrate how this priority system works, consider the balance sheet of Whitman Inc., shown in Table 25-5. Assets have a book value of $90 million. The claims are shown on the right-hand side of the balance sheet. Note that the debentures are

| Table 25-5 Whitman Inc.: Balance Sheet at Liquidation (Millions of Dollars) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Current assets                         | $80.0           | Accounts payable| $20.0           |
| Net fixed assets                       | 10.0            | Notes payable (to banks) | 10.0         |
|                                         |                 | Accrued wages (1,400 @ $500) | 0.7          |
|                                         |                 | Federal taxes   | 1.0             |
|                                         |                 | State and local taxes | 0.3          |
|                                         |                 | Current liabilities | $32.0         |
|                                         |                 | First mortgage   | 6.0             |
|                                         |                 | Second mortgage  | 1.0             |
| Subordinated debenturesa               | 8.0             | Total long-term debt | $15.0         |
|                                         |                 | Preferred stock  | 2.0             |
|                                         |                 | Common stock     | 26.0            |
|                                         |                 | Paid-in capital  | 4.0             |
|                                         |                 | Retained earnings | 11.0           |
|                                         |                 | Total equity     | $43.0           |
| Total assets                           | $90.0           | Total liabilities and equity | $90.0         |

The debentures are subordinated to the notes payable.

---

13Pension plan liabilities have a significant bearing on bankruptcy settlements. As we discuss in Web Chapter 30, pension plans may be funded or unfunded. Under a funded plan, the firm makes cash payments to an insurance company or to a trustee (generally a bank), which then uses these funds (and interest earned on them) to pay retirees’ pensions. Under an unfunded plan, the firm is obligated to make payments to retirees, but it does not provide cash in advance. Many plans are actually partially funded—some money has been paid in advance, but not enough to provide full pension benefits to all employees.

If a firm goes bankrupt, the funded part of the pension plan remains intact and is available for retirees. Prior to 1974, employees had no explicit claims for unfunded pension liabilities, but under the Employees’ Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), an amount up to 30 percent of the equity (common and preferred) is earmarked for employees’ pension plans and has a priority over the general creditors, with any remaining pension claims having status equal to that of the general creditors. This means, in effect, that the funded portion of a bankrupt firm’s pension plan is completely secured, but that the unfunded portion ranks somewhat above the general creditors. Obviously, unfunded pension fund liabilities should be of great concern to a firm’s unsecured creditors.

14Note that if different classes of common stock have been issued, differential priorities may exist in stockholder claims.
subordinated to the notes payable to banks. Whitman filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11, but since no fair and feasible reorganization could be arranged, the trustee is liquidating the firm under Chapter 7.

The assets as reported in the balance sheet are greatly overstated; they are, in fact, worth less than half the $90 million at which they are carried. The following amounts are realized on liquidation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From sale of current assets</th>
<th>$28,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From sale of fixed assets</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total receipts</td>
<td>$33,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of proceeds from the liquidation is shown in Table 25-6. The first-mortgage holders receive the $5 million in net proceeds from the sale of fixed property, leaving $28 million available to the remaining creditors, including a $1 million unsatisfied claim of the first-mortgage holders. Next are the fees and expenses of administering the bankruptcy, which are typically about 20 percent of gross proceeds (including the bankrupt firm’s own legal fees); in this example, they

| Table 25-6 Whitman Inc.: Distribution of Liquidation Proceeds (Millions of Dollars) |

### Distribution to Priority Claimants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proceeds from the sale of assets</th>
<th>$33.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. First mortgage (paid from the sale of fixed assets)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fees and expenses of bankruptcy</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Wages due to workers within three months of bankruptcy</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Taxes due to federal, state, and local governments</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds available for distribution to general creditors</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Distribution to General Creditors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Creditors’ Claims (1)</th>
<th>Amount of Claim a (2)</th>
<th>Pro Rata Distribution b (3)</th>
<th>Distribution after Subordination Adjustment c (4)</th>
<th>Percentage of Original Claim Received d (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfied portion of first mortgage</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second mortgage</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable (to banks)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated debentures</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$40.0</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
<td>$20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 25-6.*

---

a Column 2 is the claim of each class of general creditor. Total claims equal $40.0 million.
b From the top section of the table, we see that $20 million is available for distribution to general creditors. Since there are $40 million of general creditor claims, the pro rata distribution will be $20/$40 = 0.50, or 50 cents on the dollar.
c The debentures are subordinate to the notes payable, so up to $5 million could be reallocated from debentures to notes payable. However, only $4 million is available to the debentures, so this entire amount is reallocated.
d Column 5 shows the results of dividing the Column 4 final allocation by the original claim shown in Column 2, except for the first mortgage, where the $5 million received from the sale of fixed assets is included in the calculation.
are assumed to be $6 million. Next in priority are wages due workers, which total $700,000, and taxes due, which amount to $1.3 million. Thus far, the total amount of claims paid from the $33 million received from the asset sale is $13 million, leaving $20 million for the general creditors. In this example, we assume that there are no claims for unpaid benefit plans or unfunded pension liabilities.

The claims of the general creditors total $40 million. Since $20 million is available, claimants will initially be allocated 50 percent of their claims, as shown in Column 3. However, the subordination adjustment requires that the subordinated debentures turn over to the notes payable all amounts received until the notes are satisfied. In this situation, the claim of the notes payable is $10 million, but only $5 million is available; the deficiency is therefore $5 million. After transfer of $4 million from the subordinated debentures, there remains a deficiency of $1 million on the notes; this amount will remain unsatisfied.

Note that 90 percent of the bank claim is satisfied, whereas a maximum of 50 percent of other unsecured claims will be satisfied. These figures illustrate the usefulness of the subordination provision to the security to which the subordination is made.

Because no other funds remain, the claims of the holders of preferred and common stocks, as well as the subordinated debentures, are completely wiped out. Studies of the proceeds in bankruptcy liquidations reveal that unsecured creditors receive, on the average, about 15 cents on the dollar, while common stockholders generally receive nothing.

**Self-Test Questions**

Describe briefly the priority of claims in a formal liquidation.

What is the impact of subordination on the final allocation of proceeds from liquidation?

In general, how much do unsecured creditors receive from a liquidation? How much do stockholders receive?

**OTHER MOTIVATIONS FOR BANKRUPTCY**

Normally, bankruptcy proceedings do not commence until a company has become so financially weak that it cannot meet its current obligations. However, bankruptcy law also permits a company to file for bankruptcy if its financial forecasts indicate that a continuation of current conditions would lead to insolvency. This provision was used by Continental Airlines in 1983 to break its union contract and hence lower its labor costs. Continental demonstrated to a bankruptcy court that operations under the then-current union contract would lead to insolvency in a matter of months. The company then filed a reorganization plan that included major changes in all its contracts, including its union contract. The court sided with Continental and allowed the company to abrogate its contract. Continental then reorganized as a nonunion carrier, and that reorganization turned the company from a money loser into a money maker.\(^{15}\) Congress changed the law after the Continental affair to make it more difficult for companies to use bankruptcy to break union contracts, but this case did set the precedent for using bankruptcy to help head off financial problems as well as to help solve existing ones.

\(^{15}\)Continental’s fortunes declined again in 1990 when it was unable to successfully integrate several acquisitions, including Eastern, and the company filed for bankruptcy a second time. It emerged successfully, and in 2006 it was doing well.
Bankruptcy law has also been used to hasten settlements in major product liability suits. The Manville asbestos and A. H. Robins Dalkon Shield cases are examples. In both situations, the companies were being bombarded by thousands of lawsuits, and the very existence of such huge contingent liabilities made normal operations impossible. Further, in both cases it was relatively easy to prove (1) that if the plaintiffs won, the companies would be unable to pay the full amount of the claims, (2) that a larger amount of funds would be available to the claimants if the companies continued to operate rather than liquidate, (3) that continued operations were possible only if the suits were brought to a conclusion, and (4) that a timely resolution of all the suits was impossible because of their vast number and variety. The bankruptcy statutes were used to consolidate all the suits and to reach settlements under which the plaintiffs obtained more money than they otherwise would have received, and the companies were able to stay in business. The stockholders did poorly under these plans, because most of the companies’ future cash flows were assigned to the plaintiffs, but even so, the stockholders probably fared better than they would have if the suits had been concluded through the jury system.

What are some situations other than immediate financial distress that lead firms to file for bankruptcy?

SOME CRITICISMS OF BANKRUPTCY LAWS

Although bankruptcy laws, for the most part, exist to protect creditors, many critics claim that current laws are not doing what they were intended to do. Before 1978, most bankruptcies ended quickly in liquidation. Then Congress rewrote the laws, giving companies more opportunity to stay alive, on the grounds that this was best for managers, employees, creditors, and stockholders. Before the reform, 90 percent of Chapter 11 filers were liquidated, but now that percentage is less than 80 percent, and the average time between filing and liquidation has almost doubled. Indeed, large public corporations with the ability to hire high-priced legal help can avoid, or at least delay, liquidation, often at the expense of creditors and shareholders.

Critics believe that bankruptcy is great for businesses these days—especially for consultants, lawyers, and investment bankers, who reap hefty fees during bankruptcy proceedings, and for managers, who continue to collect their salaries and bonuses as long as the business is kept alive. The problem, according to critics, is that bankruptcy courts allow cases to drag on too long, depleting assets that could be sold to pay off creditors and shareholders. Too often, quick resolution is impossible because bankruptcy judges are required to deal with issues such as labor disputes, pension plan funding, and environmental liability—social questions that could be solved by legislative action rather than by bankruptcy courts.

For example, LTV Corporation was in bankruptcy from 1986 to 1993, mainly because of pension disputes between the company, its workers, retirees, and the federal government. During this time, the Dallas-based conglomerate spent $162 million in legal and consulting fees, but, under the final reorganization plan, creditors got only 4 to 53 cents on the dollar, and stockholders got nothing.

Critics contend that bankruptcy judges ought to realize that some sick companies should be allowed to die—and die quickly. Maintaining companies on life support does not serve the interests of the parties the bankruptcy laws were meant to protect. The 2005 changes to the bankruptcy code addressed this issue by limiting to
18 months the time management has to file a reorganization plan. Prior to the change, judges could extend the time management has to reorganize almost indefinitely. Now, after a maximum of 18 months, creditors may propose a plan if an acceptable plan hasn’t been filed by management.

Other critics think the entire bankruptcy system of judicial protection and supervision needs to be scrapped. Some even have proposed a kind of auction procedure, where shareholders and creditors would have the opportunity to gain control of a bankrupt company by raising the cash needed to pay the bills. The rationale here is that the market is a better judge than a bankruptcy court as to whether a company is worth more dead or alive.

Finally, note that companies operating under the protection of Chapter 11 can damage and perhaps even bankrupt their otherwise healthy competitors. To illustrate, Eastern Airlines’ cash costs were low during its bankruptcy because it did not have to service its debt, and it was also generating cash by selling off assets. Eastern used its cash to advertise heavily and to cut fares, both of which siphoned off traffic from other airlines. Obviously, this hurt the other airlines and indeed led to other airline bankruptcies. Had Eastern been put down in a timely fashion, the airline industry would be a lot healthier today.

**Self-Test Question**  According to critics, what are some problems with the bankruptcy system?

**OTHER TOPICS IN BANKRUPTCY**

Some additional insights into the reorganization and liquidation process can be gained by reviewing case histories of bankruptcies. Therefore, in the Web Extension of this chapter, we discuss the Eastern Airlines and Revco bankruptcies. Also, financial analysts are constantly seeking ways to assess a firm’s likelihood of going bankrupt. We discuss one method, Multiple Discriminant Analysis (MDA), in the Web Extension to this chapter.

**SUMMARY**

This chapter discussed the main issues involved in bankruptcy and financial distress in general. The key concepts are listed below:

- The proportion of businesses that fail fluctuates with the economy, but the average liability per failure has tended to increase over time due to inflation and to an increase in the number of billion-dollar bankruptcies in recent years.
- The fundamental issue that must be addressed when a company encounters financial distress is whether it is “worth more dead than alive”; that is, would the business be more valuable if it continued in operation or if it were liquidated and sold off in pieces?
- In the case of a fundamentally sound company whose financial difficulties appear to be temporary, creditors will frequently work directly with the company, helping it to recover and reestablish itself on a sound financial basis. Such voluntary reorganization plans are called **workouts.**
• Reorganization plans usually require some type of restructuring of the firm’s debts, involving either an extension, which postpones the date of required payment of past-due obligations, or a composition, by which the creditors voluntarily reduce their claims on the debtor or the interest rate on their claims.

• When it is obvious that a firm is worth more dead than alive, informal procedures can sometimes be used to liquidate the firm. Assignment is an informal procedure for liquidating a firm, and it usually yields creditors a larger amount than they would receive in a formal bankruptcy liquidation. However, assignments are feasible only if the firm is small and its affairs are not too complex.

• Current bankruptcy law consists of nine chapters, designated by Arabic numbers. For businesses, the most important chapters are Chapter 7, which details the procedures to be followed when liquidating a firm, and Chapter 11, which contains procedures for formal reorganizations.

• Since the first bankruptcy laws, most formal reorganization plans have been guided by the absolute priority doctrine. This doctrine holds that creditors should be compensated for their claims in a rigid hierarchical order, and that senior claims must be paid in full before junior claims can receive even a dime.

• Another position, the relative priority doctrine, holds that more flexibility should be allowed in a reorganization, and that a balanced consideration should be given to all claimants. In recent years, there has been a shift away from absolute priority toward relative priority. The primary effect of this shift has been to delay liquidations so as to give managements more time to rehabilitate companies in an effort to provide value to junior claimants.

• The primary role of the bankruptcy court in a reorganization is to determine the fairness and the feasibility of proposed plans of reorganization.

• Even if some creditors or stockholders dissent and do not accept a reorganization plan, the plan may still be approved by the court if the plan is deemed to be “fair and equitable” to all parties. This procedure, in which the court mandates a reorganization plan in spite of dissent, is called a cramdown.

• In the last few years, a new type of reorganization that combines the advantages of both the informal workout and formal Chapter 11 reorganization has become popular. This new hybrid is called a prepackaged bankruptcy.

• The distribution of assets in a liquidation under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Act is governed by a specific priority of claims.

QUESTIONS

25-1 Define each of the following terms:
a. Informal restructuring; reorganization in bankruptcy
b. Assignment; liquidation in bankruptcy; fairness; feasibility
c. Absolute priority doctrine; relative priority doctrine
d. Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978; Chapter 11; Chapter 7
e. Priority of claims in liquidation
f. Extension; composition; workout; cramdown; prepackaged bankruptcy; holdout

25-2 Why do creditors usually accept a plan for financial rehabilitation rather than demand liquidation of the business?

25-3 Would it be a sound rule to liquidate whenever the liquidation value is above the value of the corporation as a going concern? Discuss.

25-4 Why do liquidations usually result in losses for the creditors or the owners, or both? Would partial liquidation or liquidation over a period limit their losses? Explain.
Are liquidations likely to be more common for public utility, railroad, or industrial corporations? Why?

PROBLEMS

25-1 Reorganization

The Verbrugge Publishing Company’s 2006 balance sheet and income statement are as follows (in millions of dollars). Verbrugge and its creditors have agreed upon a voluntary reorganization plan. In this plan, each share of the $6 preferred will be exchanged for one share of $2.40 preferred with a par value of $37.50 plus one 8 percent subordinated income debenture with a par value of $75. The $10.50 preferred issue will be retired with cash.

**Balance Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6 preferred stock, $112.50 par value (1,200,000 shares)</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10.50 preferred stock, no par, callable at $150 (60,000 shares)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock, $1.50 par value (6,000,000 shares)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$336</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance payments</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total claims</strong></td>
<td><strong>$336</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income Statement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$540.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expense</td>
<td>$516.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating income</td>
<td>$ 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBT</td>
<td>$ 27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (50%)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends on $6 preferred</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends on $10.50 preferred</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income available to common stockholders</td>
<td>$ 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**25-2 Liquidation**

At the time it defaulted on its interest payments and filed for bankruptcy, the McDaniel Mining Company had the following balance sheet (in thousands of dollars). The court, after trying unsuccessfully to reorganize the firm, decided that the only recourse was liquidation under Chapter 7. Sale of the fixed assets, which were pledged as collateral to the mortgage bondholders, brought in $400,000, while the current assets were sold for another $200,000. Thus, the total proceeds from the liquidation sale were $600,000. Trustee’s costs amounted to $50,000; no
single worker was due more than $2,000 in wages; and there were no unfunded pension plan liabilities.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Current assets} & \$400 & \text{Accounts payable} & \$50 \\
\text{Net fixed assets} & 600 & \text{Accrued taxes} & 40 \\
& & \text{Accrued wages} & 30 \\
& & \text{Notes payable} & 180 \\
& & \text{Total current liabilities} & $300 \\
& & \text{First-mortgage bonds}^a & 300 \\
& & \text{Second-mortgage bonds}^a & 200 \\
& & \text{Debentures} & 200 \\
& & \text{Subordinated debentures}^b & 100 \\
& & \text{Common stock} & 50 \\
& & \text{Retained earnings} & (150) \\
\text{Total assets} & $1,000 & \text{Total claims} & $1,000 \\
\end{array}
\]

Notes:
^aAll fixed assets are pledged as collateral to the mortgage bonds.
^bSubordinated to notes payable only.

a. How much will McDaniel’s shareholders receive from the liquidation?
b. How much will the mortgage bondholders receive?
c. Who are the other priority claimants in addition to the mortgage bondholders? How much will they receive from the liquidation?
d. Who are the remaining general creditors? How much will each receive from the distribution before subordination adjustment? What is the effect of adjusting for subordination?

25-3 Liquidation

The following balance sheet represents Boles Electronics Corporation’s position at the time it filed for bankruptcy (in thousands of dollars):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Cash} & \$10 & \text{Accounts payable} & $1,600 \\
\text{Receivables} & 100 & \text{Notes payable} & 500 \\
\text{Inventories} & 890 & \text{Wages payable} & 150 \\
& & \text{Taxes payable} & 50 \\
\text{Total current assets} & $1,000 & \text{Total current liabilities} & $2,300 \\
\text{Net plant} & 4,000 & \text{Mortgage bonds} & 2,000 \\
\text{Net equipment} & 5,000 & \text{Subordinated debentures} & 2,500 \\
& & \text{Preferred stock} & 1,500 \\
& & \text{Common stock} & 1,700 \\
\text{Total assets} & $10,000 & \text{Total claims} & $10,000 \\
\end{array}
\]

The mortgage bonds are secured by the plant, but not by the equipment. The subordinated debentures are subordinated to notes payable. The firm was unable to reorganize under Chapter 11; therefore, it was liquidated under Chapter 7. The trustee, whose legal and administrative fees amounted to $200,000, sold off the assets and received the following proceeds (in thousands of dollars):

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{Asset} & \text{Proceeds} \\
\text{Plant} & 1,600 \\
\text{Equipment} & 1,300 \\
\text{Receivables} & 50 \\
\text{Inventories} & 240 \\
\text{Total} & 3,190 \\
\end{array}
\]
In addition, the firm had $10,000 in cash available for distribution. No single wage earner had over $2,000 in claims, and there were no unfunded pension plan liabilities.

a. What is the total amount available for distribution to all claimants? What is the total of creditor and trustee claims? Will the preferred and common stockholders receive any distributions?

b. Determine the dollar distribution to each creditor and to the trustee. What percentage of each claim is satisfied?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch25 P04 Build a Model.xls* on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Use the information and data from Problem 25-3, except assume that the fixed assets can be sold for $450,000 and that the current assets can be sold for $250,000. Determine the amounts available for distribution to each claimant.

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE** Business School Edition **PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.

---

Kimberly MacKenzie, president of Kim’s Clothes Inc., a medium-sized manufacturer of women’s casual clothing, is worried. Her firm has been selling clothes to Russ Brothers Department Store for more than 10 years, and she has never experienced any problems in collecting payment for the merchandise sold. Currently, Russ Brothers owes Kim’s Clothes $65,000 for spring sportswear that was delivered to the store just 2 weeks ago. Kim’s concern was brought about by an article that appeared in yesterday’s *Wall Street Journal* that indicated that Russ Brothers was having serious financial problems. Furthermore, the article stated that Russ Brothers’ management was considering filing for reorganization, or even liquidation, with a federal bankruptcy court.

Kim’s immediate concern was whether or not her firm would collect its receivables if Russ Brothers went bankrupt. In pondering the situation, Kim also realized that she knew nothing about the process that firms go through when they encounter severe financial distress. To learn more about bankruptcy, reorganization, and liquidation, Kim asked Ron Mitchell, the firm’s chief financial officer, to prepare a briefing on the subject for the entire board.
of directors. In turn, Ron asked you, a newly hired financial analyst, to do the groundwork for the briefing by answering the following questions.

a. (1) What are the major causes of business failure?
   (2) Do business failures occur evenly over time?
   (3) Which size of firm, large or small, is more prone to business failure? Why?

b. What key issues must managers face in the financial distress process?

c. What informal remedies are available to firms in financial distress? In answering this question, define the following terms:
   (1) Workout
   (2) Restructuring
   (3) Extension
   (4) Composition
   (5) Assignment
   (6) Assignee (trustee)

d. Briefly describe U.S. bankruptcy law, including the following terms:
   (1) Chapter 11
   (2) Chapter 7
   (3) Trustee
   (4) Voluntary bankruptcy
   (5) Involuntary bankruptcy

e. What are the major differences between an informal reorganization and reorganization in bankruptcy? In answering this question, be sure to discuss the following items:
   (1) Common pool problem
   (2) Holdout problem
   (3) Automatic stay
   (4) Cramdown
   (5) Fraudulent conveyance

f. What is a prepackaged bankruptcy? Why have prepackaged bankruptcies become more popular in recent years?

g. Briefly describe the priority of claims in a Chapter 7 liquidation.

h. Assume that Russ Brothers did indeed fail, and that it had the following balance sheet when it was liquidated (in millions of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes payable (to banks)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued wages</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal taxes</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local taxes</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>$16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First mortgage</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second mortgage</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated debentures(^a)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term debt</td>
<td>$7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid-in capital</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity</td>
<td>$21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total claims</td>
<td>$45.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The debentures are subordinated to the notes payable.

The liquidation sale resulted in the following proceeds:

- From sale of current assets: $14,000,000
- From sale of fixed assets: 2,500,000
- Total receipts: $16,500,000

For simplicity, assume that there were no trustee’s fees or any other claims against the liquidation proceeds. Also, assume that the mortgage bonds are secured by the entire amount of fixed assets. What would each claimant receive from the liquidation distribution?
SELECTED ADDITIONAL REFERENCES AND CASES

For more information on bankruptcy costs, see


In addition to those articles cited in the chapter, the Summer 1991 issue of the *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* contains the following relevant works:


The following articles and publications provide insights into various aspects of bankruptcy:


The following cases from *Textchoice*, Thomson Learning’s online library, cover many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and are available at [http://www.textchoice2.com](http://www.textchoice2.com).

Klein-Brigham Series:

Case 39, “Mark X Company (B),” which examines the allocation of proceeds under bankruptcy; Case 65, “Bubbling Springs Water Company,” which examines both liquidation and restructur-
Most corporate growth occurs by internal expansion, which takes place when a firm’s existing divisions grow through normal capital budgeting activities. However, the most dramatic examples of growth result from mergers, the first topic covered in this chapter. Leveraged buyouts, or LBOs, occur when a firm’s stock is acquired by a small group of investors rather than by another operating company. Conditions change over time, causing firms to sell off, or divest, major divisions to other firms that can better utilize the divested assets. Divestitures are also discussed in the chapter. Finally, we discuss the holding company form of organization, wherein one corporation owns the stock of one or more other companies.
As you read this chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. What are horizontal, vertical, congeneric, and conglomerate mergers? Are the different types of mergers equally likely to pass muster with the Justice Department?

2. What is synergy? What are some factors that might lead to synergy? How is the amount of synergy in a proposed merger measured, and how is it allocated between the two firms’ stockholders? Would the four types of mergers as discussed in Question 1 be equally likely to produce synergy?

3. Many companies have serious discussions about merging. Sometimes these discussions lead to mergers, sometimes not. What are some factors that should be considered and that affect the likelihood of a merger actually being completed?

4. Explain how the market multiples method is used to determine the value of a target firm to a potential acquirer. Give several examples of this procedure.

5. Explain how the corporate valuation model and the adjusted present value (APV) method are used to estimate the value of a target company. If someone did a complete and careful analysis of a given target using both of these methods, would they produce the same results? Explain why, under certain growth and capital structure conditions, it is better to use the APV method.

6. If you were conducting a merger analysis, would you give the multiples method or one of the DCF methods (that is, the APV or corporate valuation model) more weight in your decision? Explain.

7. Explain how purchase accounting is implemented in a merger. Does the accounting profession now require this method? How is any premium that the acquiring firm paid over the acquired firm’s book value treated subsequent to a merger?

8. Acquisitions can have important tax consequences depending on (a) whether the acquiring firm purchases the target’s stock or just its assets, (b) whether cash or stock is used for the payment, and (c) how the acquirer records the target’s assets on its books after the merger. Suppose a target’s assets have a value of $50 million, but the appraised value of those assets is $80 million. The target firm is in the 20 percent tax bracket. Here are four possible situations:

   (1) Acquirer pays $100 million in cash for the target’s stock in a tender offer and records assets at their book value.
   (2) Same as in part (1) but acquirer records assets at their appraised value.
   (3) Acquirer pays $100 million worth of stock in exchange for the target’s stock.
   (4) Acquirer pays $100 million in cash to the target for its assets.

   In each situation, answer the following questions. (Hint: The tax situation is complicated, but Figure 26-2 would be helpful in answering these questions.)
   a. How much would the target’s shareholders receive from the acquirer, and how much of that total would be taxable?
   b. How much would the target’s shareholders receive from the target firm, and how much of that total would be taxable?
   c. How much in taxes would the target firm have to pay on any gains it realizes?
   d. What would the total depreciable value of the target be once it has been acquired?
CORPORATE VALUATION AND MERGERS

The value of a target firm to its acquirer depends on the size, timing, and riskiness of the cash flow it is expected to generate. Chapter 11 showed how to estimate this value for a firm with a constant capital structure. Since mergers frequently involve changes in capital structure, this chapter extends the analysis to firms with changing capital structures.

![Diagram of corporate valuation and mergers]

**Value of the Firm**

\[
\text{Value} = \frac{\text{FCF}_1}{(1 + \text{WACC})^1} + \frac{\text{FCF}_2}{(1 + \text{WACC})^2} + \frac{\text{FCF}_3}{(1 + \text{WACC})^3} + \cdots + \frac{\text{FCF}_\infty}{(1 + \text{WACC})^\infty}
\]

RATIONALITY FOR MERGERS

Many reasons have been proposed by financial managers and theorists to account for the high level of U.S. merger activity. The primary motives behind corporate mergers are presented in this section.¹

**Synergy**

The primary motivation for most mergers is to increase the value of the combined enterprise. If Companies A and B merge to form Company C, and if C’s value exceeds that of A and B taken separately, then synergy is said to exist. Such a

¹As we use the term, **merger** means any combination that forms one economic unit from two or more previous ones. For legal purposes, there are distinctions among the various ways these combinations can occur, but our focus is on the fundamental economic and financial aspects of mergers.
merger should be beneficial to both A’s and B’s stockholders. Synergistic effects can arise from five sources: (1) operating economies, which result from economies of scale in management, marketing, production, or distribution; (2) financial economies, including lower transaction costs and better coverage by security analysts; (3) tax effects, where the combined enterprise pays less in taxes than the separate firms would pay; (4) differential efficiency, which implies that the management of one firm is more efficient and that the weaker firm’s assets will be more productive after the merger; and (5) increased market power due to reduced competition. Operating and financial economies are socially desirable, as are mergers that increase managerial efficiency, but mergers that reduce competition are socially undesirable and illegal.

The 2001 merger of Wachovia and First Union, which created the nation’s fourth largest bank, illustrates the quest for synergies. The banks’ operations overlapped in many parts of the Southeast, so closing neighboring branches could cut costs, and certain “backroom” operations could be consolidated to further reduce costs. Obviously, the best people and operations would be retained and those that performed less well would be let go. Another synergistic merger was the 1997 consolidation of Morgan Stanley with Dean Witter. Morgan Stanley was an elite investment bank that specialized in underwriting securities for large corporations, while Dean Witter was a nationwide brokerage house with thousands of sales representatives and 40 million retail customers. Dean Witter had been affiliated with Sears, Roebuck and had sold securities to Sears’s customers, whereas Morgan Stanley’s relatively few retail customers tended to be millionaires. So, the merger was said to be “uniting Wall Street with Main Street,” and it meant that Dean Witter’s brokers would have access to IPOs and other securities underwritten by Morgan Stanley, and Morgan Stanley would have another channel for new offerings.

Expected synergies are not always realized. For example, when AOL acquired Time Warner, it believed that Time Warner’s extensive content library could be sold to AOL’s Internet subscribers, and also that AOL subscribers could be shifted over to Time Warner’s cable system. When the merger was announced, the new management estimated that such synergies would increase operating income by $1 billion per year. However, things didn’t work out as expected, and the combined entity’s market value has fallen by more than 60 percent since the merger. Note, though, that the real losers were Time Warner’s stockholders, while AOL’s stockholders can count their blessings. The merger was announced in 2000, at the height of the Internet bubble, when AOL’s stock was selling at an all-time record. At the same time, Time Warner was regarded as a stodgy, old-economy company. So, AOL’s stock had a much higher valuation, and its stockholders received the majority of the stock in the consolidated company. Since then, Internet stocks have crashed, but old-economy stocks have held up rather well. Without the

---

2If synergy exists, then the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. Synergy is also called the “2 plus 2 equals 5 effect.” The distribution of the synergistic gain between A’s and B’s stockholders is determined by negotiation. This point is discussed later in the chapter.

3In the 1880s and 1890s, many mergers occurred in the United States, and some of them were obviously directed toward gaining market power rather than increasing efficiency. As a result, Congress passed a series of acts designed to ensure that mergers are not used to reduce competition. The principal acts include the Sherman Act (1890), the Clayton Act (1914), and the Celler Act (1950). These acts make it illegal for firms to combine if the combination tends to lessen competition. The acts are enforced by the antitrust division of the Justice Department and by the Federal Trade Commission.

4Interestingly, First Union was much larger than Wachovia, and it was the acquiring company. However, Wachovia had a better reputation in the banking industry, so after the merger, the consolidated company took the Wachovia name. In the Morgan Stanley Dean Witter case, both companies’ names were used initially, but after a few years the Dean Witter part was dropped, and the company is now just Morgan Stanley.
merger, Time Warner stockholders would be a lot wealthier than they are, while AOL’s would be much poorer.

Tax Considerations

Tax considerations have stimulated a number of mergers. For example, a profitable firm in the highest tax bracket could acquire a firm with large accumulated tax losses. These losses could then be turned into immediate tax savings rather than carried forward and used in the future.\(^5\)

Also, mergers can serve as a way of minimizing taxes when disposing of excess cash. For example, if a firm has a shortage of internal investment opportunities compared with its free cash flow, it could (1) pay an extra dividend, (2) invest in marketable securities, (3) repurchase its own stock, or (4) purchase another firm. If it pays an extra dividend, its stockholders would have to pay immediate taxes on the distribution. Marketable securities often provide a good temporary parking place for money, but they generally earn a rate of return less than that required by stockholders. A stock repurchase might result in a capital gain for the selling stockholders. However, using surplus cash to acquire another firm would avoid all these problems, and this has motivated a number of mergers. Still, as we discuss later, the tax savings are often less than the premium paid in the acquisition. Thus, mergers motivated only by tax considerations often reduce the acquiring shareholders’ wealth.

Purchase of Assets below Their Replacement Cost

Sometimes a firm will be touted as an acquisition candidate because the cost of replacing its assets is considerably higher than its market value. For example, in the early 1980s oil companies could acquire reserves cheaper by buying other oil companies than by doing exploratory drilling. Thus, ChevronTexaco acquired Gulf Oil to augment its reserves. Similarly, in the 1980s several steel company executives stated that it was cheaper to buy an existing steel company than to construct a new mill. For example, in 1984 LTV (at the time the fourth largest steel company but now bankrupt and acquired by Mittal Steel Co.) acquired Republic Steel (the sixth largest) to create the second largest firm in the industry.

Diversification

Managers often cite diversification as a reason for mergers. They contend that diversification helps stabilize a firm’s earnings and thus benefits its owners. Stabilization of earnings is certainly beneficial to employees, suppliers, and customers, but its value to stockholders is less certain. Why should Firm A acquire Firm B to stabilize earnings when stockholders can simply buy the stocks of both firms? Indeed, research suggests that in most cases diversification does not increase the firm’s value. In fact, many studies find that diversified firms are worth significantly less than the sum of their individual parts.\(^6\)

\(^5\)Mergers undertaken only to use accumulated tax losses would probably be challenged by the IRS. In recent years Congress has made it increasingly difficult for firms to pass along tax savings after mergers.

Of course, if you were the owner-manager of a closely held firm, it might be nearly impossible to sell part of your stock to diversify. Also, selling your stock would probably lead to a large capital gains tax. So, a diversification merger might be the best way to achieve personal diversification for a privately held firm.

Managers’ Personal Incentives

Financial economists like to think that business decisions are based only on economic considerations, especially maximization of firms’ values. However, many business decisions are based more on managers’ personal motivations than on economic analyses. Business leaders like power, and more power is attached to running a larger corporation than a smaller one. Obviously, no executive would admit that his or her ego was the primary reason behind a merger, but egos do play a prominent role in many mergers.

It has also been observed that executive salaries are highly correlated with company size—the bigger the company, the higher the salaries of its top officers. This too could cause unnecessary acquisitions.

Personal considerations deter as well as motivate mergers. After most takeovers, some managers of the acquired companies lose their jobs, or at least their autonomy. Therefore, managers who own less than 51 percent of their firms’ stock look to devices that will lessen the chances of a takeover. Mergers can serve as such a device. For example, in 2005 MCI’s board of directors, over the objection of large shareholders, turned down repeated offers from Qwest, at the time the nation’s fourth largest local phone company, in favor of substantially smaller offers from Verizon, the nation’s largest phone company. MCI’s management viewed Verizon as a stronger, more stable partner than Qwest even though Qwest’s bid was at times 20 percent higher than Verizon’s bid. In response to management’s refusal to accept the higher bid, the holders of some 28 percent of MCI’s stock withheld their votes to re-elect the board of directors in protest. Nonetheless, management proceeded with merger negotiations with Verizon and at the time of this writing, a shareholder vote on the merger is scheduled. In such cases management always argues that synergy, not a desire to protect their own jobs, is the motivation for the choice. However, it is difficult to rationalize rejecting a 20 percent larger bid for undocumented synergies, and some observers suspect that this and many mergers were designed more to benefit managers than shareholders.

Breakup Value

Some takeover specialists estimate a company’s breakup value, which is the value of the individual parts of the firm if they were sold off separately. If this value is higher than the firm’s current market value, then a takeover specialist could acquire the firm at or even above its current market value, sell it off in pieces, and earn a profit.

**Self-Test Questions**

Define synergy. Is synergy a valid rationale for mergers? Describe several situations that might produce synergistic gains.

Suppose your firm could purchase another firm for only half of its replacement value. Would that be a sufficient justification for the acquisition?

Discuss the pros and cons of diversification as a rationale for mergers.

What is breakup value?
TYPES OF MERGERS

Economists classify mergers into four types: (1) horizontal, (2) vertical, (3) congeneric, and (4) conglomerate. A horizontal merger occurs when one firm combines with another in its same line of business—the 2005 Sprint-Nextel merger is an example. An example of a vertical merger would be a steel producer’s acquisition of one of its own suppliers, such as an iron or coal mining firm, or an oil producer’s acquisition of a petrochemical firm that uses oil as a raw material. Congeneric means “allied in nature or action;” hence a congeneric merger involves related enterprises but not producers of the same product (horizontal) or firms in a producer-supplier relationship (vertical). The AOL and Time Warner merger is an example. A conglomerate merger occurs when unrelated enterprises combine.

Operating economies (and also anticompetitive effects) are at least partially dependent on the type of merger involved. Vertical and horizontal mergers generally provide the greatest synergistic operating benefits, but they are also the ones most likely to be attacked by the Department of Justice as anticompetitive. In any event, it is useful to think of these economic classifications when analyzing prospective mergers.

Self-Test Question  What are the four economic types of mergers?

LEVEL OF MERGER ACTIVITY

Five major “merger waves” have occurred in the United States. The first was in the late 1800s, when consolidations occurred in the oil, steel, tobacco, and other basic industries. The second was in the 1920s, when the stock market boom helped financial promoters consolidate firms in a number of industries, including utilities, communications, and autos. The third was in the 1960s, when conglomerate mergers were the rage. The fourth occurred in the 1980s, when LBO firms and others began using junk bonds to finance all manner of acquisitions. The fifth, which involves strategic alliances designed to enable firms to compete better in the global economy, is in progress today.

As can be seen from Table 26-1, some huge mergers have occurred in recent years.7 In general, recent mergers have been significantly different from those of the 1980s and 1990s. Most earlier mergers were financial transactions in which buyers sought companies that were selling at less than their true values as a result of incompetent or sluggish management. If a target company could be managed better, if redundant assets could be sold, and if operating and administrative costs could be cut, profits and stock prices would rise. In contrast, most recent mergers have been strategic in nature—companies are merging to gain economies of scale or scope and thus be better able to compete in the world economy. Indeed, many recent mergers have involved companies in the financial, defense, media, computer, telecommunications, and health care industries, all of which are experiencing structural changes and intense competition.

---

Recent deals also differ in the way they are financed and how the target firms’ stockholders are compensated. In the 1980s, cash was the preferred method of payment, because large cash payments could convince even the most reluctant shareholder to approve the deal. Moreover, the cash was generally obtained by borrowing, leaving the consolidated company with a heavy debt burden, which often led to difficulties. In recent years, stock has replaced borrowed cash as the merger currency for two reasons: (1) Many of the 1980s mergers were financed with junk bonds that later went into default. These defaults, along with the demise of Drexel Burnham, the leading junk bond dealer, have made it difficult to arrange debt-financed mergers. (2) Most recent mergers have been strategic—as between AT&T and MediaOne Group, and AOL and Time Warner—where the companies' managers realized that they needed one another. Most of these mergers have been friendly, and stock swaps are easier to arrange in friendly mergers than in hostile ones. Also, both sets of managers have been concerned about the postmerger financial strength of the consolidated company, and the surviving company will obviously be stronger if the deal is financed with stock rather than debt.

Although most recent large mergers have generally been stock-for-stock, many of the smaller mergers have been for cash. Even here, though, things have been different. In the 1980s, companies typically borrowed to get the money to finance cash acquisitions. In recent years, corporate cash flows have been very high, so companies have been able to pay for their smaller acquisitions out of cash flow.

There has also been an increase in cross-border mergers. Many of these mergers have been motivated by large shifts in the value of the world’s leading currencies. For example, in the early 1990s, the dollar was weak relative to the yen and the mark. The decline in the dollar made it easier for Japanese and German acquirers to buy U.S. corporations. For example, Daimler-Benz acquired Chrysler.

### Table 26-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buyer</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Value (billions, U.S. $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vodafone AirTouch</td>
<td>Mannesmann</td>
<td>April 12, 2000</td>
<td>$161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfizer</td>
<td>Warner-Lambert</td>
<td>June 19, 2000</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America Online</td>
<td>Time Warner</td>
<td>January 11, 2001</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxon</td>
<td>Mobil</td>
<td>November 30, 1999</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaxo Wellcome</td>
<td>SmithKline Beecham</td>
<td>December 27, 2000</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Dutch Petroleum</td>
<td>Shell Transport and Trading</td>
<td>Shareholder approved as of September 2005</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBC Communications</td>
<td>Ameritech</td>
<td>October 8, 1999</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VodafoneGroup</td>
<td>AirTouch</td>
<td>June 30, 1999</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanofi-Syntelabo SA</td>
<td>Aventis SA</td>
<td>July 30, 2004</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Atlantic</td>
<td>GTE</td>
<td>May 30, 2000</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What five major “merger waves” have occurred in the United States?
What are some reasons for the current wave?

HOSTILE VERSUS FRIENDLY TAKEOVERS

In the vast majority of merger situations, one firm (generally the larger of the two) simply decides to buy another company, negotiates a price with the management of the target firm, and then acquires the target company. Occasionally, the acquired firm will initiate the action, but it is much more common for a firm to seek acquisitions than to seek to be acquired. Following convention, we call a company that seeks to acquire another firm the **acquiring company** and the one that it seeks to acquire the **target company**.

Once an acquiring company has identified a possible target, it must (1) establish a suitable price, or range of prices, and (2) decide on the terms of payment—will it offer cash, its own common stock, bonds, or some combination? Next, the acquiring firm’s managers must decide how to approach the target company’s managers. If the acquiring firm has reason to believe that the target’s management will approve the merger, then one CEO will call the other, propose a merger, and then try to work out suitable terms. If an agreement is reached, the two management groups will issue statements to their stockholders indicating that they approve the merger, and the target firm’s management will recommend to its stockholders that they agree to the merger. Generally, the stockholders are asked to *tender* (or send in) their shares to a designated financial institution, along with a signed power of attorney that transfers ownership of the shares to the acquiring firm. The target firm’s stockholders then receive the specified payment, either common stock of the acquiring company (in which case the target company’s stockholders become stockholders of the acquiring company), cash, bonds, or some mix of cash and securities. This is a **friendly merger**. The recent Sprint-Nextel merger is an example.

Often, however, the target company’s management resists the merger. Perhaps they feel that the price offered is too low, or perhaps they simply want to keep their jobs. In either case, the acquiring firm’s offer is said to be **hostile** rather than friendly, and the acquiring firm must make a direct appeal to the target firm’s stockholders. In a hostile merger, the acquiring company will again make a *tender offer*, and again it will ask the stockholders of the target firm to tender their shares in exchange for the offered price. This time, though, the target firm’s managers will urge stockholders not to tender their shares, generally stating that the price offered (cash, bonds, or stocks in the acquiring firm) is too low.

While most mergers are friendly, recently there have been a number of interesting cases in which high-profile firms have attempted hostile takeovers. For example, Wachovia defeated a hostile bid by Sun Trust and was acquired, instead, by First Union. Looking overseas, Olivetti successfully conducted a hostile takeover of Telecom Italia, and in another hostile telecommunications merger Britain’s Vodafone AirTouch acquired its German rival, Mannesmann AG.

---

8However, if a firm is in financial difficulty, if its managers are elderly and do not think that suitable replacements are on hand, or if it needs the support (often the capital) of a larger company, then it may seek to be acquired. Thus, when a number of Texas, Ohio, and Maryland financial institutions were in trouble in the 1980s, they lobbied to get their state legislatures to pass laws that would make it easier for them to be acquired. Out-of-state banks then moved in to help salvage the situation and minimize depositor losses.
Perhaps not surprisingly, hostile bids often fail. However, an all-cash offer that is high enough will generally overcome any resistance by the target firm’s management. This appears to be a trend in the current merger wave—strategic buyers often begin the hostile bidding process with a “preemptive” or “blowout” bid. The idea here is to offer such a high premium over the preannouncement price that no other bidders will be willing to jump into the fray and the target company’s board cannot simply reject the bid. If a hostile bid is eventually accepted by the target’s board, the deal ends up as “friendly,” regardless of the acrimony during the hostile phase.

**Self-Test Question**

What’s the difference between a hostile and a friendly merger?

**MERGER REGULATION**

Prior to the mid-1960s, friendly acquisitions generally took place as simple exchange-of-stock mergers, and a proxy fight was the primary weapon used in hostile control battles. However, in the mid-1960s corporate raiders began to operate differently. First, it took a long time to mount a proxy fight—raiders had to first request a list of the target company’s stockholders, be refused, and then get a court order forcing management to turn over the list. During that time, the target’s management could think through and then implement a strategy to fend off the raider. As a result, management won most proxy fights.

Then raiders thought, “If we could bring the decision to a head quickly, before management can take countermeasures, that would greatly increase our probability of success.” That led the raiders to turn from proxy fights to tender offers, which had a much shorter response time. For example, the stockholders of a company whose stock was selling for $20 might be offered $27 per share and be given two weeks to accept. The raider, meanwhile, would have accumulated a substantial block of the shares in open market purchases, and additional shares might have been purchased by institutional friends of the raider who promised to tender their shares in exchange for the tip that a raid was to occur.

Faced with a well-planned raid, managements were generally overwhelmed. The stock might actually be worth more than the offered price, but management simply did not have time to get this message across to stockholders or to find a competing bidder. This situation seemed unfair, so Congress passed the Williams Act in 1968. This law had two main objectives: (1) to regulate the way acquiring firms can structure takeover offers and (2) to force acquiring firms to disclose more information about their offers. Basically, Congress wanted to put target managements in a better position to defend against hostile offers. Additionally, Congress believed that shareholders needed easier access to information about tender offers—including information on any securities that might be offered in lieu of cash—in order to make rational tender-versus-don’t-tender decisions.

The Williams Act placed the following four restrictions on acquiring firms:

1. Acquirers must disclose their current holdings and future intentions within 10 days of amassing at least 5 percent of a company’s stock.
2. Acquirers must disclose the source of the funds to be used in the acquisition.
3. The target firm’s shareholders must be allowed at least 20 days to tender their shares; that is, the offer must be “open” for at least 20 days.
4. If the acquiring firm increases the offer price during the 20-day open period, all shareholders who tendered prior to the new offer must receive the higher price. In total, these restrictions were intended to reduce the acquiring firm’s ability to surprise management and to
stampede target shareholders into accepting an inadequate offer. Prior to the Williams Act, offers were generally made on a first-come, first-served basis, and they were often accompanied by an implicit threat to lower the bid price after 50 percent of the shares were in hand. The legislation also gave the target more time to mount a defense, and it gave rival bidders and white knights a chance to enter the fray and thus help a target’s stockholders obtain a better price.

Many states have also passed laws designed to protect firms in their states from hostile takeovers. At first, these laws focused on disclosure requirements, but by the late 1970s several states had enacted takeover statutes so restrictive that they virtually precluded hostile takeovers. In 1979, MIT Corporation, a Delaware firm, made a hostile tender offer for Chicago Rivet and Machine Co., a publicly held Illinois corporation. Chicago Rivet sought protection under the Illinois Business Takeover Act. The constitutionality of the Illinois act was contested, and the U.S. Supreme Court found the law unconstitutional. The court ruled that the market for securities is a national market, and even though the issuing firm was incorporated in Illinois, the state of Illinois could not regulate interstate securities transactions.

The Illinois decision effectively eliminated the first generation of state merger regulations. However, the states kept trying to protect their state-headquartered companies, and in 1987 the U.S. Supreme Court upheld an Indiana law that radically changed the rules of the takeover game. Specifically, the Indiana law first defined “control shares” as enough shares to give an investor 20 percent of the vote. It went on to state that when an investor buys control shares, those shares can be voted only after approval by a majority of “disinterested shareholders,” defined as those who are neither officers nor inside directors of the company, nor associates of the raider. The law also gives the buyer of control shares the right to insist that a shareholders’ meeting be called within 50 days to decide whether the shares may be voted. The Indiana law dealt a major blow to raiders, mainly because it slows down the action. Delaware (the state in which most large companies are incorporated) later passed a similar bill, as did New York and a number of other important states.

The new state laws also have some features that protect target stockholders from their own managers. Included are limits on the use of golden parachutes, onerous debt-financing plans, and some types of takeover defenses. Because these laws do not regulate tender offers per se, but rather govern the practices of firms in the state, they have withstood all legal challenges to date. But when companies such as IBM offer 100 percent premiums for companies such as Lotus, it is hard for any defense to hold them off.

**Self-Test Questions**

Is there a need to regulate mergers? Explain.

Do the states play a role in merger regulation, or is it all done at the national level?

**OVERVIEW OF MERGER ANALYSIS**

The acquiring firm must answer two questions. First, how much would the target be worth after being incorporated into the acquirer? Notice that this may be quite different from the target’s current value, which does not reflect any post-merger synergies or tax benefits. Second, how much should the acquirer offer for the target? Obviously, a low price is better for the acquirer, but the target won’t take the offer if it is too low. Also, a higher offer price might scare off potential rival bidders. Later sections discuss setting the offer’s price and structure (cash versus stock), but for now we focus on estimating the post-merger value of the target.
There are four widely used methods for valuing target firms: (1) market multiple analysis, (2) the corporate valuation model, (3) the equity residual model, and (4) the adjusted present value model. Market multiple analysis, which we explained in Chapter 5, is the simplest, but generally the least accurate. For example, the analyst might estimate the target’s price by multiplying its earnings per share by the industry average price/earnings (P/E) ratio, which is called a multiple. Similarly, multiples of sales, book value, or number of customers could be used. Although easy to implement, the multiple approach assumes that the target is the same as the average firm in its industry. Therefore, this procedure provides at best a ballpark estimate.

The three other valuation approaches are all discounted cash flow models. Each model estimates the cash flows that will be available to some set of investors and discounts them at an appropriate rate. Recall from Chapter 11 that the corporate valuation model estimates a company’s value of operations as the present value of projected free cash flows (FCFs), discounted at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Any nonoperating assets are added to find the total value. Subtracting debt gives the value of equity, which is divided by the number of shares to determine the estimated price per share. This approach is valid if the WACC and capital structure are relatively stable. However, some companies have nonconstant capital structures in the post-merger years if the acquisitions are financed with temporarily high levels of debt that will be repaid as the merger is digested.

The equity residual model, also called the free cash flow to equity (FCFE) model, estimates the value of equity as the present value of projected free cash flows to equity, discounted at the required return on equity. Conceptually, the FCFE is the cash flow available for distribution to shareholders after the target has made all interest payments, principal repayments, and investments in operating capital to support its growth. Calculating the equity value using the FCFE model is analogous to using Chapter 5’s dividend growth model calculation except that projected dividends are replaced with the projected FCFEs. Like the corporate valuation model, the equity residual model is valid only if the post-merger capital structure is constant, because a changing capital structure causes the cost of equity to change.

In contrast, the adjusted present value model is ideally suited for situations with changing capital structures. The next section explains the adjusted present value approach and illustrates its application.

**Self-Test Questions**

What are the two questions an acquirer must answer?

What are four methods for estimating a target’s value?

**THE ADJUSTED PRESENT VALUE (APV) APPROACH**

Recall from Chapter 15 that using debt increases a firm’s value because interest payments are tax deductible. This means the government receives less tax revenue from a firm with debt than from an identical firm without debt, leaving more money available for the levered firm’s investors and thus increasing its value. The APV technique explicitly recognizes this by breaking the value of operations into two components: (1) the value of the firm’s operations as if it were unlevered (had no debt), and (2) the value of the interest tax savings, also known as the interest tax shield:

\[ V_{\text{Operations}} = V_{\text{Unlevered}} + V_{\text{Tax shield}} \]
The value of an unlevered firm’s operations is the present value of the firm’s free cash flows discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, and the value of the tax shield is the present value of all of the interest tax savings, TS, discounted at the unlevered cost of equity.9

Figure 26-1 diagrams a common situation following a merger. For several years after the merger, the cash flows grow at a nonconstant rate, and the capital structure changes annually. However, the company’s capital structure eventually stabilizes, and the cash flows eventually begin to grow at a constant rate. The steps in valuing a merger using the APV approach are as follows:

STEP 1. Project the free cash flows, FCF_t, and the interest tax shields, TS_t, for the years during which the capital structure is not stable and at least one year during which the capital structure is stable. The tax shields are equal to the forecasted interest payments multiplied by the tax rate.10 Starting at the beginning of year N (which is the end of year N − 1), the target capital structure is assumed to be stable, and the FCFs and tax shields grow at a constant rate, g.11

STEP 2. Calculate the unlevered cost of equity, r_{SU}.

STEP 3. Calculate the unlevered horizon value at time N, which is the horizon value of the free cash flows, and calculate the horizon value of the tax shields, using the constant growth formula:

\[
\text{Horizon value of unlevered firm (HVUL}_N) = \frac{FCF_{N+1}}{r_{SU} - g} = \frac{FCF_N(1 + g)}{r_{SU} - g} \quad | 26-2 |
\]

\[
\text{Horizon value of tax shield (HVTS}_N) = \frac{TS_{N+1}}{r_{SU} - g} = \frac{TS_N(1 + g)}{r_{SU} - g} \quad | 26-3 |
\]

Since the FCFs are projected to grow at a rate of g after year N, FCF_{N+1} = FCF_N(1 + g). Since the target capital structure has been reached at the beginning of year N (that is, the end of year N − 1), and the growth rate is g, then TS_{N+1} = TS_N(1 + g) as well. The unlevered horizon value is the horizon value of the company if it had no debt. The tax shield horizon value is the contribution the tax shields after year N make to the horizon value of the levered firm. Therefore the horizon value of the levered firm is the sum of the unlevered horizon value and the tax shield horizon value.

---

9See Chapter 16 for an explanation of why the unlevered cost of equity is the appropriate discount rate for the interest tax savings.

10The tax shield is based only on interest expense, not the net value of interest expense and interest income. This is because the impact of interest income is taken into account when the value of short-term investments is added later to the value of operations. Including the impact of interest income in the tax shield would be “double counting.” In other words, there are no “side effects” due to owning a short-term investment: The value of the investment to the company is just the reported value. This is in contrast to debt, which does have a “side effect” in the sense that the cost to the company is less than the reported value due to the tax shield provided by the debt.

11It is important for the capital structure to become stable by the beginning of year N (that is, at the end of year N − 1), so that the projected interest payment at year N will grow at a constant rate after year N. See the Web Extension and the Tool Kit for this chapter for more information about how to project financial statements that reflect stabilization at capital component weights based on the target capital structure.
Notes:
1. Growth rates are changing during periods 1 to N - 1. The company reaches its target capital structure at the beginning of year N (which is the end of year N - 1). After year N, both the growth rate and the capital structure are constant.
2. FCF from operations is forecasted for periods 1 to N. Beyond N, FCF grows at the rate g. Therefore, FCF_{N+1} = FCF_N(1 + g). Since the capital structure is constant starting by year N, T_{N+1} = T_N(1 + g).
3. The interest tax shield, TS, is the forecasted interest expense multiplied by the tax rate.
4. The unlevered horizon value at Year N is found using the constant growth formula, discounting the constant growth FCFs at the unlevered cost of equity, rs_U. The tax shield horizon value is found similarly. We treat the two horizon values as cash flows at time N.

\[
\text{Horizon value of unlevered firm (HVUL_N)} = \frac{FCF_{N+1}}{rs_U - g} = \frac{FCF_N(1 + g)}{rs_U - g}
\]

5. The value of the firm's unlevered operations is found as the present value of the free cash flows during periods 1 to N and the unlevered horizon value, discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, rs_U; the value of the tax shield is found as the present value of the interest tax shield during periods 1 to N and the unlevered horizon value, discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, rs_U; and the value of operations is the sum of the value of unlevered operations and the value of the tax shield:

\[
V_{\text{Unlevered}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{FCF_i}{(1 + rs_U)^{i}} + \frac{HVUL_N}{(1 + rs_U)^N}
\]

\[
V_{\text{Tax shield}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{T_S_i}{(1 + rs_U)^{i}} + \frac{HVTS_N}{(1 + rs_U)^N}
\]

\[
V_{\text{Operations}} = V_{\text{Unlevered}} + V_{\text{Tax shield}}
\]
STEP 4. Calculate the present value of the free cash flows and their horizon value. This is the value of operations for the unlevered firm, that is, the value it would have if it had no debt. Calculate the present value of the tax shields and their horizon value. This is the value that the interest tax shields contribute to the firm. The sum is the value of operations for the levered firm.

\[
V_{\text{Unlevered}} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{FCF_t}{(1 + r_{SU})^t} + \frac{HVUL_N}{(1 + r_{SU})^N}
\]

\[
V_{\text{Tax shield}} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{TS_t}{(1 + r_{SU})^t} + \frac{HVTS_N}{(1 + r_{SU})^N}
\]

\[
V_{\text{Operations}} = V_{\text{Unlevered}} + V_{\text{Tax shield}}
\]

STEP 5. To find the total value of the firm, sum the value of operations and the value of any nonoperating assets, such as marketable securities. To find the value of equity, subtract the value of the debt before the merger from the total value of the firm:

Unlevered value of operations
+ Value of tax shield
= Value of operations
+ Value of nonoperating assets
= Total value of firm
- Value of debt
= Value of equity

Thus, to apply the adjusted present value method, three key items are needed: (1) pro forma financial statements that forecast the incremental free cash flows and interest tax savings after the merger, (2) the unlevered cost of equity, and (3) the growth rate expected beyond the horizon.

The APV technique is especially useful in valuing acquisition targets. Many acquisitions are difficult to value using the corporate valuation model because (1) acquiring firms often assume the debt of the target firm, so old debt at different coupon rates is often part of the deal, and (2) the acquisition is often financed partially by new debt that will be paid down rapidly, so the proportion of debt in the capital structure changes during the years immediately following the acquisition. Thus, the debt cost and capital structure associated with a merger are usually more complex than for a typical firm. The easiest way to handle these complexities is to specify each year’s expected interest expense and use the APV method to find the value of the unlevered firm and the interest tax shields separately.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why is the adjusted present value approach appropriate for situations with a changing capital structure?
Describe the steps required to apply the APV approach.
THE FREE CASH FLOW TO EQUITY (FCFE) APPROACH

Recall from Chapter 7 that free cash flow is the cash flow available for distribution to all investors. In contrast, free cash flow to equity (FCFE) is the cash flow available for distribution to common shareholders. The FCFE may be used to pay common dividends, repurchase stock, purchase financial assets, or some combination of these methods. In other words, the uses of FCFE include all those of FCF except for distributions to debtholders. Therefore, one way to calculate FCFE is to start with FCF and reduce it by the net after-tax distributions to debtholders; subtract the after-tax interest payments to debtholders, subtract any principal payments made to debtholders, and add any new additions to debt:

\[
\text{FCFE} = \text{Free cash flow} - \text{After-tax interest expense} - \text{Principal payments} + \text{Net change in debt}
\]

The present value of all of the projected FCFEs discounted at the cost of equity is the value of the equity from operations. The total value of a company’s equity is the value of the equity from operations plus the value of any nonoperating assets. To get a per share price, simply divide by the shares outstanding. In practice, financial analysts project FCFE for several years until the growth rate becomes constant and then calculate the horizon value of the constant growth FCFE, analogous to the approach used in the dividend growth model from Chapter 5, the corporate valuation model from Chapter 11, and the APV model above. Like the corporate valuation model, the FCFE model can be applied only when the capital structure is constant.

Table 26-2 summarizes the three cash flow valuation methods and their assumptions.

Self-Test Questions
What cash flows are discounted in the FCFE model and what is the discount rate? How do the FCFE, corporate valuation, and APV models differ? How are they the same?

ILLUSTRATION OF THE THREE VALUATION APPROACHES

To illustrate the three valuation approaches, consider the analysis performed by Caldwell Inc., a large technology company, as it evaluates the potential acquisition of Tutwiler Controls. Tutwiler currently has a $62.5 million market value of equity and $27 million in debt, for a total market value of $89.5 million. Caldwell intends to finance the acquisition with a constant capital structure consisting of approximately 30 percent debt throughout the projection period and thereafter. How much would Tutwiler be worth to Caldwell after the merger?

The following sections illustrate the application of the corporate valuation model, the APV model, and the FCFE model. All three models produce an identical value of equity, but keep in mind that this is only because the capital structure
is constant. If the capital structure were to change throughout the projections, then only the APV model could be used. A later section applies the APV in the case of a nonconstant capital structure.

**Pro Forma Cash Flow Statements**

The first order of business is to estimate the post-merger cash flows that Tutwiler will produce. This is by far the most important task in any merger analysis. In a **pure financial merger**, defined as one where no operating synergies are expected, the incremental post-merger cash flows are simply the target firm’s expected cash flows. In an **operating merger**, where the two firms’ operations are to be integrated, forecasting future cash flows is obviously more difficult, because potential synergies must be estimated. People from marketing, production, human resources, and accounting play leading roles here, with finance people focusing on financing the acquisition and doing an analysis designed to determine if the projected cash flows are worth the cost. In this chapter, we take the cash flows as given and concentrate on how they are analyzed.
Table 26-3 shows Tutwiler’s projected post-merger cash flows, taking into account all expected synergies and maintaining a constant capital structure. Both Caldwell and Tutwiler are in the 40 percent marginal federal-plus-state tax bracket.

Lines 1 through 5 of the table show the operating performance that Caldwell expects from the Tutwiler subsidiary if the merger takes place, with Line 5 showing the earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) for each year. Line 6 shows taxes on EBIT based on Caldwell’s 40 percent tax rate, and Line 7 is the resulting net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT).

Some of Tutwiler’s assets will wear out or become obsolete, hence must be replaced. In addition, Caldwell plans to expand Tutwiler should the acquisition occur. Therefore, Tutwiler must make investments in net operating capital each year as shown in Line 8. Subtracting the required investments from NOPAT results in free cash flow (FCF) as shown on Line 9. This, in addition to the WACC calculation in the next section, provides enough information to apply the corporate valuation model.

In order to apply the APV model, we also need projected interest expense so that the tax shields can be projected. Line 13 shows the expected interest expense in each year, and Line 14 shows the interest tax shield, calculated as

\[
\text{Tax shield} = (\text{Interest expense})(\text{Tax rate})
\]

To apply the FCFE model, we also need the projected changes in the debt level. Line 19 shows the projected debt levels; see the Web Extension for details regarding the projection of debt and interest expense. The expected net change in debt from year to year appears in Line 20. FCFE is calculated in Line 21 as FCF (Line 9) – Interest expense (Line 13) + Interest tax shield (Line 14) + Change in debt (Line 20). See the Tool Kit for this chapter for details about how the pro forma statement was constructed.

Table 26-3 projects only five years of cash flows, but Caldwell actually plans to operate the Tutwiler subsidiary for many years—perhaps forever. We assume that beyond our five-year horizon FCFs will grow at a constant rate and the capital structure will remain stable. Based on these assumptions we can use the constant growth formula to find the present value of cash flows beyond the Year 5 horizon as shown in note c of the table.

Of course, the post-merger cash flows are extremely difficult to estimate, and in merger valuations, just as in capital budgeting analysis, sensitivity, scenario, and simulation analyses should be conducted. Indeed, in a friendly merger the acquiring firm would send a team consisting of literally dozens of financial analysts, accountants, engineers, and so forth, to the target firm’s headquarters. They would go over its books, estimate required maintenance expenditures, set values on assets such as real estate and petroleum reserves, and the like. Such an investigation, which is called due diligence, is an essential part of any merger analysis.

\[\text{An equivalent calculation for FCF is to take NOPAT and add back in depreciation, resulting in operating cash flow. Then subtract the increase in gross operating capital to calculate free cash flow. See Chapter 7 for more discussion on free cash flow and how the two calculations are the same.}\]

\[\text{We purposely kept the cash flows simple in order to focus on key analytical issues. In actual merger valuations, the cash flows would be much more complex, normally including such items as tax loss carryforwards, tax effects of plant and equipment valuation adjustments, and cash flows from the sale of some of the subsidiary’s assets.}\]
### Table 26-3
Projected Post-Merger Cash Flows for the Tutwiler Subsidiary (Millions of Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1/1/07</th>
<th>12/31/07</th>
<th>12/31/08</th>
<th>12/31/09</th>
<th>12/31/10</th>
<th>12/31/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Net sales</td>
<td>$105.0</td>
<td>$126.0</td>
<td>$151.0</td>
<td>$174.0</td>
<td>$191.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cost of goods sold</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Selling and administrative expenses</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Depreciation</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. EBIT</td>
<td>$7.0</td>
<td>$12.0</td>
<td>$16.0</td>
<td>$20.7</td>
<td>$23.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Taxes on EBIT (40%)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. NOPAT</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Less investment in net operating capital</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Free cash flow</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$5.6</td>
<td>$6.4</td>
<td>$6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Growth in free cash flow</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corporate Valuation Model Cash Flows**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Horizon value of FCF at WACC</td>
<td></td>
<td>153.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. FCF and horizon value</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$5.6</td>
<td>$6.4</td>
<td>$159.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)

### Estimating the Unlevered Cost of Equity and the WACC

We must estimate (1) Tutwiler’s current cost of equity, which is used to discount FCFEs; (2) Tutwiler’s unlevered cost of equity, which is used to discount the free cash flows and the interest tax shields for the APV; and (3) its WACC, which is used to discount FCFs for the corporate valuation model. These discount rates should reflect the risk of the cash flows expected from Tutwiler, so they should be based on Tutwiler’s costs of debt and equity, not Caldwell’s.

Tutwiler is a publicly traded company, and its market-determined pre-merger beta was 1.20. Given a risk-free rate of 7 percent and a 5 percent market risk premium, the Capital Asset Pricing Model produces a pre-merger required rate of return on equity, \( r_{sl} \), of

\[
\frac{r_{sl}}{\text{H11005}} = \frac{7\%}{\text{H11001}} + 1.2(5\%) = 13\%
\]

Equation 16-15 from Chapter 16 gives the levered required return to equity as a function of the unlevered required return, the return to debt, and the capital structure:

\[
r_{sl} = r_{su} + (r_{su} - r_d)(D/S) \quad | \text{16-15} |
\]

Recognizing that weights of debt and equity, \( w_d \) and \( w_s \), are \( D/(D + S) \) and \( S/(D + S) \), we can solve for Tutwiler’s unlevered cost of equity, \( r_{su} \):

\[
r_{su} = \frac{r_{sl}}{w_s} + \frac{w_d r_d}{w_s} \quad | \text{26-8} |
\]

Tutwiler’s pre-merger capital structure consists of $62.5 million equity and $27.0 million debt, so \( w_s = 62.5/(62.5 + 27.0) = 69.83\% \) and \( w_d = 30.17\% \). Tutwiler’s bonds yield 9 percent, so its unlevered cost of equity is
Keep in mind that if synergies cause Tutwiler’s post-merger value to increase, then a constant $w_d$ of 30.17 percent implies that the post-merger Tutwiler will carry more dollars of debt than the pre-merger Tutwiler.
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In other words, if Tutwiler had no debt, its cost of equity would be 11.793 percent.

Caldwell plans to maintain Tutwiler’s capital structure at the current 30.17 percent debt and 69.83 percent equity. Later in this chapter we show the effect on the valuation if Caldwell changes Tutwiler’s capital structure, but for now we assume that it will be 30.17 percent debt. At this capital structure, Tutwiler’s WACC is

\[
WACC = w_d(1 - T) + w_f r_f = 0.3017(0.60)(9\%) + 0.6983(13\%) = 10.707\%
\]

Notes:

1. Caldwell will file a consolidated tax return after the merger. The taxes shown here are the full corporate taxes attributable to Tutwiler’s operations.
2. Some of the cash flows generated by Tutwiler after the merger must be used to replace assets that wear out and to purchase new assets to support growth. Investment in net operating capital is calculated as the increase in net operating capital from year to year. See Chapters 7 and 11.
3. Tutwiler’s FCF, FCFE, and interest payments are all expected to grow at a constant rate of 6 percent after 2011. The value of all post-December 31, 2011, free cash flows is estimated by use of the constant growth formula to be: \(HV_{2011} = FCF_{2011}(1 + g)/(WACC - g)\). Note that the table’s calculations are based on more significant decimal places than are displayed so there may be rounding differences. See the Tool Kit for this chapter for detailed calculations.
4. Interest payments are based on Tutwiler’s existing debt, new debt to finance the acquisition, and new debt required to finance annual growth.
5. The horizon value of the tax shield is calculated as: \(TS_{2012}/(rsU_{g})\). Since Tutwiler is at its target capital structure in 2011, \(TS_{2012} = TS_{2011}(1 + g)\). The result in the table is based on more significant decimal places in \(TS_{2011}\) and \(rsU_{g}\) than are reported. See the Tool Kit for detailed calculations.
6. The horizon value of the FCFs discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, or \(HVUL_{2011}\), is calculated as \(FCF_{2011}(1 + g)/(rsU_{g})\). The result reported in the table is based on more significant decimal places in \(FCF_{2011}\) and \(rsU_{g}\) than are reported. See the Tool Kit for detailed calculations.
7. Debt is existing debt plus additional debt required to maintain a constant capital structure with \(w_d = 0.3017\). Tutwiler has $27 million in debt as of 12/31/2006. Caldwell will increase Tutwiler’s debt by $6.2 million to $33.2 million on 1/1/2007 when the merger is completed in order to maintain the capital structure with \(w_d = 0.3017\). This increase in the dollar value of debt occurs because the post-merger synergies make Tutwiler more valuable to Caldwell than it was on a stand-alone basis.
8. The increase in debt from $27 million on 12/31/06 to $33.2 million on 1/1/2007 is a free cash flow to equity.
9. FCFE is calculated as FCF (Row 9) — Interest (Row 13) + Interest tax shield (Row 14) + Change in debt (Row 20).
10. The horizon value of the FCFEs discounted at the cost of equity is calculated as \(FCFE_{2011}(1 + g)/(rsL_{g})\). The result reported in the table is based on more significant decimal places in interest expense than are reported. See the Tool Kit for detailed calculations.
11. The projected net income for Tutwiler as a stand-alone company is NOPAT — Interest expense + Interest tax shield.

\[
r_{sl} = 0.6983(13\%) + 0.3017(9\%) = 11.793\%
\]

Table 26-3

(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1/1/07</th>
<th>12/31/07</th>
<th>12/31/08</th>
<th>12/31/09</th>
<th>12/31/10</th>
<th>12/31/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APV Model Cash Flows</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Interest(^d)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Interest tax shield ((TS_i)); tax rate = 40%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Horizon value of tax shield at (r_{ud}(HVUL)^g)</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. (TS_i) and their horizon value</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Horizon value of FCF at (r_{ud}(HVTS)^j)</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. FCF(_t) and their horizon value</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>$5.6</td>
<td>$6.4</td>
<td>$131.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FCFE Model Cash Flows</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Debt(^g)</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Change in debt(^h)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. FCFE(^i)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Horizon value of FCFE at (r_{sl})</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. FCFE and horizon value</td>
<td>$6.2</td>
<td>$4.0</td>
<td>$4.1</td>
<td>$6.0</td>
<td>$6.7</td>
<td>$114.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Net income(^k)</td>
<td>$2.4</td>
<td>$5.3</td>
<td>$7.5</td>
<td>$10.2</td>
<td>$11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Valuing the Cash Flows

All three models use the same steps for valuing the cash flows: (1) calculate the horizon value, (2) find the present value of the cash flows and the horizon value, (3) add in the value of nonoperating assets, and (4) subtract the value of other claims, if necessary. The Tool Kit for this chapter provides more detail on these calculations.

Corporate Valuation Model Calculations

The horizon value of Tutwiler’s operations as of 2011 can be calculated with the constant growth formula and the WACC as the discount rate:

Corporate valuation HV_{2011} = \frac{FCF_{2012}}{(WACC - g)} = \frac{FCF_{2011}(1 + g)}{(WACC - g)} = \frac{$6.800(1.06)}{0.10707 - 0.06} = $153.1

Tutwiler’s free cash flows are shown in Row 9 of Table 26-3, and the sum of the free cash flows and the horizon value is in Row 12. The present value of the cash flows in Row 12 discounted at the WACC of 10.707% is $110.1 million, which is Tutwiler’s value of operations:

V_{Operations} = \frac{$3.2}{(1 + 0.10707)} + \frac{$3.2}{(1 + 0.10707)^2} + \frac{$5.6}{(1 + 0.10707)^3} + \frac{$6.4}{(1 + 0.10707)^4} + \frac{$159.9}{(1 + 0.10707)^5} = $110.1

There are no nonoperating assets to add, so subtracting the value of Tutwiler’s debt, $27 million, gives the value of equity as $83.1 million.

APV Model Calculations

The horizon value of Tutwiler’s unlevered cash flows (HVUL_{2011}) and tax shields (HVTS_{2011}) can be calculated with the constant growth formula and the unlevered cost of equity as the discount rate as in Equations 26-2 and 26-3. Since Tutwiler will be maintained at a constant capital structure throughout, TS_{2012} = TS_{2011}(1 + g).

HVUL_{2011} = \frac{FCF_{2012}}{(r_u - g)} = \frac{FCF_{2011}(1 + g)}{(r_u - g)} = \frac{$6.800(1.06)}{0.11793 - 0.06} = $124.4

HVTS_{2011} = \frac{TS_{2012}}{(r_u - g)} = \frac{TS_{2011}(1 + g)}{(r_u - g)} = \frac{$1.57(1.06)}{0.11793 - 0.06} = $28.7

Note that we report two decimal places in the tax shield figure in this calculation. See the Tool Kit for more details.

The sum of the two horizon values is the horizon value of operations, $153.1 million, which is the same as the horizon value calculation we reached with the corporate valuation model.

The unlevered value of operations is calculated as the present value of the individual free cash flows and the horizon value of the free cash flows. Row 9 in Table 26-3 shows the annual free cash flows, Row 17 shows their horizon value, and Row 18 shows the sum of the free cash flows and their horizon value. The present value of the cash flows in Row 18 discounted at the unlevered cost of equity, 11.793 percent, is $88.7 million.
This shows that Tutwiler’s operations would be worth $88.7 million if it had no debt.

The value of the tax shields is calculated as the present value of the individual tax shields and their horizon value. Row 14 shows the interest tax shield and Row 15 shows the interest tax shield horizon value. Row 16 shows the sum of the tax shields and their horizon value. The present value of the cash flows in Row 16 discounted at the unlevered cost of equity is $21.4 million, which is the value that the tax shields contribute to Tutwiler’s operations.

Thus Tutwiler’s operations would be worth only $88.7 million if it had no debt, and its current capital structure contributes $21.4 million in value due to the tax deductibility of its interest payments. Since Tutwiler has no nonoperating assets, the total value of the firm is the sum of the unlevered value of operations, $88.7 million, and the value of the tax shield, $21.4 million, for a total of $110.1 million. The value of the equity is the total value less Tutwiler’s outstanding debt of $27 million, for a total of $83.1 million. This is also the value we obtained using the corporate valuation model.

**FCFE Model Calculations**  The horizon value of Tutwiler’s free cash flows to equity can be calculated with the constant growth formula:

\[
V_{\text{FCFE}} = \frac{\text{FCFE}_{2011}(1 + g)}{(r_u - g)}
\]

\[
= \frac{7.06(1.06)}{0.13 - 0.06} = 106.9
\]

Again, we report two decimal places for FCFE. Notice that this horizon value is different from the APV and corporate valuation horizon values. That is because the FCFE horizon value is only for equity while the other two horizon values are for the total value of operations. If the 2011 debt of $46.2 million shown in Row 19 is added back in, the result is the same $153.1 million horizon value of operations obtained with the corporate valuation model and APV model calculations.

Row 23 in Table 26-3 shows the annual FCFE and horizon value cash flows. The present value of these cash flows at the 13 percent cost of equity gives an equity value of $83.1 million, which is the same as the APV and corporate valuation figures.

\[
V_{\text{FCFE}} = \frac{6.2}{1 + 0.13} + \frac{4.0}{(1 + 0.13)^2} + \frac{4.1}{(1 + 0.13)^3} + \frac{6.0}{(1 + 0.13)^4} + \frac{6.7}{(1 + 0.13)^5} = 83.1
\]
See the Tool Kit to this chapter for the detailed calculations. All three models agree that estimated equity value is $83.1 million, which is more than the $62.5 million current market value of Tutwiler’s equity, so Tutwiler is more valuable as a part of Caldwell than as a stand-alone corporation being run by its current managers.

**Self-Test Questions**

Why is the adjusted present value approach appropriate for situations with a changing capital structure?

Describe the steps required to apply the APV approach.

What is the difference between the FCFE, APV, and corporate valuation approaches?

## SETTING THE BID PRICE

Under the acquisition plan, Caldwell would assume Tutwiler’s debt, and it would take on additional short-term debt as necessary to complete the purchase. The valuation models show that $83.1 million is the most it should pay for Tutwiler’s stock. If it paid more, then Caldwell’s own value would be diluted. On the other hand, if it could get Tutwiler for less than $83.1 million, Caldwell’s stockholders would gain value. Therefore, Caldwell should bid something less than $83.1 million when it makes an offer for Tutwiler.

Now consider the target company. As stated earlier, Tutwiler’s value of equity as an independent operating company is worth $62.5 million. If Tutwiler were acquired at a price greater than $62.5 million, its stockholders would gain value, while they would lose value at any lower price.

The difference between $62.5 million and $83.1 million, or $20.6 million, represents **synergistic benefits** expected from the merger. If there were no synergistic benefits, the maximum bid would be the current value of the target company. The greater the synergistic gains, the greater the gap between the target’s current price and the maximum the acquiring company could pay.

The issue of how to divide the synergistic benefits is critically important. Obviously, both parties would want to get the best deal possible. In our example, if Tutwiler’s management knew the maximum price that Caldwell could pay, it would argue for a price close to $83.1 million. Caldwell, on the other hand, would try to get Tutwiler at a price as close to $62.5 million as possible.

Where, within the $62.5 to $83.1 million range, will the actual price be set? The answer depends on a number of factors, including whether Caldwell offers to pay with cash or securities, the negotiating skills of the two management teams, and, most importantly, the bargaining positions of the two parties as determined by fundamental economic conditions. To illustrate the latter point, suppose there are many companies similar to Tutwiler that Caldwell could acquire, but no company other than Caldwell that could gain synergies by acquiring Tutwiler. In this case, Caldwell would probably make a relatively low, take-it-or-leave-it offer, and Tutwiler would probably take it because some gain is better than none. On the other hand, if Tutwiler has some unique technology or other asset that many companies want, then once Caldwell announces its offer, others would probably make

---

15Row 19 shows that debt is forecast to increase from its pre-merger $27 million to $33.2 million by the end of 2006. This is because Tutwiler is more valuable after the merger, so it can support more dollars of debt while still maintaining 30 percent debt in its capital structure. The increase in debt of 33.2 − 27 = $6.2 million is an FCFE that is immediately available to Caldwell, and so is not discounted. See the Tool Kit for complete calculations and the Web Extension for a more detailed explanation.
competing bids, and the final price would probably be close to or even above $83.1 million. A price above $83.1 million presumably would be paid by some other company with a better synergistic fit or a management that is more optimistic about Tutwiler’s cash flow potential.

Caldwell would, of course, want to keep its maximum bid secret, and it would plan its bidding strategy carefully. If it thought that other bidders would emerge or that Tutwiler’s management might resist in order to preserve their jobs, it might make a high “preemptive” bid in hopes of scaring off competing bids and/or management resistance. On the other hand, it might make a lowball bid in hopes of “stealing” the company.

**Self-Test Question**

Explain the issues involved in setting the bid price.

---

**ANALYSIS WHEN THERE IS A PERMANENT CHANGE IN CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

Tutwiler currently has equity worth $62.5 million and debt of $27 million, giving it a capital structure financed with about 30 percent debt: $27.0/(62.5 + 27.0) = 0.302 = 30.2%. Suppose Caldwell has decided to increase Tutwiler’s debt from 30 percent to 50 percent over the next five years and maintain the capital structure at that level from 2011 on. How would this affect Tutwiler’s valuation? The free cash flows will not change, but the interest tax shield, the WACC, and the bid price will all change. At a 30 percent debt level, the interest rate on Tutwiler’s debt was 9 percent. However, at a 50 percent debt level, Tutwiler is more risky, and its interest rate would rise to 9.5 percent to reflect this additional risk. Because the capital structure is changing, we will only use the APV for this analysis.

**The Effect on the Tax Shield**

It is reasonable to assume that Caldwell will use more debt during the first five years of the acquisition if its long-run target capital structure is 50 percent debt. With more debt and a higher interest rate, the interest payments will be higher than those shown in Table 26-3, thus increasing the tax shield shown in Line 14. The interest payments and tax shields with more debt and a higher interest rate are projected to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>$8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest tax shield</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In these projections Tutwiler will reach its target capital structure of 50 percent debt and 50 percent equity by the start of 2011.17

---

16We are assuming for simplicity that Tutwiler has no more expected bankruptcy costs at 50 percent debt than at 30 percent debt. If Tutwiler’s risk of bankruptcy and hence its expected bankruptcy costs are larger at this higher level of debt, then its projected free cash flows should be reduced by these expected costs. In practice it is extremely difficult to estimate expected bankruptcy costs. However, these costs can be significant and should be considered when a high degree of leverage is being used.

17The last year’s projected interest expense must be consistent with the assumed capital structure in order to use the relation $T_{S_{n+1}} = T_S(1 + g)$ in calculating the tax shield horizon value. For more information on projecting financial statements, see the Web Extension and the Tool Kit to this chapter.
The Effect on the Bid Price

The new capital structure would affect the maximum bid price by changing the value of Tutwiler to Caldwell. Based on the new tax shields, the unlevered and tax shield horizon values in 2011 are calculated as:

\[
HVUL_{2011} = \frac{FCF_{2012}}{(r_sU - g)} = \frac{FCF_{2011}(1 + g)}{(r_sU - g)} = \frac{6.80(1.06)}{0.11793 - 0.06} = 124.4
\]

\[
HVTS_{2011} = \frac{TS_{2012}}{(r_sU - g)} = \frac{TS_{2011}(1 + g)}{(r_sU - g)} = \frac{3.32(1.06)}{0.11793 - 0.06} = 60.7
\]

Based on the new interest payments and horizon values, the cash flows to be discounted at the unlevered cost of equity are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FCF</th>
<th>Unlevered horizon value</th>
<th>FCF plus horizon value</th>
<th>Interest tax shield</th>
<th>Tax shield horizon value</th>
<th>TS plus horizon value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>$131.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>$131.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$64.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The present value of the free cash flows and their horizon value is $88.7 million, just as it was under the 30 percent debt policy; the unlevered value of operations is not impacted by the change in capital structure.

\[
V_{\text{Unlevered}} = \frac{3.2}{(1 + 0.11793)} + \frac{3.2}{(1 + 0.11793)^2} + \frac{5.6}{(1 + 0.11793)^3} + \frac{6.4}{(1 + 0.11793)^4} + \frac{131.2}{(1 + 0.11793)^5} = 88.7
\]

The present value of the tax shields and their horizon value is $44.3 million, which is $23.9 million more than the value of the tax shield under the 30 percent debt policy.

\[
V_{\text{Tax shield}} = \frac{2.0}{(1 + 0.11793)} + \frac{2.4}{(1 + 0.11793)^2} + \frac{2.8}{(1 + 0.11793)^3} + \frac{3.0}{(1 + 0.11793)^4} + \frac{64.0}{(1 + 0.11793)^5} = 44.3
\]

Thus, Tutwiler is worth almost $24 million more to Caldwell if it is financed with 50 percent debt rather than 30 percent debt because of the added value of the tax shields.

The value of operations under the new 50 percent debt policy is the sum of the unlevered value of operations and the value of the tax shields, or $133.0 million. There are no nonoperating assets to add, and subtracting the value of the debt of $27 million leaves the value of Tutwiler’s equity at $106.0 million. Because Tutwiler has 10 million shares outstanding, the maximum amount Caldwell should
be willing to pay per share, given a post-merger target capital structure of 50 percent debt, is $10.60. This is more than the $8.31 maximum price if the capital structure had 30 percent debt. The difference, $2.29 per share, reflects the added value of the interest tax shields under the higher-debt plan.

**Self-Test Question**

How does a change in capital structure affect the valuation analysis?

**TAXES AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE TAKEOVER BID**

In a merger, the acquiring firm can either buy the target’s assets or buy shares of stock directly from the target’s shareholders. If the offer is for the target’s assets, the target’s board of directors will make a recommendation to the shareholders, who will vote either to accept or reject the offer. If they accept the offer, the payment goes directly to the target corporation, which pays off any debt not assumed by the acquiring firm, pays any corporate taxes that are due, and then distributes the remainder of the payment to the shareholders, often in the form of a liquidating dividend. In this situation, the target firm is usually dissolved and no longer continues to exist as a separate legal entity, although its assets and work force may continue to function as a division or a wholly owned subsidiary of the acquiring firm. The acquisition of assets is a very common form of a takeover for small and medium-sized firms, especially those that are not publicly traded. A major advantage of this method relative to the acquisition of the target’s stock is that the acquiring firm simply acquires assets and is not saddled with any hidden liabilities. In contrast, if the acquiring firm buys the target’s stock, then it is responsible for any legal contingencies against the target, even for those that might have occurred prior to the takeover.

An offer for a target’s stock rather than its assets can be made either directly to the shareholders, as is typical in a hostile takeover, or indirectly through the board of directors, who in a friendly deal makes a recommendation to the shareholders to accept the offer. In a successful offer, the acquiring firm will end up owning a controlling interest, or perhaps even all of the target’s stock. Sometimes the target retains its identity as a separate legal entity and is operated as a subsidiary of the acquiring firm, and sometimes its corporate status is dissolved and it is operated as one of the acquiring firm’s divisions.

The payment offered by the acquiring firm can be in the form of cash, stock of the acquiring firm, debt of the acquiring firm, or some combination. The structure of the bid affects (1) the capital structure of the post-merger firm, (2) the tax treatment of both the acquiring firm and the target’s stockholders, (3) the ability of the target firm’s stockholders to benefit from future merger-related gains, and (4) the types of federal and state regulations to which the acquiring firm will be subjected. In this section, we discuss how acquiring firms structure their offers.

The tax consequences of the merger depend on whether it is classified as a taxable offer or a nontaxable offer. In general, a nontaxable offer is one in which the form of payment is predominately stock, although the application of this simple principle is much more complicated in practice. The Internal Revenue Code views a mostly stock merger as an exchange rather than a sale, making it a nontaxable event. However, if the offer includes a significant amount of cash or bonds, then the IRS views it as a sale, and it is a taxable transaction, just like any other sale.

---

In a nontaxable deal, target shareholders who receive shares of the acquiring company’s stock do not have to pay any taxes at the time of the merger. When they eventually sell their stock in the acquiring company, they must pay a tax on the gain. The amount of the gain is the sales price of their stock in the acquiring company minus the price at which they purchased their original stock in the target company. In a taxable offer, the gain between the offer price and the original purchase price of the target stock is taxed in the year of the merger.

All other things equal, stockholders prefer nontaxable offers, since they may then postpone taxes on their gains. Furthermore, if the target firm’s stockholders receive stock, they will benefit from any synergistic gains produced by the merger. Most target shareholders are thus willing to give up their stock for a lower price in a nontaxable offer than in a taxable one. As a result, one might expect nontaxable bids to dominate. However, this is not the case—roughly half of all mergers have been taxable. The reason for this is explained in the following paragraphs.

The form of the payment also has tax consequences for the acquiring and target firms. To illustrate, consider the following situation. The target firm has assets with a book value of $100 million, but these assets have an appraised value of $150 million. The offer by the acquiring firm is worth $225 million. If it is a nontaxable offer, then after the merger the acquiring firm simply adds the $100 million book value of the target’s assets to its own assets and continues to depreciate them according to their previous depreciation schedules. To keep the example simple, we assume the target has no debt.

The situation is more complicated for a taxable offer, and the treatment is different depending on whether the offer is for the target’s assets or for its stock. If the acquiring firm offers $225 million for the target’s assets, then the target firm must pay a tax on the gain of $225 - $100 = $125 million. Assuming a corporate tax rate of 40 percent, this tax is 0.40($125) = $50 million. This leaves the target with $225 - $50 = $175 million to distribute to its shareholders upon liquidation. Adding insult to injury, the target’s shareholders must also pay individual taxes on any of their own gains. This is truly a taxable transaction, with taxes assessed at both the corporate and individual levels! In contrast to the tax disadvantages for the target and its shareholders, the acquiring firm receives two major tax advantages. First, it records the acquired assets at their appraised value and depreciates them accordingly. Thus, it will depreciate $150 million of assets in this taxable transaction versus only $100 million in a nontaxable transaction. Second, it will create $75 million in a new asset account called “goodwill,” which is the difference between the purchase price of $225 million and the appraised value of $150 million. Tax laws that took effect in 1993 permit companies to amortize this goodwill over 15 years using the straight-line method and deduct the amortization from taxable income. The net effect is that the full purchase price of $225 million can be written off in a taxable merger versus only the original book value of $100 million in a nontaxable transaction.

Now suppose the acquiring firm offers $225 million for the target’s stock, rather than just its assets as in the example above, in a taxable offer. After completing the merger, the acquiring firm must choose between two alternative tax treatments. Under the first alternative, it will record the assets at their book value

19 This is a capital gain if it has been at least one year since they purchased their original stock in the target.
20 Even in nontaxable deals, taxes must be paid in the year of the merger by any stockholders who receive cash.
21 Our example assumes that the target is a publicly owned firm, which means that it must be a “C corporation” for tax purposes. However, if it is privately held, it might be an “S corporation,” in which case only the stockholders would be taxed. This helps smaller firms use mergers as an exit strategy.
of $100 million and continue depreciating them using their current schedules. This treatment does not create any goodwill. Under the second alternative, it will record the assets at their appraised value of $150 million and create $75 million of goodwill. As described earlier for the asset purchase, this allows the acquiring firm to effectively depreciate the entire purchase price of $225 million for tax purposes. However, there will also be an immediate tax liability on the $125 million gain, just as when the firm purchased assets. Therefore, many companies choose not to mark up the assets. Figure 26-2 illustrates the tax implications for the various types of transactions.

If you think this is complicated, you are right! At this point you should know enough to talk with specialized accountants and lawyers, or be ready to delve into tax accounting texts, but merger taxation is too complex a subject to be covered thoroughly in a general finance textbook.

Securities laws also have an effect on the construction of the offer. The SEC has oversight over the issuance of new securities, including stock or debt issued in

---

22Technically speaking, it is the target firm that is responsible for this tax on the write-up. Keep in mind, however, that the acquiring firm previously purchased the stock in the target, so it must in reality bear the brunt of the tax.
connection with a merger. Therefore, whenever a corporation bids for control of another firm through the exchange of equity or debt, the entire process must take place under the scrutiny of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The time required for such reviews allows target managements to implement defensive tactics and other firms to make competing offers, and as a result, nearly all hostile tender offers are for cash rather than securities.

Note: These are actual cash tax effects. However, the tax effects reported to shareholders will be different since shareholder statements must conform to GAAP conventions, not federal Tax Code conventions. For example, purchased goodwill can no longer be deducted for shareholder reporting, even though it is still deductible for federal tax purposes. See the box, “Tempest in a Teapot?,” which deals with changes in the accounting treatment of mergers and goodwill.
Self-Test Questions

What are some alternative ways of structuring takeover bids?
How do taxes influence the payment structure?
How do securities laws affect the payment structure?

FINANCIAL REPORTING FOR MERGERS

Although a detailed discussion of financial reporting is best left to accounting courses, the accounting implications of mergers cannot be ignored. Currently, mergers are handled using purchase accounting. Keep in mind, however, that all larger companies are required to keep two sets of books. The first is for the IRS, and it reflects the tax treatment of mergers as described in the previous section. The second is for financial reporting, and it reflects the treatment described below. As you will see, the rules for financial reporting differ from those for the IRS.

Purchase Accounting

Table 26-4 illustrates purchase accounting. Here Firm A is assumed to have “bought” Firm B in much the same way it would buy any capital asset, paying for it

Table 26-4  Accounting for Mergers: A Acquires B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Firm A (1)</th>
<th>Firm B (2)</th>
<th>$20 Paida (3)</th>
<th>$30 Paida (4)</th>
<th>$50 Paida (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$80c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>65h</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwilld</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80e</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total claims</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

aThe price paid is the net asset value, that is, total assets minus debt.
bHere we assume that Firm B’s fixed assets are written down from $25 to $15 before constructing the consolidated balance sheet.
cHere we assume that Firm B’s current and fixed assets are both increased to $30.
dGoodwill refers to the excess paid for a firm above the appraised value of the physical assets purchased. Goodwill represents payment both for intangibles such as patents and for “organization value” such as that associated with having an effective sales force. Beginning in 2001, purchased goodwill such as this could not be amortized for financial statement reporting purposes.
eFirm B’s common equity is reduced by $10 prior to consolidation to reflect the fixed asset write-off.
fFirm B’s equity is increased to $50 to reflect the above-book purchase price.

23In 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement 141, which eliminated the use of pooling accounting.
with cash, debt, or stock of the acquiring company. If the price paid is exactly equal to the acquired firm’s net asset value, which is defined as its total assets minus its liabilities, then the consolidated balance sheet will be the same as if the two statements were merged. Normally, though, there is an important difference. If the price paid exceeds the net asset value, then asset values will be increased to reflect the price actually paid, whereas if the price paid is less than the net asset value, then assets must be written down when preparing the consolidated balance sheet.

Note that Firm B’s net asset value is $30, which is also its reported common equity value. This $30 book value could be equal to the market value (which is determined by investors based on the firm’s earning power), but book value could also be more or less than the market value. Three situations are considered in Table 26-4. First, in Column 3 we assume that Firm A gives cash or stock worth $20 for Firm B. Thus, B’s assets as reported on its balance sheet were overvalued, and A pays less than B’s net asset value. The overvaluation could be in either fixed or current assets; an appraisal would be made, but we assume that it is fixed assets that are overvalued. Accordingly, we reduce B’s fixed assets and also its common equity by $10 before constructing the consolidated balance sheet shown in Column 3. Next, in Column 4, we assume that A pays exactly the net asset value for B. In this case, the financial statements are simply combined.

Finally, in Column 5 we assume that A pays more than the net asset value for B: $50 is paid for $30 of net assets. This excess is assumed to be partly attributable to undervalued assets (land, buildings, machinery, and inventories), so to reflect this undervaluation, current and fixed assets are each increased by $5. In addition, we assume that $10 of the $20 excess of market value over book value is due to a superior sales organization, or some other intangible factor, and we post this excess as goodwill. B’s common equity is increased by $20, the sum of the increases in current and fixed assets plus goodwill, and this markup is also reflected in A’s post-merger equity account.24

**Income Statement Effects**

A merger can have a significant effect on reported profits. If asset values are increased, as they often are under a purchase, this must be reflected in higher depreciation charges (and also in a higher cost of goods sold if inventories are written up). This, in turn, will further reduce reported profits. Prior to 2001, goodwill was also amortized over its expected life. Now, however, goodwill is subject to an “annual impairment test.” If the fair market value of the goodwill has declined over the year, then the amount of the decline must be charged to earnings. If not, then there is no charge, but gains in goodwill cannot be added to earnings.

Table 26-5 illustrates the income statement effects of the write-up of current and fixed assets. We assume that A purchased B for $50, creating $10 of goodwill and $10 of higher physical asset value. As Column 3 indicates, the asset markups cause reported profits to be lower than the sum of the individual companies’ reported profits.

The asset markup is also reflected in earnings per share. In our hypothetical merger, we assume that nine shares exist in the consolidated firm. (Six of these

---

24This example assumes that additional debt was not issued to help finance the acquisition. If the acquisition were totally debt financed, the post-merger balance sheet would show increases in the debt account rather than increases in the equity account. If it were financed by a mix of debt and equity, both accounts would be changed.
What is purchase accounting for mergers? What is goodwill? What impact does goodwill have on the firm's balance sheet? On its income statement?

**ANALYSIS FOR A “TRUE CONSOLIDATION”**

Most of our analysis in the preceding sections assumed that one firm plans to acquire another. However, in many situations it is hard to identify an “acquirer” and a “target”—the merger appears to be a true “merger of equals,” as was the case with the Exxon/Mobil and First Union/Wachovia mergers. In such cases, how is the analysis handled?

The first step is to estimate the value of the combined enterprise, reflecting any synergies, tax effects, or capital structure changes. The second step is to decide how to allocate the new company’s stock between the two sets of old stockholders. Normally, one would expect the consolidated value to exceed the sum of the pre-announcement values of the two companies because of synergy. For example, Company A might have had a pre-merger equity value of $10 billion, found as \((\text{Number of shares})(\text{Price per share})\), and Company B might have had a pre-merger value of $15 billion. If the post-merger value of new Company AB is estimated to be $30 billion, then that value must be allocated. Company A’s stockholders will have to receive enough shares to cause its stockholders to have a projected value of at least $10 billion, and Company B’s stockholders will have to receive at least
$15 billion. But how will the remaining $5 billion of synergistic-induced value be divided?

This is a key issue, requiring intense negotiation between the two management groups. There is no rule, or formula, that can be applied, but one basis for the allocation is the relative pre-announcement values of the two companies. For example, in our hypothetical merger of A and B to form AB, the companies might agree to give $10/$25 = 40 percent of the new stock to A’s stockholders and 60 percent to B’s stockholders. Unless a case could be made for giving a higher percentage of the shares to one of the companies because it was responsible for more of the synergistic value, then the pre-merger value proportions would seem to be a “fair” solution. In any event, the pre-merger proportions will probably be given the greatest weight in reaching the final decision.

It should also be noted that control of the consolidated company is always an issue. Generally, the companies hold a press conference and announce that the CEO of one firm will be chairman of the new company, that the other CEO will be president, that the new board will consist of directors from both old boards, and that power will be shared. With huge mergers such as those we have been seeing lately, there is plenty of power to be shared.

**Self-Test Questions**

How does merger analysis differ in the case of a large company acquiring a smaller one versus a “true merger of equals”?

Do you think the same guidelines for allocating synergistic gains would be used in both types of mergers?

### THE ROLE OF INVESTMENT BANKERS

Investment bankers are involved with mergers in a number of ways: (1) They help arrange mergers, (2) they help target companies develop and implement defensive tactics, (3) they help value target companies, (4) they help finance mergers, and (5) they invest in the stocks of potential merger candidates. These merger-related activities have been quite profitable. For example, the investment bankers and lawyers who arranged the Campeau-Federated merger earned fees of about $83 million—First Boston and Wasserstein Perella split $29 million from Campeau, and Goldman Sachs, Hellman & Friedman, and Shearson Lehman Hutton divided up $54 million for representing Federated. No wonder investment banking houses are able to make top offers to finance graduates!

### Arranging Mergers

The major investment banking firms have merger and acquisition groups that operate within their corporate finance departments. (Corporate finance departments offer advice, as opposed to underwriting or brokerage services, to business firms.) Members of these groups identify firms with excess cash that might want to buy other firms, companies that might be willing to be bought, and firms that might, for a number of reasons, be attractive to others. Sometimes dissident stockholders of firms with poor track records work with investment bankers to oust management by helping to arrange a merger. Investment bankers are reported to have offered packages of financing to corporate raiders, where the package includes both designing the securities to be used in the tender offer, plus lining up people and firms who will buy the target firm’s stock now and then tender it once the final offer is made.
Investment bankers have occasionally taken illegal actions in the merger arena. For one thing, they are reported to have *parked stock*—purchasing it for a raider under a guaranteed buy-back agreement—to help the raider de facto accumulate more than 5 percent of the target’s stock without disclosing the position. People have gone to jail for this. Recently, the entire investment banking industry has come under scrutiny, and several of the largest firms have been hit with heavy fines. Regulators proved that supposedly objective analysts were providing glowing reports to retail customers about companies the analysts privately acknowledged were poor investments. This touting helped the investment banking side of the firm get underwriting business. Merrill Lynch was fined $100 million for one analyst’s actions, and the larger firms collectively were forced to pay $1.5 billion to purchase and distribute independent research. Investors who claim they bought stock on the basis of the biased reports and then lost money are just now filing civil suits, and how much that will cost the industry is an open question.

**Developing Defensive Tactics**

Target firms that do not want to be acquired generally enlist the help of an investment banking firm, along with a law firm that specializes in mergers. Defenses include such tactics as (1) changing the bylaws so that only one-third of the directors are elected each year and/or so that a 75 percent approval (a *super majority*) versus a simple majority is required to approve a merger; (2) trying to convince the target firm’s stockholders that the price being offered is too low; (3) raising antitrust issues in the hope that the Justice Department will intervene; (4) repurchasing stock in the open market in an effort to push the price above that being offered by the potential acquirer; (5) getting a *white knight* who is acceptable to the target firm’s management to compete with the potential acquirer; (6) getting a *white squire* who is friendly to current management to buy enough of the target firm’s shares to block the merger; and (7) taking a poison pill, as described next.

*Poison pills*—which occasionally really do amount to committing economic suicide to avoid a takeover—are such tactics as borrowing on terms that require immediate repayment of all loans if the firm is acquired, selling off at bargain prices the assets that originally made the firm a desirable target, granting such lucrative *golden parachutes* to their executives that the cash drain from these payments would render the merger infeasible, and planning defensive mergers that would leave the firm with new assets of questionable value and a huge debt load. Currently, the most popular poison pill is for a company to give its stockholders *stock purchase rights* that allow them to buy at half price the stock of an acquiring firm, should the firm be acquired. The blatant use of poison pills is constrained by directors’ awareness that excessive use could trigger personal suits by stockholders against directors who voted for them, and, perhaps in the near future, bylaws that would further limit management’s use of pills. Still, investment bankers and antitakeover lawyers are busy thinking up new poison pill formulas, and others are just as busy trying to come up with antidotes.  

Another takeover defense that is being used is the employee stock ownership plan (ESOP). ESOPs are designed to give lower-level employees an ownership
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25It has become extremely difficult and expensive for companies to buy “directors’ insurance,” which protects the board from such contingencies as stockholders’ suits, and even when insurance is available it often does not pay for losses if the directors have not exercised due caution and judgment. This exposure is making directors extremely leery of actions that might trigger stockholder suits.
stake in the firm, and current tax laws provide generous incentives for companies to establish such plans and fund them with the firm’s common stock. Polaroid used an ESOP to help fend off Shamrock Holding’s hostile takeover attempt. Also, Procter & Gamble set up an ESOP that, along with an existing profit-sharing plan, eventually will give employees a 20 percent ownership stake in the company. Since the trustees of ESOPs generally support current management in any takeover attempt, and since up to 85 percent of the votes is often required to complete a merger, an ESOP can provide an effective defense against a hostile tender offer. Procter & Gamble stated that its ESOP was designed primarily to lower its costs by utilizing the plan’s tax advantages and to improve employees’ retirement security. However, the company also noted that the ESOP would strengthen its defenses against a takeover.

**Establishing a Fair Value**

If a friendly merger is being worked out between two firms’ managements, it is important to document that the agreed-upon price is a fair one; otherwise, the stockholders of either company may sue to block the merger. Therefore, in most large mergers each side will hire an investment banking firm to evaluate the target company and to help establish the fair price. For example, General Electric employed Morgan Stanley to determine a fair price for Utah International, as did Royal Dutch to help establish the price it paid for Shell Oil. Even if the merger is not friendly, investment bankers may still be asked to help establish a price. If a surprise tender offer is to be made, the acquiring firm will want to know the lowest price at which it might be able to acquire the stock, while the target firm may seek help in “proving” that the price being offered is too low.26

**Financing Mergers**

Many mergers are financed with the acquiring company’s excess cash. However, if the acquiring company has no excess cash, it will require a source of funds. Perhaps the single most important factor behind the 1980s merger wave was the development of junk bonds for use in financing acquisitions.

Drexel Burnham Lambert was the primary developer of junk bonds, defined as bonds rated below investment grade (BBB/Baa). Prior to Drexel’s actions, it was almost impossible to sell low-grade bonds to raise new capital. Drexel then pioneered a procedure under which a target firm’s situation would be appraised very closely, and a cash flow projection similar to that in Table 26-2 (but much more detailed) would be developed.

With the cash flows forecasted, Drexel’s analysts would figure out a debt structure—amount of debt, maturity structure, and interest rate—that could be serviced by the cash flows. With this information, Drexel’s junk bond people, operating out of Beverly Hills, would approach financial institutions (savings and loans, insur-
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26Such investigations must obviously be done in secret, for if someone knew that Company A was thinking of offering, say, $50 per share for Company T, which was currently selling at $35 per share, then huge profits could be made. One of the biggest scandals to hit Wall Street was the disclosure that Ivan Boesky was buying information from Dennis Levine, a senior member of the investment banking house of Drexel Burnham Lambert, about target companies that Drexel was analyzing for others. Purchases based on such insider information would, of course, raise the prices of the stocks and thus force Drexel’s clients to pay more than they otherwise would have had to pay. Levine and Boesky, among others, went to jail for their improper use of insider information.
ance companies, pension funds, and mutual funds) with a financing plan, and they would offer a rate of return several percentage points above the rate on more conservative investments. Drexel's early deals worked out well, and the institutions that bought the bonds were quite pleased. These results enabled Drexel to expand its network of investors, which increased its ability to finance larger and larger mergers. T. Boone Pickens, who went after Phillips, Texaco, and several other oil giants, was an early Drexel customer, as was Ted Turner.

To be successful in the mergers and acquisitions (M&A) business, an investment banker must be able to offer a financing package to clients, whether they are acquirers who need capital to take over companies or target companies trying to finance stock repurchase plans or other defenses against takeovers. Drexel was the leading player in the merger financing game during the 1980s, but since Drexel's bankruptcy Merrill Lynch, Morgan Stanley, Salomon Smith Barney, and others are all vying for the title.

**Arbitrage Operations**

**Arbitrage** generally means simultaneously buying and selling the same commodity or security in two different markets at different prices, and pocketing a risk-free return. However, the major brokerage houses, as well as some wealthy private investors, are engaged in a different type of arbitrage called *risk arbitrage*. The arbitrageurs, or “arbs,” speculate in the stocks of companies that are likely takeover targets. Vast amounts of capital are required to speculate in a large number of securities and thus reduce risk, and also to make money on narrow spreads. However, the large investment bankers have the wherewithal to play the game. To be successful, arbs need to be able to sniff out likely targets, assess the probability of offers reaching fruition, and move in and out of the market quickly and with low transactions costs.

The risk arbitrage business has been rocked by insider trading scandals. Indeed, the most famous arb of all, Ivan Boesky, was caught buying inside information from executives of some leading investment banking houses and law firms. The Boesky affair slowed risk arbitrage activity for awhile, but it is now back.

**Self-Test Questions**

- What are some defensive tactics that firms can use to resist hostile takeovers?
- What is the difference between pure arbitrage and risk arbitrage?
- What role did junk bonds play in the merger wave of the 1980s?

**WHO WINS: THE EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE**

All the recent merger activity has raised two questions: (1) Do corporate acquisitions create value, and, (2) if so, how is the value shared between the parties?

Most researchers agree that takeovers increase the wealth of the shareholders of target firms, for otherwise they would not agree to the offer. However, there is a debate as to whether mergers benefit the acquiring firm’s shareholders. In particular, managements of acquiring firms may be motivated by factors other than shareholder wealth maximization. For example, they may want to merge merely to increase the size of the corporations they manage, because increased size usually brings larger salaries plus job security, perquisites, power, and prestige.

The question of who gains from corporate acquisitions can be tested by examining the stock price changes that occur around the time of a merger or takeover...
announcement. Changes in the stock prices of the acquiring and target firms represent market participants’ beliefs about the value created by the merger, and about how that value will be divided between the target and acquiring firms’ shareholders. So, examining a large sample of stock price movements can shed light on the issue of who gains from mergers.

One cannot simply examine stock prices around merger announcement dates, because other factors influence stock prices. For example, if a merger was announced on a day when the entire market advanced, the fact that the target firm’s price rose would not necessarily signify that the merger was expected to create value. Hence, studies examine abnormal returns associated with merger announcements, where abnormal returns are defined as that part of a stock price change caused by factors other than changes in the general stock market.

These “event studies” have examined both acquiring and target firms’ stock price responses to mergers and tender offers. Jointly, they have covered nearly every acquisition involving publicly traded firms from the early 1960s to the present, and they are remarkably consistent in their results: On average, the stock prices of target firms increase by about 30 percent in hostile tender offers, while in friendly mergers the average increase is about 20 percent. However, for both hostile and friendly deals, the stock prices of acquiring firms, on average, remain constant. Thus, the event study evidence strongly indicates (1) that acquisitions do create value, but (2) that shareholders of target firms reap virtually all the benefits.

The event study evidence suggests that mergers benefit targets but not acquirers, hence that acquiring firms’ stockholders should be skeptical of their managers’ plans for acquisitions. This evidence cannot be dismissed out of hand, but neither is it entirely convincing. There are undoubtedly many good mergers, just as there are many poorly conceived ones. Like most of finance, merger decisions should be studied carefully, and it is best not to judge the outcome of a specific merger until the actual results start to come in.

**Self-Test Questions**

Explain how researchers can study the effects of mergers on shareholder wealth.
Do mergers create value? If so, who profits from this value?
Do the research results discussed in this section seem logical? Explain.

**CORPORATE ALLIANCES**

Mergers are one way for two companies to join forces, but many companies are striking cooperative deals, called corporate, or strategic, alliances, which stop far short of merging. Whereas mergers combine all of the assets of the firms involved, as well as their ownership and managerial expertise, alliances allow firms to create combinations that focus on specific business lines that offer the most potential synergies. These alliances take many forms, from simple marketing agreements to joint ownership of worldwide operations.

One form of corporate alliance is the joint venture, in which parts of companies are joined to achieve specific, limited objectives. A joint venture is controlled by a management team consisting of representatives of the two (or more)

---


28Cross-licensing, consortia, joint bidding, and franchising are still other ways for firms to combine resources. For more information on joint ventures, see Sanford V. Berg, Jerome Duncan, and Phillip Friedman, *Joint Venture Strategies and Corporate Innovation* (Cambridge, MA: Oelgeschlager, Gunn and Hain, 1982).
parent companies. Joint ventures have been used often by U.S., Japanese, and European firms to share technology and/or marketing expertise. For example, Whirlpool announced a joint venture with the Dutch electronics giant Philips to produce appliances under Philips’s brand names in five European countries. By joining with their foreign counterparts, U.S. firms are gaining a stronger foothold in Europe. Although alliances are new to some firms, they are established practices to others. For example, Corning Glass now obtains over half of its profits from 23 joint ventures, two-thirds of them with foreign companies representing almost all of Europe, as well as Japan, China, South Korea, and Australia.

A recent study of 345 corporate alliances found that the stock prices of both partners in an alliance tended to increase when the alliance was announced, with an average abnormal return of about 0.64 percent on the day of the announcement. About 43 percent of the alliances were marketing agreements, 14 percent were R&D agreements, 11 percent were for licensing technology, 7 percent for technology transfers, and 25 percent were for some combination of the four basic reasons. Although most alliances were for marketing agreements, the market reacted most favorably when the alliance was for technology sharing between two firms in the same industry. The study also found that the typical alliance lasted at least five years, and the allied firms had better operating performance than their industry peers during this period.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is the difference between a merger and a corporate alliance?

What is a joint venture? Give some reasons why joint ventures may be advantageous to the parties involved.

---

**LEVERAGED BUYOUTS**

In a leveraged buyout (LBO) a small group of investors, usually including current management, acquires a firm in a transaction financed largely by debt. The debt is serviced with funds generated by the acquired company’s operations and, often, by the sale of some of its assets. Generally, the acquiring group plans to run the acquired company for a number of years, boost its sales and profits, and then take it public again as a stronger company. In other instances, the LBO firm plans to sell off divisions to other firms that can gain synergies. In either case, the acquiring group expects to make a substantial profit from the LBO, but the inherent risks are great due to the heavy use of financial leverage. To illustrate the profit potential, Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Company (KKR), a leading LBO specialist firm, averaged a spectacular 50 percent annual return on its LBO investments during the 1980s. However, high stock prices for target firms have dampened the returns on recent LBO investments, so current activity is slower than in its heyday of the 1980s.

An illustration of an LBO was KKR’s buyout of RJR Nabisco. RJR, a leading producer of tobacco and food products with brands such as Winston, Camel, Planters, Ritz, Oreo, and Del Monte, was trading at about $55 a share in October 1988. Then, F. Ross Johnson, the company’s chairman and CEO, announced a $75-a-share, or $17.6 billion, offer to outside stockholders in a plan to take the firm private. 
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private. This deal, if completed, would have been the largest business transaction up
to that time. After the announcement, RJR’s stock price soared from $55 to $77.25,
which indicated that investors thought the final price would be even higher than
Johnson’s bid. A few days later KKR offered $90 per share, or $20.6 billion. The
battle between the two bidders raged until late November, when RJR’s board
accepted KKR’s final bid of cash and securities worth about $106 a share, for a total
value of about $25 billion. Of course, the investment bankers’ fees reflected the
record size of the deal—the bankers received almost $400 million, with Drexel
Burnham Lambert alone getting over $200 million. Johnson lost his job, but he
walked away with a multimillion-dollar golden parachute.

KKR wasted no time in restructuring the newly private RJR. In June 1989,
RJR sold its five European businesses to France’s BSN for $2.5 billion. Then, in
September RJR sold the tropical fruit portion of its Del Monte foods unit to Polly
Peck, a London-based food company, for $875 million. In the same month, RJR
sold the Del Monte canned foods business to an LBO group led by Citicorp Ven-
ture Capital for $1.48 billion. Next, in October 1990 RJR sold its Baby Ruth,
Butterfinger, and Pearson candy businesses to Nestlé, a Swiss company, for $370
million. In total, RJR sold off more than $5 billion worth of businesses in 1990 to
help pay down the tremendous debt taken on in the LBO. In addition to asset
sales, in 1991 RJR went public again by issuing more than $1 billion in new com-
mon stock, which placed about 25 percent of the firm’s common stock in public
hands. Also, as the firm’s credit rating improved due to the retirement of some of
its debt, RJR issued about $1 billion of new debt at significantly lower rates and
used the proceeds to retire even more of its high-cost debt.

The RJR Nabisco story is the classic LBO tale—a company is taken private in
a highly leveraged deal, the private firm’s high-cost junk debt is reduced through
asset sales, and finally the company again goes public, which gives the original
LBO dealmakers the opportunity to “cash out.” This story, however, did not have
a fairy-tale ending. When KKR finally sold the last of its RJR shares in early
1995, it made a profit of about $60 million on a $3.1 billion investment, hardly a
stellar return. The best a KKR spokesman could say about the deal was that “it
preserved investors’ equity.” The transaction was largely financed by outside
investors, with KKR putting up only $126 million of the original investment. Even
though the return on their investment was the same as that received by outside
investors, KKR earned an additional $500 million in transactions, advisor, man-
agement, and directors’ fees.

Regardless of the outcome of the RJR Nabisco deal, there have been some
spectacularly successful LBOs. For example, in an early deal that helped fuel the
LBO wave, William Simon and Raymond Chambers bought Gibson Greeting
Cards in 1982 for $1 million in equity and $79 million in debt. Less than 18
months later, Simon’s personal investment of $330,000 was worth $66 million in
cash and stock. However, there have also been some spectacular failures. For
example, in 1988 Revco became the first large LBO to file for Chapter 11 bank-
ruptcy. It turned out that sales were nearly $1 billion short of the $3.4 billion
forecasted at the time of the drugstore chain’s buyout.30

30For a more detailed discussion of the impact of the RJR LBO on the firm’s different classes of investors, see Nancy
Mohan and Carl R. Chen, “A Review of the RJR-Nabisco Buyout,” Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Summer 1990,
pp. 102–108. For interesting discussions of highly leveraged takeovers, see Martin S. Fridson, “What Went Wrong with the
Highly Leveraged Deals? (Or, All Variety of Agency Costs),” Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Fall 1991, pp. 47–57;
and “The Economic Consequences of High Leverage and Stock Market Pressures on Corporate Management: A Round
Table Discussion,” Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Summer 1990, pp. 6–57.
DIVESTITURES

There are four types of divestitures. Sale to another firm generally involves the sale of an entire division or unit, usually for cash but sometimes for stock in the acquiring firm. In a spin-off, the firm’s existing stockholders are given new stock representing separate ownership rights in the division that was divested. The division establishes its own board of directors and officers, and it becomes a separate company. The stockholders end up owning shares of two firms instead of one, but no cash has been transferred. In a carve-out, a minority interest in a corporate subsidiary is sold to new shareholders, so the parent gains new equity financing yet retains control. Finally, in a liquidation the assets of a division are sold off piecemeal, rather than as an operating entity. To illustrate the different types of divestitures, we now present some recent examples.

For example, PepsiCo spun off its fast-food business, which included Pizza Hut, Taco Bell, and Kentucky Fried Chicken. The spun-off businesses now operate under the name Yum! Brands. PepsiCo originally acquired the chains because it wanted to increase the distribution channels for its soft drinks. Over time, however, PepsiCo began to realize that the soft-drink and restaurant businesses were quite different, and synergies between them were less than anticipated. The spin-off was part of PepsiCo’s attempt to once again focus on its core business. However, PepsiCo tried to maintain these distribution channels by signing long-term contracts that ensure that PepsiCo products will be sold exclusively in each of the three spun-off chains.

United Airlines sold its Hilton International Hotels subsidiary to Ladbroke Group PLC of Britain for $1.1 billion and also sold its Hertz rental car unit and its Westin hotel group. The sales culminated a disastrous strategic move by United to build a full-service travel empire. The failed strategy resulted in the firing of Richard J. Ferris, the company’s chairman. The move into nonairline travel-related businesses had been viewed by many analysts as a mistake, because there were few synergies to be gained. Further, analysts feared that United’s managers, preoccupied by running hotels and rental car companies, would not maintain the company’s focus in the highly competitive airline industry. The funds raised by the divestitures were paid out to United’s shareholders as a special dividend.

General Motors (GM) spun off its Electronic Data Systems (EDS) subsidiary. EDS, a computer services company founded in 1962 by Ross Perot, prospered as an independent company until it was acquired by GM in 1984. The rationale for the acquisition was that EDS’s expertise would help GM both operate better in the information age and build cars that encompassed leading-edge computer technology. However, the spread of desktop computers and the movement of companies to downsize their internal computer staffs caused EDS’s non-GM business to soar. Ownership by GM hampered EDS’s ability to strike alliances and, in some cases, to enter into business agreements. The best way for EDS to compete in its industry was as an independent; hence it was spun off.

As these examples illustrate, the reasons for divestitures vary widely. Sometimes the market feels more comfortable when firms “stick to their knitting”; the
PepsiCo and United Airlines divestitures are examples. Sometimes companies need cash either to finance expansion in their primary business lines or to reduce a large debt burden, and divestitures can be used to raise this cash. The divestitures also show that running a business is a dynamic process—conditions change, corporate strategies change in response, and as a result firms alter their asset portfolios by acquisitions and/or divestitures. Some divestitures are to unload losing assets that would otherwise drag the company down.

In general, the empirical evidence shows that the market reacts favorably to divestitures, with the divesting company typically having a small increase in stock price on the day of the announcement. The announcement-day returns are largest for companies that “undo” previous conglomerate mergers by divesting businesses in unrelated areas. Studies also show that divestitures generally lead to superior operating performance for both the parent and the divested company.

**Self-Test Questions**

1. What are some types of divestitures?
2. What are some motives for divestitures?

**HOLDING COMPANIES**

**Holding companies** date from 1889, when New Jersey became the first state to pass a law permitting corporations to be formed for the sole purpose of owning the stocks of other companies. Many of the advantages and disadvantages of holding companies are identical to those of any large-scale organization. Whether a company is organized on a divisional basis or with subsidiaries kept as separate companies does not affect the basic reasons for conducting a large-scale, multiproduct, multiplant operation. However, as we show next, the use of holding companies to control large-scale operations has some distinct advantages and disadvantages.

**Advantages of Holding Companies**

1. **Control with fractional ownership.** Through a holding company operation, a firm may buy 5, 10, or 50 percent of the stock of another corporation. Such fractional ownership may be sufficient to give the holding company effective working control over the operations of the company in which it has acquired stock ownership. Working control is often considered to entail more than 25 percent of the common stock, but it can be as low as 10 percent if the stock is widely distributed. One financier says that the attitude of management is more important than the number of shares owned: “If management thinks you can control the company, then you do.” In addition, control on a very slim margin can be held through relationships with large stockholders outside the holding company group.

2. **Isolation of risks.** Because the various operating companies in a holding company system are separate legal entities, the obligations of any one unit are separate from those of the other units. Therefore, catastrophic losses incurred by one unit of the holding company system may not be translatable into claims
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on the assets of the other units. However, we should note that while this is a
customary generalization, it is not always valid. First, the parent company
may feel obligated to make good on the subsidiary’s debts, even though it is
not legally bound to do so, in order to keep its good name and to retain cus-
tomers. An example of this was American Express’s payment of more than
$100 million in connection with a swindle that was the responsibility of one
of its subsidiaries. Second, a parent company may feel obligated to supply
capital to an affiliate in order to protect its initial investment; General Public
Utilities’ continued support of its subsidiary’s Three Mile Island nuclear plant
after the accident at that plant is an example. And, third, when lending to one
of the units of a holding company system, an astute loan officer may require a
guarantee by the parent holding company. To some degree, therefore, the
assets in the various elements of a holding company are not really separate.
Still, a catastrophic loss, as could occur if a drug company’s subsidiary distrib-
uted a batch of toxic medicine, may be avoided.33

Disadvantages of Holding Companies

1. Partial multiple taxation. Provided the holding company owns at least 80 per-
cent of a subsidiary’s voting stock, the IRS permits the filing of consolidated
returns, in which case dividends received by the parent are not taxed. However,
if less than 80 percent of the stock is owned, then tax returns cannot be con-
solidated. Firms that own more than 20 percent but less than 80 percent of
another corporation can deduct 80 percent of the dividends received, while
firms that own less than 20 percent may deduct only 70 percent of the divi-
dends received. This partial double taxation somewhat offsets the benefits of
holding company control with limited ownership, but whether the tax penalty
is sufficient to offset other possible advantages varies from case to case.
2. Ease of enforced dissolution. It is relatively easy to require dissolution by dis-
posal of stock ownership of a holding company operation found guilty of
antitrust violations. For instance, in the 1950s DuPont was required to dispose
of its 23 percent stock interest in General Motors Corporation, acquired in the
early 1920s. Because there was no fusion between the corporations, there were
no difficulties from an operating standpoint in requiring the separation of the
two companies. However, if complete amalgamation had taken place, it would
have been much more difficult to break up the company after so many years,
and the likelihood of forced divestiture would have been reduced.

Holding Companies as a Leveraging Device

The holding company vehicle has been used to obtain huge degrees of financial
leverage. In the 1920s, several tiers of holding companies were established in the
electric utility, railroad, and other industries. In those days, an operating company
at the bottom of the pyramid might have $100 million of assets, financed by $50
million of debt and $50 million of equity. Then, a first-tier holding company
might own the stock of the operating firm as its only asset and be financed with
$25 million of debt and $25 million of equity. A second-tier holding company,

---

33Note, though, that the parent company would still be held accountable for such losses if it were deemed to exercise
operating control over the subsidiary. Thus, Union Carbide was held responsible for its subsidiary’s Bhopal, India, disaster.
which owned the stock of the first-tier company, might be financed with $12.5 million of debt and $12.5 million of equity. Such systems were extended to five or six levels. With six holding companies, $100 million of operating assets could be controlled at the top by only $0.78 million of equity, and the operating assets would have to provide enough cash income to support $99.22 million of debt. Such a holding company system is highly leveraged—its consolidated debt ratio is 99.22 percent, even though each of the individual components shows only a 50 percent debt/assets ratio. Because of this consolidated leverage, even a small decline in profits at the operating company level could bring the whole system down like a house of cards. This situation existed in the electric utility industry in the 1920s, and the Depression of the 1930s wreaked havoc with the holding companies and led to federal legislation that constrained holding companies in that industry.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is a holding company?

What are some of the advantages of holding companies? What are some of the disadvantages?

---

**SUMMARY**

This chapter included discussions of mergers, divestitures, holding companies, and LBOs. The majority of the discussion in this chapter was on mergers. We discussed the rationale for mergers, different types of mergers, the level of merger activity, merger regulation, and merger analysis. We also showed how to use the adjusted present value method to value target firms. We also explained how the acquiring firm can structure its takeover bid, the different ways accountants treat mergers, and investment bankers’ roles in arranging and financing mergers. In addition, we discussed two cooperative arrangements that fall short of mergers: corporate, or strategic, alliances and joint ventures. The key concepts covered are listed below:

- A **merger** occurs when two firms combine to form a single company. The primary motives for mergers are (1) synergy, (2) tax considerations, (3) purchase of assets below their replacement costs, (4) diversification, (5) gaining control over a larger enterprise, and (6) breakup value.
- Mergers can provide economic benefits through **economies of scale** and through putting assets in the hands of **more efficient managers**. However, mergers also have the potential for reducing competition, and for this reason they are carefully regulated by government agencies.
- In most mergers, one company (the **acquiring firm**) initiates action to take over another (the **target firm**).
- A **horizontal merger** occurs when two firms in the same line of business combine.
- A **vertical merger** combines a firm with one of its customers or suppliers.
- A **congeneric merger** involves firms in related industries, but where no customer-supplier relationship exists.
- A **conglomerate merger** occurs when firms in totally different industries combine.
- In a **friendly merger**, the managements of both firms approve the merger, whereas in a **hostile merger**, the target firm’s management opposes it.
• An operating merger is one in which the operations of the two firms are combined. A financial merger is one in which the firms continue to operate separately, hence, no operating economies are expected.
• In a typical merger analysis, the key issues to be resolved are (1) the price to be paid for the target firm and (2) the employment/control situation. If the merger is a consolidation of two relatively equal firms, an issue is, “What percentage of the ownership do each merger partner’s shareholders receive?”
• Four methods are commonly used to determine the value of the target firm: (1) market multiple analysis, (2) the corporate valuation model, (3) the free cash flow to equity (FCFE) model, and (4) the adjusted present value (APV) model. The three cash flow models give the same value if implemented correctly, but the APV model is the easiest to implement when the capital structure is changing.
• For accounting purposes, mergers are handled as a purchase.
• A joint venture is a corporate alliance in which two or more companies combine some of their resources to achieve a specific, limited objective.
• A leveraged buyout (LBO) is a transaction in which a firm’s publicly owned stock is acquired in a mostly debt-financed tender offer, and a privately owned, highly leveraged firm results. Often, the firm’s own management initiates the LBO.
• A divestiture is the sale of some of a company’s operating assets. A divestiture may involve (1) selling an operating unit to another firm, (2) spinning off a unit as a separate company, (3) carving out a unit by selling a minority interest, and (4) the outright liquidation of a unit’s assets.
• The reasons for divestiture include to settle antitrust suits, to clarify what a company actually does, to enable management to concentrate on a particular type of activity, and to raise capital needed to strengthen the corporation’s core business.
• A holding company is a corporation that owns sufficient stock in another firm to control it. The holding company is also known as the parent company, and the companies that it controls are called subsidiaries, or operating companies.
• Advantages to holding company operations include (1) control can often be obtained for a smaller cash outlay, (2) risks may be segregated, and (3) regulated companies can operate separate subsidiaries for their regulated and unregulated businesses.
• Disadvantages to holding company operations include (1) tax penalties and (2) the fact that incomplete ownership, if it exists, can lead to control problems.

QUESTIONS

26-1 Define each of the following terms:
  a. Synergy; merger
  b. Horizontal merger; vertical merger; congeneric merger; conglomerate merger
  c. Friendly merger; hostile merger; defensive merger; tender offer; target company; breakup value; acquiring company
  d. Operating merger; financial merger
  e. Adjusted present value (APV) model
  f. Free cash flow to equity
  g. Purchase accounting
h. White knight; poison pill; golden parachute; proxy fight
i. Joint venture; corporate alliance
j. Divestiture; spin-off; leveraged buyout (LBO)
k. Holding company; operating company; parent company
l. Arbitrage; risk arbitrage

26-2 Four economic classifications of mergers are (1) horizontal, (2) vertical, (3) conglomerate, and (4) congeneric. Explain the significance of these terms in merger analysis with regard to (a) the likelihood of governmental intervention and (b) possibilities for operating synergy.

26-3 Firm A wants to acquire Firm B. Firm B’s management agrees that the merger is a good idea. Might a tender offer be used?

26-4 Distinguish between operating mergers and financial mergers.

26-5 Distinguish between the APV, FCFE, and corporate valuation models.

PROBLEMS

The following information is required to work Problems 26-1 through 26-4.

Hastings Corporation is interested in acquiring Vandell Corporation. Vandell has 1 million shares outstanding and a target capital structure consisting of 30 percent debt. Vandell’s debt interest rate is 8 percent. Assume that the risk-free rate of interest is 5 percent and the market risk premium is 6 percent. Both Vandell and Hastings face a 40 percent tax rate.

26-1 Valuation

Vandell’s free cash flow (FCF₀) is $2 million per year and is expected to grow at a constant rate of 5 percent a year; its beta is 1.4. What is the value of Vandell’s operations? If Vandell has $10.82 million in debt, what is the current value of Vandell’s stock? (Hint: Use the corporate valuation model of Chapter 11.)

26-2 Merger Valuation

Hastings estimates that if it acquires Vandell, interest payments will be $1,500,000 per year for 3 years after which the current target capital structure of 30 percent debt will be maintained. Interest in the fourth year will be $1.472 million after which interest and the tax shield will grow at 5 percent. Synergies will cause the free cash flows to be $2.5 million, $2.9 million, $3.4 million, and then $3.57 million, after which the free cash flows will grow at a 5 percent rate. What is the unlevered value of Vandell and what is the value of its tax shields? What is the per share value of Vandell to Hastings Corporation? Assume Vandell now has $10.82 million in debt.

26-3 Merger Bid

On the basis of your answers to Problems 26-1 and 26-2, if Hastings were to acquire Vandell, what would be the range of possible prices that it could bid for each share of Vandell common stock?

26-4 Merger Valuation with Change in Capital Structure

Assuming the same information as for Problem 26-2, suppose Hastings will increase Vandell’s level of debt at the end of Year 3 to $30.6 million so that the target capital structure is now 45 percent debt. Assume that with this higher level of debt the interest rate would be 8.5 percent and that interest payments in Year 4 are based on the new debt level from the end of Year 3 and new interest rate. Again, free cash flows and tax shields are projected to grow at 5 percent after Year 4. What are the values of the unlevered firm and the tax shield, and what is the maximum price Hastings would bid for Vandell now?
Marston Marble Corporation is considering a merger with the Conroy Concrete Company. Conroy is a publicly traded company, and its beta is 1.30. Conroy has been barely profitable, so it has paid an average of only 20 percent in taxes during the last several years. In addition, it uses little debt, having a target ratio of just 25 percent, with the cost of debt 9 percent.

If the acquisition were made, Marston would operate Conroy as a separate, wholly owned subsidiary. Marston would pay taxes on a consolidated basis, and the tax rate would therefore increase to 35 percent. Marston also would increase the debt capitalization in the Conroy subsidiary to \( \frac{w_d}{w_l} = 40\% \) for a total of $22.27 million in debt by the end of Year 4, and pay 9.5 percent on the debt. Marston’s acquisition department estimates that Conroy, if acquired, would generate the following free cash flows and interest expenses (in millions of dollars) in Years 1–5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Free Cash Flows</th>
<th>Interest Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.30</td>
<td>$1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Year 5 Conroy’s interest expense would be based on its beginning-of-year (that is, the end-of-Year-4) debt, and in subsequent years both interest expense and free cash flows are projected to grow at a rate of 6 percent.

These cash flows include all acquisition effects. Marston’s cost of equity is 14 percent, its beta is 1.0, and its cost of debt is 10 percent. The risk-free rate is 6 percent, and the market risk premium is 4.5 percent.

a. What is the value of Conroy’s unlevered operations and what is the value of Conroy’s tax shields under the proposed merger and financing arrangements?

b. What is the dollar value of Conroy’s operations? If Conroy has $10 million in debt outstanding, how much would Marston be willing to pay for Conroy?

VolWorld Communications Inc., a large telecommunications company, is evaluating the possible acquisition of Bulldog Cable Company (BCC), a regional cable company. VolWorld’s analysts project the following post-merger data for BCC (in thousands of dollars, with a December 31 year-end):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$518</td>
<td>$555</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling and administrative expense</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net operating capital</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate after merger: 35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold as a percent of sales: 65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC’s pre-merger beta: 1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free rate: 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market risk premium: 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal growth rate of free cash flows: 7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the acquisition is made, it will occur on January 1, 2007. All cash flows shown in the income statements are assumed to occur at the end of the year. BCC currently has a capital structure of 40 percent debt, which costs 10 percent, but over the next
four years VolWorld would increase that to 50 percent. The target capital structure will be reached by the start of 2011. BCC, if independent, would pay taxes at 20 percent, but its income would be taxed at 35 percent if it were consolidated. BCC’s current market-determined beta is 1.40. The cost of goods sold is expected to be 65 percent of sales.

a. What is the unlevered cost of equity for BCC?
b. What are the free cash flows and interest tax shields for the first 5 years?
c. What is BCC’s horizon value of interest tax shields and unlevered horizon value?
d. What is the value of BCC’s equity to VolWorld’s shareholders if BCC has $300,000 in debt outstanding now?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

26-7
Build a Model: Merger Analysis

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch26 P07 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Wansley Portal Inc., a large Internet service provider, is evaluating the possible acquisition of Alabama Connections Company (ACC), a regional Internet service provider. Wansley’s analysts project the following post-merger data for ACC (in thousands of dollars):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling and administrative expense</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the acquisition is made, it will occur on January 1, 2007. All cash flows shown in the income statements are assumed to occur at the end of the year. ACC currently has a capital structure of 30 percent debt, which costs 9 percent, but Wansley would increase that over time to 40 percent, costing 10 percent, if the acquisition were made. ACC, if independent, would pay taxes at 30 percent, but its income would be taxed at 35 percent if it were consolidated. ACC’s current market-determined beta is 1.40. The cost of goods sold, which includes depreciation, is expected to be 65 percent of sales, but it could vary somewhat. Required gross investment in operating capital is approximately equal to the depreciation charged, so there will be no investment in net operating capital. The risk-free rate is 7 percent, and the market risk premium is 6.5 percent. Wansley currently has $400,000 in debt outstanding.

a. What is the appropriate discount rate for valuing the acquisition?
b. What is the horizon value of the tax shields and the unlevered operations?
   What is the value of ACC’s operations and the value of ACC’s equity to Wansley’s shareholders?

**CYBERPROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Cyberproblems.

**THOMSON ONE Business School Edition PROBLEM**

Please go to the ThomsonNOW Web site to access any Thomson ONE—Business School Edition problems.
Hager’s Home Repair Company, a regional hardware chain that specializes in “do-it-yourself” materials and equipment rentals, is cash rich because of several consecutive good years. One of the alternative uses for the excess funds is an acquisition. Doug Zona, Hager’s treasurer and your boss, has been asked to place a value on a potential target, Lyons Lighting (LL), a chain that operates in several adjacent states, and he has enlisted your help.

The table below indicates Zona’s estimates of LL’s earnings potential if it came under Hager’s management (in millions of dollars). The interest expense listed here includes the interest (1) on LL’s existing debt, which is $55 million at a rate of 9 percent, and (2) on new debt expected to be issued over time to help finance expansion within the new “L division,” the code name given to the target firm. If acquired, LL will face a 40 percent tax rate.

Security analysts estimate LL’s beta to be 1.3. The acquisition would not change Lyons’s capital structure, which is 20 percent debt. Zona realizes that Lyons Lighting’s business plan also requires certain levels of operating capital and that the annual investment could be significant. The required levels of total net operating capital are listed below.

Zona estimates the risk-free rate to be 9 percent and the market risk premium to be 4 percent. He also estimates that free cash flows after 2011 will grow at a constant rate of 6 percent. Following are projections for sales and other items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net sales</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$112.50</td>
<td>$127.50</td>
<td>$139.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold (60%)</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling/administrative expense</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net operating capital</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>157.50</td>
<td>163.50</td>
<td>168.00</td>
<td>173.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hager’s management is new to the merger game, so Zona has been asked to answer some basic questions about mergers as well as to perform the merger analysis. To structure the task, Zona has developed the following questions, which you must answer and then defend to Hager’s board.

a. Several reasons have been proposed to justify mergers. Among the more prominent are (1) tax considerations, (2) risk reduction, (3) control, (4) purchase of assets at below-replacement cost, (5) synergy, and (6) globalization. In general, which of the reasons are economically justifiable? Which are not? Which fit the situation at hand? Explain.

b. Briefly describe the differences between a hostile merger and a friendly merger.

c. What are the steps in valuing a merger?

d. Use the data developed in the table to construct the L division’s free cash flows for 2007 through 2011. Why are we identifying interest expense separately since it is not normally included in calculating free cash flows or in a capital budgeting cash flow analysis? Why is investment in net operating capital included when calculating the free cash flow?

e. Conceptually, what is the appropriate discount rate to apply to the cash flows developed in part c? What is your actual estimate of this discount rate?

f. What is the estimated horizon, or continuing value of the acquisition, that is, what is the estimated value of the L division’s cash flows beyond 2011? What is LL’s value to Hager’s shareholders? Suppose another firm were evaluating LL as an acquisition candidate. Would they obtain the same value? Explain.

g. Assume that LL has 20 million shares outstanding. These shares are traded relatively infrequently, but the last trade, made several weeks ago, was at a price of $11 per share. Should Hager’s make an
offer for Lyons Lighting? If so, how much should it offer per share?

h. How would the analysis be different if Hager’s intended to recapitalize LL with 40 percent debt costing 10 percent at the end of 4 years? This amounts to $221.6 million in debt as of the end of 2010.

i. There has been considerable research undertaken to determine whether mergers really create value and, if so, how this value is shared between the parties involved. What are the results of this research?

j. What method is used to account for mergers?

k. What merger-related activities are undertaken by investment bankers?

l. What is a leveraged buyout (LBO)? What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of going private?

m. What are the major types of divestitures? What motivates firms to divest assets?

n. What are holding companies? What are their advantages and disadvantages?
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Klein-Brigham Series:
Managers of multinational companies must deal with a wide range of issues that are not present when a company operates in a single country. In this chapter, we highlight the key differences between multinational and domestic corporations, and we discuss the effect these differences have on the financial management of multinational businesses.

*This chapter was coauthored with Professor Roy Crum of the University of Florida and Subu Venkataraman with Morgan Stanley.
BEGINNING-OF-CHAPTER QUESTIONS

As you read this chapter, consider how you would answer the following questions. You should not necessarily be able to answer the questions before you read the chapter. Rather, you should use them to get a sense of the issues covered in the chapter. After reading the chapter, you should be able to give at least partial answers to the questions, and you should be able to give better answers after the chapter has been discussed in class. Note, too, that it is often useful, when answering conceptual questions, to use hypothetical data to illustrate your answer. We illustrate the answers with an Excel model that is available on the ThomsonNOW Web site. Accessing the model and working through it is a useful exercise, and it provides insights that are useful when answering the questions.

1. How is multinational financial management different from financial management as practiced by a firm that has no direct contacts with foreign firms or customers? What special problems and challenges do multinational firms face? What factors cause companies to “go multinational”?
2. What is an exchange rate? What is the difference between direct and indirect rates? What is a cross rate?
3. What is the difference between a spot rate and a forward rate? How can forward rates be used for hedging purposes? Why would hedging occur?
4. What is interest rate parity? How might the treasurer of a multinational firm use the interest rate parity concept (a) when deciding how to invest the firm’s surplus cash and (b) when deciding where to borrow funds on a short-term basis?
5. What is purchasing power parity? How might a firm use this concept in its operations?
6. Suppose IBM signed a contract to buy a supply of computer chips from a German firm. The price is 10 million euros, and the chips will be delivered immediately, but IBM can delay payment for six months if it wants to. What risk would IBM be exposed to if it delays payment? Can it hedge this risk? Should it pay now or delay payment?
7. Much has been made about the sweeping changes that are occurring in Europe as a result of the euro. Will the euro help European firms become more efficient? Will it change the way multinational corporations manage cash? Manage exchange risk? Borrow funds in local markets? What effects will the euro have on non-European firms?

MULTINATIONAL, OR GLOBAL, CORPORATIONS

The term multinational, or global, corporation is used to describe a firm that operates in an integrated fashion in a number of countries. During the past 20 years, a new and fundamentally different form of international commercial activity has developed, and this has greatly increased worldwide economic and political interdependence. Rather than merely buying resources from and selling goods to foreign nations, multinational firms now make direct investments in fully integrated operations, from extraction of raw materials, through the manufacturing process, to distribution to consumers throughout the world. Today, multinational corporate networks control a large and growing share of the world’s technological, marketing, and productive resources.

Companies, both U.S. and foreign, “go global” for six primary reasons:

1. To broaden their markets. After a company has saturated its home market, growth opportunities are often better in foreign markets. Thus, such
homegrown firms as Coca-Cola and McDonald’s are aggressively expanding into overseas markets, and foreign firms such as Sony and Toshiba now dominate the U.S. consumer electronics market. Also, as products become more complex, and development becomes more expensive, it is necessary to sell more units to cover overhead costs, so larger markets are critical. Thus, movie companies have “gone global” to get the volume necessary to support pictures such as *Lord of the Rings*.

2. *To seek raw materials.* Many U.S. oil companies, such as ExxonMobil, have major subsidiaries around the world to ensure access to the basic resources needed to sustain the companies’ primary business lines.

3. *To seek new technology.* No single nation holds a commanding advantage in all technologies, so companies are scouring the globe for leading scientific and design ideas. For example, Xerox has introduced more than 80 different office copiers in the United States that were engineered and built by its Japanese joint venture, Fuji Xerox. Similarly, versions of the superconcentrated detergent that Procter & Gamble first formulated in Japan in response to a rival’s product are now being marketed in Europe and the United States.

4. *To seek production efficiency.* Companies in high-cost countries are shifting production to low-cost regions. For example, GE has production and assembly
plants in Mexico, South Korea, and Singapore, and Japanese manufacturers are shifting some of their production to lower-cost countries in the Pacific Rim. BMW, in response to high production costs in Germany, has built assembly plants in the United States. The ability to shift production from country to country has important implications for labor costs in all countries. For example, when Xerox threatened to move its copier rebuilding work to Mexico, its union in Rochester agreed to work rule changes and productivity improvements that kept the operation in the United States. Some multinational companies make decisions almost daily on where to shift production. When Dow Chemical saw European demand for a certain solvent declining, the company scaled back production at a German plant and shifted its production to another chemical that had previously been imported from the United States. Relying on complex computer models for making such decisions, Dow runs its plants at peak capacity and thus keeps capital costs down.

5. To avoid political and regulatory hurdles. The primary reason Japanese auto companies moved production to the United States was to get around U.S. import quotas. Now Honda, Nissan, Toyota, Mazda, and Mitsubishi are all assembling vehicles in the United States. One of the factors that prompted U.S. pharmaceutical maker SmithKline and Britain’s Beecham to merge was that they wanted to avoid licensing and regulatory delays in their largest markets, Western Europe and the United States. Now SmithKline Beecham can identify itself as an inside player in both Europe and the United States. Similarly, when Germany’s BASF launched biotechnology research at home, it confronted legal and political challenges from the environmentally conscious Green movement. In response, BASF shifted its cancer and immune system research to two laboratories in the Boston suburbs. This location is attractive not only because of its large number of engineers and scientists but also because the Boston area has resolved controversies involving safety, animal rights, and the environment. “We decided it would be better to have the laboratories located where we have fewer insecurities about what will happen in the future,” said Rolf-Dieter Acker, BASF’s director of biotechnology research.

6. To diversify. By establishing worldwide production facilities and markets, firms can cushion the impact of adverse economic trends in any single country. For example, General Motors softened the blow of poor sales in the United States during a recent recession with strong sales by its European subsidiaries. In general, geographic diversification works because the economic ups and downs of different countries are not perfectly correlated. Therefore, companies investing overseas benefit from diversification in the same way that individuals benefit from investing in a broad portfolio of stocks.

Over the past 10 to 15 years, there has been an increasing amount of investment in the United States by foreign corporations, and in foreign nations by U.S. corporations. This trend is important because of its implications for eroding the traditional doctrine of independence and self-reliance that has been a hallmark of U.S. policy. Just as U.S. corporations with extensive overseas operations are said to use their economic power to exert substantial economic and political influence over host governments in many parts of the world, it is feared that foreign corporations are gaining similar sway over U.S. policy. These developments suggest an increasing degree of mutual influence and interdependence among business enterprises and nations, to which the United States is not immune.

What is a multinational corporation?
Why do companies “go global”?

Interesting reports about the effect of trade on the U.S. economy can be found on the United States Trade Representative’s home page at http://www.ustr.gov.
MULTINATIONAL VERSUS DOMESTIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

In theory, the concepts and procedures discussed in earlier chapters are valid for both domestic and multinational operations. However, six major factors distinguish financial management in firms operating entirely within a single country from firms that operate globally:

1. **Different currency denominations.** Cash flows in various parts of a multinational corporate system will be denominated in different currencies. Hence, an analysis of exchange rates must be included in all financial analyses.

2. **Economic and legal ramifications.** Each country has its own unique economic and legal systems, and these differences can cause significant problems when a corporation tries to coordinate and control its worldwide operations. For example, differences in tax laws among countries can cause a given economic transaction to have strikingly different after-tax consequences, depending on where the transaction occurs. Similarly, differences in legal systems of host nations, such as the Common Law of Great Britain versus the French Civil Law, complicate matters ranging from the simple recording of business transactions to the role played by the judiciary in resolving conflicts. Such differences can restrict multinational corporations’ flexibility in deploying resources, and can even make procedures that are required in one part of the company illegal in another part. These differences also make it difficult for executives trained in one country to move easily to another.

3. **Language differences.** The ability to communicate is critical in all business transactions, and here U.S. citizens are often at a disadvantage because we are generally fluent only in English, while European and Japanese businesspeople are usually fluent in several languages, including English. Thus, they can penetrate our markets more easily than we can penetrate theirs.

4. **Cultural differences.** Even within geographic regions that are considered relatively homogeneous, different countries have unique cultural heritages. For more on the euro, see [http://www.europa.eu.int/euro/entry.html](http://www.europa.eu.int/euro/entry.html).

Twelve countries have adopted the euro: Greece, Belgium, Austria, Finland, France, Luxembourg, Italy, the Netherlands, Germany, Spain, Ireland, and Portugal. Britain, Switzerland, and the Scandinavian countries chose not to join the economic and monetary union (EMU) because they did not want to give up control of their currencies.

Euro bills and coins began circulating January 1, 2002. After euro bills and coins began circulating, there was a six-month phase-in period, but now all transactions must be made in euros. (People with the previous currencies still have 10 more years to convert them at a bank at a fixed exchange rate.)

The value of the euro fluctuates relative to other currencies, such as the U.S. dollar. Finally, instead of each country having a central bank to manage its currency, the European Central Bank, located in Frankfurt, Germany, sets interest rates and manages monetary policy for the entire region.

that shape values and influence the conduct of business. Multinational corporations find that matters such as defining the appropriate goals of the firm, attitudes toward risk, dealings with employees, and the ability to curtail unprofitable operations vary dramatically from one country to the next.

5. **Role of governments.** Most financial models assume the existence of a competitive marketplace in which the terms of trade are determined by the participants. The government, through its power to establish basic ground rules, is involved in the process, but its role is minimal. Thus, the market provides the primary barometer of success, and it gives the best clues about what must be done to remain competitive. This view of the process is reasonably correct for the United States and Western Europe, but it does not accurately describe the situation in most of the world. Frequently, the terms under which companies compete, the actions that must be taken or avoided, and the terms of trade on various transactions are determined not in the marketplace but by direct negotiation between host governments and multinational corporations. This is essentially a political process, and it must be treated as such. Thus, our traditional financial models have to be recast to include political and other noneconomic aspects of the decision process.

6. **Political risk.** A nation is free to place constraints on the transfer of corporate resources and even to expropriate without compensation assets within its boundaries. This is political risk, and it tends to be largely a given rather than a variable that can be changed by negotiation. Political risk varies from country to country, and it must be addressed explicitly in any financial analysis. Another aspect of political risk is terrorism against U.S. firms or executives. For example, U.S. and Japanese executives have been kidnapped and held for ransom—with some killed to prove that the kidnappers were serious—in several South American countries.

These six factors complicate financial management, and they increase the risks faced by multinational firms. However, the prospects for high returns, diversification benefits, and other factors make it worthwhile for firms to accept these risks and learn how to manage them.

**Self-Test Question**

Identify and briefly discuss six major factors that complicate financial management in multinational firms.

### EXCHANGE RATES

An exchange rate specifies the number of units of a given currency that can be purchased with one unit of another currency. Exchange rates appear daily in the financial sections of newspapers, such as *The Wall Street Journal*, and at financial Web sites, such as [http://www.bloomberg.com](http://www.bloomberg.com). The values shown in Column 1 of Table 27-1 are the number of U.S. dollars required to purchase one unit of a foreign currency; this is called a direct quotation. Direct quotations have a dollar sign in their quotation and state the number of dollars per foreign currency unit, such as dollars per euro. Thus, the direct U.S. dollar quotation for the euro is $1.2867, because one euro could be bought for 1.2867 dollars.

The exchange rates given in Column 2 represent the number of units of a foreign currency that can be purchased for one U.S. dollar; these are called indirect quotations. Indirect quotations often begin with the foreign currency’s equivalent to the dollar sign and express the foreign currency per dollar, such as euros per
Thus, the indirect quotation for the euro is €0.7772. (The “€” stands for euro, and it is analogous to the symbol “$.”)

Normal practice in currency trading centers is to use the indirect quotations (Column 2) for all currencies other than British pounds and euros, for which the direct quotations are given. Thus we speak of the pound as “selling at 1.9072 dollars, or at $1.9072,” and the euro as “selling at $1.2867.” For all other currencies, say, the Japanese yen, we would quote the dollars as “being at ¥104.8548,” where the “¥” stands for yen and is analogous to the symbol “$.” This convention eliminates confusion when comparing quotations from one trading center—say, New York—with those from another—say, London or Zurich.

We can use the data in Table 27-1 to show how to work with exchange rates. Suppose a tourist flies from New York to London, then to Paris, and then on to Geneva. She then flies to Montreal, and finally back to New York. Her tour includes lodging, food, and transportation, but she must pay for any other expenses. When she arrives at London’s Heathrow Airport, she goes to the bank to check the foreign exchange listings. The rate she observes for U.S. dollars is $1.9072; this means that £1 will cost $1.9072. Assume that she exchanges $3,000:

\[
\begin{align*}
\$3,000 &= \frac{\$3,000}{\$1.9072 \text{ per pound}} = £1572.99
\end{align*}
\]

and enjoys a week’s vacation in London, ending with £1,000.

After taking a train under the Channel to France, she realizes that she needs to exchange her 1,000 remaining pounds for euros. However, what she sees on the board is the direct quotation for dollars per pound and the direct quotation for dollars per euro. The exchange rate between any two currencies other than dollars is called a cross rate. Cross rates are actually calculated on the basis of various
currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. For example, the cross rate between British pounds and euros is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Cross rate of euros per pound} = \frac{\$1.9072 \text{ per pound}}{\$1.2867 \text{ per euro}} = 1.4822 \text{ euros per pound}
\]

Therefore, for every British pound she would receive 1.4822 euros, so she would receive \(1.4822(1,000) = 1,482.20\) euros.

She has 800 euros remaining when she finishes touring in France and arrives in Geneva. She again needs to determine a cross rate, this time between euros and Swiss francs. The quotes she sees, as shown in Table 27-1, are a direct quote for euros ($1.2867 per euro) and an indirect quote for Swiss francs (SFr 1.1952 per dollar). To find the cross rate for Swiss francs per euro, she makes the following calculation:

\[
\text{Cross rate of Swiss francs per euro} = \left( \frac{\text{Swiss francs}}{\text{Dollar}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Dollars}}{\text{Euro}} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \text{SFr 1.1952 per dollar} \right) \left( \$1.2867 \text{ per euro} \right)
\]

\[
= 1.5379 \text{ Swiss francs per euro}
\]

Therefore, for every euro she would receive 1.5379 Swiss francs, so she would receive \(1.5379(800) = 1,230.32\) Swiss francs.

She has 500 Swiss francs remaining when she leaves Geneva and arrives in Montreal. She again needs to determine a cross rate, this time between Swiss francs and Canadian dollars. The quotes she sees, as shown in Table 27-1, are an indirect quote for Swiss francs (SFr 1.1952 per dollar) and an indirect quote for Canadian dollars (1.2583 Canadian dollars per U.S. dollar). To find the cross rate for Canadian dollars per Swiss franc, she makes the following calculation:

\[
\text{Cross rate of Canadian dollars per Swiss franc} = \left( \frac{\text{Canadian dollars}}{\text{U.S. dollar}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Swiss francs}}{\text{U.S. dollar}} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \text{1.2583 Canadian dollars per U.S. dollar} \right) \left( \text{SFr 1.1952 per U.S. dollar} \right)
\]

\[
= 1.0528 \text{ Canadian dollars per Swiss franc}
\]

Therefore, she would receive \(1.0528(500) = 526.40\) Canadian dollars.

After leaving Montreal and arriving at New York, she has 100 Canadian dollars remaining. She sees the indirect quote for Canadian dollars and converts the 100 Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars as follows:

\[
100 \text{ Canadian dollars} = \frac{100 \text{ Canadian dollars}}{1.2583 \text{ Canadian dollars per U.S. dollar}} = \$79.47
\]

In this example, we made three assumptions. First, we assumed that our traveler had to calculate all the cross rates. For retail transactions, it is customary to display the cross rates directly instead of a series of dollar rates. Second, we
assumed that exchange rates remain constant over time. Actually, exchange rates vary every day, often dramatically. We will have more to say about exchange rate fluctuations in the next section. Finally, we assumed that there were no transactions costs involved in exchanging currencies. In reality, small retail exchange transactions such as those in our example usually involve fixed and/or sliding scale fees that can easily consume 5 or more percent of the transaction amount. However, credit card purchases minimize these fees.

Major business publications, such as The Wall Street Journal, and Web sites, such as http://www.bloomberg.com, regularly report cross rates among key currencies. A set of cross rates is given in Table 27-2. When examining the table, note the following points:

1. Column 1 gives indirect quotes for dollars, that is, units of a foreign currency that can be bought with one U.S. dollar. Examples: $1 will buy 0.7772 euro or 1.1952 Swiss francs. Note the consistency with Table 27-1, Column 2.
2. Other columns show number of units of other currencies that can be bought with one pound, one Swiss franc, etc. For example, the euro column shows that 1 euro will buy 1.6191 Canadian dollars, 134.9167 Japanese yen, or 1.2867 U.S. dollars.
3. The rows show direct quotes, that is, number of units of the currency of the country listed in the left column required to buy one unit of the currency listed in the top row. The bottom row is particularly important for U.S. companies, as it shows the direct quotes for the U.S. dollar. This row is consistent with Column 1 of Table 27-1.
4. Note that the values on the bottom row of Table 27-2 are reciprocals of the corresponding values in the first column. For example, the U.K. row in the first column shows 0.5243 pound per dollar, and the pound column in the bottom row shows $1/0.5243 = 1.9072 dollars per pound.
5. Now notice, by reading down the euro column, that one euro is worth 1.5379 Swiss francs. This is the same cross rate that we calculated for the U.S. tourist in our example.

The tie-in with the dollar ensures that all currencies are related to one another in a consistent manner. If this consistency did not exist, currency traders could profit by buying undervalued and selling overvalued currencies. This process, known as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dollar</th>
<th>Euro</th>
<th>Pound</th>
<th>SFranc</th>
<th>Peso</th>
<th>Yen</th>
<th>CdnDlr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1.2583</td>
<td>1.6191</td>
<td>2.3998</td>
<td>1.0528</td>
<td>0.1138</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>104.8548</td>
<td>134.9167</td>
<td>199.9791</td>
<td>87.7299</td>
<td>9.4841</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>83.3305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1.1952</td>
<td>1.5379</td>
<td>2.2795</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1081</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.9499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td>0.6747</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.4387</td>
<td>0.0474</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.4167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>0.7772</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.4822</td>
<td>0.6503</td>
<td>0.0703</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.6176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2867</td>
<td>1.9072</td>
<td>0.8367</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.7947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Derived from Table 27-1; quotes for April 29, 2005.
arbitrage, works to bring about an equilibrium wherein the same relationship described earlier exists. Currency traders are constantly operating in the market, seeking small inconsistencies from which they can profit. The traders’ existence enables the rest of us to assume that currency markets are in equilibrium and that, at any point in time, cross rates are all internally consistent.

**Self-Test Questions**

What is an exchange rate?  
Explain the difference between direct and indirect quotations.  
What is a cross rate?

### THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM

Just as the demand for consumer goods, such as for Tommy Hilfiger jeans and Nike shoes, changes over time, so does the demand for currency. One factor affecting currency demand is the balance of trade between two countries. For example, U.S. importers must buy yen to pay for Japanese goods, whereas Japanese importers must buy U.S. dollars to pay for U.S. goods. If U.S. imports from Japan were to exceed U.S. exports to Japan, then the U.S. would have a trade deficit with Japan, and there would be a greater demand for yen than for dollars. Capital movements also affect currency demand. For example, suppose interest rates in the United States were higher than those in Japan. To take advantage of high U.S. interest rates, Japanese banks, corporations, and sophisticated individuals would buy dollars with yen and then use those dollars to purchase high-yielding U.S. securities. This would create greater demand for dollars than for yen.

Without any government intervention, the relative prices of yen and dollars would fluctuate in response to changes in supply and demand in much the same way that prices of consumer goods fluctuate. For example, if U.S. consumers were to increase their demand for Japanese electronic products, then the accompanying increase in demand for the yen would cause its value to increase relative to the dollar. In this situation, the strong yen would be due to fundamental economic forces.

However, governments can and do intervene. A country’s central bank can artificially prop up its currency by using its reserves of gold or foreign currencies to purchase its own currency in the open market. This creates artificial demand for its own currency, causing its value to be artificially high. A central bank can also keep its currency at an artificially low value by selling its own currency in the open markets. This increases the currency’s supply, which reduces its price.

Why might an artificially low currency be a problem? After all, a cheap currency makes it less expensive for other nations to purchase the country’s goods, which creates jobs in the exporting country. However, an artificially low currency value raises the cost of imports, which increases inflation. In addition, high import prices allow competing domestic manufacturers to raise their prices as well, further boosting inflation. The government intervention that causes the artificially low value also contributes to inflation: When a government creates currency to sell in the open markets, this increases the money supply, and, all else held constant, an increasing money supply leads to still more inflation. Thus, artificially holding down the value of a currency stimulates exports but at the expense of potentially overheating and inflating the economy. Also, other countries—whose economies are being weakened because their manufacturers cannot compete against the artificially low prices—may retaliate and impose tariffs or other restrictions on the country that is holding its currency value down.
For example, China has for several years artificially held down the value of the yuan (also called the renminbi). This has helped make it number 1 in exports and greatly stimulated its economy. However, in 2004 the Chinese economy was growing at an unsustainably high rate, and inflation was rising rapidly. The United States and other nations were putting pressure on the Chinese government to allow the yuan to rise, which would help their economies by slowing Chinese exports and stimulating their own exports to China.

A currency that is artificially high has the opposite effects: Inflation will be held down, and citizens can purchase imported goods at low domestic prices, but exporting industries are hurt, as are domestic industries that compete with the cheap imports. Because there is relatively little external demand for the currency, the government will have to create demand by purchasing its own currency, paying with either gold or foreign currencies held by its central bank. Over time, supporting an inflated currency can deplete the gold and foreign currency reserves, making it impossible to continue propping up the currency.

The following sections describe ways that governments handle changes in currency demands.

The Bretton Woods Fixed Exchange Rate System

From the end of World War II until August 1971, most of the industrialized world operated under the Bretton Woods fixed exchange rate system administered by the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Under this system, the U.S. dollar was linked to gold (at $35 per ounce), and other currencies were then tied to the dollar. The United States took actions to keep the price of gold at $35 per ounce, and central banks acted to keep exchange rates between other currencies and the dollar within narrow limits. For example, when the demand for pounds was falling, the Bank of England would step in and buy pounds to push up their price, offering gold or foreign currencies in exchange for pounds. Conversely, when the demand for pounds was too high, the Bank of England would sell pounds for dollars or gold. The Federal Reserve in the United States performed the same functions, and central banks of other countries operated similarly. These actions artificially matched supply and demand, keeping exchange rates stable, but they didn’t address the underlying imbalance. For example, if the high demand for pounds occurred because British productivity was rising and British goods were improving in quality, then the underlying demand for pounds would continue in spite of central bank intervention. In such a situation the Bank of England would find it necessary to continually sell pounds indefinitely. If the central bank stopped selling pounds, their value would rise; that is, the pound would strengthen and exceed the agreed-upon limits.

Modern Exchange Rate Systems

Many countries found it difficult and economically painful to maintain the fixed exchange rates required by Bretton Woods. This system began to crumble in August 1971, and it was abandoned completely by the end of 1973. The following sections describe several modern exchange rate systems.

Floating Rates In the early 1970s, the U.S. dollar was cut loose from the gold standard and, in effect, allowed to “float.” The United States and most other major industrial nations currently operate under a system of floating exchange rates, whereby currency prices are allowed to seek their own levels, with only
modest central bank intervention to smooth out extreme exchange rate fluctuations. For example, suppose the dollar cost of a pound were $1.9072 as shown in Table 27-1. If there were excessive demand for pounds caused by a U.S. trade deficit with Great Britain, then the price of pounds might increase to $2. In this situation, the pound is said to be *appreciating*, because a pound would now buy more dollars. In other words, a pound would now be worth more than it was. This is called *currency appreciation*. Conversely, the dollar would be *depreciating*, because the dollar now buys fewer pounds (a dollar would previously buy $1/1.9072 = 0.5243$ pound, but afterward it would buy only $1/2 = 0.5$ pound). This is called *currency depreciation*. Notice that the more costly pound would make British imports more expensive to U.S. consumers, which would reduce imports—and, consequently, the demand for pounds—until the exchange rate reached equilibrium.

Exchange rate fluctuations can have a profound effect on profits. For example, in 1985 it cost Honda Motors 2,380,000 yen to build a particular model in Japan and ship it to the United States. The model carried a U.S. sticker price of $12,000. Because the $12,000 sales price was the equivalent of $(238 \text{ yen per dollar})(12,000) = 2,856,000$ yen, which was 20 percent above the 2,380,000 yen cost, the automaker had built a 20 percent markup into the U.S. sales price. However, three years later the dollar had depreciated to 128 yen. Now if the car still sold for $12,000, the yen return to Honda would be only $(128 \text{ yen per dollar})(12,000) = 1,536,000$ yen, and the automaker would be losing about 35 percent on each auto sold. Therefore, the depreciation of the dollar against the yen turned a healthy profit into a huge loss. In fact, for Honda to maintain its 20 percent markup, the model would have to sell in the United States for $(2,856,000 \text{ yen}/128 \text{ yen per dollar}) = 22,312.50$. This situation, which grew even worse, led Honda to build its most popular model, the Accord, in Marysville, Ohio.

The inherent volatility of exchange rates under a floating system increases the uncertainty of the cash flows for a multinational corporation. Because its cash flows are generated in many parts of the world, they are denominated in many different currencies. When exchange rates change, the dollar-equivalent value of the company’s consolidated cash flows also fluctuates. For example, Toyota estimates that each 1 yen drop in the dollar reduces the company’s annual net income by about 10 billion yen. This is known as *exchange rate risk*, and it is a major factor differentiating a global company from a purely domestic one.

According to the International Monetary Fund, about 36 currencies have floating exchange rates, including the dollar, euro, pound, and yen. The following sections describe other exchange-rate regimes.

**Other Exchange Rate Regimes** A few countries don’t have their own separate legal tender, but instead use the currency of another nation. For example, Ecuador has used the U.S. dollar since September 2000. Other countries belong to a monetary union, such as the 12 European Monetary Union nations whose currency is the euro. Member nations of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union, the West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), and the Central African Economic and Monetary Community (CAEMC) use their respective union’s currency. The euro is allowed to float, but the currencies of the other unions are pegged to some other currency. For example, the Eastern Caribbean dollar is pegged to the U.S. dollar, and the CFA franc (used by both the WAEMU and CAEMC) is pegged to the euro.

As indicated earlier, countries with *pegged exchange rates* establish a fixed exchange rate with some other major currency or basket of currencies. When a
government lowers the target fixed exchange rate, this is called **devaluation**, and when it increases the rate it is called **revaluation**. For example, from 1991 through early 2002, Argentina had a fixed exchange rate of 1 peso per U.S. dollar. Imports were high, exports were low, and the Argentinean government had to purchase huge amounts of pesos to maintain that artificially high exchange rate. The government borrowed heavily to finance these purchases, and eventually it was unable to continue supporting the peso. (Indeed, the government defaulted on some of its obligations.) As a result, the government had to devalue the peso to 1.4 pesos per dollar in early 2002. Notice that this made the peso weaker: Before the devaluation, 1 peso would buy 1 dollar, but afterward 1 peso would buy only 71 cents (1.4 pesos per dollar = 1/1.4 = 0.71 dollar per peso). The devaluation lowered the prices of Argentine goods on the world market, which helped its exporters, but prices rose for imported goods, including oil. The initial shock to the Argentine economy was severe, as employment fell in those industries that were not exporters. The problem was exacerbated because many Argentine companies and individuals had borrowed using debt denominated in dollars, which instantly cost much more to service. However, by mid-2005 the economy was improving, with exports up, tourism up, and employment higher. Still, the initial pain caused by devaluation helps explain why many countries with fixed exchange rates tend to postpone needed measures until economic pressures build to explosive proportions.

Many countries have abandoned pegged exchange rates and now allow their currencies to float. For example, Mexico had a pegged exchange rate prior to 1994, but it depleted its foreign reserves trying to support the peso and was forced to devalue the peso in 1994. Mexico's currency now floats, as does that of Argentina.

A pegged exchange rate per se isn’t necessarily a deterrent to direct investment in the country by foreign corporations, as long as the local government’s central bank supports the currency and devaluations are unlikely. This was generally the case in the Bretton Woods era, and so those currencies were considered to be **convertible** because the nation that issued them allowed them to be traded in the currency markets and was willing to redeem them at market rates. This is true today for all floating-rate currencies, which are also called **hard currencies** because of their convertibility. Some pegged currencies are also at least partially convertible, because their central banks will redeem them at market rates under specified conditions.

However, some countries set the exchange rate but do not allow their currencies to be traded on world markets. For example, the Chinese yuan is allowed to float in a very narrow band against a basket of securities. However, the yuan can be legally used and exchanged only within China. Furthermore, the Chinese government imposes restrictions on both residents and nonresidents from freely converting their holdings of yuans into another currency. Thus, the yuan is a **nonconvertible currency**, also called a **soft currency**. When official exchange rates differ from “market rates” or when there are restrictions on convertibility, a black market will often arise. For example, in mid-2005 Venezuela’s official exchange rate was about 2,150 bolivars per dollar, but black market prices were estimated to be around 2,700.

A nonconvertible currency creates problems for foreign companies looking to make direct investments. For example, consider the situation faced by Pizza Hut when it wanted to open a chain of restaurants in the former Soviet Union. The Russian ruble was not convertible, so Pizza Hut could not take the profits from its restaurants out of the Soviet Union in the form of dollars. There was no mechanism to exchange the rubles it earned in Russia for dollars, so the investment in the Soviet Union was essentially worthless to a U.S. company. However, Pizza Hut arranged to use the ruble profit from the restaurants to buy Russian vodka, which
it then shipped to the United States and sold for dollars. Pizza Hut managed to work things out, but lack of convertibility significantly inhibits the ability of a country to attract foreign investment.

**Self-Test Questions**

- What is the difference between a fixed exchange rate system and a floating rate system?
- What are pegged exchange rates?
- What does it mean to say that the dollar is depreciating with respect to the euro? For a U.S. consumer of European goods, would this be good or bad? How could changes in consumption arrest the decline of the dollar?
- What is a convertible currency?

## TRADING IN FOREIGN EXCHANGE

Importers, exporters, tourists, and governments buy and sell currencies in the foreign exchange market. For example, when a U.S. trader imports automobiles from Japan, payment will probably be made in Japanese yen. The importer buys yen (through its bank) in the foreign exchange market, much as one buys common stocks on the New York Stock Exchange or pork bellies on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. However, whereas stock and commodity exchanges have organized trading floors, the foreign exchange market consists of a network of brokers and banks based in New York, London, Tokyo, and other financial centers. Most buy and sell orders are conducted by computer and telephone.¹

### Spot Rates and Forward Rates

The exchange rates shown earlier in Tables 27-1 and 27-2 are known as **spot rates**, which means the rate paid for delivery of the currency “on the spot” or, in reality, no more than two days after the day of the trade. For most of the world’s major currencies, it is also possible to buy (or sell) currencies for delivery at some agreed-upon future date, usually 30, 90, or 180 days from the day the transaction is negotiated. This rate is known as the **forward exchange rate**.

For example, suppose a U.S. firm must pay 500 million yen to a Japanese firm in 30 days, and the current spot rate is 104.8548 yen per dollar. Unless spot rates change, the U.S. firm will pay the Japanese firm the equivalent of $4.768 million (500 million yen divided by 104.8548 yen per dollar) in 30 days. But if the spot rate falls to 100 yen per dollar, for example, the U.S. firm will have to pay the equivalent of $5 million. The treasurer of the U.S. firm can avoid this risk by entering into a 30-day forward exchange contract. This contract promises delivery of yen to the U.S. firm in 30 days at a guaranteed price of 104.5697 yen per dollar. No cash changes hands at the time the treasurer signs the forward contract, although the U.S. firm might have to put some collateral down as a guarantee against default. Because the firm can use an interest-bearing instrument for the collateral, though, this requirement is not costly. The counterparty to the forward contract must deliver the yen to the U.S. firm in 30 days, and the U.S. firm is obligated to purchase the 500 million yen at the previously agreed-upon rate of 104.5697 yen per dollar. Therefore, the treasurer of the U.S. firm is able to lock in a payment equivalent to $4.781 million, no matter what happens to spot rates. This technique is called “hedging.”

¹For a more detailed explanation of exchange rate determination and operations of the foreign exchange market, see Mark Eaker, Frank Fabozzi, and Dwight Grant, *International Corporate Finance* (Fort Worth, TX: The Dryden Press, 1996).
Forward rates for 30-, 90-, and 180-day delivery, along with the current spot rates for some commonly traded currencies, are given in Table 27-3. If you can obtain more of the foreign currency for a dollar in the forward than in the spot market, the forward currency is less valuable than the spot currency, and the forward currency is said to be selling at a discount. In other words, if the foreign currency is expected to depreciate (based on the forward rates), then the spot rate is at a discount. Conversely, since a dollar would buy fewer yen and francs in the forward than in the spot market, the forward yen and francs are selling at a premium.

**Table 27-3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spot Rate</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
<th>90 Days</th>
<th>180 Days</th>
<th>Forward Rate at a Premium or Discount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Britain (pound)</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td>0.5252</td>
<td>0.5265</td>
<td>0.5282</td>
<td>Discount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (dollar)</td>
<td>1.2583</td>
<td>1.2579</td>
<td>1.2564</td>
<td>1.2538</td>
<td>Premium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (yen)</td>
<td>104.8548</td>
<td>104.5697</td>
<td>103.9825</td>
<td>103.0503</td>
<td>Premium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (franc)</td>
<td>1.1952</td>
<td>1.1927</td>
<td>1.1878</td>
<td>1.1794</td>
<td>Premium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
a. These are representative quotes as provided by a sample of New York banks. Forward rates for other currencies and for other lengths of time can often be negotiated.
b. When it takes more units of a foreign currency to buy one dollar in the future, the value of the foreign currency is less in the forward market than in the spot market; hence the forward rate is at a discount to the spot rate.


**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between spot and forward exchange rates.

Explain what it means for a forward currency to sell at a discount and at a premium.

**INTEREST RATE PARITY**

Market forces determine whether a currency sells at a forward premium or discount, and the general relationship between spot and forward exchange rates is specified by a concept called “interest rate parity.”

**Interest rate parity** holds that investors should expect to earn the same return on security investments in all countries after adjusting for risk. It recognizes that when you invest in a country other than your home country, you are affected by two forces—returns on the investment itself and changes in the exchange rate. It follows that your overall return will be higher than the investment’s stated return if the currency in which your investment is denominated appreciates relative to your home currency. Likewise, your overall return will be lower if the foreign currency you receive declines in value.

To illustrate interest rate parity, consider the case of a U.S. investor who can buy default-free 180-day Swiss bonds that promise a 4 percent nominal annual
return. The 180-day Swiss interest rate, \( r_f \), is 4%/2 = 2% because 180 days is one-half of a 360-day year. Assume also that the indirect quotation for the spot exchange rate is 1.1952 Swiss francs per dollar, as shown in Table 27-3. Finally, assume that the 180-day forward exchange rate is 1.1794 Swiss francs per dollar, which means that in 180 days the investor can exchange one dollar for 1.1794 Swiss francs.

The U.S. investor could receive a 4 percent annualized return denominated in Swiss francs, but if he or she ultimately wants to consume goods in the United States, those Swiss francs must be converted to dollars. The dollar return on the investment depends, therefore, on what happens to exchange rates over the next six months. However, the investor can lock in the dollar return by selling the foreign currency in the forward market. For example, the investor could simultaneously:

1. Convert $1,000 to 1,195.20 Swiss francs in the spot market: $1,000(1.1952 Swiss francs per dollar) = 1,195.20 Swiss francs.
2. Invest the Swiss francs in a 180-day Swiss bond that has a 4 percent annual return, or a 2 percent semiannual return. This investment will pay 1,195.20(1.02) = 1,219.10 Swiss francs in 180 days.
3. Agree today to exchange the Swiss francs in 180 days at the rate of 1.1794 Swiss francs per dollar, for a total of (1,219.10 Swiss francs)/(1.1794 Swiss francs per dollar) = $1,033.66.

This investment, therefore, has an expected 180-day return of $33.66/$1,000 = 3.366%, which translates into a nominal annual return of 2(3.366%) = 6.73%. In this case, 4 percent of the expected 6.73 percent is coming from the bond itself, and 2.73 percent arises because the market believes the Swiss franc will strengthen relative to the dollar. Note that by locking in the forward rate today, the investor has eliminated all exchange rate risk. And since the Swiss bond is assumed to be default-free, the investor is certain to earn a 6.73 percent annual dollar return.

Interest rate parity implies that an investment in the United States with the same risk as the Swiss bond should also have a return of 6.73 percent. We can express interest rate parity by the following equation:

\[
\frac{\text{Forward exchange rate}}{\text{Spot exchange rate}} = \frac{(1 + r_h)}{(1 + r_f)} \quad |27-1|
\]

Here \( r_h \) is the periodic interest rate in the home country, \( r_f \) is the periodic interest rate in the foreign country, and the forward and exchange rates are expressed as direct quotations (that is, dollars per foreign currency).

Using Table 27-3, the direct spot quotation is 0.83668 dollar per Swiss franc = (1/1.1952 Swiss francs per dollar), and the direct 180-day forward quotation is 0.84789 = (1/1.1794). Using Equation 27-1, we can solve for the equivalent home rate, \( r_h \):

\[
\frac{\text{Forward exchange rate}}{\text{Spot exchange rate}} = \frac{(1 + r_h)}{(1 + 0.02)} = \frac{0.84789}{0.83668} = 1.033666 \quad |27-1a|
\]

\[
(1 + r_h) = \left( \frac{0.84789}{0.83668} \right) (1 + 0.02) = 1.033666
\]
Purchasing power parity (PPP) implies that the same product will sell for the same price in every country after adjusting for current exchange rates. One problem when testing to see if PPP holds is that it assumes that goods consumed in different countries are of the same quality. For example, if you find that a product is more expensive in Switzerland than it is in Canada, one explanation is that PPP fails to hold, but another explanation is that the product sold in Switzerland is of a higher quality and therefore deserves a higher price.

One way to test for PPP is to find goods that have the same quality worldwide. With this in mind, The Economist magazine occasionally compares the prices of a well-known good whose quality is the same in nearly 120 different countries: the McDonald’s Big Mac hamburger.

The table on the next page provides information collected during 2004. The first column shows the price of a Big Mac in dollars, which is the amount you would pay in that country if you exchanged dollars for local currency and then purchased a Big Mac at the local price. For example, a Big Mac costs $1.45 in Russia. Although The Economist doesn’t report the intermediate calculations, this is because the average price of a Big Mac in Russia was 42.05 rubles and the exchange rate was 29.0 rubles per dollar, giving a dollar price (of 42.05 rubles)/(29 rubles per dollar) = $1.45.

The second column backs out the implied exchange rate that would hold under PPP. For example, the 42.05 ruble price of a Big Mac in Russia compared to the $2.90 price in the United States gives us the implied PPP exchange rate of (42.05 rubles per Big Mac)/(2.90 per Big Mac) = 14.5 rubles per dollar. This compares to the actual exchange rate at the time of 29.0 rubles per dollar. The last column shows how much the local currency is over- or undervalued relative to the dollar. The ruble’s implied PPP exchange rate of 14.5 rubles per dollar is 50 percent less than the actual exchange rate of 29.0 rubles per dollar, so the ruble is 50 percent undervalued relative to the dollar.

The evidence suggests that strict PPP does not hold, but the Big Mac test may shed some insights about where exchange rates are headed. Other than a few European countries, most of the other currencies are undervalued against the dollar. The Big Mac 2004 test suggests that European currencies will fall over the next year or so, but that most others will rise.

One last benefit of the Big Mac test is that it tells us the cheapest places to find a Big Mac. According to the data, if you are looking for a Big Mac, head to the Philippines, and avoid Switzerland.

The periodic home interest rate is 3.366 percent, and the annualized home interest rate is (3.366%)^2 = 6.73%, the same value we found above.

After accounting for exchange rates, interest rate parity states that bonds in the home country and the foreign country must have the same effective rate of return. In this example, the U.S. bond must yield 6.73 percent to provide the same return as the 4 percent Swiss bond. If one bond provides a higher return, investors will sell their low-return bond and flock to the high-return bond. This activity will cause the price of the low-return bond to fall (which pushes up its yield) and the price of
the high-return bond to increase (driving down its yield). This will continue until the two bonds again have the same returns after accounting for exchange rates.

In other words, interest rate parity implies that an investment in the United States with the same risk as a Swiss bond should have a dollar value return of 6.73 percent. Solving for \( r_h \) in Equation 27-1, we indeed find that the predicted interest rate in the United States is 6.73 percent.

Interest rate parity shows why a particular currency might be at a forward premium or discount. Note that a currency is at a forward premium whenever

\[
\text{Implied Over(+) Valuation Against the Dollar, } \% = \frac{\text{Big Mac Price in Dollars}^*}{\text{Dollars per euro}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Big Mac Price in Dollars*</th>
<th>Implied PPP of the Dollar</th>
<th>Under(−)/ Over(+) Valuation Against the Dollar, %</th>
<th>Big Mac Price in Dollars*</th>
<th>Implied PPP of the Dollar</th>
<th>Under(−)/ Over(+) Valuation Against the Dollar, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States†</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.74 −54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.50 −49</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>8.28 −28</td>
<td>−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.12 −22</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.50 −8</td>
<td>−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.86 −41</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.10 −11</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>1.54$ +16</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>23.8 −57</td>
<td>−57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.10 −20</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.17 −44</td>
<td>−44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>483 −25</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>14.5 −50</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3.59 −57</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.14 −34</td>
<td>−34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>19.5 −27</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>4.28 −36</td>
<td>−36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>9.57 $+54</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1,103 −6</td>
<td>−6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>3.45 −44</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>10.3 $+36</td>
<td>+36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>3.28** 1.06++ $+13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>2.17 +69</td>
<td>+69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>4.14 −47</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>25.9 −23</td>
<td>−23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>183 −13</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>20.3 −50</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>5,552 −39</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1,362,069 −11</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>90.3 −20</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1,517 −49</td>
<td>−49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At current exchange rates  †Purchasing-power parity  ‡Average of New York, Chicago, San Francisco and Atlanta  §Dollars per pound  **Weighted average of member countries  ++Dollars per euro

domestic interest rates are higher than foreign interest rates. Discounts prevail if
domestic interest rates are lower than foreign interest rates. If these conditions do
not hold, then arbitrage will soon force interest rates and exchange rates back to
parity.

**Self-Test Question**

Briefly explain interest rate parity, illustrating it with an example.

## PURCHASING POWER PARITY

We have discussed exchange rates in some detail, and we have considered the rela-
tionship between spot and forward exchange rates. However, we have not yet
addressed the fundamental question: What determines the spot level of exchange
rates in each country? While exchange rates are influenced by a multitude of fac-
tors that are difficult to predict, particularly on a day-to-day basis, over the long
run market forces work to ensure that similar goods sell for similar prices in dif-
ferent countries after taking exchange rates into account. This relationship is
known as “purchasing power parity.”

**Purchasing power parity (PPP),** sometimes referred to as the *law of one price,*
implies that the levels of exchange rates and prices adjust so as to cause identical
goods to cost the same amount in different countries. For example, if a pair of
tennis shoes costs $150 in the United States and 100 pounds in Britain, PPP
implies that the exchange rate must be $1.50 per pound. Consumers could pur-
chase the shoes in Britain for 100 pounds, or they could exchange their 100
pounds for $150 and then purchase the same shoes in the United States at the
same effective cost, assuming no transaction or transportation costs. Here is the
equation for purchasing power parity:

\[
P_h = (P_f)(\text{Spot rate})
\]

or

\[
\text{Spot rate} = \frac{P_h}{P_f}
\]

Here

\[
P_h = \text{the price of the good in the home country ($150, assuming the United States is the home country).}
\]

\[
P_f = \text{the price of the good in the foreign country (100 pounds).}
\]

Note that the spot market exchange rate is expressed as the number of units of home currency that can be exchanged for one unit of foreign currency ($1.50 per pound).

PPP assumes that market forces will eliminate situations in which the same product sells at a different price overseas. For example, if the shoes cost $140 in
the United States, importers/exporters could purchase them in the United States for $140, sell them for 100 pounds in Britain, exchange the 100 pounds for $150 in the foreign exchange market, and earn a profit of $10 on every pair of shoes. Ultimately, this trading activity would increase the demand for shoes in the United States and thus raise $P_s$, increase the supply of shoes in Britain and thus reduce £P_f, and increase the demand for dollars in the foreign exchange market and thus reduce the spot rate. Each of these actions works to restore PPP.

Note that PPP assumes that there are no transportation or transaction costs, or import restrictions, all of which limit the ability to ship goods between countries. In many cases, these assumptions are incorrect, which explains why PPP is often violated. An additional problem for empirical tests of the PPP theorem is that products in different countries are rarely identical. Frequently, there are real or perceived differences in quality, which can lead to price differences in different countries.

Still, the concepts of interest rate and purchasing power parity are critically important to those engaged in international activities. Companies and investors must anticipate changes in interest rates, inflation, and exchange rates, and they often try to hedge the risks of adverse movements in these factors. The parity relationships are extremely useful when anticipating future conditions.

**Self-Test Question**

What is meant by purchasing power parity? Illustrate it.

### INFLATION, INTEREST RATES, AND EXCHANGE RATES

Relative inflation rates, or the rates of inflation in foreign countries compared with that in the home country, have many implications for multinational financial decisions. Obviously, relative inflation rates will greatly influence future production costs at home and abroad. Equally important, inflation has a dominant influence on relative interest rates and exchange rates. Both of these factors influence decisions by multinational corporations for financing their foreign investments, and both have an important effect on the profitability of foreign investments.

The currencies of countries with higher inflation rates than that of the United States by definition depreciate over time against the dollar. Countries where this has occurred include Mexico and all the South American nations. On the other hand, the currencies of Switzerland and Japan, which have had less inflation than the United States, have generally appreciated against the dollar. In fact, a foreign currency will, on average, depreciate or appreciate at a percentage rate approximately equal to the amount by which its inflation rate exceeds or is less than the U.S. rate.

Relative inflation rates also affect interest rates. The interest rate in any country is largely determined by its inflation rate. Therefore, countries currently experiencing higher rates of inflation than the United States also tend to have higher interest rates. The reverse is true for countries with lower inflation rates.

It is tempting for a multinational corporation to borrow in countries with the lowest interest rates. However, this is not always a good strategy. Suppose, for example, that interest rates in Switzerland are lower than those in the United States because of Switzerland’s lower inflation rate. A U.S. multinational firm could therefore save interest by borrowing in Switzerland. However, because of relative inflation rates, the Swiss franc will probably appreciate in the future, causing the dollar cost of annual interest and principal payments on Swiss debt to rise over time. Thus, the lower interest rate could be more than offset by losses from
currency appreciation. Similarly, multinational corporations should not necessarily avoid borrowing in a country such as Brazil, where interest rates have been very high, because future depreciation of the Brazilian real could make such borrowing end up being relatively inexpensive.

**Self-Test Questions**

What effects do relative inflation rates have on relative interest rates?
What happens over time to the currencies of countries with higher inflation rates than that of the United States? To those with lower inflation rates?
Why might a multinational corporation decide to borrow in a country such as Brazil, where interest rates are high, rather than in a country like Switzerland, where interest rates are low?

**INTERNATIONAL MONEY AND CAPITAL MARKETS**

One way for U.S. citizens to invest in world markets is to buy the stocks of U.S. multinational corporations that invest directly in foreign countries. Another way is to purchase foreign securities—stocks, bonds, or money market instruments issued by foreign companies. Security investments are known as portfolio investments, and they are distinguished from direct investments in physical assets by U.S. corporations.

From World War II through the 1960s, the U.S. capital markets dominated world markets. Today, however, the value of U.S. securities represents less than one-fourth the value of all securities. Given this situation, it is important for both corporate managers and investors to have an understanding of international markets. Moreover, these markets often offer better opportunities for raising or investing capital than are available domestically.

**Eurodollar Market**

A Eurodollar is a U.S. dollar deposited in a bank outside the United States. (Although they are called Eurodollars because they originated in Europe, Eurodollars are really any dollars deposited in any part of the world other than the United States.) The bank in which the deposit is made may be a non-U.S. bank, such as Barclay’s Bank in London; the foreign branch of a U.S. bank, such as Citibank’s Paris branch; or even a foreign branch of a third-country bank, such as Barclay’s Munich branch. Most Eurodollar deposits are for $500,000 or more, and they have maturities ranging from overnight to about one year.

The major difference between Eurodollar deposits and regular U.S. time deposits is their geographic locations. The two types of deposits do not involve different currencies—in both cases, dollars are on deposit. However, Eurodollars are outside the direct control of the U.S. monetary authorities, so U.S. banking regulations, including reserve requirements and FDIC insurance premiums, do not apply. The absence of these costs means that the interest rate paid on Eurodollar deposits can be higher than domestic U.S. rates on equivalent instruments.

Although the dollar is the leading international currency, British pounds, euros, Swiss francs, Japanese yen, and other currencies are also deposited outside their home countries; these Eurocurrencies are handled in exactly the same way as Eurodollars.

Eurodollars are borrowed by U.S. and foreign corporations for various purposes, but especially to pay for goods imported from the United States and to invest in U.S. security markets. Also, U.S. dollars are used as an international currency, or international medium of exchange, and many Eurodollars are used for
this purpose. It is interesting to note that Eurodollars were actually “invented” by the Soviets in 1946. International merchants did not trust the Soviets or their rubles, so the Soviets bought some dollars (for gold), deposited them in a Paris bank, and then used these dollars to buy goods in the world markets. Others found it convenient to use dollars this same way, and soon the Eurodollar market was in full swing.

Eurodollars are usually held in interest-bearing accounts. The interest rate paid on these deposits depends (1) on the bank’s lending rate, as the interest a bank earns on loans determines its willingness and ability to pay interest on deposits, and (2) on rates of return available on U.S. money market instruments. If money market rates in the United States were above Eurodollar deposit rates, these dollars would be sent back and invested in the United States, whereas if Eurodollar deposit rates were significantly above U.S. rates, which is more often the case, more dollars would be sent out of the United States to become Eurodollars. Given the existence of the Eurodollar market and the electronic flow of dollars to and from the United States, it is easy to see why interest rates in the United States cannot be insulated from those in other parts of the world.

Interest rates on Eurodollar deposits (and loans) are tied to a standard rate known by the acronym LIBOR, which stands for London Interbank Offer Rate. LIBOR is the rate of interest offered by the largest and strongest London banks on dollar deposits of significant size. In May 2005, LIBOR rates were just a little above domestic U.S. bank rates on time deposits of the same maturity—3.16 percent for three-month CDs versus 3.22 percent for LIBOR CDs. The Eurodollar market is essentially a short-term market; most loans and deposits are for less than one year.

**International Bond Markets**

Any bond sold outside the country of the borrower is called an *international bond*. However, there are two important types of international bonds: foreign bonds and Eurobonds. **Foreign bonds** are bonds sold by a foreign borrower but denominated in the currency of the country in which the issue is sold. For instance, Nortel Networks (a Canadian company) may need U.S. dollars to finance the operations of its subsidiaries in the United States. If it decides to raise the needed capital in the United States, the bond will be underwritten by a syndicate of U.S. investment bankers, denominated in U.S. dollars, and sold to U.S. investors in accordance with SEC and applicable state regulations. Except for the foreign origin of the borrower, this bond will be indistinguishable from those issued by equivalent U.S. corporations. Since Nortel is a foreign corporation, however, the bond would be a foreign bond. Furthermore, because it is denominated in dollars and sold in the United States under SEC regulations, it is also called a **Yankee bond**. In contrast, if Nortel issued bonds in Mexico denominated in pesos, it would be a foreign bond, but not a Yankee bond.

The term **Eurobond** is used to designate any bond issued in one country but denominated in the currency of some other country. Examples include a Ford Motor Company issue denominated in dollars and sold in Germany, or a British firm’s sale of euro-denominated bonds in Switzerland. The institutional arrangements by which Eurobonds are marketed are different than those for most other bond issues, with the most important distinction being a far lower level of required disclosure than is usually found for bonds issued in domestic markets, particularly in the United States. Governments tend to be less strict when regulating securities denominated in foreign currencies, because the bonds’ purchasers are generally more “sophisticated.” The lower disclosure requirements result in lower total transaction costs for Eurobonds.
Eurobonds appeal to investors for several reasons. Generally, they are issued in bearer form rather than as registered bonds, so the names and nationalities of investors are not recorded. Individuals who desire anonymity, whether for privacy reasons or for tax avoidance, like Eurobonds. Similarly, most governments do not withhold taxes on interest payments associated with Eurobonds. If the investor requires an effective yield of 10 percent, a Eurobond that is exempt from tax withholding would need a coupon rate of 10 percent. Another type of bond—for instance, a domestic issue subject to a 30 percent withholding tax on interest paid to foreigners—would need a coupon rate of 14.3 percent to yield an after-withholding rate of 10 percent. Investors who desire secrecy would not want to file for a refund of the tax, so they would prefer to hold the Eurobond.

More than half of all Eurobonds are denominated in dollars. Bonds in Japanese yen, German marks, and Dutch guilders account for most of the rest. Although centered in Europe, Eurobonds are truly international. Their underwriting syndicates include investment bankers from all parts of the world, and the bonds are sold to investors not only in Europe but also in such faraway places as Bahrain and Singapore. Up to a few years ago, Eurobonds were issued solely by multinational firms, by international financial institutions, or by national governments. Today, however, the Eurobond market is also being tapped by purely domestic U.S. firms, because they often find that by borrowing overseas they can lower their debt costs.

**International Stock Markets**

New issues of stock are sold in international markets for a variety of reasons. For example, a non-U.S. firm might sell an equity issue in the United States because it can tap a much larger source of capital than in its home country. Also, a U.S. firm might tap a foreign market because it wants to create an equity market presence to accompany its operations in that country. Large multinational companies also occasionally issue new stock simultaneously in multiple countries. For example, Alcan Aluminum, a Canadian company, recently issued new stock in Canada, Europe, and the United States simultaneously, using different underwriting syndicates in each market.

In addition to new issues, outstanding stocks of large multinational companies are increasingly being listed on multiple international exchanges. For example, Coca-Cola’s stock is traded on six stock exchanges in the United States, four stock exchanges in Switzerland, and the Frankfurt stock exchange in Germany. Some 500 foreign stocks are listed in the United States—an example here is Royal Dutch Petroleum, which is listed on the NYSE. U.S. investors can also invest in foreign companies through American Depository Receipts (ADRs), which are certificates representing ownership of foreign stock held in trust. About 1,700 ADRs are now available in the United States, with most of them traded on the over-the-counter (OTC) market. However, more and more ADRs are being listed on the New York Stock Exchange, including England’s British Airways, Japan’s Honda Motors, and Italy’s Fiat Group.

**Self-Test Questions**

Differentiate between foreign portfolio investments and direct foreign investments.

What are Eurodollars?

Has the development of the Eurodollar market made it easier or more difficult for the Federal Reserve to control U.S. interest rates?

Differentiate between foreign bonds and Eurobonds.

Why do Eurobonds appeal to investors?
MULTINATIONAL CAPITAL BUDGETING

Up to now, we have discussed the general environment in which multinational firms operate. In the remainder of the chapter, we see how international factors affect key corporate decisions, beginning with capital budgeting. Although the same basic principles apply to capital budgeting for both foreign and domestic operations, there are some key differences, including types of risks the firm faces, cash flow estimation, and project analysis.

Risk Exposure

Foreign projects may be more or less risky than equivalent domestic projects, and that can lead to differences in the cost of capital. Higher risk for foreign projects tends to result from two primary sources: (1) exchange rate risk and (2) political risk. However, international diversification might result in a lower risk.

Exchange rate risk relates to the value of the basic cash flows in the parent company’s home currency. Foreign currency cash flows turned over to the parent must be converted into U.S. dollars, so projected cash flows must be translated to dollars at the expected future exchange rates. An analysis should be conducted to ascertain the effects of exchange rate variations on dollar cash flows, and, on the basis of this analysis, an exchange rate risk premium should be added to the domestic cost of capital. It is sometimes possible to hedge against exchange rate risk, but it may not be possible to hedge completely, especially on long-term projects. If hedging is used, the costs of doing so must be subtracted from the project’s operating cash flows.

Political risk refers to potential actions by a host government that would reduce the value of a company’s investment. It includes at one extreme expropriation of the subsidiary’s assets without compensation, but it also includes less drastic actions that reduce the value of the parent firm’s investment in the foreign subsidiary. Included here are higher taxes, tighter repatriation or currency controls, and restrictions on prices charged. The risk of expropriation is small in traditionally friendly and stable countries such as Great Britain or Switzerland. However, in Latin America, Africa, the Far East, and Eastern Europe, the risk may be substantial. Past expropriations include those of ITT and Anaconda Copper in Chile, Gulf Oil in Bolivia, Occidental Petroleum in Libya, Enron Corporation in Peru, and the assets of many companies in Iraq, Iran, and Cuba.

Note that companies can take steps to reduce the potential loss from expropriation, including one or more of the following:

1. Finance the subsidiary with local capital.
2. Structure operations so that the subsidiary has value only as a part of the integrated corporate system.
3. Obtain insurance against economic losses from expropriation from a source such as the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

If OPIC insurance is purchased, the premiums paid must be added to the project’s cost.

Several organizations rate countries according to different aspects of risk. For example, Transparency International (TI) ranks countries based on perceived corruption, which is an important part of political risk. Table 27-4 shows selected countries. TI rates Finland as the most honest country, while Haiti and Bangladesh are the lowest two. The United States is tied for seventeenth.
Cash Flow Estimation

Cash flow estimation is more complex for foreign than domestic investments. Most multinational firms set up separate subsidiaries in each foreign country in which they operate, and the relevant cash flows for the parent company are the dividends and royalties paid by the subsidiaries to the parent, translated into dollars. Dividends and royalties are normally taxed by both foreign and home country governments, although the home country may allow credits for some or all of the foreign taxes paid. Furthermore, a foreign government may restrict the amount of the cash that may be repatriated to the parent company. For example, some governments place a ceiling, stated as a percentage of the company’s net worth, on the amount of cash dividends that a subsidiary can pay to its parent. Such restrictions are normally intended to force multinational firms to reinvest earnings in the foreign country, although restrictions are sometimes imposed to prevent large currency outflows, which might disrupt the exchange rate.

Whatever the host country’s motivation for blocking repatriation of profits, the result is that the parent corporation cannot use cash flows blocked in the foreign country to pay dividends to its shareholders or to invest elsewhere in the business. Hence, from the perspective of the parent organization, the cash flows relevant for foreign investment analysis are the cash flows that the subsidiary is actually expected to send back to the parent. Note, though, that if returns on investments in the foreign country are attractive, and if blockages are expected to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP-RANKED COUNTRIES</th>
<th>BOTTOM-RANKED COUNTRIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (tie)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (tie)</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (tie)</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (tie)</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

be lifted in the future, then current blockages may not be bad, but dealing with this situation does complicate the cash flow estimation process.

Some companies attempt to circumvent repatriation restrictions (and also lower taxes paid) through the use of transfer pricing. For example, a foreign subsidiary might obtain raw materials or other input components from the parent. The price the subsidiary pays the parent is called a **transfer price**. If the transfer price is very high, then the foreign subsidiary’s costs will be very high, leaving little or no profit to repatriate. However, the parent’s profit will be higher because it sold to the subsidiary at an inflated transfer price. The net result is that the parent receives cash flows from the subsidiary via transfer pricing rather than as repatriated dividends. Transfer pricing can also be used to shift profits from high-tax to low-tax jurisdictions. Of course, governments are well aware of these possibilities, so governmental auditors are on guard to prevent abusive transfer pricing.

**Project Analysis**

First, consider a domestic project that requires foreign raw materials, or one where the finished product will be sold in a foreign market. Because the operation is based in the United States, any projected nondollar cash flows—costs in the first example and revenues in the second—should be converted into dollars. This conversion does not present much of a problem for cash flows to be paid or received in the short run, but there is a significant problem in estimating exchange rates for converting long-term foreign cash flows into dollars because forward exchange rates are usually not available for more than 180 days into the future. However, long-term expected forward exchange rates can be estimated using the interest rate parity relationship set forth in Equation 27-1. For example, if a foreign cash flow is expected to occur in one year, then the one-year forward exchange rate can be estimated using domestic and foreign government bonds maturing in one year. Similarly, the two-year exchange rate can be estimated using two-year bonds. Thus, foreign cash flows can be converted into dollars and added to the project’s other projected cash flows, and then the project’s NPV can be calculated based on the project’s cost of capital.

Now consider a project that will be based overseas, where most expected future cash flows will be denominated in a foreign currency. Two approaches can be used to estimate such a project’s NPV. Both begin by forecasting the future cash flows denominated in the foreign currency and then determining the annual repatriations to the United States, denominated in the foreign currency. Under the first approach, we convert the expected future repatriations to dollars (as described earlier), and then find the NPV using the project’s cost of capital. Under the second approach, we take the projected repatriations, denominated in the foreign currency, and discount them at the foreign cost of capital, which reflects foreign interest rates and relevant risk premiums. This produces an NPV denominated in the foreign currency, which can be converted into a dollar-denominated NPV using the spot exchange rate.

The following example illustrates the first approach. A U.S. company has the opportunity to lease a manufacturing facility in Great Britain for three years. The company must spend £20 million initially to refurbish the plant. The expected net cash flows from the plant for the next three years, in millions, are \( \text{CF}_1 = \£7 \), \( \text{CF}_2 = \£9 \), and \( \text{CF}_3 = \£11 \). A similar project in the United States would have a risk-adjusted cost of capital of 10 percent. The first step is to estimate the
In the United States the Dow Jones Industrial Average (^DJI) is the most well-known stock market index. Similar indices also exist for each major world financial center.

**Hong Kong (^HSI)**

In Hong Kong, the primary stock index is the Hang Seng. Created by HSI Services Limited, the Hang Seng index is composed of 33 large stocks.

**Great Britain (^FTSE)**

The FT-SE 100 Index (prounced “footsie”) is the most widely followed indicator of equity investments in Great Britain. It is a value-weighted index composed of the 100 largest companies on the London Stock Exchange.

**Japan (^N225)**

In Japan, the principal barometer of stock performance is the Nikkei 225 Index. The index consists of highly liquid equity issues thought to be representative of the Japanese economy.

**Germany (^GDAXI)**

The Deutscher Aktienindex, commonly called the DAX, is an index comprised of the 30 largest companies trading on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange.

**India (^BSESN)**

Of the 22 stock exchanges in India, the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) is the largest, with more than 6,000 listed stocks and approximately two-thirds of the country’s total trading volume. Established in 1875, the exchange is also the oldest in Asia. Its yardstick is the BSE Sensex, an index of 30 publicly traded Indian stocks that account for one-fifth of the BSE’s market capitalization.

*Note: For easy access to world indices, see [http://finance.yahoo.com/m2](http://finance.yahoo.com/m2) and use the “ticker” symbols shown in parentheses.*

### Relative Ten-Year Performance (Starting Values = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Great Britain</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Japan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 1995</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2005</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected exchange rates at the end of one, two, and three years using the interest rate parity equation:

\[
\text{Expected forward exchange rate} = \text{Spot exchange rate} \left( \frac{1 + r_h}{1 + r_f} \right) \]

where the exchange rates are expressed in direct quotations. We are using the interest rate parity equation to calculate forward rates because market-based forward rates for maturities longer than a year are not generally available.
Suppose the spot exchange rate is 1.8000 dollars per pound. Interest rates on U.S. and U.K. government bonds are shown below, along with the expected forward rate implied by the interest rate parity relationship in Equation 27-1b:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity (in years)</th>
<th>( r_h )</th>
<th>( r_f )</th>
<th>Spot Rate ($/£)</th>
<th>Expected Forward Rate Based on Equation 27-1b ($/£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
<td>1.7553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
<td>1.7623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
<td>1.7709</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current dollar cost of the project is £20(1.8000 $/£) = $36 million. The Year 1 cash flow in dollars is £7(1.7553 $/£) = $12.29 million. Table 27-5 shows the complete time line and the net present value of $2.92 million.

**Self-Test Questions**

List some key differences in capital budgeting as applied to foreign versus domestic operations.

What are the relevant cash flows for an international investment—the cash flow produced by the subsidiary in the country where it operates or the cash flows in dollars that it sends to its parent company?

Why might the cost of capital for a foreign project differ from that of an equivalent domestic project? Could it be lower?

What adjustments might be made to the domestic cost of capital for a foreign investment due to exchange rate risk and political risk?

**INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURES**

Companies’ capital structures vary among countries. For example, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) recently reported that, on average, Japanese firms use 85 percent debt to total assets (in book value terms), German firms use 64 percent, and U.S. firms use 55 percent. One problem, however, when interpreting these numbers is that different countries often use very
different accounting conventions with regard to (1) reporting assets on a historical- versus a replacement-cost basis, (2) the treatment of leased assets, (3) pension plan funding, and (4) capitalizing versus expensing R&D costs. These differences make it difficult to compare capital structures.

A study by Raghuram Rajan and Luigi Zingales of the University of Chicago attempted to control for differences in accounting practices. In their study, Rajan and Zingales used a database that covered fewer firms than the OECD but that provided a more complete breakdown of balance sheet data. They concluded that differences in accounting practices can explain much of the cross-country variation in capital structures.

Rajan and Zingales’s results are summarized in Table 27-6. There are a number of different ways to measure capital structure. One measure is the average ratio of total liabilities to total assets—this is similar to the measure used by the OECD, and it is reported in Column 1. Based on this measure, German and Japanese firms appear to be more highly levered than U.S. firms. However, if you look at Column 2, where capital structure is measured by interest-bearing debt to total assets, it appears that German firms use less leverage than U.S. and Japanese firms. What explains this difference? Rajan and Zingales argue that much of this difference is explained by the way German firms account for pension liabilities. German firms generally include all pension liabilities (and their offsetting assets) on the balance sheet, whereas firms in other countries (including the United States) generally “net out” pension assets and liabilities on their balance sheets. To see the importance of this difference, consider a firm with $10 million in liabilities (not including pension liabilities) and $20 million in assets (not including pension assets). Assume that the firm has $10 million in pension liabilities that are fully

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total Liabilities to Total Assets (Unadjusted for Accounting Differences)</th>
<th>Interest-Bearing Debt to Total Assets (Unadjusted for Accounting Differences)</th>
<th>Total Liabilities to Total Assets (Adjusted for Accounting Differences)</th>
<th>Debt to Total Assets (Adjusted for Accounting Differences)</th>
<th>Times-Interest-Earned (TIE) Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>1.55×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2.64×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>3.20×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1.81×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2.46×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4.79×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2.41×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2.69×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1.07×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

funded by $10 million in pension assets. Therefore, net pension liabilities are zero. If this firm were in the United States, it would report a ratio of total liabilities to total assets equal to 50 percent ($10 million/$20 million). By contrast, if this firm operated in Germany, both its pension assets and liabilities would be reported on the balance sheet. The firm would have $20 million in liabilities and $30 million in assets—or a 67 percent ($20 million/$30 million) ratio of total liabilities to total assets. Total debt is the sum of short-term debt and long-term debt and excludes other liabilities including pension liabilities. Therefore, the measure of total debt to total assets provides a more comparable measure of leverage across different countries.

Rajan and Zingales also make a variety of adjustments that attempt to control for other differences in accounting practices. The effects of these adjustments are reported in Columns 3 and 4. Overall, the evidence suggests that companies in Germany and the United Kingdom tend to have less leverage, whereas firms in Canada appear to have more leverage, relative to firms in the United States, France, Italy, and Japan. This conclusion is supported by data in the final column, which shows the average times-interest-earned ratio for firms in a number of different countries. Recall from Chapter 8 that the times-interest-earned ratio is the ratio of operating income (EBIT) to interest expense. This measure indicates how much cash the firm has available to service its interest expense. In general, firms with more leverage have a lower times-interest-earned ratio. The data indicate that this ratio is highest in the United Kingdom and Germany and lowest in Canada.

Self-Test Question

Do international differences in financial leverage exist? Explain.

MULTINATIONAL WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Cash Management

The goals of cash management in a multinational corporation are similar to those in a purely domestic corporation: (1) to speed up collections, slow down disbursements, and thus maximize net float; (2) to shift cash as rapidly as possible from those parts of the business where it is not needed to those parts where it is needed; and (3) to maximize the risk-adjusted, after-tax rate of return on temporary cash balances. Multinational companies use the same general procedures for achieving these goals as domestic firms, but because of longer distances and more serious mail delays, such devices as lockbox systems and electronic funds transfers are especially important.

Although multinational and domestic corporations have the same objectives and use similar procedures, multinational corporations face a far more complex task. As noted earlier in our discussion of political risk, foreign governments often place restrictions on transfers of funds out of the country, so although IBM can transfer money from its Salt Lake City office to its New York concentration bank just by pressing a few buttons, a similar transfer from its Buenos Aires office is far more complex. Buenos Aires funds must be converted to dollars before the transfer. If there is a shortage of dollars in Argentina, or if the Argentinean government wants to conserve dollars so they will be available for the purchase of strategic materials, then conversion, hence the transfer, may be blocked. Even if no dollar shortage exists in Argentina, the government may still restrict funds outflows if those funds represent profits or depreciation rather than payments for purchased materials or...
equipment, because many countries, especially those that are less developed, want profits reinvested in the country in order to stimulate economic growth.

Once it has been determined what funds can be transferred, the next task is to get those funds to locations where they will earn the highest returns. Whereas domestic corporations tend to think in terms of domestic securities, multinationals are more likely to be aware of investment opportunities all around the world. Most multinational corporations use one or more global concentration banks, located in money centers such as London, New York, Tokyo, Zurich, or Singapore, and their staffs in those cities, working with international bankers, are able to take advantage of the best rates available anywhere in the world.

**Credit Management**

Consider the international cash conversion cycle for a foreign company importing from the United States: The order is placed, the goods are shipped, an account payable is created for the importer and an account receivable is created for the exporter, the goods arrive in the foreign country, the importer sells them, and the importer collects on the sales. At some point in this process the importer pays off the account payable, which is usually before the importer collects on its own sales. Notice that the importer must finance the transaction from the time it pays the account payable until it collects on its sales. In many poorer, less-developed nations, the capital markets are not adequate to enable the importer to finance the cash conversion cycle. Even when foreign capital markets are available, the additional shipping time might lengthen the cash conversion cycle to such an extent that the importer can’t afford the financing costs. Thus, there is enormous pressure on the exporter to grant credit, often with very lengthy payment periods.

But now consider the situation from the exporter’s point of view. First, it is much more difficult for the exporter to perform a credit analysis on a foreign customer. Second, the exporter must also worry about exchange-rate fluctuations between the time of the sale and the time the receivable is collected. For example, if IBM sold a computer to a Japanese customer for 90 million yen when the exchange rate was 90 yen to the dollar, IBM would obtain $90,000,000/90 = $1,000,000 for the computer. However, if it sold the computer on terms of net/6 months, and if the yen fell against the dollar so that 1 dollar would now buy 112.5 yen, IBM would end up realizing only $90,000,000/112.5 = $800,000 when it collected the receivable. Hedging with forward contracts can reduce this exchange rate risk, but what about the credit risk?

One possibility is for the importer to obtain a letter of credit from its bank, whereby the bank certifies that the importer will meet the terms of the account payable or else the bank will pay. However, the importer often must pay the bank a relatively large fee for the letter of credit, and letters of credit might not be available to companies in developing countries.

A second option is for the importer to essentially write a check to the exporter at the time of the purchase, but one that is postdated so that it cannot be cashed until the account payable’s due date. If the importer’s bank promises that it will “accept” the check even if there are insufficient funds in the importer’s account, then the check becomes a financial instrument that is called a **banker’s acceptance**. If the bank is strong, then this virtually eliminates the credit risk. In addition, the exporter can then sell this banker’s acceptance in the secondary market if it needs funds immediately. Of course, it must sell the banker’s acceptance at a discount to reflect the time value of money, because the banker’s acceptance is essentially a short-term financial security that pays no interest, similar to a T-bill.
international transaction via a banker’s acceptance has many benefits for the exporter, but the importer often must pay the bank a relatively large fee, and this service might not be available to companies in developing countries.

A third alternative is for the exporter to purchase export credit insurance, in which an insurer makes a commitment to pay the exporter even if the importer defaults. Sometimes the “insurer” is a government agency, such as the Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI) or the United States Export-Import Bank. But the last decade has seen a dramatic increase in the availability of export credit insurance from private insurance companies. These large insurance companies have developed expertise in international credit analysis and they can spread the risk over a large number of customers. These advantages allow them to offer credit insurance at rates that often make it less costly than either letters of credit or banker’s acceptances. In fact, export credit insurance has been so successful that it has virtually killed the market for bankers’ acceptances and has become the primary method companies use to manage the credit risk of international sales.

Inventory Management

As with most other aspects of finance, inventory management for a firm in a multinational setting is similar to but more complex than for a purely domestic firm. First, there is the matter of the physical location of inventories. For example, where should ExxonMobil keep its stockpiles of crude oil and refined products? It has refineries and marketing centers located worldwide, and one alternative is to keep items concentrated in a few strategic spots from which they can then be shipped as needs arise. Such a strategy might minimize the total amount of inventories needed and thus might minimize the investment in inventories. Note, though, that consideration will have to be given to potential delays in getting goods from central storage locations to user locations all around the world. Both working stocks and safety stocks would have to be maintained at each user location, as well as at the strategic storage centers. Problems like the Iraqi occupation of Kuwait in 1990 and the subsequent trade embargo, which brought with it the potential for a shutdown of production of about 25 percent of the world’s oil supply, complicate matters further.

Exchange rates also influence inventory policy. If a local currency, say, the Danish krone, were expected to rise in value against the dollar, a U.S. company operating in Denmark would want to increase stocks of local products before the rise in the krone, and vice versa if the krone were expected to fall.

Another factor that must be considered is the possibility of import or export quotas or tariffs. For example, Apple Computer Company was buying certain memory chips from Japanese suppliers at a bargain price. Then U.S. chipmakers accused the Japanese of dumping chips in the U.S. market at prices below cost, so they sought to force the Japanese to raise prices. That led Apple to increase its

---

2The term “dumping” warrants explanation, because the practice is so potentially important in international markets. Suppose Japanese chipmakers have excess capacity. A particular chip has a variable cost of $25, and its “fully allocated cost,” which is the $25 plus total fixed cost per unit of output, is $40. Now suppose the Japanese firm can sell chips in the United States at $35 per unit, but if it charges $40, it will not make any sales because U.S. chipmakers sell for $35.50. If the Japanese firm sells at $35, it will cover variable costs plus make a contribution to fixed overhead, so selling at $35 makes sense. Continuing, if the Japanese firm can sell in Japan at $40, but U.S. firms are excluded from Japanese markets by import duties or other barriers, the Japanese will have a huge advantage over U.S. manufacturers. This practice of selling goods at lower prices in foreign markets than at home is called “dumping.” U.S. firms are required by antitrust laws to offer the same price to all customers and, therefore, cannot engage in dumping.
chip inventory. Then computer sales slacked off, and Apple ended up with an oversupply of obsolete computer chips. As a result, Apple’s profits were hurt and its stock price fell, demonstrating once more the importance of careful inventory management.

As mentioned earlier, another danger in certain countries is the threat of expropriation. If that threat is large, inventory holdings will be minimized, and goods will be brought in only as needed. Similarly, if the operation involves extraction of raw materials such as oil or bauxite, processing plants may be moved offshore rather than located close to the production site.

Taxes have two effects on multinational inventory management. First, countries often impose property taxes on assets, including inventories, and when this is done, the tax is based on holdings as of a specific date, say, January 1 or March 1. Such rules make it advantageous for a multinational firm (1) to schedule production so that inventories are low on the assessment date, and (2) if assessment dates vary among countries in a region, to hold safety stocks in different countries at different times during the year.

Finally, multinational firms may consider the possibility of at-sea storage. Oil, chemical, grain, and other companies that deal in a bulk commodity that must be stored in some type of tank can often buy tankers at a cost not much greater—or perhaps even less, considering land cost—than land-based facilities. Loaded tankers can then be kept at sea or at anchor in some strategic location. This eliminates the danger of expropriation, minimizes the property tax problem, and maximizes flexibility with regard to shipping to areas where needs are greatest or prices highest.

This discussion has only scratched the surface of inventory management in the multinational corporation—the task is much more complex than for a purely domestic firm. However, the greater the degree of complexity, the greater the rewards from superior performance, so if you want challenge along with potentially high rewards, look to the international arena.

Self-Test Questions

What are some factors that make cash management especially complicated in a multinational corporation?
Why is granting credit especially risky in an international context?
Why is inventory management especially important for a multinational firm?

SUMMARY

Multinational companies have more opportunities but also face different risks than do companies that operate only in their home market. The chapter discussed many of the key trends affecting the global markets today, and it described the most important differences between multinational and domestic financial management. The key concepts are listed below:

- **International operations** are becoming increasingly important to individual firms and to the national economy. A **multinational**, or **global**, corporation is a firm that operates in an integrated fashion in a number of countries.
- Companies “go global” for six primary reasons: (1) to expand their markets, (2) to obtain raw materials, (3) to seek new technology, (4) to lower production costs, (5) to avoid trade barriers, and (6) to diversify.
Six major factors distinguish financial management as practiced by domestic firms from that practiced by multinational corporations: (1) different currency denominations, (2) different economic and legal structures, (3) languages, (4) cultural differences, (5) role of governments, and (6) political risk.

When discussing exchange rates, the number of U.S. dollars required to purchase one unit of a foreign currency is called a direct quotation, while the number of units of foreign currency that can be purchased for one U.S. dollar is an indirect quotation.

Exchange rate fluctuations make it difficult to estimate the dollars that overseas operations will produce.

Prior to August 1971, the world was on a fixed exchange rate system whereby the U.S. dollar was linked to gold, and other currencies were then tied to the dollar. After August 1971, the world monetary system changed to a floating system under which major world currency rates float with market forces, largely unrestricted by governmental intervention. The central bank of each country does operate in the foreign exchange market, buying and selling currencies to smooth out exchange rate fluctuations, but only to a limited extent.

The consolidation of the European market has had a profound impact on European exchange rates. The exchange rates for the currencies of each of the participating countries were fixed relative to the euro. Consequently, the cross rates between the various participating currencies were also fixed. However, the value of the euro continues to fluctuate.

Pegged exchange rates occur when a country establishes a fixed exchange rate with a major currency. Consequently, the values of pegged currencies move together over time.

A convertible currency is one that may be readily exchanged for other currencies.

Spot rates are the rates paid for delivery of currency “on the spot,” while the forward exchange rate is the rate paid for delivery at some agreed-upon future date, usually 30, 90, or 180 days from the day the transaction is negotiated. The forward rate can be at either a premium or a discount to the spot rate.

Interest rate parity holds that investors should expect to earn the same risk-free return in all countries after adjusting for exchange rates.

Purchasing power parity, sometimes referred to as the law of one price, implies that the level of exchange rates adjusts so that identical goods cost the same in different countries.

Granting credit is more risky in an international context because, in addition to the normal risks of default, the multinational firm must worry about exchange rate changes between the time a sale is made and the time a receivable is collected.

Credit policy is important for a multinational firm for two reasons: (1) Much trade is with less-developed nations, and in such situations granting credit is a necessary condition for doing business. (2) The governments of nations such as Japan whose economic health depends upon exports often help their firms compete by granting credit to foreign customers.

Foreign investments are similar to domestic investments, but political risk and exchange rate risk must be considered. Political risk is the risk that the foreign government will take some action that will decrease the value of the investment, while exchange rate risk is the risk of losses due to fluctuations in the value of the dollar relative to the values of foreign currencies.

Investments in international capital projects expose firms to exchange rate risk and political risk. The relevant cash flows in international capital budgeting are the dollars that can be repatriated to the parent company.
• **Eurodollars** are U.S. dollars deposited in banks outside the United States. Interest rates on Eurodollars are tied to LIBOR, the London Interbank Offer Rate.

• U.S. firms often find that they can raise long-term capital at a lower cost outside the United States by selling bonds in the **international capital markets**. International bonds may be either **foreign bonds**, which are exactly like regular domestic bonds except that the issuer is a foreign company, or **Eurobonds**, which are bonds sold in a foreign country but denominated in the currency of the issuing company’s home country.

### QUESTIONS

27-1 Define each of the following terms:
   a. Multinational corporation
   b. Exchange rate; fixed exchange rate system; floating exchange rates
   c. Trade deficit; devaluation; revaluation
   d. Exchange rate risk; convertible currency; pegged exchange rates
   e. Interest rate parity; purchasing power parity
   f. Spot rate; forward exchange rate; discount on forward rate; premium on forward rate
   g. Repatriation of earnings; political risk
   h. Eurodollar; Eurobond; international bond; foreign bond
   i. The euro

27-2 Under the fixed exchange rate system, what was the currency against which all other currency values were defined? Why?

27-3 Exchange rates fluctuate under both the fixed exchange rate and floating exchange rate systems. What, then, is the difference between the two systems?

27-4 If the Swiss franc depreciates against the U.S. dollar, can a dollar buy more or fewer Swiss francs as a result?

27-5 If the United States imports more goods from abroad than it exports, foreigners will tend to have a surplus of U.S. dollars. What will this do to the value of the dollar with respect to foreign currencies? What is the corresponding effect on foreign investments in the United States?

27-6 Why do U.S. corporations build manufacturing plants abroad when they could build them at home?

27-7 Should firms require higher rates of return on foreign projects than on identical projects located at home? Explain.

27-8 What is a Eurodollar? If a French citizen deposits $10,000 in Chase Manhattan Bank in New York, have Eurodollars been created? What if the deposit is made in Barclay’s Bank in London? Chase Manhattan’s Paris branch? Does the existence of the Eurodollar market make the Federal Reserve’s job of controlling U.S. interest rates easier or more difficult? Explain.

27-9 Does interest rate parity imply that interest rates are the same in all countries?

27-10 Why might purchasing power parity fail to hold?
PROBLEMS

27-1 Cross Rates

A currency trader observes that in the spot exchange market, 1 U.S. dollar can be exchanged for 9 Mexican pesos or for 111.23 Japanese yen. What is the cross-exchange rate between the yen and the peso; that is, how many yen would you receive for every peso exchanged?

27-2 Interest Rate Parity

Six-month T-bills have a nominal rate of 7 percent, while default-free Japanese bonds that mature in 6 months have a nominal rate of 5.5 percent. In the spot exchange market, 1 yen equals $0.009. If interest rate parity holds, what is the 6-month forward exchange rate?

27-3 Purchasing Power Parity

A television set costs $500 in the United States. The same set costs 550 euros in France. If purchasing power parity holds, what is the spot exchange rate between the euro and the dollar?

27-4 Exchange Rate

If British pounds sell for $1.50 (U.S.) per pound, what should dollars sell for in pounds per dollar?

27-5 Currency Appreciation

Suppose that 1 Swiss franc could be purchased in the foreign exchange market for 60 U.S. cents today. If the franc appreciated 10 percent tomorrow against the dollar, how many francs would a dollar buy tomorrow?

27-6 Cross Exchange Rates

Suppose the exchange rate between U.S. dollars and the Swiss franc was SFr1.6 = $1, and the exchange rate between the dollar and the British pound was £1 = $1.50. What was the exchange rate between francs and pounds?

27-7 Exchange Gains and Losses

You are the vice president of International InfoXchange, headquartered in Chicago, Illinois. All shareholders of the firm live in the United States. Earlier this month, you obtained a loan of 5 million Canadian dollars from a bank in Toronto to finance the construction of a new plant in Montreal. At the time the loan was received, the exchange rate was 75 U.S. cents to the Canadian dollar. By the end of the month, it has unexpectedly dropped to 70 cents. Has your company made a gain or loss as a result, and by how much?

27-8 Results of Exchange Rate Changes

Early in September 1983, it took 245 Japanese yen to equal $1. More than 20 years later that exchange rate had fallen to 108 yen to $1. Assume the price of a Japanese-manufactured automobile was $8,000 in September 1983 and that its price changes were in direct relation to exchange rates.

a. Has the price, in dollars, of the automobile increased or decreased during the 20-year period because of changes in the exchange rate?

b. What would the dollar price of the car be, assuming the car’s price changes only with exchange rates?

27-9 Spot and Forward Rates

Boisjoly Watch Imports has agreed to purchase 15,000 Swiss watches for 1 million francs at today’s spot rate. The firm’s financial manager, James Desreumaux, has noted the following current spot and forward rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Dollar/Franc</th>
<th>Franc/U.S. Dollar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1.6590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-day forward</td>
<td>1.6540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day forward</td>
<td>1.6460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180-day forward</td>
<td>1.6400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the same day, Desreumaux agrees to purchase 15,000 more watches in 3 months at the same price of 1 million francs.

a. What is the price of the watches, in U.S. dollars, if purchased at today’s spot rate?
b. What is the cost, in dollars, of the second 15,000 batch if payment is made in 90 days and the spot rate at that time equals today’s 90-day forward rate?
c. If the exchange rate for the Swiss franc is 0.50 to $1 in 90 days, how much will he have to pay for the watches (in dollars)?

**27-10**

Interest Rate Parity

Assume that interest rate parity holds and that 90-day risk-free securities yield 5 percent in the United States and 5.3 percent in Germany. In the spot market, 1 euro equals $0.80 dollar.

a. Is the 90-day forward rate trading at a premium or discount relative to the spot rate?
b. What is the 90-day forward rate?

**27-11**

Interest Rate Parity

Assume that interest rate parity holds. In both the spot market and the 90-day forward market 1 Japanese yen equals 0.0086 dollar. The 90-day risk-free securities yield 4.6 percent in Japan. What is the yield on 90-day risk-free securities in the United States?

**27-12**

Purchasing Power Parity

In the spot market 7.8 pesos can be exchanged for 1 U.S. dollar. A compact disk costs $15 in the United States. If purchasing power parity holds, what should be the price of the same disk in Mexico?

**SPREADSHEET PROBLEM**

**27-13**

Build a Model: Multinational Financial Management

Start with the partial model in the file *IFM9 Ch27 P13 Build a Model.xls* from the ThomsonNOW Web site. Yohe Telecommunications is a multinational corporation that produces and distributes telecommunications technology. Although its corporate headquarters are located in Maitland, Florida, Yohe usually must buy its raw materials in several different foreign countries using several different foreign currencies. The matter is further complicated because Yohe usually sells its products in other foreign countries. One product in particular, the SY-20 radio transmitter, draws its principal components, Component X, Component Y, and Component Z, from Germany, Mexico, and England, respectively. Specifically, Component X costs 84 euros, Component Y costs 650 Mexican pesos, and Component Z costs 105 British pounds. The largest market for the SY-20 is in Japan, where it sells for 38,000 Japanese yen. Naturally, Yohe is intimately concerned with economic conditions that could adversely affect dollar exchange rates. You will find Tables 27-1, 27-2, and 27-3 useful for this problem.

a. How much, in dollars, does it cost for Yohe to produce the SY-20? What is the dollar sale price of the SY-20?
b. What is the dollar profit that Yohe makes on the sale of the SY-20? What is the percentage profit?
c. If the U.S. dollar were to weaken by 10 percent against all foreign currencies, what would be the dollar profit for the SY-20?
d. If the U.S. dollar were to weaken by 10 percent only against the Japanese yen and remained constant relative to all other foreign currencies, what would be the dollar and percentage profits for the SY-20?
e. Using the forward exchange information from Table 27-3, calculate the return on 1-year securities in England, if the rate of return on 1-year securities in the United States is 4.9 percent.
f. Assuming that purchasing power parity (PPP) holds, what would be the sale price of the SY-20 if it were sold in England rather than Japan?
Citrus Products Inc. is a medium-sized producer of citrus juice drinks with groves in Indian River County, Florida. Until now, the company has confined its operations and sales to the United States, but its CEO, George Gaynor, wants to expand into Europe. The first step would be to set up sales subsidiaries in Spain and Sweden, then to set up a production plant in Spain, and, finally, to distribute the product throughout the European common market. The firm’s financial manager, Ruth Schmidt, is enthusiastic about the plan, but she is worried about the implications of the foreign expansion on the firm’s financial management process. She has asked you, the firm’s most recently hired financial analyst, to develop a 1-hour tutorial package that explains the basics of multinational financial management. The tutorial will be presented at the next board of directors’ meeting. To get you started, Schmidt has supplied you with the following list of questions.

a. What is a multinational corporation? Why do firms expand into other countries?
b. What are the six major factors that distinguish multinational financial management from financial management as practiced by a purely domestic firm?
c. Consider the following illustrative exchange rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Dollars Required to Buy One Unit of Foreign Currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish krona</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Are these currency prices direct quotations or indirect quotations?
(2) Calculate the indirect quotations for euros and kronas.
(3) What is a cross rate? Calculate the two cross rates between euros and kronas.
(4) Assume Citrus Products can produce a liter of orange juice and ship it to Spain for $1.75. If the firm wants a 50 percent markup on the product, what should the orange juice sell for in Spain?
(5) Now, assume Citrus Products begins producing the same liter of orange juice in Spain. The product costs 2.0 euros to produce and ship to Sweden, where it can be sold for 20 kronas. What is the dollar profit on the sale?
(6) What is exchange rate risk?
d. Briefly describe the current international monetary system. How does the current system differ from the system that was in place prior to August 1971?
e. What is a convertible currency? What problems arise when a multinational company operates in a country whose currency is not convertible?
f. What is the difference between spot rates and forward rates? When is the forward rate at a premium to the spot rate? At a discount?
g. What is interest rate parity? Currently, you can exchange 1 euro for 0.8100 dollar in the 180-day forward market, and the risk-free rate on 180-day securities is 6 percent in the United States
and 4 percent in Spain. Does interest rate parity hold? If not, which securities offer the highest expected return?

h. What is purchasing power parity? If grapefruit juice costs $2.00 a liter in the United States and purchasing power parity holds, what should be the price of grapefruit juice in Spain?

i. What effect does relative inflation have on interest rates and exchange rates?

j. Briefly discuss the international capital markets.

k. To what extent do average capital structures vary across different countries?

l. Briefly describe special problems that occur in multinational capital budgeting and describe the process for evaluating a foreign project. Now consider the following project: A U.S. company has the opportunity to lease a manufacturing facility in Japan for 2 years. The company must spend ¥1 billion initially to refurbish the plant. The expected net cash flows from the plant for the next two years, in millions, are CF₁ = ¥500 and CF₂ = ¥800. A similar project in the United States would have a risk-adjusted cost of capital of 10 percent. In the United States, a 1-year government bond pays 2 percent interest and a 2-year bond pays 2.8 percent. In Japan, a 1-year bond pays 0.05 percent and a 2-year bond pays 0.26 percent. What is the project’s NPV?

m. Briefly discuss special factors associated with the following areas of multinational working capital management:
   (1) Cash management.
   (2) Credit management.
   (3) Inventory management.

Perhaps the best way to obtain more information on multinational financial management is to consult one of the many excellent textbooks on the subject. For example, see


For some articles on multinational financial management, see


The following case from Textchoice, Thomson Learning’s online library, covers many of the concepts discussed in this chapter and is available at http://www.textchoice2.com.

Klein-Brigham Series:
Case 18, “Alaska Oil Corporation.”
## Mathematical Table

### Table A-1: Values of the Areas under the Standard Normal Distribution Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>0.03</th>
<th>0.04</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.06</th>
<th>0.07</th>
<th>0.08</th>
<th>0.09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>0.0279</td>
<td>0.0319</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0398</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
<td>0.0557</td>
<td>0.0596</td>
<td>0.0636</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
<td>0.0871</td>
<td>0.0910</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0987</td>
<td>0.1026</td>
<td>0.1064</td>
<td>0.1103</td>
<td>0.1141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1179</td>
<td>0.1217</td>
<td>0.1255</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
<td>0.1331</td>
<td>0.1368</td>
<td>0.1406</td>
<td>0.1443</td>
<td>0.1480</td>
<td>0.1517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1554</td>
<td>0.1591</td>
<td>0.1628</td>
<td>0.1664</td>
<td>0.1700</td>
<td>0.1736</td>
<td>0.1772</td>
<td>0.1808</td>
<td>0.1844</td>
<td>0.1879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1915</td>
<td>0.1950</td>
<td>0.1985</td>
<td>0.2019</td>
<td>0.2054</td>
<td>0.2088</td>
<td>0.2123</td>
<td>0.2157</td>
<td>0.2190</td>
<td>0.2224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2257</td>
<td>0.2291</td>
<td>0.2324</td>
<td>0.2357</td>
<td>0.2389</td>
<td>0.2422</td>
<td>0.2454</td>
<td>0.2486</td>
<td>0.2517</td>
<td>0.2549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2580</td>
<td>0.2611</td>
<td>0.2642</td>
<td>0.2673</td>
<td>0.2704</td>
<td>0.2734</td>
<td>0.2764</td>
<td>0.2794</td>
<td>0.2823</td>
<td>0.2852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2881</td>
<td>0.2910</td>
<td>0.2939</td>
<td>0.2967</td>
<td>0.2995</td>
<td>0.3023</td>
<td>0.3051</td>
<td>0.3078</td>
<td>0.3106</td>
<td>0.3133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3159</td>
<td>0.3186</td>
<td>0.3212</td>
<td>0.3238</td>
<td>0.3264</td>
<td>0.3289</td>
<td>0.3315</td>
<td>0.3340</td>
<td>0.3365</td>
<td>0.3389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3413</td>
<td>0.3438</td>
<td>0.3461</td>
<td>0.3485</td>
<td>0.3508</td>
<td>0.3531</td>
<td>0.3554</td>
<td>0.3577</td>
<td>0.3599</td>
<td>0.3621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3643</td>
<td>0.3665</td>
<td>0.3686</td>
<td>0.3708</td>
<td>0.3729</td>
<td>0.3749</td>
<td>0.3770</td>
<td>0.3790</td>
<td>0.3810</td>
<td>0.3830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3849</td>
<td>0.3869</td>
<td>0.3888</td>
<td>0.3907</td>
<td>0.3925</td>
<td>0.3944</td>
<td>0.3962</td>
<td>0.3980</td>
<td>0.3997</td>
<td>0.4015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.4032</td>
<td>0.4049</td>
<td>0.4066</td>
<td>0.4082</td>
<td>0.4099</td>
<td>0.4115</td>
<td>0.4131</td>
<td>0.4147</td>
<td>0.4162</td>
<td>0.4177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4192</td>
<td>0.4207</td>
<td>0.4222</td>
<td>0.4236</td>
<td>0.4251</td>
<td>0.4265</td>
<td>0.4279</td>
<td>0.4292</td>
<td>0.4306</td>
<td>0.4319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4332</td>
<td>0.4345</td>
<td>0.4357</td>
<td>0.4370</td>
<td>0.4382</td>
<td>0.4394</td>
<td>0.4406</td>
<td>0.4418</td>
<td>0.4429</td>
<td>0.4441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.4452</td>
<td>0.4463</td>
<td>0.4474</td>
<td>0.4484</td>
<td>0.4495</td>
<td>0.4505</td>
<td>0.4515</td>
<td>0.4525</td>
<td>0.4535</td>
<td>0.4545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4554</td>
<td>0.4564</td>
<td>0.4573</td>
<td>0.4582</td>
<td>0.4591</td>
<td>0.4599</td>
<td>0.4608</td>
<td>0.4616</td>
<td>0.4625</td>
<td>0.4633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4641</td>
<td>0.4649</td>
<td>0.4656</td>
<td>0.4664</td>
<td>0.4671</td>
<td>0.4678</td>
<td>0.4686</td>
<td>0.4693</td>
<td>0.4699</td>
<td>0.4706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.4713</td>
<td>0.4719</td>
<td>0.4726</td>
<td>0.4732</td>
<td>0.4738</td>
<td>0.4744</td>
<td>0.4750</td>
<td>0.4756</td>
<td>0.4761</td>
<td>0.4767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4773</td>
<td>0.4778</td>
<td>0.4783</td>
<td>0.4788</td>
<td>0.4793</td>
<td>0.4798</td>
<td>0.4803</td>
<td>0.4808</td>
<td>0.4812</td>
<td>0.4817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4821</td>
<td>0.4826</td>
<td>0.4830</td>
<td>0.4834</td>
<td>0.4838</td>
<td>0.4842</td>
<td>0.4846</td>
<td>0.4850</td>
<td>0.4854</td>
<td>0.4857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.4861</td>
<td>0.4864</td>
<td>0.4868</td>
<td>0.4871</td>
<td>0.4875</td>
<td>0.4878</td>
<td>0.4881</td>
<td>0.4884</td>
<td>0.4887</td>
<td>0.4890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.4893</td>
<td>0.4896</td>
<td>0.4898</td>
<td>0.4901</td>
<td>0.4904</td>
<td>0.4906</td>
<td>0.4909</td>
<td>0.4911</td>
<td>0.4913</td>
<td>0.4916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.4918</td>
<td>0.4920</td>
<td>0.4922</td>
<td>0.4925</td>
<td>0.4927</td>
<td>0.4929</td>
<td>0.4931</td>
<td>0.4932</td>
<td>0.4934</td>
<td>0.4936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.4938</td>
<td>0.4940</td>
<td>0.4941</td>
<td>0.4943</td>
<td>0.4945</td>
<td>0.4946</td>
<td>0.4948</td>
<td>0.4949</td>
<td>0.4951</td>
<td>0.4952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.4953</td>
<td>0.4955</td>
<td>0.4956</td>
<td>0.4957</td>
<td>0.4959</td>
<td>0.4960</td>
<td>0.4961</td>
<td>0.4962</td>
<td>0.4963</td>
<td>0.4964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4965</td>
<td>0.4966</td>
<td>0.4967</td>
<td>0.4968</td>
<td>0.4969</td>
<td>0.4970</td>
<td>0.4971</td>
<td>0.4972</td>
<td>0.4973</td>
<td>0.4974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.4974</td>
<td>0.4975</td>
<td>0.4976</td>
<td>0.4977</td>
<td>0.4977</td>
<td>0.4978</td>
<td>0.4979</td>
<td>0.4979</td>
<td>0.4980</td>
<td>0.4981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4981</td>
<td>0.4982</td>
<td>0.4982</td>
<td>0.4982</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
<td>0.4985</td>
<td>0.4985</td>
<td>0.4986</td>
<td>0.4986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.4987</td>
<td>0.4987</td>
<td>0.4987</td>
<td>0.4988</td>
<td>0.4988</td>
<td>0.4989</td>
<td>0.4989</td>
<td>0.4989</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We present here some intermediate steps and final answers to selected end-of-chapter problems. Please note that your answer may differ slightly from ours due to rounding differences. Also, although we hope not, some of the problems may have more than one correct solution, depending upon what assumptions are made in working the problem. Finally, many of the problems involve some verbal discussion as well as numerical calculations; this verbal material is not presented here.

1-1 DRP = 1.5%.
1-2 MRP = 0.2%.

2-1 \( \bar{r} = 11.40\% \); \( \sigma = 26.69\% \); CV = 2.34.

2-2 \( b = 1.12 \).

2-3 \( \bar{r}_M = 11\% \); \( r = 12.2\% \).

2-4 \( r = 10.90\% \).

2-5 a. \( \bar{r}_M = 13.5\% \); \( \bar{r}_Y = 11.6\% \).
   b. \( \sigma_M = 3.85\% \); \( \sigma_Y = 6.22\% \).
   c. \( CV_M = 0.29 \); \( CV_Y = 0.54 \).

2-6 a. \( b_A = 1.40 \).
   b. \( r_A = 15\% \).

2-7 a. \( r_i = 15.5\% \).
   b. (1) \( r_M = 15\% \); \( r_i = 16.5\% \).
   (2) \( r_M = 13\% \); \( r_i = 14.5\% \).
   c. (1) \( r_i = 18.1\% \).
   (2) \( r_i = 14.2\% \).

2-8 \( b_N = 1.16 \).

2-9 \( b_p = 0.7625 \); \( r_p = 12.1\% \).

2-10 \( b_N = 1.1250 \).

2-11 4.5%.

2-12 a. \( \bar{r}_A = 11.30\% \); \( \bar{r}_B = 11.30\% \).
   b. \( \bar{r}_p = 11.30\% \).

2-13 a. \( b_X = 1.3471 \); \( b_Y = 0.6508 \).
   b. \( r_X = 12.7355\% \); \( r_Y = 9.254\% \).
   c. \( r_p = 12.04\% \).

3-1 a. \( b = 0.56 \).
   b. X: 10.6%; 13.1%.
   M: 12.1%; 22.6%.
   c. 8.6%.

3-2 a. \( b = 0.62 \).

3-4 a. 14.15%.
   b. 16.45%.

4-1 $935.82.

4-2 12.48%.

4-3 YTM = 6.62%; YTC = 6.49%.

4-4 8.55%.

4-5 $1,028.60.

4-6 a. 5%: \( V_L = $1,518.98 \); \( V_S = $1,047.62 \).
   8%: \( V_L = $1,171.19 \); \( V_S = $1,018.52 \).
   12%: \( V_L = $863.78 \); \( V_S = $982.14 \).

4-7 a. YTM at $829 \approx 15\% \); YTM at $1,104 = 6\% .

4-8 15.03%.

4-9 a. 10.37%.
   b. 10.91%.
   c. -0.54%.
   d. 10.15%.

4-10 8.65%.

4-11 10.78%.

4-12 YTC = 6.47%.
4-13  a. $1,251.22.
    b. $898.94.

4-14  a. 10-year, 10% coupon = 6.75%;
      10-year zero = 9.75%;
      5-year zero = 4.76%;
      30-year zero = 32.19%;
      $100 perpetuity = 14.29%.

4-15  \( C_0 = \$1,012.79; Z_0 = \$693.04; \)
      \( C_1 = \$1,010.02; Z_1 = \$759.57; \)
      \( C_2 = \$1,006.98; Z_2 = \$832.49; \)
      \( C_3 = \$1,003.65; Z_3 = \$912.41; \)
      \( C_4 = \$1,000.00; Z_4 = \$1,000.00. \)

5-1    \( D_1 = \$1.5750; D_3 = \$1.7364; \)
      \( D_5 = \$2.1011. \)

5-2    \( \hat{P}_0 = \$6.25. \)

5-3    \( \hat{P}_1 = \$22.00; \hat{r}_s = 15.50\%. \)

5-4    \( r_{ps} = 8.33\%. \)

5-5    $50.50.

5-6    \( g = 9\%. \)

5-7    \( \hat{P}_3 = \$27.32. \)

5-8    a. 13.3\%.
      b. 10\%.
      c. 8\%.
      d. 5.7\%.

5-9    $23.75.

5-10   a. \( r_c = 10.6\%; r_d = 7\%. \)

5-11   $25.03.

5-12   \( \hat{P}_0 = \$19.89. \)

5-13   a. $125.
      b. $83.33.

5-14   b. \( PV = \$5.29. \)
      d. $30.01.

5-15   a. 7\%.
      b. 5\%.
      c. 12\%.

5-16   a. (1) $9.50.
      (2) $13.33.
      b. (1) Undefined.

5-17   a. \( D_5 = \$3.52. \)
      b. \( P_0 = \$39.42. \)
      c. Dividend yield \( t = 0, 5.10\%; t = 5, 7.00\%. \)

5-18   \( \hat{P}_0 = \$54.11. \)

5-19   a. \( \hat{P}_0 = \$21.43. \)
      b. \( \hat{P}_0 = \$26.47. \)
      c. \( \hat{P}_0 = \$32.14. \)
      d. \( \hat{P}_0 = \$40.54. \)

6-1    $1.67.

6-2    $27.00; $37.00.

6-3    $1.90.

6-4    $2.39.

6-5    $1.91.

6-6    $3.70.

7-1    5.76\%.

7-2    25\%.

7-3    Tax = $107,855; NI = $222,145;
      Marginal tax rate = 39\%; Average tax rate = 33.8\%.

7-4    a. Tax = $3,575,000.
      b. Tax = $350,000.
      c. Tax = $105,000.

7-5    AT&T preferred stock = 5.37\%.

7-6    NI = $450,000; NCF = $650,000.

7-7    a. $2,400,000.
      b. NI = $0; NCF = $3,000,000.
      c. NI = $1,350,000; NCF = $2,100,000.

7-8    a. NOPAT = $90,000,000.
      b. NOWC_{05} = $210,000,000; NOWC_{06} =
      $192,000,000.
      c. Operating capital_{05} = $460,000,000;
       Operating capital_{06} = $492,000,000.
      d. FCF = $58,000,000.

7-9    Refund = $120,000.
      Future taxes = $0; $0; $40,000; $60,000; $60,000.

8-1    CL = $2,000,000; Inv = $1,000,000.

8-2    AR = $800,000.

8-3    D/A = 58.33\%.

8-4    S/TA = 5; TA/E = 1.5.

8-5    Net profit margin = 2\%; D/A = 40\%.

8-6    $262,500; 1.19\times.

8-7    Sales = $2,592,000; DSO = 36.33 days.

8-8    TIE = 3.86\times.
8-9 a. Current ratio = 1.98 ×; DSO = 76 days; Total assets turnover = 1.7 ×; Debt ratio = 61.9%.

8-10 A/P = $90,000; Inv = $90,000; FA = $138,000.

8-11 a. Quick ratio = 0.8 ×; DSO = 37 days; ROE = 13.1%; Debt ratio = 54.8%.

9-1 AFN = $410,000.

9-2 AFN = $610,000.

9-3 AFN = $200,000.

9-4 ΔS = $68,965.52.

9-5 a. $13.44 million.
   b. Notes payable = $31.44 million.

9-6 a. Total assets = $33,534; AFN = $2,128.
   b. Notes payable = $4,228.

9-7 a. AFN = $128,783.
   b. Notes payable = $284,783.

9-8 a. $480,000.
   b. $18,750.

9-9 AFN = $360.

10-1 \( r_s = 13\% \).

10-2 \( r_{ps} = 8\% \).

10-3 \( r_s = 15\% \).

10-4 a. 13%.
   b. 10.4%.
   c. 8.45%.

10-5 7.80%.

10-6 11.94%.

10-7 7.2%.

10-8 a. 16.3%.
   b. 15.4%.
   c. 16%.

10-9 a. 8%.
   b. $2.81.
   c. 15.81%.

10-10 a. \( g = 3\% \).
   b. \( EPS_{1} = 5.562 \).

10-11 a. $15,000,000.
   b. 8.4%.

10-12 Short-term debt = 11.14%; Long-term debt = 22.03%; Common equity = 66.83%.

10-13 \( w_{d(Short)} = 0\% ; w_{d(Long)} = 20\% ; w_{ps} = 4\% ; w_{ce} = 76\% ; r_{p(After-tax)} = 7.2\% ; r_{p} = 11.6\% ; r_s = 17.5\% \).

10-14 16.1%.

10-15 \((1 - T)r_{d} = 5.57\% \).

11-1 FCF = $37.0.

11-2 \( V_{op} = $6,000,000 \).

11-3 a. \( V_{op2} = $2,700,000 \).
   b. $2,303,571.43.

11-4 a. $713.33.
   b. $527.89.
   c. $43.79.

11-5 \( V_{op} at\ 2008 = $15,000 \).

11-6 \( V_{op} = $160,000,000 \).
   MVA = $40,000,000.

11-7 $259,375,000.

11-8 $416 million.

11-9 $46.90.

11-10 a. $34.96 million.
   b. $741.152 million.
   c. $699.20 million.
   d. $749.10 million.
   e. $50.34.

12-1 a. 4.34 years.
   b. DPP = 6.51 years.
   c. NPV = $7,486.20.
   d. IRR = 16%.
   e. MIRR = 13.89%.

12-2 5%: NPV\textsubscript{A} = $16,108,952; NPV\textsubscript{B} = $18,300,939. 15%: NPV\textsubscript{A} = $10,059,587; NPV\textsubscript{B} = $13,897,838.

12-3 NPV\textsubscript{T} = $409; IRR\textsubscript{T} = 15%; MIRR\textsubscript{T} = 14.54%; Accept: NPV\textsubscript{P} = $3,318; IRR\textsubscript{P} = 20%; MIRR\textsubscript{P} = 17.19%; Accept.

12-4 NPV\textsubscript{E} = $3,861; IRR\textsubscript{E} = 18%; NPV\textsubscript{G} = $3,057; IRR\textsubscript{G} = 18%; Purchase electric-powered forklift; it has a higher NPV.
12-5 \( \text{NPV}_S = $814.33; \text{NPV}_L = $1,675.34; \text{IRR}_S = 15.24\%; \text{IRR}_L = 14.67\%; \text{MIRR}_S = 13.77\%; \text{MIRR}_L = 13.46\%; \text{PI}_S = 1.081; \text{PI}_L = 1.067. \)

12-6 \( \text{MIRR}_S = 13.59\%; \text{MIRR}_L = 13.10\%. \)

12-7 a. \( \text{NPV} = $136,578; \text{IRR} = 19.22\%. \)

12-8 b. \( \text{IRR}_A = 18.1\%; \text{IRR}_B = 24.0\%. \)

d. (1) \( \text{MIRR}_A = 14.07\%; \text{MIRR}_B = 15.89\%. \)
    (2) \( \text{MIRR}_A = 17.57\%; \text{MIRR}_B = 19.91\%. \)

12-9 a. \( $0; \text{NPV}_1 = $10,250,000; \text{NPV}_2 = $1,750,000. \)

b. 16.07\%.

12-10 a. \( \text{NPV}_A = $18,108,510; \text{NPV}_B = $13,946,117; \text{IRR}_A = 15.03\%; \text{IRR}_B = 22.26\%. \)

b. \( \text{NPV}_A = $4,162,393; \text{IRR} = 11.71\%. \)

12-11 d. 7.61\%; 15.58\%.

12-12 a. Undefined.

b. \( \text{NPVC} = -$911,067; \text{NPVF} = $838,834. \)

12-13 a. \( A = 2.67 \text{ years}; B = 1.5 \text{ years}. \)

b. \( A = 3.07 \text{ years}; B = 1.825 \text{ years}. \)

c. \( \text{NPV}_A = $12,739,908; \text{NPV}_B = $18,243,813; \text{NPV}_B > \text{NPV}_A. \)

d. \( \text{NPV}_A = $8,643,390; \text{NPV}_B = $12,739,908; \text{NPV}_B > \text{NPV}_A. \)

f. 13.53\%.

12-14 Extended \( \text{NPV}_A = $12.76 \text{ million}; \text{NPV}_B = $9.26 \text{ million}. \)

12-15 Machine A; Extended \( \text{NPV}_A = $4.51 \text{ million}. \)

12-16 NPV of 360-6 = $22,256. Extended NPV of 190-3 = $20,070.

12-17 a. 3 \text{ years}.

b. No.

13-1 $12,000,000.

13-2 $2,600,000.

13-3 $4,600,000.

13-4 a. $126,000.

b. $42,518; $47,579; $34,926.

c. $50,702.

d. \( \text{NPV} = $10,841; \text{Purchase}. \)

13-5 a. ($89,000).

b. $26,220; $30,300; $20,100.

c. $24,380.

d. \( \text{NPV} = -$6,704; \text{Don’t purchase}. \)

13-6 a. \( \text{NPV} = $106,537. \)

13-7 \( \text{E(NPV)} = $3 \text{ million}; \sigma_{\text{NPV}} = $23.622 \text{ million}; \text{CV}_{\text{NPV}} = 7.874. \)

13-8 a. Expected \( \text{CF}_A = $6,750; \text{Expected CF}_B = $7,650; \text{CVA} = 0.0703. \)

d. \( \text{NPV}_A = $10,036; \text{NPV}_B = $11,624. \)

13-9 a. \( \text{E(IRR)} \sim 15.3\%. \)

b. $38,589.

13-10 a. \( \text{NPV} = $117,779. \)

b. \( \sigma_{\text{NPV}} = $445,060; \text{CV}_{\text{NPV}} = 3.78. \)

14-1 a. \( \text{NPV} = $1.074 \text{ million}. \)

b. $2.96 million.

14-2 a. \( \text{NPV} = $4.6795 \text{ million}. \)

b. $3.208 million.

14-3 a. \( \text{NPV} = -$19 \text{ million}. \)

b. $9.0981 million.

14-4 a. \( \text{NPV} = -$2.113 \text{ million}. \)

b. $1.973 million.

c. $70,222.

d. $565,090.

e. $1.116 million.

15-1 a. \( \text{ROI} = 21.25\% > \text{WACC} = 15\%. \)

b. (1) \( \text{OL}_\text{Old} = 44.44\%; \text{OL}_\text{New} = 47.17\%. \)

(2) \( \text{QBE}_\text{Old} = 40; \text{QBE}_\text{New} = 45.45. \)

15-2 a. \( \text{ROE}_C = 15\%; \sigma_C = 11\%. \)

15-3 a. \( \text{V} = $3,348,214. \)

b. $16.74.

c. $1.84.

d. 10\%.
15-4 30% debt: WACC = 11.14%; V = $101.023 million.
50% debt: WACC = 11.25%; V = $100 million.
70% debt: WACC = 11.94%; V = $94.255 million.

15-5 a. 0.870.
b. $b_L = 1.218; r_s = 10.872%.
c. WACC = 8.683%; V = $103.188 million.

15-6 11.45%.

16-1 a. $b_L = 1.13.$
b. r_{su} = 15.625%; 5.625%.
c. 16.62%; 18.04%; 20.23%.
d. 20.23%.

16-2 a. V_U = V_L = $20 million.
b. r_{su} = 10%; r_{sl} = 15%.
c. $S_L = $10 million.
d. WACC_U = 10%; WACC_L = 10%.

16-3 a. V_U = $12 million; V_L = $16 million.
b. r_{su} = 10%; r_{sl} = 15%.
c. $S_L = $6 million.
d. WACC_U = 10%; WACC_L = 7.5%.

16-4 a. V_U = $12 million.
b. V_L = $15.33 million.
c. $3.33 million versus $4 million.
d. V_L = $20 million; $0.
e. V_L = $16 million; $4 million.
f. V_L = $16 million; $4 million.

16-5 a. V_U = $12.5 million.
b. V_L = $16 million; r_{sl} = 15.7%.
c. V_L = $14.5 million; r_{sl} = 14.9%.

16-6 a. V_U = V_L = $14,545,455.
b. At D = $6 million: r_{sl} = 14.51%;
   WACC = 11.0%.
d. At D = $6 million: r_{sl} = 14.51%;
   WACC = 8.63%.
e. D = V = $14,545,455.

16-7 a. V = $3.29.
b. D = $1.71 million; yield = 8.1%.
c. V = $3.32 million; D = $1.77 million;
yield = 6.8%.

17-1 Payout = 55%.
17-2 P_0 = $60.

17-3 $3,250,000.
17-4 Payout = 20%.
17-5 Payout = 52%.
17-6 D_0 = $3.44.
17-7 Payout = 31.39%.
17-8 a. (1) $3,960,000.
    (2) $4,800,000.
    (3) $9,360,000.
    (4) Regular = $3,960,000;
        Extra = $5,400,000.

17-9 a. $6,000,000.
b. DPS = $2.00; Payout = 25%.
c. $5,000,000.
d. No.
e. 50%.
f. $1,000,000.
g. $8,333,333.

18-1 a. $700,000.
b. $3,700,000.
c. $2,300,000.

18-2 $964,115.

18-3 a. 2006: $12,000; $6,000; $90,000.
b. Edelman: g_{EPS} = 8.0%; g_{DPS} = 7.4%.
c. 2006: $3.00; $1.50; $22.50.
d. Kennedy: 15.00%; Strasburg: 13.64%.
e. 2006: Kennedy: 50%; Strasburg: 50%.
f. Kennedy: 43%; Strasburg: 37%.
g. Kennedy: 8%; Strasburg: 8.67%.

18-4 a. A–T call cost = $2,640,000.
b. Flotation cost = $1,600,000.
c. $3,472,000.
d. New tax savings = $16,000.
   Lost tax savings = $19,200.
f. $360,000.
g. PV = $9,109,413.
h. $5,637,413.

18-5 a. NPV = $2,717,128.

19-1 a. (1) 50%.
    (2) 60%.
    (3) 50%.

19-2 Cost of owning = −$127; cost of leasing = −$128.

19-3 a. NAL = $37,206.
19-4  a. Energen: Debt/TA = 50%.  
     Hastings: Debt/TA = 33%.  
   b. TA = $200.

     Cost of owning = $729,956.

20-1  $196.36.

20-2  25 shares.

20-3  a. (1) $-5, or $0.  
     (2) $0.  
     (3) $5.  
     (4) $75.  
   d. 10%; $100.

20-4  $46 to $55.

20-5  a. 14.1%.  
   b. $12 million before tax.

20-6  b. Plan 1: 49%; Plan 2: 53%; Plan 3: 53%.  
   c. Plan 1: $0.59; Plan 2: $0.64; Plan 3: $0.88.  
   d. Plan 1: 19%; Plan 2: 19%; Plan 3: 50%.

20-7  b. 10.10%.

21-1  $3,000,000.

21-2  A/R = $59,500.

21-3  \( r_{\text{Nom}} = 75.26\% \); \( \text{EAR} = 109.84\% \).

21-4  \( \text{EAR} = 8.49\% \).

21-5  $7,500,000.

21-6  a. DSO = 28 days.  
   b. A/R = $70,000.  
   c. A/R = $55,000.

21-7  b. 14.9%.  
   d. 21.28%.

21-8  a. 45.15%.

21-9  Nominal cost = 14.90%; Effective cost = 15.89%.

21-10  14.91%.

21-11  a. 83 days.  
   b. $356,250.  
   c. 4.87\times.

21-12  a. 69.5 days.  
   b. (1) 1.875\times.  
   (2) 11.25%.  
   c. (1) 46.5 days.

21-13  a. RO\(_E\) = 11.75%; RO\(_M\) = 10.80%;  
       RO\(_R\) = 9.16%.

21-14  a. Feb. surplus = $2,000.  
       b. $164,000.

21-15  a. $100,000.  
       c. (1) $300,000.  
       (2) Nominal cost = 37.24%;  
           Effective cost = 44.59%.

21-16  a. 14.35%.

21-17  a. $300,000.

22-1  \( \Delta \text{NI} = -3,381 \).

22-2  \( \Delta \text{NI} = +27,577 \).

22-3  a. March: $146,000; June: $198,000.  
   b. Q1: ADS = $3,000; DSO = 48.7 days.  
   Q2: ADS = $4,500; DSO = 44.0 days.  
   Cumulative: ADS = $3,750; DSO = 52.8 days.  
   c. 0–30 days: 65%; 31–60 days: 35%.
   d. Receivables/Sales = 130%.

22-4  $233.56.

22-5  \( \text{EAR} = 21.60\% \).

22-6  a. 12%.  
   b. 11.25%.  
   c. 11.48%.  
   d. 14.47%. Alternative b has the lowest  
       interest rate.

22-7  Bank loan = 13.64%.

22-8  d. 8.3723%.

22-9  a. 11.73%.  
   b. 12.09%.  
   c. 13.45%.

22-10  a. With discount = $83.33.  
       Without discount = $250.  
   b. With discount = $641.03.  
       Without discount = $384.62.  
   c. Nominal cost of trade credit =  
      18.18%. Effective cost of trade credit 
      = 19.83%. Bank cost = 23.08%.  
   d. Cash = $126.90; NP = $434.60.

22-11  a. (1) $27,500.  
       (2) 2.1262\times.  
       (3) 12.76%.
23-1  
a. 3,000 bags.  
b. 4,000 bags.  
c. 2,500 bags.  
d. Every 12 days.  

23-2  
b. $22,500.  
c. 100.  

24-1  
$r_d =$ 5.96%.  

24-2  
a. Sell 105 contracts.  
b. Futures $ = +$1,952,136 Bond $ = −$1,101,851; Net $ = +$850,285.  

24-3  
Net to Carter = 9.95% fixed. Net to Brence = LIBOR + 3.05% floating.  

25-1  
a. Total assets: $327 million.  
b. Income: $7 million.  
c. Before: $15.6 million.  
   After: $13.0 million.  
d. Before: 35.7%.  
   After: 64.2%.  

25-2  
a. $0.  
b. First mortgage holders: $300,000.  
   Second mortgage holders: $100,000 plus $12,700 as a general claimant.  
c. Trustee’s expenses: $50,000.  
   Wages due: $30,000.  
   Taxes due: $40,000.  
d. Before subordination:  
   Accounts payable = $6,350.  
   Notes payable = $22,860.  
   Second mortgage = $12,700 + $100,000.  
   Debentures = $25,400.  
   Sub. debentures = $12,700.  
   After subordination:  
   Notes payable = $35,560.  
   Sub. debentures = $0.  

25-3  
a. $0 for stockholders.  
b. AP = 24%; NP = 100%; WP = 100%;  
   TP = 100%; Mortgage = 85%.  
   Subordinated debentures = 9%;  
   Trustee = 100%.  

26-1  
P_0 = $25.26.  

26-2  
P_0 = $41.54.  

26-3  
$25.26 to $41.54.  

26-4  
Value of equity = $43.61 million.  

26-5  
a. $V_{\text{Ops Unlevered}} = $32.02 million; $V_{\text{Tax shields}} = $11.50 million.  
b. $V_{\text{Ops}} = $43.52 million; max = $33.52 million.  

26-6  
a. 10.96%.  
b. FCF_1 = $23.12, TS_1 = $14.00; FCF_3 = $12.16, TS_3 = $16.45; FCF_5 = $23.83,  
   TS_5 = $18.90.  
c. HVTS = $510.70; HVUL = $644.00.  

27-1  
12.358 yen per peso.  

27-2  
f_t = $0.00907.  

27-3  
1 euro $ = 0.9091 or $1 = 1.1 euro.  

27-4  
0.6667 pound per dollar.  

27-5  
1.5152 SFr.  

27-6  
2.4 Swiss francs per pound.  

27-7  
+$250,000.  

27-8  
b. $18,148.00.  

27-9  
a. $1,659,000.  
   b. $1,646,000.  
   c. $2,000,000.  

27-10  
b. f_t = $0.7994.  

27-11  
$r_{\text{Nom-U.S.}} = 4.6\%$.  

27-12  
117 pesos.
Selected Equations and Data

Chapter 1

Value = \frac{FCF_1}{(1 + WACC)} + \frac{FCF_2}{(1 + WACC)^2} + \cdots + \frac{FCF_\infty}{(1 + WACC)^\infty}.

Quoted interest rate = r = r^* + IP + DRP + LP + MRP.

r_{\text{bill}} = r_{\text{RF}} = r^* + IP.

Chapter 2

Expected rate of return = \hat{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_ir_i.

Historical average, \bar{r}_{\text{Avg}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} r_t}

Variance = \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - \hat{r})^2 P_i.

Standard deviation = \sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - \hat{r})^2 P_i}.

\sigma_{\text{historical}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_t - \bar{r}_{\text{Avg}})^2}{n - 1}}.

CV = \frac{\sigma}{\hat{r}}.

\hat{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_ir_{\text{f}_i}.
\[ \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{pi} - \hat{r}_p)^2 P_i} . \]

\[ b_i = \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_M} \right) \rho_{im}. \]

\[ b_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i b_i . \]

Required return on stock market = \( r_M \).

Market risk premium (RP_M) = \( r_M - r_{RF} \).

\[ \text{RP}_i = (r_M - r_{RF})b_i = (\text{RP}_M)b_i. \]

SML: \( r_i = r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b_i = r_{RF} + (\text{RP}_M)b_i. \)

**Chapter 3**

\[ \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{pi} - \hat{r}_p)^2 P_i} . \]

\[ \text{Cov}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{Ai} - \hat{r}_A) (r_{Bi} - \hat{r}_B)P_i . \]

\[ \rho_{AB} = \frac{\text{Cov}(AB)}{\sigma_A \sigma_B} . \]

\[ \sigma_p = \sqrt{w_A^2 \sigma_A^2 + (1 - w_A)^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2w_A (1 - w_A) \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B} . \]

\[ \hat{r}_p = w_A \hat{r}_A + (1 - w_A) \hat{r}_B. \]

Minimum risk portfolio: \( w_A = \frac{\sigma_A (\sigma_B - \rho_{AB} \sigma_A)}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2 \rho_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B} . \)

Capital Market Line (CML): \( \hat{r}_p = r_{RF} + \left( \frac{\hat{r}_M - r_{RF}}{\sigma_M} \right) \sigma_p . \)

Security Market Line (SML): \( r_j = r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b_j = r_{RF} + (\text{RP}_M)b_j. \)

\[ b_i = \frac{\text{Cov}(\hat{r}_p, \hat{r}_M)}{\sigma_M^2} = \frac{\rho_{im} \sigma_M \sigma_M}{\sigma_M^2} = \rho_{im} \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_M} \right) . \]
Predicted future rate of return $\hat{r}_j = a_j + b_j \hat{r}_M + e_j$.

$\sigma^2 = b_j^2 \sigma^2_M + \sigma^2_e$.  

APT: $r_i = r_{RF} + (r_1 - r_{RF})b_{i1} + \cdots + (r_j - r_{RF})b_{ij}$.  

Fama-French: $r_i = r_{RF} + a_i + b_i(r_M - r_{RF}) + c_i(r_{SMB}) + d_i(r_{HML})$.  

**Chapter 4**

$$V_B = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d)^N}.$$  

$$V_B = \sum_{t=1}^{2N} \frac{\text{INT}/2}{(1 + r_d/2)^t} + \frac{M}{(1 + r_d/2)^{2N}} = \frac{\text{INT}}{2} (PVIFA_{r_d/2,2N}) + M (PVIF_{r_d/2,2N}).$$

Price of callable bond $= \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\text{INT}}{(1 + r_d)^t} + \frac{\text{Call price}}{(1 + r_d)^N}$.  

**Chapter 5**

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \text{PV of expected future dividends} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_t}{(1 + r_s)^t}.$$  

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = \frac{D_0 (1 + g)}{r_s - g} = \frac{D_1}{r_s - g}.$$  

$$\hat{r}_s = \frac{D_1}{\hat{\rho}_0} + g.$$  

$\bar{r}_s = \text{Actual dividend yield} + \text{Actual capital gains yield}$.  

$$V_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{r_{ps}}.$$  

$$r_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{V_{ps}}.$$  

**Chapter 6**

Exercise value $= \text{Current price of the stock} - \text{Strike price}$.  

$$V = P[N(d_1)] - X e^{-rt} [N(d_2)].$$
\[
d_1 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{P}{X} \right) + \left[ r_F + \left( \sigma^2 / 2 \right) \right] t}{\sigma \sqrt{t}}.
\]
\[
d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{t}.
\]

**Chapter 7**

NOWC = Net operating working capital

\[
NOWC = \text{Operating current assets} - \text{Operating current liabilities}.
\]

Total net operating working capital = (Net operating working capital) + (Operating long-term assets).

NOPAT = Net operating profit after taxes = EBIT(1 – Tax rate).

Free cash flow (FCF) = NOPAT – Net investment in operating capital.

WACC = Weighted average cost of capital.

Return on invested capital (ROIC) = \( \frac{\text{NOPAT}}{\text{Operating capital}} \).

MVA = (Market value of equity + Market value of debt) – Capital supplied by investors

= Market value of equity – Equity capital supplied by shareholders

= (Shares outstanding)(Stock price) – Total common equity.

EVA = NOPAT – (WACC)(Total net operating capital)

= (Operating capital)(ROIC – WACC).

**Chapter 8**

Current ratio = \( \frac{\text{Current assets}}{\text{Current liabilities}} \).

Quick, or acid test, ratio = \( \frac{\text{Current assets} - \text{Inventories}}{\text{Current liabilities}} \).

Inventory turnover ratio = \( \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Inventories}} \).

DSO = Days sales outstanding = \( \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Average sales per day}} = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Annual sales} / 365} \).

Fixed assets turnover ratio = \( \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Net fixed assets}} \).
Total assets turnover ratio = \( \frac{Sales}{Total\ assets} \).

Debt ratio = \( \frac{Total\ liabilities}{Total\ assets} \).

\( D/E = \frac{D/A}{1 - D/A} \), and \( D/A = \frac{D/E}{1 + D/E} \).

Equity multiplier = \( \frac{Total\ assets}{Equity} = \frac{A}{E} \).

Debt ratio = \( 1 - \frac{1}{Equity\ multiplier} \).

Times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio = \( \frac{EBIT}{Interest\ charges} \).

EBITDA coverage ratio = \( \frac{EBITDA + Lease\ payments}{Interest + Principal\ payments + Lease\ payments} \).

Profit margin on sales = \( \frac{Net\ income\ available\ to\ common\ stockholders}{Sales} \).

Basic earning power ratio (BEP) = \( \frac{EBIT}{Total\ assets} \).

Return on total assets (ROA) = \( \frac{Net\ income\ available\ to\ common\ stockholders}{Total\ assets} \).

Return on common equity (ROE) = \( \frac{Net\ income\ available\ to\ common\ stockholders}{Common\ equity} \).

Price/earnings (P/E) ratio = \( \frac{Price\ per\ share}{Earnings\ per\ share} \).

Book value per share = \( \frac{Common\ equity}{Shares\ outstanding} \).

Market/book ratio (M/B) = \( \frac{Market\ price\ per\ share}{Book\ value\ per\ share} \).

Price/cash flow ratio = \( \frac{Price\ per\ share}{Cash\ flow\ per\ share} \).
\[
\text{ROE} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Sales}} \times \frac{\text{Sales}}{\text{Total assets}} \times \frac{\text{Total assets}}{\text{Common equity}} = \frac{\text{Net income}}{\text{Common equity}}.
\]

**Chapter 9**

\[
\text{AFN} = (A^*/S_0)\Delta S - (L^*/S_0)\Delta S - MS_t(1 - d).
\]

**Chapter 10**

After-tax component cost of debt = \(r_d(1 - T)\).

Component cost of preferred stock = \(r_{ps} = \frac{D_{ps}}{P_n}\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{r}_s &= \hat{r}_s = r_{RF} + \text{RP}_M = D_0/P_0 + \text{expected g}. \\
\bar{r}_s &= r_{RF} + (r_M - r_{RF})b = r_{RF} + b\text{RP}_M. \\
r_s &= \text{Bond yield} + \text{Risk premium}. \\
g &= (\text{Retention rate})(\text{ROE}) = (1.0 + \text{Payout rate})(\text{ROE}). \\
\text{WACC} &= w_d r_d (1 - T) + w_{ps} r_{ps} + w_{ce} r_{cs}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Chapter 11**

\[
V_{op} = \text{Value of operations} = \text{PV of expected future free cash flows} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{FCF}_i}{(1 + \text{WACC})^i}.
\]

\[
\text{Horizon value (HV)}: \text{HV}_T = \frac{\text{FCF}_T(1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} = \frac{\text{FCF}_{T+1}}{\text{WACC} - g}.
\]

Total value = \(V_{op} + \text{Value of nonoperating assets.}

Value of equity = \text{Total value} - \text{Preferred stock} - \text{Debt}.

\[
\text{Operating profitability (OP)}: \text{OP} = \frac{\text{NOPAT}}{\text{Sales}}.
\]

\[
\text{Capital requirements (CR)}: \text{CR} = \frac{\text{Operating capital}}{\text{Sales}}.
\]
For constant growth:  
\[ V_{op} \text{ at time } N = \text{Capital}_N + \left[ \frac{\text{Sales}_N(1 + g)}{\text{WACC} - g} \right] \left[ \text{OP} - \text{WACC} \left( \frac{\text{CR}}{1 + g} \right) \right]. \]

For constant growth:  
\[ V_{op} \text{ at time } N = \text{Capital}_N + \text{Capital}_N (\text{ERO}) (1 + \text{WACC})^\infty. \]

**Chapter 12**

Payback period \(=\) Year before full recovery + \(\frac{\text{Unrecovered cost at start of year}}{\text{Cash flow during year}}\).

\[ \text{NPV} = \text{CF}_0 + \frac{\text{CF}_1}{(1 + r)^1} + \frac{\text{CF}_2}{(1 + r)^2} + \cdots + \frac{\text{CF}_n}{(1 + r)^n} \]

\[ = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1 + r)^t}. \]

IRR: \( \text{CF}_0 + \frac{\text{CF}_1}{(1 + \text{IRR})^1} + \frac{\text{CF}_2}{(1 + \text{IRR})^2} + \cdots + \frac{\text{CF}_n}{(1 + \text{IRR})^n} = 0. \)

\[ \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1 + \text{IRR})^t} = 0. \]

MIRR: PV costs = PV terminal value.

\[ \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{COF}_t}{(1 + r)^t} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{n} \text{CIF}_t (1 + r)^{n-t}}{(1 + \text{MIRR})^n}. \]

\[ \text{PV costs} = \frac{\text{TV}}{(1 + \text{MIRR})^n}. \]

\[ \text{PI} = \frac{\text{PV of future cash flows}}{\text{Initial cost}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{\text{CF}_t}{(1 + r)^t}}{\text{CF}_0}. \]
Chapter 13

\[ \text{NPV} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{NCF}_t}{(1 + r_n)^t} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{RCF}_t (1 + i)^t}{(1 + r_n)^t (1 + i)^t} = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{\text{RCF}_t}{(1 + r_n)^t}. \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{NPV}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i [\text{NPV}_i - E(\text{NPV})]^2}. \]

\[ \text{CV}_{\text{NPV}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{NPV}}}{E(\text{NPV})}. \]

Chapter 14

\[ \text{CV} = \frac{\sigma (\text{PV of future CF})}{E (\text{PV of future CF})}. \]

Variance of a project's rate of return : \[ \sigma^2 = \frac{\ln(CV^2) + 1}{t}. \]

Chapter 15

Return on invested capital (ROIC) = \[ \frac{\text{NOPAT}}{\text{Capital}}. \]

\[ \text{EBIT} = \text{PQ} - \text{VQ} - \text{F}. \]

\[ Q_{BE} = \frac{\text{F}}{\text{P} - \text{V}}. \]

\[ b = b_U [1 + (1 - T)(D/S)]. \]

\[ b_U = \frac{b}{1 + (1 - T)(D/S)}. \]

\[ \frac{D}{S} = \frac{\text{D/A}}{1 - \text{D/A}}. \]

\[ r_s = r_{RF} + \text{Premium for business risk} + \text{Premium for financial risk}. \]

MM, no taxes: \( V_L = V_U. \)

MM, corporate taxes: \( V_L = V_U + TD. \)

Miller, personal taxes: \( V_L = V_U + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right] D. \)

\[ \text{WACC} = r_s w_e + r_d (1 - T) w_d. \]

\( V_L = D + S. \)
If growth is zero, then the value of a levered firm is

\[ V_L = \frac{FCF}{WACC} = \frac{(EBIT)(1 - T)}{WACC}. \]

\[ D = w_dV. \]
\[ S = w_eV. \]
\[ P = [S + (D - D_0)]/n_0. \]
\[ S_p = S + (D - D_0). \]
\[ P_p = S_p/n_0. \]
\[ n = n_0 - (D/P). \]
\[ \text{EPS} = \frac{NI}{n} = \frac{(EBIT - r_D)(1 - T)}{n}. \]
\[ n - n_0 = (D - D_0)/P. \]

**Chapter 16**

**MM, no taxes:**

\[ V_L = V_U = \frac{EBIT}{WACC} = \frac{EBIT}{r_{su}}. \]

\[ r_{sl} = r_{su} + \text{Risk premium} = r_{su} + (r_{su} - r_d)(D/S). \]

**MM, corporate taxes:**

\[ V_L = V_U + TD. \]
\[ S = V_U = \frac{EBIT(1 - T)}{r_{su}}. \]
\[ r_{sl} = r_{su} + (r_{su} - r_d)(1 - T)(D/S). \]

**MM, personal taxes:**

\[ V_U = \frac{EBIT(1 - T_c)}{r_{su}} = \frac{EBIT(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{r_{su}(1 - T_s)}. \]
\[ CF_L = (EBIT - I)(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s) + I(1 - T_d). \]
\[ V_L = V_U + \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 - T_c)(1 - T_s)}{(1 - T_d)} \right] D. \]

**Ehrhardt & Daves, impact of growth:**

\[ V_U = \frac{FCF}{r_{su} - g}. \]
\[ V_L = V_U + V_{\text{tax shield}}. \]
\[ V_{\text{tax shield}} = \frac{r_d TD}{r_{TS} - g}. \]

\[ V_L = V_U + \left( \frac{r_d}{r_{TS} - g} \right) TD. \]

\[ V_L = V_U + \left( \frac{r_d TD}{r_{SU} - g} \right). \]

\[ r_{ul} = r_{SU} + (r_{SU} - r_d) \frac{D}{S}. \]

\[ b = b_U + (b_U - b_0) \frac{D}{S}. \]

**Chapter 17**

Distributions = Net income - [(Target equity ratio)(Total capital budget)].

**Chapter 18**

Amount left on table = (Closing price - Offer price)(Number of shares).

**Chapter 19**

NAL = PV cost of owning - PV cost of leasing.

**Chapter 20**

Price paid for bond with warrants = Straight-debt value of bonds + Value of warrants.

Conversion price = \[ P_c = \frac{\text{Par value of bond given up}}{\text{Shares received}} \]

\[ = \frac{\text{Par value of bond given up}}{\text{CR}}. \]

Conversion ratio = \[ CR = \frac{\text{Par value of bond given up}}{P_c}. \]

**Chapter 21**

Inventory conversion period = \[ \frac{\text{Inventory}}{\text{Sales/365}}. \]
Receivables collection period = DSO = \frac{\text{Receivables}}{\text{Sales}/365}.

Payables deferral period = \text{Payables}/\text{Credit purchases per day}
= \text{Payables}/(\text{Cost of goods sold}/365).

Chapter 22

Cost of carrying receivables = (DSO) \left( \frac{\text{Sales per day}}{\text{Variable cost ratio}} \right) \left( \frac{\text{Cost of funds}}{\text{Days in year}} \right).

Simple interest rate per day = \frac{\text{Nominal rate}}{\text{Days in year}}.

Simple interest charge for period = (\text{Days in period})(\text{Rate per day})(\text{Amount of loan}).

\text{Face value}_{\text{discount}} = \frac{\text{Funds received}}{1.0 - \text{Nominal rate (decimal)}}.

APR rate = (\text{Periods per year})(\text{Rate per period}).

Chapter 23

Total costs = \text{Holding costs} + \text{Transactions costs}.

\begin{align*}
C &= \frac{C}{2}(r) + \frac{T}{C}(F) \\
C^* &= \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(T)}{r}} \\
A &= \frac{\text{Units per order}}{2} = \frac{S/N}{2}.
\end{align*}
TCC = (C)(P)(A).
TOC = (F)(N) = F(S/2A).
TIC = TCC + TOC
    = (C)(P)(A) + F(S/2A)
    = (C)(P)(Q/2) + (F)(S/Q).
EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2(F)(S)}{(C)(P)}}.

**Chapter 26**

V_{\text{Operations}} = V_{\text{Unlevered}} + V_{\text{Tax shield}}

Horizon value of unlevered firm (HVUL_N) = \frac{FCF_{N+1}}{r_{SU} - g} = \frac{FCF_N(1 + g)}{r_{SU} - g}.

Horizon value of tax shield (HVTS_N) = \frac{TS_{N+1}}{r_{SU} - g} = \frac{TS_N(1 + g)}{r_{SU} - g}.

V_{\text{Unlevered}} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{FCF_t}{(1 + r_{SU})^t} + \frac{HVUL_N}{(1 + r_{SU})^N}.

V_{\text{Tax shield}} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{TS_t}{(1 + r_{SU})^t} + \frac{HVTS_N}{(1 + r_{SU})^N}.

Tax shield = (Interest expense)(Tax rate).

r_{SL} = r_{SU} + (r_{SU} - r_d)(D/S).

r_{SU} = w_s r_{SL} + w_d r_d.

**Chapter 27**

Forward exchange rate = \frac{(1 + r_h)}{(1 + r_f)}.

Spot exchange rate = \frac{P_h}{P_f}(Spot rate).

Spot rate = \frac{P_h}{P_f}.
501(c)(3) corporation A charitable organization that meets the IRS requirements for tax-exempt status under the tax code section 501(c)(3).

absolute priority doctrine States that claims must be paid in strict accordance with the priority of each claim, regardless of the consequence to other claimants.

account receivable Created when a good is shipped or a service is performed, and payment for that good is not made on a cash basis, but on a credit basis.

accounting income Income as defined by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

accounting profit A firm’s net income as reported on its income statement.

acquiring company A company that seeks to acquire another firm.

actual, or realized, rate of return, \( r \) The rate of return that was actually realized at the end of some holding period.

additional funds needed (AFN) Those funds required from external sources to increase the firm’s assets to support a sales increase. A sales increase will normally require an increase in assets. However, some of this increase is usually offset by a spontaneous increase in liabilities as well as by earnings retained in the firm. Those funds that are required but not generated internally must be obtained from external sources.

add-on basis installment loan Interest is calculated over the life of the loan and then added on to the loan amount. This total amount is paid in equal installments. This raises the effective cost of the loan.

adjusted present value (APV) method A way of determining the value of a company by discounting its free cash flows and interest tax shields at the unlevered cost of equity.

agency conflicts Any conflict between principals and agents is called an agency problem, or agency conflict.

agency costs An expense, either direct or indirect, that is borne by a principal as a result of having delegated authority to an agent. An example is the costs borne by shareholders to encourage managers to maximize a firm’s stock price rather than act in their own self-interests. These costs may also arise from lost efficiency and the expense of monitoring management to ensure that debtholders’ rights are protected.

agency relationship A relationship whereby one party (the principal) delegates authority to some other party (the agent).

agent Someone hired by another (the principal) to perform some duty or service.

aggressive short-term financing policy Refers to a policy in which a firm finances all of its fixed assets with long-term capital but part of its permanent current assets with short-term, nonspontaneous credit.

aging schedule A schedule that breaks down accounts receivable according to how long they have been outstanding. This gives the firm a more complete picture of the structure of accounts receivable than that provided by days sales outstanding.

alternative minimum tax (AMT) A provision of the Tax Code that requires profitable firms to pay at least some taxes if such taxes are greater than the amount due under standard tax accounting. The AMT has provided a stimulus to leasing for those firms paying the AMT because leasing lowers profits reported to stockholders; figured at about 20 percent of the profits reported to stockholders.

amortization A noncash charge against intangible assets, such as goodwill.

amortization schedule A table that breaks down the periodic fixed payment of an installment loan into its principal and interest components.

amortized loan A loan whose principal amount is reduced to zero through equal periodic payments over the life of the loan. Also called an installment loan.

annual percentage rate (APR) The effective annual rate on a loan.

annual report A report issued annually by a corporation to its stockholders. It contains basic financial statements, as well as management’s opinion of the past year's operations and the firm’s future prospects.

annuity A series of payments of a fixed amount for a specified number of periods.
annuity due  An annuity with payments occurring at the beginning of each period.

APR  The nominal annual interest rate, also called the annual percentage rate.

arbitrage  The simultaneous buying and selling of the same commodity or security in two different markets at different prices, thus pocketing a risk-free return.

arbitrage pricing theory (APT)  An approach to measuring the equilibrium risk/return relationship for a given stock as a function of multiple factors, rather than the single factor (the market return) used by the Capital Asset Pricing Model. The APT is based on complex mathematical and statistical theory but can account for several factors (such as GNP and the level of inflation) in determining the required return for a particular stock.

arrearages  Preferred dividends that have not been paid, and hence are “in arrears.”

asset management ratios  A set of ratios that measures how effectively a firm is managing its assets.

assets-in-place  Refers to the land, buildings, machines, and inventory that the firm uses in its operations to produce its products and services. Also known as operating assets.

assignment  An informal procedure for liquidating debts that transfers title to a debtor’s assets to a third person, known as an assignee or trustee.

asymmetric information theory  Assumes managers have more complete information than investors and leads to a preferred “pecking order” of financing: (1) retained earnings, (2) followed by debt, and (3) then new common stock. Also known as the signaling theory.

average stock’s beta, $b_A = b_M$  The beta coefficient (b) is a measure of a stock’s market risk. It measures the stock’s volatility relative to an average stock, which has a beta of 1.0.

average tax rate  Calculated by dividing the total amount of tax paid by taxable income.

balance sheet  A statement of the firm’s financial position at a specific point in time. It specifically lists the firm’s assets on the left side of the balance sheet, while the right side shows its liabilities and equity, or the claims against these assets.

Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978  Enacted to speed up and streamline bankruptcy proceedings. This law represents a shift to a relative priority doctrine of creditors’ claims.

basic earning power (BEP) ratio  Calculated by dividing earnings before interest and taxes by total assets. This ratio shows the raw earning power of the firm’s assets, before the influence of taxes and leverage.

Baumol model  A model for establishing the firm’s target cash balance that closely resembles the EOQ model used for inventory. The model assumes (1) that the firm uses cash at a steady, predictable rate, (2) that the firm’s cash inflows from operations also occur at a steady, predictable rate, and (3) that its net cash outflows therefore also occur at a steady rate. The model balances the opportunity cost of holding cash against the transactions costs associated with replenishing the cash account.

behavioral finance  A field of study that analyzes investor behavior as a result of psychological traits. It does not assume that investors necessarily behave rationally.

benchmarking  A firm’s comparison of its ratios to those of other leading companies in the same industry.

best efforts arrangement  A type of contract with an investment banker when issuing stock. In a best efforts sale, the investment banker is only committed to making every effort to sell the stock at the offering price. In this case, the issuing firm bears the risk that the new issue will not be fully subscribed.

beta coefficient, b  A measure of a stock’s market risk, or the extent to which the returns on a given stock move with the stock market.

bird-in-the-hand theory  Assumes that investors value a dollar of dividends more highly than a dollar of expected capital gains because the dividend yield component, $D_1/P_0$, is less risky than the growth component in the total expected return equation $i_t = D_1/P_0 + g$.

Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model  Widely used by option traders to value options.

board of trustees  Group of community leaders who control a tax-exempt, charitable organization. Members of the board of trustees must have no direct economic interest in the organization.

bond  A promissory note issued by a business or a governmental unit.

bond insurance  Protects investors against default by the issuer and provides credit enhancement to the bond issue.

book value per share  Common equity divided by the number of shares outstanding.

breakeven point  The level of unit sales at which costs equal revenues. Breakeven analysis may be performed with or without the inclusion of financial costs. If financial costs are not included, breakeven occurs when earnings before interest and taxes equal zero. If financial costs are included, breakeven occurs when earnings before taxes equal zero.

breakup value  A firm’s value if its assets are sold off in pieces.

business risk  The risk inherent in the operations of the firm, prior to the financing decision. Thus, business risk is the uncertainty inherent in a total risk sense, of future operating income, or earnings before interest and taxes. Business risk is caused by many
factors. Two of the most important are sales variability and operating leverage.

call option  An option that allows the holder to buy the asset at some predetermined price within a specified period of time.

call provision  Gives the issuing corporation the right to call the bonds for redemption. The call provision generally states that if the bonds are called, the company must pay the bondholders an amount greater than the par value, a call premium. Most bonds contain a call provision.

capacity option  Allows a company to change the amount of its output in response to changing market conditions. This includes the option to contract or expand production. It also includes the option to abandon a project if market conditions deteriorate too much.

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)  A model based on the proposition that any stock’s required rate of return is equal to the risk-free rate of return plus a risk premium reflecting only the risk remaining after diversification. The CAPM equation is $r_i = r_{RF} + \beta_i(r_M - r_{RF})$.

capital budget  Outlines the planned expenditures on fixed assets.

capital budgeting  The whole process of analyzing projects and deciding whether they should be included in the capital budget.

capital gain (loss)  The profit (loss) from the sale of a capital asset for more (less) than its purchase price.

capital gains yield  Results from changing prices and is calculated as $(P_1 - P_0)/P_0$, where $P_0$ is the beginning-of-period price and $P_1$ is the end-of-period price.

capital intensity ratio  The dollar amount of assets required to produce a dollar of sales. The capital intensity ratio is the reciprocal of the total assets turnover ratio.

capital market  Capital markets are the financial markets for long-term debt and corporate stocks. The New York Stock Exchange is an example of a capital market.

capital rationing  Occurs when management places a constraint on the size of the firm’s capital budget during a particular period.

capital structure  The manner in which a firm’s assets are financed; that is, the right side of the balance sheet. Capital structure is normally expressed as the percentage of each type of capital used by the firm such as debt, preferred stock, and common equity.

capitalizing  Incorporating the lease provisions into the balance sheet by reporting the leased asset under fixed assets and reporting the present value of future lease payments as debt.

cash budget  A schedule showing cash flows (receipts, disbursements, and cash balances) for a firm over a specified period.

cash conversion cycle  The length of time between the firm’s actual cash expenditures on productive resources (materials and labor) and its own cash receipts from the sale of products (that is, the length of time between paying for labor and materials and collecting on receivables). Thus, the cash conversion cycle equals the length of time the firm has funds tied up in current assets.

cash discount  The amount by which a seller is willing to reduce the invoice price in order to be paid immediately, rather than in the future. A cash discount might be 2/10 net 30, which means a 2 percent discount if the bill is paid within 10 days, otherwise the entire amount is due within 30 days.

Chapter 11  The business reorganization chapter of the Bankruptcy Reform Act. The chapter provides for the reorganization, rather than the liquidation, of a business.

Chapter 7  The chapter of the Bankruptcy Reform Act that provides for the liquidation of a firm to repay creditors.

characteristic line  Obtained by regressing the historical returns on a particular stock against the historical returns on the general stock market. The slope of the characteristic line is the stock’s beta, which measures the amount by which the stock’s expected return increases for a given increase in the expected return on the market.

charitable contributions  One way that not-for-profit businesses raise equity capital. Individuals and firms sometimes create these contributions for a variety of reasons including concern for the well-being of others, the recognition that accompanies large donations, and tax deductibility.

classified stock  Sometimes created by a firm to meet special needs and circumstances. Generally, when special classifications of stock are used, one type is designated “Class A,” another as “Class B,” and so on. For example, Class A might be entitled to receive dividends before dividends can be paid on Class B stock. Class B might have the exclusive right to vote.

clientele effect  The attraction of companies with specific dividend policies to those investors whose needs are best served by those policies. Thus, companies with high dividends will have a clientele of investors with low marginal tax rates and strong desires for current income. Similarly, companies with low dividends will attract a clientele with little need for current income, and who often have high marginal tax rates.

closely held corporation  Refers to companies that are so small that their common stocks are not actively traded; they are owned by only a few people, usually the companies’ managers.
coefficient of variation (CV) Equal to the standard deviation divided by the expected return; it is a standardized risk measure that allows comparisons between investments having different expected returns and standard deviations.

collateral Assets put up by a borrower to guarantee payment of a loan.

collection policy The procedure for collecting accounts receivable. A change in collection policy will affect sales, days sales outstanding, bad debt losses, and the percentage of customers taking discounts.

combination lease Combines some aspects of both operating and financial leases. For example, a financial lease that contains a cancellation clause—normally associated with operating leases—is a combination lease.

commercial paper Unsecured, short-term promissory notes of large firms, usually issued in denominations of $100,000 or more and having an interest rate of somewhat below the prime rate.

commodity futures Futures contracts that involve the sale or purchase of various commodities, including grains, oil, seeds, livestock, meats, fiber, metals, and wood.

common stockholders' equity (net worth) The capital supplied by common stockholders—capital stock, paid-in capital, retained earnings, and, occasionally, certain reserves. Paid-in capital is the difference between the stock's par value and what stockholders paid when they bought newly issued shares.

comparative ratio analysis Compares a firm's own ratios to those of other leading companies in the same industry. This technique is also known as benchmarking.

compensating balance (CB) A minimum checking account balance that a firm must maintain with a bank to compensate the bank for services rendered or for making the loan; generally equal to 10 to 20 percent of the loans outstanding.

composition Creditors voluntarily reduce their fixed claims on the debtor by accepting a lower principal amount, reducing the interest rate on the debt, accepting equity in place of debt, or some combination of these changes.

compounding Compounding is the process of finding the future value of a single payment or series of payments.

computer/telephone network Consists of all the facilities that provide for security transactions not conducted at a physical location exchange. These facilities are, basically, the communications networks that link buyers and sellers. An example is Nasdaq.

computerized inventory control system A computer keeps a count of the inventory. When the computer's count of inventory falls enough, an order is placed.

conflict of interests When an agent has incentives that conflict with its responsibilities, such as when an accounting firm also provides consulting services to its clients.

congeneric merger Involves firms that are interrelated but do not have identical lines of business. One example is Prudential’s acquisition of Bache & Company.

conglomerate merger Occurs when unrelated enterprises combine, such as Mobil Oil and Montgomery Ward.

conservative short-term financing policy Refers to using permanent capital to finance all permanent asset requirements, as well as to meet some or all of the seasonal demands.

consol Another term for perpetuity. Consols were originally bonds issued by England in 1815 to consolidate past debt.

continuous probability distribution Contains an infinite number of outcomes and is graphed from $-\infty$ and $+\infty$.

conversion price The effective price per share of stock if conversion occurs; the par value of the convertible security divided by the conversion ratio.

conversion ratio The number of shares of common stock received upon conversion of one convertible security.

conversion value The value of the stock that the investor would receive if conversion occurred; the market price per share times the conversion ratio.

convertible bond Security that is convertible into shares of common stock, at a fixed price, at the option of the bondholder.

convertible currency A currency that can be traded in the currency markets and can be redeemed at current market rates.

convertible security Bonds or preferred stocks that can be exchanged for (converted into) common stock, under specific terms, at the option of the holder. Unlike the exercise of warrants, conversion of a convertible security does not provide additional capital to the issuer.

corporate alliance A cooperative deal that stops short of a merger; also called a strategic alliance.

corporate beta (b) The quantitative measure of corporate risk of a project. Corporate beta is the slope of the corporate characteristic line.

corporate bond Debt issued by corporations and exposed to default risk. Different corporate bonds have different levels of default risk, depending on the issuing company's characteristics and on the terms of the specific bond.

corporate characteristic line The regression line that results when the project’s returns are plotted on the y-axis and the returns on the firm’s total operations are plotted on the x-axis.

corporate risk management Relates to the management of unpredictable events that have
Define adverse consequences for the firm. This effort involves reducing the consequences of risk to the point where there would be no significant adverse effect on the firm’s financial position.

corporate valuation model Defines the total value of a company as the value of operations plus the value of nonoperating assets plus the value of growth options.
corporation A legal entity created by a state; it is separate and distinct from its owners and managers.
correlation The tendency of two variables to move together.
correlation coefficient, \( \rho \) (rho) A standardized measure of how two random variables covary. A correlation coefficient of \(+1\) means that the two variables move up and down in perfect synchronization, while a coefficient of \(-1\) means the variables always move in opposite directions. A correlation coefficient of zero suggests that the two variables are not related to one another; that is, they are independent.

cost of common stock, \( r_s \) The return required by the firm’s common stockholders. It is usually calculated using the Capital Asset Pricing Model or the dividend growth model.
cost of new external common equity, \( r_e \) Projects financed with external equity must earn a higher rate of return, because the project must cover the flotation costs. Thus, the cost of new common equity is higher than that of common equity raised internally by reinvesting earnings.
cost of preferred stock, \( r_{ps} \) The return required by the firm’s preferred stockholders. The cost of preferred stock, \( r_{ps} \), is the cost to the firm of issuing new preferred stock. For perpetual preferred, it is the preferred dividend, \( D_{pv} \), divided by the net issuing price, \( P_n \).
costly trade credit Credit taken in excess of free trade credit, the cost of which is equal to the discount lost.
coupon interest rate Stated rate of interest on a bond, defined as the coupon payment divided by the par value.
coupon payment Dollar amount of interest paid to each bondholder on the interest payment dates.
coverage ratio Similar to the times-interest-earned ratio, but it recognizes that many firms lease assets and also must make sinking fund payments. It is found by adding earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization to lease payments, then dividing this total by interest charges, lease payments, and sinking fund payments over 1 minus the tax rate.
cramdown Bankruptcy court–mandated reorganization plans that are binding on all parties.
credit enhancement Enables a bond’s rating to be upgraded to AAA when the issuer purchases bond insurance. The bond insurance company guarantees that bondholders will receive the promised interest and principal payments. Therefore, the bond carries the credit rating of the insurance company rather than that of the issuer.
credit period The length of time for which credit is extended. If the credit period is lengthened, sales will generally increase, as will accounts receivable. This will increase the financing needs and possibly increase bad debt losses. A shortening of the credit period will have the opposite effect.
credit policy The firm’s policy on granting and collecting credit. There are four elements of credit policy, or credit policy variables: credit period, credit standards, collection policy, and discounts.
credit standards The financial strength and creditworthiness that qualifies a customer for a firm’s regular credit terms.
credit terms Statements of the credit period and any discounts offered, for example, 2/10, net 30.
crossover rate The cost of capital at which the NPV profiles for two projects intersect.
cumulative dividends A protective feature on preferred stock that requires all past preferred dividends to be paid before any common dividends can be paid.
current ratio Indicates the extent to which current liabilities are covered by those assets expected to be converted to cash in the near future; it is found by dividing current assets by current liabilities.
current yield (on a bond) The annual coupon payment divided by the current market price.
days sales outstanding (DSO) Used to appraise accounts receivable and indicates the length of time the firm must wait after making a sale before receiving cash. It is found by dividing receivables by average sales per day.

DCF (discounted cash flow) techniques The net present value (NPV) and internal rate of return (IRR) techniques are discounted cash flow (DCF) evaluation techniques. These are called DCF methods because they explicitly recognize the time value of money.
dealer market Refers to when a dealer holds an inventory of the security and makes a market by offering to buy or sell. Others who wish to buy or sell can see the offers made by the dealers and can contact the dealer of their choice to arrange a transaction.
debenture An unsecured bond, and as such, it provides no lien against specific property as security for the obligation. Debenture holders are, therefore, general creditors whose claims are protected by property not otherwise pledged.
debt ratio The ratio of total liabilities to total assets, it measures...
the percentage of funds provided by creditors.

debt service requirements The total amount of principal and interest that must be paid on a bond issue.

decision trees A form of scenario analysis in which different actions are taken in different scenarios.

declaration date The date on which a firm’s directors issue a statement declaring a dividend.

default risk The risk that a borrower will not pay the interest and/or principal on a loan as it becomes due. If the issuer defaults, investors receive less than the promised return on the bond. Default risk is influenced by both the financial strength of the issuer and the terms of the bond contract, especially whether collateral has been pledged to secure the bond. The greater the default risk, the higher the bond’s yield to maturity.

default risk premium (DRP) Default risk is the risk that a borrower will not pay the interest and/or principal on a loan as it becomes due. Thus, a default risk premium (DRP) is added to the real risk-free rate to compensate investors for bearing default risk.

defensive merger Occurs when one company acquires another to help ward off a hostile merger attempt.

depreciation A noncash charge against tangible assets, such as buildings or machines. It is taken for the purpose of showing the estimated dollar cost of the capital equipment used up in the production process.

derivative A claim whose value depends on what happens to the value of some other asset. Futures and options are two important types of derivatives, and their values depend on what happens to the prices of other assets. Therefore, the value of a derivative security is derived from the value of an underlying real asset or other security.

detachable warrant A warrant that can be detached and traded separately from the underlying security. Most warrants are detachable.

devaluation The lowering, by governmental action, of the price of its currency relative to another currency. For example, in 1967 the British pound was devalued from $2.80 per pound to $2.50 per pound.

development bond A tax-exempt bond sold by state and local governments whose proceeds are made available to corporations for specific uses deemed (by Congress) to be in the public interest.

discount bond Bond prices and interest rates are inversely related; that is, they tend to move in the opposite direction from one another. A fixed-rate bond will sell at par when its coupon interest rate is equal to the going rate of interest, r_d. When the going rate of interest is above the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond will sell at a “discount” below its par value. If current interest rates are below the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond will sell at a “premium” above its par value.

discount interest Interest that is calculated on the face amount of a loan but is paid in advance.

discount loan A loan that requires that its interest be paid up front rather than at the end of the loan period.

discount on forward rate Occurs when the forward exchange rate differs from the spot rate. When the forward rate is below the spot rate, the forward rate is said to be at a discount.

discounted cash flow (DCF) method A method of valuing a business that involves the application of capital budgeting procedures to an entire firm rather than to a single project.

discounted payback period The number of years it takes a firm to recover its project investment based on discounted cash flows.

discounting The process of finding the present value of a single payment or series of payments.

distribution policy The policy that sets the level of distributions and the form of the distributions (dividends and stock repurchases).

diversifiable risk Refers to that part of a security’s total risk associated with random events not affecting the market as a whole. This risk can be eliminated by proper diversification. Also known as company-specific risk.

divestiture The opposite of an acquisition. That is, a company sells a portion of its assets, often a whole division, to another firm or individual.

dividend irrelevance theory Holds that dividend policy has no effect on either the price of a firm’s stock or its cost of capital.

dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP) Allows stockholders to automatically purchase shares of common stock of the paying corporation in lieu of receiving cash dividends. There are two types of plans—one involves only stock that is already outstanding, while the other involves newly issued stock. In the first type, the dividends of all participants are pooled and the stock is purchased on the open market. Participants benefit from lower transaction costs. In the second type, the company issues new shares to the participants. Thus, the company issues stock in lieu of the cash dividend.

dividend yield Defined as either the end-of-period dividend divided by the beginning-of-period price, or the ratio of the current dividend to the current price. Valuation formulas use the former definition.

Du Pont chart A chart designed to show the relationships among return on investment, asset turnover, profit margin, and leverage.

Du Pont equation A formula that shows that the rate of return on
equity can be found as the product of the profit margin times the total assets turnover times the equity multiplier.

**EBITDA** Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization.

**ECN (electronic communications network)** Orders from potential buyers and sellers are automatically matched, and the transaction is automatically completed.

**economic life** The number of years a project should be operated to maximize its net present value; often less than the maximum potential life.

**economic ordering quantity (EOQ)** The order quantity that minimizes the costs of ordering and carrying inventories.

**economic value added (EVA)** A method used to measure a firm’s true profitability. EVA is found by taking the firm’s after-tax operating profit and subtracting the annual cost of all the capital a firm uses. If the firm generates a positive EVA, its management has created value for its shareholders. If the EVA is negative, management has destroyed shareholder value.

**effective annual rate (EAR)** The rate that, under annual compounding, would have produced the same future value at the end of one year as was produced by more frequent compounding, say, quarterly. If the compounding occurs annually, the effective annual rate and the nominal rate are the same. If compounding occurs more frequently, the effective annual rate is greater than the nominal rate.

**efficient frontier** Set of efficient portfolios out of the full set of potential portfolios. On a graph, the efficient frontier constitutes the boundary line of the set of potential portfolios.

**efficient markets hypothesis (EMH)** States (1) that stocks are always in equilibrium and (2) that it is impossible for an investor to consistently “beat the market.” The EMH assumes that all important information regarding a stock is reflected in the price of that stock.

**efficient portfolio** Provides the highest expected return for any degree of risk. The efficient portfolio is one that provides the lowest degree of risk for any expected return.

**embedded options** Options that are a part of another project. Also called real options, managerial options, and strategic options.

**entrenchment** Occurs when a company has such a weak board of directors and has such strong anti-takeover provisions in its corporate charter that senior managers feel there is very little chance that they will be removed.

**EOQ model** The equation used to find the EOQ.

**EOQ range** The range around the optimal ordering quantity that may be ordered without significantly affecting total inventory costs.

**equilibrium** The condition under which the intrinsic value of a security is equal to its price; also, its expected return is equal to its required return.

**ESOP (employee stock ownership plan)** A type of retirement plan in which employees own stock in the company.

**euro** A currency used by the nations in the European Monetary Union who signed the Treaty of Maastricht.

**eurobond** Any bond sold in some country other than the one in whose currency the bond is denominated. Thus, a U.S. firm selling dollar bonds in Switzerland is selling eurobonds.

**eurodollar** A U.S. dollar on deposit in a foreign bank, or a foreign branch of a U.S. bank. Eurodollars are used to conduct transactions throughout Europe and the rest of the world.

**exchange rate** Specifies the number of units of a given currency that can be purchased for one unit of another currency.

**exchange rate risk** Refers to the fluctuation in exchange rates between currencies over time.

**ex-dividend date** The date when the right to the dividend leaves the stock. This date was established by stockbrokers to avoid confusion and is four business days prior to the holder-of-record-date. If the stock sale is made prior to the ex-dividend date, the dividend is paid to the buyer. If the stock is bought on or after the ex-dividend date, the dividend is paid to the seller.

**executive compensation program** A compensation program for executives. It is typically structured in three parts: (1) a specified annual salary; (2) a bonus paid at the end of the year, which depends on the company’s profitability during the year; and (3) options to buy stock, or actual shares of stock, which reward the executive for long-term performance.

**executive stock options** Performance-based incentive plans that allow managers to purchase stock at some time in the future at a given price.

**exercise value** Equal to the current price of the stock (underlying the option) less the strike price of the option.

**expectations theory** States that the slope of the yield curve depends on expectations about future inflation rates and interest rates. Thus, if the annual rate of inflation and future interest rates are expected to increase, the yield curve will be upward sloping, whereas the curve will be downward sloping if the annual rates are expected to decrease.

**expected rate of return, \( \hat{r} \)** The rate of return expected on a stock given its current price and expected future cash flows. If the stock is in equilibrium, the required rate of
return will equal the expected rate of return.

**extension** A form of debt restructuring in which creditors postpone the dates of required interest or principal payments, or both.

**extra dividend** A dividend paid, in addition to the regular dividend, when earnings permit. Firms with volatile earnings may have a low regular dividend that can be maintained even in low-profit (or high-capital-investment) years, and then supplement it with an extra dividend when excess funds are available.

**fairness** The standard of fairness in bankruptcy reorganization states that claims must be recognized in the order of their legal and contractual priority. In simpler terms, the reorganization must be fair to all parties.

**Fama-French three-factor model** Includes one factor for the excess market return (the market return minus the risk-free rate), a second factor for size (defined as the return on a portfolio of small firms minus the return on a portfolio of big firms), and a third factor for the book-to-market effect (defined as the return on a portfolio of firms with a high book-to-market ratio minus the return on a portfolio of firms with a low book-to-market ratio).

**FASB** Financial Accounting Standards Board. Promulgates standards for issues pertaining to private organizations.

**FASB Statement 13** The Financial Accounting Standards Board statement (November 1976) that spells out in detail the conditions under which a lease must be capitalized and the specific procedures to follow.

**feasibility** The standard of feasibility in bankruptcy reorganization states that there must be a reasonably high probability of successful rehabilitation and profitable future operations.

**feasible set** Represents all portfolios that can be constructed from a given set of stocks; also known as the attainable set.

**financial distress costs** Incurred when a leveraged firm facing a decline in earnings is forced to take actions to avoid bankruptcy. These costs may be the result of delays in the liquidation of assets, legal fees, the effects on product quality from cutting costs, and evasive actions by suppliers and customers.

**financial futures** Provide for the purchase or sale of a financial asset at some time in the future, but at a price established today. Financial futures exist for Treasury bills, Treasury notes and bonds, certificates of deposit, eurodollar deposits, foreign currencies, and stock indexes.

**financial intermediary** A financial intermediary buys securities with funds that it obtains by issuing its own securities. An example is a common stock mutual fund that buys common stocks with funds obtained by issuing shares in the mutual fund.

**financial lease** Covers the entire expected life of the equipment; does not provide for maintenance service, is not cancelable, and is fully amortized.

**financial leverage** The extent to which fixed-income securities (debt and preferred stock) are used in a firm's capital structure. If a high percentage of a firm's capital structure is in the form of debt and preferred stock, then the firm is said to have a high degree of financial leverage.

**financial merger** Merger in which the companies will not be operated as a single unit, and no operating economies are expected.

**financial risk** The risk added by the use of debt financing. Debt financing increases the variability of earnings before taxes (but after interest); thus, along with business risk, it contributes to the uncertainty of net income and earnings per share. Business risk plus financial risk equals total corporate risk.

**financial service corporation** Offers a wide range of financial services such as brokerage operations, insurance, and commercial banking.

**first in, first out (FIFO)** An inventory accounting method in which it is assumed that the first items produced are the first items sold.

**fixed assets turnover ratio** Measures how effectively the firm uses its plant and equipment. It is the ratio of sales to net fixed assets.

**fixed exchange rate system** System in effect from the end of World War II until August 1971. Under the system, the U.S. dollar was linked to gold at the rate of $35 per ounce, and other currencies were then tied to the dollar.

**floating exchange rates** System currently in effect where the forces of supply and demand are allowed to determine currency prices with little government intervention.

**floating-rate bond** Bond whose coupon payment may vary over time. The coupon rate is usually linked to the rate on some other security, such as a Treasury security, or to some other rate, such as the prime rate or LIBOR.

**flotation cost, F** Those costs occurring when a company issues a new security, including fees to an investment banker and legal fees.

**foreign bond** A bond sold by a foreign borrower but denominated in the currency of the country in which the issue is sold. Thus, a U.S. firm selling bonds denominated in Swiss francs in Switzerland is selling foreign bonds.

**foreign trade deficit** Occurs when businesses and individuals in the United States import more goods from foreign countries than are exported.

**forward contract** A contract to buy or sell some item at some time in the future at a price established when the contract is entered into.
forward exchange rate The prevailing exchange rate for exchange (delivery) at some agreed-upon future date, usually 30, 90, or 180 days from the day the transaction is negotiated.

founders’ shares Stock owned by the firm’s founders that has sole voting rights but restricted dividends for a specified number of years.

free cash flow (FCF) The cash flow actually available for distribution to all investors after the company has made all investments in fixed assets and working capital necessary to sustain ongoing operations.

free cash flow to equity method (FCFE) A way of determining the value of a company by discounting its free cash flows to equity at the levered cost of equity. Free cash flows to equity are calculated as free cash flow – interest expense + interest tax shield + net change in debt.

free trade credit Credit received during the discount period.

friendly merger Occurs when the target company’s management agrees to the merger and recommends that shareholders approve the deal.

fund capital Not-for-profit business equivalent of equity capital. It consists of retained profits and charitable contributions.

FV The ending amount in an account, where n is the number of periods the money is left in the account.

FVA The ending value of a stream of equal payments, where n is the number of payments of the annuity.

FVIF The future value interest factor for a lump sum left in an account for n periods paying i percent interest per period.

FVIFA The future value interest factor for an ordinary annuity of n periodic payments paying i percent interest per period.

GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. A set of standards for financial reporting established by the accounting profession.

GASB Government Accounting Standards Board. Promulgates standards for issues pertaining to governmental entities.

general obligation bonds Type of municipal bonds that are secured by the full faith and credit of a government unit; that is, they are backed by the full taxing authority of the issuer.

going public The act of selling stock to the public at large by a closely held corporation or its principal stockholders.

golden parachute A payment made to executives who are forced out when a merger takes place.

greennail Targeted share repurchases that occur when a company buys back stock from a potential acquirer at a higher-than-fair-market price. In return, the potential acquirer agrees not to attempt to take over the company.

growth option Occurs if an investment creates the opportunity to make other potentially profitable investments that would not otherwise be possible, including options to expand output, options to enter a new geographical market, and options to introduce complementary products or successive generations of products.

guideline lease Meets all of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements for a genuine lease. If a lease meets the IRS guidelines, the IRS allows the lessor to deduct the asset’s depreciation and allows the lessee to deduct the lease payments. Also called a tax-oriented lease.

hedging A transaction that lowers a firm’s risk of damage due to fluctuating commodity prices, interest rates, and exchange rates.

holder-of-record date If a company lists the stockholder as an owner on the holder-of-record date, then the stockholder receives the dividend.

holding company A corporation formed for the sole purpose of owning stocks in other companies. A holding company differs from a stock mutual fund in that holding companies own sufficient stock in their operating companies to exercise effective working control.

holdout A problematic characteristic of informal reorganizations where all of the involved parties do not agree to the voluntary plan. Holdouts are usually undertaken by creditors in an effort to receive full payment on claims.

horizon value The value of operations at the end of the explicit forecast period. It is equal to the present value of all free cash flows beyond the forecast period, discounted back to the end of the forecast period at the weighted average cost of capital.

horizon value of tax shields The present value of the firm’s future tax shields, under the assumption that the tax shields will grow at a constant rate forever. Calculated as the present value of the future interest tax shields discounted at the unlevered cost of equity.

horizon value of unlevered firm The present value of the firm’s free cash flows if it had no debt assuming the free cash flows will grow at a constant rate forever. Calculated as the present value of the future free cash flows discounted at the unlevered cost of equity.

horizontal merger A merger between two companies in the same line of business.

hostile merger Occurs when the management of the target company resists the offer.

hostile takeover See hostile merger.

hurdle rate The project cost of capital, or discount rate. It is the rate used in discounting future cash flows in the net present value method, and it is the rate that is compared to the internal rate of return.
improper accumulation  The retention of earnings by a business for the purpose of enabling stockholders to avoid personal income taxes on dividends.

income bond  Pays interest only if the interest is earned. These securities cannot bankrupt a company, but from an investor’s standpoint, they are riskier than “regular” bonds.

income statement  Summarizes the firm’s revenues and expenses over an accounting period. Net sales are shown at the top of each statement, after which various costs, including income taxes, are subtracted to obtain the net income available to common stockholders. The bottom of the statement reports earnings and dividends per share.

incremental cash flow  Those cash flows that arise solely from the asset that is being evaluated.

incremental profit  The difference between incremental sales and incremental costs as a result of a change in credit policy.

indentures  A legal document that spells out the rights of both bondholders and the issuing corporation.

independent projects  Projects that can be accepted or rejected individually.

indexed, or purchasing power, bond  The interest rate of such a bond is based on an inflation index such as the consumer price index (CPI), so the interest paid rises automatically when the inflation rate rises, thus protecting the bondholders against inflation.

indifference curve  The risk/return trade-off function for a particular investor; reflects that investor’s attitude toward risk. An investor would be indifferent between any pair of assets on the same indifference curve. In risk/return space, the greater the slope of the indifference curve, the greater the investor’s risk aversion.

inflation premium (IP)  The inflation premium is the premium added to the real risk-free rate of interest to compensate for the expected loss of purchasing power. The inflation premium is the average rate of inflation expected over the life of the security.

informal debt restructuring  An agreement between the creditors and troubled firm to change the existing debt terms. An extension postpones the required payment date, while a composition is a reduction in creditor claims.

information content, or signaling, hypothesis  A theory that holds that investors regard dividend changes as “signals” of management forecasts. Thus, when dividends are raised, this is viewed by investors as recognition by management of future earnings increases. Therefore, if a firm’s stock price increases with a dividend increase, the reason may not be investor preference for dividends but expectations of higher future earnings. Conversely, a dividend reduction may signal that management is forecasting poor earnings in the future.

initial public offering (IPO)  Occurs when a closely held corporation or its principal stockholders sell stock to the public at large.

initial public offering (IPO) market  Going public is the act of selling stock to the public at large by a closely held corporation or its principal stockholders, and this market is often termed the initial public offering market.

i\textsubscript{nom}  The nominal, or quoted, interest rate.

insiders  The officers, directors, and major stockholders of the firm.

installment loan  Another name for an amortized loan.

interest-only loan  A bank loan that only requires periodic interest payments. The principal is not amortized over the life of the loan and is due at the end.

interest rate parity  Holds that investors should expect to earn the same return in all countries after adjusting for risk.

interest rate risk  Risk from changing interest rates. The longer the maturity of the bond, the greater the exposure to interest rate risk.

interest tax shield  The reduction in taxes arising from the tax deductibility of interest. Calculated as the tax rate multiplied by the interest payment.

internal rate of return (IRR) method  The discount rate that equates the present value of the expected future cash inflows and outflows. IRR measures the rate of return on a project, but it assumes that all cash flows can be reinvested at the IRR rate.

international bond  Any bond sold outside of the country of the borrower. There are two types of international bonds: eurobonds and foreign bonds.

intrinsic value, \( \hat{P} \)  The present value of the expected future cash flows.

inventory conversion period  The average length of time to convert materials into finished goods and then to sell them; calculated by dividing total inventory by sales per day.

inventory turnover ratio  Sales divided by inventories.

inverted (“abnormal”) yield curve  A downward-sloping yield curve is termed “abnormal” or “inverted.”

investment banker  A middleman between businesses and investors. Investment banking houses assist in the design of corporate securities and then sell them to investors in the primary markets.

investment-grade bond  Securities with ratings of Baa/BBB or above.

investment timing option  Gives companies the option to delay a project rather than implement it immediately. This option to wait allows a company to reduce the
uncertainty of market conditions before it decides to implement the project.

**joint venture** Involves the joining together of parts of companies to accomplish specific, limited objectives. Joint ventures are controlled by the combined management of the two (or more) parent companies.

**junk bond** High-risk, high-yield bond issued to finance leveraged buyouts, mergers, or troubled companies.

**just-in-time (JIT) system** An inventory control system that minimizes the requirement to hold inventory by placing frequent orders.

**key-person insurance** Insurance on the most important managers in a company. Often required of small companies as a condition for lending money.

**last in, first out (LIFO)** An inventory accounting method in which it is assumed that the last items produced are the first items sold.

**lessee** The party leasing the property.

**lessee's analysis** Involves determining whether leasing an asset is less costly than buying the asset. The lessee will compare the present value cost of leasing the asset with the present value cost of purchasing the asset (assuming the funds to purchase the asset are obtained through a loan). If the present value cost of the lease is less than the present value cost of purchasing, the asset should be leased. The lessee can also analyze the lease using the IRR approach or the equivalent loan method.

**lessor** The party receiving the payments from the lease (that is, the owner of the property).

**lessor's analysis** Involves determining the rate of return on the proposed lease. If the internal rate of return of the lease cash flows exceeds the lessor’s opportunity cost of capital, the lease is a good investment. This is equivalent to analyzing whether the net present value of the lease is positive.

**leveraged buyout (LBO)** A transaction in which a firm’s publicly owned stock is acquired in a mostly debt-financed tender offer, and a privately owned, highly leveraged firm results. Often, the firm’s own management initiates the LBO.

**leveraged lease** The lessor borrows a portion of the funds needed to buy the equipment to be leased.

**limited liability partnership** Combines the limited liability advantage of a corporation with the tax advantages of a partnership. Sometimes called a limited liability company (LLC).

**limited partnership** Liabilities, investment returns, and control are limited for the limited partners, while general partners have unlimited liability and control.

**line of credit** An arrangement in which a bank agrees to lend up to a specified maximum amount of funds during a designated period.

**liquidation in bankruptcy** The sale of the assets of a firm and the distribution of the proceeds to the creditors and owners in a specific priority.

**liquidity** Liquidity refers to a firm’s cash and marketable securities position and to its ability to meet maturing obligations. A liquid asset is any asset that can be quickly sold and converted to cash at its “fair” value. Active markets provide liquidity.

**liquidity premium (LP)** Added to the real risk-free rate of interest, in addition to other premiums, if a security is not liquid.

**liquidity ratio** A ratio that shows the relationship of a firm’s cash and other current assets to its current liabilities.

**loan guarantee** A promise by an individual or organization to pay back a loan to another party in the event of default.

**long hedges** Futures contracts bought in anticipation of (or to guard against) price increases.

**lumpy assets** Those assets that cannot be acquired smoothly but that require large, discrete additions. For example, an electric utility that is operating at full capacity cannot add a small amount of generating capacity, at least not economically.

**managerial options** Options that give opportunities to managers to respond to changing market conditions. Also called real options.

**margin requirement** The margin is the percentage of a stock’s price that an investor has borrowed in order to purchase the stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission sets margin requirements, which is the maximum percentage of debt that can be used to purchase a stock.

**marginal tax rate** Defined as the tax rate on the last unit of income.

**market multiple method** Applies a market-determined multiple to net income, earnings per share, sales, book value, or number of subscribers, and is a less precise method than discounted cash flow.

**market portfolio** A portfolio consisting of all stocks.

**market risk** That part of a security’s total risk that cannot be eliminated by diversification. It is measured by the beta coefficient.

**market risk premium (RP_m)** The difference between the expected return on the market and the risk-free rate.

**market transparency** A market in which reliable, accurate information is available to all market participants.

**market value added (MVA)** The difference between the market value of the firm (that is, the sum of the market value of common equity, the market value of debt, and the market value of preferred...
stock) and the book value of the firm's common equity, debt, and preferred stock. If the book values of debt and preferred stock are equal to their market values, then MVA is also equal to the difference between the market value of equity and the amount of equity capital that investors supplied.

**market value ratios** Relate the firm's stock price to its earnings and book value per share.

**market/book (M/B) ratio** The market price per share divided by the book value per share.

**maturity date** The date when the bond's par value is repaid to the bondholder. Maturity dates generally range from 10 to 40 years from the time of issue.

**maturity matching** Refers to matching the maturities of debt used to finance assets with the lives of the assets themselves. The debt would be amortized such that the outstanding amount declined as the asset lost value due to depreciation.

**maturity risk premium (MRP)** A premium that must be added to the real risk-free rate of interest to compensate for interest rate risk and depends on a bond's maturity. Interest rate risk arises from the fact that bond prices decline when interest rates rise. Under these circumstances, selling a bond prior to maturity will result in a capital loss, and the longer the term to maturity, the larger the loss.

**merger** The joining of two firms to form a single firm.

**Miller model** Introduces the effect of personal taxes which is, essentially, to reduce the advantage of corporate debt financing.

**MM Proposition I with corporate taxes**, $V = V_u + TD$ Thus, firm value increases with leverage and the optimal capital structure is virtually all debt.

**MM Proposition I without taxes**, $V = \text{EBIT}/r_{su}$ Because both EBIT and $r_{su}$ are constant, firm value is also constant and capital structure is irrelevant.

**MM Proposition II with corporate taxes**, $r_{su} = r_{su} + (r_{su} - r_d)(D/S)$ Here the increase in equity costs is less than the zero-tax case, and the increasing use of lower-cost debt causes the firm's cost of capital to decrease. In this case, the optimal capital structure is virtually all debt.

**MM Proposition II without taxes**, $r_{su} = r_{su} + (r_{su} - r_d)(D/S)$ Thus, $r_s$ increases in a precise way as leverage increases. In fact, this increase is just sufficient to offset the increased use of lower-cost debt.

**moderate net operating working capital policy** A policy that matches asset and liability maturities. It is also referred to as the maturity matching, or “self-liquidating” approach.

**modified internal rate of return (MIRR) method** Assumes that cash flows from all projects are reinvested at the cost of capital as opposed to the project’s own IRR. This makes the modified internal rate of return a better indicator of a project's true profitability.

**money market** A financial market for debt securities with maturities of less than one year (short term). The New York money market is the world’s largest.

**money market fund** Mutual funds that invest in short-term debt instruments and offer their investors check-writing privileges; thus, they are essentially interest-bearing checking accounts.

**Monte Carlo simulation analysis** A risk analysis technique in which a computer is used to simulate probable future events and thus to estimate the profitability and risk of a project.

**mortgage bond** The corporation pledges certain assets as security for the bond. All such bonds are written subject to an indenture.

**multinational corporation** A corporation that operates in two or more countries.

**municipal bond** Issued by state and local governments. The interest earned on most municipal bonds is exempt from federal taxes, and also from state taxes if the holder is a resident of the issuing state. Also, tax-exempt bonds, generally called munis, which can be issued by not-for-profit businesses.

**municipal bond insurance** An insurance company guarantees to pay the coupon and principal payments should the issuer of the bond (the municipality) default. This reduces the risk to investors who are willing to accept a lower coupon rate for an insured bond issue compared to an uninsured issue.

**mutual fund** A corporation that sells shares in the fund and uses the proceeds to buy stocks, long-term bonds, or short-term debt instruments. The resulting dividends, interest, and capital gains are distributed to the fund’s shareholders after the deduction of operating expenses. Some funds specialize in certain types of securities, such as growth stocks, international stocks, or municipal bonds.

**mutually exclusive projects** Projects that cannot be performed at the same time. A company could choose either Project 1 or Project 2, or it can reject both, but it cannot accept both projects.

**National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD)** An industry group primarily concerned with the operation of the over-the-counter (OTC) market.

**natural hedge** A transaction between two counterparties where both parties’ risks are reduced.

**net advantage to leasing (NAL)** The dollar value of the lease to the lessee. It is, in a sense, the net present value of leasing versus owning.
**net cash flow** The sum of net income plus noncash adjustments.

**net operating working capital (NOWC)** Operating current assets minus operating current liabilities. Operating current assets are the current assets used to support operations, such as cash, accounts receivable, and inventory. They do not include short-term investments. Operating current liabilities are the current liabilities that are a natural consequence of the firm’s operations, such as accounts payable and accruals. They do not include notes payable or any other short-term debt that charges interest.

**net present social value (NPSV)** Represents the not-for-profit business’s assessment of a project’s social value as opposed to its pure financial value as measured by NPV.

**net present value (NPV) method** The present value of the project’s expected future cash flows, discounted at the appropriate cost of capital. NPV is a direct measure of the value of the project to shareholders.

**net working capital** Current assets minus current liabilities.

**new issue market** The market for stock of companies that go public.

**nominal interest rate** The annual rate of interest quoted without regard to compounding. Also called the **stated rate**.

**nominal (quoted) interest rate, \( i_{\text{Nom}} \)** The rate of interest stated in a contract. If the compounding occurs annually, the effective annual rate and the nominal rate are the same. If compounding occurs more frequently, the effective annual rate is greater than the nominal rate. The nominal annual interest rate is also called the **annual percentage rate**, or **APR**.

**nominal rate of return, \( r_n \)** Includes an inflation adjustment (premium). Thus if nominal rates of return are used in the capital budgeting process, the net cash flows must also be nominal.

**nominal risk-free rate of interest, \( r_{RF} \)** The real risk-free rate plus a premium for expected inflation. The short-term nominal risk-free rate is usually approximated by the U.S. Treasury bill rate, while the long-term nominal risk-free rate is approximated by the rate on U.S. Treasury bonds.

**nonnormal cash flow projects** Projects with a large cash outflow either sometime during or at the end of their lives. A common problem encountered when evaluating projects with nonnormal cash flows is multiple internal rates of return.

**nonoperating assets** Include investments in marketable securities and noncontrolling interests in the stock of other companies.

**nonpecuniary benefits** Perks that are not actual cash payments, such as lavish offices, memberships at country clubs, corporate jets, and excessively large staffs.

**NOPAT (net operating profit after taxes)** The amount of profit a company would generate if it had no debt and no financial assets.

**normal cash flow projects** A project in which one or more cash inflows (costs) are followed by a series of cash inflows.

**normal yield curve** When the yield curve slopes upward, it is said to be “normal,” because it is like this most of the time.

**not-for-profit corporation** A tax-exempt charitable organization. The tax code defines a charitable organization as any corporation, community chest, fund, or foundation that is organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, public safety, literary, or educational purposes. This standard may be expanded to include an organization that provides health care services provided other requirements are met.

**off-balance sheet financing** A financing technique in which a firm uses partnerships and other arrangements to effectively bor-
operating leverage  The extent to which fixed costs are used in a firm’s operations. If a high percentage of a firm’s total costs are fixed costs, then the firm is said to have a high degree of operating leverage. Operating leverage is a measure of one element of business risk, but does not include the second major element, sales variability.

operating merger  Occurs when the operations of two companies are integrated with the expectation of obtaining synergistic gains. These may occur due to economies of scale, management efficiency, or a host of other reasons.

opportunity cost  A cash flow that a firm must forgo to accept a project. For example, if the project requires the use of a building that could otherwise be sold, the market value of the building is an opportunity cost of the project.

opportunity cost rate  The rate of return available on the best alternative investment of similar risk.

optimal distribution policy  The distribution policy that maximizes the value of the firm by choosing the optimal level and form of distributions (dividends and stock repurchases).

distribution

optimal dividend policy  The dividend policy that strikes a balance between current dividends and future growth and maximizes the firm’s stock price.

optimal portfolio  The point at which the efficient set of portfolios—the efficient frontier—is just tangent to the investor’s indifference curve. This point marks the highest level of satisfaction an investor can attain given the set of potential portfolios.

option  A contract that gives its holder the right to buy or sell an asset at some predetermined price within a specified period of time.

ordinary (deferred) annuity  An annuity with a fixed number of equal payments occurring at the end of each period.

original issue discount (OID) bond  In general, any bond originally offered at a price significantly below its par value.

outsourcing  The practice of purchasing components rather than manufacturing them.

par value  The nominal or face value of a stock or bond. The par value of a bond generally represents the amount of money that the firm borrows and promises to repay at some future date. The par value of a bond is often $1,000, but it can be $5,000 or more.

parent company  Another name for a holding company. A parent company will often have control over many subsidiaries.

partnership  Exists when two or more persons associate to conduct a business.

payable deferral period  The average length of time between a firm’s purchase of materials and labor and the payment of cash for them. It is calculated by dividing accounts payable by credit purchases per day (Cost of goods sold/365).

payback period  The number of years it takes a firm to recover its project investment. Payback does not capture a project’s entire cash flow stream and is thus not the preferred evaluation method. Note, however, that the payback does measure a project’s liquidity, and hence many firms use it as a risk measure.

payment date  The date on which a firm actually mails dividend checks.

payments pattern approach  A method of monitoring accounts receivable that looks for changes in a customer’s payment pattern. This takes into account the seasonal nature of customer orders.

payout ratio  The percentage of net income paid out as dividends, calculated as dividends divided by net income.

pegged exchange rates  Refers to the rate fixed against a major currency such as the U.S. dollar. Consequently, the values of the pegged currencies move together over time.

percent of sales method  Many items on the income statement and balance sheet are assumed to increase proportionally with sales. As sales increase, these items that are tied to sales also increase, and the values of these items for a particular year are estimated as percentages of the forecasted sales for that year.

perfect hedge  A hedge in which the gain or loss on the hedged transaction exactly offsets the loss or gain on the unhedged position.

performance shares  Shares of the firm’s stock given to executives on the basis of performance as measured by earnings per share, return on assets, return on equity, EVA, and so on.

periodic rate, \(i_{\text{PER}}\)  The rate charged by a lender or paid by a borrower each period. It can be a rate per year, per six-month period, per quarter, per month, per day, or per any other time interval (usually one year or less).

permanent net operating working capital  The NOWC required when the economy is weak and seasonal sales are at their low point. Thus, this level of NOWC always requires financing and can be regarded as permanent.

perpetuity  A series of payments of a fixed amount that last indefinitely.

physical location exchanges  Exchanges, such as the New York Stock Exchange, that facilitate trading of securities at a particular location.

PMT  Equal to the dollar amount of an equal, or constant, cash flow (an annuity).

poison pill  Shareholder rights provisions that allow existing shareholders in a company to
purchase additional shares of stock at a lower than market value if a potential acquirer purchases a controlling stake in the company.

**political risk** Refers to the possibility of expropriation and the unanticipated restriction of cash flows to the parent by a foreign government.

**pooling of interests** A method of accounting for a merger in which the consolidated balance sheet is constructed by simply adding together the balance sheets of the merged companies. This is no longer allowed.

**portfolio** A group of individual assets held in combination. An asset that would be relatively risky if held in isolation may have little, or even no, risk if held in a well-diversified portfolio.

**post-audit** The final aspect of the capital budgeting process. It is a feedback process in which the actual results are compared with those predicted in the original capital budgeting analysis. The post-audit has several purposes, the most important being to improve forecasts and operations.

**precautionary balance** A cash balance held in reserve for random, unforeseen fluctuations in cash inflows and outflows.

**preemptive right** Gives the current shareholders the right to purchase any new shares issued in proportion to their current holdings. The preemptive right enables current owners to maintain their proportionate share of ownership and control of the business.

**preferred stock** A hybrid—it is similar to bonds in some respects and to common stock in other respects. Preferred dividends are similar to interest payments on bonds in that they are fixed in amount and generally must be paid before common stock dividends can be paid. If the preferred dividend is not earned, the directors can omit it without throwing the company into bankruptcy.

**premium bond** Bond prices and interest rates are inversely related; that is, they tend to move in the opposite direction from one another. A fixed-rate bond will sell at par when its coupon interest rate is equal to the going rate of interest, \( r_d \). When the going rate of interest is above the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond will sell at a “discount” below its par value. If current interest rates are below the coupon rate, a fixed-rate bond will sell at a “premium” above its par value.

**premium on forward rate** Occurs when the forward exchange rate differs from the spot rate. When the forward rate is above the spot rate, it is said to be at a premium.

**prepackaged bankruptcy** A new type of reorganization that combines the advantages of informal workouts and formal Chapter 11 reorganization.

**price/cash flow ratio** Calculated by dividing price per share by cash flow per share. This shows how much investors are willing to pay per dollar of cash flow.

**price/earnings (P/E) ratio** Calculated by dividing price per share by earnings per share. This shows how much investors are willing to pay per dollar of reported profits.

**primary market** The markets in which newly issued securities are sold for the first time.

**principal** Someone who hires another (the agent) to perform some duty or service.

**priority of claims in liquidation** Established in Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Act. It specifies the order in which the debtor’s assets are distributed among the creditors.

**private markets** Transactions are worked out directly between two parties and structured in any manner that appeals to them. Bank loans and private placements of debt with insurance companies are examples of private market transactions.

**private placement** The sale of stock to only one or a few investors, usually institutional investors. The advantages of private placements are lower flotation costs and greater speed, since the shares issued are not subject to Securities and Exchange Commission registration.

**pro forma financial statement** Shows how an actual statement would look if certain assumptions are realized.

**probability distribution** A listing, chart, or graph of all possible outcomes, such as expected rates of return, with a probability assigned to each outcome.

**professional corporation (PC)** Enjoys most of the benefits of incorporation, but the participants are not relieved of professional (malpractice) liability. Known in some states as a professional association (PA).

**profit margin on sales** Calculated by dividing net income by sales; gives the profit per dollar of sales.

**profitability index** Found by dividing the project’s present value of future cash flows by its initial cost. A profitability index greater than 1 is equivalent to a positive net present value project.

**profitability ratios** A group of ratios that show the combined effects of liquidity, asset management, and debt on operations.

**progressive tax** The higher one’s income, the larger the percentage paid in taxes.

**project cost of capital** The risk-adjusted discount rate for that project.

**project financing** Arrangements used to finance mainly large capital projects such as energy explorations, oil tankers, refineries, utility power plants, and so on. Usually, one or more firms (sponsors) will provide the equity capital required by the project, while the rest of the project’s capital is supplied by lenders and
lessors. The most important aspect of project financing is that the lenders and lessors do not have recourse against the sponsors; they must be repaid from the project's cash flows and the equity cushion provided by the sponsors.

**promissory note** A document specifying the terms and conditions of a loan, including the amount, interest rate, and repayment schedule.

**prospectus** Summarizes information about a new security issue and the issuing company.

**proxy** A document giving one person the authority to act for another, typically the power to vote shares of common stock.

**proxy fight** An attempt to take over a company in which an outside group solicits existing shareholders' proxies, which are authorizations to vote shares in a shareholders' meeting, in an effort to overthrow management and take control of the business.

**public markets** In public markets, standardized contracts are traded on organized exchanges. Securities that are issued in public markets, such as common stock and corporate bonds, are ultimately held by a large number of individuals.

**public offering** An offer of new common stock to the general public.

**publicly owned corporation** In most larger companies, the stock is owned by a large number of investors, most of whom are not active in management.

**purchase accounting** A method of accounting for a merger in which the merger is handled as a purchase. In this method, the acquiring firm is assumed to have “bought” the acquired company in much the same way it would buy any capital asset.

**purchasing power parity** Implies that the level of exchange rates adjusts so that identical goods cost the same in different countries.

Sometimes referred to as the “law of one price.”

**put option** Allows the holder to sell the asset at some predetermined price within a specified period of time.

**PV (present value)** The value today of a future payment, or stream of payments, discounted at the appropriate rate of interest. PV is also the beginning amount that will grow to some future value.

**PVA** The value today of a future stream of equal payments (an annuity).

**PVIFA** The present value interest factor for a lump sum received during n periods in the future discounted at i percent per period.

**quick, or acid test, ratio** Found by taking current assets less inventories and then dividing by current liabilities.

**real options** Occur when managers can influence the size and risk of a project's cash flows by taking different actions during the project's life. They are referred to as real options because they deal with real as opposed to financial assets. They are also called managerial options because they give opportunities to managers to respond to changing market conditions. Sometimes they are called strategic options because they often deal with strategic issues. Finally, they are also called embedded options because they are a part of another project.

**real rate of return, r** Contains no adjustment for expected inflation. If net cash flows from a project do not include inflation adjustments, then the cash flows should be discounted at the real cost of capital. In a similar manner, the internal rate of return resulting from real net cash flows should be compared with the real cost of capital.

**real risk-free rate of interest, r** The interest rate that equalizes the aggregate supply of, and demand for, riskless securities in an economy with zero inflation. The real risk-free rate could also be called the **pure rate of interest** since it is the rate of interest that would exist on very short-term, default-free U.S. Treasury securities if the expected rate of inflation were zero.

**realized rate of return, R** The actual return an investor receives on his or her investment. It can be quite different from the expected return.

**receivables collection period** The average length of time required to convert a firm's receivables into cash. It is calculated by dividing accounts receivable by sales per day.

**red herring prospectus** A preliminary prospectus that may be distributed to potential buyers prior to approval of the registration statement by the Securities and Exchange Commission. After the registration has become effective, the securities, accompanied by the prospectus, may be offered for sale.

**redeemable bond** Gives investors the right to sell the bonds back to the corporation at a price that is usually close to the par value. If interest rates rise, investors can redeem the bonds and reinvest at the higher rates.

**red-line method** An inventory control system in which inventory is ordered when it falls below a predetermined level, perhaps indicated by a red line on the bin in which the inventory is stored.

**refunding** Occurs when a company issues debt at current low rates and uses the proceeds to repurchase one of its existing high-coupon-rate debt issues. Often these are callable issues, which means the company
Each project is replicated such that projects that have unequal lives, comparing mutually exclusive replacement chain. A method of repatriation of earnings may restrict the amount of cash that may be repatriated. A foreign govern-ment may be subject to future exchange rate changes. A foreign govern-ment must be “rolled over.” If interest rates have fallen, the reinvestment of principal will be at a lower rate, with correspondingly lower interest payments and ending value.

relative priority doctrine More flexible than absolute priority. Give a more balanced consideration to all claimants in a bankruptcy reorganization than does the absolute priority doctrine.

relaxed net operating working capital policy A policy under which relatively large amounts of cash, marketable securities, and inventories are carried and under which sales are stimulated by a liberal credit policy, resulting in a high level of receivables.

reorder point The inventory level at which a new order is placed.

reorganization in bankruptcy A court-approved attempt to keep a company alive by changing its capital structure in lieu of liquidation. A reorganization must adhere to the standards of fairness and feasibility.

repatiation of earnings The cash flow, usually in the form of dividends or royalties, from the foreign branch or subsidiary to the parent company. These cash flows must be converted to the currency of the parent, and thus are subject to future exchange rate changes. A foreign government may restrict the amount of cash that may be repatriated.

replacement chain A method of comparing mutually exclusive projects that have unequal lives. Each project is replicated such that they will both terminate in a common year. If projects with lives of three years and five years are being evaluated, the three-year project would be replicated five times and the five-year project replicated three times; thus, both projects would terminate in 15 years.

required rate of return, \( r \) The minimum acceptable rate of return considering both its risk and the returns available on other investments.

reserve borrowing capacity Exists when a firm uses less debt under “normal” conditions than called for by the trade-off theory. This allows the firm some flexibility to use debt in the future when additional capital is needed.

residual distribution model States that firms should pay dividends only when more earnings are available than needed to support the optimal capital budget.

residual value The market value of the leased property at the expiration of the lease. The estimate of the residual value is one of the key elements in lease analysis.

restricted charitable contributions Donations that can be used only for designated purposes.

restricted net operating working capital policy A policy under which holdings of cash, securities, inventories, and receivables are minimized.

restricted voting rights A provision that automatically deprives a shareholder of voting rights if the shareholder owns more than a specified amount of stock.

retained earnings The portion of the firm’s earnings that has been saved rather than paid out as dividends.

return on common equity (ROE) Found by dividing net income into common equity.

return on invested capital (ROIC) Net operating profit after taxes divided by the operating capital.

return on total assets (ROA) The ratio of net income to total assets.

revaluation Occurs when the relative price of a currency is increased. It is the opposite of devaluation.

revenue bonds Type of municipal bonds that are secured by the revenues derived from projects such as roads and bridges, airports, water and sewage systems, and non-for-profit health care facilities.

revolving credit agreement A formal, committed line of credit extended by a bank or other lending institution.

rights offering Occurs when a corporation sells a new issue of common stock to its existing stockholders. Each stockholder receives a certificate, called a stock purchase right, giving the stockholder the option to purchase a specified number of the new shares. The rights are issued in proportion to the amount of stock that each shareholder currently owns.

risk arbitrage Refers to the practice of purchasing stock in companies (in the context of mergers) that may become takeover targets.

risk aversion An investor’s dislike of risk and need for a higher rate of return as an inducement to buy riskier securities.

risk premium for Stock i, \( R_P \) The extra return that an investor requires to hold risky Stock i instead of a risk-free asset.

risk-adjusted discount rate Incorporates the riskiness of the project’s cash flows. The cost of capital to the firm reflects the average risk of the firm’s existing projects. Thus, new projects that are riskier than existing projects should have a higher risk-adjusted discount rate. Conversely, projects with less risk should have a lower risk-adjusted discount rate.

roadshow Before an IPO, the senior management team and the investment banker make presentations to potential investors. They make
presentations in 10 to 20 cities, with three to five presentations per day, over a two-week period.

S corporation A small corporation that, under Subchapter S of the Internal Revenue Code, elects to be taxed as a proprietorship or a partnership yet retains limited liability and other benefits of the corporate form of organization.

safety stock Inventory held to guard against larger-than-normal sales and/or shipping delays.

sale-and-leaseback The firm owning the property sells it to another firm, often a financial institution, while simultaneously entering into an agreement to lease the property back from the firm; a type of financial lease.

salvage value The market value of an asset after its useful life.

scenario analysis A shorter version of simulation analysis that uses only a few outcomes. Often the outcomes are for three scenarios: optimistic, pessimistic, and most likely.

seasonal dating Changing the effective invoice date of a sale to reflect the seasonal nature of the demand for the product, such as dating an invoice for swimsuits May 1 even if the order occurred in January.

seasonal effects on ratios Seasonal factors can distort ratio analysis. At certain times of the year a firm may have excessive inventories in preparation for a “season” of high demand. Therefore, an inventory turnover ratio taken at this time, as opposed to after the season, will be radically distorted.

secondary market Markets in which securities are resold after initial issue in the primary market. The New York Stock Exchange is an example.

secured loan A loan backed by collateral, often for inventories or receivables.

Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) A government agency that regulates the sales of new securities and the operations of securities exchanges. The SEC, along with other government agencies and self-regulation, helps ensure stable markets, sound brokerage firms, and the absence of stock manipulation.

securitization The process whereby financial instruments that were previously thinly traded are converted to a form that creates greater liquidity. Securitization also applies to the situation in which specific assets are pledged as collateral for securities, and hence asset-backed securities are created. One example of the former is junk bonds; an example of the latter is mortgage-backed securities.

Security Market Line (SML) Represents, in a graphical form, the relationship between the risk of an asset as measured by its beta and the required rates of return for individual securities. The SML equation is essentially the Capital Asset Pricing Model: \( r_i = r_{RF} + \beta_i(r_{M} - r_{RF}) \).

semistrong-form market efficiency States that current market prices reflect all publicly available information. Therefore, the only way to gain abnormal returns on a stock is to possess inside information about the company’s stock.

sensitivity analysis Indicates exactly how much net present value, or some other calculation of interest like IRR or MIRR, will change in response to a given change in an input variable, other things held constant. Sensitivity analysis is sometimes called “what if” analysis because it answers this type of question.

shelf registration Frequently, companies will file a master registration statement and then update it with a short-form statement just before an offering. This procedure is termed shelf registration because companies put new securities “on the shelf” and then later sell them when the market is right.

short hedges Futures contracts are sold to guard against price declines.

simple interest The situation when interest is not compounded; that is, interest that is not earned on interest is simple interest. Also called regular interest. Divide the nominal interest rate by 365 and multiply by the number of days the funds are borrowed to find the interest for the term borrowed.

sinking fund Facilitates the orderly retirement of a bond issue. This can be achieved in one of two ways: (1) The company can call in for redemption (at par value) a certain percentage of bonds each year, or (2) the company may buy the required amount of bonds on the open market.

social value Projects of not-for-profit businesses are expected to provide a social value in addition to an economic value.

sole proprietorship A proprietorship, or sole proprietorship, is a business owned by one individual.

special tax bonds Type of municipal bonds that are secured by a specified tax, such as a tax on utility services.

specific identification An inventory accounting method in which specific inventory items are identified as they are sold.

spin-off A holding company distributes the stock of one of the operating companies to its shareholders. Thus, control passes from the holding company to the shareholders directly.

spontaneously generated funds Funds generated if a liability account increases spontaneously (automatically) as sales increase. An increase in a liability account is a source of funds; thus funds have been generated. Two examples of spontaneous liability accounts are accounts payable and accrued wages. Note that notes payable, although a current liability account, is not a spontaneous source of funds since an increase in notes
payable requires a specific action between the firm and a creditor.

**spot rate** The exchange rate that applies to “on the spot” trades, or, more precisely, exchanges that occur two days following the day of trade (in other words, current exchanges).

**spread** The difference between the price at which an underwriter sells the stock in an initial public offering and the proceeds that the underwriter passes on to the issuing firm; the fee collected by the underwriter. It is often about 7 percent of the offering price.

**stakeholders** All parties that have an interest, financial or otherwise, in a not-for-profit business.

**stand-alone risk** The risk an investor takes by holding only one asset.

**standard deviation, \( \sigma \)** A statistical measure of the variability of a set of observations. It is the square root of the variance.

**stated interest rate** Another name for the nominal interest rate.

**statement of cash flows** Reports the effect of a firm’s operating, investing, and financing activities on cash flows over an accounting period.

**statement of retained earnings** Shows how much of the firm’s earnings were retained in the business rather than paid out in dividends. Note that retained earnings represent a claim against assets, not assets per se. Firms retain earnings primarily to expand the business, not to accumulate cash in a bank account.

**stepped-up price** A provision in a warrant that increases the strike price over time. This provision is included to prod owners into exercising their warrants.

**stock dividend** Increases the number of shares outstanding, but at a slower rate than splits. Current shareholders receive additional shares on some proportional basis. Thus, a holder of 100 shares would receive 5 additional shares at no cost if a 5 percent stock dividend were declared.

**stock option** Allows its owner to purchase a share of stock at a fixed price, called the exercise price, no matter what the actual price of the stock is. Stock options always have an expiration date, after which they cannot be exercised.

**stock repurchase** Occurs when a firm repurchases its own stock. These shares of stock are then referred to as *treasury stock*.

**stock split** Current shareholders are given some number (or fraction) of shares for each stock share owned. Thus, in a three-for-one split, each shareholder would receive three new shares in exchange for each old share, thereby tripling the number of shares outstanding. Stock splits usually occur when the stock price is outside of the optimal trading range.

**strategic options** Options that often deal with strategic issues. Also called *real options* and *managerial options*.

**stretching accounts payable** The practice of deliberately paying accounts late.

**strike price** The price stated in the option contract at which the security can be bought (or sold). For example, if the underlying stock sells for $50 and the strike price is $20, the exercise value of the option would be $30. Also called the exercise price.

**strong-form market efficiency** Assumes that all information pertaining to a stock, whether public or inside information, is reflected in current market prices. Thus, no investors would be able to earn abnormal returns in the stock market.

**structured note** A debt obligation derived from another debt obligation. Permits a partitioning of risks to give investors what they want.

**subordinated debenture** Debentures that have claims on assets, in the event of bankruptcy, only after senior debt as named in the subordinated debt’s indenture has been paid off. Subordinated debentures may be subordinated to designated notes payable or to all other debt.

**sunk cost** A cost that has already occurred and is not affected by the capital project decision. Sunk costs are not relevant to capital budgeting decisions.

**swap** An exchange of cash payment obligations. Usually occurs because the parties involved prefer someone else’s payment pattern or type.

**sweetener** A feature that makes a security more attractive to some investors, thereby inducing them to accept a lower current yield. Convertible features and warrants are examples of sweeteners.

**synergy** Occurs when the whole is greater than the sum of its parts. When applied to mergers, a synergistic merger occurs when the postmerger free cash flow exceeds the sum of the separate companies’ premerger free cash flows.

**takeover** An action whereby a person or group succeeds in ousting a firm’s management and taking control of the company.

**target capital structure** The relative amount of debt, preferred stock, and common equity that the firm desires. The weighted average cost of capital should be based on these target weights.

**target cash balance** The desired cash balance that a firm plans to maintain in order to conduct business.

**target company** A firm that another company seeks to acquire.

**tax loss carryback and carryforward** Ordinary corporate operating losses can be carried backward for 2 years or forward for 20 years to offset taxable income in a given year.
tax preference theory  Proposes that investors prefer capital gains over dividends, because capital gains taxes can be deferred into the future, but taxes on dividends must be paid as the dividends are received.

taxable income  Gross income less a set of exemptions and deductions that is spelled out in the instructions to the tax forms individuals must file.

temporary net operating working capital  The NOWC required above the permanent level when the economy is strong and/or seasonal sales are high.

tender offer  The offer of one firm to buy the stock of another by going directly to the stockholders, frequently over the opposition of the target company’s management.

term structure of interest rates  The term structure of interest rates is the relationship between yield to maturity and term to maturity for bonds of a single risk class.

time line  A graphical representation used to show the timing of cash flows.

times-interest-earned (TIE) ratio  Determined by dividing earnings before interest and taxes by the interest charges. This ratio measures the extent to which operating income can decline before the firm is unable to meet its annual interest costs.

total assets turnover ratio  Measures the turnover of all the firm’s assets; it is calculated by dividing sales by total assets.

total carrying cost  The costs of carrying inventory.

total inventory costs  The sum of ordering and carrying costs.

total net present value (TNPV)  Equal to NPV + NPSV in a not-for-profit business, where NPV is the standard net present value of the project’s cash flow stream and NPSV is the net present social value of the project.

total ordering cost  The costs of ordering inventory.

trade credit  Debt arising from credit sales and recorded as an account receivable by the seller and as an account payable by the buyer.

trade deficit  Occurs when a country imports more goods from abroad than it exports.

trade discounts  Price reductions that suppliers offer customers for early payment of bills.

trade-off model  The addition of financial distress and agency costs to either the MM tax model or the Miller model. In this model, the optimal capital structure can be visualized as a trade-off between the benefit of debt (the interest tax shelter) and the costs of debt (financial distress and agency costs).

transactions balance  The cash balance associated with payments and collections; the balance necessary for day-to-day operations.

Treasury bond  Bonds issued by the federal government and not exposed to default risk. Sometimes referred to as government bonds.

trend analysis  An analysis of a firm’s financial ratios over time. It is used to estimate the likelihood of improvement or deterioration in its financial situation.

two-bin method  An inventory control system that uses two bins. When the working bin is empty, an order is placed and the inventory is drawn from the second bin.

uncollected balances schedule  Helps a firm monitor its receivables better and also forecast future receivables balances; an integral part of the payments pattern approach.

underwritten arrangement  A type of contract with an investment banker when issuing stock. An investment banker agrees to buy the entire issue at a set price, and then resells the stock at the offering price. Thus, the risk of selling the issue rests with the investment banker.

unlevered cost of equity  The firm’s cost of equity if it had no debt. When used in the APV, the unlevered cost of equity is calculated as $r_{u} = w_{d}r_{d} + w_{d}r_{d}$.

value drivers  Growth rate in sales ($g$), operating profitability ($OP = NOPAT/Sales$), capital requirements ($CR = Capital/Sales$), and the weighted average cost of capital (WACC).

value of operations  The present value of all the future free cash flows that are expected from current assets-in-place and the expected growth of assets-in-place when discounted at the weighted average cost of capital.

value-based management  Managing a firm with shareholder value in mind. It typically involves use of a model of shareholder value, like the corporate value model.

variance, $\sigma^{2}$  A measure of the distribution’s variability. It is the sum of the squared deviations about the expected value.

venture capitalist  The manager of a venture capital fund. The fund raises most of its capital from institutional investors and invests in startup companies in exchange for equity.

vertical merger  Occurs when a company acquires another firm that is “upstream” or “downstream”; for example, an automobile manufacturer acquires a steel producer.

warrant  A call option issued by a company allowing the holder to buy a stated number of shares of stock from a company at a specified price. Warrants are generally distributed with debt, or preferred stock, to induce investors to buy those securities at lower cost.

weak-form market efficiency  Assumes that all information con-
tained in past price movements is fully reflected in current market prices. Thus, information about recent trends in a stock’s price is of no use in selecting a stock.

**wealth effect of rising (or falling) stock prices** Strong stock prices benefit even those who have no direct or indirect ownership interest in the market, because strong markets stimulate both individual spending through the “wealth effect” and corporate investment (because of lower capital costs and higher consumer spending). This stimulates employment and economic growth. The reverse would hold true if stock prices fell.

**weighted average cost of capital (WACC)** The weighted average of the after-tax component costs of capital—debt, preferred stock, and common equity. Each weighting factor is the proportion of that type of capital in the optimal, or target, capital structure.

**white knight** A friendly competing bidder that a target management likes better than the company making a hostile offer, and the target solicits a merger with the white knight as a preferable alternative.

**window dressing** A technique employed by firms to make their financial statements look better than they really are.

**working capital** A firm’s investment in short-term assets—cash, marketable securities, inventory, and accounts receivable.

**workout** Voluntary reorganization plans arranged between creditors and generally sound companies experiencing temporary financial difficulties. Workouts typically require some restructuring of the firm’s debt.

**yield curve** The curve that results when yield to maturity is plotted on the y-axis with term to maturity on the x-axis.

**yield to call (YTC)** The rate of interest earned on a bond if it is called. If current interest rates are well below an outstanding callable bond’s coupon rate, the YTC may be a more relevant estimate of expected return than the YTM, since the bond is likely to be called.

**yield to maturity (YTM)** The rate of interest earned on a bond if it is held to maturity.

**zero coupon bond** Pays no coupons at all, but is offered at a substantial discount below its par value and hence provides capital appreciation rather than interest income.
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commodity, 852  
financial, 852  
hedging with, 851–854  
security price exposure and, 854–856  
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tests of CAPM based on slope of, 98–99
Security price exposure, 854–857
Security transactions, 222
Self-dealings, going public and, 622
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Short-term financing, 746
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Short-term investments, 727, 745
Short-term obligations, current ratio
and, 253
Short-term price, vs. long-term price, 9–10
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